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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

### 1.0 Introduction

The Government of India and the USAID have begun a special project in the State of Uttar Pradesh (UP) called Innovations in Family Planning Services Projects (IFPS) under the executive management of the State Innovations in Family Planning Services Agency (SIFPSA). The agency has three objectives:

* Increase access to family planning services in the state
* Improve quality of health care services
* Promote contraceptive use

While achieving these goals, the IFPS project will support service innovations in (a) the public sector, (b) non-government sector, and (c) contraceptive social marketing mechanisms. These efforts, it is expected, would increase contraceptive use among the eligible couples (aged 13-49) from 20 per cent now to 40 per cent over a period of ten years.

A unique feature of this project is that most of the interventions would be developed at the district level. For this, it is essential that we have some baseline information for the districts in which the innovations are planned. Some of the basic information being sought are:

* Desired family size and sex preference among mothers
* Utilisation of health services and immunization of mothers and children
* MCH care and delivery practices
* Contraceptive information and services and satisfaction with health providers
* Media exposure and role of media in promoting small family norm
* Contraceptive use and unmet need

This obviously calls for undertaking a baseline survey in each district of the state. It is in this context that the Operations Research Group (ORG), at the instance of SIFPSA, has carried out the present baseline survey in the district of Sitapur.

### 2.0 Objectives

The objectives of this survey are to:

* Provide baseline information against which effectiveness and success of district level innovations can be assessed in future
* Provide background data at the district level to assist SIFPSA to design appropriate service innovations
* Measure current levels of use and access to family planning services
* Assess quality of information and follow-up services provided to family planning users on specific methods
* Ascertain knowledge and use of contraceptive methods and the level of unmet need
* Measure acceptability, utilisation and satisfaction with the methods and services provided

The baseline information will be used as the reference for the measurement of improvements in contraceptive use.

### 3.0 Study Site

Sitapur falls in Lucknow division of UP. The total population of Sitapur as per 1991 census is $28,57,009$, which is two per cent of the State's population. The growth rate (1981-91) is 2.2 per cent per annum. The share of urban population is 12 per cent against the state average of 20 per cent. One-third of the population comprises of scheduled caste. The sex ratio in Uttar Pradesh (879 females per 1000 males) is low, but it is still lower in Sitapur (833). Female literacy (17\%) is low as compared to the state average of 25 per cent.

Only 3 per cent of the females are economically active in the district against 12 per cent in UP. The district primarily depends on agriculture. The dependency ratio is 929 per 1000 working persons aged 15-64. As per 1992 service statistics, 33 per cent of the eligible couples in the district are protected by family planning methods.

### 4.0 Sample Design and Data Collection

In this survey, the sample was so designed that it was possible to estimate district level CPR and other important indicators. Care was taken so as to get rural and urban estimates separately. The sample design was implemented at two stages viz. selection of Primary Sampling Units (PSUs) and selection of households in the selected PSUs. It was decided that 25 households will be selected from each PSU and 100 PSUs from a district would yield a total sample of 2500 households. The baseline survey indeed covered 2500 households (1975 in rural and 525 in urban areas) spread over 8 towns and 79 villages.

Four types of schedules were canvassed:

* Household schedule
* Eligible women schedule
* Village schedule
* PHC/SC information schedule

These were respectively canvassed to any responsible member in the family, each eligible woman in sample households, any responsible village member and health functionaries at PHC/Subcentre. Trained female investigators collected the relevant information.

The survey results were projected for the district as a whole using weighting factors worked out separately for rural and urban areas. Some selected survey estimates and their comparison with 1991 census are outlined below:

|  | District |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Description | Survey estimates | Census (1991) | Remarks |
| Population |  |  | Survey estimate exceeds by: |
| Male | 1662835 | 1643865 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Female | 1422071 | 1368851 | $3.9 \%$ |
| Total | 3084906 | [Projected upto 19016] | $2.4 \%$ |
| Sex ratio (female per 1000 males) | 855 | 833 | Survey estimate exceeds by 22 |
| points |  |  |  |
| Age distribution (total population) |  |  |  |
| 0-4* | 15.1 | 12.8 | In agreement |
| 5-14 | 26.1 | 27.6 |  |
| 15-59 | 51.0 | 51.8 |  |
| 60+ | 7.8 | 7.8 |  |
| Urban population (\%) | 11.8 | [1981 census] |  |
| Literacy |  | 12.0 |  |
| Male | 60.4 |  | In agreement |
| Female | 26.2 | 43.1 | Survey shows higher literacy |
| rates |  |  |  |

### 5.0 Findings

* On the basis of this survey, the population of Sitapur is estimated to be thirty two lakhs, four per cent of which comprise visitors who spent the previous night prior to this survey in the sample households. The number of eligible (ever married) women works out to be six lakhs. The number of currently married women is 5.8 lakhs. The fertility and mortality measures have been estimated using the births and deaths occurring in the households within two years previous to this survey. Vital events belonging to visitors have not been included in the calculation. For the seventy nine surveyed villages, some preliminary information concerning health care facilities, activities of NGOs in the areas and role of panchayats in family welfare promotion etc. has been obtained. The salient findings of the baseline survey are presented below.


### 5.1 Area Profile and Health Care Facilities in Surveyed Villages

* The average population in the surveyed villages is about 2000.
* For one-quarter of the villages, the Sub-centre is beyond four kms. For sixty per cent villages, the Primary Health Centre (PHC) is beyond six kms. Three-fourth of the villages have private medical practitioners. Only six per cent villages have at least one medical shop.
* Three-fourth villages have at least one birth attendant. Ten per cent of the total birth attendants are trained.
* Only 5 per cent villages have an NGO. Half of the NGOs are not active. None provide family planning services.
* Only a small fraction of local panchayats (4\%) take active interest in family welfare
programme.
* Most of the government health care outlets are located in rented buildings. Few of them are electrified.
* Cold chain equipments comprise mainly vaccine carriers.
* Supply of most of the vaccines is regular and adequate. This is also true of contraceptives.
* Service outlets are mainly geared to handle IUD cases. They are equipped with IUD insertion kits. The manpower is also trained in IUD insertion.
* On the day of survey, by and large, all categories of staff were found in position.
* The outlets lack IEC support.


### 5.2 Characteristics of Surveyed Population

* The household population enumerated in this survey comprised usual residence and the visitors. For this district as a whole, the study in all enumerated 32 lakhs people. Among them, the share of the visitors was about four per cent.
* About two-fifth of the population comprise children below 15 years of age.
* Forty-four per cent of the total female population is in the reproductive ages 15-49 years.
* The sex ratio is 855 females per thousand males.
* The dependency ratio works out to be 960 per thousand people in the working age group 15-59 years.
* Eighty-seven per cent of the households belong to Hindu community and 13 per cent to Muslim community. Half of the rural households belong to scheduled caste community. The share of female headed household is three per cent. Mean household size is about six.
* In the district, three-fourth of the females and two-fifth of the males are illiterate. The 1991 census reveals still higher level of illiteracy.
* Half of the rural children aged 6-14 years and two-third of urban children of the same age group are going to school. Urban children have more opportunities for learning than rural children. Again, boys have more opportunities for learning than girls.
* Most of the rural families live in kutcha houses without electricity. Well and hand pump are the sources of potable water in rural areas. Piped water is available only in urban areas.
* Exposure to mass media is limited in rural areas. One-fourth own radio and two per cent own television. Urban proportions are 45 and 30 per cent respectively.


### 5.3 Features of Eligible Women and Nuptiality

* Majority of the eligible women (96\%) are currently married. Nearly two-fifth of them fall in the prime age group 20-29 years. One-tenth are quite young (<20 years), mostly belonging to rural areas.
* Majority of the women are illiterate and also housewives. Only about one-tenth (in both rural and urban areas) are employed by someone else.
* At least 90 per cent of the women have practically no exposure to any kind of massmedia.
* Of all the media, radio has some reach to these women.
* Presently, the mean age at marriage in the district is 17.6 years for girls and 22.3 years for boys. Over the three decades, there has only been marginal rise in marriage age. In 1961, the mean age at marriage was 15 years for girls and 20 years for boys. At least, three-fourth of the eligible women are unaware of the legal minimum age at marriage of boys and girls.
* Living together after marriage (gauna) starts early in rural areas compared to urban areas. For women, the mean age at gauna is 15 years in rural and 16 years in urban areas.
* However, compared to past, now a relatively lesser proportion of women start conjugal life at young ages of 13-14 years. This is particularly so among women who are educated beyond sixth standard. No other factor like rural-urban residence, religion etc play a role.


### 5.4 Fertility and Fertility Preferences

* There is evidence of high fertility in the area. The birth rate is 44 against 36 for the state as a whole. Certain factors influence fertility. Rural fertility (TFR 5.8) is higher than urban fertility (TFR 4.8). Women having above high school education have lower fertility (TFR 3.1) than illiterate women (TFR 6.1). Similarly, high caste women have lower fertility than scheduled caste and Hindus have lower fertility than Muslim women.
* Out of every 100 pregnancies, on an average, 97 end up in live births and the rest three percent comprise still birth, spontaneous/induced abortions etc.
* Three-fifth of the currently married women desire additional children. Two-third want the next child after two years but a quarter of them within one year.
* Two-fifth of the women want only boy (s). The women desiring only girl (s) are few. In the beginning of the child bearing an average woman lays equal importance to sons and daughters. After 3-4 children (and hopefully at least one girl among them), the tendency is that the next child, if at all, should be a son rather than a daughter.
* In rural areas, the desire of having no children gains momentum after a couple has three children. In urban areas, this momentum starts after two children.
* Nearly 70 per cent of the women both in rural and urban areas consider 2-3 children as the ideal number of children. However, more often than not the actual number far exceeds, the ideal number of children stated by women.
* One-third of the currently married women had no inter-spouse communication on the number of children they should have. Now of course, a relatively larger proportion of young couples talk on family size immediately after marriage. Education of girls plays some role in this matter.
* Ninety-three per cent of women did not feel any pregnancy as "unwanted". The feeling that a pregnancy is unwanted starts some where between 20 to 29 years and gradually gains ground. However, nearly four-fifth of these unwanted pregnancies end into live birth seemingly because of family pressure and lack of MTP services. A hypothetical question reveals that in future should these women become pregnant unwantedly, at least half of them are likely to accept the pregnancy.
* Child mortality is higher in rural compared to urban areas. In rural areas, women aged (13-49) deliver 3.6 live births, of which 2.7 survive. The figures for urban areas are 3.3 and 2.8 respectively. Child mortality is higher among illiterate and scheduled caste women. Among scheduled caste, the girl child experiences higher mortality than boys. The estimated IMR is 83 per 1000 live births. Death rate is 13 per 1000 population as estimated by this survey.


### 5.5 Maternal Care Services

* Antenatal care like check-up, protection against tetanus or anaemia during pregnancy was not availed by more than one-third of the pregnant women. These protection are taken more by urban women, literate women and those who are young (<35 years) and come from high caste Hindu families. Muslim women seem to take less protection. District hospital/PHC and private doctors are the main sources for undergoing antenatal check-up.
* One-third of the pregnant women go for medical check-up during the first trimester; half during the second trimester and the rest during the third trimester. A section of urban women take pregnancy more seriously than their rural counterpart and go for early medical check-up.
* Most of the deliveries (93\%) take place at home assisted by the unskilled people like untrained dais and family members (in $89 \%$ cases).


### 5.6 Immunization of Children

* At least two-fifth of the male and female children (6-23 months) in both rural and urban areas are not immunized at all.
* All vaccines were received by 42 per cent of boys and 29 per cent of girls in urban areas. In rural areas, the proportions are much less i.e. 24 and 17 per cent respectively. The parents in urban areas (compared to rural areas) are more particular about providing all vaccines to their children. Further, in immunization, boys receive preference over girls.
* Education seems to have some positive impact on the practice of immunization. A larger proportion of "not immunised children" belong to illiterate mothers than mothers who are educated above high school. In rural areas, Muslim mothers seem to neglect immunization of children. In urban areas, a relatively larger proportion of children who are immunised belong to high caste Hindu families as compared to low caste households. Immunization for measles is somewhat given less priority over other vaccines.


### 5.7 Utilisation of Public Health Services

* During sickness people mostly visit private doctors. Two-third of the ever married women said they visit private doctors only during sickness. A minority of them (6\%) depend exclusively on government services.
* The main reasons for "always preferring private doctors" are, better treatment, source near house and no medicines available in public sources. Some also talked about bad behaviour of PHC staff, long waiting time and less attention received at govt. clinics. Sixty per cent women said they are not certain about getting the doctor at the PHC.
* One-third of the women are already paying at the government clinics. Three-fourth of the women are ready to pay some money provided the government provides better services. So pricing of govt. health care services, if deemed necessary, is no bar.
* Half of the ever married women (or any member of the family) ever sought assistance from govt. health workers. During the past three months, however, only seven per cent of women were visited by the health staff. In most cases (85\%), the ANM/LHV visited the households.
* Majority of the women (at least $93 \%$ ), who were visited by health workers, felt that health workers spent enough time with them and they were satisfied with the health staff's service. The women also wanted the health staff should visit them again. More women in rural (compared to urban) areas hold good opinion about health staff.
* Eight per cent of currently married women were informed about family planning methods. Tubectomy was mentioned to four-fifth of the women and each of the other modern methods to around one quarter of the women. Three per cent women were intimated about safe period and withdrawal. Merits and demerits of methods were told to (at the most) 15 per cent of the women. During motivation, usually disadvantages were not mentioned. Information on how to use IUD, condom and pills was provided to one quarter of the women or less. Three-fourth
of the women were told where to get tubectomy. For other methods, this information was provided to only a quarter of the women who were informed about each of these methods.
* Majority of the women do not endorse the belief that "ANM is disinclined to assist deliveries in poor/low caste households; ANM belonging to scheduled caste families are not accepted by high caste people; and a young ANM is not better than a traditional dai in assisting deliveries".


### 5.8 Family Planning

* Among the family planning methods, awareness about sterilization is almost universal among the currently married women. Awareness about IUD is less as compared to pills and condom. Injection, safe period and withdrawal are known to around one quarter of the women. On an average, a currently married woman knows about four modern family planning methods including two modern spacing methods.
* Currently married women particularly in rural areas lack information on how to use spacing methods (IUD, pill, condom) correctly. Knowledge on correct use of safe period, withdrawal is still on the lower side.
* On an average, a woman is aware of four sources of supply of modern contraceptives including two sources for getting modern spacing methods. Awareness about contraceptive source is high. At least 70 per cent women know the supply source of all modern methods except IUD whose source is known to about half of the rural women.
* In the district, 22 per cent of the currently married women are using any method. The current users of sterilisation comprise 10 per cent and that of spacing methods 5 per cent. The proportion using any traditional method is 7 per cent. It has been seen that there is a wide gap between knowledge and practice of any contraceptive method in the area.

The estimate of current users provided by this survey (22\%) is lower than the estimate obtained from 1992 service statistics (33\%). During field checking investigators informed, the current users roughly vary from 18-25 per cent. NFHS estimate for the backward districts of UP is 19 per cent. Since no other survey estimate at the district level is available, these information make us believe that the real picture about the current users may not be too different from what is revealed from this survey.

* Tubectomy is largely accepted by older (>30 years) and less educated women. More Hindus than Muslims opt for this method. Education seems to influence IUD acceptance positively and also condom.
* By and large, contraceptive demand is felt after having two children. Sterilization is accepted after having at least two sons.


### 5.9 Unmet Need

* If we take into account the currently married non-pregnant women who want to wait for more than one year for the next child, who are unsure and who want no more children and also are not using any method, the unmet need works out to be 57 per cent. Of these, women, 58 per cent want to space children while the rest (42\%) want to terminate child bearing.
* Unmet need is found at all ages, but it is particularly high at young ages for spacing.
* Health reasons, family opposition, religious bindings, dislike for existing methods and lack of services contribute to unmet need.


### 5.10 Perceived Disadvantages of Methods

* The disadvantages perceived by the currently married women are method specific. For example, sterilisation is associated with abdominal pain and weakness; IUD with excessive bleeding; oral pill with weight gain and headache etc. For condom, perceived disadvantages are fear of failure and problem of disposal.
* Their apprehensions are largely based on what they heard from their friends and others. In case of IUD, condom and oral pills, their complaints are partly based on their own experience.


### 5.11 Sources of Supply of Contraceptives

* Services for sterilisation are mostly obtained from government clinics. IUD is obtained from govt. sources and also from private doctors. For first time acceptance of pills, couples visit chemists in addition to govt. clinics and private doctors.
* For condoms, shops are the most important source of supply. For pills, couples visit both shops and govt. outlets. By and large, the users of pills and condom get their supply regularly. In rural areas, in case of short supply, more often than not, no protection is taken.
* Most of the surveyed villages do not have outlets to serve the couples with pills and condoms.


### 5.12 Attitude of Couples Towards Family Planning

* At least four-fifth of the women approve the use of family planning. Fifteen per cent of the women said contraception is disapproved in their family. The main opponents in the family are the husband and the mother-in-law.
* Young brides (aged 13-19), Muslim women, illiterate women are more likely to face opposition in the family.


### 5.13 Exposure to Family Planning Message on Radio and Television

* Eighty per cent of rural women and half of the urban did not receive any family planning message either on radio or television during the past three months.
* Among those who received message, they said, the message contents largely referred to "small family size", "oral pills/Mala D" and "condom/Nirodh".


### 5.14 Reasons for Discontinuation of Family Planning Methods

* "Desire for a child" and "health problems caused by contraceptives" are the two main reasons for method discontinuation.
* About half of the women who/whose spouse accepted sterilisation reported having suffered problems.
* One-third of IUD and 16 per cent of the pill acceptors also reported problems experienced with these methods.


### 6.0 Discussion

In a nutshell, the baseline survey shows that in the district of Sitapur the level of fertility is high and the use of contraceptives is low. There is a wide gap between knowledge and practice of family planning. The unmet need is 57 per cent and is particularly high among young women largely because of health reasons, family opposition, dislike for methods etc. Pregnancies as such are not deemed unwanted and even if some are, village women have little options to get rid of them seemingly due to social pressure and no easy access to MTP services. The situation calls for dissemination of adequate information and strengthening service delivery with emphasis on quality part.

The survey has noted that, the ANM is accepted by the rural community and the village women look forward to her visits. Thus, in order to optimise the utility of ANMs as service providers, it will be worthwhile to review ANM's ratio to population (for augmenting their frequency of visits to the community), facilities provided to them (accommodation, transport etc.), and the training needs assessment for upgradation of their knowledge and skills. This will enable the ANMs to spend more time with the community and answer competently the queries of village women regarding family planning, common ailments and reproductive health issues.

There is a need to look into the programme on training of Traditional Birth Attendant. The survey has shown, not only bulk of the deliveries are conducted at home, majority of them are assisted by untrained dais and family members. In three-fourth of the surveyed villages, there is at least one Traditional Birth Attendant, but only one-tenth of the total birth attendants in these villages are trained in conducting deliveries. Therefore, training of dais should be urgently persuaded for the safety of the mother and the new born.

Today, very few depend on PHC/Sub-centres for treatment. There is a need to enhance the utility of these outlets and also their image as service points. What is expected is a little more dignified behaviour from the health staff (manning these outlets) and provision of
prescribed medicines. This study has shown that village community does not mind paying money provided the government offers improved services. For the benefit of all, the programme authorities may consider pricing of state health care services and make both beneficiaries and the service providers accountable to each other.

It has been found that, in nearly half of the service outlets, the supply of IEC materials is neither regular nor adequate. Audio-visual aids are non-existent. So, the IEC component needs to be strengthened. The IEC programme should lay emphasis on popularising certain spacing methods (for example IUD), telling people how to use all the spacing methods correctly and allaying apprehensions about modern methods, mostly spread by friends and neighbours. Since, as the survey indicates, most of the women are illiterate, secluded from the outside world and are practically unexposed to any kind of mass media, communication modes such as inter-personal communication and traditional media have to play a major role in the dissemination of information.

The above efforts may be supplemented by inviting the services of NGOs and local panchayats in the programme. But this may not be easy. In the study area, as such the number of NGOs are few and the existing ones are not working in the area of family planning. Similarly, very few of the panchayat members are active family planning promoters. Thus, necessary environment and supportive system have to be created to bring them into the folds of family welfare services.

Expectedly, such and similar efforts would enhance better reach and quality of health delivery system. The family planning programme would also receive impetus as the ground realities are quite favourable. This study has shown today, majority of the women in the district endorse family planning; demand for contraception starts after two children; more young brides now indulge in talks on family size soon after marriage and there is no organised resistance to family planning in the area. Thus, doubling the use of family planning from the present level can be time consuming but not difficult.

## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

Uttar Pradesh (UP) is India's most populous state. With a population of 139 million, it accounts for sixteen per cent of country's population. The growth rate is high (2.24\% against the national average of $2.11 \%$ ) and hence the state's contribution to nation's population is substantial.

In order to combat this situation, the Government of India and the USAID have begun a special project in UP called Innovations in Family Planning Services Projects (IFPS) under the executive management of the State Innovations in Family Planning Services Agency (SIFPSA). The agency has three objectives:

- Increase access to family planning services in the state
- Improve quality of services
- Promote contraceptive use

While achieving these goals, the IFPS project will support service innovations in (a) the public sector, (b) non-government sector, and (c) contraceptive social marketing mechanisms. These efforts, it is expected, would increase contraceptive use among eligible couples (aged 13-49) from 20 per cent now to 40 per cent over a period of ten years.

An unique feature of this project is that most of the interventions would be developed at the district level. For this, it is essential that we have some baseline information for the districts in which innovations are planned. Some of the basic information being sought are:

- Desired family size and sex preference among mothers
- Utilisation of health services and immunisation of mothers and children
- MCH care and delivery practices
- Contraceptive information and services and satisfaction with health providers
- Media exposure and role of media in promoting small family norm
- Contraceptive use and unmet need

This obviously calls for undertaking a baseline survey in each district of the state. It is in this context that Operations Research Group (ORG), at the instance of SIFPSA, has carried out the present baseline survey in the district of Sitapur.

### 1.2 Objectives

The objectives of this survey are to:

- Provide baseline information against which effectiveness and success of district level innovations can be assessed in future
- Provide background data at the district level to assist SIFPSA to design appropriate service innovations
- Measurement of current levels of use and access to family planning services
- Assess quality of information and follow-up services provided to family planning users on specific methods
- Ascertain knowledge and use of contraceptive methods and the level of unmet need
- Measure acceptability, utilisation and satisfaction with the methods and services provided

It is expected that the service innovations will lead to an increase of 10 percent points in contraceptive use among currently married women in each of the selected districts, from approximately 20 to 30 per cent over the first phase (5years) of the project. There will also be a corresponding increase in the use of effective birth spacing methods (pills, IUD, injectables and condoms) by married women under the age of 30 . The baseline information will be employed as the reference for the measurement of improvements in contraceptive use.

### 1.3 Socio-economic and Demographic Profile of the District

A profile of the study district is as under:
Sitapur falls in Lucknow division. The total population of Sitapur as per 1991 census is $28,57,009$, which is two per cent of the State's population. The growth rate (1981-91) is 2.2 per cent per annum. The share of urban population is 12 per cent against the state average of 20 per cent. One-third of the population comprise of scheduled caste. The sex ratio in Uttar Pradesh (879 females per 1000 males) is low, but it is still lower in Sitapur (833). Female literacy (17\%) is low as compared to the state average of 25 per cent.

In Sitapur, nearly half of the population of the district (48\%) constitute children (0-14) and old age population (65+). The dependency ratio in the district is 929 per 1000 working persons in the age group 15-64. It is 13 points lower than the state average (942).

Only 3 per cent of the female population is economically active against 12 per cent in the state. The corresponding proportions for male are 57 and 50 per cent respectively. The district predominantly depends on agriculture with 85 percent of the workers depending on this sector. The corresponding figure for U.P. is 73 per cent.

As regards primary health care facilities, the district seems to be slightly privileged. The district ranks tenth in terms of number of PHCs and sub-centres. As per 1992 statistics, 33 per cent of the eligible couples in the district are protected by one or the other methods.

### 1.4 Presentation of Report

The report comprises ten chapters, the first one gives introductory details. Chapter 2 describes the survey design and Chapter 3 presents the background characteristics of households and respondents. Nuptiality is discussed in Chapter 4, fertility in Chapter 5 and family planning in Chapter 6. Chapter 7 deals with fertility preferences, Chapter 8, maternal and child health and utilization of health services and Chapter 9 describes the community level information.

|  | Sitapur | Uttar Pradesh |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population (1991 Census) |  |  |
| Total | 2,857,009 | 139,112,287 |
| Male | 1,558,104 | 74,036,957 |
| Female | 1,298,104 | 65,075,330 |
| Growth rate (1981-91) | 21.8 | 25.2 |
| Population density (1991 Census) | 497 | 473 |
| \% of total state population (1991 Census) | 2.1 | NA |
| \% urban population (1991 Census) | 12.0 | 19.8 |
| Sex ratio (1991 Census) | 833 | 879 |
| Percentage of total population (1981 Census) |  |  |
| 0-14 Population | 40.4 | 48.5 |
| 65 + Population | 7.8 | 6.8 |
| Dependency ratio (1981 Census) | 929 | 942 |
| Literacy level (1991 Census) (7+ years) |  |  |
| Total | 31.4 | 40.9 |
| Male | 43.1 | 55.7 |
| Female | 16.9 | 25.3 |
| Crude Birth Rate (SRS, 1992) | U | 36.2 |
| Contraceptive Prevalence Rate (1992-93) | 33.4 | 34.5 |
| Per cent employed (1991) |  |  |
| Total | 32.5 | 32.2 |
| Male | 57.0 | 49.7 |
| Female | 3.2 | 12.3 |
| Per cent employed in organized sector (1991) | U | U |
| Per cent depending on agriculture (1991) | 84.4 | 73.1 |
| Per cent of total population (1991) 84.4 |  |  |
| Scheduled caste | 84.4 | 21.0 |
| Scheduled tribe | 32.2 | 0.2 |
| Other Hindus | U |  |
| Muslim | U | U |
| Other religious groups | U | U |
| Number of PHC/CHC (1991) | 87 | 3929 |
| Number of Sub-centres (1991) | 437 | 20154 |
| Number of voluntary agencies | 2 (Rank 19) | 552 |
| Average rural population per sub-centre (1991) | 5751 | 5533 |

[^0]
## CHAPTER II

## STUDY DESIGN

### 2.0 Introduction

The sampling procedure, area coverage and survey instruments used in this baseline survey are discussed below. Training of field personnel, team composition, etc. are also discussed.

### 2.1 Sample Design and Implementation

In this survey, the sample was so designed that it was possible to estimate district level CPR and other important indicators. Care was taken so as to get rural and urban estimates separately. The sample design was implemented at two stages viz. selection of Primary Sampling Units (PSUs) and selection of households in the selected PSUs. It was decided that 25 households will be selected from each PSU and 100 PSUs from a district would yield a total sample of 2500 households.

### 2.1.1 Urban Sample

The size of the urban sample depended on whether the share of urban population in a district was exceeding 20 per cent. In Sitapur district, the urban population proportion was less than 20 per cent. The procedure adopted in this case is discussed below:

In Sitapur, since urban population is less than 20 per cent (in 1991 Census), the urban sample size was fixed as 500 households. The towns were classified into three groups such as Type I, Type II and Type III. Type I towns covered more than one lakh population and Type II towns from 20,000 to less than one lakh population. Type III towns had less than 20,000 population. The urban sample was allocated in all three types of towns based on the population size of each type. The summary table explains the procedure adopted to draw the final sample size for Sitapur urban area.

URBAN PSU SELECTION (Summary table)

| Type of <br> town | Total <br> towns | \% of total urban <br> population | Allocated households <br> based on \% urban <br> population | CEB No. @ <br> 25 H/CEB | No. of towns <br> selected* | Ultimate sample <br> household <br> [CEBx25] |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Type I | 1 | 35.5 | 178 | 7 | 1 | 175 |
| Type II | 4 | 39.6 | 197 | 8 | 4 | 200 |
| Type III | 6 | 24.9 | 125 | 6 | 3 | 150 |
|  | 11 | 100 | 500 | 21 | 8 | 525 |

* From type II, III towns, to choose a maximum of two CEBs from each town.

After finalising the number of towns to be selected, the actual selection of towns was done by using the probability proportion to size (PPS) method. Having selected the sample towns, the required number of CEBs were selected using PPS.

### 2.1.2 Rural Sample

From rural areas, 79 PSUs (villages) were to be selected. For this, the 1991 census data was used. All the villages having less than 50 persons were removed from the list of villages considered for the selection of PSUs. The remaining villages were arranged in a descending order, so that these villages could be divided into 3 strata with equal population. The total number of PSUs to be selected (79) were to be equally divided into 3 groups. Since this was not feasible, 26 villages were selected each from stratum I and II. From stratum III, 27 villages were selected. By rearranging
all the villages in a particular stratum in the manner they were originally placed in the Census list, the required number of villages were selected by using PPS. The same operation was repeated for other two strata to select a total of 79 villages from Sitapur.

In the rural PSUs, segmentation of villages was done, if the PSU had more than 500 households. After segmentation, two segments were selected using PPS in a sample village.

### 2.1.3 Selection of Households

In all urban and rural PSUs, a houselisting operation was carried out to list all the households in the selected units. The selection of 25 households from this houselist was done through systematic random sampling with one random start.

List of selected towns and villages is given in Appendix.
Table 2.1: Sample results for households and eligible women (Unweighted)

| Results | Urban |  | Rural |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Per cent | Number | Per cent | Number | Per cent |
| Households selected | 525 | 100.0 | 1975 | 100.0 | 2500 | 100.0 |
| Households completed (C) | 511 | 97.3 | 1927 | 97.6 | 2438 | 97.5 |
| Households with no competent respondent | - | - | 3 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Households absent (HA) | 11 | 2.1 | 36 | 1.8 | 47 | 1.9 |
| Households postponed (P) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Households refused (R) | 2 | 0.4 | 5 | 0.3 | 7 | 0.3 |
| Households vacant/no dwelling (DV) | - | - | 3 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Dwellings destroyed (DD) | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Dwellings not found (DNF) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other (O) | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 |
| Households occupied | 524 | 100.0 | 1971 | 100.0 | 2495 | 100.0 |
| Households interviewed | 511 |  | 1927 |  | 2438 |  |
| Households not interviewed | 13 |  | 44 |  | 57 |  |
| Households response rate (HHR)* | NA | 97.5 | NA | 97.8 | NA | 97.7 |
| Eligible women | 620 | 100.0 | 2203 | 100.0 | 2823 | 100.0 |
| Women interviewed (EWC) | 531 | 85.7 | 1990 | 90.3 | 2521 | 89.3 |
| Women not at home (EWHH) | 73 | 11.7 | 183 | 8.3 | 256 | 9.1 |
| Women postponed (EWP) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Women refused (EWR) | 10 | 1.6 | 15 | 0.7 | 25 | 0.9 |
| Women partly interviewed (EWPC) | 3 | 0.5 | 11 | 0.5 | 14 | 0.5 |
| Other (EWO) | 3 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.2 | 7 | 0.2 |
| Individual response rate (EWRR)** | NA | 86.1 | NA | 90.5 | NA | 89.5 |
| Overall response rate (ORR)*** | NA | 83.9 | NA | 88.5 | NA | 87.4 |

NA: Not applicable
Using the number of households falling into specific response categories, the household response rate (HHR) is calculated as:
C
$\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{HP}+\mathrm{HA}+\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{DNF}$
** Using the number of eligible women falling into specific response categories, the individual response rate (EWRR) is calculated as: EWC
$E W C+E W H H+E W P+E W R+E W P C$
*** The overall response rate (ORR) is calculated as: ORR $=\mathrm{HHR}$ * EWRR

### 2.1.4 Sample Results for Households and Eligible Women

In Sitapur, the survey could be undertaken in 97 per cent of the sample households in rural and urban areas each. An equal proportion of these households agreed to provide information. The
eligible women schedule could be completed for 86 per cent women in urban and 90 per cent women in rural areas. Most of the remaining eligible women were away from home when the study team visited them. The overall response rate was 87 per cent (Table 2.1).

### 2.2 Survey Schedules - Types and Description

Four types of schedules were used in this survey:

* Household schedule
* Eligible women schedule
* Village schedule
* PHCISC information schedule


### 2.2.1 Household Schedule

This schedule elicited following information:

* All Household members - usual residents and visitors who stayed in the household on previous night
* Age, sex, marital status, education and occupation of all members
* Socio-economic status, morbidity, births and deaths occurred in the last two years i.e., from October 1991 to the survey date (September 1993).
* Eligible woman to be interviewed in the sample households was decided based on the information collected in the household schedule. All ever married women in the age group of 13-49 were considered as eligible women in this survey.

The relevant information was collected from any responsible member in the family.

### 2.2.2 Eligible Women Schedule

This schedule was administered to each eligible woman in the sample households. It covered the following information:

* Respondents' background
* Fertility and family size preferences
* Utilization of health services
* Pregnancy history in the last two years
* Immunization of children
* Contraceptive knowledge and use
* Quality of family planning services provided by health workers


### 2.2.3 Village Schedule

Village schedule was used to collect the macro level information in the sample villages. The information collected was as follows:

* Population of village
* Availability of road, school facilities, etc.
* Private doctors
* Availability of family planning services
* Availability of medical shops
* Availability of retail outlets and network for condom and oral pills
* NGOs activities
* Availability of health functionaries

Relevant information was collected from any responsible person in the village e.g. sarpanch.

### 2.2.4 PHC/SC Schedule

This schedule was used to collect information on PHC/SC/CHC, located in the village. It gathered information on:

* Infrastructure facilities
* Health manpower
* Cold chain equipments
* Particulars of :
- Supply of vaccine
- Family planning services
- Supply of contraceptives
- Demand creation activities

The information was collected from PHC/SC functionaries. The schedules used in this study were provided by the Population Council.

### 2.3 Training and Field Work

The interview schedules used at the household level were bilingual - Hindi and English. The training for field staff was conducted in Lucknow from the second week of October to the first week of November 1993. A total of 16 female investigators, 4 female editors and 4 male supervisors were trained during this training period.

The training consisted of instructions in interview techniques, field procedures and discussion on each item in the schedules. Demonstration interviews and mock interviews in the class room and practice interviews in the neighbouring villages of Lucknow were undertaken. It was ensured that at least 20 interview schedules are filled in by the investigators during field training.

The field work in a district was carried out by four teams, each consisting of one field supervisor (male), one field editor (female) and four investigators (female). The field work was carried out between November, 1993 to February, 1994. Monitoring and supervision of data collection were done by senior professionals.

In addition to the female investigators, listers and mappers were involved for houselisting purpose. In a district, there were six teams, each team consisting of one mapper and one lister. There were two supervisors for the six teams. The mappers and listers were trained in identifying the boundaries of the sample areas, mapping (to locate the households), houselisting, segmentation of villages which have more than 500 households, and in the application of technique of Probability Proportion to Size. They received field training in two villages. The houselisting operation started in October 1993, 25 days prior to actual survey.

### 2.4 Data Processing

The completed interview schedules were sent to the local office of ORG in Lucknow. After office editing, the software programme developed by the Population Council was used to enter the data, which has in-built checks for data editing. Data entry operation was completed in the third week of February 1994. For table generation, 'SPSSPC+' was used. In order to apply the weighting factors to each PSU, 'FOXPRO' was used.

### 2.5 Estimation Procedure

The tables presented in this volume are based on survey results projected for the district as a whole. For this, weighting factors were worked out separately for rural and urban areas. There are two types of weighting factors - Household Factor and Eligible Women Factor. The Household Factor projected the household level information at the district level, whereas the Eligible Women Factor was used to project the information provided by the eligible women at the district level. The estimation procedure adopted is discussed below :

### 2.5.1 Weighting Factor for Rural Areas



Where :
$\mathrm{P} \quad=\quad$ Total rural population (1991 census) of the district.
$\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad=\quad$ Population (1991 census) of the selected ith village/ith PSU.
a $=$ No. of selected PSUs (villages) from the rural areas of the district.
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad=\quad$ For Non-segmented Village : No. of listed households in the ith PSU/Village.
$=\quad$ For Segmented Villages : Total number of projected households upto 1993 (by projecting the total number of households of 1991 census for 2.5 years).
$h_{i} \quad=\quad$ Actual number of households surveyed from the ith selected village/PSU.

EW Factor = Household Factor x ----
$e_{i}$
Where :
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad=\quad$ Total number of eligible women existing in the selected households of the ith village/PSU.
$e_{i} \quad=\quad$ No. of actual eligible women covered in the ith village/PSU.

### 2.5.2 Weighting Factor for Urban Areas



Where :
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ Total urban population (1991 census) in the ith stratum.
$a_{i} \quad=\quad$ No. of selected towns in the ith stratum.
$\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{ijk}}=\quad$ Population (1991 census) of Kth CEB in the jth town of ith stratum.
$b_{j} \quad=\quad$ No. of selected CEBs in the jth town.
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad=\quad$ No. of listed households in the Kth CEB of jth town.
$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad=\quad$ Actual no. of households surveyed from the Kth CEB of jth town.


EW Factor = Household Factor x ---
Where :
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad=\quad$ Total number of eligible women present in the selected households in the Kth CEB of jth town of ith stratum.
$\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad=\quad$ Actual number of eligible women covered in the Kth CEB of the jth town of ith stratum.

### 2.5.3 Validation of the Estimates

In order to validate the estimates, some selected survey estimates and their comparison with the 1991 census are outlined below:

HOUSEHOLD POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS - A COMPARISON

| Description | District |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Survey estimates | Census (1991) | Remarks |
| Population |  |  | Survey estimate exceeds by: |
| Male | 1662835 | 1643865 | 1.2\% |
| Female | 1422071 | 1368851 | 3.9\% |
| Total | 3084906 | 3012716 | 2.4\% |
| Sex ratio (female per 1000 males) | 855 | [Projected upto 1993] | Survey estimate exceeds by 22 |
|  |  | 833 | points |
| Age distribution (total population) |  |  |  |
| 0-4* | 15.1 | 12.8 | In agreement |
| 5-14 | 26.1 | 27.6 |  |
| 15-59 | 51.0 | 51.8 |  |
| 60+ | 7.8 | 7.8 |  |
| Urban population (\%) | 11.8 | [1981 census] |  |
|  |  | 12.0 | In agreement |
| Literacy |  |  |  |
| Male | 60.4 | 43.1 | Survey shows higher literacy rates |
| Female | 26.2 | 16.9 |  |
| Total | 44.8 | 31.4 |  |

[^1]The population of Sitapur is estimated as 3.1 million, which is 2 per cent higher than the 1991 census population projected upto 1993. The estimated female population is 1.4 million and this is 4 per cent higher than the census population. The sex-ratio works out to be 855 females per 1000 males against 833 as recorded in the 1991 census. It is not clear whether the sex-differential in population mobility during Deepavali and Dashera [October festivals] has contributed to high female population estimate. The literacy rates (male 60\%, female $26 \%$ ) is also on the higher side as compared to the 1991 census (male 43\%, female 17\%).

Two-fifths of the estimated population (41\%) are children in the age group below 14 years. Half of the population (51\%) fall in the (working) age group (15-59). Aged population (60+) comprises about 8 per cent. This age distribution is close to the 1981 census age distribution. The estimated urban population (11.8\%) is at par with the 1991 census.

## CHAPTER III

## HOUSEHOLD AND RESPONDENTS' BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS

### 3.0 Introduction

The chapter deals with : (a) the background characteristics of the respondents of this study (ever married women 13-49) including their exposure to mass media, (b) features of household population like age, sex, education etc. and (c) dwelling type and amenities. The age structure is presented separately for two groups of population namely de jure population (usual residents) and for visitors - those who are not permanent members but have spent previous night in the household. The rest of the analysis has been presented only for de jure population.

### 3.1 Age-Sex Distribution of Household Population

As stated, the household population enumerated in this survey comprised usual residents (de jure population), plus the visitors. For the district as a whole, the study in all enumerated 32 lakhs people. Among them, the share of visitors was about four per cent. The age distributions of the de jure population and that of the visitors are presented in Table 3.1. The summary results are as under :

| Age Group | De jure population |  | Visitors |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Total | Total |
| Male |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 37.7 | 41.2 | 40.7 | 53.0 |
| 15-64 | 56.8 | 53.8 | 54.2 | 40.1 |
| 65+ | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 6.3 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 37.9 | 42.3 | 41.9 | 32.7 |
| 15-64 | 58.1 | 53.4 | 53.4 | 64.7 |
| 65+ | 3.9 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 2.6 |
| 15-49 | 47.9 | 44.1 | 44.0 | 61.0 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 37.8 | 41.7 | 41.3 | 39.1 |
| 15-64 | 57.5 | 53.6 | 54.0 | 57.0 |
| 65+ | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 3.7 |
| Sex ratio (F/M) | 909 | 848 | 855 | 2237 |
| Dependency ratio | - | - | 960 | - |

Source: Table 3.1
The table reveals that about two-fifth of the population comprise children below 15 years of age. The proportion of these population is slightly less in urban (38\%) compared to rural areas (42\%). Fifty-four per cent of the population include people aged 15-64 years of age. The remaining about four per cent comprise population aged 65 years and above. The female age distribution reveals that 44 per cent of the total female population are in the reproductive ages 15-49 years. The sex ratio works out to be 855 females per thousand males. The corresponding ratio is 909 for urban and 848 for rural areas. The dependency ratio i.e. dependent population per thousand people in the working age group 15-59 is 960 .

### 3.2 Household Composition

Certain features of the households in Sitapur and also that of the head of the households are presented in Tables 3.2-3.3.

Table 3.2 reveals that 3.5 per cent of the total households in the district are female - headed households. Female-headed households are slightly more in urban (4.8\%) than in rural areas (3.4\%). The median age of the head of the households is 40 years (rural 40 years, urban 45 years).

Eighty-five per cent of the total head of the households are currently married. The share of never married is about 4 per cent. Never married are more in rural (3.8\%) compared to urban areas (1.5\%).

Eighty-seven per cent of the households belong to Hindu community. The share of Muslim households is 13 per cent. Half of the rural households belong to scheduled caste community. In urban areas, high caste households (61\%) dominate.

The household size works out to be about six. The share of single member households is 3 per cent.

At the time of this survey in both rural and urban areas, 2 per cent of the male household members were visitors. Visitors are those who are not regular members of the household, but had spent the previous night in the surveyed households. The proportion of visitors among females was 5 per cent. When this survey was going on, two important festivals of North - Dashera and Deepavali - were celebrated. As we know, during such festivals, while male members stay in their own home, married daughters along with their children return to their parental home. This is perhaps why there are more female visitors than male visitors (Table 3.3).

### 3.3 Educational Attainment

The literacy level of de jure household population (aged 6 years and more) is presented in Tables 3.4-3.5. In the district, three-fourth of the total females and two-fifth of the total males are illiterate. In the rural areas also, almost the same proportion of males and females are illiterate. The situation is relatively better in the urban area where half of the females and one-fourth of the males are illiterate.

Figure 3.1: Education Level of Household Population


The illiterates and those having formal or informal education upto fourth standard comprise the largest chunk of the total population (73\%). The corresponding proportion for total female population works out to be 85 per cent and for the male population 62 per cent. The share of population having schooling beyond fourth standard is largely between 4 and less than 15 per cent irrespective of rural-urban status and sex of the population (Table 3.4). It can be noted that this base line survey, compared to 1991 census, exhibits a relatively higher level of literacy in the population.


As expected, the survey shows that the urban children have more opportunities for schooling than the rural children. The school attendance of (de jure) household children aged 6-14 years is shown in Table 3.5. It is seen that in rural areas, only one-third of the girls and three-fifth of the boys (aged 6-14 years) are currently attending schools, whereas in urban areas, three-fifth of the girls and three fourth of the boys of corresponding ages are currently attending schools. Overall, as of today, half of the children aged 6-14 years in rural areas and around two-third in urban areas are currently going to schools.

### 3.4 Housing Characteristics

Some information about type of dwellings and amenities are presented in Table 3.6. It is seen that in Sitapur, 13 per cent of the households are electrified - 57 per cent in urban and only 8 per cent in rural areas. In rural areas, well and hand pumps are the chief sources of portable water. In urban areas, piped water and hand pumps are the major sources of water (in $83 \%$ cases). Most of the rural dwellings (70\%) are kutcha. Whereas half of the urban dwellings (54\%) are pucca and another onefifth (21\%) are of the mixed type - partly pucca and partly kutcha. In rural areas, majority of the households fall in the category of marginal farmers owning upto three acres of land. One-fifth of the households (18\%) do not own any land. In rural areas, exposure to mass media is limited. Onefourth of the rural households own radio and only two per cent own television. The situation in urban area is better with 45 per cent of the households owning radio and 30 per cent owning television.

### 3.5 Respondents' Background Characteristics

The specific features of the respondents (in this case ever married women aged 13-49 years) are presented in Table 3.7. It is revealed that 39 per cent of the eligible women fall in the prime age group 20-29 years. One-tenth of the women are quite young (aged 13-19 years) and they mostly belong to rural areas. Majority of the eligible women ( $96 \%$ ) are currently married. The rest comprise windowed ( $2.5 \%$ ), divorced and separated women ( $1.1 \%$ ).

As observed in the general population, majority of our respondents are illiterate (rural 83\%, urban $54 \%$ ). Majority of them ( $91 \%$ ) are also housewives. Only 8 per cent of them (in both rural and urban areas) are employed by someone else.

The study reveals that, majority of the respondents have practically no exposure to any kind of mass media. At least 90 per cent are not exposed to newspapers, television or cinema. Only a section of urban women reads/listens to newspapers (25\%) and watch television (35\%). Of all the media, radio has some reach to these women. One-fourth of the total women said they listen to radio. Urban women, women belonging to high caste Hindu families and those having schooling above fourth standard are the main listeners of the radio programme (Table 3.8).

Table 3.1: Percentage distribution of de jure (usual residents) household population and visitors by age, sex and residence

| Age | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| De jure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <1 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| 1-4 | 10.9 | 11.4 | 11.2 | 11.3 | 12.1 | 11.6 | 11.2 | 12.0 | 11.6 |
| 5-9 | 12.0 | 13.1 | 12.5 | 13.7 | 15.0 | 14.3 | 13.5 | 14.8 | 14.1 |
| 10-14 | 11.1 | 10.9 | 11.0 | 12.7 | 11.6 | 12.2 | 12.5 | 11.6 | 12.1 |
| 15-19 | 10.2 | 10.8 | 10.5 | 10.2 | 7.9 | 9.1 | 10.2 | 8.3 | 9.3 |
| 20-24 | 8.0 | 8.9 | 8.4 | 7.8 | 8.9 | 8.3 | 7.8 | 8.9 | 8.3 |
| 25-29 | 7.5 | 8.8 | 8.1 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 7.2 |
| 30-34 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 6.8 |
| 35-39 | 6.8 | 4.9 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.9 |
| 40-44 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 4.6 |
| 45-49 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.1 |
| 50-64 | 9.7 | 10.2 | 10.0 | 8.3 | 9.3 | 8.8 | 8.5 | 9.4 | 8.9 |
| 65+ | 5.5 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 4.7 |
| DK | - | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total N | 191363 | 173885 | 365248 | 1471472 | 1248186 | 2719658 | 1662835 | 1422071 | 3084906 |
| Sex Ratio | NA | NA | 909 | NA | NA | 848 | NA | NA | 855 |
| Visitors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <1 | 6.2 | 8.9 | 8.1 | 7.5 | 8.3 | 8.1 | 7.3 | 8.4 | 8.1 |
| 1-4 | 19.7 | 16.4 | 17.4 | 25.4 | 12.4 | 16.4 | 24.7 | 12.9 | 16.6 |
| 5-9 | 13.1 | 8.9 | 10.2 | 15.4 | 6.4 | 9.2 | 15.1 | 6.7 | 9.3 |
| 10-14 | 6.9 | - | 2.1 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 4.7 | 5.1 |
| 15-19 | 10.9 | 13.1 | 12.5 | 2.4 | 22.5 | 16.3 | 3.4 | 21.3 | 15.8 |
| 20-24 | 7.4 | 21.9 | 17.5 | 10.0 | 18.9 | 16.1 | 9.6 | 19.3 | 16.3 |
| 25-29 | 8.4 | 9.7 | 9.3 | 9.6 | 10.2 | 10.0 | 9.4 | 10.2 | 9.9 |
| 30-34 | 8.3 | 2.8 | 4.5 | 5.7 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 5.9 |
| 35-39 | 7.5 | 3.9 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 2.2 |
| 40-44 | - | 3.7 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| 45-49 | - | 0.9 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 0.9 |
| 50-64 | 5.0 | 7.3 | 6.6 | 7.7 | 3.2 | 4.6 | 7.4 | 3.7 | 4.8 |
| 65+ | 6.6 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 6.2 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 6.3 | 2.6 | 3.7 |
| DK | - | - | - | 0.7 | - | 0.2 | 0.6 | - | 0.2 |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total N | 4617 | 10650 | 15266 | 31814 | 70793 | 102607 | 36430 | 81443 | 117873 |
| Sex Ratio | NA | NA | 2307 | NA | NA | 2225 | NA | NA | 2237 |


| Sex Ratio: | Females per 1000 Males |
| :--- | :--- |
| NA: | Not applicable |

Table 3.2: Percentage distribution of households by selected characteristics of head of household and residence

| Housing composition | Residence |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Sex of the household head |  |  |  |
| Male | 95.2 | 96.6 | 96.5 |
| Female | 4.8 | 3.4 | 3.5 |
| Age of household head |  |  |  |
| Less than 30 years | 11.0 | 14.6 | 14.2 |
| 30-44 | 38.6 | 40.9 | 40.7 |
| 45-59 | 27.4 | 23.6 | 24.1 |
| 60+ | 23.0 | 20.9 | 21.1 |
| Median Age | 45.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| Marital status of household head |  |  |  |
| Never married | 1.5 | 3.8 | 3.6 |
| Currently married | 88.5 | 84.9 | 85.3 |
| Widowed | 8.6 | 10.6 | 10.4 |
| Divorced | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Separated | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Religion |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 75.8 | 88.0 | 86.6 |
| Muslim | 23.2 | 12.0 | 13.3 |
| Others | 1.0 | - | 0.1 |
| Caste |  |  |  |
| Scheduled caste | 22.7 | 50.7 | 47.5 |
| Scheduled tribe | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Backward caste | 15.9 | 19.6 | 19.2 |
| Higher caste | 61.1 | 29.5 | 33.1 |
| Number of usual members 3.1 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| 2 | 7.2 | 8.4 | 8.2 |
| 3 | 8.9 | 10.8 | 10.6 |
| 4 | 16.2 | 13.3 | 13.7 |
| 5 | 15.8 | 15.4 | 15.4 |
| 6 | 12.3 | 15.4 | 15.0 |
| 7 | 9.7 | 11.6 | 11.4 |
| 8 | 6.2 | 7.4 | 7.2 |
| $9+$ | 19.7 | 14.6 | 15.2 |
| Mean | 6.0 | 5.8 | 5.8 |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of households | 60724 | 469750 | 530473 |

Note: $\quad$ Table is based on de jure members, i.e. usual residents

Table 3.3: Percentage distribution of household population by resident status in household according to age, sex and residence

| Characteristic | Usual resident | Visitor | Total \% | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  | Male |  |  |
| <1 | 95.7 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 61218 |
| 1-4 | 95.4 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 195809 |
| 5-14 | 98.3 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 440013 |
| 15-19 | 99.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 170224 |
| 20-24 | 97.4 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 133868 |
| 25-29 | 97.1 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 117991 |
| 30-34 | 98.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 110614 |
| 35-39 | 99.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 99493 |
| 40-44 | 99.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 84976 |
| 45-49 | 98.6 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 54099 |
| 50-59 | 98.8 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 89163 |
| 60+ | 97.3 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 141577 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 97.6 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 195980 |
| Rural | 97.9 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 1503286 |
| Total | 97.9 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 1699266 |
| Age |  | Female |  |  |
| <1 | 87.8 | 12.2 | 100.0 | 56375 |
| 1-4 | 94.2 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 180817 |
| 5-14 | 97.6 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 383391 |
| 15-19 | 87.2 | 12.8 | 100.0 | 135160 |
| 20-24 | 89.0 | 11.0 | 100.0 | 142574 |
| 25-29 | 92.8 | 7.2 | 100.0 | 115562 |
| 30-34 | 95.5 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 104600 |
| 35-39 | 97.6 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 84063 |
| 40-44 | 97.7 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 57589 |
| 45-49 | 99.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 43243 |
| 50-59 | 98.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 94900 |
| 60+ | 96.9 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 105136 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 94.2 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 184535 |
| Rural | 94.6 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 1318979 |
| Total | 94.6 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 1503513 |
| Age |  | Total |  |  |
| <1 | 91.9 | 8.1 | 100.0 | 117593 |
| 1-4 | 94.8 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 376626 |
| 5-14 | 97.9 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 823404 |
| 15-19 | 93.9 | 6.1 | 100.0 | 305383 |
| 20-24 | 93.1 | 6.9 | 100.0 | 276442 |
| 25-29 | 95.0 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 233553 |
| 30-34 | 96.8 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 215215 |
| 35-39 | 98.6 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 183556 |
| 40-44 | 98.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 142564 |
| 45-49 | 98.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 97342 |
| 50-59 | 98.4 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 184063 |
| 60+ | 97.1 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 246713 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 96.0 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 380514 |
| Rural | 96.4 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 2822264 |
| Total | 96.3 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 3202779 |

Table 3.4: Percentage distribution of de jure household population aged 6 and above by literacy, level of education, and median number of completed years of education according to sex and residence

| Education level | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 24.4 | 50.2 | 36.7 | 42.1 | 77.2 | 58.1 | 40.1 | 73.8 | 55.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Upto class 4* | 21.2 | 13.6 | 17.6 | 22.2 | 10.9 | 17.0 | 22.1 | 11.2 | 17.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Primary (5 yrs) | 9.1 | 8.8 | 9.0 | 7.5 | 5.1 | 6.4 | 7.7 | 5.5 | 6.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Upto Middle (6-8 yrs) | 14.3 | 9.2 | 11.8 | 13.6 | 4.2 | 9.3 | 13.7 | 4.8 | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Upto high (9-10 yrs) | 13.3 | 6.6 | 10.1 | 8.4 | 1.5 | 5.2 | 8.9 | 2.1 | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Above high school (11-18 yrs) | 17.7 | 11.6 | 14.8 | 6.2 | 1.2 | 3.9 | 7.5 | 2.5 | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total N | 158492 | 144790 | 303282 | 1202888 | 1008451 | 2211339 | 1361380 | 1153240 | 2514620 |  |  |  |  |
| Median number of years | 5 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| * Including the literates having no formal education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.5: Percentage of de jure household population 6-14 years of age attending school by age, sex and residence

| Age | sex and residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |  |
|  | 74.3 | 61.6 | 67.9 | 62.2 | 35.7 | 49.3 | 63.5 | 38.5 | 51.3 |  |
|  | 74.4 | 56.0 | 70.0 | 59.2 | 34.2 | 48.7 | 60.8 | 36.9 | 50.7 |  |
|  | 74.3 | 59.5 | 67.1 | 61.0 | 35.2 | 49.1 | 62.4 | 37.9 | 51.0 |  |

Table 3.6: Percentage distribution of households by selected housing characteristics and residence

| Housing characteristic | Residence |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Households with electricity | 57.5 | 7.7 | 13.4 |
| Source of drinking water |  |  |  |
| Piped | 41.7 | 0.9 | 5.6 |
| Handpump | 41.5 | 41.7 | 41.7 |
| Well water | 16.8 | 57.2 | 52.6 |
| Others | - | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Type of house |  |  |  |
| Hut | 3.1 | 3.6 | 3.5 |
| Kutcha | 21.3 | 69.6 | 64.1 |
| Mixed | 21.1 | 19.8 | 20.0 |
| Pucca | 54.4 | 7.0 | 12.5 |
| Agricultural land ownership |  |  |  |
| Landless | 67.4 | 17.6 | 23.3 |
| 1-3 acres | 23.4 | 62.3 | 57.8 |
| 4-5 acres | 3.3 | 9.7 | 9.0 |
| 6 or more acres | 6.0 | 10.5 | 10.0 |
| Consumer durable goods |  |  |  |
| Radio | 44.8 | 23.5 | 25.9 |
| Television | 29.6 | 2.1 | 5.3 |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of households | 60724 | 469750 | 530473 |

Table 3.7: Percentage distribution of ever-married women aged 13-49, by selected background characteristics and residence

| Background characteristic | Residence |  | Total number of women |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Total | Weighted N | Unweighted N |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-14 | - | 0.6 | 0.6 | 3338 | 13 |
| 15-19 | 8.6 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 62544 | 255 |
| 20-24 | 17.1 | 21.1 | 21.1 | 124396 | 521 |
| 25-29 | 22.2 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 113942 | 485 |
| 30-34 | 17.1 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 107539 | 439 |
| 35-39 | 13.3 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 87304 | 358 |
| 40-44 | 11.9 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 60115 | 257 |
| 45-49 | 9.8 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 44322 | 193 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently married | 96.5 | 96.3 | 96.4 | 581537 | 2430 |
| Widowed | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 15081 | 63 |
| Divorced |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 604 | 2 |
| Separated | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 6279 | 26 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 54.3 | 82.8 | 79.5 | 479990 | 1921 |
| Upto Class 4* | 4.6 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 21855 | 97 |
| Primary (5yrs) | 10.5 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 42290 | 187 |
| Upto Middle (6-8 yrs) | 10.1 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 27293 | 132 |
| Upto High (9-10 yrs) | 7.6 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 14920 | 76 |
| Above High School (11-18 yrs) | 13.0 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 17153 | 108 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 74.6 | 87.3 | 85.9 | 518264 | 2121 |
| Muslim | 24.4 | 12.7 | 14.0 | 84536 | 394 |
| Others | 1.0 | - | 0.1 | 701 | 6 |
| Caste |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled caste | 21.6 | 50.1 | 46.8 | 282335 | 1098 |
| Scheduled tribe | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1570 | 6 |
| Backward caste | 18.9 | 19.1 | 19.1 | 115087 | 484 |
| Higher caste Hindu | 59.2 | 30.6 | 33.9 | 204509 | 933 |
| Work status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not working | 91.7 | 91.1 | 91.2 | 550212 | 2300 |
| Working in family farm/business |  | 0.9 | 0.8 | 4788 | 18 |
| Employed by someone else | 7.6 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 47096 | 196 |
| Others | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1405 | 7 |
| Husband's education** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 22.2 | 40.8 | 38.6 | 224505 | 889 |
| Upto Class 4* | 8.7 | 11.0 | 10.7 | 62388 | 261 |
| Primary (5 yrs) | 10.8 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 53553 | 225 |
| Upto Middle (6-8 yrs) | 13.4 | 15.9 | 15.6 | 90421 | 365 |
| Upto High (9-10 yrs) | 17.7 | 11.8 | 12.5 | 72622 | 318 |
| Above High School (11-18 yrs) | 27.3 | 11.5 | 13.3 | 77436 | 370 |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 69876 | 533625 | 603501 | 603501 | 2521 |

## NA: Not applicable

[^2]Table 3.8: Access to mass media

| Background characteristics | Access to mass media |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No. of women | \% not expo sed to any media |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reads or listens to newspapers |  |  | Watches television |  |  | Listens to the radio |  |  | Visits cinema or theater |  |  |  |  |
|  | Never | Less often | Frequent | Never | Less often | Frequent | Never | Less often | Frequent | Never | Less often | Freqent |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-19 | 94.2 | 5.2 | 0.7 | 93.9 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 77.7 | 9.7 | 12.6 | 97.7 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 65882 | 74.8 |
| 20-24 | 92.7 | 6.1 | 1.1 | 91.1 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 74.2 | 12.9 | 13.0 | 96.3 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 124396 | 69.1 |
| 25-29 | 89.0 | 10.1 | 0.9 | 92.8 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 71.9 | 13.7 | 14.4 | 95.6 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 113942 | 66.7 |
| 30+ | 89.0 | 9.0 | 2.0 | 91.8 | 3.4 | 4.8 | 75.1 | 13.5 | 11.5 | 97.5 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 299281 | 68.3 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 74.9 | 17.6 | 7.5 | 65.1 | 12.3 | 22.6 | 55.8 | 23.0 | 21.1 | 86.8 | 11.3 | 1.9 | 69876 | 43.1 |
| Rural | 92.4 | 7.0 | 0.7 | 95.6 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 77.0 | 11.7 | 11.3 | 98.2 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 533625 | 72.2 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 94.8 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 97.1 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 80.3 | 11.1 | 8.7 | 98.7 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 479990 | 75.9 |
| Upto class 4* | 89.8 | 9.8 | 0.5 | 92.4 | 2.3 | 5.3 | 68.3 | 18.5 | 13.3 | 97.5 | 2.5 | - | 21855 | 63.9 |
| Primary (5yrs) | 85.8 | 13.0 | 1.3 | 85.6 | 6.7 | 7.6 | 56.2 | 17.6 | 26.2 | 96.1 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 42290 | 49.7 |
| Upto Middle ( $6-8 \mathrm{yrs}$ ) | 76.2 | 22.1 | 1.6 | 70.3 | 16.2 | 13.6 | 57.7 | 18.8 | 23.6 | 89.4 | 10.3 | 0.3 | 27293 | 44.1 |
| Upto High (9-10 yrs) | 55.0 | 35.5 | 9.5 | 46.5 | 25.7 | 27.8 | 35.4 | 22.8 | 41.7 | 86.6 | 12.7 | 0.7 | 14920 | 18.5 |
| Above High School (11-18 yr) | 31.6 | 39.8 | 28.6 | 41.3 | 7.9 | 50.8 | 29.5 | 30.9 | 39.6 | 68.2 | 27.6 | 4.2 | 17153 | 9.3 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 90.0 | 8.5 | 1.5 | 92.2 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 74.6 | 12.6 | 12.8 | 97.0 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 518264 | 68.7 |
| Muslim | 92.9 | 6.1 | 1.0 | 91.8 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 75.1 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 96.9 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 84536 | 70.1 |
| Others | 50.0 | 50.0 |  | 50.0 | 16.7 | 33.3 | 16.7 | 50.0 | 33.3 | 50.0 | 50.0 | - | 701 | 16.7 |
| Caste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled caste | 93.5 | 6.3 | 0.2 | 96.8 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 81.6 | 9.3 | 9.1 | 99.0 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 282335 | 76.2 |
| Scheduled tribe | 100.0 | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | 67.5 | 32.5 | - | 100.0 | - | - | 1570 | 67.5 |
| Backward caste | 94.5 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 94.9 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 77.3 | 12.5 | 10.2 | 98.8 | 1.2 | $\bigcirc$ | 115087 | 74.0 |
| Higher caste Hindu | 83.6 | 13.2 | 3.2 | 83.9 | 7.3 | 8.8 | 63.3 | 18.2 | 18.5 | 92.9 | 6.4 | 0.7 | 204509 | 55.9 |
| Total \% | 90.3 | 8.2 | 1.5 | 92.1 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 74.6 | 13.0 | 12.5 | 96.9 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 603501 | 68.9 |

[^3]
## CHAPTER IV

## NUPTIALITY

### 4.0 Introduction

The present marital status of currently married women, trends in the age at marriage and age at gauna and women's awareness about legal marriage age for boys and girls are the issues for discussion in this chapter.

### 4.1 Current Marital Status of Women (13-49)

The marital status of all women aged 13-49 years in the district is shown in Table 4.1. It can be seen that in the urban areas, at the time of survey, 71 per cent of these women were currently married. A little over two per cent comprised widowed, divorced and separated women. The share of never married was about 27 per cent. The present age of these women shows that in urban areas, at least about 95 per cent of the women aged 25 years and above are currently married.

At the time of this survey, in rural Sitapur, only 16 per cent of the women (13-49) were never married. The proportion of total currently married was about 81 per cent. The remaining 3 per cent comprised widowed, divorced and separated women. In rural areas, marriage takes place early. Of the total rural women aged 15-19, nearly half are currently married. The corresponding proportion for urban areas is 34 per cent. Similar is the case with 20-24 age group.

In this district as a whole, 79 per cent of the women aged 13-49 are currently married, 17 per cent are never married and 3 per cent comprise widowed, divorced and separated category.

The statistics on mean age at marriage obtained from different sources reveal that over the past three decades there has been a marginal rise in the age at marriage for boys as well as girls in the district. In 1961, the mean age at marriage for boys was 20 years. Now it is 22 years. In the corresponding period, the mean age at marriage for girls has risen from 15 years to about 18 years now. The mean difference between the age at marriage of boys and girls is about 5 years (Table 4.2).

### 4.2 Awareness About Minimum Legal Marriage Age

Women's awareness about the minimum legal age at marriage of boys and girls are presented in Table 4.3. It can be seen that, only 14 per cent of the ever married women in the area are aware that presently the minimum legal age at marriage for males is 21 years. This awareness is more among urban women ( $33 \%$ ) compare to rural women ( $12 \%$ ). Similarly, only 26 per cent of the ever married women know that the minimum legal age at marriage for females is 18 years. The proportion of such women is 47 per cent in urban area and 24 per cent in rural area. The proportion of such women is also high among high caste Hindus (as compared to low caste) and literate (as compared to illiterate) women.

### 4.3 Age at which Women Start Living with Husband

The age at which women start living with their husband after marriage (age at first effective marriage (gauna)) is presented in Table 4.4. The table reveals that in urban areas, of all the women who are aged 20-49 now, one-third of them had actually started living with their husband when they
were 15-16 years old. The proportion of women who started living with their husband at a still younger age of $13-14$ years is about 29 per cent. For the remaining women ( $38 \%$ ), the age at gauna was 17 years and more.

The situation is slightly different in rural areas. As in urban areas, here also one-third of the women aged 20-49 started living with their husband at the age of 15-16 years. But in contrast to urban areas, a very large chunk of the women ( $41 \%$ against $29 \%$ in urban areas) start living with their husband at a young age of 13-14 years. This implies that living together (gauna) starts early in rural areas as compared to urban areas.

The table also reveals that as compared to the past, now a relatively lesser proportion of women start conjugal life at young ages of 13-14 years. This is true of both rural and urban areas. The mean age when a married woman starts living with her husband works out to be 16 years in urban and 15 years in rural areas.

Table 4.5 further reveals that women who are educated beyond six standard, only they start living with their husband at relatively higher ages varying from 16-21 years. This has been found true of all women irrespective of their present age ranging from 15-49 years. No other factor like ruralurban residence, religion etc. influence the age at gauna. For all these variables, the median age at living together varies from 15-16 years.

Table 4.1: Percentage distribution of women aged 13-49 by current marital status according to age and residence

| Age | Marital Status |  |  |  |  | Total \% | Total $N$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Never* <br> Married | Currently Married | Widowed | Divorced | Separated |  |  |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-14 | 97.6 | 2.4 | - | - | - | 100.0 | 8025 |
| 15-19 | 65.6 | 34.4 | - | - | - | 100.0 | 21397 |
| 20-24 | 27.6 | 70.4 | - | - | 1.9 | 100.0 | 17811 |
| 25-29 | 3.2 | 93.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 100.0 | 17598 |
| 30-34 | - | 96.3 | 2.0 | - | 1.7 | 100.0 | 13455 |
| 35-39 | 1.4 | 93.9 | 3.4 | - | 1.3 | 100.0 | 9598 |
| 40-44 | - | 97.0 | 3.0 | - | - | 100.0 | 7913 |
| 45-49 | - | 95.4 | 4.6 | - | - | 100.0 | 7597 |
| Total | 26.6 | 71.0 | 1.7 | - | 0.7 | 100.0 | 103393 |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-14 | 91.8 | 8.2 | - | - | - | 100.0 | 43627 |
| 15-19 | 51.6 | 47.8 | - | 0.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 110029 |
| 20-24 | 5.4 | 91.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 123244 |
| 25-29 | 0.8 | 97.1 | 1.0 | - | 1.1 | 100.0 | 101615 |
| 30-34 | 0.2 | 97.0 | 2.2 | - | 0.6 | 100.0 | 97757 |
| 35-39 | - | 95.5 | 3.6 | - | 0.9 | 100.0 | 81382 |
| 40-44 | - | 91.8 | 6.2 | - | 1.9 | 100.0 | 55044 |
| 45-49 | - | 87.6 | 11.7 | - | 0.7 | 100.0 | 40241 |
| Total | 16.0 | 80.6 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 652939 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-14 | 92.7 | 7.3 | - | - | - | 100.0 | 51652 |
| 15-19 | 53.9 | 45.6 | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 131426 |
| 20-24 | 8.2 | 89.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 141055 |
| 25-29 | 1.2 | 96.5 | 1.4 | - | 0.9 | 100.0 | 119213 |
| 30-34 | 0.2 | 96.9 | 2.2 | - | 0.7 | 100.0 | 111212 |
| 35-39 | 0.2 | 95.4 | 3.6 | - | 0.9 | 100.0 | 90980 |
| 40-44 | - | 92.5 | 5.8 | - | 1.7 | 100.0 | 62957 |
| 45-49 | - | 88.8 | 10.6 | - | 0.6 | 100.0 | 47838 |
| Total | 17.5 | 79.3 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 756332 |

* It includes the women of `married but no gauna' category

Table 4.2: Singulate mean age at marriage from selected sources 1961-1992/93

| Source (District Level) | Singulate mean age at marriage |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | Male | Female | Difference |
| 1961 Census* | 20.12 | 14.95 | 5.17 |
| 1971 Census* | 18.92 | 15.47 | 3.45 |
| 1981 Census** | 21.61 | 16.22 | 5.39 |
| $1992-93$ BSUP | 22.33 | 17.61 | 4.72 |

[^4]Table 4.3: Percentage of ever-married women aged 13-49 who correctly know the minimum legal age at marriage for males and females, by selected background characteristics

| Background Characteristics | Percentage who correctly knew legal minimum age at marriage |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | For males it is 21 yrs For | For females it is 18 yrs | Number of women |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 13-19 | 11.0 | 21.5 | 65882 |
| 20-29 | 15.5 | 27.9 | 238338 |
| 30-39 | 14.5 | 27.0 | 194843 |
| 40-49 | 12.3 | 24.9 | 104438 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 32.8 | 47.4 | 69876 |
| Rural | 11.7 | 23.7 | 533625 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 7.6 | 17.1 | 479990 |
| Upto Class 4* | 16.2 | 45.6 | 21855 |
| Primary (5yrs) | 35.3 | 56.9 | 42290 |
| Upto Middle (6-8 yrs) | 37.4 | 61.0 | 27293 |
| Upto High (9-10 yrs) | 57.4 | 79.6 | 14920 |
| Above High School (11-18 yrs) | 67.3 | 85.9 | 17153 |
| Religion |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 14.1 | 26.2 | 518264 |
| Muslim | 13.7 | 27.4 | 84536 |
| Others | 66.7 | 50.0 | 701 |
| Caste |  |  |  |
| Scheduled caste | 8.9 | 18.1 | 282335 |
| Scheduled tribe | 16.3 | 16.3 | 1570 |
| Backward caste | 8.7 | 21.0 | 115087 |
| Higher caste Hindu | 24.4 | 41.0 | 204509 |
| Total | 14.1 | 26.4 | 603501 |

* Including the literates having no formal education

Table 4.4: Percentage of women who started living with husband by specific ages, and mean age at which respondent started living with her husband by current age and residence

| Current age | Percentage who started living with husband by exact age |  |  |  |  |  | Mean age when started living with husband |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13-14 | 15-16 | 17-18 | 19-20 | 21-22 | 23-25 |  |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-14 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 15.1 |
| 15-19 | 30.2 | 46.8 | 23.0 | NA | NA | NA | 16.3 |
| 20-24 | 23.1 | 31.7 | 23.0 | 16.8 | 5.4 | - | 16.5 |
| 25-29 | 25.1 | 34.3 | 17.7 | 13.2 | 3.8 | 5.8 | 16.9 |
| 30-34 | 32.9 | 24.0 | 22.2 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 5.6 | 16.3 |
| 35-39 | 22.9 | 34.7 | 21.5 | 12.2 | 7.7 | 0.9 | 15.7 |
| 40-44 | 41.0 | 33.3 | 12.2 | 12.4 | - | 1.1 | 15.7 |
| 45-49 | 32.5 | 42.9 | 12.1 | 5.1 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 16.3 |
| 20-49 | 28.6 | 32.8 | 18.8 | 11.7 | 4.9 | 3.1 | 16.3 |
| 25-49 | 29.9 | 33.1 | 17.8 | 10.5 | 4.8 |  |  |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-14 | 100.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 13.1 |
| 15-19 | 41.6 | 41.5 | 15.0 | 1.9 |  | NA | 15.7 |
| 20-24 | 33.7 | 36.6 | 18.1 | 9.5 | 2.1 | - | 15.4 |
| 25-29 | 38.7 | 32.4 | 15.0 | 9.3 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 15.5 |
| 30-34 | 42.9 | 34.8 | 10.5 | 6.6 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 15.2 |
| 35-39 | 44.2 | 33.7 | 13.4 | 6.7 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 15.2 |
| 40-44 | 49.4 | 24.4 | 15.7 | 6.6 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 15.1 |
| 45-49 | 51.1 | 38.2 | 6.4 | 2.9 | 1.4 | - | 14.5 |
| 20-49 | 41.4 | 33.7 | 14.0 | 7.6 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 15.2 |
| 25-49 | 43.8 | 32.8 | 12.7 | 7.0 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 15.2 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-14 | 100.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 13.1 |
| 15-19 | 40.5 | 42.0 | 15.8 | 1.8 | NA | NA | 15.7 |
| 20-24 | 32.7 | 36.1 | 18.6 | 10.2 | 2.5 | - | 15.5 |
| 25-29 | 36.8 | 32.6 | 15.4 | 9.9 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 15.6 |
| 30-34 | 41.8 | 33.6 | 11.8 | 6.7 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 15.4 |
| 35-39 | 41.9 | 33.8 | 14.3 | 7.3 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 15.3 |
| 40-44 | 48.3 | 25.6 | 15.2 | 7.4 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 15.2 |
| 45-49 | 48.2 | 38.9 | 7.3 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 14.7 |
| 20-49 | 39.9 | 33.6 | 14.5 | 8.1 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 15.4 |
| 25-49 | 42.1 | 32.8 | 13.3 | 7.4 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 15.3 |

Table 4.5: Median age of women (aged 20-49 years) started living with husband by current age and selected background characteristics

|  | Current Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristics | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 - 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 4 9}$ |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 15.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 15.0 | 16.0 | 15.0 |
| Rural | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Illiterate | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 16.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Upto class 4* | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 16.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Primary (5 yrs) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |  |
| Upto Middle (6-8 yrs) | 16.0 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 16.0 | 15.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |
| Upto High (9-10 yrs) | 16.0 | 17.0 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 15.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 |
| Above High School (11-18 yrs) | 17.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 21.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Hindu | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Muslim | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 14.8 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Others | - | 18.0 | 16.5 |  | 14.0 | 15.0 | 16.5 | 15.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caste | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Scheduled caste |  | 15.0 | 15.0 | 14.0 | 12.0 |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Scheduled tribe | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Backward caste | 15.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 15.0 | 16.0 | 15.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |
| Higher caste Hindu | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Including the literates having no formal education

## CHAPTER V

## FERTILITY

### 5.0 Introduction

The level of fertility prevailing in the area and its correlation with social variables are discussed in this chapter. The fertility measures are based on birth occurring to all women in the area during the past two years prior to this survey. The findings are presented below.

### 5.1 Current Fertility Levels and Trends

As stated, the fertility measures discussed in this section are based on the births occurring in the surveyed households in two years prior to this survey. The results are presented in Tables 5.1 - 5.4. The summary findings are presented below:

| Indicators | Survey Estimates |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Rural | Urban | Total |
| Birth rate (CBR) | 43.9 | 43.7 | 43.9 [UP 36.2] |
| Total fertility rate (TFR 15-49) | 5.8 | 5.6 |  |
| Mean no. of children ever born (13-49) | 3.6 | 4.8 | 3.6 |
| Mean no. of children surviving (13-49) | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.7 |
| Completed family size (40-49) | 6.6 | 5.7 | 6.4 |

It can be seen that the level of fertility is high in Sitapur. The birth rate is about 44 per thousand population in both rural and urban areas against 36 for the State as a whole. The total fertility rate (average number of children to be born to a woman at the end of reproductive span) for women aged 15-49 is 5.8 in rural and 4.8 in urban areas. Women aged 13-49 on an average deliver 3.6 live births in the rural and 3.3 live births in the urban areas. All these imply that the level of fertility is higher in rural than in urban areas. The age-specific fertility rates presented in Table 5.1 show evidence of early fertility at least in rural areas. In rural areas, maximum births occur in the age group 20-24 (0.300) and 25-29 age group (0.282). In urban areas, the same trend is observed. The number of births in the respective age groups are 20-24 (0.270) and 25-29 (0.244).

The analysis reveals that, besides rural-urban factor, fertility (measured by TFR) is influenced by women's education, religion and caste affiliation. Table 5.2 reveals that women who have studied above high school have a much lower fertility (TFR 3.1) than illiterate women (TFR 6.1). Similarly, scheduled caste woman have a higher fertility than other caste groups and Muslims have a relatively higher fertility than the Hindus.



### 5.2 Children Ever Born and Living

The mean number of live births and living children by age of women are presented in Table 5.3. The summary results are reproduced below :

The table indicates that upto the age of 24 , the difference in the fertility performance (measured by mean number of live births) of rural and urban women is not too wide. However, from age 25 onwards, the fertility of rural women is higher than urban women. At the end of the
reproductive span, a rural woman aged 45-49 gives about 7 live births against 6 live births occurring to an urban woman. It is also revealed that child mortality is higher in rural than in urban areas. In rural areas, the mean number of children ever born to a women (13-49) is 3.6. Overall 2.7 children survive. The corresponding figures for urban areas are 3.3 and 2.8 children respectively.

|  | Summ | ary Res |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Mean no. of live births/living children by present age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Live births | - | 0.4 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 5.4 | 6.0 |
| Living children | - | 0.3 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 4.8 |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Live births | - | 0.4 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 6.9 |
| Living children | - | 0.3 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Live births | - | 0.4 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 6.8 |
| Living children | - | 0.3 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.6 |

Table 5.4 gives the age standardised (standardisation done using the NFHS age distribution of ever married women for U.P.) mean number of children even born and living for currently married women according to selected characteristics of women.

Difference between mean no. of children ever born and children surviving

| Background characteristics | Male children | Female children | All children |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.50 |
| Rural | 0.47 | 0.51 | 0.99 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 0.27 | 0.52 | 0.99 |
| Upto class 4 | 0.48 | 0.43 | 0.91 |
| Primary (5 years) | 0.40 | 0.29 | 0.66 |
| Upto Middle School (6-8 years) | 0.31 | 0.41 | 0.72 |
| Upto High School (9-10 years) | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.28 |
| Above High School (11-18 years) | 0.10 | 0.13 |  |
| Religion |  |  | 0.93 |
| Hindu | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.80 |
| Muslim | 0.43 | 0.37 |  |
| Caste |  |  | 1.04 |
| Scheduled Caste | 0.62 | 0.55 | 0.51 |
| Scheduled Tribe | -9 | 0.51 | 0.97 |
| Backward Caste | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.74 |
| High Caste Hindus | 0.37 | 0.38 |  |
| Total | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.92 |

Based on this table, the difference between the mean number of children ever born and surviving are presented below :


The "all children" column reveals that child mortality is higher in rural than in urban areas (difference between children born and surviving being 0.99 in rural and 0.50 in urban areas). Similarly, child mortality is higher among illiterate mothers, compared to those having education upto high school and above. Scheduled caste women experience higher child mortality than high caste Hindus. Among illiterate women, the girl child suffers higher mortality than boys.

### 5.3 Outcome of Pregnancies

The outcome of pregnancies occurring in the area in the last two years prior to this survey is presented in Table 5.5. It can be seen that of about three lakhs pregnancies occurring in the area, 97 per cent ended into live birth. The remaining about three per cent comprised still birth, spontaneous/induced abortion. The figures seem to be consistent for in a state like Uttar Pradesh, we can expect that out of every hundred pregnancies, 95 end up in live birth and the remaining will include still birth, abortions etc.

Table 5.1: Age-specific and cumulative fertility rates and crude birth rate

| Age | Urban | Rural | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $13-14$ | - | .012 | .010 |
| $15-19$ | .092 | .0125 | .290 |
| $20-24$ | .270 | .300 | .277 |
| $25-29$ | .244 | .282 | .216 |
| $30-34$ | .189 | .219 | .129 |
| $35-39$ | .113 | .131 | .056 |
| $40-44$ | .043 | .058 | .022 |
| $45-49$ | .009 | .025 | 5.52 |
| TFR 15-44 | 4.75 | 5.64 | 186 |
| TFR 15-49 | 4.80 | 5.76 | 191 |
| GFR | 158 | 43.9 |  |

Table 5.2: Total fertility rate for births two years preceding the survey for women aged 15-49, and mean number of children ever born to women 40-49 years of age, by selected background characteristics

| Background characteristic | Total fertility rate* | Mean number of children ever born to women aged 40-49 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residence |  |  |
| Urban | 4.8 | 5.7 |
| Rural | 5.8 | 6.6 |
| Education |  |  |
| Illiterate | 6.1 | 6.6 |
| Upto class 4** | 5.3 | 6.7 |
| Primary (5yrs) | 4.9 | 5.4 |
| Upto Middle (6-8 yrs) | 4.3 | 5.6 |
| Upto High (9-10 yrs) | 3.5 | 4.1 |
| Above High School (11-18 yrs) | 3.1 | 4.8 |
| Religion 50.4 |  |  |
| Hindu | 5.6 | 6.4 |
| Muslim | 6.7 | 6.7 |
| Others | - | - |
| Caste |  |  |
| Scheduled caste | 7.1 | 6.6 |
| Scheduled tribe | - | - |
| Backward caste | 5.3 | 6.7 |
| Higher caste Hindu | 5.5 | 6.1 |
| Total | 5.6 | 6.4 |
| Rate for women aged 15-49 years <br> - : Less than .05\% | e literates having no infor | cation |

Table 5.3: Percentage distribution of women by number of live births and living children by age of the mother

| Number of live births andliving children | Age of mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total \% Number of |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |  |


| Number of live births | Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | - | 42.8 | 27.8 | 16.7 | 3.1 | 5.6 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 8910 |
| 1 | - | 23.3 | 34.8 | 28.9 | 6.7 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 8967 |
| 2 | - | 0.9 | 33.2 | 30.5 | 19.9 | 12.1 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 12020 |
| 3 | - | - | 14.6 | 34.7 | 23.9 | 13.7 | 9.3 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 11227 |
| 4 | - | - | 5.1 | 26.4 | 39.4 | 9.7 | 11.2 | 8.2 | 100.0 | 8838 |
| 5 | - | - | 3.6 | 8.2 | 15.1 | 28.0 | 27.2 | 17.9 | 100.0 | 7319 |
| 6 | - | - | - | 17.0 | 10.1 | 14.4 | 28.8 | 29.8 | 100.0 | 4797 |
| 7 | - | - | - | - | 18.8 | 20.1 | 28.8 | 32.3 | 100.0 | 3929 |
| 8 | - | - | - | 6.5 | 13.0 | 32.2 | 15.8 | 32.6 | 100.0 | 1685 |
| 9 | - | - | - | - | - | 25.1 | 74.9 | - | 100.0 | 843 |
| 10 or more | - | - | - | - | - | 22.5 | 20.5 | 57.0 | 100.0 | 1341 |
| Mean | - | 0.4 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 3.3 | NA |
| SD | - | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.4 | NA |


| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | - | 43.2 | 27.5 | 17.2 | 2.9 | 5.4 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 9352 |
| 1 | - | 18.1 | 35.5 | 29.6 | 7.2 | 7.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 10325 |
| 2 | - | 0.7 | 27.9 | 32.3 | 20.9 | 9.7 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 15173 |
| 3 | - | - | 10.0 | 26.7 | 24.2 | 14.6 | 14.2 | 10.2 | 100.0 | 13708 |
| 4 | - | - | 1.1 | 20.6 | 32.1 | 16.5 | 18.3 | 11.5 | 100.0 | 8278 |
| 5 | - | - | - | 3.7 | 11.5 | 27.6 | 33.3 | 23.8 | 100.0 | 6586 |
| 6 | - | - | - | 6.3 | 22.0 | 21.6 | 24.5 | 25.6 | 100.0 | 3173 |
| 7 | - | - | - | 7.5 | 24.3 | 15.3 | 18.3 | 34.6 | 100.0 | 1443 |
| 8 | - | - | - | - | - | 48.9 | 24.8 | 26.3 | 100.0 | 928 |
| 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 72.2 | 27.8 | 100.0 | 532 |
| 10 or more | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 100.0 | 376 |
| Mean | - | 0.3 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 2.8 | NA |
| SD | - | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.0 | NA |


| Number of live births | Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 4.0 | 46.9 | 31.2 | 9.1 | 3.0 | 4.8 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 82485 |
| 1 | - | 20.1 | 51.6 | 12.0 | 7.3 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 68978 |
| 2 | - | 5.7 | 40.1 | 29.8 | 12.5 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 69105 |
| 3 | - | - | 25.5 | 35.2 | 22.4 | 10.0 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 64180 |
| 4 | - | - | 8.8 | 25.6 | 32.9 | 16.1 | 12.4 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 62052 |
| 5 | - | - | 2.2 | 24.3 | 33.2 | 23.4 | 11.7 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 52215 |
| 6 | - | - | 1.0 | 8.1 | 30.3 | 31.7 | 15.1 | 13.8 | 100.0 | 44102 |
| 7 | - | - | - | 6.1 | 19.8 | 33.8 | 18.6 | 21.6 | 100.0 | 33033 |
| 8 | - | - | - | 7.3 | 17.9 | 25.9 | 29.3 | 19.7 | 100.0 | 21555 |
| 9 | - | - | - | - | 9.5 | 34.9 | 33.9 | 21.7 | 100.0 | 18257 |
| 10 or more | - | - | - | 1.6 | 10.5 | 18.8 | 33.1 | 36.1 | 100.0 | 17663 |
| Mean | - | 0.4 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 3.6 | NA |
| SD | - | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.9 | NA |

[^5]| Number of live births and living children | Age of mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total \% | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |  |
| 0 | 3.5 | 44.4 | 31.7 | 10.9 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 94774 |
| 1 | - | 14.5 | 50.5 | 17.9 | 9.4 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 85941 |
| 2 | - | 2.3 | 28.5 | 35.3 | 17.4 | 7.3 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 86127 |
| 3 | - | - | 13.3 | 27.6 | 27.8 | 16.0 | 9.2 | 6.1 | 100.0 | 85168 |
| 4 | - | - | 3.2 | 17.1 | 29.4 | 24.9 | 13.3 | 12.1 | 100.0 | 76811 |
| 5 | - | - | 1.3 | 7.7 | 27.9 | 30.3 | 19.2 | 13.6 | 100.0 | 54179 |
| 6 | - | - | - | 4.6 | 19.7 | 34.4 | 19.4 | 21.9 | 100.0 | 27704 |
| 7 | - | - | - | 1.7 | 6.5 | 28.8 | 42.6 | 20.5 | 100.0 | 12040 |
| 8 | - | - | - | - | 16.5 | 30.9 | 32.6 | 20.0 | 100.0 | 6908 |
| 9 | - | - | - | - | 7.6 | 14.5 | 50.4 | 27.5 | 100.0 | 3571 |
| 10 or more | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | 403 |
| Mean | - | 0.3 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 2.7 | NA |
| SD | - | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.1 | NA |
| Number of live births |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 3.7 | 46.5 | 30.9 | 9.9 | 3.0 | 4.9 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 91395 |
| 1 | - | 20.5 | 49.7 | 18.4 | 7.2 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 77945 |
| 2 | - | 5.0 | 39.1 | 29.9 | 13.6 | 7.1 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 81124 |
| 3 | - | - | 23.9 | 35.1 | 22.6 | 10.6 | 4.8 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 75406 |
| 4 | - | - | 8.3 | 25.7 | 33.7 | 15.3 | 12.2 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 70890 |
| 5 | - | - | 2.4 | 22.3 | 31.0 | 24.0 | 13.6 | 6.7 | 100.0 | 59534 |
| 6 | - | - | 0.9 | 9.0 | 28.3 | 30.0 | 16.4 | 15.4 | 100.0 | 48899 |
| 7 | - | - | - | 5.4 | 19.7 | 32.4 | 19.7 | 22.8 | 100.0 | 36962 |
| 8 | - | - | - | 7.2 | 17.6 | 26.3 | 28.3 | 20.6 | 100.0 | 23241 |
| 9 | - | - | - | - | 9.0 | 34.5 | 35.7 | 20.8 | 100.0 | 19100 |
| 10 or more | - | - | - | 1.5 | 9.7 | 19.1 | 32.2 | 37.5 | 100.0 | 19004 |
| Mean | - | 0.4 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 3.6 | NA |
| SD | - | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.8 | NA |
| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 3.2 | 44.3 | 31.3 | 11.4 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 104126 |
| 1 | - | 14.8 | 48.9 | 19.2 | 9.1 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 96266 |
| 2 | - | 2.1 | 28.5 | 34.9 | 18.0 | 7.7 | 5.8 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 101300 |
| 3 | - | - | 12.8 | 27.5 | 27.3 | 15.8 | 9.9 | 6.7 | 100.0 | 98876 |
| 4 | - | - | 3.0 | 17.4 | 29.7 | 24.1 | 13.7 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 85089 |
| 5 | - | - | 1.1 | 7.3 | 26.1 | 30.0 | 20.7 | 14.7 | 100.0 | 60765 |
| 6 | - | - | - | 4.8 | 20.0 | 33.1 | 19.9 | 22.2 | 100.0 | 30877 |
| 7 | - | - | - | 2.3 | 8.4 | 27.4 | 40.0 | 22.0 | 100.0 | 13483 |
| 8 | - | - | - | - | 14.5 | 33.0 | 31.7 | 20.8 | 100.0 | 7836 |
| 9 | - | - | - | - | 6.6 | 12.6 | 53.2 | 27.5 | 100.0 | 4103 |
| 10 or more | - | - | - | - | - | - | 51.7 | 48.3 | 100.0 | 779 |
| Mean | - | 0.3 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.7 | NA |
| SD | - | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.1 | NA |

Table 5.4: Age standardized mean number of children ever born and living for currently married women, according to sex and selected background characteristics

|  |  | Children ever born |  |  |  | Children living |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Background characteristic | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1.639 | 1.510 | 3.149 | 1.386 | 1.269 | 2.654 |  |
| Rural | 1.951 | 1.802 | 3.753 | 1.479 | 1.288 | 2.766 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 1.749 | 1.800 | 3.749 | 1.481 | 1.283 | 2.764 |  |
| Upto class 4* | 2.084 | 1.883 | 3.967 | 1.604 | 1.450 | 3.054 |  |
| Primary (5 yrs) | 1.861 | 1.568 | 3.410 | 1.461 | 1.280 | 2.750 |  |
| Upto Middle (6-8 yrs) | 1.733 | 1.685 | 3.418 | 1.423 | 1.278 | 2.701 |  |
| Upto High (9-10 yrs) | 1.536 | 1.349 | 2.886 | 1.350 | 1.256 | 2.606 |  |
| Above High School (11-18 yrs) | 1.295 | 1.109 | 2.405 | 1.194 | 0.976 | 2.171 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 1.891 | 1.764 | 3.655 | 1.444 | 1.266 | 2.697 |  |
| Muslim | 2.036 | 1.760 | 3.797 | 1.606 | 1.395 | 3.001 |  |
| Others | 0.880 | 0.616 | 1.496 | 0.880 | 0.616 | 1.496 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled caste | 1.909 | 1.849 | 3.758 | 1.290 | 1.303 | 2.717 |  |
| Scheduled tribe | 1.792 | 1.204 | 2.996 | 1.788 | 0.698 | 2.487 |  |
| Backward caste | 1.923 | 1.813 | 3.737 | 1.447 | 1.309 | 2.766 |  |
| Higher caste Hindu | 1.910 | 1.642 | 3.552 | 1.536 | 1.266 | 2.810 |  |
| Total | 1.912 | 1.763 | 3.675 | 1.467 | 1.285 | 2.752 |  |

[^6]Table 5.5: Percentage distribution of all pregnancies (last two-years) of ever-married women
by their outcome, according to age of the women and residence

| Current Age | Outcome of pregnancy |  |  |  | Total \% | Number of pregnancies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spontaneous abortion | Induced abortion | Still birth | Live birth |  |  |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-19 | - | - | - | 100.0 | 100.0 | 2058 |
| 20-24 | - | 2.6 | - | 97.4 | 100.0 | 8590 |
| 25-29 | 2.5 | - | 1.4 | 96.1 | 100.0 | 9454 |
| 30-39 | 4.1 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 93.3 | 100.0 | 9852 |
| 40-49 | - | - | - | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1931 |
| Total | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 96.1 | 100.0 | 31885 |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-19 | 1.4 | - | 4.4 | 94.2 | 100.0 | 17062 |
| 20-24 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 96.6 | 100.0 | 69094 |
| 25-29 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 98.5 | 100.0 | 71264 |
| 30-39 | 0.8 |  | 1.0 | 98.2 | 100.0 | 90378 |
| 40-49 | - | 3.8 |  | 96.2 | 100.0 | 12267 |
| Total | 1.1 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 97.5 | 100.0 | 260066 |
|  |  | - | 3.9 | 94.8 | 100.0 | 19120 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-19 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20-24 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 96.7 | 100.0 | 77684 |
| 25-29 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 98.2 | 100.0 | 80718 |
| 30-39 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 97.7 | 100.0 | 100230 |
| 40-49 | - | 3.3 | - | 96.7 | 100.0 | 14198 |
| Total | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 97.3 | 100.0 | 291951 |

## CHAPTER VI

## FAMILY PLANNING

### 6.1 Knowledge of Family Planning Methods and Sources

The awareness of currently married women about different contraceptive methods, the sources of obtaining these methods and their knowledge about correct use of the methods are discussed in this section.

### 6.1.1 Awareness of Methods

Table 6.1 reveals that awareness about sterilisation is almost universal (91 \% and more) among the currently married women. At least 71 per cent of the women in rural areas, and 85 per cent in urban areas are aware of pills and condom. Awareness about IUD is relatively less (rural 48 \% urban $69 \%$ ). Injection is known to one-fifth of the women. One-quarter are aware of withdrawal and around one-fifth (rural $19 \%$, urban $23 \%$ ) know about safe period. Overall, a currently married woman in Sitapur knows about four modern family planning methods including two modern spacing methods.

### 6.1.2 Knowledge About Correct Use

The currently married women particularly in rural areas lack information on how to use the spacing methods correctly. Around 40 per cent of the currently married women in rural areas and 60 per cent in urban areas know how to use IUD and oral pills correctly and to some extent correctly. The corresponding proportions for condom are 59 and 75 per cent respectively. Knowledge on correct/to some extent correct use of traditional methods like withdrawal (rural $24 \%$ and urban 27 $\%$ ), safe period (rural $9 \%$, urban $17 \%$ ) are still on the lower side.

### 6.1.3 Knowledge About Supply Source

Awareness about contraceptive source is high. At least 84 per cent of the women in urban areas are aware of the sources of supply of all modern contraceptives (except IUD $70 \%$ ). Majority of the rural women (at least $90 \%$ ) also know from where to get vasectomy/tubectomy. The source of supply of pills and condoms is known to around 70 per cent women. About half of the women (47 \%) know where to get IUD. On an average, a currently married woman is aware of four sources of supply of modern contraceptives including two sources for getting modern spacing methods (Table 6.1).

The study also reveals that almost all currently married women (at least 93 per cent) know at least one-modern method of family planning irrespective of their age, rural-urban residence, education and other social characteristics like religion and caste. However, knowledge of modern spacing methods, knowledge of (mean) number of modern methods and (mean) number of contraceptive outlets is relatively less among women who are relatively young (aged 13-19 years), who belong to rural areas and those who are either illiterate or have education upto fourth standard (Table 6.2).

### 6.2 Contraceptive Use

The stage when couples start seriously thinking about family planning, the current usership of different methods, and the background characteristics of the acceptors of these methods are discussed in this section.

### 6.2.1 Ever and Current Users of Contraceptives

The contraceptive use by currently married women is presented in Tables 6.3-6.4. It can be seen that, of the total currently married women in the district, one-third (rural $31 \%$, urban $43 \%$ ) have ever used any contraceptives - both modern and traditional. One-fourth of the women (rural $21 \%$, urban $34 \%$ ) have ever used any modern method like sterilisation, IUD, etc. Fourteen per cent women (rural $14 \%$, urban $19 \%$ ) have ever used any traditional method mainly withdrawal and safe period methods. Thus, the proportion of ever users of any method is relatively more in urban than in rural areas.

The proportion of women currently using any method is only 22 per cent and that of any modern method is 15 per cent. The modern method users comprise the users of tubectomy ( $9 \%$ ), vasectomy ( $0.8 \%$ ), condom ( $3 \%$ ) and users of IUD and Pills around one per cent each. The current users of any traditional method is 7 per cent comprising among others the users of withdrawal (4 \%) and safe period (about $2 \%$ ) etc. Once again for each method, the proportion of current users is relatively more in urban than in rural areas.


The available service statistics (1992) indicate that in Sitapur, the total current family planning users is 33.4 per cent comprising the users of sterilisation (17.6 \%) and spacing methods ( $15.8 \%$ ). In comparison to the available statistics, therefore, the survey estimates are on the lower side. That we are likely to get a lower estimate of CPR became evident when the survey was in progress. To cross-check the data, therefore, we held meetings with the field investigators and supervisors and wanted to assess from them the prevailing situation. These field persons, who in anyway are not
familiar with CPR, told us that out of every hundred currently married women at the most 18-25 women are currently using any method. Such observations lead us to believe that the real situation is perhaps not too different from what is revealed by the baseline survey. For the sake of comparison, the NFHS results for U.P. are presented on the right hand side of the table. It can be seen that, NFHS estimates are also not too different from the present baseline survey results.

The knowledge of currently married women about various contraceptive methods and their current practices are shown in the graph. It can be seen that there is a wide gap between knowledge and practice for any contraceptive method in the area.

### 6.2.2 Contraceptive Use and Background Characteristics of Currently Married Women

The use of contraceptives by currently married women and their background characteristics like age, rural-urban residence, living children (also sons) and education etc. are presented in Tables 6.5-6.6. The salient observations pertaining to modern and traditional methods are presented below :

SUMMARY RESULTS

| Methods | Ever Used (\%) |  |  | Currently Using (\%) |  |  | NFHS UP Backward Districts | Districts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |  |  |
| Any method | 42.6 | 30.9 | 32.3 | 30.9 | 21.0 | 22.1 | 19.2 | 19.8 |
| Any modern method | 34.3 | 21.5 | 23.0 | 25.3 | 13.6 | 15.0 | 17.9 | 18.5 |
| Vasectomy | 2.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| Tubectomy | 11.2 | 8.8 | 9.1 | 11.2 | 8.8 | 9.1 | 10.5 | 11.7 |
| IUD | 6.9 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| Pills | 7.2 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| Condom | 16.6 | 8.9 | 9.8 | 8.3 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 3.2 |
| Foam tab. | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | - | - | - |  |
| Injection | - | 0.3 | 0.3 | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Any traditional method | 18.7 | 13.8 | 14.4 | 5.5 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Withdrawal | 11.7 | 10.7 | 10.8 | 2.4 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Safe period | 9.1 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Other method | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.1 |  |

Source: Tables 6.3-6.4

## Figure 6.2: Share of Contraceptive
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## Tubectomy

* The concentration of current users (defined as the proportion of current users of a given method among total currently married women in a given age group, caste etc.) of tubectomy is high ( $8-25 \%$ ) in the ages 30 years and above. The concentration is low (less than $4 \%$ ) in the younger ages i.e. 20-29.
* The method is accepted more by less educated women having schooling upto primary level.
* More Hindu than Muslim women opt for this method. Among Hindus, caste has little influence on acceptance of tubectomy.


## Vasectomy

* The concentration of women whose husband has accepted vasectomy is in the ages 30 years and above. There are no such women in the younger age groups (less than 30 years).


## IUD

* The concentration of women currently using IUD is almost the same (around one per cent) across the ages 20-49 years. In the urban areas, the concentration is particularly high ( $5 \%$ ) in the age group 30-39 years.
* Education seems to influence the acceptance of IUD. The proportion of IUD acceptors is high ( $6 \%$ ) among women educated above high school.


## Pills

* The current users of pills have high concentration (2 \%) in the age group 25-29. In the other ages, their concentration is one per cent or less.
* Influence of other variables on acceptance of pills is not very clear.


## Condom

* The condom users have almost equal concentration (3-4 \%) in the age groups 20-24 years to 30-39 years.
* As such condoms are used more in urban than in rural areas. In urban areas, the concentration of condom users is quite high (14 \%) in the age group 30-39 years.
* The proportion of condom users is high (14-19 \%) among those women who are educated upto high school and above.


## Traditional Methods

* Factors such as age, rural-urban residence etc. seemingly have little influence on the preference for traditional methods.

The study also reveals that by and large, upto two children, majority ( $80 \%$ and more) of the couples remain unconcerned about contraception. After having four children, about one-third become concerned about family planning. Sterilisation is accepted after having at least two surviving sons. The acceptance of spacing method is relatively less among couples having no sons (Table 6.6).

### 6.3 Level of Unmet Need

Conventionally while working out unmet need for family planning we include those currently married women, who are not wanting children and not yet practising family planning. In this baseline survey, while estimating unmet need we consider three categories of non-pregnant currently married women. (a) Those who want to wait for one or more years for the next child; (b) women who are unsure whether they want another child and (c) women who want no more children and also are not using any method. Of the all currently married women in the district ( 581537 women), 295508 women fall in one or the other three categories cited above. Thus the unmet need for the district as a whole works out to be 50.8 per cent. If we exclude women who are currently pregnant from the denominator, the total unmet needs are estimated to be 57 per cent - 33 per cent for spacing and about 24 per cent for limiting. The unmet need for spacing and also for limiting fertility by the background characteristics of currently married women is presented in Table 6.7.


It can be seen that unmet need for family planning is experienced by women of all ages. But it is particularly high at young ages of 13-19 and 20-29 years. The requirement of these women is to space children. The situation is almost the same for the young women having 1-2 children. Unmet need is also high among illiterate women (60\%), rural women ( $58 \%$ ) and women coming from Muslim community (64\%).

The table also shows that, of the total women reporting unmet need, 58 per cent want to space children and the remaining 42 per cent want to terminate the child bearing. The study reveals that health reasons, family opposition, religious bindings in both rural and urban areas and sheer dislike for existing method and lack of services, particularly in rural areas largely contribute to unmet need (Table 6.8).

### 6.4 Hinderances to Acceptance of Family Planning

### 6.4.1 Perceived Disadvantages of Methods

In this survey, if a currently married woman knew a particular method, she was asked four questions about the method: (a) whether method has some disadvantages, (b) if so, nature of disadvantages, (c) whether the problems are permanent or temporary in nature and (d) the basis for saying so. The results are presented in Table 6.9. The salient findings for each method are discussed below :

## Vasectomy

One-quarter of the currently married women who are aware of vasectomy believe that this method has some disadvantages. Their perceived disadvantages are weakness and backache/body pain/headache. Two-fifth of these women believed that these problems of vasectomy are permanent in nature. It is, however, found that their apprehensions are based on what they have heard from

## Tubectomy

About two-fifths of currently married women also believe that tubectomy has disadvantages like abdominal/gastric pain, body/headache and weakness. An equal proportion of the women believe that the problems are permanent in nature. Once again, these women are merely depending upon the experience shared by friends and others.

## Laparoscopy

One-quarter of the women believe that laparoscopy has some disadvantages. The problems mentioned by them are similar to tubectomy i.e. abdominal/gastric pain, body/headache and weakness. Half of the women believe that the problems are permanent in nature. One-third of the women were referring to these problems from their own experience.

## IUD

Forty to fifty per cent women believe IUD has disadvantages. The most important problem mentioned is excessive or irregular bleeding. One-third of the women felt this is a perpetual problem. Only a quarter of women from urban areas were narrating this from their own experience. Most of the women from rural areas were narrating the experiences shared by others.

## Pills

A little over one-quarter of women feel pills have disadvantages like weakness and body/headache. Weight gain was the most important problem mentioned for pills. One-third of the women believe that these problems are permanent in nature. Twenty-two to thirty per cent were saying so based on their own experience.

## Condom

Only a small fraction of women (3-7 \%) considered condom as problematic. Fear of failure and the problem of disposal bothered these women. Half of them considered there is no easy solution for these problems. Majority of the women, particularly from the urban areas said so from their own experience.

### 6.4.2 Sources of Supply of Contraceptives

As regards women's knowledge about sources of contraceptives, two types of information was obtained : (a) currently married women's awareness about sources of contraceptives which they are knowing and (b) actual source (outlets) from which the current users have obtained the method for the first time. The findings are presented in Tables 6.10-6.12.

## Awareness About Contraceptive Sources

Table 6.10 reveals that, majority of the women (91-92 \%) are aware that sterilisation can be obtained from PHC/other government hospitals. Six per cent of them said the method could be obtained from private sources as well.

For IUD also, 85 per cent women mentioned government clinics. Eleven per cent referred to private sources and 6 per cent to sub-centre staff.

For pills and condoms, around two-third mentioned about PHC/government hospitals. The other important source for these two methods was shops. One-third of the women said that pills could be obtained from shops. The corresponding proportion for condom was 59 per cent.

Two-fifth of the women said they can get injectable contraceptives from PHC/other governmental hospitals.

## Method Source for Current Users

The outlets from which the current users have obtained the contraceptives for the first time are presented in Table 6.11. It can be seen that in rural areas, all the vasectomy acceptors got this method from PHC/government hospitals or at vasectomy camps. In urban areas also 81 per cent of the vasectomy acceptors visited these sources. Eleven per cent got operated by private doctors.

For female sterilisation also, the service outlets are the same as vasectomy. Of all tubectomy acceptors, 95 per cent of the current users from rural areas and 86 per cent from urban areas obtained this method from government clinics. In urban areas, one-tenth of the acceptors visited private doctors for operation.

In rural areas, IUD is obtained from four sources. In order of importance they are : PHC/camp (in $45 \%$ cases); government hospitals/CHC and private doctors ( $23 \%$ each) and female health workers/sub-centre ( $9 \%$ ). IUD users in urban areas mentioned only two sources i.e. government hospitals/CHC (62 \%) and private sources (38 \%).

As regards pills, in urban areas, three-fourth ( $78 \%$ ) of the pill users got this method mainly from three sources i.e. PHC (31 \%); medical shops (25 \%) and government hospitals/CHC (22 \%). One-tenth of the acceptors visited private doctors and health workers each. In rural areas, the method was obtained from chemists (32 \%), PHC (27\%) and health workers (14 \%). Private doctors provided pills to one-tenth of the current pill users.

### 6.4.3 Supply Position of Pills and Condom to the Current Users

Each of the current users of these methods was probed about supply sources of the two contraceptives, regularity of supply and related issues (Table 6.12). The findings are presented below:

In both rural and urban areas, shops (chemists) and government health outlets are the two important sources for obtaining oral cycles. In urban areas, 86 per cent of the pill users obtain supply from shops and 35 per cent from government outlets. The corresponding proportions for rural areas is 59 and 38 per cent respectively. In rural areas, private doctors/clinics provide supply to one-tenth of the pill users.

For condom, shops are the most important source of supply. Nearly 90 per cent of the current users of condom in urban areas and 73 per cent in rural areas get condoms from shops. Sixty per cent of the users in rural areas also visit government hospitals/PHC etc. The corresponding proportion in urban area is 34 per cent.

By and large, the pill and condom users get their supply regularly. In urban areas, in case pills are not available, the pill users either shift to other methods ( $56 \%$ ) or procure pills from any other source. In rural areas, in case of short supply "no protection" is taken. In case of condom, in urban areas there is no question of short supply. In the rural areas, when condoms are not available, onethird use any other method while the remaining two-third do not use any method at all.

When enquired about the supply position during the last three months, all the current users of pills from rural areas said they did not receive any supply in the above period. In urban areas, supply was not received only "sometimes". As regards condoms, one-third of the current users of this method never received any supply during the last three months.

The pill users desired that they should get three months stock (three cycles) at a time. The condom users wanted to take ten pieces of condom at a time.

In the surveyed villages, the likelihood of getting pills and condoms from sources other than state health outlets is shown in Table 6.13. It is revealed that only in about 13 per cent villages, pills and condoms can be obtained from private doctors. Retail stores stock pills in 5 per cent villages and condoms in 9 per cent villages. Depot holders for condoms are available in only one village. In other words, most of the surveyed villages do not have outlets to serve the couples with pills and condoms.

### 6.4.4 Attitude of Couples Towards Family Planning

The study shows, at least four-fifth of the currently married women approve the use of family planning. Around 15 per cent women said in their family contraception is disapproved by one or the other members. Interestingly, in four-fifth cases family planning is opposed by the husband himself. In a quarter households, opposition came from the mother-in-law (Table 6.14).

It is seen that young brides (aged 13-19) are more vulnerable to pressure from the husband and the mother-in-law for not using family planning. This is also true of women from Muslim families. Illiterate women are likely to face more opposition from husband compared to others (Table 6.15).

### 6.4.5 Exposure to Family Planning Message on Radio and Television

Women's exposure to family planning message from mass media has been studied over the past three months prior to this survey. Table 6.16 reveals that, 80 per cent of the ever married women in rural areas and half of the women in urban areas did not receive any family planning message either on radio or television during the past three months. Message from radio (only) was received by 16 per cent of the ever married women in the rural and urban areas each. Message from television (only) attracted 10 per cent of the urban and less than two per cent of the rural women. In urban areas, about one quarter of the ever married women received family planning message from both the media. The corresponding proportion for the rural area is about two per cent. The survey reveals that rural women's exposure to mass media is any way low, but it is least for television.

It can also be seen that a relatively larger proportion of educated women (compared to illiterates) have mentioned that they receive family planning message from radio and television. This is also true of women who belong to high caste Hindu families and those who use any family planning methods.

The message contents largely refer to "small family size", "oral pills/Mala D" and
"condom/ nirodh". The study shows that this kind of message was also received from cinema by 12 per cent of the urban and about 2 per cent of the rural women (Table 6.17).

### 6.5 Reasons for Discontinuation of Family Planning Methods and Intention of Use of Family Planning in Future

The currently married women who ever used a method but are not practising any method now were asked as to why they gave up the method. Table 6.18 indicates that desire for a child (urban $40 \%$, rural $33 \%$ ) and health problems caused by contraceptives (urban 15\%, rural $10 \%$ ) are the two main reasons for method discontinuation. In urban areas, one-tenth of the users gave up the method when they got pregnant or the method failed. The corresponding proportion in the rural area was five per cent. In rural areas, another five per cent women discontinued the method since it created menstrual problems or dislike for the methods.

For male sterilisation, half of the women talked about sepsis and weakness. For female sterilisation, the frequently cited problems are abdominal/gastric pain (52 \%), weakness (48 \%), bodyache etc. ( $40 \%$ ). For IUD, the women mentioned about excessive or irregular bleeding (78 \%), bodyache (56 \%), abdominal/gastric pain (45 \%) and white discharge ( $23 \%$ ) (Table 6.21).

Table 6.20 indeed shows that the acceptors of modern contraceptives like vasectomy, tubectomy, IUD etc. do face problems. About half of the women who/whose spouse accepted sterilisation reported having suffered problems. One-third of IUD and 16 per cent of the pill acceptors also reported problems experienced with these methods.

The survey recorded twenty three thousand women who were non-pregnant, not wanting children and also having intentions to use family planning in near future. Half of them (56\%) said they will use a method within a year's time. Another one-quarter said that they will do so within 1-2 years. About 15 per cent were not sure about the time when they will be using a method (Table 6.19)

Table 6.1: Knowledge of family planning methods

| Method | Awareness |  | Knows how to use correctly | Knows how to use correctly and to some extent | Knows a source | Percentage ever used the method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spontaneous | Spontaneous + Probing |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Urban |  |  |  |
| Vasectomy | 71.2 | 94.5 | 82.8 | 88.0 | 93.2 | 2.3 |
| Tubectomy | 77.7 | 97.9 | 92.0 | 95.0 | 97.5 | 11.2 |
| Loop/CuT | 45.3 | 69.5 | 51.7 | 59.5 | 68.6 | 6.9 |
| Pills | 62.0 | 85.5 | 43.3 | 62.2 | 84.7 | 7.2 |
| Condom | 61.4 | 84.8 | 70.2 | 74.6 | 83.6 | 16.6 |
| Foam Tab/Jelly | 3.9 | 8.7 | 5.6 | 7.1 | 8.0 | 0.7 |
| Injection | 5.8 | 19.4 | 5.8 | 11.6 | 17.5 | - |
| Withdrawal | 2.1 | 27.6 | 25.8 | 26.6 | NA | 11.7 |
| Rhythm/Safe Period | 5.3 | 23.4 | 13.6 | 17.3 | NA | 9.1 |
| Knows at least one modern method | 86.9 | 98.7 | 95.9 | 97.4 | 98.7 | 34.3 |
| Knows at least one modern spacing method | 73.4 | 92.9 | 78.8 | 86.8 | 92.5 | 24.8 |
| Mean no. of modern methods known | 3.3 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 0.4 |
| Mean no. of modern spacing methods known | 1.8 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 0.3 |
|  |  |  | Rural |  |  |  |
| Vasectomy | 63.7 | 91.3 | 82.6 | 86.8 | 90.1 | 0.6 |
| Tubectomy | 73.4 | 97.0 | 90.8 | 94.4 | 96.1 | 8.8 |
| Loop/CuT | 22.3 | 48.0 | 35.2 | 40.5 | 47.0 | 2.2 |
| Pills | 35.6 | 71.2 | 24.7 | 44.3 | 70.1 | 5.0 |
| Condom | 32.6 | 70.0 | 55.1 | 59.1 | 69.1 | 8.9 |
| Foam Tab/Jelly | 0.5 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 0.2 |
| Injection | 5.6 | 20.0 | 7.6 | 13.7 | 19.3 | 0.3 |
| Withdrawal | 0.7 | 24.5 | 23.4 | 23.9 | NA | 10.7 |
| Rhythm/Safe Period | 1.9 | 18.7 | 6.2 | 8.8 | NA | 3.4 |
| Knows at least one modern method | 80.7 | 97.6 | 93.9 | 96.0 | 97.1 | 21.5 |
| Knows at least one modern spacing method | 48.8 | 85.3 | 64.9 | 73.2 | 84.3 | 13.7 |
| Mean no. of modern methods known | 2.3 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 0.3 |
| Mean no. of modern spacing methods known | 1.0 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 0.2 |
|  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| Vasectomy | 64.6 | 91.7 | 82.7 | 87.0 | 90.4 | 0.8 |
| Tubectomy | 73.9 | 97.1 | 91.0 | 94.5 | 96.3 | 9.1 |
| Loop/CuT | 24.9 | 50.5 | 37.1 | 42.7 | 49.5 | 2.8 |
| Pills | 38.6 | 72.9 | 26.8 | 46.3 | 71.7 | 5.2 |
| Condom | 35.9 | 71.7 | 56.9 | 60.9 | 70.7 | 9.8 |
| Foam Tab/Jelly | 0.9 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| Injection | 5.6 | 19.9 | 7.4 | 13.5 | 19.1 | 0.3 |
| Withdrawal | 0.9 | 24.9 | 23.6 | 24.2 | NA | 10.8 |
| Rhythm/Safe Period | 2.3 | 19.2 | 7.1 | 9.8 | NA | 4.1 |
| Knows at least one modern method | 81.4 | 97.7 | 94.2 | 96.2 | 97.3 | 23.0 |
| Knows at least one modern spacing method | 51.7 | 86.2 | 66.5 | 74.8 | 85.3 | 15.0 |
| Mean no. of modern methods known | 2.4 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 0.3 |
| Mean no. of modern spacing methods known | 1.1 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 0.2 |

[^7]Table 6.2: Percentage of currently married women by their level of knowledge of modern contraceptives and source of supply by selected background characteristics

| Background Characteristics | Knows at least one modern method | Knows at least one modern spacing method | Average No. of modern methods known | Average No. of sources for modern method* | Number women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-19 | 92.7 | 76.0 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 64995 |
| 20-24 | 97.8 | 88.9 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 121166 |
| 25-29 | 98.4 | 87.9 | 4.2 | 2.1 | 111055 |
| 30-39 | 98.7 | 88.8 | 4.2 | 2.1 | 188540 |
| 40-49 | 98.4 | 82.5 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 95781 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.7 | 92.9 | 4.6 | 2.4 | 67419 |
| Rural | 97.6 | 85.3 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 514117 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 97.6 | 83.7 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 462660 |
| Upto class 4** | 97.2 | 88.1 | 4.3 | 2.1 | 21773 |
| Primary ( 5 yrs ) | 100.0 | 98.1 | 4.9 | 2.4 | 40025 |
| Upto Middle (6-8 yrs) | 96.0 | 94.7 | 4.6 | 2.3 | 26220 |
| Upto High (9-10 yrs) | 100.0 | 99.1 | 5.1 | 2.7 | 14669 |
| Above High School (11-18 yrs) | 98.5 | 98.5 | 5.4 | 2.8 | 16190 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 97.5 | 86.3 | 4.1 4.0 | 2.1 | 498301 |
| Muslim | 98.9 | 85.5 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 82535 |
| Others | 100.0 | 100.0 | 5.5 | 2.8 | 701 |
| Caste 97.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled caste | 100.4 | 87.8 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 271570 |
| Scheduled tribe | 100.0 | 87.3 | 3.9 | 1.9 | 112284 |
| Backward caste | 96.8 | 85.8 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 112284 |
| Higher caste Hindu | 98.8 | 89.7 86.2 | 4.4 4.1 | 2.3 2.1 | 196177 |
| Total | 97.7 | 86.2 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 581537 |

[^8]Table 6.3: Percentage of currently married women who have ever used any contraceptive method,
by specific method and age, according to residence

| Age | Any <br> metho | Any method | Male sterilisation | Female sterilisation | IUD | Pill | Condom | Foam table | Injections | Traditional methods | Withdrawal | Periodic abstinence | Other methods | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-19 | 12.2 | 10.7 | - | - | 1.8 | 1.9 | 7.0 | - | - | 6.2 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 6024 |
| 20-24 | 33.6 | 17.9 | - | - | 3.3 | 5.6 | 12.1 | - | - | 22.2 | 13.5 | 9.4 | 0.9 | 11343 |
| 25-29 | 35.3 | 25.3 | - | 4.8 | 4.2 | 8.6 | 16.0 | - |  | 19.0 | 11.4 | 10.0 | - | 15013 |
| 30-39 | 58.8 | 50.9 | 0.5 | 17.7 | 12.5 | 8.9 | 25.4 | 2.1 | - | 20.3 | 13.2 | 10.0 | - | 20358 |
| 40-49 | 46.9 | 42.9 | 9.6 | 22.0 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 12.3 | 0.6 | - | 18.6 | 12.2 | 8.7 | 1.5 | 14681 |
| Total | 42.6 | 34.3 | 2.3 | 11.2 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 16.6 | 0.7 | - | 18.7 | 11.7 | 9.1 | 0.6 | 67419 |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-19 | 12.8 | 5.8 | - | - | - | 2.9 | 4.2 | - | - | 8.1 | 6.4 | 2.3 | - | 58971 |
| 20-24 | 26.3 | 13.2 | - | 0.3 | 0.8 | 4.4 | 9.7 | 0.3 | - | 16.7 | 13.8 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 109823 |
| 25-29 | 32.2 | 20.0 | - | 3.6 | 4.1 | 7.6 | 11.0 | 0.3 | - | 16.3 | 12.6 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 96042 |
| 30-39 | 33.7 | 26.3 | 0.6 | 12.4 | 2.7 | 5.7 | 10.0 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 12.5 | 9.4 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 168181 |
| 40-49 | 42.9 | 35.9 | 2.8 | 25.4 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 6.4 | - | 0.6 | 14.0 | 10.2 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 81100 |
| Total | 30.9 | 21.5 | 0.6 | 8.8 | 2.2 | 5.0 | 8.9 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 13.8 | 10.7 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 514117 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-19 | 12.8 | 6.2 | - | - | 0.2 | 2.8 | 4.5 | - | - | 8.0 | 6.0 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 64995 |
| 20-24 | 27.0 | 13.6 | - | 0.3 | 1.1 | 4.5 | 10.0 | 0.3 | - | 17.2 | 13.7 | 4.2 | 0.3 | 121166 |
| 25-29 | 32.6 | 20.7 | - | 3.8 | 4.1 | 7.7 | 11.7 | 0.2 | - | 16.7 | 12.5 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 111055 |
| 30-39 | 36.4 | 28.9 | 0.6 | 13.0 | 3.7 | 6.0 | 11.7 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 13.4 | 9.8 | 3.9 | 0.8 | 188540 |
| 40-49 | 43.5 | 37.0 | 3.8 | 24.8 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 7.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 14.7 | 10.5 | 4.3 | 1.6 | 95781 |
| Total | 32.3 | 23.0 | 0.8 | 9.1 | 2.8 | 5.2 | 9.8 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 14.4 | 10.8 | 4.1 | 0.7 | 581537 |

Table 6.4: Percentage distribution of currently married women by contraceptive method currently used,
according to age and residence

| Age | Any method | Any modern method | Male sterilisation | Female sterilisation | IUD | Pil | Condom or Nirodh | Foam Tablet | Injecables | Any <br> Traditional methods | Withdrawal | Periodic abstinence | Other methods | Nonusers | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-19 | 3.8 | 2.3 | - | - | - | - | 2.3 | - | - | 1.5 | - | - | 1.5 | 96.2 | 6024 |
| 20-24 | 17.6 | 9.7 | - | - | 1.0 | 0.8 | 8.0 | - | - | 7.8 | 3.6 | 4.2 | - | 82.4 | 11343 |
| 25-29 | 22.7 | 16.0 | - | 4.8 | - | 3.2 | 8.0 | - | - | 6.7 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 77.3 | 15013 |
| 30-39 | 45.9 | 39.5 | 0.5 | 17.7 | 4.9 | 1.7 | 14.1 | 0.7 |  | 6.4 | 3.1 | 3.3 | - | 54.1 | 20358 |
| 40-49 | 39.7 | 36.6 | 9.6 | 22.0 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 3.3 | - | - | 3.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 60.3 | 14681 |
| 15-44 | 31.0 | 24.9 | 1.4 | 11.0 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 8.6 | 0.2 |  | 6.1 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 69.0 | 60968 |
| 15-49 | 30.9 | 25.3 | 2.3 | 11.2 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 8.3 | 0.2 |  | 5.5 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 69.1 | 67419 |
| 13-49 | 30.9 | 25.3 | 2.3 | 11.2 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 8.3 | 0.2 |  | 5.5 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 69.1 | 67419 |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-19 | 7.8 | 1.5 | - | - | - | 1.5 | - | - | - | 6.3 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 92.2 | 58971 |
| 20-24 | 13.2 | 4.4 | - | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 2.8 | - | - | 8.9 | 6.4 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 86.8 | 109823 |
| 25-29 | 16.8 | 8.9 | - | 3.6 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 2.7 | - | - | 7.9 | 5.1 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 83.2 | 96042 |
| 30-39 | 24.7 | 18.0 | 0.6 | 12.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 3.2 | - | 0.3 | 6.7 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 75.3 | 168181 |
| 40-49 | 38.1 | 31.2 | 2.8 | 25.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.8 | - | 0.6 | 6.8 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 61.9 | 81100 |
| 15-44 | 20.1 | 12.5 | 0.4 | 7.7 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 2.6 | - | 0.2 | 7.6 | 4.5 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 79.9 | 477753 |
| 15-49 | 21.1 | 13.7 | 0.6 | 8.9 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 2.4 | - | 0.2 | 7.4 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 78.9 | 510779 |
| 13-49 | 21.0 | 13.6 | 0.6 | 8.8 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 2.4 | - | 0.2 | 7.4 | 4.2 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 79.0 | 514117 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-19 | 7.4 | 1.6 | - | - | - | 1.4 | 0.2 | - | - | 5.8 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 92.6 | 64995 |
| 20-24 | 13.6 | 4.9 | - | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 3.3 | - | - | 8.8 | 6.1 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 86.4 | 121166 |
| 25-29 | 17.6 | 9.9 | - | 3.8 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 3.4 | - | - | 7.7 | 4.9 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 82.4 | 111055 |
| 30-39 | 27.0 | 20.3 | 0.6 | 13.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 4.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 6.7 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 73.0 | 188540 |
| 40-49 | 38.3 | 32.1 | 3.8 | 24.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.0 | - | 0.5 | 6.3 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 61.7 | 95781 |
| 15-44 | 21.4 | 13.9 | 0.5 | 8.0 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 3.3 | - | 0.2 | 7.5 | 4.3 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 78.6 | 538721 |
| 15-49 | 22.2 | 15.0 | 0.8 | 9.1 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 3.1 | - | 0.2 | 7.2 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 77.8 | 578198 |
| 13-49 | 22.1 | 15.0 | 0.8 | 9.1 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 3.1 | - | 0.2 | 7.1 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 77.9 | 581537 |

Table 6.5: Percentage distribution of currently married women by contraceptive method currently used,

| Background Characteristics | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Any Any } \\ \text { method modern } \\ \text { method } \end{array}$ |  | Male sterilisation | Female sterilisation | IUD | Pill | Condom <br> Nirodh | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other } \\ \text { modern } \\ \text { methods } \end{gathered}$ | Any Traditional methods | Withdrawal | Periodic abstinence | Other methods | Not using any methods | No. of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 30.9 | 25.3 | 2.3 | 11.2 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 8.3 | 0.2 | 5.5 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 69.1 | 67419 |
| Rural | 21.0 | 13.6 | 0.6 | 8.8 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 7.4 | 4.2 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 79.0 | 514117 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 19.4 | 12.2 | 0.5 | 8.5 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 7.2 | 4.1 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 80.6 | 462660 |
| Upto class 4* | 31.5 | 25.0 | 1.3 | 16.1 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 4.8 | - | 6.5 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 68.5 | 21773 |
| Primary (5yrs) | 30.8 | 23.8 | 3.4 | 13.3 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 7.0 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 69.2 | 40025 |
| Upto Middle (6-8 yrs) | 25.7 | 19.8 | 0.9 | 7.4 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 7.7 | - | 5.9 | 1.2 | 4.8 | - | 74.3 | 26220 |
| Upto High (9-10 yrs) | 35.4 | 30.4 | 2.0 | 9.1 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 14.2 |  | 5.0 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 64.6 | 14669 |
| Above High School (11-18 yrs) | 47.1 | 37.6 | 2.9 | 8.1 | 6.3 | 0.8 | 18.6 | 0.9 | 9.5 | 6.6 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 52.9 | 16190 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 23.2 | 16.1 | 0.8 | 10.1 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 7.1 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 76.8 | 498301 |
| Muslim | 15.3 | 7.8 | 0.9 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 7.5 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 84.7 | 82535 |
| Others | 50.0 | 50.0 | - | 16.7 | - | - | 33.3 |  | - |  |  |  | 50.0 | 701 |
| Caste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled caste | 21.2 | 13.1 | 0.3 | 9.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 2.1 |  | 8.1 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 78.8 | 271506 |
| Scheduled tribe | 16.2 | 16.2 | - | 16.2 | - | - |  |  | - | - |  | - | 83.8 | 1570 |
| Backward caste | 18.1 | 11.2 | 0.8 | 5.5 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 7.0 | 4.3 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 81.9 | 112284 |
| High Caste Hindu | 25.7 | 19.7 | 1.6 | 10.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 6.0 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 74.3 | 196177 |
| Total | 22.1 | 15.0 | 0.8 | 9.1 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 7.1 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 77.9 | 581537 |

Including the literates having no formal education

Table 6.6: Current use of contraceptives by sex composition of surviving children

| Number and sex of living children | Sterilisation | Modern spacing method | Traditional method | Non-user | Total per cent | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None |  | 1.9 | 3.6 | 94.5 | 100.0 | 98933 |
| 1 child | 0.6 | 4.1 | 8.4 | 87.0 | 100.0 | 92020 |
| 1 son | 1.0 | 5.0 | 10.4 | 83.5 | 100.0 | 49797 |
| No son | - | 2.9 | 6.0 | 91.1 | 100.0 | 42222 |
| 2 children | 4.9 | 6.5 | 8.1 | 80.5 | 100.0 | 98099 |
| 2 sons | 11.7 | 6.7 | 10.7 | 70.9 | 100.0 | 29225 |
| 1 son | 2.1 | 7.2 | 6.3 | 84.3 | 100.0 | 51897 |
| No son | 1.9 | 4.0 | 8.8 | 85.4 | 100.0 | 16977 |
| 3 children | 15.1 | 6.4 | 8.3 | 70.1 | 100.0 | 96494 |
| 3 sons | 31.7 | 6.7 | 10.2 | 51.5 | 100.0 | 13987 |
| 2 sons | 214 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 63.7 | 100.0 | 42712 |
| 1 son | 3.2 | 6.9 | 7.7 | 82.2 | 100.0 | 31606 |
| No son | - | 1.3 | 9.6 | 89.1 | 100.0 | 8189 |
| 4+ children | 19.2 | 5.7 | 7.3 | 67.7 | 100.0 | 195991 |
| 3+ sons | 22.2 | 6.1 | 7.4 | 64.3 | 100.0 | 103793 |
| 2 sons | 21.3 | 5.3 | 8.4 | 65.0 | 100.0 | 61836 |
| 1 son | 5.9 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 83.5 | 100.0 | 25210 |
| No son | - | 4.9 | 2.2 | 92.8 | 100.0 | 5152 |

Table 6.7: Percentage of currently married women with unmet need for family planning services by selected background characteristics

| Background characteristics | Unmet need for Family Planning |  |  | Total $N$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | *To space | ** To limit | Total |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 13-19 | 53.4 | 0.5 | 53.9 | 57411 |
| 20-29 | 49.2 | 11.3 | 60.5 | 198012 |
| 30-39 | 25.2 | 31.6 | 56.8 | 167834 |
| 40-49 | 2.4 | 50.9 | 53.3 | 92442 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 25.4 | 25.7 | 51.1 | 61676 |
| Rural | 34.6 | 23.5 | 58.1 | 454023 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 34.6 | 25.2 | 59.8 | 409757 |
| Upto class $4^{* * *}$ | 26.0 | 20.5 | 46.5 | 19821 |
| Primary ( 5 yrs ) | 30.7 | 19.9 | 50.6 | 35545 |
| Upto Middle (6-8 yrs) | 36.2 | 16.2 | 52.4 | 22285 |
| Upto High (9-10 yrs) | 17.9 | 23.4 | 41.3 | 13080 |
| Above High School (11-18 yrs) | 28.3 | 11.4 | 39.7 | 15210 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 33.3 | 23.0 | 56.3 | 440385 |
| Muslim | 34.5 | 29.1 | 63.6 | 74613 |
| Caste |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled caste | 36.6 | 20.3 | 56.9 | 240987 |
| Backward caste | 34.9 | 27.7 | 62.6 | 97941 |
| Higher caste Hindu | 28.5 | 26.5 | 55.0 | 175812 |
| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |
| None | 31.2 | 1.2 | 32.3 | 85274 |
| 1 | 62.5 | 2.8 | 65.3 | 79613 |
| 2 | 49.3 | 13.9 | 63.2 | 81627 |
| 3 | 29.3 | 29.7 | 59.0 | 87350 |
| 4+ | 16.8 | 45.2 | 62.0 | 181833 |
| Total | 33.5 | 23.8 | 57.3 | 515698 |

[^9]Table 6.8: Reasons for unmet need

|  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Reasons for unmet need | Urban | Rural | $<\mathbf{3 0}$ years | $>\mathbf{3 0}$ years | Total |
| Going to use a FP method | 10.9 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 5.7 | 7.4 |
| Do not like existing method | 2.1 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 3.4 |
| Services are not available | 1.2 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 3.8 | 4.7 |
| After operation one can't work | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Fear of operation | 1.1 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 2.4 |
| Health does not permit | 4.6 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 6.7 | 5.0 |
| Operation may fail | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Currently pregnant | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Fear of after effects of methods | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| Unaware of any FP methods | 0.9 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 2.2 |
| Opposition from husband or other family members | 15.3 | 14.9 | 13.4 | 16.5 | 14.9 |
| Against religion | 13.1 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 8.5 | 6.5 |
| Natural sterility | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| Attained menopause/ MC stopped | 10.2 | 6.9 | 0.3 | 14.5 | 7.3 |
| Others | 56.8 | 55.0 | 61.0 | 49.2 | 55.2 |
| DK/can't specify | 1.3 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 1.5 |

Note: Percentages may add to more than 100.0 because of multiple reasons.

Table 6.9: Perceived disadvantages of the method

| Disadvantages | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vasect- } \\ & \text { omy } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tubect- } \\ & \text { omy } \end{aligned}$ | Laparoscopy | IUD | Pill | Condom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Urban |  |  |  |
| A. \% believed that method has some disadvantage | 20.8 | 37.1 | 25.9 | 51.4 | 28.3 | 7.1 |
| Total N | 63686 | 66020 | 66020 | 46845 | 57615 | 57172 |
| B. Nature of disadvantages |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sepsis | 10.6 | 21.3 | 8.6 | 3.7 | - |  |
| Abdominal/gastric pain | 17.8 | 29.9 | 48.2 | 11.8 | 5.6 | 5.9 |
| Backache/body pain/headache | 23.7 | 22.9 | 20.1 | 16.1 | 12.6 | 6.8 |
| Weakness | 59.8 | 41.8 | 21.0 | 15.4 | 10.4 | 3.5 |
| Excessive or irregular bleeding | 1.9 | 14.5 | 7.5 | 71.8 | 2.2 |  |
| White discharge | - | 2.2 | 3.6 | 17.7 | 6.8 | - |
| Fear of failure | 4.6 | 1.1 | 17.8 | 7.7 | - | 42.9 |
| Problem in disposing | - | - | - | 0.4 | - | 31.6 |
| Infertility/secondary sterility | 0.7 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Loss of sexual desire | 2.3 | - | - | - | - | 9.8 |
| Weight gain | 1.1 | 18.4 | 21.9 | 4.3 | 2.4 | - |
| Others | 14.3 | 13.9 | 10.8 | 10.5 | 70.2 | 32.0 |
| Don't know/can't specify | 0.8 | - | - | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |
| C. \% believed disadvantage to be permanent in nature | 40.3 | 37.6 | 48.4 | 33.5 | 32.2 | 51.2 |
| D. Basis of this belief |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Own experience | 7.7 | 10.4 | 30.5 | 23.8 | 29.8 | 71.2 |
| Friends experience | 30.3 | 71.6 | 56.6 | 67.6 | 47.8 | 32.4 |
| Heard from friend | 67.0 | 33.0 | 38.6 | 30.2 | 24.3 | 27.8 |
| Heard from others | 31.7 | 46.6 | 33.8 | 32.5 | 19.9 | 9.9 |
| TV, radio, posters | 0.7 | 1.8 | - | 1.9 | 2.8 | 2.3 |
| Health personnel | - | - | - | 1.2 | - | - |
| Others | 1.0 | 1.1 | - | 1.4 | 0.7 | - |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total N | 13278 | 24509 | 17089 | 24101 | 16286 | 4040 |

[^10]Table 6.9: Perceived disadvantages of the method (contd. from p.53)

| Disadvantages | Vasectomy | Tubect- omy | Laparoscopy | IUD | Pill | Condom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rural |  |  |  |
| A. \% believed that method has some disadvantages | 24.4 | 38.6 | 26.0 | 38.3 | 28.0 | 3.0 |
| Total N | 469513 | 498890 | 498890 | 246833 | 366266 | 1359686 |
| B. Nature of disadvantages |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sepsis | 14.5 | 13.8 | 6.3 | 4.0 | - | - |
| Abdominal/gastric pain | 12.9 | 23.2 | 35.2 | 7.2 | 4.1 | 8.5 |
| Backache/body pain/headache | 23.4 | 33.5 | 24.5 | 14.9 | 7.0 |  |
| Weakness | 70.5 | 56.9 | 39.9 | 10.0 | 8.5 | 2.0 |
| Excessive or irregular bleeding | - | 7.5 | 9.5 | 63.1 | 11.3 | - |
| White discharge | - | 1.0 | 3.2 | 14.1 | 1.1 | - |
| Fear of failure | 2.6 | 1.6 | 7.9 | 2.6 | 6.7 | 36.3 |
| Problem of disposing | - | - | - | - | - | 23.4 |
| Infertility/secondary sterility | - | 1.0 | - | - | 0.2 | - |
| Loss of sexual desire | 0.4 | 18.1 | 0.2 | - | 0.3 | 2.2 |
| Weight gain | 0.7 | 0.3 | 10.1 | 2.3 | 0.2 | - |
| Others | 15.7 | - | 14.9 | 19.6 | 79.3 | 36.1 |
| Don't know/can't specify | 0.7 | - | 0.2 | - | - | 6.8 |
| C. \% believed disadvantage to be permanent in nature | 40.3 | 37.6 | 48.4 | 33.5 | 32.2 | 51.2 |
| D. Basis of this belief |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Own experience | 3.4 | 5.3 | 32.0 | 12.3 | 21.3 | 51.9 |
| Friends experience | 31.5 | 56.4 | 44.9 | 53.2 | 37.0 | 31.9 |
| Heard from friend | 58.0 | 47.0 | 32.8 | 34.3 | 27.5 | 25.4 |
| Heard from others | 46.3 | 50.4 | 39.7 | 35.3 | 30.6 | 11.4 |
| TV, radio, posters | 2.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.4 |  |
| Health personnel |  | 0.8 |  | 0.6 | 0.3 |  |
| Others | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.0 |  | 0.5 |  |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total N | 114621 | 192339 | 134385 | 94708 | 102407 | 10952 |

Table 6.9: (contd.)

| Disadvantages | Vasectomy | Tubectomy | Laparoscopy | IUD | Pill | Condom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| A. \% believed that method has some disadvantages | 23.9 | 38.4 | 26.8 | 40.4 | 28.0 | 3.6 |
| Total N | 533200 | 564910 | 564910 | 293678 | 423881 | 416858 |
| B. Nature of disadvantage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sepsis | 14.1 | 14.7 | 6.6 | 4.0 | 0.9 | 4.0 |
| Abdominal/gastric pain | 13.4 | 24.0 | 36.7 | 8.2 | 4.3 | 7.8 |
| Backache/body pain/headache | 23.4 | 32.3 | 24.0 | 15.1 | 7.8 | 1.8 |
| Weakness | 69.4 | 55.2 | 37.8 | 11.1 | 9.0 | 2.4 |
| Excessive or irregular bleeding | 0.2 | 8.3 | 9.3 | 64.8 | 11.2 | - |
| White discharge | 0.7 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 14.8 | 1.3 | 2.9 |
| Fear of failure | 2.8 | 1.5 | 9.0 | 3.7 | 6.7 | 30.1 |
| Problem of disposing | - | - | - | 0.3 | - | 25.6 |
| Infertility/secondary sterility | 0.1 | 8.3 | - | - | 0.2 | - |
| Loss of sexual desire | 0.6 | 17.6 | 0.2 | - | 0.2 | 4.3 |
| Weight gain | 0.8 | 0.2 | 11.4 | 2.7 | 0.5 | - |
| Others | 15.6 | - | 14.4 | 17.8 | 78.0 | 35.0 |
| Don't know/can't specify | 0.7 | - | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 5.0 |
| C. \% believed disadvantage to be permanent in nature | 40.3 | 37.6 | 48.4 | 33.5 | 32.2 | 51.2 |
| D. Basis of this belief |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Own experience | 3.9 | 5.9 | 31.8 | 14.6 | 22.5 | 57.1 |
| Friends experience | 31.4 | 58.1 | 46.3 | 56.1 | 38.4 | 32.0 |
| Heard from friend | 58.9 | 45.4 | 33.5 | 33.4 | 27.1 | 26.1 |
| Heard from others | 44.8 | 50.0 | 39.0 | 34.7 | 29.2 | 11.0 |
| TV, radio, posters | 2.0 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 0.6 |
| Health personnel | - | 0.7 | - | 0.7 | 0.2 | - |
| Others | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.5 | - |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total N | 127899 | 216848 | 151474 | 118809 | 118693 | 14992 |

Table 6.10: Know ledge of sources from where the method could be obtained

| Methods | Women who mentioned |  |  |  |  | Number of <br> women aware |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | PHC/ <br> District <br> hospital | SC + Workers | CBD Private doctor | Shops method |  |  |

Note: Percentages will not add to $100.0 \%$, since other source category is not shown.

Table 6.11: Sources of supply of modern contraceptive methods

| Source of supply | Male sterilisation | Female sterilisation | Copper-T/IUD | Pill |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urban Public Sector |  |  |  |  |
| Govt. hospital/CHC | 74.9 | 80.5 | 62.0 | 22.3 |
| PHC/camps | 6.0 | 6.0 | - | 31.0 |
| SC/Male/Female worker | - | - | - | 10.8 |
| Private medical sector |  |  |  |  |
| Private doctor | 11.4 | 11.5 | 38.0 | 11.5 |
| Medical shop | - | - | - | 24.4 |
| Other private sector |  |  |  |  |
| NGOs, Depot holders | - | - | - |  |
| Others | 7.7 | 1.9 | - | - |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total N | 1520 | 7543 | 1202 | 1055 |
| Rural <br> Public Sector |  |  |  |  |
| Govt. hospital/CHC | 91.9 | 70.5 | 22.8 | 5.9 |
| PHC/camps | 8.9 | 24.0 | 45.3 | 26.6 |
| SC/Male/Female worker | - | - | 9.5 | 14.0 |
| Private medical sector |  |  |  |  |
| Private doctor | - | 1.1 | 22.4 | 9.6 |
| Medical shop | - | - | - | 32.4 |
| Other private sector |  |  |  |  |
| NGOs, Depot holders | - | - | - | - |
| Others | - | 4.4 | - | 11.6 |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total N | 3068 | 45219 | 2311 | 5211 |
| Total Public Sector |  |  |  |  |
| Govt. hospital/CHC | 85.7 | 71.9 | 36.2 | 8.6 |
| PHC/camps | 8.0 | 21.0 | 29.8 | 27.4 |
| SC/Male/Female worker | - | 0.5 | 6.3 | 13.4 |
| Private medical sector |  |  |  |  |
| Private doctor | 3.8 | 2.6 | 27.7 | 9.9 |
| Medical shop | - | - | - | 31.0 |
| Other private sector |  |  |  |  |
| NGOs, Depot holders | - | - | - | - |
| Others | 2.5 | 4.1 | - | 9.7 |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total N | 4588 | 52762 | 3513 | 6266 |


|  | Pill users |  |  | Condom users |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Source of supply |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government hospital/CHC/PHC | 35.1 | 37.6 | 37.2 | 33.8 | 60.2 | 51.7 |
| SC and its male and female workers | 10.8 | 13.7 | 13.2 | - | - | - |
| Shops | 86.5 | 59.4 | 64.0 | 88.4 | 72.6 | 77.6 |
| Private doctors/clinic | - | 11.1 | 9.2 | 11.7 | 4.7 | 7.0 |
| Anganwadi workers | - | - | - | - | 4.0 | 2.7 |
| Others | 13.5 | - | 2.3 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total N | 1055 | 5211 | 6266 | 5890 | 12486 | 18376 |
| \% reporting regular supply | 75.7 | 95.8 | 92.4 | 100.0 | 93.7 | 95.7 |
| Alternative in case of short supply |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Do not use the method | - | 100.0 | 46.2 | NA | 64.2 | 64.2 |
| Get from some other source | 44.3 | - | 23.8 | NA | - | - |
| Shift to other method | 55.7 | - | 30.0 | NA | 35.8 | 35.8 |
| Supply position during last 3 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Always got the supply | - |  | - | NA | 64.2 | 64.2 |
| Did not get some time | 100.0 | - | 53.8 | NA | - | - |
| Never received | - | 100.0 | 46.2 | NA | 35.8 | 35.8 |
| How many cycles (pieces) R would like to receive at a time | 3.0 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 11.3 | 9.5 | 10.1 |

* Percentages may add to more than 100.0 because of multiple responses

Table 6.13: Availability of pills and condom from other than public sources in rural areas

| Sources (excluding public) | Pills | Condom | Both |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retails/shop stocking contraceptive | 5.1 | 8.9 | 2.5 |
| Private doctors providing contraceptive | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 |
| Depot holder stocking the method | - | 1.3 | - |
| Number of villages | 79 | 79 | 79 |

Table 6.14: Attitude towards family planning

| Attitude towards family planning ${ }^{*}$ | Urban | Rural | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Per cent of women approving use of FP | 85.1 | 81.2 | 81.7 |
| Per cent reporting disapproval of FP by family members | 14.1 | 15.4 | 15.3 |
| Who oppose FP in family** |  |  |  |
| Husband | 81.1 | 83.2 | 83.0 |
| Parents | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| Father-in-law | 4.8 | 11.8 | 11.0 |
| Mother-in-law | 25.1 | 21.2 | 21.6 |
| Other male member | - | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| Other female member | 5.2 | 1.6 | 2.0 |
| Others | - | 6.8 | 6.1 |

* Percentages may add to more than 100.0 because of multiple responses
** Among women who reported opposition from family members.

Table 6.15: Approval to family planning

| Background characteristics | Per cent approving FP use | Percentage reporting opposition from |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Total }}}{ }$ | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No one | Hus- <br> band | Parent | Father-in-law | Mother-in-law | Others |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-19 | 77.54 | 86.0 | 8.5 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 5.2 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 64995 |
| 20-29 | 81.74 | 86.3 | 11.0 | 0.2 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 121166 |
| 30-39 | 82.75 | 84.2 | 13.9 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 111055 |
| 40-49 | 82.17 | 84.0 | 13.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 284320 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 85.12 | 85.9 | 11.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 3.5 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 67419 |
| Rural | 81.22 | 84.6 | 12.8 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 514117 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 78.48 | 82.7 | 14.6 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 462660 |
| Upto class 4 * | 87.94 | 91.3 | 7.0 | - | 0.4 | 1.7 | - | 100.0 | 21773 |
| Primary ( 5 yrs ) | 94.95 | 93.2 | 4.9 | - | 1.6 | 2.2 | - | 100.0 | 40025 |
| Upto Middle (6-8 yrs) | 95.26 | 91.3 | 6.7 | - | 0.9 | 5.6 | - | 100.0 | 26220 |
| Upto High (9-10 yrs) | 95.77 | 93.6 | 1.8 | - | 1.7 | 2.9 | - | 100.0 | 14669 |
| Above High School (11-18 yrs) | 97.03 | 93.6 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 16190 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 83.35 | 86.6 | 10.9 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 498301 |
| Muslim | 71.37 | 73.5 | 23.1 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 6.2 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 82535 |
| Others | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 781 |
| Caste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled caste | 79.83 | 83.8 | 13.3 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 271506 |
| Scheduled tribe | 77.25 | 77.3 | 22.7 | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 1570 |
| Backward caste | 81.57 | 88.8 | 9.5 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 112284 |
| Higher caste Hindu | 84.32 | 83.8 | 13.4 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 196177 |
| Total | 81.7 | 84.7 | 12.7 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 581536 |

* Including the literates having no formal education
** Percentage may add to more than 100.0 because of multiple responses

Table 6.16: Percentage distribution of ever-married women by whether they have heard a radio or television message about family planning in the last 3 months prior to the interview, according to selected background characteristics

| Background characteristics | Heard of family planning messages on radio and television |  |  |  | Total \% | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Neither | only | Television | Both |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-19 | 80.0 | 14.0 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 65882 |
| 20-24 | 75.8 | 15.9 | 3.2 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 124396 |
| 25-29 | 75.1 | 18.4 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 113942 |
| 30-49 | 76.4 | 16.1 | 2.3 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 299281 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 50.5 | 16.1 | 10.5 | 22.9 | 100.0 | 69876 |
| Rural | 79.8 | 16.3 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 533625 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 83.8 | 13.7 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 479990 |
| Upto class 4* | 71.1 | 24.1 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 21855 |
| Primary (5yrs) | 56.0 | 30.4 | 3.8 | 9.8 | 100.0 | 42290 |
| Upto Middle (6-8 yrs) | 48.5 | 22.7 | 10.9 | 17.9 | 100.0 | 27293 |
| Upto High (9-10 yrs) | 24.3 | 25.9 | 17.2 | 32.6 | 100.0 | 14920 |
| Above High School (11-18 yrs) | 17.2 | 24.9 | 14.2 | 43.8 | 100.0 | 17153 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 76.2 | 16.6 | 2.4 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 518264 |
| Muslim | 78.3 | 13.8 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 84536 |
| Others | 16.7 | 33.3 |  | 50.0 | 100.0 | 701 |
| Caste |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled caste | 84.4 | 12.9 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 282335 |
| Scheduled tribe | 67.5 | 32.5 | - | - | 100.0 | 1570 |
| Backward caste | 80.7 | 14.5 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 115087 |
| Higher caste Hindu | 63.1 | 21.8 | 4.9 | 10.2 | 100.0 | 204509 |
| Use of contraception |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever use | 62.9 | 24.2 | 4.7 | 8.2 | 100.0 | 187679 |
| Never use | 82.5 | 12.7 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 415822 |
| Total | 76.4 | 16.3 | 2.5 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 603501 |

* Including the literates having no formal education

Table 6.17: Family planning messages through different media

| Types of messages received on family planning | Radio |  |  | Television |  |  | Cinema |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Total | Jrban | Rural | Total | Jrban | Rural | Total |
| Per cent received messages on family planning | 39.0 | 18.7 | 21.0 | 33.4 | 3.9 | 7.3 | 11.9 | 1.6 | 2.7 |
| Type of message received* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small family size | 39.0 | 18.7 | 21.1 | 33.6 | 3.9 | 7.3 | 8.9 | 0.8 | 1.8 |
| Use of Condom/Nirodh | 12.9 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 11.2 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 7.5 | 0.8 | 1.6 |
| Use of Oral pills/Mala D | 28.4 | 8.9 | 11.1 | 24.7 | 2.4 | 5.0 | 9.4 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| Use of Loop/IUD/CuT | 7.6 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 7.5 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Sterilisation | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Population problems | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.8 | - | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Not received any message | 61.0 | 81.3 | 79.0 | 66.6 | 96.1 | 92.7 | 88.1 | 98.4 | 97.3 |

* Percentages may add to more than 100.0 because of multiple responses

Table 6.18: Reasons of discontinuation

| Reasons for discontinuation | Urban | Rural | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Method failed or got pregnant | 11.1 | 5.4 | 6.1 |
| Lack of sexual satisfaction | - | - | - |
| Created menstrual problem | - | 5.3 | 4.7 |
| Created health problem | 14.8 | 10.3 | 10.9 |
| Inconvenient to use | 2.2 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Hard to get method | 2.1 | 2.7 | 2.6 |
| Put on weight | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| Did not like the method | 4.7 | 5.5 |  |
| Wanted to have a child | 39.8 | 3.7 | 33.9 |
| Wanted to replace a dead child | 2.0 | -1 | 0.2 |
| Others | 16.4 | 28.5 | 27.2 |
| Don't know/missing | 5.3 | 7.9 | 7.5 |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 5956 | 41245 | 47201 |

Table 6.19: Future intention

| When planning to adopt | Urban | Rural | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Within one year | 43.6 | 58.7 | 56.4 |
| $1-2$ years | 25.5 | 26.0 |  |
| 2 or more years | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| Do not know/can't specify | 27.8 | 11.9 | 14.4 |
| Total women | 3538 | 19202 | 22740 |

[^11]Table 6.20: Reporting problem(s) faced with the method used

| Method used | Problem faced with the method used |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Total | Total Number |
| Vasectomy | 41.6 | 62.3 | 55.8 | 4821 |
| Tubectomy | 59.1 | 58.1 | 58.2 | 52762 |
| IUD | 33.7 | 30.8 | 31.7 | 3813 |
| Pills | 23.6 | 15.4 | 16.8 | 6266 |
| Condom | 27.3 | 8.7 | 14.5 | 18090 |
| Other modern methods | - | 75.3 | 66.4 | 1211 |
| Traditional methods | 5.2 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 41561 |

Table 6.21: Percentage of current users of pills, copper T/IUD and female/male sterilisation who have had problems in using the method

| Problems faced | Male <br> Sterilization | Female <br> Sterilization | IUD | Pills |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sepsis | 51.8 | 9.7 | - | - |
| Abdominal/gastric pain | 10.8 | 51.1 | 44.6 | - |
| Backache/body pain/headache | 18.8 | 39.6 | 56.4 | - |
| Weakness | 46.1 | 48.3 | - | 10.1 |
| Excessive or irregular bleeding | - | 14.2 | 78.3 | - |
| White discharge | - | 4.4 | -2.9 | - |
| Fear of failure | - | 0.8 | - | - |
| Problem in disposing | - | 5.4 | - | - |
| Others | 19.5 | 13.8 | 10209 | 89.9 |
| Number of women | 2689 | 30712 | 1052 |  |

[^12]
## CHAPTER VII

## FERTILITY PREFERENCES

### 7.0 Introduction

The desired family size, sex preferences among children, mental preparedness to reject unwanted pregnancies and husband-wife communication on the number of children a couple should have etc. have an important bearing on the fertility performance of women. Each of these issues are discussed in this chapter.

### 7.1 Desire for More Children

In order to assess women's desire for additional children (apart from the children they have), each of the currently married women were asked four questions: (a) whether they want more children, if so, (b) number of children desired, (c) preferred sex composition of children and (d) time when they want the next child. The findings are presented in Tables 7.1-7.3.

### 7.1.1 When Want Next Child and Sex of Child

The distribution of currently married women wanting additional children according to the sex of the additional child and the time when they want to have the next child is presented in Table 7.1.

It can be seen that, of the total currently married women (581537), about three-fifth (58\%) want additional children. The corresponding proportion in rural women is 60 per cent and urban women 47 per cent.

One-quarter (22 \%) of the total currently married women wanting children want to have the next child within eleven months. The desire is stronger among those who presently have no children. Half of the women ( $54 \%$ ) having no children desire to have the next child in the next eleven months.

Three-fifth of the women ( $63 \%$ ) desiring children wants to have the next child after 24 months and more. At least 70 per cent of the women falling in this category have at least one living child. This implies that once a woman has at least one child, she can afford to delay the next child. The trend is almost the same in both rural and urban areas.

As regards sex preference of additional children, it is seen that two-fifth ( $43 \%$ ) of the women want only boy(s). The proportion desiring only girl(s) is marginal (about $3 \%$ ). The proportion of women, desiring both boys and girls is 45 per cent. It transpires from the analysis that in the beginning of the child bearing, an average woman perhaps lays equal importance to sons and daughters. Table 7.1 shows that at the time of this survey, of all the women having no living children, 86 per cent of them wanted both boys and girls. However, with the passage of time as children are born to women, a relatively larger proportion of them prefer only son(s) rather than both son and daughter. In the table, of all the couples having at least three children, 70 per cent wanted the next child to be "only boy" and 13 per cent "both boy and girl". Seemingly, after begetting 3-4 children (and hopefully at least one girl among them), the tendency among the majority of the couple is to see the next child is a son rather than a daughter. The findings are true of both rural and urban areas.

### 7.1.2 Number of Additional Children Desired

The number of children desired by the currently married women is presented in Table 7.3. It is seen that in rural areas, of all the women who have yet to get a child, more than half of them (56 $\%$ ) want to have 2-3 children. In urban areas, the proportion is slightly higher i.e. two-third of such women ( $67 \%$ ) want $2-3$ children. It is also seen that in urban areas, the tendency to have no more children gains ground once a couple has two children. After the third child, the urge becomes stronger. In rural areas, the desire of having no children gains momentum after a couple has three children. The desire gets stronger with each additional child. However, this desire is weaker as compared to urban couples. For example, in urban areas, 85 per cent of the women having four children don't want any additional child against 66 per cent of such women in rural areas.

### 7.1.3 Characteristics of Women Wanting Additional Children

The specific features of women wanting more children are presented in Table 7.2. It can be seen that the desire to have a child hardly subsides among childless women, even as they grow old. The table shows, 8.5 per cent of the women aged 40-49 and having no children wanted to have a child. In the urban area in particular, the desire for additional children declines drastically among couple having three children. Son preference (even at the cost of increasing family size) is evident from the analysis. Couples having three, and four or more children do not mind having additional children, in case they have no son. For example, 90 per cent of the women having three children and no son wanted to have additional children. In contrast to this, only one-third of the women having three children but no daughter desired to have additional children.

### 7.2 Ideal Number of Children

Each of the ever married women was asked to opine on how many children a couple should have. Their opinion on the ideal number of children is shown in Table 7.4. It can be seen that in both rural and urban areas, around 45 per cent of the ever married women consider that a couple should ideally have only three children. The proportion of couple who consider "two children" as the ideal is 11 per cent in rural areas, but 27 per cent in urban areas. In sum, 70 per cent of the couples in urban and 67 per cent in rural areas consider 2-3 children as the ideal number of children. Incidentally, the government media also insist on 2-3 children per couple.

Nine per cent of the couples in urban area and around 20 per cent in rural area consider "five and more children" as ideal. Seemingly, the younger generation is not in favour of too many children. As can be seen the proportion of couples who consider "five and more children" as ideal is relatively less among the couples who presently have four or less children as compared to the couples who have five and more children. There is hardly any difference in the perception of ideal number of children among ever married and currently married women. In urban area, the ideal number of children comprise " 3 children" and in rural areas " 3.4 children". Women who believe in "one child" family is four per cent.

### 7.2.1 Ideal Vs Actual Children

The extent to which the women in real life are able to stick to the number of children they believe to be ideal is shown in Table 7.5. The table shows that in rural areas, about half of the women ( $46 \%$ ) having four children and four-fifth ( $82 \%$ ) of the women having five and more children have already crossed their ideal family size. The corresponding proportions are higher still in urban areas i.e. 65 and 89 per cent respectively. It transpires that in urban areas, while talking about ideal family size, women (perhaps to give an impression that they are more enlightened) give a conservative estimate of ideal children which in actual practice they are unable adhere to due to one or the other
reasons. Anyway, there is a gap between what is perceived to be ideal and what actually happens both in rural and urban areas.

### 7.3 Husband-Wife Communication on Number of Children a Couple Should Have

Inter-spouse communication plays an important role in helping couples to decide the number of children they should have (and also in the acceptance of family planning). The sooner this communication starts, it is better for one and all. Accordingly, the currently married women were asked two questions: (a) whether they discussed with husband about how many children they want and, if so (b) when did they first talk about this. The results are presented in Table 7.6. The table reveals that, one-third of the currently married women never discussed this issue with their spouse. The pace of such communications appears to be slow in the initial years of married life. It can be seen that, only 13 per cent of the currently married women talked on this issue immediately after marriage, 14 per cent after the birth of the first child and 15 per cent after the birth of the second child. After the birth of the third child, the pace of communication accelerated and the proportion of women who started talking about their family size with the husband increases to 23 per cent. This is consistent with other findings. Case studies carried out in Uttar Pradesh reveal that at least in rural areas, couples generally get concerned about their family size only after 3-4 children (with at least one son) are born to them. Incidentally, this is also the time when couples accept one or the other methods, specially sterilisation.

It is also revealed that a relatively larger proportion of young couples now talk on family size immediately after marriage. For example, of all the currently married women aged 13-19 years, onethird said they initiated discussion on family size soon after marriage. Against this, nearly 40 per cent of the currently married women aged 30-49 years, reported that they started such discussions only after the birth of the third child.

Education also plays some role in this matter. The table reveals that a relatively larger proportion of women having six and more years of schooling discussed these matters with their husband immediately after marriage as compared to women who are illiterate or have five or less years of schooling.

Among the ever users of family planning, one-fifth said that they never discussed such matters with their husbands. The corresponding proportion among the never users is 40 per cent. Similarly one-third of the ever users said such discussions took place only after the birth of the third child against 19 per cent of the never users. This shows that the users of family planning discuss such matters were proportionately more than the non-users of family planning.

### 7.4 Fertility Planning

### 7.4.1 Unwanted Pregnancies

In this survey, each of the currently married women was asked (a) whether in the past any pregnancy was considered as unwanted and if so, (b) how many times and the outcome of the unwanted pregnancies. The results are presented in Tables 7.7 and 7.8.

The analysis reveals that 93 per cent of the currently married women did not feel any pregnancy as "unwanted". Five per cent considered "one pregnancy as unwanted" and the remaining two per cent considered "two and more pregnancies as unwanted".

It seems, the "feeling that a pregnancy is unwanted" starts some where at the ages 20-29 and gradually gains ground. The table shows, the proportion of women considering pregnancies as unwanted is 6 per cent in the age groups 20-29 and 30-39 each and 9 per cent among women aged 40-49 years. A relatively larger proportion of urban women ( $12 \%$ ) as compared to rural women ( 6 \%) felt pregnancies as unwanted. This is also true of women having schooling beyond six years and among high caste Hindu women. More of these women (as compared to others) found some of their pregnancies as unwanted.

The outcome of the unwanted pregnancies is presented in Table 7.8. Seventy nine per cent of the total pregnancies ended into live births (rural 80\%, urban 74\%). Six per cent ended into spontaneous abortion (rural 6 \%, urban $5 \%$ ). About 8 per cent pregnancies were aborted (rural 5 $\%$, urban $18 \%$ ). In less than one per cent cases in rural areas, attempt was made to abort the pregnancies, but it failed. Case studies carried out in Uttar Pradesh have very clearly shown that there is a strong urge among the rural women to protect themselves from unwanted pregnancies. However, inadequate MTP facilities and information service, conservative social norms, pressure from elderly people and more importantly unco-operative attitude of the husband make rural women helpless in this matter.

### 7.4.2 Intentions about Current Pregnancy

In the district, sixty-five thousand currently married women were pregnant at the time of this survey. The intentions of these women about their current pregnancy at the time when they became pregnant is presented in Table 7.9. It is revealed that four-fifth of the women (81\%) wanted the pregnancies then and hence they have no regrets about it. One-tenth wanted to defer the pregnancy, but became pregnant. For eight per cent women, (5367 pregnancies), the current pregnancy is "unwanted".

### 7.4.3 Intentions about Future Pregnancies

In this survey, of all the currently married women who did not want any more children were asked a hypothetical question i.e., what they will do in case they become pregnant. The idea is to ascertain what options are available to an average woman in the area in case they become accidentally pregnant. The results are shown in Table 7.10 (a). After suppressing the already sterilised couples, the intentions of the women towards unwanted pregnancies are presented in Table 7.10 (b).

It can be seen that, two-third of the total women (rural $68 \%$, urban $51 \%$ ), though they did not desire any more children, were likely to accept the pregnancy. Another one-fifth (rural $18 \%$, urban $32 \%$ ) said they will abort it. The other women were not sure about what to do. The analysis shows that the inclination to discard unwanted future pregnancies is more among urban than the rural women apparently because of better facilities and more favourable environment in the urban area.

Table 7.1: Per cent distribution of currently married women by desire for children, according to number of living children and residence

| Desire for children | Number of living children* |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | $3+$ |  |
|  |  |  | Urban |  |  |
| Desire for additional child |  |  |  |  |  |
| Within 11 months | 66.9 | 16.8 | 10.9 | 9.5 | 25.2 |
| 12-23 months | 10.8 | 8.3 | 1.1 | 3.6 | 5.9 |
| 24 or more months | 17.8 | 73.4 | 77.4 | 77.4 | 62.6 |
| Do not know | 4.5 | 1.5 | 10.6 | 9.4 | 6.3 |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Preferred sex of additional child ** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Only boy(s) | 9.5 | 42.7 | 58.6 | 66.5 | 44.6 |
| Only girl(s) |  | 3.6 | 5.3 | 2.8 | 3.1 |
| Both boy and girl | 72.9 | 47.1 | 25.2 | 13.5 | 39.8 |
| Either | 5.5 | 5.1 | 2.8 | 6.8 | 4.9 |
| Others | 12.1 | 1.5 | 8.1 | 10.3 | 7.6 |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number wanting more children | 7243 | 9009 | 8284 | 6990 | 31526 |
|  |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| Desire for additional child |  |  |  |  |  |
| Within 11 months | 52.9 | 15.2 | 11.0 | 9.7 | 22.2 |
| 12-23 months | 9.6 | 11.6 | 4.4 | 6.3 | 8.1 |
| 24 or more months | 29.0 | 69.1 | 78.8 | 77.9 | 63.6 |
| Do not know | 8.4 | 4.0 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 6.1 |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Preferred sex of additional child ** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Only boy(s) | 7.4 | 37.9 | 56.9 | 70.8 | 43.4 |
| Only girl(s) |  | 2.0 | 7.2 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| Both boy and girl | 86.7 | 54.4 | 29.7 | 12.5 | 45.7 |
| Either | 2.7 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 5.2 | 3.7 |
| Others | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 9.0 | 4.6 |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number wanting more children | 76782 | 80704 | 61333 | 88227 | 307047 |
|  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| Desire for additional child |  |  |  |  |  |
| Within 11 months | 54.2 | 15.4 | 11.0 | 9.7 | 22.5 |
| 12-23 months | 9.7 | 11.3 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 7.9 |
| 24 or more months | 28.1 | 69.5 | 78.6 | 77.9 | 63.5 |
| Do not know | 8.1 | 3.8 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.1 |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Preferred sex of additional child ** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Only boy(s) | 7.6 | 38.4 | 57.1 | 70.5 | 43.5 |
| Only girl(s) |  | 2.2 | 6.9 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| Both boy and girl | 85.6 | 53.7 | 29.2 | 12.6 | 45.1 |
| Either | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 5.3 | 3.8 |
| Others | 3.9 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 9.1 | 4.9 |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number wanting more children | 84025 | 89713 | 69616 | 95218 | 338573 |

[^13]** Excludes the women who were not sure of the desired number of children

Table 7.2: Percentage of currently married women who want more children by number of living children and selected background characteristics

| Background characteristics | Number of living children* |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4+ |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-19 | 99.4 | 100.0 | 90.0 | 100.0 | - | 99.1 |
| 20-29 | 98.8 | 98.0 | 82.5 | 61.6 | 37.4 | 79.4 |
| 30-39 | 89.1 | 93.7 | 66.0 | 39.0 | 30.7 | 42.2 |
| 40-49 | 84.2 | 47.0 | 24.8 | 16.2 | 5.1 | 10.7 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.2 | 89.3 | 57.2 | 32.7 | 10.8 | 46.8 |
| Rural | 98.1 | 98.4 | 77.1 | 48.6 | 24.3 | 59.7 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 97.9 | 97.6 | 78.3 | 50.8 | 24.6 | 59.5 |
| Upto class 4** | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.4 | 31.2 | 20.9 | 52.1 |
| Primary (5 yrs) | 100.0 | 93.3 | 76.8 | 37.7 | 15.0 | 52.9 |
| Upto Middle (6-8 yrs) | 95.8 | 97.4 | 76.5 | 45.7 | 4.7 | 63.3 |
| Upto High (9-10 yrs) | 100.0 | 80.3 | 28.6 | - | 27.3 | 42.6 |
| Above High School (11-18 yrs) | 100.0 | 88.9 | 33.6 | 13.8 | - | 48.5 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 97.8 | 97.3 | 73.7 | 45.3 | 22.2 | 58.1 |
| Muslim | 100.0 | 92.3 | 77.6 | 53.6 | 27.0 | 59.1 |
| Others | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | - | - | 50.0 |
| Caste |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled caste | 98.6 | 98.7 | 84.3 | 51.5 | 24.5 | 62.8 |
| Scheduled tribe | - | - | 100.0 | 100.0 | 26.6 | 55.3 |
| Backward caste | 94.9 | 96.0 | 77.4 | 45.8 | 29.0 | 60.1 |
| Higher caste Hindu | 99.0 | 93.8 | 59.2 | 40.2 | 17.5 | 50.9 |
| Number of living sons |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 98.1 | 99.2 | 88.7 | 90.3 | 73.5 | 96.0 |
| 1 | - | 93.5 | 76.4 | 60.1 | 49.2 | 76.1 |
| 2 | - | - | 58.2 | 28.8 | 19.0 | 30.0 |
| $3+$ | - | - | - | 30.5 | 15.1 | 16.7 |
| Number of living daughters |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 98.1 | 94.9 | 64.8 | 36.4 | 32.0 | 83.9 |
| 1 | - | 99.1 | 75.4 | 31.7 | 21.3 | 54.8 |
| 2 | - | - | 90.7 | 65.1 | 16.9 | 39.8 |
| $3+$ | - | - | - | 92.3 | 27.5 | 32.2 |
| Total | 98.1 | 96.6 | 74.0 | 46.4 | 22.9 | 58.2 |

[^14]Table 7.3: Distribution of number of living children by number of additional desired children by residence

| Number of living children* | Number of desired children |  |  |  |  |  | Total \% | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4+ | DK |  |  |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | - | 1.6 | 30.4 | 36.8 | 16.3 | 15.0 | 100.0 | 7373 |
| 1 | 10.7 | 32.2 | 35.1 | 10.1 | 10.5 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 10088 |
| 2 | 42.8 | 24.7 | 17.6 | 1.8 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 100.0 | 14491 |
| 3 | 67.3 | 20.0 | 4.2 | 2.3 | 4.6 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 14349 |
| 4 | 85.4 | 4.3 | 1.3 | 5.3 | - | 3.7 | 100.0 | 8166 |
| 5+ | 91.5 | 2.6 | 0.7 | - | 1.0 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 12952 |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | - | 2.3 | 14.7 | 41.3 | 32.9 | 8.8 | 100.0 | 78285 |
| 1 | 2.5 | 8.5 | 41.7 | 23.0 | 18.3 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 82801 |
| 2 | 22.9 | 31.9 | 26.2 | 9.2 | 6.2 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 79542 |
| 3 | 51.4 | 20.7 | 11.2 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 6.8 | 100.0 | 89473 |
| 4 | 66.2 | 13.4 | 11.5 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 76188 |
| 5+ | 82.3 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 107831 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | - | 2.2 | 16.0 | 40.9 | 31.5 | 9.4 | 100.0 | 85658 |
| 1 | 3.4 | 11.0 | 41.0 | 21.6 | 17.5 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 92889 |
| 2 | 26.0 | 30.8 | 24.9 | 8.1 | 6.2 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 94033 |
| 3 | 53.6 | 20.6 | 10.2 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 6.1 | 100.0 | 103822 |
| 4 | 68.1 | 12.5 | 10.5 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 84354 |
| 5+ | 83.3 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 120781 |

[^15]Table 7.4: Per cent distribution of ever-married women by ideal number of children and mean ideal number of children for ever married women and currently married women, according to number of living children and residence

| Ideal number of children | Number of living children* |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6+ |  |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 3.9 | 6.3 | 5.4 | 1.6 | 4.2 | 2.0 | 6.3 | 4.2 |
| 2 | 37.9 | 29.9 | 36.5 | 22.8 | 29.9 | 16.0 | 10.2 | 27.3 |
| 3 | 45.2 | 41.3 | 36.1 | 50.2 | 34.9 | 50.8 | 47.4 | 43.2 |
| 4 | 4.2 | 11.9 | 15.9 | 19.9 | 19.9 | 19.1 | 16.2 | 15.8 |
| 5 | 8.8 | 8.3 | 1.8 | 4.7 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 9.7 | 6.0 |
| 6+ | - | 2.4 | 4.4 | 0.8 | 3.9 | 5.2 | 10.1 | 3.4 |
| Non-numeric responses** | 15.8 | 8.5 | 7.3 | 1.7 | 8.9 | 9.3 | 3.0 | 7.3 |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 6399 | 10065 | 13970 | 14065 | 7889 | 6428 | 6259 | 65074 |
| Mean ideal number*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married women | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| Currently married women | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 6.5 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 6.3 | 3.5 | 4.7 |
| 2 | 14.3 | 10.3 | 18.1 | 10.1 | 6.6 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 11.1 |
| 3 | 43.8 | 50.2 | 43.5 | 52.8 | 39.7 | 44.3 | 41.9 | 45.7 |
| 4 | 16.2 | 19.4 | 22.4 | 14.4 | 35.0 | 18.9 | 22.3 | 21.0 |
| 5 | 14.1 | 11.1 | 8.9 | 12.2 | 6.8 | 16.1 | 9.8 | 11.2 |
| 6+ | 5.0 | 6.1 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 7.3 | 6.5 | 14.4 | 6.4 |
| Non-numeric responses** | 6.3 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 7.6 | 8.1 | 9.4 | 12.2 | 7.3 |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 77504 | 81764 | 77977 | 86403 | 73163 | 51424 | 48391 | 496626 |
| Mean ideal number*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married women | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 3.4 |
| Currently married women | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.4 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 6.3 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 5.9 | 3.8 | 4.6 |
| 2 | 16.1 | 12.5 | 20.9 | 11.8 | 8.9 | 8.8 | 8.2 | 13.0 |
| 3 | 43.9 | 49.2 | 42.4 | 52.4 | 39.3 | 45.1 | 42.5 | 45.4 |
| 4 | 15.2 | 18.6 | 21.4 | 15.2 | 33.5 | 18.9 | 21.6 | 20.4 |
| 5 | 13.7 | 10.8 | 7.8 | 11.2 | 6.8 | 15.0 | 9.8 | 10.6 |
| 6+ | 4.6 | 5.7 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 14.0 | 6.1 |
| Non-numeric responses** | 7.1 | 5.7 | 5.1 | 6.8 | 8.1 | 9.4 | 11.2 | 7.3 |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 83902 | 91829 | 91947 | 100468 | 81052 | 57852 | 546500 | 561700 |
| Mean ideal number*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married women | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.4 |
| Currently married women | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.4 |

[^16]Table 7.5: Match between ideal number of children and number of living children

|  | Number of living children* |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Existing against ideal | $\mathbf{0 - 1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5 +}$ |
| Urban | 96.6 | 61.8 | 30.6 | 12.4 | 3.5 |
| Less than ideal | 3.4 | 32.8 | 46.5 | 22.2 | 7.8 |
| Equal to ideal | $\mathbf{-}$ | 5.4 | 22.8 | 65.4 | 88.7 |
| More than ideal | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Total \% | $\mathbf{1 6 4 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 9 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 7 2}$ |
| Total N |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than ideal | 98.9 | 80.6 | 40.7 | 17.5 | 5.6 |
| Equal to ideal | 1.1 | 16.5 | 45.0 | 36.8 | 12.5 |
| More than ideal | - | 2.9 | 14.3 | 45.7 | 81.9 |
| Total \% | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Total N | $\mathbf{1 5 9 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 3 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 8 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 3 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 6 1 5}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than ideal | 98.7 | 77.7 | 39.3 | 17.0 | 5.4 |
| Equal to ideal | 1.3 | 19.0 | 45.2 | 35.4 | $\mathbf{1 1 . 9}$ |
| More than ideal | - | 3.3 | 15.5 | 47.6 | 82.7 |
| Total \% | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Total N | $\mathbf{1 7 5 4 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 3 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 9 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 2 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 1 1 8 8}$ |

[^17]Table 7.6: Husband-wife communication on number of children they should have

| Background characteristics | Stage at which discussion took place |  |  |  |  |  | Total \% | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Immediately after marriage | After <br> 1st child | After 2nd child | After 3rd child | Don't remember | Never |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-19 | 36.1 | 13.1 | 1.4 | - | 0.2 | 49.2 | 100.0 | 64996 |
| 20-24 | 20.9 | 23.3 | 14.4 | 6.0 | 0.4 | 35.1 | 100.0 | 121166 |
| 25-29 | 11.5 | 17.4 | 20.8 | 16.1 | - | 34.2 | 100.0 | 111055 |
| 30-49 | 5.1 | 9.6 | 16.0 | 39.3 | 1.7 | 28.3 | 100.0 | 284320 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 14.3 | 18.3 | 16.3 | 19.9 | 1.7 | 29.5 | 100.0 | 67420 |
| Rural | 12.9 | 13.8 | 14.8 | 24.0 | 0.8 | 33.7 | 100.0 | 514117 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 11.4 | 13.2 | 14.1 | 23.8 | 1.0 | 36.6 | 100.0 | 462660 |
| Upto class 4* | 17.4 | 9.7 | 22.8 | 23.5 | 1.0 | 25.7 | 100.0 | 21773 |
| Primary (5 yrs) | 15.4 | 17.9 | 17.0 | 28.8 | 1.0 | 20.0 | 100.0 | 40025 |
| Upto Middle (6-8 yrs) | 19.4 | 25.8 | 11.7 | 21.3 | 0.5 | 21.4 | 100.0 | 26220 |
| Upto High (9-10 yrs) | 18.9 | 19.6 | 28.7 | 17.8 | - | 15.0 | 100.0 | 14669 |
| Upto High School (11-18 yrs) | 33.9 | 21.1 | 16.9 | 13.4 | 1.5 | 13.2 | 100.0 | 16190 |
| Use of contraception |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever use | 12.0 | 14.8 | 19.4 | 33.8 | 1.0 | 19.0 | 100.0 | 187679 |
| Never use | 13.6 | 14.1 | 12.8 | 18.6 | 0.9 | 39.9 | 100.0 | 393858 |
| Total | 13.1 | 14.3 | 15.0 | 23.5 | 0.9 | 33.2 | 100.0 | 581537 |

* Including the literates having no formal education

Table 7.7: Percentage of currently married women who had experienced unwanted pregnancies and number

| Background characteristics | Number of unwanted pregnancies |  |  |  | Total \% | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | $3+$ |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-19 | 98.1 | 1.9 | - | - | 100.0 | 649996 |
| 20-29 | 94.2 | 5.4 | 0.3 | - | 100.0 | 121166 |
| 30-39 | 93.9 | 4.4 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 111055 |
| 40-49 | 90.6 | 5.9 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 284320 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 87.6 | 8.7 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 67420 |
| Rural | 93.5 | 4.6 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 514117 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 94.3 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 462660 |
| Upto class 4* | 94.7 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 21773 |
| Primary (5 yrs) | 89.3 | 7.9 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 40025 |
| Upto Middle (6-8 yrs) | 88.1 | 8.9 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 26220 |
| Upto High (9-10 yrs) | 72.9 | 22.1 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 14669 |
| Upto High School (11-18 yrs) | 82.6 | 15.0 | 2.4 | - | 100.0 | 16190 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 93.1 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 498301 |
| Muslim | 91.5 | 5.7 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 82535 |
| Others | 83.3 | - | - | 16.7 | 100.0 | 701 |
| Caste |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled caste | 95.8 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 271506 |
| Scheduled tribe | 100.0 | - | - | - | 100.0 | 1570 |
| Backward caste | 93.1 | 4.3 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 112284 |
| Higher caste Hindu | 8.5 | 9.2 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 196177 |
| Total | 92.8 | 5.1 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 581537 |

Table 7.8: Per cent distribution of the outcome of unwanted pregnancies by residence

| Outcome of unwanted pregnancies | Urban | Rural | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Live birth | 73.9 | 80.5 | 79.1 |
| Still birth | 2.4 | 3.3 | 3.1 |
| Spontaneous abortion | 4.5 | 6.3 | 6.1 |
| Induced abortion/MTP | 18.2 | 5.1 | 7.8 |
| Attempted to abort but failed | - | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| Others | 1.0 | 4.1 | 3.4 |
| Total unwanted pregnancies | 11835 | 46299 | 58134 |

Table 7.9: Fertility planning*

| Pregnancy intention | Urban | Rural | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wanted then | 84.6 | 81.1 | 81.4 |
| Wanted later | 9.6 | 10.4 | 10.4 |
| Wanted no more | 5.9 | 8.5 | 8.2 |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of pregnancies | 5614 | 59841 | 65455 |

[^18]Table 7.10 (a): What the women would do if get unwanted pregnancy

| Intention for unwanted pregnancy | Urban | Rural | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Will accept the pregnancy | 39.6 | 51.9 | 50.0 |
| Will get it aborted | 24.9 | 13.9 | 15.6 |
| Others | 9.4 | 8.9 | 8.9 |
| Not sure/do not know | 3.0 | 1.3 | 1.6 |
| Not possible/ sterilized | 23.1 | 24.0 | 23.9 |
| Total \% | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Number of women | $\mathbf{3 5 7 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 8 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 2 5 8 1}$ |

Table 7.10 (b): Intention if gets unwanted pregnancy

| Intention for unwanted pregnancy | Urban [ $N=$ =27488] | Rural [ $N=157126]$ | Total [ $N=184614$ ] |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Will accept the pregnancy | 51.5 | 68.3 | 65.8 |
| Will get it aborted | 32.4 | 18.3 | 20.4 |
| Not sure/do not know | 4.0 | 1.7 | 2.1 |
| Others | 12.1 | 11.7 | 11.7 |
| Total \% | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Note: Percentages calculated after suppressing sterilised couples.

## CHAPTER VIII

## MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH AND UTILISATION OF HEALTH SERVICES

### 8.0 Introduction

The issues covered in this chapter include (a) antenatal care taken by pregnant women (b) place of delivery and quality of assistance, (c) immunisation, (d) extent of utilisation of public health services, and (e) client - provider interaction and quality of information provided by service providers to women.

### 8.1 Antenatal Care

Information about the type of antenatal care availed and the source(s) was obtained from the currently married women who became pregnant during the last two years prior to this survey. The results are presented in Tables 8.1-8.2. It is revealed that, of the total women only about one-fourth had gone for antenatal check-up. The proportion of women undergoing check-up was more in urban (41 \%) than in rural areas ( $22 \%$ ). Similarly, women who are educated at least upto primary level, young women aged less than 20 years and those aged 20-34 years and high caste Hindu women have availed antenatal services more as compared to others.


### 8.1.1 Source(s) of Antenatal Check-up

District hospital/PHC and private doctors are the two main sources for antenatal check-up. Of all the women who became pregnant during the last two years prior to this survey, about half (47 \%) visited hospitals/PHC and about one-third (31 \%) visited private doctors. One-tenth of the women visited sub-centre for antenatal check-up.

The analysis shows, Hindu women, women coming from low caste families, illiterate women or those having education upto tenth standard utilise government services more. Private doctors are
visited more by Muslim women, women belonging to urban areas, elderly women ( $35 \%$ ) and those who are educated above high school. The village sub-centres are frequented more by young women (aged less than 20 years).

### 8.1.2 Prophylaxis

Besides antenatal check-up, information was also collected on whether women received iron and folic acid tablets and tetanus injection during pregnancy. Table 8.1 reveals that one-third of the pregnant women received tetanus injection and about one-fifth iron and folic acid tablets. The analysis reveals that protection against tetanus during pregnancy is taken more by younger women (less than 35), urban women and literate women and those who come from high caste Hindu families. Muslim women seem to take less protection compared to Hindu women. Protection against nutritional anaemia (through IFA Tablets) has been taken more by urban women and women who are at least primary educated.

### 8.1.3 Antenatal Check-up and Stage of Pregnancy

Information on the stage of pregnancy women usually go for antenatal check-up for the first time is presented in Tables 8.2 (a) - 8.2 (b). It can be seen that a pregnant woman in the urban area goes for the first antenatal check-up at the fourth month of pregnancy and in rural areas at the fifth month (Table 8.2a). The women in urban areas seem to take pregnancies more seriously. Table 8.2(b) reveals that, over two-fifth ( $46 \%$ ) of the pregnant women in urban areas avail antenatal services during the first trimester against one-third of the pregnant women ( $31 \%$ ) in rural areas. By and large, at least 85 per cent of all pregnant women (who avail ANC) take antenatal care by the second trimester. The remaining 15 per cent go for check-up during the advance stages of pregnancy. IEC component needs to take care of this.


### 8.2 Place of Delivery and Assistance During Delivery

The above information was collected and analysed for all births occurring in the area during the past two years prior to this survey. The findings are presented below :

### 8.2.1 Place of Delivery

Table 8.3 reveals that, 93 per cent births take place at home. Four per cent births are delivered at public outlets (mainly PHC and hospitals) and the remaining (about 3\%) births take place at private clinics etc. Private clinics and hospitals etc. are utilised more in urban than in rural areas. Home delivery is relatively less among those women who are educated upto high school and above. They prefer to visit hospitals/PHC or private clinics.


### 8.2.2 Assistance During Delivery

Quality of assistance during delivery has a bearing on the safety of the mother and the child. It is, however, noticed that majority of the deliveries in the rural areas ( $89 \%$ ) are conducted by unskilled people like family members and untrained dais. The proportion of such deliveries is also high in urban areas i.e. 67 per cent. In urban areas, however, one-third of the deliveries are assisted by qualified people like doctor/nurse (government 16\%, private $12 \%$ ) and trained dais (5 \%). The proportion of deliveries receiving similar assistance is only 10 per cent in rural areas.

### 8.3 Immunisation of Children

Information on immunisation of children has been analysed for children aged 6-23 months and those between 12-23 months. The results are presented in Tables 8.5 (a) - 8.5 (d). The summary findings are presented below :

| Description | 6-23 months (\%) |  | 12-23 months(\%) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |
| (a) Not immunised at all | 41.1 | 46.4 | 45.6 | 48.3 |
| (b) Received all vaccines | 41.7 | 29.1 | 44.8 | 35.1 |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |
| (a) Not immunised at all | 42.9 | 56.4 | 40.2 | 54.7 |
| (b) Received all vaccines | 24.0 | 17.1 | 30.3 | 23.0 |

If we look at the immunisation pattern of children 6-23 months, it can be seen that, two- fifth of the male children in both urban and rural areas were not immunised at all. The corresponding proportion for female children is 46 per cent in urban and 56 per cent in rural areas. In urban areas, all vaccines were received by 42 per cent of boys and 29 per cent of the girls. The corresponding proportions in rural areas are much less i.e. 24 and 17 per cent respectively. The analysis brings to the fore that the parents in urban areas (compared to rural areas) are more particular in providing all vaccines to their children. Further, while providing all vaccines to children, boys receive priority over girls in both rural and urban areas. Education seems to have some positive impact on the practice of immunisation. Table 8.5 (a) reveals that in urban areas, of all children belonging to illiterate mothers, 61 per cent were not immunised at all. This proportion for mothers having education above high school was only six per cent. The same trend is observed in rural areas.


In rural areas, Muslim mothers seem to neglect immunisation of children (Table 8.5(b)). In urban areas in particular, a relatively larger proportion of children belonging to high caste Hindu families are immunised as compared to low caste households. Immunisation for measles is somewhat given less priority over other vaccines.

### 8.4 Utilisation of Public Health Services

In order to assess womens' opinion on the utilisation of public health services, a series of questions were asked of the ever married women. The analysis is presented in Tables 8.6-8.11. The salient findings are outlined below :

### 8.4.1 Source of Medical Assistance During Sickness

Three questions were asked of each women: (a) where they generally go for treatment, (b) why private doctors are preferred, and (c) certainty of getting the required services at PHC. The findings are presented in Table 8.6. The table reveals that during sickness people mostly visit private doctors. Sixty-two per cent ever married women said they always visit private doctors for treatment (rural 62\%, urban 57\%). One-third said they sometimes visit private doctors and sometimes government clinics. Only a minority ( $6 \%$ ) of the women reported that they exclusively depended on government health care services (urban 6\%, rural 4\%).

There are three main reasons for "always preferring private doctors" namely, better treatment (rural 66\%, urban 76\%), source near house (rural 38\%, urban 45\%) and no medicines available in public source (rural 29\%, urban 32\%). A small section of women (4-9\%) also complained about bad behaviour of PHC staff, long waiting time and less attention paid at government clinics.

As regards availability of the doctor at PHC, 60 per cent women said they are "quite certain" about getting the doctor. One-third of the women, however, were not sure about it.

### 8.4.2 Pricing of Government Health Services

Each woman was asked two questions : (a) are they presently paying for government health care services and (b) are they ready to pay if government services improve. As per Table 8.7, onethird of the women said they are already paying at the government clinics. Three-fourth of the women said they are ready to pay some money provided the government provides better services. This implies that majority of the women won't mind paying for the improved health care services of the government.

### 8.4.3 Contact with Health Service Providers

Some specific details about client-service provider interaction are presented in Table 8.8. It can be seen that, half of the ever married women or any member of their family have sought assistance from the ANM or other PHC staff at one point or the other. During the past three months prior to this survey, however, only 7 per cent of the total women (rural $7 \%$, urban $2 \%$ ) had an opportunity to be visited by a PHC staff. In most cases (85\%), it was the ANM/LHV who visited the household.

### 8.4.4 Quality of Client-Provider Interface

The ever married women who were visited by the health staff in the last three months were also asked a few questions to assess their satisfaction with the services provided by the health staff. The findings are presented in Table 8.9.

As stated earlier, seven per cent of the ever married women (rural 7\%, urban 2\%) were visited by health workers. Ninety-five per cent of them said health workers spent enough time with them. Majority of the women (94\%) were satisfied with the assistance provided by the health staff. Almost an equal proportion of women ( $93 \%$ ) also wanted that the health staff should visit them again. As regards opinion about health workers, 69 per cent women in rural areas said the community holds good opinion about health staff. However, in urban areas, only one-third of the women said so.

### 8.4.5 Quality of Information Provided on Family Planning

Like quality of care, the quality of family planning information has a bearing on the success of this programme at least in the long run. Considering this, each of the currently married women who were visited by the health staff was asked a few questions like : (a) what family planning methods were mentioned to women by health staff, (b) whether they informed about both advantages and disadvantages and (c) whether women were told about how to use the methods and source of supply. The results are presented in Table 8.10.

The table reveals that only 8 per cent of the currently married women visited by the health staff were informed about the various family planning methods. While mentioning the methods, about 80 per cent of the women were told about tubectomy. Other modern methods like vasectomy, IUD, pills and condom each were mentioned to around one-quarter of the women. Information on traditional methods like withdrawal and safe period was given to about 3 per cent of the women each.

During motivation, 15 per cent women were informed about both advantages and disadvantages of tubectomy. The merits and demerits of each of the other methods were told to less than 10 per cent of the currently unmarried women.

Information on how to use a method was provided to a quarter of the women who were told about pills and condom each. This piece of information was provided to 18 per cent of the women who were informed about IUD.

Three-fourth of the women, who were informed about tubectomy, were also told about the source from which to get this method. For other methods like vasectomy, IUD, pills and condom, information on service outlets was provided to around a quarter of the women, who were told about each of these methods.

### 8.4.6 Perception About ANM

Some of the perceptions of the ever married women about the quality of the services provided by the village ANM and her attitude towards the community are presented in Table 8.11. Nearly three-fifth of the women agree that "a young ANM is better than traditional dais in assisting deliveries". Majority of the women (at least $75 \%$ ) do not endorse the belief that an ANM is disinclined to assist deliveries in poor families or in scheduled caste households. Similarly, only 10 per cent of the women endorse the belief that the ANM/Nurse belonging to scheduled caste families are not accepted by high caste people.

### 8.4.7 Mortality Rates

The crude death rate is estimated to be 13.4 per thousand population. The state average is 12.8 (SRS 1992). The infant mortality (estimated by direct method) works out to be 83 per thousand live births -84 in the rural areas and 70 in urban areas. The SRS estimate of IMR for the state as a whole is 98 (Table 8.12).

Table 8.1: Percentage of currently married women who had experienced pregnancies in last two years by source of antenatal care during pregnancy, according to background characteristics

| Background characteristics | \% underwent ANC check-up | Source of ANC treatment* |  |  |  | \% received |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { District } \\ \text { hosp/PHC } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Sub- } \\ \text { centre } \end{array}$ | Private | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At } \\ \text { home } \end{array}$ | Others | FA tab |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 27.3 | 43.9 | 21.0 | 22.3 | 7.4 | 5.4 | 19.1 | 37.9 | 26232 |
| 20-34 | 25.9 | 48.5 | 8.8 | 30.6 | 9.2 | 2.9 | 21.5 | 36.9 | 263397 |
| 35+ | 14.0 | 40.0 | 6.9 | 44.1 | 5.8 | 3.2 | 14.6 | 25.4 | 62486 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 41.2 | 50.1 | 0.6 | 42.6 | 1.8 | 4.8 | 35.3 | 48.9 | 36733 |
| Rural | 21.9 | 46.6 | 11.7 | 28.8 | 10.2 | 2.8 | 18.3 | 33.3 | 315382 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 21.5 | 46.5 | 11.5 | 27.3 | 11.3 | 3.4 | 16.1 | 28.8 | 154118 |
| Upto class 4 ** | 31.3 | 51.1 | 19.1 | 15.5 | 11.6 | 2.7 | 25.6 | 51.5 | 12763 |
| Primary (5 yrs) | 40.1 | 57.5 | - | 29.8 | 4.8 | 7.9 | 36.4 | 53.3 | 24085 |
| Upto Middle (6-8 yrs) | 42.8 | 44.3 | 16.6 | 34.5 | 4.5 | - | 38.6 | 64.7 | 15421 |
| Upto High (9-10 yrs) | 60.9 | 60.6 | - | 36.1 | 3.3 | - | 55.9 | 73.4 | 8734 |
| Above High School (11-18 yrs) | 75.8 | 27.2 | 4.7 | 68.1 | - | - | 68.0 | 94.4 | 8512 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 24.4 | 49.0 | 8.7 | 30.7 | 8.6 | 3.0 | 21.1 | 36.4 | 299474 |
| Muslim | 21.0 | 35.5 | 16.2 | 34.8 | 9.0 | 4.5 | 14.4 | 26.6 | 52407 |
| Others | 50.0 |  | - | 100.0 | - | - | 50.0 | 100.0 | 234 |
| Caste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled caste | 18.6 | 50.8 | 12.5 | 19.1 | 10.9 | 6.7 | 16.5 | 28.2 | 164844 |
| Scheduled tribe | - | - | - | - | - | - | 28.7 | 28.7 | 1776 |
| Backward caste | 21.2 | 53.5 | 3.6 | 33.3 | 8.8 | 0.8 | 18.6 | 33.1 | 67226 |
| Higher caste Hindu | 33.2 | 42.1 | 9.7 | 40.1 | 6.8 | 1.3 | 25.8 | 45.6 | 118269 |
| Total | 23.9 | 47.2 | 9.7 | 31.3 | 8.7 | 3.2 | 20.1 | 35.0 | 352115 |

* Percentage is based on those who underwent ANC check-up
** Including the literates having no formal education

Table 8.2 (a): Percentage distribution of women pregnant at least once in last two years, who received medical check-up by stage of pregnancy

| medical check-up by stage of pregnancy |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ANC visits | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Stage of pregnancy at the time of the first ANC visit |  |  |  |
| No ante-natal care | 58.7 | 78.2 | 76.2 |
| First trimester | 18.9 | 6.8 | 8.0 |
| Second trimester | 17.6 | 11.8 | 12.4 |
| Third trimester | 4.8 | 3.2 | 3.4 |
| Total \% | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Median months pregnant at first visit (for those with ANC) | 4.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 |
| Number of pregnancies in last two years | $\mathbf{3 7 2 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 7 1 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 4 4 0 5}$ |

Table 8.2 (b): Antenatal check-up by stage of pregnancy

| ANC visits by stage | Urban | Rural | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| First trimester | 45.6 | 31.1 | 33.7 |
| Second trimester | 42.6 | 54.0 | 51.9 |
| Third trimester | 11.8 | 14.9 | 14.4 |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 3 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 0 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 4 5 8}$ |
| Note: Percentages worked out by suppressing women who have not taken antenatal care at all |  |  |  |

Note: Percentages worked out by suppressing women who have not taken antenatal care at all.
Table 8.3: Percentage distribution of live births in last two years by place of delivery, according to selected background characteristics

| Background characteristics | Place of delivery |  |  |  |  | Total \% | Number of women who had live births in last two years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Health facility |  |  |  | Home |  |  |
|  | PHC/Dist hospital | Subcentre | Public | Private |  |  |  |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 4.2 | - | 4.2 | 3.1 | 92.7 | 100.0 | 33094 |
| 20-34 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 4.6 | 2.9 | 92.5 | 100.0 | 215367 |
| 35+ | 2.5 | - | 2.5 | 1.7 | 95.8 | 100.0 | 35709 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 11.6 | - | 11.6 | 10.1 | 78.3 | 100.0 | 30639 |
| Rural | 3.3 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 94.7 | 100.0 | 253532 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 2.4 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 96.2 | 100.0 | 229530 |
| Upto class 4 (informal education) | 7.0 | - | 7.0 | 2.0 | 91.0 | 100.0 | 10310 |
| Primary (5 years) | 10.3 | - | 10.3 | 1.0 | 88.7 | 100.0 | 18988 |
| Upto Middle (6-8 years) | 5.1 | - | 5.1 | 6.6 | 88.3 | 100.0 | 11177 |
| Upto High (9-10 years) | 26.5 | - | 26.5 | 13.4 | 60.1 | 100.0 | 6684 |
| Above High School (11-18 years) | 21.4 | - | 21.4 | 37.4 | 41.2 | 100.0 | 7483 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 4.3 | 0.1 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 92.8 | 100.0 | 240735 |
| Muslim | 4.1 | - | 4.1 | 2.0 | 93.9 | 100.0 | 43203 |
| Others | - | - | - | 50.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 234 |
| Caste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled caste | 1.7 | - | 1.7 | 0.8 | 97.5 | 100.0 | 134963 |
| Scheduled tribe | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1163 |
| Backward caste | 3.1 | - | 3.1 | 1.6 | 95.3 | 100.0 | 52129 |
| Higher caste Hindu | 8.4 | 0.3 | 8.7 | 6.2 | 85.1 | 100.0 | 95915 |
| Total | 4.2 | 0.1 | 4.3 | 2.8 | 92.9 | 100.0 | 284171 |

Table 8.4: Per cent distribution of live births in last two years by type of assistance during delivery, according to residence

| Type of assistance | Urban | Rural | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Doctor or trained nurse | 15.6 | 5.0 | 6.1 |
| Trained dai | 4.8 | 1.4 | 1.8 |
| Untrained dai | 26.4 | 31.4 | 30.9 |
| Family member | 40.3 | 57.8 | 56.0 |
| Private doctor/ nurse | 11.7 | 3.2 | 4.1 |
| Others/self | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Total \% | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Number | $\mathbf{3 0 6 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 3 5 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 4 1 7 1}$ |

Table 8.5a: Among children 6-23 months, the percentage who had received each vaccine by the time of the survey by selected background characteristics in urban areas

| Background characteristics | Percentage of children 6-23 months vaccinated against |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BCG | DPT |  |  | Polio |  |  | Measles | All* | None |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 55.3 | 55.4 | 54.1 | 49.6 | 55.4 | 54.1 | 49.6 | 44.0 | 41.7 | 41.1 | 9192 |
| Female | 53.6 | 41.9 | 38.7 | 34.0 | 41.9 | 38.7 | 34.0 | 30.4 | 29.1 | 46.4 | 8304 |
| Mother's Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 38.8 | 27.6 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 27.6 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 61.2 | 4962 |
| Upto class 4** | 51.3 | 51.3 | 51.3 | 51.3 | 51.3 | 51.3 | 51.3 | 37.2 | 37.2 | 48.7 | 691 |
| Primary (5yrs) | 53.1 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 32.6 | 32.6 | 46.9 | 1618 |
| Upto Middle (6-8 yrs) | 78.7 | 78.7 | 78.7 | 55.2 | 78.7 | 78.7 | 55.2 | 38.6 | 38.6 | 21.3 | 1479 |
| Upto High (9-10 yrs) | 87.7 | 87.7 | 87.7 | 87.7 | 87.7 | 87.7 | 87.7 | 68.0 | 68.0 | 12.3 | 992 |
| Above High School (11-18 yrs) | 93.9 | 93.9 | 85.9 | 85.9 | 93.9 | 85.9 | 85.9 | 81.0 | 73.0 | 6.1 | 1516 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 54.8 | 49.1 | 46.2 | 41.1 | 49.1 | 46.2 | 41.1 | 37.0 | 35.4 | 43.4 | 13705 |
| Muslim | 51.7 | 47.2 | 47.2 | 44.3 | 47.2 | 47.2 | 44.3 | 37.6 | 34.7 | 45.9 | 3675 |
| Others | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  | 117 |
| Caste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled caste | 34.8 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 65.2 | 4030 |
| Scheduled tribe | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 194 |
| Backward caste | 56.8 | 47.9 | 45.2 | 34.6 | 47.9 | 45.2 | 34.6 | 34.6 | 34.6 | 40.2 | 5104 |
| Higher caste Hindu | 64.0 | 60.3 | 57.1 | 63.9 | 60.3 | 57.1 | 53.9 | 44.0 | 40.0 | 33.8 | 8168 |
| Total | 54.5 | 49.0 | 46.8 | 42.2 | 49.0 | 46.8 | 42.2 | 37.6 | 35.7 | 43.6 | 17496 |

[^19]Table 8.5b: Among children 6-23 months, the percentage who had received each vaccine by the time of the survey by selected background characteristics in rural areas

| Background characteristics | Percentage of children 6-23 months vaccinated against |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BCG | DPT |  |  | Polio |  |  | Measles |  | None |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 50.7 | 48.1 | 43.3 | 37.2 | 48.5 | 45.2 | 38.5 | 29.0 | 24.0 | 42.9 | 74468 |
| Female | 37.0 | 38.3 | 31.1 | 26.6 | 37.4 | 30.7 | 26.7 | 20.9 | 17.1 | 56.4 | 68387 |
| Mother's Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 33.8 | 34.6 | 29.0 | 22.9 | 34.1 | 28.9 | 22.8 | 17.9 | 13.5 | 58.5 | 66189 |
| Upto class 4** | 60.9 | 50.4 | 44.2 | 38.1 | 44.2 | 44.2 | 38.1 | 43.9 | 32.0 | 39.1 | 4407 |
| Primary (5yrs) | 73.1 | 70.8 | 65.0 | 62.2 | 70.8 | 65.0 | 62.2 | 44.4 | 42.0 | 22.3 | 10773 |
| Upto Middle (6-8 yrs) | 71.6 | 74.5 | 66.1 | 61.3 | 80.3 | 72.0 | 61.3 | 57.4 | 48.0 | 15.0 | 5460 |
| Upto High (9-10 yrs) | 83.0 | 72.6 | 72.6 | 72.6 | 72.6 | 72.6 | 72.6 | 63.3 | 63.3 | 17.0 | 2929 |
| Above High School (11-18 yrs) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 71.00 | 71.00 | - | 1883 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 46.2 | 45.3 | 39.3 | 33.6 | 45.1 | 40.0 | 34.3 | 26.5 | 21.9 | 47.2 | 125580 |
| Muslim | 29.4 | 29.5 | 24.1 | 21.2 | 29.5 | 25.3 | 22.5 | 15.7 | 11.8 | 65.1 | 17275 |
| Caste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled caste | 39.4 | 37.6 | 31.5 | 25.7 | 37.4 | 32.0 | 26.5 | 20.3 | 16.6 | 54.9 | 77827 |
| Scheduled tribe | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | 255 |
| Backward caste | 50.4 | 49.3 | 40.7 | 35.8 | 48.0 | 40.8 | 35.9 | 28.0 | 20.6 | 41.3 | 22464 |
| Higher caste Hindu | 49.2 | 50.5 | 46.3 | 42.1 | 51.1 | 48.1 | 43.2 | 32.7 | 28.4 | 43.8 | 42309 |
| Total | 44.1 | 43.4 | 37.5 | 32.1 | 43.2 | 38.3 | 32.9 | 25.2 | 20.7 | 49.4 | 142855 |

[^20]Table 8.5c: Among children 12-23 months, the percentage who had received each vaccine by the time of the survey by selected background characteristics in urban areas

| Background characteristics | Percentage of children 12-23 months vaccinated against |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Numbe $r$ of childre $n$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BCG | DPT |  | Polio |  |  |  | Measles | AII* | None |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |

## Urban

Sex
Male

| 51.5 | 49.2 | 49.2 | 49.2 | 49.2 | 49.2 | 49.2 | 46.3 | 44.8 | 45.6 | 6132 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 51.7 | 39.4 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 39.4 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 35.1 | 48.3 | 5815 |

## Mother's Education

| Illiterate | 38.0 | 28.3 | 28.3 | 28.3 | 28.3 | 28.3 | 28.3 | 28.3 | 28.3 | 62.0 | 3195 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Upto class 4** | 24.4 | 24.4 | 24.4 | 24.4 | 24.4 | 24.4 | 24.4 | 24.4 | 24.4 | 75.6 | 445 |
| Primary (5 yrs) | 55.6 | 44.2 | 44.2 | 44.2 | 44.2 | 44.2 | 44.2 | 44.2 | 44.2 | 44.4 | 1194 |
| Upto Middle (6-8 yrs) | 57.4 | 57.4 | 57.4 | 57.4 | 57.4 | 57.4 | 57.4 | 44.2 | 44.2 | 42.6 | 739 |
| Upto High (9-10 yrs) | 82.1 | 82.1 | 82.1 | 82.1 | 82.1 | 82.1 | 82.1 | 82.1 | 82.1 | 17.9 | 680 |
| Above High School (11-18 yrs) | 92.9 | 92.9 | 92.9 | 92.9 | 92.9 | 92.9 | 92.9 | 77.9 | 77.9 | 7.1 | 1304 |

## Religion

Hindu

| 53.6 | 45.9 | 44.4 | 44.4 | 45.9 | 44.4 | 44.4 | 43.4 | 42.4 | 45.4 | 8933 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 43.4 | 37.7 | 37.7 | 37.7 | 37.7 | 37.7 | 37.7 | 34.4 | 30.6 | 53.4 | 2897 |
| 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -- | 117 |

Caste

| Scheduled caste | 43.3 | 34.4 | 34.4 | 34.4 | 34.4 | 34.4 | 34.4 | 34.4 | 34.4 | 56.7 | 2190 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Scheduled tribe | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 194 |
| Backward caste | 56.8 | 42.9 | 39.1 | 39.1 | 42.9 | 39.1 | 39.1 | 39.1 | 39.1 | 43.2 | 3566 |
| Higher caste Hindu | 53.2 | 50.4 | 50.4 | 50.4 | 50.4 | 50.4 | 50.4 | 47.4 | 44.0 | 43.8 | 5997 |
| Total | 51.6 | $\mathbf{4 4 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 1}$ | 46.9 | 11947 |

[^21]Table 8.5d: Among children 12-23 months, the percentage who had received each vaccine by the time of the survey by selected background characteristics in rural areas

| Background characteristics | Percentage of children 12-23 months vaccinated against |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Numbe $r$ of childre |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BCG DPT |  |  |  | Polio |  |  | Measl | AII* | None |  |
|  | CG | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | es |  |  |  |

## Rural

Sex
Male
Female

| 54.2 | 51.8 | 47.1 | 41.2 | 52.4 | 49.0 | 41.8 | 34.5 | 30.3 | 40.2 | 51466 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 39.4 | 41.5 | 35.1 | 30.6 | 40.2 | 34.4 | 30.7 | 26.7 | 23.0 | 54.7 | 45578 |

## Mother's Education

| Illiterate | 36.5 | 38.8 | 33.3 | 26.3 | 38.1 | 32.6 | 25.6 | 22.0 | 18.7 | 57.1 | 42766 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Upto class 4** | 73.8 | 61.1 | 53.6 | 46.2 | 53.6 | 53.6 | 46.2 | 53.1 | 38.7 | 26.2 | 3637 |
| Primary (5 yrs) | 71.2 | 73.5 | 63.7 | 63.7 | 73.5 | 63.7 | 63.7 | 49.5 | 46.5 | 22.9 | 8502 |
| Upto Middle (6-8 yrs) | 75.8 | 79.5 | 73.5 | 67.2 | 87.1 | 81.1 | 67.2 | 68.3 | 55.9 | 6.8 | 4202 |
| Upto High (9-10 yrs) | 87.6 | 75.1 | 75.1 | 75.1 | 75.1 | 75.1 | 75.1 | 63.9 | 63.9 | 12.4 | 2425 |
| Above High School (11-18 yrs) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 81.7 | 81.7 | - | 1638 |

## Religion

Hindu

Muslim

| 50.8 | 50.4 | 45.0 | 39.3 | 50.1 | 45.8 | 39.7 | 33.4 | 29.1 | 43.5 | 84551 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 23.2 | 23.4 | 17.6 | 15.3 | 23.4 | 17.6 | 15.3 | 13.6 | 11.7 | 71.1 | 12493 |

Caste

| Scheduled caste | 43.6 | 41.8 | 36.6 | 31.5 | 41.3 | 37.3 | 32.0 | 25.4 | 22.8 | 51.9 | 52331 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Scheduled tribe | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Backward caste | 54.2 | 54.2 | 44.9 | 37.5 | 52.2 | 45.0 | 37.6 | 34.8 | 25.5 | 37.0 | 14967 |
| Higher caste Hindu | 50.3 | 52.3 | 48.2 | 44.0 | 53.4 | 49.3 | 44.0 | 38.5 | 34.8 | 43.4 | 29746 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 0 4 4}$ |

[^22]|  | Urban | Rural | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preferred sources |  |  |  |
| Always public sources (PHC/CHC, District Hospital, SC) | 3.7 | 5.7 | 5.5 |
| Sometime public source and sometime private | 38.8 | 31.3 | 32.2 |
| Always private source/doctor | 56.5 | 62.2 | 61.5 |
| Others | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| Reasons for always preferring private source* |  |  |  |
| Cheaper treatment | 3.8 | 2.7 | 2.9 |
| Near to my house | 44.7 | 38.2 | 38.9 |
| Better treatment | 75.6 | 65.6 | 66.6 |
| PHC/SC are far off | 1.8 | 11.9 | 10.8 |
| Bad behaviour of PHC staff | 6.3 | 3.9 | 4.2 |
| No alternative | 2.3 | 10.4 | 9.5 |
| No medicines available in public source | 32.4 | 29.3 | 29.6 |
| No staff/doctor available in public source | 3.3 | 5.3 | 5.1 |
| Takes more time at government hospital | 7.7 | 5.2 | 5.4 |
| Others | 3.7 | 9.9 | 9.2 |
| Can't say/don't know | - | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Certainty about availability of doctor at PHC |  |  |  |
| Quite certain | 55.5 | 60.9 | 60.2 |
| Not certain | 41.2 | 35.7 | 36.4 |
| Do not know | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.4 |

* Percentage may add to more than 100, because of multiple answers

Table 8.7: Percentage of women reporting payment at public clinics and readiness to pay if services improved

|  | Urban | Rural | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reporting payment at Govt. Health Centers | 31.2 | 37.7 | 36.8 |
| Ready to pay for services if improve | 74.4 | 74.2 | 74.2 |

Table 8.8: Percentage of women reporting contact with the health service providers

| Description | Urban | Rural | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Women or her HH member contacted by PHC/SC workers | 58.4 | 48.4 | 49.6 |
| Average number of contacts with PHC/SC workers during last 3 months |  |  |  |
| Mean | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| SD | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Households visited by workers in the last 3 months | 1.9 | 7.5 | 6.9 |
| Households reported visit of |  |  |  |
| 1 person | 56.9 | 61.2 | 61.1 |
| 2 persons | 43.1 | 34.1 | 34.3 |
| 3 or more persons | - | 4.7 | 4.6 |
| Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Frequency of visit during last 3 months |  |  |  |
| 1st person |  |  |  |
| 1 | 52.2 | 65.3 | 64.9 |
| 2 | 47.8 | 8.9 | 10.1 |
| 3 or more times | - | 25.8 | 25.0 |
| 2nd person |  |  |  |
| 1 | 100.0 | 63.3 | 64.8 |
| 2 | - | 23.6 | 22.7 |
| 3 or more times | - | 13.1 | 12.5 |
| Who visited last |  |  |  |
| ANM/LHV | 91.7 | 85.1 | 85.4 |
| Male workers | - | 9.8 | 9.5 |
| Doctor | - | - | - |
| Others | 8.3 | 5.0 | 5.1 |
| Per cent of families reporting at least one contact with public health service providers | 59.0 | 51.7 | 52.5 |

Table 8.9: Quality of client-provider interface

| Residence | Number of women <br> reporting visit of a <br> worker | Provided enough <br> time | Satisfied with <br> assistance <br> provided | Would like her <br> to visit again | Villagers hold <br> good opinion <br> about the worker |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Urban | 1.9 | 100.0 | 91.7 | 81.2 | 37.7 |
| Rural | 7.5 | 95.3 | 94.5 | 93.4 | 69.4 |
| Total | 6.9 | 95.4 | 94.4 | 93.0 | 68.4 |

Table 8.10: Level of information (detailed) provided about various methods by workers

| Women <br> reporting visit of <br> workers | Methods |  |  |  |  |  |  | Method was | Informed advantages and <br> mentioned | disadvantages | Informed how Informed about <br> to use | source |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 8.11: Perception of women about ANM

|  | Urban | Rural | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% agreeing that a young ANM is better than a traditional dai <br> for assisting delivery <br> \% agreeing that a high caste ANM does not want to attend <br> delivery of scheduled caste women <br> \% agreeing that ANM/Nurse belonging to SC are not <br> acceptable among high caste <br> \% agreeing that ANM often do not want to visit or attend <br> delivery in poor families | 75.9 | 59.5 | 61.4 |
| Total | 22.6 | 17.6 | 18.2 |

Table 8.12: Mortality rates

| Mortality rates | Urban | Rural | Total Uttar Pradesh [SRS 1992] |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Crude death rate | 11.3 | 13.7 | 13.4 | 12.8 |
| Infant mortality rate | 70 | 84 | 83 | 98 |

## CHAPTER IX

## COMMUNITY LEVEL INFORMATION

### 9.1 Profile of Study Area

The profile of the surveyed villages and existing health infrastructure are discussed in this section.

### 9.1.1 Profile of Surveyed Villages

A majority of the villages of Sitapur are remote (67\%). Most of these are medium sized villages having an average population of 2000.

Three-fourths of the villages are within 3 kms of the sub-centre. Three-fourths of the villages have private medical practitioners. Majority of them follow allopathy (33\%) or mixed (38\%) system of medicine. Very few (17\%) provide family planning services. The birth attendants are mostly (89\%) untrained. Only 5 out of 79 villages have medical shops. The retail outlets for condoms and oral pills are few. The community based distribution (CBD) networks for condoms and oral pills are also few. Hardly four per cent of the community members (local panchayat members) are active family planning promoters. The educational facility in these villages mostly comprises of Primary Schools. Roughly half ( $41 \%$ ) have secondary schools. Only 5 per cent villages are served by NGOs. None of them cater family planning services (Table 9.1).

Table 9.1: Profile of villages

|  | Features | ( $\mathrm{N}=79$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $N$ | \% |
| 1. | Type of Village |  |  |
|  | PHC | 4 | 5.1 |
|  | SC | 22 | 27.8 |
|  | Remote | 53 | 67.1 |
| 2. | Population |  |  |
|  | Total | 155681 | - |
|  | Average/village | 1971 | - |
| 3. <br> (a) | Distance from main road |  |  |
|  | Nearest Sub-centre (km) |  |  |
|  | Upto 3 | 60 | 75.9 |
|  | 4-8 | 13 | 16.5 |
|  | 9+ | 6 | 7.6 |
| (b) | Nearest PHC (km) |  |  |
|  | Upto 5 | 31 | 39.3 |
|  | 6-15 | 37 | 46.8 |
|  | 15+ | 11 | 13.9 |
| (c) | Nearest district HQ (km) |  |  |
|  | Upto 30 | 40 | 50.6 |
|  | 30+ | 39 | 49.4 |


| 4. | Features | ( $\mathrm{N}=79$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $N$ | \% |
|  | Primary Schools (No.) | 60 | 75.9 |
| 5. | Secondary Schools (No.) | 32 | 40.5 |
|  | Boys | 8 | 10.1 |
| 6. <br> (a) | Girls | 8 | 10.1 |
|  | Both | 16 | 20.3 |
|  | Villages having private medical practitioners (PMP) Types of PMP | 58 | 73.4 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Allopathy | 19 | 32.7 |
|  | Homeopathy | 2 | 3.5 |
|  | Ayurvedic | 10 | 17.2 |
|  | Unani | 5 | 8.6 |
|  | Others (mixed type) | 22 | 38.0 |
| (b) | Those (PMP) providing FP services | 10 | 17.2 |
| 7. | Medical shops (nos.) | 5 | 6.3 |
| 8. | Villages with retail outlets for: |  |  |
| (a) | Condoms | 7 | 8.8 |
| (b) | Oral pills | 4 | 5.1 |
| 9. | Community based distribution (CBD) of: |  |  |
| (a) | Condoms | 1 | 1.3 |
| (b) | Oral Pills | 0 | - |
| 10. | CBD (Anganwadi) for: |  |  |
| (a) | Condoms | 4 | 5.1 |
| (b) | Oral Pills | 3 | 3.8 |
| 11. | Villages served by NGOs | 4 | 5.1 |
|  | Active | 3 |  |
|  | Working for FP | 0 | - |
| 12. | Major activities of NGOs (potential providers of FP services) | No such activities |  |
| 13. | Villages having Birth Attendants (No.) | 57 | 72.2 |
| (A) | Total no. of Birth Attendants | 242 | - |
|  | Trained | 26 | 10.8 |
|  | Untrained | 216 | 89.2 |
| 14. | Local Panchayat Members (No.) | 843 | - |
|  | - Active FP Promoter | 34 | 4.0 |

### 9.1.2 Profile of Health Centres

The profile of health centres of Sitapur is summarised in Table 9.2. In the study area, there are three Primary Health Centres (PHC) and twenty-five Sub-centres (SC). Most of these health centres are housed in rented buildings. Only seven centres have electricity facility. Two PHCs have
a vehicle - one of which is in working condition. The manpower in all the health centres is adequate.
The cold chain equipment in all the health centres comprises only of vaccine carriers. The supply of Polio, DPT and Measles vaccines is regular and adequate. The supply of BCG vaccine is reported to be partly irregular but adequate.

The health centres are largely equipped with IUD insertion kits. The equipment for other methods/services like vasectomy, tubectomy, laparoscopy and MTP are either not available or are in non-working condition. The health personnel are largely trained in IUD insertion. The supply of IUD, oral pills and condoms in most of the health centres is regular and adequate.

The IEC material and the equipment to promote family planning is non-existent.
Table 9.2: Profile of Health Centres

| Features | PHC | SC | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |

1. Infrastructure (on day of visit)
(a) Building

| Government |  | 2 | 5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rented | 3 | 23 | 23 |

(b) Electricity
(c) Operation theatre

Functioning 1
4
7

Non-functioning
No
(d) Vehicle

Working 1
Non-working 1
No 1
2. Manpower (\% occupied on day of visit)

| Medical Officer (MO) | 85.7 | - | 85.7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Block Extension Educator (BEE) | 100.0 | - | 100.0 |
| Multipurpose Health Supervisor (MHS) | 85.7 | - | 85.7 |
| Lady Health Visitor (LHV) | 50.0 | - | 50.0 |
| Auxiliary Nurse Midwife (ANM) | 100.0 | - | 100.0 |
| Multipurpose Male Worker (MPWM) | 90.0 | 75.0 | 81.8 |
| Driver | 100.0 | - | 100.0 |

3. Cold chain equipment (on day of visit)
(a) ILR

| Functioning | 1 | - | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Non-functioning | 1 | - | 1 |
| No | 1 | 25 | 26 |

(b) Refrigerator

Functioning

| Features | PHC <br> $(N=3)$ | SC <br> $(\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{2 5})$ | Total <br> $(\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{2 8})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non-functioning | 1 | - | 1 |
| No | 1 | 25 | 26 |

(c) Vaccine carriers

| Functioning | 2 | 25 | 27 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-functioning | - | - | - |
| No | 1 | - | 1 |

(d) Thermos

| Functioning | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non-functioning | - | 1 | 1 |
| No | 2 | 20 | 22 |

4. Supply of vaccines (last 6 months)
(a) Polio

- Regular and adequate 24
- Regular but not adequate $\quad-\quad 2$
- Irregular but adequate 1
- Neither regular nor adequate
(b) $B C G$
- Regular and adequate
- Regular but not adequate
- Irregular but adequate
- Neither regular nor adequate
(c) DPT
- Regular and adequate
- Regular but not adequate
- Irregular but adequate
- Neither regular nor adequate
(d) Measles
- Regular and adequate
- Regular but not adequate
- Irregular but adequate
- Neither regular nor adequate

5. Services available (on day of visit)
(a) Vasectomy

| Equipment working | 3 | - | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non-working | - | - | - |
| No | - | 25 | 25 |
| Trained personnel | 2 | - | 2 |

(b) Tubectomy

Equipment working
1

| Features |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{PHC} \\ (N=3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} S C \\ (N=25) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ (N=28) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-working | 2 | 25 | 27 |
|  | No | - | - | - |
|  | Trained personnel | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| (c) | Laparoscopy |  |  |  |
|  | Equipment working | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Non-working | 3 | 25 | 28 |
|  | No | - | - | - |
|  | Trained personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (d) | IUD insertion kit |  |  |  |
|  | Equipment working | 3 | 16 | 19 |
|  | Non-working | - | 9 | 9 |
|  | No | - | - | - |
|  | Trained personnel | 2 | 20 | 22 |
| (e) | MTP |  |  |  |
|  | Equipment working | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | Non-working | 2 | 24 | 26 |
|  | No | - | - | - |
|  | Trained personnel | - | - | - |
| 6.(a) | Supply of contraceptives (last six months) |  |  |  |
|  | IUD |  |  |  |
|  | - Regular and adequate | 1 | 15 | 16 |
|  | - Regular but not adequate | - | 5 | 5 |
|  | - Irregular but adequate | 2 | 2 | 4 |
|  | - Neither irregular nor adequate | - | 3 | 3 |
| (b) | Pills |  |  |  |
|  | - Regular and adequate | 1 | 17 | 18 |
|  | - Regular but not adequate | - | 4 | 4 |
|  | - Irregular but adequate | 2 | 2 | 4 |
|  | - Neither irregular nor adequate | - | 2 | 2 |
| (c) | Condoms/Nirodh |  |  |  |
|  | - Regular and adequate | 3 | 21 | 24 |
|  | - Regular but not adequate | - | 1 | 1 |
|  | - Irregular but adequate | - | 2 | 2 |
|  | - Neither irregular nor adequate | - | 1 | 1 |
| (d) | IEC materials |  |  |  |
|  | - Regular and adequate | 1 | 7 | 8 |
|  | - Regular but not adequate | - | 2 | 2 |
|  | - Irregular but adequate | - | 2 | 2 |
|  | - Neither irregular nor adequate | 2 | 14 | 16 |

7. Types of IEC materials
(a) Slide projector

Not available

| Features | PHC <br> $(N=3)$ | SC <br> $(N=25)$ | Total <br> $(N=28)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (b) $\quad$ Film Projector |  |  |  |
|  | Not available | 3 | 25 |


|  | PSU NO. | WARD NO. | BLOCK NO. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NAME OF TOWN | 1 | 1 | 12 |
| Sitapur M.B. | 2 | 5 | 55 |
|  | 3 | 8 | 89 |
|  | 4 | 11 | 123 |
|  | 5 | 14 | 200 |
|  | 6 | 18 | 234 |
| Laharpur M.B. | 7 | 11 |  |
|  | 21 | 56 |  |
| Biswan M.B. | 8 | 3 | 17 |
| Mahmudabad M.B. | 9 | 60 |  |
|  | 10 | 3 | 39 |
| Khairabad M.B. | 11 | 78 |  |
|  | 12 | 11 | 2 |
| Maholi T.A. | 13 | 8 | 38 |
| Hargaon T.A. | 14 | 16 | 17 |
| Sidhauli T.A. | 15 | 1 | 36 |
|  | 16 | 8 | 1 |
|  | 17 | 5 | 14 |


| TAHSLL | BLOCK | PSUNO. | VILLAGE NAME | STRATUM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Misrikh | Pisawan | 22 | Neri | 1 |
|  |  | 23 | Jalal Nagar | 1 |
|  | Maholi | 24 | Brihmauli | 1 |
|  | Misrikh | 25 | Endual Grant | 1 |
|  |  | 26 | Paraspur | 1 |
|  | Machhrehta | 27 | Macharehta | 1 |
|  | Gondlamau | 28 | Ambaghat | 1 |
|  | Pisawan | 29 | Tavindanagar | 2 |
|  | Maholi | 30 | Narni | 2 |
|  | Misrikh | 50 | Jasrathpur | 2 |
|  |  | 51 | Manpur | 2 |
|  | Machhrehta | 52 | Ramuwapur | 2 |
|  | Gondlamau | 53 | Amatamau | 2 |
|  |  | 54 | Bakchherwa | 2 |
|  | Pisawan | 74 | Doriya | 3 |
|  |  | 75 | Allipur | 3 |
|  |  | 76 | Brahmawali | 3 |
|  | Maholi | 77 | Rajpur | 3 |
|  |  | 78 | Chavfera | 3 |
|  | Misrikh | 79 | Gulriha | 3 |
|  | Machhrehta | 80 | Deopara | 3 |
|  | Gondlamau | 81 | Gangapur | 3 |
|  |  | 82 | Patoiya | 3 |
| Sitapur | Ailiya | 29 | Sadatnagar | 1 |
|  | Hargaon | 30 | Gurdhapa | 1 |
|  | Parsendi | 31 | Daina | 1 |
|  | Khairabad | 32 | Rahimabad | 1 |
|  | Ailiya | 55 | Keshavpur | 2 |
|  |  | 56 | Khagasiya Mau | 2 |
|  | Parsendi | 57 | Uljapur | 2 |
|  | Khairaba | 58 | Unasiya | 2 |
|  | Ailiya | 83 | Nataura | 3 |
|  |  | 84 | Ramnagar | 3 |
|  | Hargaon | 85 | Benipur Fazal Ali | 3 |
|  | Parsendi | 86 | Gadhi | 3 |
|  | Khairabad | 87 | Jajpur | 3 |


| Laharpur | Hargaon | 33 | Bariadih | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Paresendi | 34 | Sarayan | 1 |
|  |  | 35 | Angarasi | 1 |
|  | Laharpur | 36 | Karseora | 1 |
|  | Behta | 37 | Kuseba | 1 |
|  | Sakran | 38 | Mohari | 1 |
|  | Hargaon | 59 | Bishunpur | 2 |
|  | Parsendi | 60 | Mirkillipur | 2 |
|  | Laharpur | 61 | Tahpur | 2 |
|  | Behta | 62 | Tejawabpur | 2 |
|  |  | 63 | Tambaur Khas | 2 |
|  | Sakran | 64 | Kajipur | 2 |
|  | Parsendi | 88 | Kaimhara | 3 |
|  | Behta | 89 | Midnia | 3 |
|  |  | 90 | Suspauli | 3 |
| Biswan | Reusa | 39 | Golak Gondor | 1 |
|  |  | 40 | Reusha | 1 |
|  | Sakran | 41 | Reuan | 1 |
|  | Reusa | 65 | Itgow | 2 |
|  | Sakran | 66 | Semra Khurd | 2 |
|  | Reusa | 91 | Laki Newada | 3 |
|  |  | 92 | Chandoli | 3 |
|  | Sakran | 93 | Gathiya Khurd | 3 |
| Sidholi | Kasmanda | 42 | Kalyanpur | 1 |
|  |  | 43 | Unchakhera Kala | 1 |
|  | Sidhauli | 44 | Husainjganj | 1 |
|  |  | 45 | Nawagaon | 1 |
|  | Kasmanda | 67 | Lalwa | 2 |
|  | Sidhauli | 68 | Baherwa | 2 |
|  |  | 69 | Kathwa | 2 |
|  | Kasmanda | 94 | Chandehra | 3 |
|  | Sidhauli | 95 | Akohra | 3 |
|  | Pahala | 96 | Shivra | 3 |
| Mahmudabad | Mahmudabad | 46 | Babupur | 1 |
|  | Rampur Mathu | 47 | Kanarkhi | 1 |
|  | Pahala | 70 | Sultanpur | 2 |
|  | Mahmudabad | 71 | Bangawan | 2 |
|  |  | 72 | Shiharu Khera | 2 |
|  | Rampur Mathur | 73 | Thakuva | 2 |
|  | Painala | 97 | Jasmanda | 3 |
|  |  | 98 | Bambhoori | 3 |
|  | Mahmudabad | 99 | Amaliya Manpur | 3 |
|  | Rampur Mathu | 100 | Puraina | 3 |


[^0]:    Note: - : Less than 0.05\% U: No information NA: Not Applicable

[^1]:    * 3.5 per cent population comprise of infants and 11.6 per cent children between 1-4 years

[^2]:    * Including the literates having no formal education

    In case of widowed, divorced or separated women, since husband's education was not asked, they are excluded from the analysis

[^3]:    * Including the literates having no formal education

[^4]:    * Census estimates by R.P. Goyal in Marriage in India
    ** Census estimates from 1981 Census

[^5]:    Number of living children

[^6]:    * including the literates having no formal education

[^7]:    * For modern methods, the source refers to a place that a person could go to get the method NA : Not Applicable

[^8]:    * Includes female sterilisation, male sterilisation, copper T/IUD, pill, condom, foam tablets/jelly and injections. Including the literates having no formal education

[^9]:    * Unmet need for spacing includes non-pregnant women who are not using any method of family planning and say that they want to wait for 1 or more years for their next birth. Also included in unmet need for spacing are women who are unsure whether they want another child or who want another child
    ** Unmet need for limiting refers to non-pregnant women who are not using any method of family planning and who want no more children
    *** Including the literates having no formal education

[^10]:    NA: Not applicable

[^11]:    Note: Based on non-current users not wanting children/want to delay child and showing intention to use method in future.

[^12]:    Note: Percentages may add to more than 100.0 because of multiple problems

[^13]:    * Includes current pregnancy

[^14]:    * Includes current pregnancy
    ** Including the literates having no formal education
    Note: In the age group 13-19 under zero children, the proportion means that $99 \%$ of total women aged 13-19 having no children are desiring children.

[^15]:    * Includes current pregnancy

[^16]:    * Includes current pregnancy
    ** Non-numeric responses include `depends on God', `don't know', etc.
    *** Means are calculated excluding the women giving non-numeric responses

[^17]:    * Includes current pregnancy

[^18]:    * Includes current pregnancy

[^19]:    * Children who are fully vaccinated i.e. those who have received BCG, measles and three doses of DPT and polio vaccine
    ** Including the literates having no formal education

[^20]:    * Children who are fully vaccinated i.e. those who have received BCG, measles and three doses of DPT and polio vaccine
    ** Including the literates having no formal education

[^21]:    * Children who are fully vaccinated i.e. those who have received BCG, measles and three doses of DPT and polio vaccine
    ** Including the literates having no formal education

[^22]:    * Children who are fully vaccinated i.e. those who have received BCG, measles and three doses of DPT and polio vaccine
    ** Including the literates having no formal education

