Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom, 2013, 93(8), 2273–2285. © Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom, 2013 doi:10.1017/S0025315413001045

Seasonal pattern of the coastal fish assemblage in Anegada Bay, Argentina

FACUNDO MANUEL LLOMPART^{1,3}, DARÍO CÉSAR CALAUTTI^{1,2}, ADRIANA MILENA CRUZ-JIMÉNEZ^{1,3} AND CKAUDIO RAFAEL MARIANO BAIGÚN^{1,2}

¹Centro Austral de Investigaciones Científicas (CADIC), Bernardo Houssay 200, V9410CAB Ushuaia, Tierra del Fuego, Argentina, ²Laboratorio de Ecología y Producción Pesquera (IIB-INTECH), Intendente Marino, km 8.200, CC164 (B7130IWA) Chascomús, Buenos Aires, Argentina, ³Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Buenos Aires, Argentina

The seasonal variation of the inshore fish assemblage of Anegada Bay, North Patagonia, Argentina is described here. Three areas were seasonally sampled from 2007 to 2009 by means of a gang of bottom gill-nets. We found 21 coastal fish species, but species richness and fish number and weight changed throughout the year. The six species classified as dominant have national and regional value for artisanal and recreational fishing and were responsible for the seasonal variation in the fish assemblage. Both cluster and non-metric multidimensional scaling analyses based on fish number and fish weight indicated two major sample groups encompassing spring and summer (the warmer seasons) and autumn and winter (the colder seasons). The fish assemblage had higher species richness, dominance and abundance during the warmer seasons than during the colder seasons in the same years and at the same sites. Water temperature was the main environmental factor structuring the fish assemblage in Anegada Bay. We suggest that partial breeding migration toward the bay during warmer months could explain the seasonal pattern observed. Nevertheless, variation in temperature conditions agreed well with the pattern of seasonal changes, leading to an interaction between abiotic and biotic influences in determining the variability in this seasonal fish assemblage. We conclude that an understanding of species temporal and spatial patterns in areas of high ecological and economic value, as exemplified by Anegada Bay, are essential for the implementation of a management approach oriented toward ecosystem sustainability.

Keywords: seasonal variation, coastal species, spatio-temporal dynamics, fish assemblage, North Patagonia

Submitted 15 December 2012; accepted 1 July 2013; first published online 13 August 2013

INTRODUCTION

Commonly fish of a particular species do not occur in isolation from others, but as members of assemblages. A fish assemblage is defined as a group of fish that are present in the same space and at the same time and, irrespective of whether they interact or not, are phylogenetically related (Wootton, 1991; Fauth *et al.*, 1996). Assemblages have their own emergent properties that can be measured, quantified and analysed, such as species richness, abundance, biomass and biological indices (Magurran, 2005). Moreover, the study of the temporal variation in species within biological assemblages yields primary information that is needed for an understanding of the patterns of coexistence and interaction among the members so as to enable a management policy based on the structure of a given ecosystem (Jaureguizar *et al.*, 2006).

Variations in the patterns of distribution and abundance that, in turn, determine the composition and hierarchical dominance of species within site-specific assemblages emerge as natural responses to the fluctuations in environmental conditions (Junk *et al.*, 1989; Baber *et al.*, 2002). The gradual change hypothesis predicts that temporal shifts in

Corresponding author: F.M. Llompart Email: facallompart@yahoo.com.ar environmental conditions are coupled with variations in the structure of the fish assemblages (Connell, 1978). For this reason, temperate marine areas are suitable systems for evaluating the effect of seasonal changes on fish assemblages, and how those variations are coupled with the influence of environmental conditions (Galván, 2009). In the southwestern Atlantic Ocean the key environmental parameters that have been reported as influencing the ichthyofaunal structure are water temperature, salinity and depth (Jaureguizar, 2004; Jaureguizar et al., 2006; Galván et al., 2009; García et al., 2010). Those studies, however, were done on the inner Argentine marine shelf and were based on samples of fish caught by trawling with commercial gear because of the great economic relevance of such fish as resources for commercial fisheries. In contrast, less consideration has been given to fish assemblages within inshore coastal areas (i.e. at <20 m depth) even though these areas (including bays) provide critical habitats for many valuable artisanal and recreational fish species because they are used as spawning and nursery sites (Blaber & Blaber, 1980; Miller et al., 1984).

These shallow systems are well represented along the coastal areas of Patagonia and, particularly Anegada Bay, act as feeding and nursery grounds for both teleosts and cartilaginous fish (Lucifora, 2003; Lucifora *et al.*, 2009a, b; Llompart, 2011; Molina & López Cazorla, 2011). Moreover, recreational and artisanal fisheries occur simultaneously in this bay (Colautti *et al.*, 2010; Llompart *et al.*, 2012). Nevertheless,

despite the significance of this coastal ecosystem, little is still known about how inshore fish assemblages respond to environmental variability on temporal and spatial scales and how this information might be useful to species conservation and resource management.

The objective of the present study was, therefore, to describe the coastal fish assemblage of Anegada Bay and its main ecological attributes, and to relate that structure to seasonal fluctuations in the environmental variables.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

Anegada Bay (from $39.96^{\circ} - 40.60^{\circ}S$ and from $62.10^{\circ} - 62.46^{\circ}W$) comprises a reserve designated in 2001 as a multiple-use zone and encompasses the southern part of the Buenos Aires Province (Argentina), North Patagonia (Figure 1). This bay, within the coastal area called El Rincón, includes several types of coastal environment—for example, marshes, tidal plains and sandy beaches (Penchaszadeh *et al.*, 2003)—and also contains small islands. The bay's banks are connected by a diffuse network of channels, whose depths range from 10 to 30 m (Lucifora, 2003; Cuadrado & Gómez, 2010). The water temperature varies from $5^{\circ}C$ in winter to $19.2^{\circ}C$ in summer, and the salinity values fall between 32.5 and 35.0 psu (Borges, 2006). The climate is dry (precipitation 300 mm/yr), with the prevailing winds coming from the north-west.

In the bay the tidal regime is predominantly mixed semidiurnal with a maximum amplitude of 2.56 m and minimum of 1.73 m (Servicio de Hidrografía Naval, 2009). The coastal sediments are composed of sand and gravel, with wave-cut platforms and marshes being present. Sandbars lie in the southern part of Anegada Bay and can become exposed during low tides.

A distinctive characteristic of the area is the presence of a tidal-inlet system connecting Anegada Bay with the outer sea and designated the San Blas Channel. This channel is 2.5 km wide and 12 km long with a maximum depth of 28 m. The current velocities therein reach 2 m/s during flood tides and drop to 1.8 m/s during ebb tides. The channel bottom is covered with unconsolidated sediments in the central regions and cohesive sediments toward the mouth (Cuadrado & Gómez, 2011).

Anegada Bay is located near the boundary between the two major biogeographic provinces proposed for the Argentine Sea: the Argentine (from $30^{\circ} - 32^{\circ}$ S to $41^{\circ} - 44^{\circ}$ S) and the Magellanic (from $41^{\circ} - 44^{\circ}$ S to 56° S) provinces (Balech & Erlich, 2008). Because of this proximity, in Anegada Bay, three kinds of fish associations can be found (Llompart *et al.*, 2010): (a) typical cold-water or temperate – cold-water fish (e.g. *Eleginops maclovinus* (Cuvier, 1830) (López, 1964)); (b) temperate – warm-water species occasionally entering into the Magellanic Province (e.g. *Myliobatis goodei* Garman, 1885, *Pomatomus saltatrix* (Linnaeus, 1766), *Sympterygia acuta* Garman 1877 and *Sympterygia bonapartii* Müller & Henle, 1841 (López, 1964; Krefft, 1968)); and (c) typical warm-water fish belonging to the Argentine Province (e.g. *Micropogonias furnieri* (Desmarest, 1823), *Paralichthys*



Fig. 1. Geographical location of the study area and the sampling sites.

orbignyanus (Valenciennes, 1839), Brevoortia aurea (Spix & Agassiz, 1829) and Lycengraulis grossidens (Agassiz, 1829) (López, 1964; Krefft, 1968)).

Sampling procedure

Three main sites were chosen for sampling the fish assemblage (Figure 1): (a) San Blas (SB; $40.53^{\circ}S \ 62.22^{\circ}W$), located in the north flank of the San Blas Channel, a high-current environment near the channel's opening to the outer sea; (b) Punta Ramírez (PR; $40.52^{\circ}S \ 62.31^{\circ}W$), located at the mouth of a secondary tidal channel, a tributary of the San Blas Channel; and (c) Los Pocitos (LP; $40.46^{\circ}S \ 62.36^{\circ}W$), located in the south flank of the San Blas Channel in a lower-current environment and situated within Anegada Bay.

Each area was sampled seasonally from October 2007 to February 2009 by using seven bottom gill-nets, each with a length of 25 m and a height of 2 m. The gill-net gang contained different sizes between opposite knots, namely: 64, 70, 80, 105, 135, 150 and 170 mm. Sampling was always carried out during a nocturnal tidal cycle. After each haul, all the fish captured were identified to the lowest possible taxonomic level following Menni *et al.* (1984), counted and weighed.

The water depth (m), temperature (°C), and salinity (psu) were measured at the beginning of the experimental fishing with a Horiba U-50 multiparameter water-quality meter.

Data analysis

Fish number (N) and weight (W) were estimated by standardizing each haul to 12 h of fishing time for the entire gang of gill-nets.

The ecological status of each fish species within the assemblage was established by means of the Olmstead Tukey's test (Sokal & Rohlf, 1979), where the (log) average of relative abundance of each fish is compared to their (log) percentage frequency of occurrence. This analysis enables the establishment of a quantitative classification of the species within the area on the basis of four ecological-use functional categories: (a) dominant: species with values of both the relative abundance and the relative frequency of occurrence higher than the respective arithmetic means for the two parameters; (b) common: species with only the relative frequency of occurrence higher than the corresponding arithmetic mean; (c) occasional: species with only the relative abundance higher than the corresponding arithmetic mean; and (d) rare: species with values of both the relative abundance and the relative frequency of occurrence lower than the respective arithmetic means for the two parameters.

The samples from each date and site were grouped by means of a CLUSTER analysis and then arranged in a 2-dimensional space through the use of a non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) based on the Bray–Curtis (dis)similarity index. These matrices were calculated on log (x + 1) for the N and W data set, where x is species' value, in order to reduce the influence of the dominant species (Legendre & Legendre, 1998; Podani, 2000). Both multivariate techniques were applied simultaneously to give a greater robustness to the analysis, as suggested by Clarke & Warwick (2001). To test statistically for fuzziness in cluster groups a $P(G^{\circ} \leq G^*)$ analysis was used (Pillar, 1999). The resulting probability indicates whether the groups in the partition are sharp enough to reappear consistently in bootstrap re-sampling (N = 1000) where Ho means that the partition level is sharp.

The assemblage variables such as the total number of species (*S*), the Shannon–Wiener index (\dot{H}), and the Pielou evenness (*K*) were calculated according to Magurran (2005) with the subroutine DIVERSE of PRIMER 6 computer package (Clarke & Warwick, 2001). These attributes were obtained for each group identified by the CLUSTER and

 Table 1. List of species comprising Anegada Bay fish assemblage, their specific name abbreviated and ecological status: RA, rare; DO, dominant; CO, common.

Family	Species	Abreviation	OLM Status
Pomatomidae	Pomatomus saltatrix (Linnaeus, 1766)	Po.sa	RA
Engraulidae	Lycengraulis grossidens (Agassiz, 1829)	Ly.gr	RA
Sciaenidae	Menticirrhus americanus (Linnaeus, 1758)	Me.am	RA
Myliobatidae	Myliobatis goodei (Garman, 1885)	My.go	DO
Sciaenidae	Micropogonias furnieri (Desmarest, 1823)	Mi.fu	DO
Hexanchidae	Notorynchus cepedianus (Péron, 1807)	No.ce	RA
Triakidae	Mustelus schmitti (Springer, 1939)	Mu.sc	DO
Paralichthyidae	Paralichthys sp. ^a	Pa.sp	RA
Pleuronectidae	Oncopterus darwinii (Steindachner, 1874)	On.da	RA
Mugilidae	Mugil platanus (Ghünter, 1880)	Mu.pl	RA
Batrachoididae	Porichthys porosissimus (Cuvier, 1829)	Po.po	RA
Carangidae	Parona signata (Jenyns, 1841)	Pa.si	RA
Stromateidae	Stromateus brasiliensis (Fowler, 1906)	St.br	CO
Atherinopsidae	Odontesthes argentinensis (Valenciennes, 1835)	Od.ar	DO
Sciaenidae	Cynoscion guatucupa (Cuvier, 1830)	Cy.gu	DO
Squatinidae	Squatina guggenheim (Marini, 1936)	Sq.gu	DO
Callorhynchidae	Callorhynchus callorynchus (Linnaeus, 1758)	Ca.ca	CO
Percophidae	Percophis brasiliensis (Quoy & Gaimard, 1825)	Pe.br	RA
Arhynchobatidae	Sympterygia sp. ^b	Sy.sp	RA
Eleginopsidae	Eleginops maclovinus (Cuvier, 1830)	El.ma	RA
Clupeidae	Brevoortia aurea (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)	Br.au	CO

^a, Paralichthys orbignyanus + P. patagonicus; ^b, Sympterygia acuta + S. bonapartii

_

Abrev.	orev. PR					LP					SB					
	2008			2009	2007				2009	2007	2008				2009	
	Autumn	Winter	Spring	Summer	Spring	Summer	Autumn	Winter	Spring	Summer	Spring	Summer	Autumn	Winter	Spring	Summer
Po.sa	-	-	-	1.85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.13	-	-	-	4.29
Ly.gr	-	-	-	1.85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Me.am	-	-	-	-	-	0.92	-	-	-	-	-	1.04	-	-	-	0.86
My.go	-	0.75	60.92	7.38	3.75	48.92	-	-	18.29	8.31	45	55.30	-	-	47.08	24
Mi.fu	-	-	12	14.77	-	2.77	-	-	4	9.23	-	0.75	-	-	-	5.14
No.ce	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.92	-	1.04	-	-	-	-
Mu.sc	1.41	-	52.62	71.08	222.75	184.62	34.43	69.18	228	106.15	225	102.26	263.20	36.75	142.15	71.14
Pa.sp	0.71	0.75	8.31	0.92	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.40	-	-	-
On.da	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.04	-	-	-	-
Mu.pl	-	-	1.85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Po.po	-	-	0.92	-	4.50	0.92	-	-	2.86	-	2	-	-	-	1.85	-
Pa.si	-	-	-	-	1.50	-	-	-	-	0.92	-	-	-	0.75	0.92	-
St.br	0.71	-	-	-	-	-	2.09	23.29	-	0.92	-	-	-	8.25	-	0.86
Od.ar	7.76	28.50	8.31	10.15	-	12	12.52	165.88	2.29	-	2	12.52	16	9	-	19.71
Cy.gu	4.24	-	10.15	11.08	29.50	23.08	20.87	-	6.86	57.23	283	15.65	-	1.50	18.46	4.29
Sq.gu	-	-	12	0.92	4.75	8.31	1.04	-	5.71	-	1	4.17	0.80	-	3.69	0.86
Ca.ca	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.14	-	7	-	1.60	-	12.92	-
Pe.br	-	-	-	0.92	-	-	0.52	-	-	-	-	1.04	-	-	-	3.43
Sy.sp	-	-	0.92	1.85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.69	-
El.ma	-	-	0.92	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Br.au	-	-	4.62	-	3.75	0.92	-	-	-	-	101	29.22	-	-	13.85	-

Table 2A.	Standardized	species abundance	e per year	season and	l sampling	station: PR	, Punta	Ramírez;	LP, Los	Pocitos; S	SB, San Blas.
-----------	--------------	-------------------	------------	------------	------------	-------------	---------	----------	---------	------------	---------------

Abrev.	PR				LP					SB						
	2008			2009	2007	2008			20	2009	2007	2008				2009
	Autumn	Winter	Spring	Summer	Spring	Summer	Autumn	Winter	Spring	Summer	Spring	Summer	Autumn	Winter	Spring	Summer
Po.sa	-	-	_	0.51	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	0.70	_	-	-	0.35
Ly.gr	-	-	-	0.18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Me.am	-	-	-	-	-	0.37	-	-	-	-	-	0.42	-	-	-	0.24
My.go	-	3.88	114.89	24.87	7.94	65.47	-	-	34.50	16.04	91.99	92.36	-	-	90.10	43.63
Mi.fu	-	-	13.82	3.70	-	3.58	-	-	8.67	2.08	-	0.51	-	-	-	5.01
No.ce	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.17	-	0.66	-	-	-	-
Mu.sc	0.33	-	32.56	25.22	95.94	82.10	6.38	13.27	117.70	45.22	163.89	36.66	62.78	7.41	65.77	27.16
Pa.sp	0.30	0.46	5.76	0.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.69	-	-	-
On.da	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.10	-	_	-	-
Mu.pl	-	-	0.92	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Po.po	-	-	0.13	-	0.56	0.06	-	-	0.28	-	0.43	-	-	-	0.46	-
Pa.si	-	-	-	-	1.93	-	-	-	-	1.02	-	-	-	0.75	0.58	-
St.br	0.15	-	-	-	-	-	0.48	5.02	-	0.32	-	-	-	1.69	-	0.47
Od.ar	2.13	8.07	2.41	2.63	-	3.14	3.41	46.58	0.61	-	0.85	4.17	4.42	2.88	-	6.14
Cy.gu	2.30	-	5.48	6.09	10.32	15.01	13.98	-	3.30	32.28	116.86	10.74	-	0.92	12.80	2.68
Sq.gu	-	-	17.61	0.79	10.57	7.85	0.49	-	2.76	-	0.36	1.04	0.21	-	5.72	3.12
Ca.ca	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.62	-	12.38	-	3.42	-	15.46	-
Pe.br	-	-	-	0.68	-	-	0.36	-	-	-	-	0.05	-	-	-	2.43
Sy.sp	_	-	0.37	0.96	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	1.52	-
El.ma	-	-	0.22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Br.au	-	-	1.03	-	1.12	0.05	-	-	-	-	40.06	4.38	-	-	3.77	-

Table 2B. Standardized species weight (kg) per year, season and sampling station: PR, Punta Ramírez; LP, Los Pocitos; SB, San Blas.

nMDS analyses, and the existence of significant differences between sample groups evaluated by the Student's t-test (Zar, 2010).

To determine whether or not significant shifts in assemblage structure had occurred between the fish assemblage groups, a non-parametric permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) was used (Anderson, 2001; McArdle & Anderson, 2001). A 10,000 permutation procedures was selected to obtain the null hypothesis distribution (indicated as 'pseudo' F) and P-values for the tests. The fish species most responsible for the multivariate pattern were identified by means of a similarity-percentages analysis (SIMPER). Species that contributed greatly to the dissimilarity were selected as those responsible for the assemblage differences. This multivariate technique was done with the PRIMER 6 statistics package (Clarke & Warwick, 2001). Both tests were done using the Bray–Curtis (dis)similarities index applied on the N and W data set.

In addition, the variation in the fish assemblage over time in relation to the environmental variables measured was evaluated by direct gradient-redundancy analysis (RDA) through the use of the CANOCO 4.5 software package (Ter Braak & Smilauer, 2002). The decision to use the linear RDA was made in view of the lengths of the gradients in the DCA (<4; Leps & Smilauer, 2003). The global model contained environmental variables (water temperature, salinity, and depth) transformed to log (x + 1), while the year and the sample location were used as covariates. Fish-abundance data were log-transformed, scaling was focused on interspecies correlations, the model was centred around the species, the species scores were divided by the standard deviation and the samples were not modified. The significance (P <0.05) of the RDA gradient was assessed by Monte Carlo permutation tests (Ter Braak & Verdonschot, 1995). These techniques yielded a so-called triplot, where the fish and species abundances and the sample stations (represented by acronyms), together with key environmental variables (represented by vectors) were displayed in an ordination diagram.

RESULTS

Fish-sample composition and representation

The samplings in spring 2007 and summer 2008 at the site PR and during autumn 2009 at the sites PR and LP were not



Fig. 2. Upper panels: CLUSTER analysis corresponding to fish number (A) and fish weight (B) for the fish assemblage in Anegada Bay. The dotted line represents the similarity level of the two main groups. Lower panels: nMDS analysis in number (C) and weight (D). The circles include the warmer-season group, the diamonds represent the colder-season group, and the grey scales correspond to the sampling sites. Codes: the first two letters represent the sampling stations (PR, Punta Ramírez; SB, San Blas; LP, Los Pocitos), the second ones indicate the sampling seasons (WI, winter; AU, autumn; SU, summer; SP, spring) and the three numbers correspond to the sampling years (07, 2007; 08, 2008; 09, 2009).

completed because of bad weather conditions and thus were not included in the analysis. The use of experimental gillnets provided a total of 4061 individuals and 21 marine coastal species (six chondrichthyans and 15 osteichthyans taxa) belonging to 21 genera and 19 families (Table 1). Chondrichthyans accounted for 67% of the abundance (N) and 77% of the weight (W; Table 2A, B). The Patagonian smoothhound (Mustelus schmitti Springer, 1939) was the most highly represented species in terms of both N (55%) and W (41%), followed by the eagle ray (*Myliobatis* spp.) at 9% and 30% N and W, respectively. Among the bony fish the most abundant species was the striped weakfish (Cynoscion guatucupa (Cuvier, 1830)) with respect to both N (14%) and W (12%), followed by the marine silverside (Odontesthes argentinensis (Valenciennes, 1835)) at respective N and W values of 9% and 4%. The Olmstead Tukey analysis indicated that 28% of the species should be considered as abundant, 14% as common, and 57% as rare, without any species being classified as occasional (Table 1).

Seasonal pattern based on abundance and biomass

The CLUSTER analysis for the sampling sites as a function of fish number and weight defined two main groups following an intra-annual pattern (Figure 2A, B). The first group was composed of samples taken in the spring and summer (hereafter referred to as the *warmer season*) and the second by samples obtained during the autumn and winter (hereafter referred to as the *colder season*). This pattern, however, was practically independent of the sampling sites. The probability of $P(G^{\circ} \leq G^*) = 0.32$ for the second partition level indicated that null hypothesis is accepted and the groups are really sharp. Moreover, the nMDS showed the same intra-annual pattern as the dendrogram with no overlap between groups and a stress value of 0.1, corresponding to a good ordination with no real prospect of a misleading interpretation (Clarke & Warwick, 2001; Figure 2C, D).

Difference between the warmer- and the colder-season groups

The species richness showed a strong pattern of annual variation, where the highest values were recorded during the warmer season (mean = 9.3, standard error ± 0.59) and the lowest in the colder season (mean = 5.14, standard error \pm 0.76), with these values being statistically different (t = -4.32, P < 0.05). The minimum species richness was obtained at PR and LP during the winter (S = 3), whereas the maximum was found at PR during the summer (S =12). In addition, the H and K indices were significantly different between the two groups (t = -2.13, t = 2.38, P < 0.05), with the former parameter being higher during the warmer season (mean 1.25, standard error \pm 0.1 and mean 0.87, standard error ± 0.08) and the latter higher during the colder season (mean 0.53, standard error \pm 0.06 and mean 0.39, standard error ± 0.02). Finally, the fish compositions of the assemblages were significantly different between the two groups as well (PseudoF = 5.72 in N and PseudoF = 7.75 in W, P < 0.05).

The SIMPER analysis indicated that the average similarity of each seasonal fish assemblage ranged between 64.43% in N

Table 3. Species contribution to similarity between the seasons based on fish number and weight as assessed by the SIMPER procedure.

Similarity within groups

Warmer seasons								
Number		Weight						
Species	Contribution (%)	Species	Contribution (%)					
Mustelus schmitti	35.1	Mustelus schmitti	33.2					
Myliobatis goodei	20.1	Myliobatis goodei	29.5					
Cynoscion guatucupa	18.9	Cynoscion guatucupa	17.4					
Squatina guggenheim	6.5	Squatina guggenheim	6.9					
Total	80.7	Total	87.3					
Colder seasons								
Number		Weight						
Species	Contribution (%)	Species	Contribution (%)					
Odontesthes argentinensis	49.8	Mustelus schmitti	48.1					
Mustelus schmitti	33.3	Myliobatis goodei	33.2					
Cynoscion guatucupa	6.0	Cynoscion guatucupa	8.1					
Stromateus brasiliensis	0.5	Stromateus brasiliensis	3.7					
Total	89.7	Total	93.3					

and 64.42% in *W* for the warmer period and between 51.97% and 43.33%, respectively, for the colder. *Mustelus schmitti*, *Myliobatis* spp., and *Cynoscion guatucupa* were the species that mostly accounted for seasonal differences in the assemblage both in terms of *N* and *W* (Table 3). These species, along with *Odontesthes argentinensis* and *Squatina guggenheim* Marini, 1936, were, furthermore, those that mostly contributed to the dissimilarity between seasonal groups with respect to both *N* and *W* (Table 4).

 Table 4. Species contribution to dissimilarity between the seasons based on species number and weight as assessed by the SIMPER procedure.

Dissimilarity between groups	Dissimilarity between gr	oups
------------------------------	--------------------------	------

Number	Weight				
Species	Contribution (%)	Species	Contribution (%)		
Myliobatis goodei	16.6	Myliobatis goodei	22.9		
Cynoscion guatucupa	12.7	Mustelus schmitti	15.7		
Mustelus schmitti	12.3	Cynoscion guatucupa	12.7		
Odontesthes argentinensis	9.2	Squatina guggenheim	8.3		
Brevoortia aurea	7.61	Odontesthes argentinensis	7.7		
Micropogonias furnieri	7.21	Micropogonias furnieri	7.5		
Total	65.8	Total	75.1		

Relationship between fish assemblages and environmental variables

All the environmental parameters considered by RDA significantly influenced the fish assemblage (P < 0.05). The first two axes of the RDA on the number of data set accounted for 45.5% of the total variance, with both the first axis and the sum of all canonical being significant (P = 0.002). The first ordination axis accounted for 80.5% of the variance in the relationship between the species and environmental data, while the second axis explained only 13% (Table 5). Since after manual selection the third environmental variable (depth) added to the model entered with a limited α and was mainly correlated with the second canonical axis, the significance of this axis was evaluated by partial RDA (P > 0.05). Then, from the RDA-ordination diagram a single gradient observed separated the fish primarily on the basis of temperature, where the warmer seasons were located on the right side of the diagram and the colder on the left (Figure 3; only the species well-fitting to the gradient are shown). Here, only O. argentinensis and Stromateus brasiliensis Fowler, 1906

 Table 5.
 Summary statistics of the redundancy analysis for the fish assemblage and environmental factors in Anegada Bay.

RDA A	xis 1	Axis 2	Axis 3
Eigenvalues	0.31	0.05	0.02
Species-environment correlation	0.92	0.77	0.68
Cumulative variance % species	39.2	45.5	48.7
Cumulative variance % species-variables	30.5	93.5	100
Interset correlations temperature (°C)	0.81	0.10	0.34
Salinity (psu) -	0.76	0.34	0.18
Depth (m) –	0.20	0.74	-0.09



Fig. 3. Triplot diagram of the redundancy analysis for environmental variables and fish assemblages in Anegada Bay. The sample codes are as defined in Figure 2, while for the fish the first two letters of the genus plus the first two letters of the species name are used, separated by a period (see the species names listed in Table 1).

showed preferences for cold water, while the majority of the species appeared during the warm-water period. Within this group, the species most related to the high temperatures were *M. goodei*, *C. guatucupa*, *S. guggenheim* and *M. schmitti*. Salinity, in turn, appeared to be correlated with the first canonical axis but opposite to water temperature, while depth appeared as the least related variable and not strongly correlated with any particular species although *Parona signata* appears to use mid-depths.

DISCUSSION

This study investigated changes in the marine shallow-water fish assemblage from Anegada Bay over time in terms of variations in species richness, fish number and fish weight, in three different locations. Although species composition did not depart strongly from patterns predicted by zoogeographic studies for this region (Balech & Erlich, 2008), very shallow areas such as Anegada Bay can present distinctive patterns or new recorded species (Llompart et al., 2010). The core members of the Anegada Bay fish assemblage were represented by only a few species. Among those present, Mustelus schmitti, Micropoganias furnieri, and Cynoscion guatucupa belong to the varied coastal (variado costero) fishing group that consists of species inhabiting the coastal areas between 34° and 41°S and up to 50 m depth (Angelescu & Prenski, 1987; Carozza et al., 2001a). These three speciesidentified as the most typical ones for the inner coastal assemblage of Argentina (Jaureguizar et al., 2006)-exhibit great economic relevance in Brazil and Uruguay (Haimovici et al., 1989; Nion, 1999; Miranda & Vooren, 2003; Vasconcellos & Haimovici, 2006) as well as in Argentina, both nationwide (Carozza et al., 2001b; Massa & Hozbor, 2003; Perrota & Ruarte, 2009) and at the local level, since the three are targeted by both recreational and artisanal fishing within Anegada Bay (Colautti et al., 2010; Llompart et al., 2012). Another two abundant species in the Anegada fish assemblage were Myliobatis spp.-also well represented in the outer area of the Río de la Plata estuary (Rico 2000; Jaureguizar et al., 2004)—and Odontesthes argentinensis—quite common in the coastal areas of Brazil, Uruguay, and Argentina (de Buen, 1953; Chao et al., 1985; Moresco & Bemvenuti 2006; Sampaio, 2006). These last two species also represent a valuable fishery resource within Anegada Bay (Llompart, 2011; Llompart et al., 2012). Finally, Squatina guggenheim-classified as an endangered species by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (Chiaramonte & Vooren, 2007), despite still being one of the most common fish in the national market (Massa et al., 2003)-was not relevant to fishing in the present study area.

The composition of the coastal-fish assemblages at the three sites in Anegada Bay showed strong seasonal differences. These changes in fish composition allowed us to differentiate two groups, corresponding to the autumn-winter and the spring-summer seasons. The pattern of variation observed in the assemblage attributes along with several indices suggested the existence of two periods: one of warmer months (the spring-summer) when the species-abundance, diversity, dominance, and richness values were higher than those found during the second colder season (autumn-winter). This pattern was similar throughout the three years of the study—2007, 2008 and 2009—and at the three sampling

sites, thus exhibiting a consistency over time and reproducibility among the three locations.

Since the temporal pattern assemblage species, should be in part to the population dynamics of each of them, we suggested that this intra-annual seasonal pattern can be produced by an admixture of oceanodromous migrant species and/or by seasonally breeding partial migrants (Chapman *et al.*, 2012a, b).

Temporal variations in fish assemblages related to reproductive activities of particular species were mentioned in the temperate False Bay in South Africa, where abundance and species richness were highest during the period when most species recruit (Clark et al., 1996). Similarly, in Ardmucknish Bay on the west coast of Scotland, an increase in both numbers and species were caused mainly by the recruitment of young of the year (Gibson et al., 1993). In addition, Layman (2000) working on the north end of Hog Island Bay in North America, showed that fish species richness and total abundance peaked in summer and were lowest in the winter due to migration of certain species to deeper waters or southward during cooler months. Furthermore, movements to inshore areas as a result of reproductive behaviour during the warmer months could have been one of the main influences on the coastal-fish assemblage, as had been previously noted by several studies done in the south-west Atlantic Ocean. Pinheiro et al. (2009) and Rodrigues & Vieira (2013) worked in temperate and subtropical marine coastal areas of Brazil, respectively, and found intra-annual variation of fish assemblage related to seasonal presence of juveniles and reproductive adults due to the high reproduction activity in the spring/summer months. In our study, M. schmitti and C. guatucupa exhibited a well defined pattern characterized by the highest abundance during the warmer months and a decrease during the autumn and winter. Moreover, the seasonal migration of Mustelus schmitti in Anegada Bay had been investigated by Colautti et al. (2010) who found that adult smoothhounds entered the bay during the spring and remained until the summer for mating and reproduction, only to leave the area and return again the following year. The neonates and juveniles of this species, however, because of food availability, persisted during the entire year until reaching sexual maturity (Colautti et al., 2010). For this reason Anegada Bay should be considered a nursery area (Molina & López Cazorla, 2011). Moreover, certain authors have suggested that the concentration of C. guatucupa through seasonal migration into the coastal areas between November and April likewise occurs for reproduction (Cosseau et al., 1986; López Cazorla, 1996). Similarly, a seasonal presence during the warmer part of the year, but an absence in autumn, was detected for S. guggenheim in Anegada Bay in the present study. This pattern could be related to a migration of part of the population towards shallower coastal waters (<40 m), where copulation and parturition take place between November and December (i.e. spring; Sunye & Vooren, 1997; Colonello et al., 2007). Myliobatis sp., for its part, was identified as a marine migrant (Rico, 2000) and was therefore more abundant during the spring season, as in the outer area of the Río de la Plata estuary (Jaureguizar et al., 2004)—there, however, the breeding site of this species remains unknown. The euryhaline and migrant species Brevoortia aurea, a spring-summer spawner (Acha & Machi, 2000), and Micropogonias furnieri-which reproduce between October and April (Militelli et al., 2012)-were not present in the

bay during the colder seasons. Evidence was found for the presence in the bay of juveniles of *C. guatucupa*, *S. guggenheim, Myliobatis goodei*, *B. aurea*, and *Micropogonias furnieri* during the period of the sampling programme. By contrast, the marine silveride *O. argentinensis* evidenced the highest abundance during the autumn–winter season, even though reproducing in the spring (Llompart *et al.*, 2013). The hypothesis that Anegada Bay is used seasonally during the warmer months by migrants entering for reproduction likewise agrees with the recorded arrival of the four large coastal sharks ((*Carcharias taurus* Rafinesque 1810, *Carcharhinus brachyurus* (Günther, 1870), *Galeorhinus galeus* (Linnaeus, 1758) and *Notorynchus cepedianus* (Perón, 1807)) from the outer areas during the spring–summer seasons for mating and breeding (Lucifora *et al.*, 2002, 2005, 2006, 2009a, b).

The limited proportion of dominant species found in the fish assemblage of Anegada Bay agrees with a widespread and general pattern described for various taxa, including fish in shallow bay areas, estuaries and other coastal environments (Clark et al., 1994; Valesini et al., 1997) in which few species are dominant, others only moderately common, and the rest either uncommon or rare (Magurran et al., 2011). The species classified as dominant (e.g. Myliobatis spp., C. guatucupa and Mustelus schmitti) were also those that most greatly contributed to the dissimilarities between the fish fauna of the spring-summer and autumn-winter groups. Nevertheless, M. schmitti also made a high contribution to the similarities in both the warmer and the colder seasons since age-class abundances indicated great seasonal variation. According to this pattern, individuals smaller than 40 cm are present throughout the year, but the occurrence of individuals of length greater than 47 cm had been observed almost exclusively in the spring–summer seasons (Colautti *et al.*, 2010).

The RDA indicated that changes in the attributes of the assemblage were positively correlated with water temperature, and this parameter was therefore selected as the main environmental variable of relevance to the seasonal structuring of the Anegada Bay fish assemblage. Accordingly, water temperature had also been seen as the most consequential determinant of the structuring of the fish assemblages along the south-west continental shelf (Menni & Gosztonyi, 1982; Menni & López, 1984). For example, Jaureguizar et al. (2006) showed that the spatial distribution of the spring fish assemblages in the northern Argentine marine shelf (between 34° and 41° S) was explained mostly by the general water temperature, while Menni et al. (2010) found that depth and the bottom water temperature were the variables selected for their functional relevance in determining chondrichthyan-species composition (between 22° and 54° S). Salinity, in turn, plays a major role in structuring fish assemblages in estuarine environments (Jaureguizar et al., 2003; Barletta et al., 2005), but it is only of secondary relevance in coastal systems (Menni et al., 2010). Since these variables covary, the interpretation of the effect of each one alone is difficult to assess (Jaureguizar et al., 2004).

Anegada Bay is influenced by the so-called Patagonian current (Brandhorst & Castello, 1971) that flows along the shoreline from south to north. That marine water mass receives the influence of freshwater discharges from the Negro River and, to a much lesser extent, from the Colorado River, both of which decrease the salinity levels (Guerrero & Piola, 1997). Despite this influence, the differences in the water-temperature conditions noted in our

study reflect complex interactions and possible combinations of natural warming phenomena through seasonal changes (Boltovskoy, 1981) and/or as a result of the warm marine current coming in a south-southwest direction that reaches the coast of the Buenos Aires province in the spring during October (Balech, 1971, 1986; Martos & Piccolo, 1988). Those seasonal changes appear to be well reflected by the domination of the fish-assemblage composition by the presence of species adapted to migrate in accordance with temperature (and salinity) fluctuations. We thus suggest that the core species enter into the bay in the early spring as warming occurs abruptly during the warmer months and then leave the bay during the early autumn until the beginning of winter because the cooling process is more uniform and prolonged (Bianchi et al., 1982). These modifying environmental conditions coupled with a shallow depth furthermore provide suitable habitat characteristics for the accommodation of species that move to coastal areas for reproduction, so that the influences of both the biotic and the abiotic variables are seen to come into play in combination. The relative influence of the abiotic and biotic factors in structuring fish communities has received much attention in recent years. Large-scale distribution patterns of fish are believed to result primarily from species responses to their physical environment (Martino & Able, 2003), but biotic interactions and biological responses could influence the fish-distribution patterns and structure on a smaller geographical scale (Menge & Olson, 1990).

Knowledge about the temporal and spatial distributions of marine species in areas of high ecological and economic value such as Anegada Bay is a significant issue, particularly if those patterns involve habitats that are critically linked to the species' life history cycles. Moreover, since the core species of the Anegada Bay assemblage represent valuable commercial and recreational targets sustaining different kinds of inshore fishing activities over the entire distribution range, studies related to those species' natural movements and abundance patterns can provide valuable information for developing regional conservation programmes within an ecosystembased fisheries management framework. Specifically, as was showed in this study since the core assemblages species use the bay seasonally mainly for reproduction, a comprehensive management plan should include not only the Anegada Bay as a protected area but also other shelf areas that become critical to complete species life cycles. This is specially relevant in the case of Chondrichthyan species where size of reproductive stocks are a key factor for successful recruitments (Massa, 2013).

In addition, San Blas Bay is considered as the most important marine recreational fishery in Argentina where the highest catch per unit effort values, fishing effort and monthly catches occur during warmer months (Llompart *et al.*, 2012). These facts are directly related to the present findings of fish arrival during spring and their permanence until late summer. Therefore further studies should be oriented to relate fish assemblage attributes to specific management guidelines in Anegada Bay to accommodate anglers' and local economy requirements, but at the same time to assure that fish assemblages structure and natural environmental conditions are not impaired. To achieve such goal a co-management and adaptative framework is encouraged as a sound strategy to protect key species and promote new regulations mainly during the time periods where the Anegada Bay is used as a nursery ground and recruitment area (Colautti *et al.*, 2010). Such an approach, still unexplored for recreational and artisanal coastal marine fisheries in Argentina, could represent an innovative but suitable strategy to maintain the sustainability of coastal fisheries in healthy environments. Thus this contribution provides the first guidelines to approach a new management strategy for coastal fisheries settled in a protected area within the Argentinean seas.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to thank Dr Donald F. Haggerty for editing the final version of the manuscript.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT

Financial support from the PAE No. 22666/04-ANPCyT is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- Acha E.M. and Macchi G.J. (2000) Spawning of Brazilian menhaden, *Brevoortia aurea*, in the Río de la Plata estuary of Argentina and Uruguay. *Fishery Bulletin* 98, 227–235.
- Anderson M.J. (2001) A new method for a non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. *Austral Ecology* 26, 32–46.
- Angelescu V. and Prenski L.B. (1987) Ecología trófica de la merluza común del Mar Argentino (Merlucciidae, Merluccius hubbsi), parte 2, dinámica de la alimentación analizada sobre la base de las condiciones ambientales, la estructura y las evaluaciones de los efectivos en su área de distribución. Mar del Plata: INIDEP, No. 561, 205 pp.
- Baber M.J., Childers D.L., Babbitt K.J. and Anderson D.H. (2002) Controls on fish distribution and abundance in temporary wetlands. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 59, 1441–1450.
- Balech E. (1971) Notas históricas y críticas de la oceanografía biológica argentina. Servicio de Hidrografía Naval 20, 159–164.
- Balech E. (1986) De nuevo sobre la oceanografía frente a la Argentina. Servicio de Hidrografía Naval 645, 1–23.
- **Balech E. and Ehrlich M.** (2008) Biogeographic scheme of the Argentine Sea. *Revista de Investigación y Desarrollo Pesquero* 19, 45–75.
- Barletta M., Barletta-Bergan A., Saint-Paul U. and Hubold G. (2005) The role of salinity in structuring the fish assemblages in a tropical estuary. *Journal of Fish Biology* 66, 45–72.
- Bianchi A., Massoneau M. and Olivera R.M. (1982) Análisis estadístico de las características T-S del sector austral de la plataforma continental argentina. *Acta Oceanographica Argentina* 3, 93–118.
- Blaber S.J.M. and Blaber T.G. (1980) Factors affecting the distribution of juvenile estuarine and inshore fish. *Journal of Fish Biology* 17, 143–162.
- Boltovskoy E. (1981) Masas de agua en el Atlántico Sudoccidental. In Boltovskoy D. (ed.) *Atlas del zooplancton del Atlántico Sudoccidental*. Mar del Plata: INIDEP, pp. 227–237.
- **Borges M.E.** (2006) *Ecología de las ostras en ambientes del sur bonaerense: cultivo y manejo de sus poblaciones*. PhD thesis. Universidad Nacional del Sur, Argentina.
- Brandhorst W. and Castello J.P. (1971) Evaluación de los recursos de anchoíta (Engraulis anchoita) frente a la Argentina y Uruguay, I Las

condiciones oceanográficas, sinopsis del conocimiento actual de la anchoíta y plan para su evaluación. Mar del Plata: INIDEP, No. 32, 47 pp.

- Carozza C., Navarro L., Jaureguizar A., Lasta C. and Bertolotti M.B. (2001a) Asociación íctica costera Bonaerense 'Variado Costero'. Mar del Plata: INIDEP, No. 48/01.
- Carozza C., Ruarte C., Massa A., Suquelli P., Colautti D., Giangiobbe S., Arias A. and Hozbor N. (2001b) *Diagnóstico del conocimiento de la pesca costera demersal en la Provincia de Buenos Aires*. Trabajo conjunto INIDEP, Subsecretaría de Actividades Pesqueras de la Provincia de Buenos Aires, Departamento de Explotación Comercial Secretaría de Desarrollo Sustentable y Política Ambiental de la Nación Dirección de Recursos Ictícolas y Acuícolas. Consejo Federal Pesquero, 18 pp.
- Chao L.H., Pereira L.E. and Vieira J.P. (1985) Estuarine fish community of the dos Patos Lagoon, Brazil. A baseline study. In Yanez-Arancibia A. (ed.) Fish community ecology in estuaries and coastal lagoons: towards an ecosystem integration. Windhoek: UNAM Press, pp. 429–450.
- Chapman B.B., Skov C., Hulthén K., Brodersen J., Nilsson P.A., Hansson L.A. and Brönmark C. (2012a) Partial migration in fishes I: definitions, methodologies and taxonomic distribution. *Journal of Fish Biology* 81, 479–499.
- Chapman B.B., Hulthen K., Brodersen J., Nilsson P.A., Skov C., Hansson L.A. and Brönmark C. (2012b) Partial migration in fishes II: causes and consequences. *Journal of Fish Biology* 81, 456–478.
- Chiaramonte G. and Vooren C.M. (2007) Squatina guggenheim. In IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2012.1 web. Available at: http://www.iucnredlist.org/ (accessed 17 July 2013).
- Clark B.M., Bennett B.A. and Lamberth S.J. (1994) Comparison of the ichthyofauna of two estuaries and their adjacent surf zones, with an assessment of the effects of beach-seining on the nursery function of estuaries for fish. South African Journal of Marine Science 14, 121–131.
- Clark B.M., Bennett B.A. and Lamberth S.J. (1996) Temporal variations in surf zone fish assemblages from False Bay, South Africa. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 131, 35–47.
- **Clarke K.R. and Warwick R.M.** (2001) *Change in marine communities: an approach to statistical analysis and interpretation*, 2nd edition. Plymouth: PRIMER-E/Natural Environment Resource Council.
- Colautti D., Baigun C., Lopez C.A., Llompart F., Molina J.M., Suquele P. and Calvo S. (2010) Population biology and fishery characteristics of smoothhound *Mustelus schmitti* in Anegada Bay, Argentina. *Fisheries Research* 106, 351–357.
- Colonello J.H., Lucifora L.O. and Massa A.M. (2007) Reproduction of the angular angel shark (*Squatina guggenheim*): geographic differences, reproductive cycle, and sexual dimorphism. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 64, 131–140.
- Connell J.H. (1978) Diversity in tropical rain forest and coral reefs. *Science* 199, 1302–1310.
- Cuadrado D.G. and Gómez E.A. (2010) Geomorfología y dinámica del canal San Blas, Provincia de Buenos Aires (Argentina). *Latin American Journal of Sedimentology and Basin Analysis* 17, 3–16.
- Cuadrado D.G. and Gómez E.A. (2011) Morphodynamic characteristics in a tidal inlet: San Blas, Argentina. *Geomorphology* 135, 202–211.
- **De Buen F.** (1953) Los pejerreyes (Familia Atherinidae) en la fauna Uruguaya, con descripción de nuevas especies. *Boletim do Instituto Oceanográfico São Paulo* 4, 3–80.
- Fauth J.E., Bernardo J., Camara M., Resetarits W.J., Van Buskirk Jr J. and McCollum S.A. (1996) Simplifying the jargon of community ecology: a conceptual approach. *American Naturalist* 147, 282–286.

- **Galván D.E.** (2009) Ensambles de peces en los arrecifes norpatagónicos: diversidad, abundancia y relaciones tróficas y con el hábitat. PhD thesis. Universidad Nacional del Comahue, Argentina.
- **Galván D.E., Venerus L.A. and Irigoyen A.J.** (2009) The reef-fish fauna of the northern Patagonian Gulfs, Argentina, Southwestern Atlantic. *The Open Fish Science Journal* 2, 90–98.
- **García M.L., Jaureguizar A.J. and Protogino L.C.** (2010) From fresh water to the slope: fish community ecology in the Rio de la Plata and the sea beyond. *Latin American Journal of Aquatic Research* 38, 81–96.
- Gibson R.N., Ansell A.D. and Robb L. (1993) Seasonal and annual variations in abundance and species composition of fish and macrocrustacean communities on a Scottish sandy beach. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 98, 89–105.
- **Guerrero R.A. and Piola A.R.** (1997) Masas de agua en la plataforma continental. In Boschi E. (ed.) *El Mar Argentino y sus recursos pesqueros, Tomo I: Antecedentes históricos de las exploraciones en el mar y las características ambientales.* Mar del Plata: INIDEP, pp. 107–119.
- Haimovici M., Pereira S.D. and Vieira P.C. (1989) La pesca demersal en el sur de Brasil en el período 1975–1985. *Frente Marítimo* 5, 151–163.
- Jaureguizar A.J. (2004) Patrón espacial y temporal de las áreas de asociaciones ícticas demersales costeras (34°S-41°S) y su relación con los factores ambientales. PhD thesis. Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina.
- Jaureguizar A.J., Menni R., Bremec C., Mianzan H. and Lasta C. (2003) Fish assemblages and environmental patterns in the Rio de la Plata estuary. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 56, 921–933.
- Jaureguizar A.J., Menni R., Guerrero R. and Lasta C. (2004) Environmental factors structuring fish communities of the Río de la Plata Estuary. *Fisheries Research* 66, 195–211.
- Jaureguizar A.J., Menni R., Lasta C. and Guerrero R. (2006) Fish assemblages of the northern Argentine coastal system: spatial patterns and their temporal variations. *Fisheries Oceanography* 15, 326–344.
- Junk W.J., Bayley P.B. and Sparks R.E. (1989) The flood pulse concept in river-floodplain systems. In Dodge D.P. (ed.) *Proceedings of the international large rivers symposium. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 19, 110–127.
- Krefft G. (1968) Neue und erstmalig nachgewiesene Knorpelfische aus dem Archibental des Südwestatlantiks einschliesslich einer Diskussion einiger *Etmopterus*-Arten südlicher Meere. Archiv für Fischereiwissenchaft 19, 1–42.
- Layman C.A. (2000) Fish assemblage structure of the shallow ocean surfzone on the eastern shore of Virginia Barrier Islands. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 51, 201–213.
- Legendre P. and Legendre L. (1998) *Numerical ecology*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Leps J. and Smilauer P. (2003) Multivariate analysis of ecological data using CANOCO. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Llompart F.M. (2011) La ictiofauna de Bahía San Blas (Provincia de Buenos Aires) y su relación con la dinámica de las pesquerías deportiva y artesanal. PhD thesis. Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Argentina.
- Llompart F.M., Colautti D.C. and Baigun C.R.M. (2012) Assessment of a major shore-based marine recreational fishery in the Southwest Atlantic, Argentina. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 46, 57–70.
- Llompart F.M., Colautti D.C., Maiztegui T., Cruz-Jiménez A.M. and Baigun C.R.M. (2013) Biological traits and growth patterns of pejerrey Odontesthes argentinensis. Journal of Fish Biology 82, 458–474.

- Llompart F.M., Molina J.M., Cazorla A.L., Baigún C.R. and Colautti D.C. (2010) Pisces, Anegada Bay protected area, Buenos Aires Province, Argentina. *Check List* 127, 579–582.
- López Cazorla A. (1996) The food of *Cynoscion striatus* (Cuvier) (Pisces: Sciaenidae) in the Bahía Blanca area, Argentina. *Fisheries Research* 28, 371–379.
- López R.B. (1964) Problemas de la distribución geográfica de los peces marinos sudamericanos. Boletin del Instituto de Biología Marina 7, 57–62.
- Lucifora L.O. (2003) Ecología y conservación de los grandes tiburones costeros de Bahía Anegada, provincia de Buenos Aires, Argentina. PhD thesis. Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata, Argentina.
- Lucifora L.O., García V.B., Menni R.C. and Escalante A.H. (2006) Food habits, selectivity, and foraging modes of the school shark, *Galeorhinus* galeus. Marine Ecology Progress Series 315, 259–70.
- Lucifora L.O., García V.B., Menni R.C., Escalante A.H. and Hozbor N.M. (2009a) Effects of body size, age and maturity stage on diet in a large shark: ecological and applied implications. *Ecological Research* 24, 109–118.
- Lucifora L.O., García V.B. and Escalante A.H. (2009b) How can the feeding habits of the sand tiger shark, *Carcharias taurus*, influence the success of conservation programs? *Animal Conservation* 12, 291–301.
- Lucifora L.O., Menni R.C. and Escalante A.H. (2002) Reproductive ecology and abundance of the sand tiger shark, *Carcharias taurus*, from the southwestern Atlantic. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 59, 553–561.
- Lucifora L.O., Menni R.C. and Escalante A.H. (2005) Reproduction, abundance and feeding habits of the broadnose sevengill shark *Notorynchus cepedianus* in north Patagonia, Argentina. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 289, 237–244.
- Magurran E.M. (2005) Measuring biological diversity. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Magurran A.E., Khachonpisitsak S. and Ahmad A.B. (2011) Biological diversity of fish communities: pattern and process. *Journal of Fish Biology* 79, 1393–1412.
- Martino E.J. and Able K.W. (2003) Fish assemblages across the marine to low salinity transition zone of a temperate estuary. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 56, 969–987.
- Martos P. and Piccolo M.C. (1988) Hydrography of the Argentine continental shelf between 38° and 42°S. *Continental Shelf Research* 8, 1043-1056.
- Massa A.M. (2013) Peces Cartilaginosos (Clase Chondrichthyes) de la Región Costera Bonaerense y Uruguaya: Situación, Impacto y Grado de Vulnerabilidad de las Distintas Especies Frente a la Presión Pesquera. PhD thesis. Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata, Argentina.
- Massa A.M. and Hozbor N.M. (2003) Peces cartilaginosos de la plataforma Argentina: explotación, situación y necesidades para un manejo pesquero adecuado. *Frente Marítimo* 19, 199–206.
- Massa A.M., Hozbor N.M., Lucifora L.O. and Colonello J.H. (2003) Sugerencias de manejo para el 2003 de gatuzo (Mustelus spp.), peces ángel (Squatina spp.) y rayas costeras. Mar del Plata: INIDEP, No. 47, 13 pp.
- McArdle B.H. and Anderson M.J. (2001) Fitting multivariate models to community data: a comment on distance-based redundancy analysis. *Ecology* 82, 290–297.
- Menge B.A. and Olson A.M. (1990) Role of scale and environmental factors in regulations of community structure. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 5, 52–57.

- Menni R.C. and Gostonyi A.E. (1982) Benthic and semidemersal fish associations in the Argentine sea. *Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment* 17, 1–29.
- Menni R.C. and López H.L. (1984) Distributional patterns of Argentine marine fishes. *Physis* 42(103), 71–85.
- Menni R.C., Ringuelet R.A. and Aramburu R.H. (1984) Peces marinos de la Argentina y Uruguay, catálogo crítico ilustrado, claves para la determinación de familias, géneros y especies. Buenos Aires: Editorial Hemisferio Sur SA.
- Menni R.C., Jaureguizar A.J., Stehmann M. and Lucifora L.O. (2010) Marine biodiversity at the community level: zoogeography of sharks, skates, rays and chimaeras in the southwestern Atlantic. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 19, 775–796.
- Militelli M.I., Macchi G.J. and Rodrigues K.A. (2012) Comparative reproductive biology of Sciaenidae family species in the Río de la Plata and Buenos Aires Coastal Zone, Argentina. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, 93, 413–423.
- Miller J.M., Redd J.P. and Pietrafase L. (1984) Patterns, mechanisms and approaches to the study of migrations of estuarine dependent fish larvae and juveniles. In McCleave J.D., Arnold G.P., Dodson J. and Neil W. (eds) *Mechanisms of migrations in fish*. New York: Plenum Press, pp. 209–226.
- Miranda L.V. and Vooren C.M. (2003) Captura e esforço da pesca de elasmobrânquios demersais no sul do Brasil nos anos de 1975 a 1997. Frente Marítimo 19, 217–231.
- Molina J.M. and López Cazorla A. (2011) Trophic ecology of *Mustelus* schmitti (Springer, 1939) in a nursery area of northern Patagonia. *Journal of Sea Research* 65, 38–389.
- Moresco A. and Bemvenuti M.A. (2006) Biologia reprodutiva do peixe-rei Odontesthes argentinensis (Valenciennes) (Atherinopsidae) da região marinha costeira do sul do Brasil. Revista Brasileira de Zoologia 23, 1168–1174.
- Nion H. (1999) La pesquería de tiburones en Uruguay con especial referencia al cazón (*Galeorhinus galeus*, Linnaeus 1758). In Shotton R. (ed.) *Case studies of the management of elasmobranch fisheries*. Rome: FAO, pp. 218–267.
- Penchaszadeh P.E., Borges M.E., Damboronea C., Darrigan G., Obenat S., Pastorino G., Schwindt E. and Spivak E. (2003) Protección ambiental del Río de la Plata y su frente marítimo: prevención y control de la contaminación y restauración de hábitats. Proyecto PNUD/GEF RLA, Buenos Aires.
- Perrotta R.G. and Ruarte C.O. (2009) Análisis de la utilización de la captura por unidad de esfuerzo de pescadilla de red (Cynoscion guatucupa) como índice de abundancia anual período 1992–2004. Mar del Plata: INIDEP, No. 70, 13 pp.
- Pillar V.D. (1999) How sharp are classifications? Ecology 80, 2508-2516.
- Pinheiro H.T., Martins A.S., Araujo J.N. and Pinto A.S.S. (2009) Evidence of seasonal changes in community structure for a coastal ecosystem in the central coast of Brazil, south-west Atlantic. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 89, 217–224.
- **Podani J.** (2000) *Introduction to the exploration of multivariate biological data*. Leiden: Backhuys.
- Rico M.R. (2000) La salinidad y la distribución espacial de la ictiofuana en el estuario del Río de la Plata. PhD thesis. Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata, Argentina.
- Rodrigues F.L. and Vieira J.P. (2013) Surf zone fish abundance and diversity at two sandy beaches separated by long rocky jetties. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 93, 867–875.

- Sampaio L.A. (2006) Production of 'pejerrey' *Odontesthes argentinensis* fingerlings: a review of current techniques. *Biocell* 30, 121-123.
- Servicio de Hidrografía Naval (2009) SHN Web. Available at: http:// www.hidro.gov.ar/oceanografia/tmareas/form_tmareas.asp (accessed 25 July 2009).
- Sokal R.R. and Rohlf J.A. (1979) Biometria. Madrid: H. Blume.
- Sunye P.S. and Vooren C.M. (1997) On cloacal gestation in angel sharks from southern Brazil. *Journal of Fish Biology* 50, 86–94.
- Ter Braak C. and Smilauer P. (2002) CANOCO Reference Manual and CanoDraw for Windows User's Guide: Software for Canonical Community Ordination (version 4.5). Ithaca, New York: Microcomputer Power.
- Ter Braak C.J.F. and Verdonschot P.F.M. (1995) Canonical correspondence analysis and related multivariate methods in aquatic ecology. *Aquatic Science* 57, 255–289.
- Valesini J.F., Potter I.C., Platell M.E. and Hyndes G.A. (1997) Ichthyofaunas of a temperate estuary and adjacent marine embayment. Implications regarding choice of nursery area and influence of environmental changes. *Marine Biology* 128, 317–328.

Vasconcellos M. and Haimovici M. (2006) Status of white croaker *Micropogonias furnieri* exploited in southern Brazil according to alternative hypotheses of stock discreetness. *Fisheries Research* 80, 196–2002.

Wootton R.J. (1991) Ecology of teleost fishes. London: Chapman & Hall.

and

Zar J.H. (2010) *Biostatistical analysis.* 5th edition. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice-Hall.

Correspondence should be addressed to:

F.M. Llompart

Laboratorio de Ecología, Fisiología y Evalución de Organismos Acuáticos

Centro Austral de Investigaciones Cientificas (CADIC-CONICET)

Rernardo Houssay 200, Ushuaia (9410), Tierra del Fuego Argentina

email: facallompart@yahoo.com.ar