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# INTERPOLATING BETWEEN HILBERT-SAMUEL AND HILBERT-KUNZ MULTIPLICITY 

WILLIAM D. TAYLOR


#### Abstract

We define a function, called s-multiplicity, that interpolates between Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity and Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity by comparing powers of ideals to the Frobenius powers of ideals. The function is continuous in $s$, and its value is equal to Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity for small values of $s$ and is equal to Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity for large values of $s$. We prove that it has an Associativity Formula generalizing the Associativity Formulas for Hilbert-Samuel and Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity. We also define a family of closures such that if two ideals have the same $s$-closure then they have the same $s$-multiplicity, and the converse holds under mild conditions. We describe the $s$-multiplicity of monomial ideals in toric rings as a certain volume in real space.


## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to investigate a function that interpolates continuously between HilbertSamuel multiplicity and Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity. First we define a limit that behaves like a multiplicity, then we normalize it to get a proper interpolation between the Hilbert-Samuel and Hilbert-Kunz multiplicities. This interpolating function, which we call $s$-multiplicity, is a single object which captures the behavior of both multiplicities as well as a family of multiplicity-like functions between them. Many of the similarities between the two multiplicities, such as the existence of an Associativity Formula and the connection to a closure, can be interpreted as special cases of a more general statement about $s$-multiplicity.

Throughout this paper, all rings will be assumed noetherian. By $\lambda_{R}(M)$ we mean the length of $M$ as an $R$-module. When the ring $R$ is understood we may write $\lambda(M)$ for $\lambda_{R}(M)$.

Definition 1.1. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring of dimension $d, I \subseteq R$ an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal of $R$, and $M$ a finitely generated $R$-module. The Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity of $M$ with respect to $I$ is defined to be

$$
e(I ; M)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d!\cdot \lambda\left(M / I^{n} M\right)}{n^{d}}
$$

We often write $e(I)$ for $e(I ; R)$.
Many properties of the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity are well known. For instance, if $I \subseteq J$ are ideals that have the same integral closure, then $e(I)=e(J)$, and if $R$ is formally equidimensional, then the converse holds Ree61. The Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity is always a positive integer, $e(\mathfrak{m})=1$ if $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is regular, and if $R$ is formally equidimensional the converse holds [Nag62, Theorem 40.6].

When $R$ is of prime characteristic $p>0$, the Frobenius map $F: R \rightarrow R$ taking $r \mapsto r^{p}$ is a ring homomorphism, and so we may treat $R$ as a module over itself via the action $r \cdot x=r^{p} x$. In this case, we often denote the module $R$ with this new action by $F_{*} R$, and elements of this module by $F_{*} r$ for $r \in R$. An $R$-module homomorphism $\varphi: F_{*} R \rightarrow R$ is called a $p^{-1}$-linear map, and has the property that for any $r, x \in R, r \varphi\left(F_{*} x\right)=\varphi\left(F_{*}\left(r^{p} x\right)\right)$. If $F_{*} R$ is finitely generated as an $R$-module, we say the ring $R$ is $F$-finite. For an ideal $I \subseteq R$ and $e \in \mathbb{N}$, the $e$ th Frobenius power of $I$, denoted $I^{\left[p^{e}\right]}$, is the ideal generated by the $p^{e}$ th powers of the elements of $I$, equivalently by the $p^{e}$-th powers of a set of generators for $I$. For any $p^{-1}$-linear $\operatorname{map} \varphi$ and ideal $I \subseteq R, \varphi\left(F_{*}\left(I^{[p]}\right)\right) \subseteq I$.

When $R$ is a ring of positive characteristic, we can define a limit similar to the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity using the Frobenius powers of the ideal instead of the powers.

Definition 1.2. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring of dimension $d, I \subseteq R$ an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal of $R$, and $M$ a finitely generated $R$-module. The Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of $M$ with respect to $I$ is defined to be

$$
e_{H K}(I ; M)=\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda\left(M / I^{\left[p^{e}\right]} M\right)}{p^{e d}}
$$

We often write $e_{H K}(I)$ for $e_{H K}(I ; R)$.
The Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity has some properties similar to the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity. In particular, if $I \subseteq J$ are ideals that have the same tight closure, then $e_{H K}(I)=e_{H K}(J)$, and if $R$ is complete and equidimensional then the converse holds HH90, Theorem 8.17]. The Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity is a real number at least 1, though unlike the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity it need not be an integer. However, like the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity, $e_{H K}(\mathfrak{m})=1$ if $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is regular, and if $R$ is unmixed then the converse holds WY00, Theorem 1.5].

A brief outline of the paper is as follows: In Section 2, we prove the existence of the limit used to define the $s$-multiplicity and establish many of its fundamental properties that we will use throughout the paper. Of particular note are the results that the $s$-multiplicity is continuous in the parameter $s$ and the Associativity Formula for $s$-multiplicity. In Section [3) we examine the relationship between the $s$-multiplicity and the Hilbert-Samuel and Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity and compute the limit from Section 2 for regular rings, which allows us to finish the definition of the $s$-multiplicity. In Section 4, we define a collection of closures and prove that they have exactly the same relationship with $s$-multiplicity as integral closure (resp. tight closure) has with Hilbert-Samuel (resp. Hilbert-Kunz) multiplicity. In Section 5, we describe a method for computing the $s$-multiplicity of pairs of ideals in toric rings and use it to compute the $s$-multiplicity of the $A_{n}$ singularities and rational normal curves.
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## 2. The Multiplicity-Like Function $h_{s}(I, J ; M)$

We begin by considering a limit which combines aspects of the limits defining the Hilbert-Samuel and Hilbert-Kunz multiplicities. The idea is to take the colengths of a sum of ideals, one of which corresponds to the increasing Frobenius powers of an ideal $J$, and one of which corresponds to a subsequence of the powers of another ideal $I$. This subsequence will be determined by a real number $s$. We require that both of these ideals be primary to the maximal ideal of the ring they belong to so that at the extreme values of the parameter $s$ one of the two ideals will dominate the other. This guarantees that in the extremal cases we will get a limit related to either the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity of $I$ or the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of $J$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring of dimension $d$ and characteristic $p>0$, let $I$ and $J$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals of $R$, let $M$ be a finitely generated $R$-module, and let $s>0$. The limit

$$
\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda\left(M /\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) M\right)}{p^{e d}}
$$

exists.
To prove this we require a few results that will describe the generators of certain modules as $k$-vector spaces and establish some combinatorial facts which will allow us to effectively estimate the module lengths involved in the proof.
Lemma 2.2. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring containing its residue field, and let $M$ be an $R$-module of finite length. Let $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{t}\right\}$ be a set of generators for $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}\right\}$ a set of generators for $M$. Then
(i) $M$ is generated as a $k$-vector space by elements of the form $x_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots x_{t}^{b_{t}} m_{j}$, where $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{t} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$; and
(ii) If $I=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)$ is an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal of $R$ then $M$ is generated as a $k$-vector space by elements of the form $f_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots f_{m}^{a_{m}} g m_{j}$, where $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m} \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq j \leq n$, and $g$ is a generator of $R / I$ as a $k$-vector space.

Proof. (ii) By definition, $M$ is generated as a $k$-vector space by elements of the form $r m_{j}$ with $r \in R$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. For each such $r$, we have that $r=v+\sum_{i=1}^{t} r_{i} x_{i}$ for some $v \in k$ and $r_{i} \in R$, since $R=k \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ as a $k$-vector space. For each $i$, we may write $r_{i}=v_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{i j} x_{j}$ with $v_{i} \in k$ and $r_{i j} \in R$, and so

$$
r=v+\sum_{i=1}^{t} v_{i} x_{i}+\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq t} r_{i, j} x_{i} x_{j}
$$

We may repeat this process until every term either has a coefficient of the $x_{i}$ 's which is an element of $k$ or has a degree in the $x_{i}$ 's large enough that the term annihilates $M$ and so may be removed.
(iii) By part (ii), $M$ is generated as a $k$-vector space by terms of the form $f_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots f_{m}^{a_{m}} x_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots x_{t}^{b_{t}} m_{j}$ with $a_{i}, b_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix a set of $k$-vector space generators $\left\{g_{i}\right\}$ of $R / I$. Suppose that we have an element $\alpha=$ $f_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots f_{m}^{a_{m}} x_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots x_{t}^{b_{t}} m_{j} \in M$ with $x_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots x_{t}^{b_{t}} \notin\left\{g_{i}\right\}$. There exists $i$ such that $x_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots x_{t}^{b_{t}}-g_{i} \in I$, and so there exist $r_{1}, \cdots, r_{m} \in R$ such that $x_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots x_{t}^{b_{t}}-g_{i}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{m} r_{\ell} f_{\ell}$. Therefore,

$$
\alpha=f_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots f_{m}^{a_{m}} g_{i} m_{j}+\sum_{\ell=1}^{m} f_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots f_{\ell}^{a_{\ell}+1} \cdots f_{m}^{a_{m}} r_{\ell} m_{j}
$$

We know by part (ii) that $r_{\ell} m_{j}$ is a $k$-linear combination of terms of the form $x_{1}^{b_{1}^{\prime}} \cdots x_{t}^{b_{t}^{\prime}} m_{j^{\prime}}$, and so we have that $\alpha$ is a $k$-linear combination of terms of the form $f_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots f_{m}^{a_{m}} g_{i} m_{j}$ and $f_{1}^{a_{1}^{\prime}} \cdots f_{m}^{a_{m}^{\prime}} x_{1}^{b_{1}^{\prime}} \cdots x_{t}^{b_{t}^{\prime}} m_{j^{\prime}}$ with $\sum_{\ell} a_{\ell}^{\prime}=1+\sum_{\ell} a_{\ell}$. Continuing in this way, we may write $\alpha$ as a $k$-linear combination of terms either of the form $f_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots f_{m}^{a_{m}} g_{i} m_{j}$ for some $i$ or of the form $f_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots f_{m}^{a_{m}} x_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots x_{t}^{b_{t}} m_{j}$ with $\sum_{i} a_{i}$ arbitrarily large. Since $I^{n}$ annihilates $M$ for some $n$, we may throw out all the terms of the second kind, which finishes the proof.

Bounding the lengths of the ideals we are concerned with will involve some combinatorial calculations. For convenience we introduce some notation. For positive integers $d$ and $m$ and real number $r$, we set $S_{d}^{m}(r)$ to be the number of monomials in $d$ variables with degree less than $r$ and with degree in each variable less than $m$.

Certain properties of the numbers $S_{d}^{m}(r)$ are easy to see. First, if $r \geq 0$, then $S_{1}^{m}(r)=\min \{m,\lceil r\rceil\}$. Second, for $d>1$, we have that $S_{d}^{m}(r)=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} S_{d-1}^{m}(r-i)$. Indeed, if we denote one of the variables by $x$, then for $i=0,1, \ldots, m-1$, there are $S_{d-1}^{m}(r-i)$ monomials with degree exactly $i$ in $x$, degree less than $r$, and with degree in each variable less than $m$.

We will occasionally use a combinatorial description of the numbers $S_{m}^{d}(r)$, which is established in the following lemma. This result appeared in a more general form as [RS13, Lemma 2.5], though the method of proof was different.

Lemma 2.3. For positive integers $d$ and $m$ and real number $r$,

$$
S_{d}^{m}(r)=\sum_{i=0}^{d}(-1)^{i}\binom{d}{i}\binom{\lceil r\rceil-i m-1+d}{d}
$$

Proof. The number of monomials in $d$ variables, of degree less than $r$, where each of a given set of $i$ variables has degree at least $m$ is the number of monomials in $d$ variables of degree less than $r$-im, that is, $\binom{\lceil r\rceil-i m-1+d}{d}$. Thus the total number of monomials in $d$ variables of degree less than $r$ with degree in each variable less than $m$ is

$$
\binom{\lceil r\rceil-1+d}{d}-\sum_{i=1}^{d}(-1)^{i-1}\binom{\lceil r\rceil-i m-1-d}{d}
$$

by the inclusion-exclusion principle.
Our next lemma is a technical result on the behavior of the numbers $S_{d}^{m}(r)$ as $m$ and $r$ grow.
Lemma 2.4. If $f, g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are functions such that $f(n)-g(n) \leq c n+o(n)$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}, f(n) \geq g(n)$ for $n \gg 0$, and $u$ is a positive integer, then

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{d}^{u n}(f(n))-S_{d}^{u n}(g(n))}{n^{d}} \leq u^{d-1} c
$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on $d$. Suppose $d=1$, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough that $f(n) \geq g(n)$. If $u n \leq g(n)$ we have that

$$
S_{1}^{u n}(f(n))-S_{1}^{u n}(g(n))=0
$$

and if $u n>g(n)$ then

$$
S_{1}^{u n}(f(n))-S_{1}^{u n}(g(n)) \leq\lceil f(n)\rceil-\lceil g(n)\rceil \leq f(n)-g(n)+1
$$

Therefore

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{1}^{u n}(f(n))-S_{1}^{u n}(g(n))}{n} \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)-g(n)+1}{n} \leq c
$$

Now suppose that $d>1$. Then
$S_{d}^{u n}(f(n))-S_{d}^{u n}(g(n))=\sum_{i=0}^{u n-1}\left(S_{d-1}^{u n}(f(n)-i)-S_{d-1}^{u n}(g(n)-i)\right) \leq u n\left(S_{d-1}^{u n}\left(f(n)-i_{n}\right)-S_{d-1}^{u n}\left(g(n)-i_{n}\right)\right)$
where $i_{n}$ is the value of $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq u n-1$ that maximizes the expression $S_{d-1}^{u n}(f(n)-i)-S_{d-1}^{u n}(g(n)-i)$. By induction,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{d}^{u n}(f(n))-S_{d}^{u n}(g(n))}{n^{d}} & \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u n\left(S_{d-1}^{u n}\left(f(n)-i_{n}\right)-S_{d-1}^{u n}\left(g(n)-i_{n}\right)\right)}{n^{d}} \\
& =u \cdot \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{d-1}^{u n}\left(f(n)-i_{n}\right)-S_{d-1}^{u n}\left(g(n)-i_{n}\right)}{n^{d-1}} \\
& \leq u \cdot u^{d-2} c=u^{d-1} c .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. If $d=0$, then for large enough $e, I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}=0$ and so the limit is simply $\lambda(R)$. Suppose that $d \geq 1$. If $k$ is not infinite, we may replace $R$ by $S=R[X]_{\mathfrak{m} R[X]}$. For any $R$-module $N$, we have $\lambda_{R}(N)=\lambda_{S}\left(N \otimes_{R} S\right)$, and so we may assume without loss of generality that the ring $R$ has infinite residue field. Let $K$ be a reduction of $I$ generated by $d$ elements $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{d} \in R$, and let $w$ be the reduction number of $I$ with respect to $K$. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{t} \in R$ be a set of generators for the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Let $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n} \in M$ be a set of generators of $M$. Let $v \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K^{v} \subseteq J$. Let $q, q^{\prime}$ be varying powers of $p$. If $q^{\prime}>\frac{w+d}{s}$, then for sufficiently large $q$ we have that

$$
\left(K^{\left\lceil s q^{\prime}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[q^{\prime}\right]}\right)^{[q]} \subseteq\left(I^{\left\lceil s q^{\prime}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[q^{\prime}\right]}\right)^{[q]} \subseteq I^{\left\lceil s q^{\prime} q\right\rceil}+J^{\left[q^{\prime} q\right]} \subseteq K^{\left\lceil s q^{\prime} q\right\rceil-w}+J^{\left[q^{\prime} q\right]} \subseteq\left(K^{\left[s q^{\prime}\right\rceil-d-1}+J^{\left[q^{\prime}\right]}\right)^{[q]}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\lambda\left(\frac{M}{\left(K^{\left\lceil s q^{\prime}\right\rceil-d-1}+J^{\left[q^{\prime}\right]}\right)^{[q]} M}\right) \leq \lambda\left(\frac{M}{\left(I^{\left\lceil s q^{\prime} q\right\rceil}+J^{\left[q^{\prime} q\right]}\right) M}\right) \leq \lambda\left(\frac{M}{\left(K^{\left[s q^{\prime}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[q^{\prime}\right]}\right)^{[q]} M}\right)
$$

If we divide the first and last terms of this inequality by $q^{d}$, then the limit as $q \rightarrow \infty$ exists by Mon83, Theorem 1.8]. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q^{d}} \lambda\left(\frac{M}{\left(I^{\left\lceil s q^{\prime} q\right\rceil}+J^{\left[q^{\prime} q\right]}\right) M}\right)-\liminf _{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q^{d}} \lambda\left(\frac{M}{\left(I^{\left\lceil s q^{\prime} q\right\rceil}+J^{\left[q^{\prime} q\right]}\right) M}\right) \\
\leq & \lim _{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q^{d}}\left(\lambda\left(\frac{M}{\left(K^{\left\lceil s q^{\prime}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[q^{\prime}\right]}\right)^{[q]} M}\right)-\lambda\left(\frac{M}{\left(K^{\left\lceil s q^{\prime}\right\rceil-d-1}+J^{\left[q^{\prime}\right]}\right)^{[q]} M}\right)\right) \\
= & \lim _{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q^{d}} \lambda\left(\frac{\left(K^{\left\lceil s q^{\prime}\right\rceil-d-1}+J^{\left[q^{\prime}\right]}\right)^{[q]} M}{\left(K^{\left\lceil s q^{\prime}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[q^{\prime}\right]}\right)^{[q]} M}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
Q=\frac{\left(K^{\left\lceil s q^{\prime}\right\rceil-d-1}+J^{\left[q^{\prime}\right]}\right)^{[q]} M}{\left(K^{\left\lceil s q^{\prime}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[q^{\prime}\right]}\right)^{[q]} M} \cong \frac{\left(K^{[q]}\right)^{\left\lceil s q^{\prime}\right\rceil-d-1} M}{\left(\left(K^{[q]}\right)^{\left\lceil s q^{\prime}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[q^{\prime} q\right]}\right) M \cap\left(K^{[q]}\right)^{\left\lceil s q^{\prime}\right\rceil-d-1} M} .
$$

As an $R$-module, $Q$ is generated by elements of the form $f_{1}^{y_{1} q} \cdots f_{d}^{y_{d} q} m_{\alpha}$, where $\sum_{i} y_{i}=\left\lceil s q^{\prime}\right\rceil-d-1$ and $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, $Q$ can be generated as a $k$-vector space by elements of the form $f_{1}^{y_{1} q+z_{1}} \cdots f_{d}^{y_{d} q+z_{d}} g m_{\alpha}$ where $b_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i} \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{i} y_{i}=\left\lceil s q^{\prime}\right\rceil-d-1$, and $g$ is a $k$-vector space generator of $R / K$. Letting $c_{i}=y_{i}+\left\lfloor z_{i} / q\right\rfloor$ and $a_{i}=z_{i}-q\left\lfloor z_{i} / q\right\rfloor$, we have that $c_{i} q+a_{i}=y_{i} q+z_{i}$ and $a_{i}<q$, and so $Q$ can be generated as a $k$-vector space by elements of the form $f_{1}^{c_{1} q+a_{1}} \cdots f_{d}^{c_{d} q+a_{d}} g m_{\alpha}$ where $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$,
$a_{i}<q, \sum_{i} c_{i} \geq\left\lceil s q^{\prime}\right\rceil-d-1, g$ is a $k$-vector space generator of $R / K$, and $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$. However, if $\sum_{i} c_{i} \geq s q^{\prime}$ or $c_{i} \geq v q^{\prime}$ for some $i$, then the product above vanishes in $Q$. Therefore

$$
\lambda(Q) \leq q^{d} \cdot\left(S_{d}^{v q^{\prime}}\left(s q^{\prime}\right)-S_{d}^{v q^{\prime}}\left(s q^{\prime}-d-1\right)\right) \cdot \lambda(R / K) \cdot n
$$

From this we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q^{d}} \lambda\left(\frac{M}{\left(I^{\lceil s q\rceil}+J^{[q]}\right) M}\right)-\liminf _{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q^{d}} \lambda\left(\frac{M}{\left(I^{\lceil s q\rceil}+J^{[q]}\right) M}\right) \\
= & \limsup _{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left(q^{\prime} q\right)^{d}} \lambda\left(\frac{M}{\left(I^{\left\lceil s q^{\prime} q\right\rceil}+J^{\left[q^{\prime} q\right]}\right) M}\right)-\liminf _{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left(q^{\prime} q\right)^{d}} \lambda\left(\frac{M}{\left(I^{\left\lceil s q^{\prime} q\right\rceil}+J^{\left[q^{\prime} q\right]}\right) M}\right) \\
\leq & \lim _{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q^{d} \cdot\left(S_{d}^{v q^{\prime}}\left(s q^{\prime}\right)-S_{d}^{v q^{\prime}}\left(s q^{\prime}-d-1\right)\right) \cdot \lambda(R / K) \cdot n}{\left(q^{\prime} q\right)^{d}} \\
= & \frac{\left(S_{d}^{v q^{\prime}}\left(s q^{\prime}\right)-S_{d}^{v q^{\prime}}\left(s q^{\prime}-d-1\right)\right) \cdot \lambda(R / K) \cdot n}{\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{d}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since this holds for all $q^{\prime} \gg 0$, and by Lemma 2.4,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q^{d}} \lambda\left(\frac{M}{\left(I^{\lceil s q\rceil}+J^{[q]}\right) M}\right)-\liminf _{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q^{d}} \lambda\left(\frac{M}{\left(I^{\lceil s q\rceil}+J^{[q]}\right) M}\right) \\
\leq & \limsup _{q^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(S_{d}^{v q^{\prime}}\left(s q^{\prime}\right)-S_{d}^{v q^{\prime}}\left(s q^{\prime}-d-1\right)\right) \cdot \lambda(R / K) \cdot n}{\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{d}} \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the limit exists and the theorem is proved.
Definition 2.5. Let ( $R, \mathfrak{m}$ ) be a local ring of dimension $d$ and characteristic $p>0$, let $I$ and $J$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals of $R$, and let $M$ be a finitely generated $R$-module. For $s>0$, we set

$$
h_{s}(I, J ; M)=\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda\left(M /\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) M\right)}{p^{e d}}
$$

We will often write $h_{s}(I, J)$ for $h_{s}(I, J ; R), h_{s}(I ; M)$ for $h_{s}(I, I ; M), h_{s}(I)$ for $h_{s}(I ; R)$, and $h_{s}(M)$ for $h_{s}(\mathfrak{m} ; M)$. If we wish to emphasize the ring $R$, we will write $h_{s}^{R}(I, J ; M)$ or a similarly decorated variant.

We next establish some properties of $h_{s}(I, J ; M)$. We will use the next result repeatedly throughout the paper, often without explicit reference.

Proposition 2.6. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring of dimension $d$ and characteristic $p>0$, let $I$ and $J$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals of $R$, and let $M$ be a finitely generated $R$-module. The following statements hold:
(i) $h_{s}(I, J ; M) \leq \min \left\{\frac{s^{d}}{d!} e(I ; M), e_{H K}(J ; M)\right\}$.
(ii) If $\operatorname{dim} M<d$ then $h_{s}(I, J ; M)=0$.
(iii) If $s^{\prime} \geq s$ then $h_{s^{\prime}}(I, J ; M) \geq h_{s}(I, J ; M)$.
(iv) If $I^{\prime}$ and $J^{\prime}$ are ideals of $R$ such that $I \subseteq I^{\prime}$ and $J \subseteq J^{\prime}$, then $h_{s}\left(I^{\prime}, J^{\prime} ; M\right) \leq h_{s}(I, J ; M)$.
(v) If $I^{\prime}$ is an ideal of $R$ with the same integral closure as $I$, then $h_{s}\left(I^{\prime}, J ; M\right)=h_{s}(I, J ; M)$.
(vi) If $J^{\prime}$ is an ideal of $R$ with the same tight closure as $J$, then $h_{s}\left(I, J^{\prime} ; M\right)=h_{s}(I, J ; M)$.

Proof. (ii) For all $e \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]} \supseteq I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}$, hence

$$
\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda\left(M /\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) M\right)}{p^{e d}} \leq \lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left.\lambda\left(M / I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right.}\right\rceil M\right)}{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil^{d}} \cdot \frac{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil^{d}}{p^{e d}}=\frac{s^{d}}{d!} e(I ; M)
$$

Furthermore, for all $e \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $I^{\left[s p^{e}\right]}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]} \supseteq J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}$, hence

$$
\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda\left(M /\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) M\right)}{p^{e d}} \leq \lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda\left(M / J^{\left[p^{e}\right]} M\right)}{p^{e d}}=e_{H K}(J ; M)
$$

(iii) By Mon83, Lemma 1.2], $e_{H K}(J ; M)=0$ for any $M$ with $\operatorname{dim} M<d$, and so part (ii) gives us the result.
(iiii) For all $e \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]} \supseteq I^{\left\lceil s^{\prime} p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}$, hence

$$
\lambda\left(M /\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) M\right) \leq \lambda\left(M /\left(I^{\left\lceil s^{\prime} p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) M\right)
$$

(iv) For all $e \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $I^{\left\ulcorner s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\prime\left[p^{e}\right]} \supseteq I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}$, hence

$$
\lambda\left(M /\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) M\right) \leq \lambda\left(M /\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) M\right)
$$

(vi) It suffices to prove the case where $I^{\prime}=\bar{I}$, the integral closure of $I$. If $s>0$, then we have that, by part (iv) and [HS06, Proposition 11.2.1],

$$
0 \leq h_{s}(I, J ; M)-h_{s}(\bar{I}, J ; M)=\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{e d}} \lambda\left(\frac{\bar{I}^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}}{I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}}\right) \leq \lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{e d}} \lambda\left(\frac{(\bar{I})^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}}{I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}}\right)=\frac{s^{d}}{d!}(e(I)-e(\bar{I}))=0 .
$$

(vi) It suffices to prove the case where $J=J^{*}$, the tight closure of $J$. We have that, by part (iv) and HH90, Theorem 8.17],

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq h_{s}(I, J ; M)-h_{s}\left(I, J^{*} ; M\right) \\
& =\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{e d}} \lambda\left(\frac{I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+\left(J^{*}\right)^{\left[p^{e}\right]}}{I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right]}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}}\right) \leq \lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{e d}} \lambda\left(\frac{\left(J^{*}\right)^{\left[p^{e}\right]}}{J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}}\right)=e_{H K}(J)-e_{H K}\left(J^{*}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.7. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring of characteristic $p>0$, let $I$ and $J$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals of $R$, and let $M$ be a finitely generated $R$-module. The function $h_{s}(I, J ; M)$ is Lipschitz continuous.

Proof. Let $\delta>0$. The function $h_{s}(I, J ; M)$ is increasing by Proposition 2.6(iii), so we need only bound $h_{s+\delta}(I, J ; M)-h_{s}(I, J ; M)$ above in terms of $\delta$.

Let $d=\operatorname{dim} R$. If $d=0$ then $h_{s+\delta}(I, J ; M)=h_{s}(I, J ; M)=\lambda(M)$, so 0 is a Lipschitz constant for $h_{s}(I, J ; M)$. Suppose $d \geq 1$. We may assume that $R / \mathfrak{m}$ is infinite, and so we may assume that $I$ is generated by $d$ elements by replacing it with a minimal reduction by Proposition 2.6(vi). Let $I=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{d}\right)$, let $\mathfrak{m}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{t}\right)$, let $v \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I^{v} \subseteq J$, and let $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}$ be a set of generators for $M$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{s+\delta}(I, J ; M)-h_{s}(I, J ; M) & =\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{e d}}\left(\lambda\left(M /\left(I^{\left\lceil(s+\delta) p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) M\right)-\lambda\left(M /\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) M\right)\right) \\
& =\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{e d}} \lambda\left(\frac{\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) M}{\left(I^{\left\lceil(s+\delta) p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) M}\right) \\
& =\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{e d}} \lambda\left(\frac{I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right]} M}{\left(I^{\left\lceil(s+\delta) p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) M \cap I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right]} M}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The quotient module in the last line is generated as a $k$-vector space by elements of the form $f_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots f_{d}^{a_{d}} g m_{\alpha}$, where $\sum_{i} a_{i} \geq s p^{e}, g$ is a $k$-vector space generator of $R / I$, and $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$. However, if $\sum_{i} a_{i} \geq(s+\delta) p^{e}$ or $a_{i} \geq v p^{e}$ for some $i$, then the corresponding product vanishes. Therefore,

$$
\lambda\left(\frac{I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil} M}{\left(I^{\left\lceil(s+\delta) p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) M \cap I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil} M}\right) \leq\left(S_{d}^{v p^{e}}\left((s+\delta) p^{e}\right)-S_{d}^{v p^{e}}\left(s p^{e}\right)\right) \cdot \lambda(R / I) \cdot n
$$

and so, by Lemma 2.4 ,

$$
h_{s+\delta}(I, J ; M)-h_{s}(I, J ; M) \leq \limsup _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(S_{d}^{v p^{e}}\left((s+\delta) p^{e}\right)-S_{d}^{v p^{e}}\left(s p^{e}\right)\right) \cdot \lambda(R / I) \cdot n}{p^{e d}} \leq \delta \cdot v^{d-1} \cdot \lambda(R / I) \cdot n
$$

Hence $v^{d-1} \cdot \lambda(R / I) \cdot n$ is a Lipschitz constant for $h_{s}(I, J ; M)$.
Our most important application of Theorem[2.7 is the next result, which proves that $h_{s}(I, J ; M)$ is additive on short exact sequences. A direct consequence of this will be the Associativity Formula for $s$-multiplicity.

Theorem 2.8. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring of characteristic $p>0$ and let $I$ and $J$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals of $R$. If $0 \rightarrow M^{\prime} \rightarrow M \rightarrow M^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence of finitely generated $R$-modules, then $h_{s}(I, J ; M)=$ $h_{s}\left(I, J ; M^{\prime}\right)+h_{s}\left(I, J ; M^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

Proof. Let $d=\operatorname{dim} R$, let $m$ be the minimal number of generators of $I$, and fix $e \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $e^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$
I^{\left\lceil\left(s+m / p^{e}\right) p^{e+e^{\prime}}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e+e^{\prime}}\right]} \subseteq\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right)^{\left[p^{e^{\prime}}\right]} \subseteq I^{\left\lceil s p^{e+e^{\prime}}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e+e^{\prime}}\right]}
$$

By Mon83, Theorem 1.6], we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda\left(\frac{M^{\prime}}{\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e+e^{\prime}}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e+e^{\prime}}\right]}\right) M^{\prime}}\right)+\lambda\left(\frac{M^{\prime \prime}}{\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e+e^{\prime}}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{\left.e+e^{\prime}\right]}\right]}\right) M^{\prime \prime}}\right) \\
\leq & \lambda\left(\frac{M^{\prime}}{\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right)^{\left[p^{\left.e^{\prime}\right]}\right.} M^{\prime}}\right)+\lambda\left(\frac{M^{\prime \prime}}{\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right)^{\left[p^{\left.e^{\prime}\right]}\right.} M^{\prime \prime}}\right) \\
= & \lambda\left(\frac{M}{\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right)^{\left[p^{\left.e^{\prime}\right]}\right.} M}\right)+O\left(p^{e^{\prime}(d-1)}\right) \\
\leq & \lambda\left(\frac{M}{\left(I^{\left\lceil\left(s+m / p^{e}\right) p^{e+e^{\prime}}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{\left.e+e^{\prime}\right]}\right]}\right) M}\right)+O\left(p^{e^{\prime}(d-1)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing by $p^{\left(e+e^{\prime}\right) d}$ and taking the limit as $e^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that

$$
h_{s}\left(I, J ; M^{\prime}\right)+h_{s}\left(I, J ; M^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq h_{s+m / p^{e}}(I, J ; M) .
$$

This holds for all $e$, and so $h_{s}\left(I, J ; M^{\prime}\right)+h_{s}\left(I, J ; M^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq h_{s}(I, J ; M)$ since by Theorem 2.7, $h_{s}(I, J ; M)$ is continuous in $s$.

For the other inequality, note that for any $e \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence

$$
\frac{M^{\prime}}{\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right]}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) M^{\prime}} \rightarrow \frac{M}{\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) M} \rightarrow \frac{M^{\prime \prime}}{\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) M^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact, whence

$$
\lambda\left(\frac{M^{\prime}}{\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) M^{\prime}}\right)+\lambda\left(\frac{M^{\prime \prime}}{\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) M^{\prime \prime}}\right) \geq \lambda\left(\frac{M}{\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) M}\right)
$$

Therefore $h_{s}\left(I, J ; M^{\prime}\right)+h_{s}\left(I, J ; M^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq h_{s}(I, J ; M)$.
The additivity of $h_{s}(I, J ; M)$ on short exact sequences is exactly what we need to prove the Associativity Formula for $s$-multiplicity. This proof follows the proof in Nag62, Theorem 23.5] for the Associativity Formula for Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity.

Theorem 2.9 (The Associativity Formula). Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring of characteristic $p>0$, let $I$ and $J$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals of $R$, and let $M$ be a finitely generated $R$-module. We have that

$$
h_{s}^{R}(I, J ; M)=\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Assh} R} h_{s}^{R / \mathfrak{p}}(I(R / \mathfrak{p}), J(R / \mathfrak{p})) \lambda_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left(M_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)
$$

where Assh $R=\{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \mid \operatorname{dim} R / \mathfrak{p}=\operatorname{dim} R\}$.
Proof. We proceed by induction on $\sigma(M)=\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Assh} R} \lambda_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left(M_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$. If $\sigma(M)=0$, then $\operatorname{dim} M<\operatorname{dim} R$ and so $h_{s}^{R}(I, J ; M)=0$.

Now suppose that $\sigma(M) \geq 1$ and fix $\mathfrak{q} \in$ Assh $R$ such that $\lambda_{R_{\mathfrak{q}}}\left(M_{\mathfrak{q}}\right) \geq 1$. Then $\mathfrak{q}=\left(0:_{R} x\right)$ for some $x \in M$ and so we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow R / \mathfrak{q} \rightarrow M \rightarrow M / R x \rightarrow 0
$$

We have that $\sigma(M / R x)=\sigma(M)-1$ and so by induction,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{s}^{R}(I, J ; M / R x) & =\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Assh} R} h_{s}^{R / \mathfrak{p}}(I(R / \mathfrak{p}), J(R / \mathfrak{p})) \lambda_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left((M / R x)_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Assh} R} h_{s}^{R / \mathfrak{p}}(I(R / \mathfrak{p}), J(R / \mathfrak{p})) \lambda_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left(M_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)-h_{s}^{R / \mathfrak{q}}(I(R / \mathfrak{q}), J(R / \mathfrak{q})) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that $h_{s}^{R}(I, J ; R / \mathfrak{q})=h_{s}^{R / \mathfrak{q}}(I(R / \mathfrak{q}), J(R / \mathfrak{q}))$ since then by Theorem 2.8 we will have the desired formula. This, however, is an easy computation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{s}^{R}(I, J ; R / \mathfrak{q}) & =\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{e d}} \lambda_{R}\left(\frac{R / \mathfrak{q}}{\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right]}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) R / \mathfrak{q}}\right) \\
& =\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{e d}} \lambda_{R / \mathfrak{q}}\left(\frac{R / \mathfrak{q}}{(I(R / \mathfrak{q}))^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right]}+(J(R / \mathfrak{q}))^{\left[p^{e}\right]}}\right)=h_{s}^{R / \mathfrak{q}}(I(R / \mathfrak{q}), J(R / \mathfrak{q}))
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. $s$-Multiplicity

The behavior of $h_{s}(I, J ; M)$ is related to two thresholds concerning the interactions between powers and Frobenius powers of ideals.

Definition 3.1. (MTW05, DNBP16) Let $R$ be a ring of characteristic $p>0$, and let $I, J$ be ideals of $R$. For $e \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$
\nu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e}\right)=\sup \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid I^{n} \nsubseteq J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \mu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e}\right)=\inf \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid J^{\left[p^{e}\right]} \nsubseteq I^{n}\right\}
$$

The $F$-threshold of $I$ with respect to $J$ is $c_{J}(I)=\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\nu_{J}^{I}(e)}{p^{e}}$. Similarly, we set $b_{J}(I)=\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_{J}^{I}(e)}{p^{e}}$.
Lemma 3.2. Let $R$ be a ring of characteristic $p>0$, and let $I, J$ be ideals of $R$. The limits defining $c_{J}(I)$ and $b_{J}(I)$ are defined. Furthermore, if $I \nsubseteq \sqrt{J}$ then $c_{J}(I)=\infty$, if $J=R$ then $c_{J}(I)=-\infty$, and if $I \subseteq \sqrt{J} \neq R$ then $0 \leq c_{J}(I)<\infty$. Similarly, if $J \nsubseteq \sqrt{I}$, then $b_{J}(I)=0$, if $I=R$ then $b_{J}(I)=\infty$, and if $J \subseteq \sqrt{I} \neq R$ then $b_{J}(I)>0$. If $I \nsubseteq \sqrt{0}, I \subseteq \sqrt{J}, J \subseteq \sqrt{I}$, and $I$ is contained in the Jacobson radical of $R$, then $b_{J}(I) \leq c_{J}(I)$.

Proof. If $I \nsubseteq \sqrt{J}$, then $\nu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e}\right)=\infty$ for all $e$ and so $c_{J}(I)=\infty$. If $J=R$ then $\nu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e}\right)=-\infty$ for all $e$ and so $c_{J}(I)=-\infty$. Suppose $1 \subseteq \sqrt{J} \neq R$, so that for all $e, 0 \leq \nu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e}\right)$ and so $c_{J}(I) \geq 0$. That $c_{J}(I)$ exists in the case $I \subseteq \sqrt{J}$ is DNBP16. Theorem 3.4], the proof of which also shows that $c_{J}(I)<\infty$ in this case.

If $J \nsubseteq \sqrt{I}$, then $\mu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e}\right)=1$ for all $e$ and so $b_{J}(I)=0$. If $I=R$, then $\mu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e}\right)=\infty$ for all $e$, and so $b_{J}(I)=\infty$. Suppose that $J \subseteq \sqrt{I} \neq R$. The proof of the existence of $b_{J}(I)$ in this case is nearly identical to that of the existence of $c_{J}(I)$. Let $e, e^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$. We have that $J^{\left[p^{e+e^{\prime}}\right]}=\left(J^{\left[p^{\left.e^{\prime}\right]}\right]}\right)^{\left[p^{e}\right]} \subseteq\left(I^{\mu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e^{\prime}}\right)-1}\right)^{\left[p^{e}\right]} \subseteq$ $I^{p^{e} \mu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e^{\prime}}\right)-p^{e}}$, and so $\mu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e+e^{\prime}}\right)>p^{e} \mu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e^{\prime}}\right)-p^{e}$. Therefore,

$$
\liminf _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e}\right)}{p^{e}}=\liminf _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e+e^{\prime}}\right)}{p^{e+e^{\prime}}} \geq \lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e^{\prime}}\right)-1}{p^{e^{\prime}}}=\frac{\mu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e^{\prime}}\right)-1}{p^{e^{\prime}}}
$$

Hence $\liminf _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e}\right)}{p^{e}} \geq \limsup _{e^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e^{\prime}}\right)-1}{p^{e^{\prime}}}=\limsup _{e^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e^{\prime}}\right)}{p^{e^{\prime}}}$ and so the limit defining $b_{J}(I)$ exists. Since $J \subseteq \sqrt{I}$, there exists $e \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $J^{\left[p^{e}\right]} \subseteq I$, and so $\mu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e}\right) \geq 2$. Hence, $b_{J}(I) \geq \frac{\mu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e}\right)-1}{p^{e}}>0$.

For the last statement, suppose $I \nsubseteq \sqrt{0}, I \subseteq \sqrt{J}, J \subseteq \sqrt{I}$, and $I$ is in the Jacobson radical of $R$. For $e \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that $I^{\nu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e}\right)+1} \subseteq J^{\left[p^{e}\right]} \subseteq I^{\mu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e}\right)-1}$. By Nakayama's Lemma, we have that $\nu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e}\right)+1 \geq \mu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e}\right)-1$. Therefore,

$$
c_{J}(I)=\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\nu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e}\right)}{p^{e}} \geq \lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e}\right)-2}{p^{e}}=b_{J}(I) .
$$

Lemma 3.3. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring of dimension $d$ and characteristic $p>0$, let $I$ and $J$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals of $R$, and let $M$ be a finitely generated $R$-module.
(1) If $s \leq b_{J}(I)$ then $h_{s}(I, J ; M)=\frac{s^{d}}{d!} e(I ; M)$.
(2) If $s \geq c_{J}(I)$ then $h_{s}(I, J ; M)=e_{H K}(J ; M)$.

Proof. If $s<b_{J}(I)$, then for infinitely many $e \in \mathbb{N}, \mu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e}\right)>\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil$, and so $J^{\left[p^{e}\right]} \subseteq I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{s}(I, J ; M) & =\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda\left(M /\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) M\right)}{p^{e d}} \\
& =\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda\left(M / I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil} M\right)}{p^{e d}}=\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda\left(M / I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil} M\right)}{\left(\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil\right)^{d}} \cdot \frac{\left(\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil\right)^{d}}{p^{e d}}=\frac{e(I ; M) s^{d}}{d!} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $s>c_{J}(I)$, then for infinitely many $e \in \mathbb{N}, \nu_{J}^{I}\left(p^{e}\right)<\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil$, and so $I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right]} \subseteq J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}$. Therefore

$$
h_{s}(I, J ; M)=\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda\left(M /\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) M\right)}{p^{e d}}=\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda\left(M / J^{\left[p^{e}\right]} M\right)}{p^{e d}}=e_{H K}(J ; M)
$$

The continuity of $h_{s}(I, J ; M)$ gives the cases $s=b_{J}(I)$ and $s=c_{J}(I)$.
When $s$ is large, then $h_{s}(I, J ; M)$ precisely equals $e_{H K}(J)$, while when $s$ is small it equals a well-understood multiple of $e(I)$ depending only on $s$ and the dimension of the ring. Hence, in order to properly interpolate between the two functions we need a normalizing factor that will take this difference in behavior into account. To determine a good candidate for this factor, we look at one of the most notable properties of $e(-)$ and $e_{H K}(-)$, namely, if $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a regular local ring of positive characteristic, then $e(\mathfrak{m})=e_{H K}(\mathfrak{m})=1$. To that end, we calculate $h_{s}(R)$ for power series rings over a field.
Proposition 3.4. If $k$ is a field of characteristic $p>0$ and $R=k\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]\right]$, then

$$
h_{s}(R)=\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor s\rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{i}}{d!}\binom{d}{i}(s-i)^{d} .
$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{m}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$. If $d=0$, then $\mathfrak{m}=0$, and so $h_{s}(R)=1=\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor s\rfloor}(-1)^{i}\binom{0}{i}(s-i)^{0}$. If $d \geq 1$, then by Lemma 2.3 we have that

$$
h_{s}(R)=\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{d}^{m}\left(s p^{e}\right)}{p^{e d}}=\sum_{i=0}^{d}(-1)^{i}\binom{d}{i} \lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{e d}}\binom{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil-i p^{e}-1+d}{d}=\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor s\rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{i}}{d!}\binom{d}{i}(s-i)^{d}
$$

Proposition 3.4 gives us our normalizing factor, and so we are ready to define the $s$-multiplicity.
Definition 3.5. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring of characteristic $p>0$, let $I$ and $J$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals of $R$, let $M$ be a finitely generated $R$-module, and let $s>0$. Then the $s$-multiplicity of $M$ with respect to the pair $(I, J)$ is defined to be

$$
e_{s}(I, J ; M)=\frac{h_{s}(I, J ; M)}{\mathcal{H}_{s}(d)}
$$

where $h_{s}(R)=\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor s\rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{i}}{d!}\binom{d}{i}(s-i)^{d}$. We may write $e_{s}(I, J)$ for $e_{s}(I, J ; R), e_{s}(I ; M)$ for $e_{s}(I, I ; M), e_{s}(I)$ for $e_{s}(I ; R)$, and $e_{s}(M)$ for $e_{s}(\mathfrak{m} ; M)$. If we wish to emphasize the ring $R$, we will write $e_{s}^{R}(I, J ; M)$ or a similarly decorated variant.

In order to describe the interpolating properties of the $s$-multiplicity, we need some additional facts about the functions $\mathcal{H}_{s}(d)$. First we describe the functions explicitly for $d$ up to 3 :

## Example 3.6.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{H}_{s}(0)=1 \\
& \mathcal{H}_{s}(1)= \begin{cases}s & \text { if } 0<s<1 \\
1 & \text { if } s \geq 1\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{H}_{s}(2)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} s^{2} & \text { if } 0<s<1 \\
\frac{1}{2} s^{2}-(s-1)^{2} & \text { if } 1 \leq s<2 \\
1 & \text { if } s \geq 2\end{cases} \\
& \mathcal{H}_{s}(3)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{6} s^{3} & \text { if } 0<s<1 \\
\frac{1}{6} s^{3}-\frac{1}{2}(s-1)^{3} & \text { if } 1 \leq s<2 \\
\frac{1}{6} s^{3}-\frac{1}{2}(s-1)^{3}+\frac{1}{2}(s-2)^{3} & \text { if } 2 \leq s<3 \\
1 & \text { if } s \geq 3\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Certain properties of $\mathcal{H}_{s}(d)$ are suggested by the above examples, and are confirmed in the next lemma.
Lemma 3.7. The functions $\mathcal{H}_{s}(d)$ have the following properties.
(i) If $d \geq 1$, then $\mathcal{H}_{s}(d)=\int_{s-1}^{s} \mathcal{H}_{t}(d-1) \mathrm{d} t$.
(ii) $\mathcal{H}_{s}(d)$ is nondecreasing.
(iii) $\mathcal{H}_{s}(d)$ is a Lipschitz continuous function of $s$.
(iv) If $s \geq d$, then $\mathcal{H}_{s}(d)=1$.
(v) If $0<s \leq 1$, then $\mathcal{H}_{s}(d)=s^{d} / d$ !.

Proof. (ii) This is clear for $d=1$, so suppose that $d \geq 2$. Let $q$ and $q^{\prime}$ be varying powers of $p$. We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{s}(d)=\lim _{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{d}^{q q^{\prime}}\left(s q q^{\prime}\right)}{\left(q q^{\prime}\right)^{d}} & =\lim _{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{q q^{\prime}-1} S_{d-1}^{q q^{\prime}}\left(s q q^{\prime}-i\right)}{\left(q q^{\prime}\right)^{d}} \\
& \leq \lim _{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q \sum_{i=0}^{q^{\prime}-1} S_{d-1}^{q q^{\prime}}\left(s q q^{\prime}-q i\right)}{\left(q q^{\prime}\right)^{d}} \\
& =\frac{1}{q^{\prime}} \sum_{i=0}^{q^{\prime}-1} \lim _{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{d-1}^{q q^{\prime}}\left(\left(s-i / q^{\prime}\right) q q^{\prime}\right)}{\left(q q^{\prime}\right)^{d-1}}=\frac{1}{q^{\prime}} \sum_{i=0}^{q^{\prime}-1} \mathcal{H}_{s-i / q^{\prime}}(d-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the above holds for all $q^{\prime}$, we have that

$$
\mathcal{H}_{s}(d) \leq \lim _{q^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q^{\prime}} \sum_{i=0}^{q^{\prime}-1} \mathcal{H}_{s-i / q^{\prime}}(d-1)=\int_{s-1}^{s} \mathcal{H}_{t}(d) \mathrm{d} t
$$

A similar argument, only using the inequality

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{q q^{\prime}-1} S_{d-1}^{q q^{\prime}}\left(s q q^{\prime}-i\right) \geq q \sum_{i=1}^{q^{\prime}} S_{d-1}^{q q^{\prime}}\left(s q q^{\prime}-q i\right)
$$

in the second line, shows that $\mathcal{H}_{s}(d) \geq \int_{s-1}^{s} \mathcal{H}_{t}(d) \mathrm{d} t$.
(iii) This is by inspection for $d=0$. For $d \geq 1$, let $\delta>0$, so by induction

$$
\mathcal{H}_{s+\delta}(d)-\mathcal{H}_{s}(d)=\int_{s-1}^{s} \mathcal{H}_{t+\delta}(d-1)-\mathcal{H}_{t}(d-1) \mathrm{d} t \geq 0
$$

(iii) We claim that the functions $\mathcal{H}_{s}(d)$ have Lipshitz constants at most 1 . This is trivial for $d=0$, so suppose $d \geq 1$ and let $0<\delta<1$. By induction,

$$
\mathcal{H}_{s+\delta}(d)-\mathcal{H}_{s}(d)=\int_{s-1}^{s} \mathcal{H}_{t+\delta}(d-1)-\mathcal{H}_{t}(d-1) \mathrm{d} t \leq \int_{s-1}^{s} \delta \mathrm{~d} t=\delta
$$

(iv) This statement is true for $d=0$ by inspection. Assume that $d \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{H}_{s}(d-1)=1$ for $s \geq d-1$. Then for $s \geq d$, we have that

$$
\mathcal{H}_{s}(d)=\int_{s-1}^{s} \mathcal{H}_{t}(d-1) \mathrm{d} t=\int_{s-1}^{s} 1 \mathrm{~d} t=1
$$

and the result follows by induction.
(v) This is clear from the definition.

Many properties of the $h_{s}(I, J ; M)$ immediately imply similar properties for the $s$-multiplicity. Some of these properties are listed in the next three corollaries. The first corollary makes explicit the interpolating properties of the $s$-multiplicity, while the second contains some auxiliary results listed for completeness. The third is the Associativity Formula for $s$-multiplicity.

Corollary 3.8. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring of dimension $d$ and characteristic $p>0$, let $I$ and $J$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals of $R$, and let $M$ be a finitely generated $R$-module.
(i) If $0<s<\min \left\{1, b_{J}(I)\right\}$, then $e_{s}(I, J ; M)=e(I ; M)$.
(ii) If $s>\max \left\{d, c_{J}(I)\right\}$, then $e_{s}(I, J ; M)=e_{H K}(J ; M)$.
(iii) If $R$ is a regular ring, then $e_{s}(R)=1$.

Proof. Statements (ii) and (iii) simply combine Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.7 For statement (iii), we may assume without loss of generality that $R$ is complete with residue field $k$, in which case $R \cong k\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]\right]$. The result then follows from Definition 3.5 and Proposition 3.4.

Corollary 3.9. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring of dimension $d$ and characteristic $p>0$, let $I$ and $J$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals of $R$, and let $M$ be a finitely generated $R$-module. The following statements hold.
(i) $e_{s}(I, J ; M)$ is a Lipschitz continuous function of $s$.
(ii) $e_{s}(I, J ; M) \leq e_{H K}(J ; M) / \mathcal{H}_{s}(d)$.
(iii) If $\operatorname{dim} M<d$ then $e_{s}(I, J ; M)=0$.
(iv) If $I^{\prime}$ and $J^{\prime}$ are $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals of $R$ such that $I \subseteq I^{\prime}$ and $J \subseteq J^{\prime}$, then $e_{s}\left(I^{\prime}, J^{\prime} ; M\right) \leq e_{s}(I, J ; M)$.
(v) If $I^{\prime}$ is an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal of $R$ with the same integral closure as $I$, then $e_{s}\left(I^{\prime}, J ; M\right)=e_{s}(I, J ; M)$.
(vi) If $J^{\prime}$ is an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal of $R$ with the same tight closure as $J$, then $e_{s}\left(I, J^{\prime} ; M\right)=e_{s}(I, J ; M)$.
(vii) If $0 \rightarrow M^{\prime} \rightarrow M \rightarrow M^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence of finitely generated $R$-modules, then $e_{s}(I, J ; M)=e_{s}\left(I, J ; M^{\prime}\right)+e_{s}\left(I, J ; M^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

Proof. (ii) We have that $e_{s}(I, J ; M)$ is constant, hence Lipschitz continuous, on $\left(0, \min \left\{1, b_{J}(I)\right\}\right]$. By Lemma 3.7, $\mathcal{H}_{s}(d)$ is Lipschitz continuous and nonzero on $\left[\min \left\{1, b_{J}(I)\right\}, \infty\right)$ and by Theorem 2.7, $h_{s}(I, J ; M)$ is Lipschitz continuous, and so $e_{s}(I, J ; M)$ is Lipschitz continuous on $\left[\min \left\{1, b_{J}(I)\right\}, \infty\right)$. Thus $e_{s}(I, J ; M)$ is Lipschitz continuous.

Parts (iii)-(vi) follow from Proposition 2.6. Part (vii) follows from Theorem 2.8 .
Corollary 3.10 (Associativity Formula for $s$-Multiplicity). Let ( $R, \mathfrak{m}$ ) be a local ring of characteristic $p>0$, let $I$ and $J$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals of $R$, and let $M$ be a finitely generated $R$-module. We have that

$$
e_{s}^{R}(I, J ; M)=\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Assh} R} e_{s}^{R / \mathfrak{p}}(I(R / \mathfrak{p}), J(R / \mathfrak{p})) \lambda_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left(M_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{Assh} R=\{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \mid \operatorname{dim} R / \mathfrak{p}=\operatorname{dim} R\}$.
Proof. For any $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Assh} R, \operatorname{dim} R / \mathfrak{p}=d$, and so

$$
e_{s}^{R / \mathfrak{p}}(I(R / \mathfrak{p}), J(R / \mathfrak{p}))=\frac{h_{s}^{R / \mathfrak{p}}(I(R / \mathfrak{p}), J(R / \mathfrak{p}))}{\mathcal{H}_{s}(d)}
$$

By Theorem 2.9, we have that

$$
h_{s}^{R}(I, J ; M)=\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Assh} R} h_{s}^{R / \mathfrak{p}}(I(R / \mathfrak{p}), J(R / \mathfrak{p})) \lambda_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left(M_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) .
$$

Therefore, dividing each term of this equation by $\mathcal{H}_{s}(d)$ proves the result.
An immediate application of Corollary 3.10 is the following result, which shows that the $s$-multiplicity of a module is in many cases determined by the $s$-multiplicity of the ring itself.

Proposition 3.11. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local domain of characteristic $p>0$ and let $I$ and $J$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals of $R$. If $M$ is a finitely generated $R$-module, then $e_{s}(I, J ; M)=e_{s}(I, J) \cdot \operatorname{rank} M$.

Proof. By the Associativity Formula, we have that

$$
e_{s}^{R}(I, J ; M)=e_{s}^{R}(I, J) \lambda_{R_{(0)}}\left(M_{(0)}\right)=e_{s}^{R}(I, J) \cdot \operatorname{rank} M
$$

The problem of finding general bounds for the value of the $s$-multiplicity seems to be difficult, but we have a few results along those lines.

Proposition 3.12. Let $\varphi:(R, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow(S, \mathfrak{n})$ be a local homomorphism of local rings of dimension $d$ and characteristic $p>0$ such that $\mathfrak{m} S$ is $\mathfrak{n}$-primary, let $I$ and $J$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals of $R$, and let $M$ be a finitely generated $R$-module. Then

$$
e_{s}^{S}\left(I S, J S ; M \otimes_{R} S\right) \leq e_{s}^{R}(I, J ; M) \cdot \lambda_{S}(S / \mathfrak{m} S)
$$

and we have equality if $\varphi$ is a flat ring homomorphism.
Proof. For any $R$-module $N$ of finite length, we have that

$$
\lambda_{S}\left(N \otimes_{R} S\right) \leq \lambda_{R}(N) \cdot \lambda_{S}(S / \mathfrak{m} S)
$$

Thus, for any $s>0$ and $e \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{S}\left(\frac{M \otimes_{R} S}{\left((I S)^{\left[s p^{e}\right]}+(J S)^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right)\left(M \otimes_{R} S\right)}\right) & =\lambda_{S}\left(\frac{M}{\left(I^{\left[s p^{e}\right]}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) M} \otimes_{R} S\right) \\
& \leq \lambda_{R}\left(\frac{M}{\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right]}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right) M}\right) \cdot \lambda_{S}(S / \mathfrak{m} S)
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing both sides by $p^{e d}$ and taking the limit as $e$ goes to infinity gives us that

$$
h_{s}^{S}\left(I S, J S ; M \otimes_{R} S\right) \leq h_{s}^{R}(I, J ; M) \cdot \lambda_{S}(S / \mathfrak{m} S)
$$

and dividing both sides by $\mathcal{H}_{s}(d)$ gives us the result for $s$-multiplicity.
If $\varphi$ is a flat ring homomorphism, then for any $R$-module $N$ we have that $\lambda_{S}\left(N \otimes_{R} S\right)=\lambda_{R}(N) \cdot \lambda_{S}(S / \mathfrak{m} S)$ and so we have equality everywhere.

Corollary 3.13. If $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring of characteristic $p>0$ and $I$ is an ideal generated by a system of parameters in $R$, then $e_{s}(I) \leq \lambda(R / I)$. Furthermore, equality holds if $R$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. We may assume that $R$ is complete. Let $d=\operatorname{dim} R$, let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}$ be a system of parameters generating $I$, and let $S=k\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]\right] \subseteq R$. Now by Proposition 3.12 and Corollary [3.8(iii),

$$
e_{s}^{R}(I) \leq e_{s}^{S}\left(\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)\right) \lambda_{R}(R / I)=\lambda_{R}(R / I)
$$

Furthermore, if $R$ is Cohen-Macaulay, then $R$ is a free $S$-module, hence is flat over $S$, so equality holds.

## 4. $s$-Closure

The $s$-multiplicity is related to closures, just as the Hilbert-Samuel and Hilbert-Kunz multiplicities are. We see this already in the guise of Proposition 2.6 and Corollary 3.9 with respect to integral and tight closure. The natural question to ask at this point is whether there are closures that are similarly related to the various $s$-multiplicities. In this section we define these closures and show that in sufficiently nice rings, we get a strong connection between the closure operators and the $s$-multiplicity. We use the notation $R^{\circ}$ to stand for the complement of the union of the minimal primes of $R$.

Definition 4.1. Let $R$ be a ring of characteristic $p>0$, let $I$ be an ideal of $R$, and let $s \geq 1$ be a real number. An element $x \in R$ is said to be in the weak s-closure of $I$ if there exists $c \in R^{\circ}$ such that for all $e \gg 0, c x^{p^{e}} \in I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+I^{\left[p^{e}\right]}$. We denote the set of all $x$ in the weak $s$-closure of $I$ by $I^{\mathrm{w} . \mathrm{cl}_{s}}$.

Remark 4.2. If $I$ is of positive height, then $x \in I^{\mathrm{w} . c l_{s}}$ if and only if there exists $c \in R^{\circ}$ such that $c x^{p^{e}} \in I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+I^{\left[p^{e}\right]}$ for all $e \in \mathbb{N}$. To see this, suppose that there exists $c^{\prime} \in R^{\circ}$ and $e^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $c^{\prime} x^{p^{e}} \in I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+I^{\left[p^{e}\right]}$ for $e>e^{\prime}$. Since $I$ is of positive height, there exists $c^{\prime \prime} \in\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e^{\prime}}\right\rceil}+I^{\left[p^{p^{\prime}}\right]}\right) \cap R^{\circ}$. Setting $c=c^{\prime} c^{\prime \prime}$, we have that $c \in R^{\circ}$ and $\left.c x^{p^{e}} \in I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right.}\right\rceil+I^{\left[p^{e}\right]}$ for all $e \in \mathbb{N}$.

For a given ideal $I, I^{\mathrm{w}^{. c l_{s}}}$ is clearly an ideal containing $I$. However, it is not clear that the weak $s$-closure is idempotent; that is, it is not clear that $\left(I^{\mathrm{w} . \mathrm{cl}_{s}}\right)^{\mathrm{w} . \mathrm{cl}_{s}}=I^{\mathrm{w} . \mathrm{cl}_{s}}$. If the ring is noetherian, we can construct an idempotent operation out of the weak $s$-closure by iterating the operation until the chain of ideals stabilizes.

Definition 4.3. Let $R$ be a ring of characteristic $p>0$, let $I$ be an ideal of $R$, and let $s \geq 1$ be a real number. The $s$-closure of $I$ is defined to be the union of the following chain of ideals:

$$
I \subseteq I^{\mathrm{w} . \mathrm{cl}_{s}} \subseteq\left(I^{\mathrm{w} . \mathrm{cl}_{s}}\right)^{\mathrm{w} . \mathrm{cl}_{s}} \subseteq\left(\left(I^{\mathrm{w} . \mathrm{cl}_{s}}\right)^{\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{ccl}}{ }_{s}}\right)^{\mathrm{w.cl}_{s}} \subseteq \cdots
$$

We denote this ideal by $I^{\mathrm{cl}_{s}}$.
Notice that, for $s=1$, the $s$-closure is integral closure, and for $s>c_{I}(I)$, the $s$-closure is tight closure. Furthermore, if $s \leq s^{\prime}$, then $I^{\mathrm{cl}_{s}} \supseteq I^{\mathrm{cl}_{s^{\prime}}}$ for all ideals $I$. Thus the $s$-closure interpolates monotonically between integral closure and tight closure as $s$ increases. One should note that new closures do in fact arise:

Example 4.4. Let $R=k[[x, y]]$, where $k$ is a field of characteristic $p>0$. Let $I=\left(x^{3}, y^{3}\right)$. Then

$$
I^{\mathrm{cl}_{s}}= \begin{cases}(x, y)^{3} & \text { if } s=1 \\ \left(x^{3}, x^{2} y^{2}, y^{3}\right) & \text { if } 1<s \leq \frac{4}{3} \\ \left(x^{3}, y^{3}\right) & \text { if } s>\frac{4}{3}\end{cases}
$$

In particular, if $1<s \leq \frac{4}{3}$, then $I=I^{*} \subsetneq I^{\mathrm{cl}_{s}} \subsetneq \bar{I}=(x, y)^{3}$.
Example 4.4 demonstrates that in some cases, an ideal $I$ will only have finitely many distinct $s$-closures for various values of $s$; in fact, this will occur whenever $R$ is local and $I$ is primary to the maximal ideal. However, even in regular rings there can be infinitely many distinct $s$-closures.
Example 4.5. Let $R=k[[x, y]]$, where $k$ is a field of characteristic $p>0$. Let $1 \leq s<s^{\prime} \leq 2$. Choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n>2 /\left(s^{\prime}-s\right)$, and let $I=\left(x^{2 n}, y^{2 n}\right)$. Then $x^{\lceil s n\rceil} y^{\lceil s n\rceil} \in I^{\mathrm{w} . \mathrm{cl}_{s}}$, since for any $e \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
2\left\lfloor\frac{2 n+\lceil s n\rceil p^{e}}{2 n}\right\rfloor \geq 2\left\lfloor 1+\frac{s}{2} p^{e}\right\rfloor \geq s p^{e}
$$

and so $x^{2 n} y^{2 n}\left(x^{\lceil s n\rceil} y^{\lceil s n\rceil}\right)^{p^{e}} \in\left(x^{2 n}, y^{2 n}\right)^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}$. However, $x^{\lceil s n\rceil} y^{\lceil s n\rceil} \notin I^{\text {w.cl } s_{s^{\prime}}}$, since for any $a \in \mathbb{N}$, letting $e \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p^{e} \geq a$, we have that

$$
2\left\lfloor\frac{a+\lceil s n\rceil p^{e}}{2 n}\right\rfloor \leq \frac{a+(s n+1) p^{e}}{n} \leq \frac{(s n+2) p^{e}}{n}=s p^{e}+\frac{2 p^{e}}{n}<s p^{e}+\left(s^{\prime}-s\right) p^{e}=s^{\prime} p^{e}
$$

and so $x^{a} y^{a}\left(x^{\lceil s n\rceil} y^{\lceil s n\rceil}\right)^{p^{e}} \notin\left(x^{2 n}, y^{2 n}\right)^{\left\lceil s^{\prime} p^{e}\right\rceil}$. Thus $I^{\mathrm{w} . \mathrm{cl}_{s}} \neq I^{\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{ccl}}{ }_{s^{\prime}}}$, and hence $I^{\mathrm{cl}_{s}} \neq I^{\mathrm{cl}_{s^{\prime}}}$ by Theorem 4.6. Thus we find that there are infinitely many distinct $s$-closures on $R$, one for every real number in the interval [1, 2].

If $I$ and $I^{\prime}$ have the same integral closure, then $e(I)=e\left(I^{\prime}\right)$, while if $I$ and $I^{\prime}$ have the same tight closure, then $e_{H K}(I)=e_{H K}\left(I^{\prime}\right)$. Our main theorem in this section is a similar result for $s$-multiplicity and $s$-closure.
Theorem 4.6. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring of characteristic $p>0$ and let $I$ and $J$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals of $R$ with $I \subseteq J$. If $J \subseteq I^{\mathrm{cl}_{s}}$, then $e_{s}(J)=e_{s}(I)$. If $R$ is an $F$-finite complete domain, then the converse holds and $I^{\mathrm{cl}_{s}}=I^{\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{cl}}{ }_{s}}$.

Proof. Let $d=\operatorname{dim} R$. Suppose that $x \in I^{\mathrm{w} . \mathrm{cl}_{s}}$, so that there exists $c \in R^{\circ}$ such that for all $e \gg 0$, we have that $c x^{p^{e}} \in I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+I^{\left[p^{e}\right]} \subseteq I^{p^{e}}$. Hence $x$ is in the integral closure of $I$ and so $h_{s}((I, x),(I, x))=h_{s}(I,(I, x))$ by Proposition 2.6(v). Now for large $e \in \mathbb{N}, c$ annihilates $\frac{I^{\left[s p^{e}\right]}+(I, x)^{\left[p^{e}\right]}}{I^{\left[s p^{e}\right]}+I^{\left[p^{e}\right]}}$. Let $S=R / c R$, so that for $e \gg 0$,

$$
\lambda_{R}\left(\frac{I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+(I, x)^{\left[p^{e}\right]}}{I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+I^{[p e]}}\right)=\lambda_{S}\left(\frac{I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+(I, x)^{\left[p^{e}\right]}}{I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+I^{\left[p^{e}\right]}} \otimes S\right)=\lambda_{S}\left(\frac{(I S)^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+((I, x) S)^{\left[p^{e}\right]}}{(I S)^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+(I S)^{\left[p^{e}\right]}}\right)
$$

And so, since $\operatorname{dim} S \leq d-1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{s}(I, I)-h_{s}(I,(I, x)) & =\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{e d}} \lambda_{R}\left(\frac{I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+(I, x)^{\left[p^{e}\right]}}{I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+I^{\left[p^{e}\right]}}\right) \\
& =\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{e d}} \lambda_{S}\left(\frac{(I S)^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+((I, x) S)^{\left[p^{e}\right]}}{(I S)^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right]}+(I S)^{\left[p^{e}\right]}}\right) \\
& =\left(\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{e(d-\operatorname{dim} S)}}\right)\left(h_{s}^{S}(I S, I S)-h_{s}^{S}(I S,(I, x) S)\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $h_{s}((I, x))=h_{s}(I)$ for any $x \in I^{\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{ccl}}}$, hence $h_{s}\left(I^{\mathrm{w} . \mathrm{cl}_{s}}\right)=h_{s}(I)$. By induction, $h_{s}\left(I^{\mathrm{cl}_{s}}\right)=h_{s}(I)$, hence $h_{s}(J)=h_{s}(I)$ and so $e_{s}(J)=e_{s}(I)$.

Now suppose that $R$ is an $F$-finite complete domain and $x \in R$ such that $e_{s}((I, x))=e_{s}(I)$. In this case $h_{s}((I, x))=h_{s}(I)$, and so $h_{s}(I,(I, x))=h_{s}(I, I)$, and therefore

$$
0=\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{e d}} \lambda\left(\frac{I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+(I, x)^{\left[p^{e}\right]}}{I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+I^{\left[p^{e}\right]}}\right)=\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{e d}} \lambda\left(R /\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+I^{\left[p^{e}\right]}:_{R} x^{p^{e}}\right)\right) .
$$

Let $\psi: F_{*} R \rightarrow R$ be a nonzero $p^{-1}$-linear map and let $\varphi(-)=\psi\left(F_{*}\left(f_{1}^{p-1} \cdots f_{n}^{p-1}\right) \cdot-\right)$, where $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$ is a generating set for $I$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(F_{*}\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e+1}\right\rceil}+I^{\left[p^{e+1}\right]}:_{R} x^{p^{e+1}}\right)\right) \cdot x^{p^{e}} & \subseteq \varphi\left(F_{*}\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e+1}\right\rceil}+I^{\left[p^{e+1}\right]}\right)\right) \\
& \subseteq \psi\left(F_{*}\left(f_{1}^{p-1} \cdots f_{n}^{p-1} I^{\left\lceil s p^{e+1}\right\rceil}\right)\right)+I^{\left[p^{e}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n} \geq s p^{e+1}$. Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\lfloor\frac{a_{i}+p-1}{p}\right\rfloor \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_{i}}{p} \geq s p^{e}
$$

and so $f_{1}^{p-1} \cdots f_{n}^{p-1} I^{\left\lceil s p^{e+1}\right\rceil} \subseteq\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}\right)^{[p]}$. Therefore $\psi\left(F_{*}\left(f_{1}^{p-1} \cdots f_{n}^{p-1} I^{\left\lceil s p^{e+1}\right\rceil}\right)\right) \subseteq I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}$ and so

$$
\varphi\left(F_{*}\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e+1}\right\rceil}+I^{\left[p^{e+1}\right]}:_{R} x^{p^{e+1}}\right)\right) \cdot x^{p^{e}} \subseteq I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+I^{\left[p^{e}\right]}
$$

that is,

$$
\varphi\left(F_{*}\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e+1}\right\rceil}+I^{\left[p^{e+1}\right]}:_{R} x^{p^{e+1}}\right)\right) \subseteq\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+I^{\left[p^{e}\right]}:_{R} x^{p^{e}}\right)
$$

Since this holds for all $e \in \mathbb{N}$, by [PT16, Theorem 5.5], we must have that $\bigcap_{e \geq 0}\left(I^{\left[s p^{e}\right\rceil}+I^{\left[p^{e}\right]}:_{R} x^{p^{e}}\right) \neq 0$, that is, there is some $0 \neq c \in R$ such that for all $e \in \mathbb{N}, c x^{p^{e}} \subseteq I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+I^{\left[p^{e}\right]}$. Therefore $x \in I^{\mathrm{w} . \mathrm{cl}_{s}}$.

Thus we have that if $R$ is an $F$-finite complete domain and $h_{s}((I, x))=h_{s}(I)$, then $x \in I^{\mathrm{w} . \mathrm{cl}_{s}}$. Therefore if $h_{s}(J)=h_{s}(I)$ then $J \subseteq I^{\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{cl}} \mathrm{l}_{s}} \subseteq I^{\mathrm{cl}_{s}}$. Furthermore, in this case, if $x \in I^{\mathrm{cl}_{s}}$, then $h_{s}((I, x))=h_{s}(I)$ and hence $x \in I^{\mathrm{w} . \mathrm{cl}_{s}}$. Therefore $I^{\mathrm{cl}_{s}}=I^{\mathrm{w} . \mathrm{cl}_{s}}$.

## 5. $s$-Multiplicity of Toric Rings

In this section we construct an equivalence between $s$-multiplicity for toric rings and certain volumes in Euclidean space. We will then use that equivalence to compute the $s$-multiplicity for a few toric rings. See [HJ17] for a more general treatment of the correspondence between limits in positive characteristic and volumes in real space.

Definition 5.1. Let $k$ be a field. By a normal toric ring of dimension $d$ over $k$, or simply toric ring, we will mean the ring $k[[S]]$, where $S=\sigma^{\vee} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}, \sigma^{\vee}$ is a cone in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ not containing any line through the origin, and $S$ inherits the semigroup structure of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$. Furthermore, we will require that the cone $\sigma^{\vee}$ be rational, that is, $\sigma^{\vee}=\operatorname{cone}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ for some $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$, and of full dimension, that is, the $\mathbb{R}$-span of $\sigma^{\vee}$ is all of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. We will denote the monomial elements of $k[[S]]$ by $x^{v}$ for $v \in S$, and if $\sigma^{\vee}=\operatorname{cone}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$, we may write $k\left[\left[x^{v_{1}}, \ldots, x^{v_{n}}\right]\right]$ for $k[[S]]$.

Definition 5.2. For a monomial ideal $I \subseteq k[[S]]$, where $k[[S]]$ is a toric ring, we denote by $\operatorname{Exp} I$ the set $\left\{v \in S \mid x^{v} \in I\right\}$ and by Hull $I$ the convex hull of $\operatorname{Exp} I$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Lemma 5.3. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})=(k[[S]],(S))$ be a normal toric ring of dimension $d$ over a field $k$ of characteristic $p>0$, where $S=\sigma^{\vee} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}$, let $I=\left(x^{u_{1}}, \ldots, x^{u_{n}}\right)$ be a monomial ideal of $R$. For any $m, e \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq 1$,

$$
(m+n) \text { Hull } I \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d} \subseteq \operatorname{Exp} I^{m} \subseteq m \text { Hull } I \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Exp} I^{\left[p^{e}\right]}=\left(p^{e} \operatorname{Exp} I+\sigma^{\vee}\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}
$$

Proof. Let $v \in(m+n)$ Hull $I \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}$. Then there exist $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that $a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n}=1$ and $v \in(m+n)\left(a_{1} u_{1}+\cdots+a_{n} u_{n}+\sigma^{\vee}\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}$. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $b_{i}=\left\lfloor(m+n) a_{i}\right\rfloor$. Since each $u_{i} \in \sigma^{\vee}$, we have that

$$
v \in(m+n)\left(a_{1} u_{1}+\cdots+a_{n} u_{n}+\sigma^{\vee}\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d} \subseteq\left(b_{1} u_{1}+\cdots+b_{n} u_{n}+\sigma^{\vee}\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}
$$

Since $b_{1}+\cdots+b_{n} \geq(m+n)\left(a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n}\right)-n=m$, we have that $v \in \operatorname{Exp} I^{m}$. This shows the first inclusion in the first statement.

A monomial $x^{v}$ is in $I^{m}$ if and only if $v \in\left(a_{1} u_{1}+\cdots+a_{n} u_{n}+\sigma^{\vee}\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ for some $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n}=m$. If this is the case then

$$
v \in\left(m\left(\frac{a_{1}}{m} u_{1}+\cdots+\frac{a_{n}}{m} u_{n}\right)+\sigma^{\vee}\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d} \subseteq m \operatorname{Hull} I \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}
$$

This shows the second inclusion in the first statement.
A monomial $x^{v}$ is in $I^{\left[p^{e}\right]}$ if and only if $v \in p^{e} u+\sigma^{\vee}$ for some $u \in \operatorname{Exp} I$. That is, $x^{v} \in I^{\left[p^{e}\right]}$ if and only if

$$
v \in \bigcup_{u \in \operatorname{Exp} I}\left(p^{e} u+\sigma^{\vee}\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}=\left(p^{e} \bigcup_{u \in \operatorname{Exp} I}\left(u+\sigma^{\vee}\right)\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}=\left(p^{e} \operatorname{Exp} I+\sigma^{\vee}\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}
$$

which proves the second statement.
Theorem 5.4. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})=(k[[S]],(S))$ be a normal toric ring of dimension $d$ over a field $k$ of characteristic $p>0$, where $S=\sigma^{\vee} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}$, and let $I$ and $J$ be $\mathfrak{m}$-primary monomial ideals of $R$. Then

$$
h_{s}(I, J)=\operatorname{vol}\left(\sigma^{\vee} \backslash\left(s \operatorname{Hull} I \cup\left(\operatorname{Exp} J+\sigma^{\vee}\right)\right)\right.
$$

where $\operatorname{vol}(-)$ is the standard Euclidean volume in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.
Proof. Let $e \in \mathbb{N}$. The length of $R /\left(I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right)$ is precisely the size of the set

$$
V_{e}=\left\{v \in S \mid x^{v} \notin I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil}+J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right\}=\left\{v \in S \mid v \notin \operatorname{Exp} I^{\left\lceil s p^{e}\right\rceil} \cup \operatorname{Exp} J^{\left[p^{e}\right]}\right\} .
$$

From Lemma 5.3, we have that

$$
\left(\sigma^{\vee} \backslash\left(s p^{e} \operatorname{Hull} I \cup p^{e} \operatorname{Exp} J+\sigma^{\vee}\right)\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d} \subseteq V_{e} \subseteq\left(\sigma^{\vee} \backslash\left(\left(s p^{e}+n\right) \operatorname{Hull} I \cup p^{e} \operatorname{Exp} J+\sigma^{\vee}\right)\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}
$$

Scaling every set by $\frac{1}{p^{e}}$ in each dimension, we get that

$$
\left(\sigma^{\vee} \backslash\left(s \text { Hull } I \cup \operatorname{Exp} J+\sigma^{\vee}\right)\right) \cap\left(\frac{1}{p^{e}} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{d} \subseteq \frac{1}{p^{e}} V_{e} \subseteq\left(\sigma^{\vee} \backslash\left(\left(s+n / p^{e}\right) \operatorname{Hull} I \cup \operatorname{Exp} J+\sigma^{\vee}\right)\right) \cap\left(\frac{1}{p^{e}} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{d}
$$

Since the volume of $\sigma^{\vee} \backslash\left(s\right.$ Hull $\left.I \cup \operatorname{Exp} J+\sigma^{\vee}\right)$ is equal to the volume of its interior, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{vol}\left(\sigma^{\vee} \backslash\left(s \operatorname{Hull} I \cup \operatorname{Exp} J+\sigma^{\vee}\right)\right) \\
= & \lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{e d}}\left|\left(\sigma^{\vee} \backslash\left(s \operatorname{Hull} I \cup \operatorname{Exp} J+\sigma^{\vee}\right)\right) \cap\left(\frac{1}{p^{e}} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{d}\right| \\
\leq & \lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{e d}}\left|V_{e}\right| \\
\leq & \lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{e d}}\left|\left(\sigma^{\vee} \backslash\left(\left(s+n / p^{e}\right) \operatorname{Hull} I \cup \operatorname{Exp} J+\sigma^{\vee}\right)\right) \cap\left(\frac{1}{p^{e}} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{d}\right| \\
= & \operatorname{vol}\left(\sigma^{\vee} \backslash\left(s \operatorname{Hull} I \cup \operatorname{Exp} J+\sigma^{\vee}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

And so we have equality throughout. Since $h_{s}(I, J)=\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{e d}}\left|V_{e}\right|$, the theorem is proved.
Theorem 5.4 allows us to calculate the $s$-multiplicity of toric rings. We compute two examples.
Example 5.5 ( $A_{n}$ Singularities). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 1$, and take

$$
A_{n}=k\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right]\right] /\left(x_{1} x_{2}-x_{3}^{n+1}\right) \cong k\left[\left[x, y, x^{-1} y^{n+1}\right]\right]
$$

The geometry of this toric ring is illustrated below. The shaded region corresponds to the cone $\sigma^{\vee}$, and the lattice points $(1,0),(0,1)$, and $(-1, n+1)$ correspond to $x, y$, and $x^{-1} y^{n+1}$, respectively.


We wish to calculate $e_{s}\left(A_{n}\right)$, so we need to calculate Hull $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\operatorname{Exp} \mathfrak{m}+\sigma^{\vee}$ where $\mathfrak{m}=\left(x, y, x^{-1} y^{n+1}\right)$. These are illustrated below.


Hull $\mathfrak{m}$

$\operatorname{Exp} \mathfrak{m}+\sigma^{\vee}$

There are three situations to consider: $s \leq 1,1 \leq s \leq 2-\frac{1}{n+1}$, and $s \geq 2-\frac{1}{n+1}$. When $s \leq 1$, $s$ Hull $\mathfrak{m} \cup \operatorname{Exp} \mathfrak{m}+\sigma^{\vee}$ is illustrated below:


From this we can compute $h_{s}\left(A_{n}\right)=s^{2}$ for $s \leq 1$.
Now suppose that $1 \leq s \leq 2-\frac{1}{n+1}$. The picture now becomes


Calculating the area of the unshaded region in $\sigma^{\vee}$ gives

$$
h_{s}\left(A_{n}\right)=-\frac{n+1}{n}(s-1)^{2}+2(s-1)+1
$$

when $1 \leq s \leq 2-\frac{1}{n+1}$.
Now consider the case when $s \geq 2-\frac{1}{n+1}$. In this case the picture becomes


And so we compute $h_{s}\left(A_{n}\right)=2-\frac{1}{n+1}$ when $s \geq 2-\frac{1}{n+1}$.
With this, we can write down the $s$-multiplicity for the $A_{n}$ singularities:

$$
e_{s}\left(A_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } 0<s<1 \\ \frac{-\frac{n+1}{n}(s-1)^{2}+2(s-1)+1}{2 \frac{1}{2} s^{2}-(s-1)^{2}} & \text { if } 1 \leq s<2-\frac{1}{n+1} \\ \frac{2-\frac{1}{n+1}}{\frac{1}{2} s^{2}-(s-1)^{2}} & \text { if } 2-\frac{1}{n+1} \leq s<2 \\ 2-\frac{1}{n+1} & \text { if } s \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

Example 5.6. Let $k$ be a field, and consider the $n$th 2-dimensional Veronese subring $V_{n}=k\left[\left[x, x y, \ldots, x y^{n}\right]\right]$. The geometry of this ring is illustrated below; the shaded region corresponds to $\sigma^{\vee}$ and for $0 \leq a \leq n$, the lattice points $(1, a)$ corresponds to the monomial $x y^{a}$.


Letting $\mathfrak{m}=\left(x, x y, \ldots, x y^{n}\right)$ we have the following pictures for Hull $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\operatorname{Exp} \mathfrak{m}+\sigma^{\vee}$.


Thus we have the following pictures for various values of $s$ :


With these figures we can caluclate $h_{s}\left(V_{n}\right)$ and $e_{s}\left(V_{n}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{s}\left(V_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{n s^{2}}{2} & \text { if } 0<s \leq 1 \\
-\frac{n^{2}}{2}(s-1)^{2}+n(s-1)+\frac{n}{2} & \text { if } 1 \leq s \leq 1+1 / n \\
\frac{n+1}{2} & \text { if } s \geq 1+1 / n\end{cases} \\
& e_{s}\left(V_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}n & \text { if } 0<s<1 \\
\frac{-\frac{n^{2}}{2}(s-1)^{2}+n(s-1)+\frac{n}{2}}{\frac{1}{2} s^{2}-(s-1)^{2}} & \text { if } 1 \leq s<1+1 / n \\
\frac{\frac{1}{2} s^{2}+1}{2}-(s-1)^{2} & \text { if } 1+1 / n \leq s<2 \\
\frac{n+1}{2} & \text { if } s \geq 2 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 5.7. The normalizing factors $\mathcal{H}_{s}(d)$ can be easily visualized as areas in space in the same manner. Indeed, since $k\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]\right]$ is a toric ring, we simply apply the construction above to calculate $h_{s}\left(\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)\right)$. For instance, when $d=2$, we have the following picture:
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