Henry Ford Health Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons

Cardiology Articles

Cardiology/Cardiovascular Research

6-1-2022

Data of atrial arrhythmias in hospitalized COVID-19 and influenza patients

Qasim Jehangir

Yi Lee

Katie Latack

Laila M. Poisson

Dee Dee Wang

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.henryford.com/cardiology_articles

Authors

Qasim Jehangir, Yi Lee, Katie Latack, Laila M. Poisson, Dee Dee Wang, Shiyi Song, Dinesh R. Apala, Kiritkumar Patel, Abdul R. Halabi, Geetha Krishnamoorthy, and Anupam A. Sule



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in Brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib

Data Article

Data of atrial arrhythmias in hospitalized COVID-19 and influenza patients



Qasim Jehangir, MD^{a,*}, Yi Lee, MD^a, Katie Latack, MS^b, Laila Poisson, PhD^b, Dee Dee Wang, MD^c, Shiyi Song, BS^b, Dinesh R. Apala, MD^d, Kiritkumar Patel, MD^d, Abdul R. Halabi, MD^d, Geetha Krishnamoorthy, MD^a, Anupam A. Sule, MD, PhD^{a,e}

^a Department of Medicine, St. Joseph Mercy Oakland Hospital, Pontiac, MI, United States

^b Department of Public Health Sciences, Henry Ford Hospital, Detroit, MI, United States

^c Division of Cardiology, Center for Structural Heart Disease, Henry Ford Hospital, Detroit, MI, United States

^d Division of Cardiology, St. Joseph Mercy Oakland Hospital, Pontiac, MI, United States

^e Department of Informatics, St. Joseph Mercy Oakland Hospital, Pontiac, MI, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 24 March 2022 Revised 6 April 2022 Accepted 7 April 2022 Available online 14 April 2022

Dataset link: Data of Atrial Arrhythmias in Hospitalized COVID-19 and Influenza Patients (Original data)

ABSTRACT

Atrial arrhythmias (AA) are common in hospitalized COVID-19 patients with limited data on their association with COVID-19 infection, clinical and imaging outcomes. In the related research article using retrospective research data from one quaternary care and five community hospitals, patients aged 18 years and above with positive SARS-CoV-2 polymerase chain reaction test were included. 6927 patients met the inclusion criteria. The data in this article provides demographics, home medications, in-hospital events and COVID-19 treatments, multivariable generalized linear regression regression models using a log link with a

DOI of original article: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2022.02.051

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: qasimjehangir@gmail.com, qasim.jehangir001@stjoeshealth.org (Q. Jehangir), olive.csmu@gmail.com (Y. Lee), klatack1@hfhs.org (K. Latack), lpoisso1@hfhs.org (L. Poisson), dwang2@hfhs.org (D.D. Wang), sshiyi@umich.edu (S. Song), dineshapala@gmail.com (D.R. Apala), kiritpmd@gmail.com (K. Patel), arhalabi@hotmail.com (A.R. Halabi), geetha.krishnamoorthy@stjoeshealth.org (G. Krishnamoorthy), anupam.a.sule@stjoeshealth.org (A.A. Sule).

Social media: 🔰 @QasimJehangir (Q. Jehangir), 🍏 @Yi_Lee_Olive (Y. Lee), 🍏 @DeeDeeWangMD (D.D. Wang), 🍏 @GK_SJMO (G. Krishnamoorthy), 💆 @AnupamSule (A.A. Sule)

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2022.108177

2352-3409/© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Keywords: Atrial arrhythmias Heart failure COVID-19 Influenza Echocardiography Chest computerized tomography Poisson distribution (multi-parameter regression [MPR]) to determine predictors of new-onset AA and mortality in COVID-19 patients, computerized tomography chest scan findings, echocardiographic findings, and International Classification of Diseases-Tenth Revision codes. The clinical outcomes were compared to a propensity-matched cohort of influenza patients. For influenza, data is reported on baseline demographics, comorbid conditions, and in-hospital events. Generalized linear regression models were built for COVID-19 patients using demographic characteristics, comorbid conditions, and presenting labs which were significantly different between the groups, and hypoxia in the emergency room. Statistical analysis was performed using R programming language (version 4, ggplot2 package). Multivariable generalized linear regression model showed that, relative to normal sinus rhythm, history of AA (adjusted relative risk [RR]: 1.38; 95% CI: 1.11-1.71; p = 0.003) and newlydetected AA (adjusted RR: 2.02 95% CI: 1.68–2.43; p < 0.001) were independently associated with higher in-hospital mortality. Age in increments of 10 years, male sex, White race, prior history of coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, end-stage renal disease, presenting leukocytosis, hypermagnesemia, and hypomagnesemia were found to be independent predictors of new-onset AA in the MPR model. The dataset reported is related to the research article entitled "Incidence, Mortality, and Imaging Outcomes of Atrial Arrhythmias in COVID-19" [Jehangir et al. Incidence, Mortality, and Imaging Outcomes of Atrial Arrhythmias in COVID-19, American Journal of Cardiology] [1].

> © 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Specifications Table

Subject	Cardiology and Cardiovascular Medicine
Specific subject area	Cardiac Electrophysiology
Type of data	Table
	Figure
	Supplementary datasheet
How the data were acquired	Retrospective chart and data review of hospitalized COVID-19 patients and
	influenza patients meeting the inclusion criteria.
Data format	Raw
	Analyzed
Description of data collection	Data were collected for COVID-19 and influenza patients fulfilling the inclusion criteria. COVID-19 patient records were retrospectively examined. Data pertaining to vital signs, laboratory values, baseline demographics, comorbid conditions, in-hospital COVID-19 treatments, and in-hospital events were electronically extracted from the electronic medical record. Social history, pre-admission medications, chest computed tomography findings, and echocardiographic findings were extracted manually from the electronic medical record for a subset of cases. Likewise, influenza patents
	records were examined and data were electronically extracted from the EMR on baseline demographics, comorbid conditions, and in-hospital events.

Data source location	Henry Ford Health System and Trinity Health System, Michigan, United
	States of America
Data accessibility	Supplementary file is deposited in Mendeley.
	Repository name: Mendeley
	Data identification number: data: 10.17632/rm6rjpft8j.5
	Direct URL to data: https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/rm6rjpft8j/5
	Instructions for accessing these data: Retrospective data were obtained
	from the Epic electronic medical record covering the time period between
	January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2019 for patients hospitalized with
	influenza virus infection, and from March 1, 2020 through March 31, 2021
	for patients hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 virus infection. Patients were
	divided into 3 groups based on the history of atrial arrhythmias (atrial
	fibrillation and atrial flutter). A broad range of variables were collected for
	COVID-19 and influenza patients. The study had approval as a retrospective
	study from Henry Ford Health System and Trinity Health institutional
	review boards. Statistical analysis was performed using R version 4.0.4.
Related research article	Q. Jehangir, Y. Lee, K. Latack et al. Incidence, Mortality, and Imaging
	Outcomes of Atrial Arrhythmias in COVID-19, Am J Card.
	DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2022.02.051

Value of the Data

- These data are useful as they provide insights into large and diverse populations of COVID-19 and influenza patients with atrial arrhythmias (atrial fibrillation and atrial flutter) admitted at six hospitals in Southeast Michigan.
- Our data identify risk factors of new-onset atrial arrhythmias and mortality in SARS-CoV-2 infection. In addition, we report crucial clinical and imaging findings and in-hospital treatments of COVID-19 patients.
- The data is of value to clinicians as there is limited published data on the impact of atrial arrhythmias on chest computerized tomographic and echocardiographic findings in COVID-19 infection. Moreover, literature comparing atrial arrhythmias in COVID-19 to influenza patients is deficient.
- Our data present a broad range of outcomes, including mortality, new-onset heart failure, and myocardial infarction in atrial arrhythmia patients with COVID-19, and compare the results to a propensity-matched cohort of influenza patients. These data suggest that COVID-19 is associated with a higher risk of new-onset atrial arrhythmias than influenza.
- These data have important clinical implications as new-onset atrial arrhythmias confer an unfavorable prognosis in viral pneumonia, with mortality higher in influenza than COVID-19 infection. Cardiologists, infectious disease specialists, and internists may find this data useful as early identification and treatment of atrial arrhythmias can potentially improve outcomes in viral pneumonia.
- Researchers can use data from our multicenter registry to further investigate COVID-19 and influenza patients, compare our results with other studies and perform systematic reviews and meta-analyses.

1. Data Description

In this study, we collected data of patients who were hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 and influenza virus infections. The prevalance of atrial arrhythmias (AA) in COVID-19 is reported from 15.8 to 19.6% across academic centers in the United States [2–5]. In COVID-19 hospitalized patients, AA are independently associated with higher in-hospital mortality [3,5]. Moreover, respiratory viruses such as influenza, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus, and SARS-CoV-2



*Chi-square p<0.005

Fig. 1. In-hospital steroids and other COVID-19 specific treatment in three groups $^{\circ}$ Chi-square p < 0.005.

can be associated with decompensated congestive heart failure (CHF) [6–10]. The incidence of AA, mortality, and clinical outcomes associated with AA, including new-onset CHF, were compared between COVID-19 and influenza populations after propensity matching. Moreover, the imaging outcomes, including computerized tomography chest scan findings and transthoracic echocardiographic findings, were studied in COVID-19 patients.

Supplementary File: Analyzed data of COVID-19 and influenza patients admitted to the hospitals in Southeast Michigan. Patients were stratified into normal sinus rhythm (NSR), new-onset AA, and history of AA. Detailed data on baseline demographics, comorbid conditions, and in-hospital events are reported for COVID-19 and influenza cohorts. Moreover, data on vital signs, laboratory values, social history, pre-admission medications and in-hospital medical treatments, computerized chest tomography (CT), and echocardiographic data are reported for COVID-19 patients. The file can be found on Mendeley.

Fig. 1 – Figure showing COVID-19 treatments received during hospitalization including lopinavir, ritonavir, remdesivir, tocilizumab, hydroxychloroquine, azithromycin, and cumulative dosage of steroids including hydrocortisone, dexamethasone, and methylprednisolone. COVID-19 patients were stratified into three groups: NSR, new-onset AA, and AA. Remdesivir and hydroxychloroquine usage were more common in NSR compared to patients with history of AA and new-onset AA. Cumulative dosage of steroids was defined as methylprednisolone 40 mg twice daily or \geq 80 mg daily for \geq 3 or more days; dexamethasone \geq 6 mg daily for \geq 3 days, and hydrocortisone \geq 50 mg daily for \geq 3 days. If a patient on the aforementioned dosage of steroids died before reaching 3 days length of stay, they were included in the steroids regimen group as they likely had severe COVID-19 disease. Patients on the same dosage who were discharged before reaching 3 days length of stay likely did not have severe disease and were excluded from the steroid group. The use of all steroids including hydrocortisone, dexamethasone, and methyl-prednisolone was more frequent in patients with new-onset AA. The difference in the usage of lopinavir, ritonavir, and tocilizumab did not reach statistical significance.

Fig. 2 – Figure showing the propensity matching done between COVID-19 and influenza cohorts. A total of 6,927 COVID-19 and 14,174 patients were initially included. The patients were



Fig. 2. Flow diagram illustrating propensity matching between COVID-19 and influenza populations.

stratified into three groups in each cohort based on the status of AA. Matches were made within population, first between new-onset and history of AA groups, then between AA (new+history) and NSR groups. Finally matches were made between COVID-19 and influenza populations. After completing the propensity matching, the cohorts had 1632 patients each.

Table 1 – Table showing International Classification of Diseases–Tenth Revision (ICD-10) codes and other identification methods used in the study. The ICD-10 codes were used to identify the patients with influenza, AA, chronic heart failure (HF), and the outcomes including new-onset HF, ischemic stroke, transient ischemic stroke, myocardial infarction (MI), deep vein thrombosis (DVT), other arterial thromboembolism, pulmonary embolism, ventricular tachycardia (VT) and ventricular fibrillation (VF), acute renal failure (ARF), requirement for new renal replacement therapy (RRT), and minor and major bleeding (using International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis definition) [11]. Standardized text variables were also used to identify patients with AA, chronic and new-onset HF, requirement for RRT, along with transfusion and drop in hemoglobin $\geq 2 mg/dL$.

Table 2 – Table showing the baseline demographics and comorbidities of 14,174 hospitalized influenza patients. Patients were stratified into three groups based on the status of AA. Among the influenza cohort, 12,325 remained in NSR, 1,499 patients had history of AA, whereas 350 patients experienced new-onset AA. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used for age, and Chi-square tests were used otherwise. All the baseline characteristics were significantly different between the groups (p < 0.001).

Table 3 – Table showing the medical treatments received by COVID-19 patients with newonset AA and history of AA during hospitalization. Chi-square test or Fisher-exact test was used based on expected cell counts. A total of 67% of patients with new-onset AA and 65% patients with history of AA received rate controlling agents during hospitalization with no statistical difference between the groups. The usage of rhythm controlling agents was more frequent in patients with new-onset AA (25%) compared to history of AA (21%).

International Classification of Diseases-Tenth Revision codes and other identification methods used for study.

Variable	ICD-10 Codes & Identification Methods
COVID-19	Variable codes from consortium database
Influenza	J09, J10, J11
Paroxysmal atrial fibrillation	148.0
Persistent atrial fibrillation	I48.1
Chronic atrial fibrillation	148.2
Typical atrial flutter	I48.3
Atypical atrial flutter	I48.4
Unspecified atrial fibrillation	I48.91
Unspecified atrial flutter	148.92
New-onset heart failure	No history of heart failure in past medical history and heart failure in
	150.8 150.81 150.810 150.811 150.814 150.82 150.83 150.84 150.89 150.9
	111.0 113.0 113.2 125.5 142 143
Chronic heart failure	History of heart failure in past medical history I50.22 I50.23 I50.32 I50.33 I50.42 I50.43 I50.812 I50.813
Ischemic stroke	I63
Transient ischemic attack	G45 I65 I66
ST-segment elevation myocardial	121.0 121.01 121.02 121.09 121.1 121.11 121.19 121.2 121.21 121.29
infarction	121.3 122.0 122.1 122.8 122.9
Non-ST-segment elevation	I21 I21.4 I21.9 I21.A I21.A1 I21.A9 I22.2
myocardial infarction	
Deep vein thrombosis	182 182.211 182.221 182.291 182.5 182.7 182.A2 182.B2 182.C2 182.891
	I82.91
Other arterial thromboembolism	173.9 174 175
Pulmonary embolism	126
Ventricular fibrillation	149.0
Ventricular tachycardia	147.2
Acute renal failure	N17 N19 R94.4
Requiring new dialysis	Variable codes from consortium database
	No history of end-stage renal disease and N18.6, Z99.2
Transfusion	Variable codes from consortium database
Drop in hemoglobin $\geq 2 \text{ mg/dL}$	Identified from labs
Acute posthemorrhagic anemia	D62
Hemorrhage, not elsewhere	R58
classified	
Hemothorax	J94.2
Intracranial hemorrhage	160 161 162 169.0 169.1 169.2
Intraocular bleed	H43.1 H44.81 H21.0 H35.6 H11.3 H31.30 H31.31
Hemoperitoneum/retroperitoneal bleed	K66.1
Intra-articular bleeding	M25.0
Hemopericardium	I23.0 I31.2
Intramuscular bleeding and	M79.A + M79.81
compartment syndrome	
Gastrointestinal bleeding	I85.01 I85.11 K22.11 K22.6 K25.0 K25.2 K25.4 K25.6 K26.0 K26.2
	K26.4 K26.6 K27.0 K27.2 K27.4 K27.6 K28.0 K28.2 K28.4 K28.6
	K29.01 K29.21 K29.31 K29.41 K29.51 K29.61 K29.71 K29.81 K29.91 K31.811
	K55.21 K57.01 K57.11 K57.13 K57.21 K57.31 K57.33 K57.41 K57.51 K57.53
	K57.81 K57.91 K57.93 K62.5 K63.81 K92.0 K92.1 K92.2
Urogenital bleeding	N02 R31.0 R31.9 N95.0 N93.9 N50.1
Respiratory passages bleeding	R04

Table 4 – Table showing anticoagulant treatments received by COVID-19 patients during the hospitalization. Chi-square test or Fisher-exact test was used based on expected cell counts. A total of 76.6% patients with new-onset AA, 76.4% with history of AA, and 23.3% with NSR received therapeutic doses of anticoagulants. The usage of prophylactic anticoagulation was most common in patients with NSR (55.5% vs 27.7% with new-onset AA vs 15.2% with history of AA).

Baseline characteristics of influenza patients.

Variable	Normal Sinus Rhythm (N = 12,325)	New-Onset Atrial Arrhythmias (N = 350)	History of Atrial Arrhythmias (N = 1,499)	p-Value
Age (years)" Women Men Black White Other races Diabetes mellitus Hypertension Congestive heart failure Stroke/transient ischemic attack Deep vein thrombosis Pulmonary embolism Pulmonary disease Chronic kidney disease End-stage renal disease Cancer Autoimmune disease	$\begin{array}{c} 38.1 \ (<18, \ 63.1) \\ 7760 \ (63\%) \\ 4565 \ (37\%) \\ 4645 \ (40.5\%) \\ 5989 \ (52.2\%) \\ 845 \ (7.4\%) \\ 2634 \ (21.4\%) \\ 5167 \ (41.9\%) \\ 1165 \ (9.5\%) \\ 9111 \ (7\%) \\ 577 \ (4.7\%) \\ 383 \ (3.1\%) \\ 3502 \ (28.4\%) \\ 11206 \ (90.9\%) \\ 264 \ (2.1\%) \\ 11566 \ (12.7\%) \\ 432 \ (3.5\%) \end{array}$	75.6 [63.6, 85.1] 179 (51.1%) 171 (48.9%) 113 (33.2%) 214 (62.9%) 13 (3.8%) 137 (39.1%) 273 (78%) 134 (38.3%) 63 (18%) 16 (4.6%) 7 (2%) 119 (34%) 288 (82.3%) 16 (4.6%) 69 (19.7%) 18 (5.1%)	75.2 [65.6, 83.6] 790 (52.7%) 709 (47.3%) 293 (19.8%) 1132 (76.6%) 52 (3.5%) 613 (40.9%) 1353 (90.3%) 780 (52%) 383 (25%) 178 (11.9%) 110 (7.3%) 662 (44.2%) 1097 (73.2%) 70 (4.7%) 441 (29.4%) 98 (6.5%)	 <0.001
Hypothyroidism	897 (7.3%)	46 (13.1%)	309 (20.6%)	<0.001

* Median (interquartile range).

Table 3

Medical treatments including rate and rhythm control therapies for atrial arrhythmias during hospitalization.

In-hospital Medications	New-onset Atrial Arrythmias (N = 626)	History of Atrial Arrythmias (N = 779)	p-value
Pata control aconto*	(67%)	502 (CE%)	0.22
Rate control agents	420 (07%)	303 (03%)	0.52
Beta-blockers	395 (63%)	475 (61%	0.89
Esmolol	2 (0.32%)	1 (0.13%)	0.89
Calcium channel blockers	118 (19%)	111 (14%)	0.08
Digoxin	47 (8%)	57 (7%)	0.89
Rhythm control agents [§]	159 (25%)	160 (21%)	0.031
Amiodarone	147 (23%)	124 (16%)	0.0024
Flecainide	1 (0.16%)	10 (1.3%)	0.14
Propafenone	2 (0.3%)	1 (0.9%)	0.45
Sotalol	9 (1.4%)	20 (2.6%)	0.45
Dofetilide	1 (0.16%)	4 (0.51%)	0.45
Dronedarone	1 (0.16%)	0	0.45

* Include metoprolol, carvedilol, atenolol, propranolol, nadolol, timolol and pindolol.

§ Include diltiazem and verapamil.

Anticoagulation was not given in 13.1% of patients with new-onset AA, 9.2% with history of AA, and 2.8% with NSR.

Table 5 – Table showing three groups within the COVID-19 population after propensity matching between the three groups. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used for age, and Chi-square tests were used otherwise. A 1:1 match (history of AA vs new-onset AA) was used, followed by a 1:1 match between AA (new-onset AA + history of AA) and NSR groups. All *p*-values are insignificant indicating successful matching.

Table 6 – Table showing three groups within the influenza population after propensity matching between the three groups. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used for age, and Chi-square tests were used otherwise. Since there were few new-onset AA cases in influenza population, a 2:1 match (history of AA vs new-onset AA) was used, followed by a 1:1 match between AA (newonset AA + history of AA) and NSR. All p-values are insignificant indicating successful matching.

Anticoagulant treatment for 3 groups during hospitalization.

In-hospital Anticoagulants	Normal Sinus Rhythm (N = 2515)	New-onset Atrial Arrhythmias (N = 303)	History of Atrial Arrhythmias (N = 343)	<i>p</i> -value
Therapeutic anticoagulation	587 (23.3%)	232 (76.6%)	262 (76.4%)	<0.001
Apixaban	143 (5.7%)	105 (34.7%)	130 (37.9%)	0.052
Argatroban	9 (0.4%)	4 (1.3%)	2 (0.6%)	0.074
Bivalirudin	0	0	1 (0.3%)	0.368
Dabigatran	0	0	3 (0.9%)	0.050
Edoxaban	0	1 (33%)	0	0.368
Enoxaparin	168 (6.7%)	26 (8.6%)	28 (8.2%)	<0.001
Fondaparinux	9 (0.4%)	0	1 (0.3%)	<0.001
Heparin	384 (15.3%)	135 (44.6%)	90 (26.2%)	<0.001
Rivaroxaban	30 (1.2%)	13	37 (10.8%)	0.003
Warfarin	62 (2.5%)	28	45 (13.1%)	0.002
Prophylactic anticoagulation	1395 (55.5%)	36 (11.9%)	52 (15.2%)	<0.001
Enoxaparin	1507 (59.9%)	84 (27.7%)	76 (22.2%)	<0.001
Fondaparinux	1 (0.04%)	0	0	0.368
Rivaroxaban	5 (0.2%)	0	0	0.007
No anticoagulation	533 (2.8%)	35 (13.1%)	29 (9.2%)	<0.001

Table 5

Comparability of the groups according to atrial arrhythmias status with groups matched within the COVID-19 population.

	Normal Sinus Rhythm	New-onset Atrial Arrhythmias	History of Atrial Arrhythmias	
Variable	(<i>N</i> = 1,048)	(N = 526)	(N = 522)	p-value
Age (years)*	79 [69, 86]	77 [69, 84]	78 [70, 86]	0.270
Women	485 (46.3%)	234 (44.5%)	239 (45.8%)	0.797
Black	256 (24.4%)	126 (24%)	125 (23.9%)	0.929
White	761 (72.6%)	380 (72.2%)	379 (72.6%)	
Other races	31 (3%)	20 (3.8%)	18 (3.4%)	
Body mass index, (kg/m ²)				0.919
<18.5	94 (9%)	43 (8.2%)	41 (7.9%)	
18.5-24.9	264 (25.2%)	122 (23.2%)	123 (23.6%)	
25.0-29.9	322 (30.7%)	165 (31.4%)	165 (31.6%)	
≥30.0	368 (35.1%)	196 (37.3%)	193 (37%)	
Diabetes mellitus	394 (37.6%)	205 (39%)	190 (36.4%)	0.690
Hypertension	858 (81.9%)	421 (80%)	422 (80.8%)	0.666
Congestive heart failure	366 (34.9%)	197 (37.5%)	202 (38.7%)	0.299
Stroke/transient ischemic attack	147 (14%)	74 (14.1%)	70 (13.4%)	0.937
Deep vein thrombosis	66 (6.3%)	34 (6.5%)	36 (6.9%)	0.902
Pulmonary embolism	29 (2.8%)	11 (2.1%)	15 (2.9%)	0.672
Pulmonary disease	313 (29.9%)	154 (29.3%)	151 (28.9%)	0.922
Chronic kidney disease	161 (15.4%)	86 (16.3%)	82 (15.7%)	0.981
End-stage renal disease	46 (4.4%)	21 (4%)	21 (4%)	
Cancer	224 (21.4%)	121 (23%)	111 (21.3%)	0.724
Autoimmune disease	43 (4.1%)	22 (4.2%)	22 (4.2%)	0.994
Hyperthyroidism	30 (2.9%)	16 (3%)	15 (2.9%)	0.979
Hypothyroidism	114 (10.9%)	60 (11.4%)	61 (11.7%)	0.880

* Median (interquartile range).

Table 7 – Table showing COVID-19 and influenza cohorts after propensity matching the two populations. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used for age and Chi-square tests otherwise. A 1:1 match was used between the COVID-19 and Influenza cohorts that were already balanced on AA group (Tables 5 and 6). All p-values are insignificant indicating successful matching.

Table 8 – Table showing the pre-hospital medications in the study population. Usage of statins, warfarin, direct oral anticoagulants, digoxin, beta-blockers, and diuretics were more common in patients with history of AA whereas antiplatelets usage was more common in patients

Ta	ble	e 6

Comparability of the groups according to atrial arrhythmias status with groups matched within influenza population.

	Normal Sinus Rhythm	New-onset Atrial Arrhythmias	History of Atrial Arrhythmias	
Variable	(N = 936)	(N = 313)	(N = 623)	<i>p</i> -value
Age (years)*	76.0 [64.7, 85.2]	76.2 [64.5, 85.2]	75.3 [65.2, 84.5]	0.728
Women	495 (52.9%)	160 (51.1%)	338 (54.3%)	0.657
Black	276 (29.5%)	95 (30.4%)	178 (28.6%)	0.981
White	622 (66.5%)	206 (65.8%)	421 (67.6%)	
Other races	38 (4.1%)	12 (3.8%)	24 (3.9%)	
Diabetes mellitus	385 (41.1%)	123 (39.3%)	249 (40%)	0.813
Hypertension	794 (84.8%)	262 (83.7%)	514 (82.5%)	0.472
Congestive heart failure	360 (38.5%)	123 (39.3%)	253 (40.6%)	0.696
Stroke/transient ischemic attack	162 (17.3%)	59 (18.8%)	113 (18.1%)	0.804
Deep vein thrombosis	53 (5.7%)	16 (5.1%)	31 (5%)	0.824
Pulmonary embolism	20 (2.1%)	7 (2.2%)	11 (1.8%)	0.844
Pulmonary disease	374 (40%)	113 (36.1%)	245 (39.3%)	0.476
Chronic kidney disease	159 (17%)	46 (14.7%)	91 (14.6%)	0.737
End-stage renal disease	39 (4.2%)	14 (4.5%)	26 (4.2%)	
Cancer	210 (22.4%)	65 (20.8%)	130 (20.9%)	0.701
Autoimmune disease	43 (4.6%)	17 (5.4%)	33 (5.3%)	0.755
Hypothyroidism	133 (14.2%)	43 (13.7%)	82 (13.2%)	0.841

* Median (interquartile range).

with new-onset AA. There was no difference in the use of angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, calcium channel blockers, azithromycin, and hydroxychloroquine between the study groups.

Table 9 – Table showing multivariable generalized linear regression model using a log link with a Poisson distribution (multi-parameter regression [MPR]) built to identify predictors of new-onset AA. The model was built using baseline demographic characteristics (age, sex, race, body mass index), comorbid conditions (hypertension, diabetes mellitus, CHF, cerebrovascular accident, kidney disease, pulmonary disease, pulmonary hypertension, liver disease, cancer, thyroid disease, and history of DVT) and on-arrival labs (white cell count, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), D-dimer, potassium, and magnesium) which were significantly different between the groups, and hypoxia in the emergency room. Adjusted relative risk (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated. Significant variables included: age in increments of 10 years (RR: 1.60; 95% CI: 1.46–1.74; p < 0.001), female sex (RR: 0.69; 95% CI: 0.57–0.85; p < 0.001), African American race (RR: 0.72; 95% CI: 0.57–0.92; p = 0.007), other races (RR: 0.56; 95% CI: 0.34–0.94; p = 0.027), CHF (RR: 1.55; 95% CI: 1.17–2.06; p = 0.002), end-stage renal disease (ESRD) (RR: 1.93; 95% CI: 1.27 – 2.93; p = 0.002), presenting leukocytosis (RR: 1.49; 95% CI: 1.17–1.88; p < 0.001), hypermagnesemia (RR: 1.46; 95% CI: 1.01–2.13; p = 0.047), and hypomagnesemia (RR: 1.29; 95% CI: 1.02–1.63; p = 0.034).

Table 10 – Table showing chest CT findings in study patients. Data on pleural effusion, ground-glass infiltrates, multifocal pneumonia, pulmonary edema, and pulmonary vascular congestion was collected. Pleural effusions were most common in patients with history of AA (54.6%) compared to patients with NSR (13.8%) and new-onset AA (13.3%); *p*-value=0.02. The difference in findings of pulmonary edema, pulmonary vascular congestion, ground-glass opacities and multifocal pneumonia did not reach statistical significance.

Table 11 – Table showing MPR model for predictors of in-hospital mortality in COVID-19 patients. The model was built using the same variables as described in Table 9. Adjusted relative risk with 95% CI were calculated. History of AA (RR: 1.38; 95% CI 1.11–1.71; p = 0.003) and newonset AA (RR: 2.02; 95% CI: 1.68–2.43; p < 0.001) were independent predictors of mortality. Other significant variables included: age in increments of 10 years (RR: 1.44; 95% CI: 1.34–1.54; p < 0.001), obesity (RR: 0.77; 95% CI: 0.62–0.95; p = 0.014), female sex (RR: 0.76; 95% CI: 0.65–0.88; p < 0.001), presenting leukocytosis (RR: 1.23; 95% CI: 1.03–1.47; p = 0.020), elevated AST

Comparability of the groups according to atrial arrhythmias status with groups propensity-matched between COVID-19 and Influenza populations

COVID-19		Influenza				
Normal Sinus Rhythm (N = 815)	New-onset Atrial Arrhythmias (N = 408)	History of Atrial Arrhythmias (N = 409)	Normal Sinus Rhythm (N = 808)	New-onset Atrial Arrhythmias (N = 273)	History of Atrial Arrhythmias (N = 551)	p-value
77 (67, 85)	76 (68, 83)	76 (68, 84)	77.5 (66.6, 86.3)	77.6 (66.0, 85.7)	76.5 (66.4, 85.3)	0.54
405 (49.7%)	206 (50.5%)	212 (51.8%)	400 (49.5%)	133 (48.7%)	279 (50.6%)	0.966
216 (26.5%)	116 (28.4%)	114 (27.9%)	214 (26.5%)	77 (28.2%)	136 (24.7%)	0.984
567 (69.6%)	276 (67.6%)	281 (68.7%)	564 (69.8%)	187 (68.5%)	392 (71.1%)	
32 (3.9%)	16 (3.9%)	14 (3.4%)	30 (3.7%)	9 (3.3%)	23 (4.2%)	
319 (39.1%)	167 (40.9%)	159 (38.9%)	319 (39.5%)	106 (38.8%)	215 (39%)	0.99
681 (83.6%)	338 (82.8%)	340 (83.1%)	681 (84.3%)	224 (82.1%)	446 (80.9%)	0.706
302 (37.1%)	165 (40.4%)	177 (43.3%)	302 (37.4%)	98 (35.9%)	217 (39.4%)	0.246
126 (15.5%)	67 (16.4%)	63 (15.4%)	125 (15.5%)	41 (15%)	81 (14.7%)	0.989
55 (6.7%)	26 (6.4%)	25 (6.1%)	52 (6.4%)	14 (5.1%)	29 (5.3%)	0.863
21 (2.6%)	5 (1.2%)	11 (2.7%)	19 (2.4%)	6 (2.2%)	11 (2%)	0.713
285 (35%)	139 (34.1%)	145 (35.5%)	282 (34.9%)	87 (31.9%)	196 (35.6%)	0.928
149 (18.3%)	68 (16.7%)	68 (16.6%)	148 (18.3%)	39 (14.3%)	84 (15.2%)	0.831
36 (4.4%)	19 (4.7%)	16 (3.9%)	34 (4.2%)	13 (4.8%)	20 (3.6%)	
174 (21.3%)	101 (24.8%)	87 (21.3%)	192 (23.8%)	57 (20.9%)	121 (22%)	0.638
93 (11.4%)	56 (13.7%)	57 (13.9%)	97 (12%)	35 (12.8%)	64 (11.6%)	0.738
	Normal Sinus Rhythm (N = 815) 77 (67, 85) 405 (49.7%) 216 (26.5%) 567 (69.6%) 32 (3.9%) 319 (39.1%) 681 (83.6%) 302 (37.1%) 126 (15.5%) 55 (6.7%) 21 (2.6%) 285 (35%) 149 (18.3%) 36 (4.4%) 174 (21.3%) 93 (11.4%)	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline $COVID-19$ \\ \hline $COVID-19$ \\ \hline $Normal Sinus \\ Rhythm \\ Rhythm \\ (N = 815) \\ \hline $(N = 408)$ \\ \hline $(N = 408)$ \\ \hline $77 (67, 85) $ 76 (68, 83) \\ 405 (49.7\%) $ 206 (50.5\%) \\ 216 (26.5\%) $ 116 (28.4\%) \\ 567 (69.6\%) $ 276 (67.6\%) \\ 32 (3.9\%) $ 167 (40.9\%) \\ 319 (39.1\%) $ 167 (40.9\%) \\ 319 (39.1\%) $ 167 (40.9\%) \\ 302 (37.1\%) $ 165 (40.4\%) \\ 126 (15.5\%) $ 67 (16.4\%) \\ 126 (15.5\%) $ 67 (16.4\%) \\ 21 (2.6\%) $ 5 (1.2\%) \\ 285 (35\%) $ 139 (34.1\%) \\ 149 (18.3\%) $ 68 (16.7\%) \\ 36 (4.4\%) $ 19 (4.7\%) \\ 174 (21.3\%) $ 101 (24.8\%) \\ 93 (11.4\%) $ 56 (13.7\%) \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

* Median (interquartile range).

Medication usage prior to admission.

Variable	Normal Sinus Rhythm (N = 747)	New-onset Atrial Arrythmias (N = 76)	History of Atrial Arrythmias (N = 95)	Chi-square p-value
Home statins	289 (38.7%)	39 (51.3%)	59 (61%)	<0.0001
Home ACE inhibitors and ARBs	256 (34.3%)	33 (34.3%)	39 (41%)	0.296
Home warfarin	28 (3.8%)	6 (7.9%)	13 (13.7%)	0.0003
Home direct oral anticoagulants	21 (2.8%)	14 (18.4%)	34 (35.8%)	<.0001
Home digoxin	3 (0.4%)	4 (5.3%)	6 (6.3%)	<0.0001
Home beta-blockers	211 (28.3%)	40 (52.6%)	56 (59%)	<0.0001
Home diuretics	182 (24.4%)	31 (40.8%)	45 (47.4%)	<0.0001
Home calcium channel blockers	9 (2.11%)	2 (1.2%)	2 (2.63%)	0.505
Home antiplatelets	217 (29%)	41 (54%)	42 (44%)	<0.0001
Home azithromycin	57 (7.6%)	10 (13.2%)	2 (2.1%)	0.0943
Home hydroxychloroquine	15 (2%)	3 (4%)	4 (4.2%)	0.340

Abbreviations: ACE, angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blockers.

(RR: 1.37; 95% CI: 1.18 – 1.60; p < 0.001), high D-dimer (RR: 1.44; 95% CI: 1.19–1.74; p < 0.001) and very high D-dimer (RR: 1.65; 95% CI: 1.30–2.10; p < 0.001), hypermagnesemia (RR: 1.36; 95% CI: 1.06–1.76; p = 0.017), history of CHF (RR: 1.23; 95% CI: 1.00–1.51; p = 0.047, ESRD (RR: 1.63; 95% CI: 1.21–2.20; p = 0.001), cancer (RR: 1.21; 95% CI: 1.02–1.44; p = 0.032), and DVT (RR: 1.73; 95% CI: 1.31–2.30; p < 0.001).

Table 12 – Table showing the in-hospital events in 3 groups after propensity-matched between COVID-19 and influenza populations. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used for comparing the length of stay variables and Chi-square tests were used otherwise. A Bonferroni corrected threshold for significance within COVID-19 or influenza is 0.002 (calculated as 0.05/19). Tests attaining this threshold have p-values highlighted with bold font. Within COVID-19 population, new-onset AA patients had longer Hospital length of stay and higher incidence of intensive care unit (ICU) admission, need for mechanical ventilation, usage of vasopressors and inotropes, new-onset CHF, ARF, and VT compared to patients with history of AA and NSR. Similarly, in the influenza population, the need for mechanical ventilation, usage of vasopressors and inotropes, new-onset CHF, ST-segment elevation MI, non-ST segment elevation MI, ARF, VF, and VT were more common in patients with new-onset AA.

Table 13 – Table showing odds ratios (OR) of the in-hospitals events in 3 groups after propensity matching between COVID-19 and influenza populations. Odds ratios were calculated for each 2-group comparison using univariate logistic regression within the COVID-19 and influenza populations. Group 1 includes patients with NSR, group 2 includes patients with new-onset AA, whereas group 3 includes patients with history of AA. Within the COVID-19 population, newonset AA had higher ICU admission rate, 90 day-readmission, need for mechanical ventilation, vasopressors and inotropes usage, new-onset CHF, non-ST-segment elevation MI, ARF, and VT as evident by OR with 95% CI not crossing 1 and *p*-value <0.05. Similar in the influenza population, new-onset AA had higher ICU admission, 90 day-readmission, need for mechanical ventilation, vasopressor and inotropes usage, new-onset CHF, ST-segment elevation MI, and requirement for new RRT, VF, and VT as shown by statistically significant OR with 95% CI.

2. Experimental Design, Materials and Methods

We collected data for COVID-19 and influenza patients from one quaternary care and five community hospitals at Henry Ford Health System and Trinity Health System. The first hospital admission per case was retained for both COVID-19 and influenza patients. For COVID-19 patients, clinical data were abstracted from the Epic, Inc. electronic medical record (EMR) at contributing hospitals, deidentified and stored in the Southeast Michigan COVID-19 Consortium Reg-

Multivariable generalized linear regression model using a log link with a Poisson distribution for predictors of new-onset atrial arrhythmias.

		95%Confidence		
Covariate	Relative Risk	Interval	p-value	Type 3 <i>p</i> -value
Age (decade)	1.60	[1.46-1.74]	<0.001	<0.001
Body mass index		. ,		0.079
≥30.0	1.30	[0.98-1.73]	0.065	
25.0-29.9	1.05	[0.69-1.59]	0.824	
<18.5	1.41	[1.06-1.88]	0.020	
18.5-24.9	-	-	-	
Gender				
Female	0.69	[0.57-0.85]	<0.001	<0.001
Male	-	-	-	
Race				
African American	0.72	[0.57-0.92]	0.007	0.004
Other races	0.56	[0.34-0.94]	0.027	
White	-	-	-	
Hypoxia ^c	1.14	[0.93-1.41]	0.215	0.213
Presenting white cell count				
High	1.49	[1.17-1.88]	<0.001	0.006
Low	1.03	[0.72-1.48]	0.869	
Presenting aspartate aminotransferase				
High	1.11	[0.91-1.37]	0.305	0.580
Low	0.97	[0.51-1.84]	0.869	
Presenting D-Dimer ^b				
High*	0.93	[0.74-1.16]	0.510	0.511
Very high**	1.08	[0.79-1.47]	0.632	
Presenting serum potassium				
Hyperkalemia	1.15	[0.83-1.60]	0.408	0.647
Hypokalemia	0.95	[0.72-1.25]	0.718	
Presenting serum magnesium				
Hypermagnesemia	1.46	[1.01-2.13]	0.047	0.026
Hypomagnesemia	1.29	[1.02-1.63]	0.034	
Comorbidities				
Congestive heart failure	1.55	[1.17-2.06]	0.002	0.003
Diabetes mellitus	0.93	[0.76–1.16]	0.529	0.528
Chronic kidney disease	0.89	[0.66-1.20]	0.432	0.427
End-stage renal disease	1.93	[1.27–2.93]	0.002	0.003
Hypertension	1.07	[0.83–1.37]	0.615	0.614
Pulmonary disease	1.37	[1.10-1.71]	0.005	0.006
Liver disease	1.09	[0.57-2.08]	0.792	0.795
History of cancer	1.01	[0.79-1.28]	0.942	0.942
Cerebrovascular accident	0.93	[0.69-1.25]	0.615	0.612
History of deep vein thrombosis	1.35	[0.89-2.05]	0.155	0.172
Pulmonary hypertension	1.53	[0.67-3.48]	0.315	0.345
Hyperthyroidism	1.56	[0.64-3.82]	0.325	0.358
Hypothyroidism	0.11	[0.83-1.48]	0.491	0.469

Number of observations in the original data set = 6148.

Number of observations used = 4006.

Coronary artery disease (CAD) history was not included in the model due to missing values to avoid reducing the number of patients in the analysis.

* High: >500-2000 ng/mL.

** Very high: >2000 ng/mL.

- ^b Fibrinogen-equivalent units (FEU).
- ^c Oxygen saturation < 95%.

Variable	Normal Sinus Rhythm (N = 123)	New-onset Atrial Arrythmias (N = 15)	History of Atrial Arrythmias (N = 11)	Fisher-exact p-value
Ground-glass infiltrates and multifocal pneumonia	60 (48.8%)	10 (66.8%)	3 (27.3%)	0.16
Pleural effusions	17 (13.8%)	2 (13.3%)	6 (54.6%)	0.02
Pulmonary edema and pulmonary vascular congestion	1 (0.8%)	1 (6.7%)	1 (9.1%)	0.16

Computerized tomography chest scans during hospitalization.

istry Database (SMCRD) using REDCap (software hosted at Vanderbilt University Medical Center in Nashville, Tennessee). The two systems submitted Michigan Health Information Network ID numbers (MiHIN) so that data from patients receiving care at both institutions could be linked. COVID-19 data were collected retrospectively and concurrently from 1st March 2020 to 31st March 2021. Hospitalized patients aged 18 years and above with polymerase chain reactionproven SARS-CoV-2 infection were included. Out of 6943 patients in the SMCRD registry, 16 patients were excluded because of lack of data on inpatient diagnoses, 6927 patients met the inclusion criteria.

Data were collected for patients hospitalized with a diagnosis of influenza (identified using International Classification of Diseases–Tenth Revision codes) at Henry Ford Health System. Data were then deidentified and stored. The study period for influenza patients was from 1st January 2014 to 31st December 2019. A total of 14,174 influenza patients met the inclusion criteria. The EMR queries used for characteristics of the hospital stay and clinical history for the COVID-19 consortium data were the basis of the influenza data queries, so that the two data sources were compatible in definition.

The study patients in both COVID-19 and influenza populations were divided into three groups based on history of atrial arrhythmias (AA): group 1 was the normal sinus rhythm (NSR) group-these patients did not have history of AA and remained in NSR throughout hospitalization; group 2 was the new-onset AA group which did not have a prior history of AA but developed atrial fibrillation or atrial flutter during hospitalization; group 3 patients had a prior history of AA and may have stayed in NSR or experienced AA during hospitalization. The incidence of AA in COVID-19 population was 20.3% with 9% patients having new-onset AA. Within influenza population, 13.1% patients had AA with incidence of new-onset AA at 2.5%.

Hospital records of patients included in the study were reviewed to identify:

- 1. Patient characteristics: Age at first admission, gender, primary race (Black, White, Other).
- 2. Patient medical history Included comorbidities of the patients.
- 3. *Home medications*: Statins, angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, beta-blockers, calcium channel blockers, antiplatelets, and antocoagulants.
- 4. *In-hospital medication use*: Rate controlling agents, rhythm controlling agents, anticoagulants, steroids, anticoagulants, hydroxychloroquine, Remdesivir, tociliumab, lopinavir, and ritonavir.
- 5. *Dates of service*: First admission date (i.e., date of earliest inpatient encounter for COVID-19 and influenza) and discharge dates.
- 6. Patient outcomes: Included inpatient death (died inpatient versus discharged alive) and newonset heart failure.

3. Echocardiographic Parameters

A total of 115 patients had transthoracic echocardiography performed during the hospital admission. Data on following parameters was reported in our study.

1. *Right ventricular size*: Right ventricular size was stratified into normal, mildly enlarged, moderately enlarged, severely enlarged, and unknown.

Multivariable generalized linear regression model using a log link with a Poisson distribution for predictors of in-hospital mortality in COVID-19 patients.

		95% Confidence	95% Confidence	
Covariate	Relative Risk	Interval	p-value	p-value
Groups based on arrythmias status				
History of atrial arrythmias	1.38	[1.11-1.71]	[1.11–1.71] 0.003	
New-onset atrial arrythmias	2.02	[1.68-2.43] <0.001		
Normal sinus rhythm	-	-	-	
Age (Decade)	1.44	[1.34-1.54]	<0.001	<0.001
Body mass index				
≥30.0	0.77	[0.62-0.95]	0.014	0.035
25.0-29.9	0.93	[0.76-1.13]	0.470	
<18.5	1.06	[0.81-1.39]	0.667	
18.5-24.9	-	-	-	
Gender				
Female	0.76	[0.65-0.88]	.65-0.88] < 0.001	
Male	-	-	-	
Race				
African American	0.93	[0.78-1.11]	0.431	0.381
Other races	0.79	0.55-1.14	0.215	
White	-	- ,	-	
Presenting white cell count				
High	1.23	[1.03-1.47]	0.020	0.069
Low	1.00	[0.75- 1.34]	0.986	
Presenting aspartate aminotransferase	2	[]		
High	1.37	[1.18-1.60]	<0.001	<0.001
Low	0.75	[0.42-1.34]	0.331	
Presenting D-Dimer ^c				
High*	1.44	[1.19-1.74]	<0.001	<0.001
Verv high**	1.65	[1.30- 2.10]	<0.001	
Presenting serum potassium		[]		
Hyperkalemia	1.24	[0.98-1.56]	0.069	0.097
Hypokalemia	0.89	[0.72-1.11]	0.320	
Presenting serum magnesium		. ,		
Hypermagnesemia	1.36	[1.06-1.76]	0.017	0.023
Hypomagnesemia	1.18	[0.98-1.42]	0.082	
Comorbidities		t j		
Congestive heart failure	1.23	[1.00-1.51]	0.047	0.050
Diabetes mellitus	1.01	0.86-1.19	0.863	0.863
Chronic kidney disease	0.95	[0.77-1.17]	0.620	0.618
End-stage renal disease	1.63	[1.21-2.20]	0.001	0.002
Hypertension	1.04	[0.86-1.26]	0.705	0.705
Pulmonary disease	1.15	[0.97-1.36]	0.118	0.121
Liver disease	1.31	[0.83-2.06]	0.251	0.269
History of cancer	1.21	[1.02-1.44]	0.032	0.034
Cerebrovascular accident	1.07	[0.88-1.32]	0.491	0.494
History of deep vein thrombosis	1.73	[1.31-2.30]	<0.001	<0.001
Pulmonary hypertension	0.76	[0.38-1.55]	0.453	0.433
Hyperthyroidism	1.23	[0.58-2.61]	0.586	0.598
Hypothyroidism	0.97	0.77-1.22	0.779	0.778

Number of observations in the original data set = 6927.

Number of observations used = 4469.

Coronary artery disease history was not included in the model due to missing values to avoid reducing the number of patients in the analysis.

 $^\ast\,$ High: $>500\text{--}2000\,$ ng/mL.

** Very high: >2000 ng/mL.

^c FEU.

In-hospital events in 3 groups after propensity matching on AA groups within and between COVID-19 and influenza populations.

	COVID-19			Influenza				
Variable	Normal Sinus Rhythm (<i>N</i> = 815)	New-onset Atrial Arrhythmias (N = 408)	History of Atrial Arrhythmias (N = 409)	p-value	Normal Sinus Rhythm (N = 808)	New-onset Atrial Arrhythmias (N = 273)	History of Atrial Arrhythmias (N = 551)	p-value
Hospital length of stay [*] Intensive care unit admission Intensive care unit length of stay [*] Hospital readmission within 90 days Respiratory failure requiring mechanical ventilation	5.6 (3.4, 9.8) 178 (21.8%) 5 (3, 13) 94 (11.5%) 99 (12.1%)	8.0 (4.5, 15.1) 178 (43.6%) 8 (3, 16) 32 (7.8%) 108 (26.5%)	6.3 (4.0, 11.3) 103 (25.2%) 5 (3, 10) 53 (13%) 52 (12.7%)	<0.001 <0.001 0.043 0.050 <0.001	3 (2, 5) 33 (4.1%) 2 (1, 3) 321 (39.7%) 10 (1.2%)	3 (2, 6) 20 (7.3%) 3 (1, 7) 131 (48.0%) 13 (4.8%)	3 (2, 5) 21 (3.8%) 3 (1.5, 4.5) 249 (45.2%) 7 (1.3%)	0.058 0.051 0.117 0.025 < 0.001
Days on ventilator*	8 (3, 14)	9 (4.75, 16)	6.5 (3, 15)	0.181	1 (1, 2.5)	10 (1, 18)	4.5 (3.25, 5.75)	0.171
Vasopressors/inotropes usage New-onset congestive heart failure Transient ischemic attack and ischemic stroke	153 (18.8%) 23 (2.8%) 27 (3.3%)	146 (35.8%) 36 (8.8%) 14 (3.4%)	100 (24.4%) 26 (6.4%) 16 (3.9%)	< 0.001 < 0.001 0.862	16 (2%) 36 (4.5%) 63 (7.8%)	14 (5.1%) 38 (13.9%) 13 (4.8%)	4 (0.7%) 40 (7.3%) 35 (6.4%)	< 0.001 < 0.001 0.199
ST-segment elevation myocardial	1 (0.1%)	3 (0.7%)	0	0.063	4 (0.5%)	7 (2.6%)	2 (0.4%)	0.001
Non-ST-segment elevation myocardial	86 (10.6%)	66 (16.2%)	44 (10.8%)	0.011	71 (8.8%)	34 (12.5%)	29 (5.3%)	0.001
Other arterial thromboembolism Deep vein thrombosis Pulmonary embolism Acute renal failure Renal failure requiring new renal replacement therem.	29 (3.6%) 30 (3.7%) 36 (4.4%) 334 (41%) 52 (6.4%)	16 (3.9%) 15 (3.7%) 18 (4.4%) 210 (51.5%) 38 (9.3%)	23 (5.6%) 6 (1.5%) 10 (2.4%) 169 (41.3%) 22 (5.4%)	0.224 0.084 0.206 0.001 0.063	45 (5.6%) 23 (2.8%) 18 (2.2%) 227 (28.1%) 30 (3.7%)	12 (4.4%) 13 (4.8%) 8 (2.9%) 94 (34.4%) 19 (7%)	23 (4.2%) 14 (2.5%) 5 (0.9%) 118 (21.4%) 18 (3.3%)	0.461 0.193 0.085 < 0.001 0.031
Ventricular fibrillation Ventricular tachycardia	2 (0.2%) 23 (2.8%)	4 (1%) 28 (6.9%)	3 (0.7%) 24 (5.9%)	0.222 0.002	2 (0.2%) 12 (1.5%)	7 (2.6%) 19 (7%)	2 (0.4%) 20 (3.6%)	<0.001 <0.001

* Median (interquartile range).

Odds ratios of the in-hospitals events in 3 groups after propensity matching on AA groups within and between COVID-19 and influenza populations.

	COVID-19 Odds Ratio 95% Confidence Interval, <i>p</i> -value			Influenza Odds Ratio 95% Confidence Interval, <i>p</i> -value			
Variable	Group 3 vs Group 1	Group 2 vs Group 1	Group 2 vs Group 3	Group 3 vs Group 1	Group 2 vs Group 1	Group 2 vs Group 3	
Intensive care unit admission	1.2 (0.9, 1.6), 0.1952	2.77 (2.12, 3.61), < 0.0001	2.3 (1.69, 3.13), < 0.0001	0.93 (0.51, 1.68), 0.8878	1.86 (0.99, 3.4), 0.0359	1.99 (1.01, 3.94), 0.0398	
Readmission by 90 days	1.14 (0.78, 1.66), 0.5142	0.65 (0.41, 1.01), 0.0464	0.57 (0.35, 0.93), 0.0215	1.25 (1, 1.57), 0.05	1.4 (1.05, 1.86), 0.0191	1.12 (0.83, 1.51), 0.4586	
Respiratory failure requiring	1.05 (0.72, 1.53),	2.6 (1.9, 3.57),	2.47 (1.69, 3.63),	1.03 (0.33, 3.01), 1	3.98 (1.59, 10.28),	3.88 (1.42, 11.62),	
mechanical ventilation	0.7827	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		0.0012	0.0034	
Vasopressors/inotropes usage	1.4 (1.04, 1.88),	2.41 (1.83, 3.18),	1.72 (1.26, 2.36),	0.36 (0.09, 1.13),	2.67 (1.19, 5.93),	7.37 (2.29, 31.07),	
	0.0246	< 0.0001	0.0004	0.0678	0.0098	< 0.0001	
New-onset congestive heart	2.34 (1.26, 4.35),	3.33 (1.89, 5.98),	1.42 (0.82, 2.51),	1.68 (1.03, 2.75),	3.46 (2.08, 5.76),	2.06 (1.25, 3.4),	
failure	0.0048	<0.0001	0.1894	0.0304	< 0.0001	0.0034	
Transient ischemic attack and	1.19 (0.59, 2.32),	1.04 (0.5, 2.08),	0.87 (0.39, 1.94),	0.8 (0.51, 1.25),	0.59 (0.29, 1.11),	0.74 (0.35, 1.46),	
ischemic stroke	0.6227	>0.999	0.8528	0.3376	0.1006	0.4305	
ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction*	-	-	-	0.73 (0.07, 5.13), 1	5.28 (1.33, 24.79), 0.0077	7.21 (1.36, 71.71), 0.0077	
Non-ST-segment elevation	1.02 (0.68, 1.52),	1.64 (1.14, 2.34),	1.6 (1.04, 2.47),	0.58 (0.36, 0.92),	1.48 (0.93, 2.32),	2.56 (1.47, 4.46),	
myocardial infarction	0.9218	0.0058	0.0244	0.0149	0.0972	< 0.0001	
Deep vein thrombosis	0.39 (0.13, 0.96),	1 (0.49, 1.94),	2.56 (0.93, 8.14),	0.89 (0.42, 1.82),	1.71 (0.78, 3.57),	1.92 (0.82, 4.47),	
	0.0313	>0.999	0.0494	0.8655	0.1697	0.0993	
Pulmonary embolism	0.54 (0.24, 1.13),	1 (0.53, 1.83),	1.84 (0.79, 4.52),	0.4 (0.12, 1.13),	1.32 (0.49, 3.25),	3.29 (0.94, 12.91),	
	0.1102	>0.999	0.1291	0.0852	0.4979	0.0374	
Acute renal failure	1.01 (0.79, 1.3),	1.53 (1.19, 1.95),	1.51 (1.13, 2.01),	0.7 (0.54, 0.91),	1.34 (0.99, 1.82),	1.93 (1.38, 2.69),	
	0.9509	0.0006	0.004	0.0063	0.0553	< 0.0001	
Renal failure requiring new	0.83 (0.48, 1.42),	1.51 (0.95, 2.38),	1.81 (1.02, 3.27),	0.88 (0.45, 1.64),	1.94 (1.01, 3.63),	2.21 (1.08, 4.56),	
renal replacement therapy	0.5272	0.0808	0.0325	0.7652	0.0417	0.0199	
Ventricular fibrillation	3 (0.34, 36.09), 0.3410	4.02 (0.57, 44.61), 0.0999	1.34 (0.23, 9.2), 0.7252	1.47 (0.11, 20.3), 1	10.58 (2, 105), 0.0014	7.21 (1.36, 71.71), 0.0077	
Ventricular tachycardia	2.15 (1.14, 4.03),	2.54 (1.39, 4.68),	1.18 (0.65, 2.17),	2.5 (1.15, 5.65),	4.95 (2.25, 11.36),	1.98 (0.98, 3.99),	
	0.0114	0.0013	0.5703	0.0165	< 0.0001	0.0377	

ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction for COVID-19 population had too few events for valid estimation of odds ratio and thus not reported.

- 2. *Left ventricular size*: Left ventricular size was classified into normal, mildly enlarged, moderately enlarged, severely enlarged, and unknown.
- 3. *Left ventricular ejection fraction*: Left ventricular ejection fraction was divided into preserved (\geq 50%), borderline (40–49%), reduced (<40%), and unknown.
- 4. Pericardial effusion: Pericardial effusion was divided into none, small, large, and unknown.
- 5. *Vavular abnormality*: Valvular abnormalities were divided into none, mild, moderate, severe, and none.
- 6. *Pulmonary artery systolic pressure*: Pulmonary artery systolic pressure was characterized into normal (0–40 mmHg), mild elevation (41–50 mmHg), moderate elevation (51–60 mmHg), severe elevation (>60 mmHg), and unknown.

4. Statistical Methods

Categorical data were summarized as percentages and fraction of occurrence. Continuous data were summarized as median with interquartile range or means with standard deviations. Variable distributions were compared using Chi-square tests or Fisher's exact tests for categorical data and ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis tests for continuous data, as appropriate. Generalized linear models were used to estimate odds ratios and risk ratios. A *p*-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

We matched the hospitalized COVID-19 population to a pre-COVID hospitalized viral influenza cohort. Propensity scoring was used serially to generate balanced groups, within the COVID-19 study set, within the influenza study set, and between the COVID-19 and influenza study sets. Logistic regression was used to generate the propensity scores for each stage, using demographics and past medical history variables as predictors. Matching was done using a 0.1sd caliper, without replacement, and with ties broken randomly [12]. Within each study set, logistic regression models were used to first estimate the probability of new-onset AA given that AA were observed (history of AA vs new-onset AA). Since there were few new-onset AA cases in the influenza study set, a 2:1 match was used; 1:1 matching was used for the COVID-19 set. Logistic regression was then used in each study set to model the probability of AA (history/new AA vs none) and cases were matched 1:1. For comparison across the influenza and COIVD-19 cases, we used propensity matching to further align the two study sets. Logistic regression was used to model the probability of a COVID-19 diagnosis as the cause of hospitalization (COVID-19 vs influenza). The studies were matched 1:1. The final study set was achieved with balanced data across AA groups and study sets. Data summaries and analysis were performed with the R programming language (version 4, ggplot2 package) [13].

Ethics Statements

The study was approved as a retrospective study by institutional review boards at Henry Ford Health System (protocol # 13785) and Trinity Health System (protocol # 2021-009). The need for informed consent was waived for the use of deidentified medical records.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data Availability

Data of Atrial Arrhythmias in Hospitalized COVID-19 and Influenza Patients (Original data) (DIB).

CRediT Author Statement

Qasim Jehangir: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Visualization; **Yi Lee:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Visualization; **Katie Latack:** Software, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation; **Laila Poisson:** Methodology, Software, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – original draft; **Dee Dee Wang:** Conceptualization, Resources, Writing – review & editing, Supervision; **Shiyi Song:** Software, Formal analysis, Data curation; **Dinesh R. Apala:** Writing – review & editing; **Kiritkumar Patel:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing, Supervision; **Abdul R. Halabi:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing, Supervision; **Geetha Krishnamoorthy:** Resources, Writing – review & editing, Supervision; **Anupam A. Sule:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Resources, Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Project administration.

Acknowledgments

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

- Q. Jehangir, Y. Lee, K. Latack, D.S. Wang, D.P.Apala S, A.Halabi K, L. Poisson, G. Krishnamoorthy, A. Sule, Incidence, mortality, and imaging outcomes of atrial arrhythmias in COVID-19, Am. J. Cardiol. (2022), doi:10.1016/j.amjcard. 2022.02.051.
- [2] C.M. Colon, J.G. Barrios, J.W. Chiles, S.K. McElwee, D.W. Russell, W.R. Maddox, G.N. Kay, Atrial arrhythmias in COVID-19 patients, JACC Clin. Electrophysiol. 6 (9) (2020) 1189–1190, doi:10.1016/j.jacep.2020.05.015.
- [3] B. Peltzer, K.K. Manocha, X. Ying, J. Kirzner, J.E. Ip, G. Thomas, C.F. Liu, S.M. Markowitz, B.B. Lerman, M.M. Safford, P. Goyal, J.W. Cheung, Outcomes and mortality associated with atrial arrhythmias among patients hospitalized with COVID-19, J. Cardiovasc. Electrophysiol. 31 (12) (2020) 3077–3085, doi:10.1111/jce.14770.
- [4] J.H. Cho, A. Namazi, R. Shelton, A. Ramireddy, A. Ehdaie, M. Shehata, X. Wang, E. Marban, S.S. Chugh, E. Cingolani, Cardiac arrhythmias in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: a prospective observational study in the western United States, PLoS One 15 (12) (2020) e0244533, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0244533.
- [5] S.E. Mountantonakis, M. Saleh, J. Fishbein, A. Gandomi, M. Lesser, J. Chelico, J. Gabriels, M. Qiu, L.M. Epstein, C.-R.C. Northwell, Atrial fibrillation is an independent predictor for in-hospital mortality in patients admitted with SARS-CoV-2 infection, Heart Rhythm. 18 (4) (2021) 501–507, doi:10.1016/j.hrthm.2021.01.018.
- [6] O. Vardeny, S.D. Solomon, Influenza and heart failure: a catchy comorbid combination, JACC Heart Fail. 7 (2) (2019) 118-120, doi:10.1016/j.jchf.2018.11.008.
- [7] S.A. Sellers, R.S. Hagan, F.G. Hayden, W.A. Fischer, The hidden burden of influenza: a review of the extra-pulmonary complications of influenza infection, Influenza Other Respir. Viruses 11 (5) (2017) 372–393, doi:10.1111/irv.12470.
- [8] E. Anter, M. Jessup, D.J. Callans, Atrial fibrillation and heart failure: treatment considerations for a dual epidemic, Circulation 119 (18) (2009) 2516–2525, doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.821306.
- [9] J.R. Rey, J. Caro-Codon, S.O. Rosillo, A.M. Iniesta, S. Castrejon-Castrejon, I. Marco-Clement, L. Martin-Polo, C. Merino-Argos, L. Rodriguez-Sotelo, J.M. Garcia-Veas, L.A. Martinez-Marin, M. Martinez-Cossiani, A. Buno, L. Gonzalez-Valle, A. Herrero, J.L. Lopez-Sendon, J.L. Merino, C.-C. Investigators, Heart failure in COVID-19 patients: prevalence, incidence and prognostic implications, Eur. J. Heart Fail. 22 (12) (2020) 2205–2215, doi:10.1002/ejhf.1990.
- [10] S.S. Li, C.W. Cheng, C.L. Fu, Y.H. Chan, M.P. Lee, J.W. Chan, S.F. Yiu, Left ventricular performance in patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome: a 30-day echocardiographic follow-up study, Circulation 108 (15) (2003) 1798– 1803, doi:10.1161/01.CIR.0000094737.21775.32.
- [11] S. Kaatz, D. Ahmad, A.C. Spyropoulos, S. Schulman, A. subcommittee on control of, definition of clinically relevant non-major bleeding in studies of anticoagulants in atrial fibrillation and venous thromboembolic disease in nonsurgical patients: communication from the SSC of the ISTH, J. Thromb. Haemost. 13 (11) (2015) 2119–2126, doi:10. 1111/jth.13140.
- [12] J.S. Sekhon, Multivariate and propensity score matching software with automated balance optimization: the matching package for R, J. Stat. Softw. 42 (7) (2011) 1–52.
- [13] R Core Team, A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2022 https://www.R-project.org/. Accessed April 4, 2022.