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#### Abstract

Let $\Gamma$ denote a finite digraph and let $G$ be a subgroup of its automorphism group. A directed cycle $\vec{C}$ of $\Gamma$ is called $G$-consistent whenever there is an element of $G$ whose restriction to $\vec{C}$ is the 1 -step rotation of $\vec{C}$. In this short note we prove a conjecture on $G$-consistent directed cycles stated by Steve Wilson.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\Gamma$ denote a finite digraph (without loops and multiple arcs). By a directed cycle in $\Gamma$ we mean a cyclically ordered set $\vec{C}=\left\{v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{r-1}\right\}, r \geq 3$, of pairwise distinct vertices of $\Gamma$ such that $\left(v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right)$ is an arc of $\Gamma$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{r}$ (the addition being mod $r$ ). Let $G$ be a subgroup of the automorphism group of $\Gamma$. Directed cycle $\vec{C}$ is called $G$ consistent, if there exists $g \in G$ such that $v_{i}^{g}=v_{i+1}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{r}$. In this case $g$ is called a shunt for $\vec{C}$. Clearly, $G$ acts on the set of $G$-consistent directed cycles: for $h \in G$, $\vec{C}^{h}=\left\{v_{0}^{h}, v_{1}^{h}, v_{2}^{h}, \ldots, v_{r-1}^{h}\right\}$ is $G$-consistent with a shunt $h^{-1} g h$.

Consistent cycles in finite arc-transitive graphs were introduced by J. H. Conway in one of his public lectures [3]. Since then a number of papers on consistent cycles and their applications appeared, see $[1,2,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11]$.

Observe that if $(u, v)$ is an arc of $\Gamma$ and $g \in G$ is such that $u^{g}=v$, then the orbit of $u$ under $g$ induces a $G$-consistent directed cycle $\left\{u, v=u^{g}, u^{g^{2}}, \ldots\right\}$ (provided that $u^{g^{2}} \neq u$ ). Steve Wilson [12] stated the following conjecture on consistent cycles.

[^0]Conjecture 1.1. Let $\Gamma$ denote a finite digraph (without loops and multiple arcs) and let $G$ be an arc-transitive subgroup of its automorphism group. Pick vertices $u$, $v$ of $\Gamma$, such that $(u, v)$ is an arc of $\Gamma$. For a $G$-orbit $\mathcal{A}$ of $G$-consistent directed cycles, let $B_{\mathcal{A}}$ denote the set of all automorphisms $g \in G$, such that $u^{g}=v$, and the orbit of $u$ under $g$ is in $\mathcal{A}$. Let $G_{(u, v)}$ denote the $G$-stabilizer of the arc $(u, v)$. Then the number of elements in $B_{\mathcal{A}}$ is independent of $\mathcal{A}$, and is equal to the order of $G_{(u, v)}$.

In this short note we prove the above conjecture.

## 2 Proof of the conjecture

In this section we prove Conjecture 1.1. We prove Conjecture 1.1 in two steps. In Proposition 2.1 we prove that $\left|G_{(u, v)}\right| \leq\left|B_{\mathcal{A}}\right|$, and in Proposition 2.2 we prove that $\left|B_{\mathcal{A}}\right| \leq$ $\left|G_{(u, v)}\right|$.
Proposition 2.1. With the notation of Conjecture 1.1, we have $\left|G_{(u, v)}\right| \leq\left|B_{\mathcal{A}}\right|$.
Proof. Since $G$ is arc-transitive, there exists a $G$-consistent directed cycle $\vec{C}$ in $\mathcal{A}$, which contains the $\operatorname{arc}(u, v)$. Let $g$ denote a shunt for $\vec{C}$. Let $G_{\vec{C}}$ denote the pointwise stabiliser of $\vec{C}$ and let $k$ be the index of $G_{\vec{C}}$ in $G_{(u, v)}$. Let $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}$ be representatives of cosets of $G_{\vec{C}}$ in $G_{(u, v)}$.
Observe that for each $1 \leq i \leq k$ and each $h \in G_{\vec{C}}$, the automorphism $g_{i}^{-1} g h g_{i}$ sends $u$ to $v$. Furthermore, the orbit of $u$ under $g_{i}^{-1} g h g_{i}$ is the directed cycle $\vec{C}^{g_{i}}$. Namely, since $g$ is a shunt for $\vec{C}$ and $h \in G_{\vec{C}}$, the image of $v^{g^{j} g_{i}}$ under $g_{i}^{-1} g h g_{i}$ is $v^{g^{j+1} g_{i}}$. Moreover, $\vec{C}^{g_{i}}$ is clearly in $\mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $g_{i}^{-1} g h g_{i} \in B_{\mathcal{A}}$.
We claim that if either $i \neq j$ or $h_{1} \neq h_{2}\left(h_{1}, h_{2} \in G_{\vec{C}}\right)$, then $\alpha=g_{i}^{-1} g h_{1} g_{i}$ and $\beta=g_{j}^{-1} g h_{2} g_{j}$ are distinct. Indeed, assume first that $i \neq j$. Note that $\vec{C}^{g_{i}} \neq \vec{C}^{g_{j}}$ since $g_{i}$ and $g_{j}$ are from different cosets of $G_{\vec{C}}$ in $G_{(u, v)}$. Moreover, $\alpha$ is a shunt for $\vec{C}^{g_{i}}$ and $\beta$ is a shunt for $\vec{C}^{g_{j}}$. Since $\vec{C}^{g_{i}} \neq \vec{C}^{g_{j}}$ (and since $\vec{C}^{g_{i}}$ and $\vec{C}^{g_{j}}$ have at least the arc $(u, v)$ in common), it follows that also $\alpha \neq \beta$. On the other hand, if $i=j$ and $\alpha=\beta$, then $h_{1}=h_{2}$. Therefore, if $h_{1} \neq h_{2}$ and $i=j$, then $\alpha \neq \beta$. This proves the claim.
It follows that $\left|B_{\mathcal{A}}\right| \geq k\left|G_{\vec{C}}\right|=\left|G_{(u, v)}\right|$.
Proposition 2.2. With the notation of Conjecture 1.1, we have $\left|B_{\mathcal{A}}\right| \leq\left|G_{(u, v)}\right|$.
Proof. Let $X$ denote the set of all $G$-consistent directed cycles in $\mathcal{A}$, containing the arc $(u, v)$. Clearly, $B_{\mathcal{A}}$ is exactly the set of all shunts of directed cycles from $X$. Since all directed cycles from $X$ have the $\operatorname{arc}(u, v)$ in common, every element of $B_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a shunt for exactly one directed cycle from $X$. Note also that $X$ is nonempty as $G$ is arc-transitive. We now define a mapping $\Psi$ from $B_{\mathcal{A}}$ to $G_{(u, v)}$ as follows.
Fix $\vec{C} \in X$ and a shunt $g_{\vec{C}}$ of $\vec{C}$. For each $\vec{D} \in X$ there exists an element of $G$ which sends $\vec{D}$ to $\vec{C}$. Pick such an element and denote it by $h(\vec{D})$. Composing $h(\vec{D})$ with an appropriate power of $g_{\vec{C}}$, we could assume that $h(\vec{D}) \in G_{(u, v)}$. For each $g \in B_{\mathcal{A}}$, let $\vec{D}(g)$ denote the unique directed cycle in $X$, for which $g$ is a shunt (see Figure 1). For $g \in B_{\mathcal{A}}$ define $\Psi(g)=g h(\vec{D}(g)) g_{\vec{C}}^{-1}$ and note that $\Psi(g) \in G_{(u, v)}$.
We now show that $\Psi$ is an injection. Pick $g_{1}, g_{2} \in B_{\mathcal{A}}$ and assume that $\Psi\left(g_{1}\right)=\Psi\left(g_{2}\right)$. Let $\vec{D}\left(g_{1}\right)=\left\{u, v, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right\}$ and $\vec{D}\left(g_{2}\right)=\left\{u, v, w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n-1}\right\}$. We first


Figure 1: Directed consistent cycles $\vec{C}$ and $\vec{D}$.
show that $\vec{D}\left(g_{1}\right)=\vec{D}\left(g_{2}\right)$. Since $\Psi\left(g_{1}\right)=g_{1} h\left(\vec{D}\left(g_{1}\right)\right) g_{\vec{C}}^{-1}=g_{2} h\left(\vec{D}\left(g_{2}\right)\right) g_{\vec{C}}^{-1}=\Psi\left(g_{2}\right)$, we have $g_{2}^{-1} g_{1}=h\left(\vec{D}\left(g_{2}\right)\right) h\left(\vec{D}\left(g_{1}\right)\right)^{-1}$. This implies that $g_{2}^{-1} g_{1}$ is in $G_{(u, v)}$. We claim that $v_{n-i}=w_{n-i}$ for $i=0,1, \ldots n-1$, where $v_{n}=w_{n}=u$. We prove our claim using induction on $i$. Note that our claim is true for $i=0$. Assume that our claim is true for $i=0,1, \ldots, t$, where $0 \leq t \leq n-2$. Note that $h\left(\vec{D}\left(g_{2}\right)\right) h\left(\vec{D}\left(g_{1}\right)\right)^{-1}$ fixes the arc $\left(v_{n-t}, v_{n-t+1}, \ldots v_{n-1}, u, v\right)$, and therefore also $g_{2}^{-1} g_{1}$ fixes this arc. But since

$$
v_{n-t-1}^{g_{1}}=v_{n-t}=v_{n-t}^{g_{2}^{-1} g_{1}}=w_{n-t-1}^{g_{1}}
$$

we have $v_{n-t-1}=w_{n-t-1}$, verifying the claim. It follows that $\vec{D}\left(g_{1}\right)=\vec{D}\left(g_{2}\right)$. But since $\vec{D}\left(g_{1}\right)=\vec{D}\left(g_{2}\right)$, also $h\left(\vec{D}\left(g_{1}\right)\right)=h\left(\vec{D}\left(g_{2}\right)\right)$. As $g_{1} h\left(\vec{D}\left(g_{1}\right)\right) g_{\vec{C}}^{-1}=g_{2} h\left(\vec{D}\left(g_{2}\right)\right) g_{\vec{C}}^{-1}$, it follows that $g_{1}=g_{2}$. Therefore $\Psi$ is an injection and so $\left|B_{\mathcal{A}}\right| \leq\left|G_{(u, v)}\right|$.
Corollary 2.3. With the notation of Conjecture 1.1, we have $\left|B_{\mathcal{A}}\right|=\left|G_{(u, v)}\right|$.
Proof. Immediately from Propositions 2.1 and 2.2.
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