

Missouri University of Science and Technology Scholars' Mine

UMR-MEC Conference on Energy / UMR-DNR Conference on Energy

13 Oct 1977

# Consumers' Attitude Toward Energy Conservation in a Middle-Size City

Mangi Agarwal

Dan Johnson

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/umr-mec

Part of the Energy Policy Commons, Environmental Policy Commons, and the Power and Energy Commons

# **Recommended Citation**

Agarwal, Mangi and Johnson, Dan, "Consumers' Attitude Toward Energy Conservation in a Middle-Size City" (1977). *UMR-MEC Conference on Energy / UMR-DNR Conference on Energy*. 293. https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/umr-mec/293

This Article - Conference proceedings is brought to you for free and open access by Scholars' Mine. It has been accepted for inclusion in UMR-MEC Conference on Energy / UMR-DNR Conference on Energy by an authorized administrator of Scholars' Mine. This work is protected by U. S. Copyright Law. Unauthorized use including reproduction for redistribution requires the permission of the copyright holder. For more information, please contact scholarsmine@mst.edu.

#### CONSUMERS' ATTITUDE TOWARD ENERGY CONSERVATION IN A MIDDLE-SIZE CITY

Mangi Agarwal	and	Dan Johnson
University of Evansville		Sangamon State University
Evansville, Indiana		Springfield, Illinois

# Abstract

This study explores the attitude of household consumers toward conservation in their electrical usage. It examines whether a time-of-day pricing structure will influence their response to shifting their electrical usage from peak to non-peak hours. It also attempts to measure their perceived elasticity of electricity consumption.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The problem of energy crisis needs to be approached from the aspects of both supply and demand. Effective and efficient steps must be taken to encourage ecologically sound supply and discourage wasteful demand. This study conducted in the city of Springfield, Illinois, looks into the household attitude toward certain aspects of electrical energy conservation.

Electricity is currently man's most usable form of energy. As supply of oil and gas diminishes, coal and nuclear fuels can be expected to play an increasingly important role as primary energy sources. Electricity seems to be the main form of energy mediating between the "future" primary energy sources and its ultimate users. Hence, it can be expected that the economy will, slowly, tend to go all-electric. It is becoming increasingly important to explore into the dynamism of the electrical economy.

For efficient management of the household demand for electricity, two proposals must be emphasized--(1) the consumers should be educated and encouraged to reduce wasteful usage of electricity, and also (2) they should be encouraged as much as possible to shift their electrical usage from peak to non-peak hours of the day. This study brings together ideas regarding the above two proposals, prepares a questionnaire based on the assembled ideas, and through the use of the questionnaire, attempts to measure the household attitude toward electricity consumption and conservation.

#### 2. METHODOLOGY

Data was gathered through a telephone survey. Instead of using the telephone directory for random selection of the sample, the first three digits of the telephone number were systematically selected and the last four digits were generated by using a random number table. This technique increases the number of unusable telephone numbers, but eliminates the bias which would have been otherwise introduced because of unlisted phone numbers. Hence, the unlisted numbers did not influence the sample selection.

The sample size is 400. All the respondents live in the service areas of City Water Light and Power Company of Springfield, Illinois. The survey was conducted during the months of Julv and August of 1977. In the first part of the questionnaire, a descriptive probing was done to find out the household's electrical appliances and the use pattern of these appliances. The second part explores the household's attitude toward various aspects of electrical consumption and conservation.

#### 3. SURVEY RESULTS

#### 3.1 STATISTICAL PROFILE

Survey results are summarized in tabular form. A statistical profile of the households surveyed is presented in Table 1. Table 2 describes the appliance profile of the households. Both the profiles seem plausible and are similar to ones obtained in other studies (3). By looking at the two tables, one can notice that the survey covers a wide spectrum of the population. The differences found in the two income groups (lower income  $\leq$  \$15,000 per year, higher income > \$15,000 per year), again, look plausible. Respondents in the higher income group more frequently own their home, live in a larger home, are more educated, have less unemployment, and own more of the desirable appliances. The two groups do not show any significant differences in their pattern of household activities.

Table 3 summarizes the household activities of the two groups by time of day.

Household Income (N=400)         Less than \$5,000       8.2         \$5,001 - \$10,000       13.7         \$10,001 - \$15,000       18.2         \$15,001 - \$20,000       15.2         \$20,001 - \$25,000       11.2         \$25,001 - \$30,000       5.0         \$30,001 - \$35,000       3.0         Over \$35,000       3.7         No Response (NR)       21.5         Mean       \$16,200	
Type of Occupancy Income \$\$15,000 N=161	Income > \$15,000
0wn. $101$ $0wn.$ $54.7$ Rent $44.1$ $0ther, NA.$ $1.2$	<u>N=153</u> 83.0 16.3 0.7
Type of Dwelling         64.6           Single family.         3.7           Two family duplex.         9.9           Apartment.         18.6           Condominium.         0.5           Other.         2.5	79.7 4.6 6.5 7.8 6.0 1.3
Age of Dwelling         1.9           3 - 10 years         18.0           11 - 20 years         14.3           More than 20 years         59.6           Don't know         6.2	11.1 22.2 22.9 43.1 0.7
Number of Rooms         12.4           3 or less.         12.4           4.         12.4           5.         12.4           6.         12.4           7 or more.         12.4           Mean         12.4	0.7 9.2 20.9 24.8 44.4 6.56
Age of the Head of Household       21.7         25 or less       16.8         36 - 50.       23.0         51 - 65.       22.4         66 or more       16.1         Mean       44.17	9.8 24.2 40.5 22.2 3.3 42.35
Education of the Head of Household	
Less than high school.       16.8         High school.       37.9         Some college       25.5         Bachelor's degree.       14.9         Graduate education       3.7         Other, NA.       1.2	4.6 22.2 26.1 20.9 24.2 2.0
Activities of the Head of Household65.2Employed full time7.5Employed part time6.8Other20.5	90.8 1.3 0.7 7.2

Table 1 Statistical Profile of Households Surveyed (In Percentages)

Appliance	Income ≤ 15,000 N=161	Income > 15,000 N=153
Electric heat		22.2
Electric water heater		41.2
Electric stove • • • • • • • • • • •		65.4
Microwave oven	2.5	12.4
Central air conditioning	37.9	63.4
Clothes washer	65.2	88.2
Electric dryer		63.4
Automatic dishwasher • • • • • • • •	22.4	56.9
Separate humidifier	. 14.9	19.0
Separate dehumidifier	6.8	18.3
Well and water pump	3.7	4.6
Plug-in electric heater	13.7	19.0
lindow air conditioner		32.7
Separate food freezer	32.3	45.1
Black and white TV	58.4	62.7
Color TV		82.4
Electric lawn mowers		8.5
Refrigerator		99.3

Table 2 Appliance Profile of Households (Saturation in percentages)

Table 3 Household Activities by Time of Day

Activities	Morning	Afternoon	Evening	All Time	Other, NA
Most cooking	8.1	27.3	58.4	3.1	3.1
	6.3	24.2	57.4	3.3	8.8
Laundry at home	33.5	5.6	14.9	8.7	37.3
	35.0	8.5	30.4	13.7	12.4
Bathing	25.9 34.0	3.1	59.8 54.2	9.3 9.2	1.9 1.3
Dishwashing	10.2	5.6	58.7	21.7	3.8
	10.8	3.3	70.9	13.1	1.9
Ironing clothes	19.8	9.3	16.0	11.2	43.7
	13.6	11.8	24.2	7.8	42.6

In each response category, upper line represents households with income  $\leq$  \$15,000, and lower line represents households with income > \$15,000. (Results are in percentages)

Table 4 shows the energy efficiency profile of the homes surveyed. The R-value tells how well an insulation resists summer heat gain and winter heat loss. The higher the number, the better the insulation. It can be seen that more than 80% of the households are not knowledgeable of "R-factor". In this table again, respondents in higher income group seem to have somewhat more energy efficient homes.

#### 3.2 CONCERN FOR CONSERVATION

Respondents were asked how often they and the members of their family turned off lights and

appliances such as TV when not being used. The lower income group appears to be somewhat more concerned about conservation. When asked about the temperature at which they usually kept their heating thermostat, the response varied from  $60^{\circ}$  to  $88^{\circ}$ , the average being  $68^{\circ}$ . The two groups did not exhibit any significant difference in this regard.

# 3.3 TIME OF THE DAY PRICING

To our knowledge, relatively little publicity has been given to the complex topic of time-of-day pricing system for household electrical consumers.

	• • • •			
	Good	Fair	Poor	<u>Other, NA</u>
Wall insulation	42.9 66.0	28.6 21.6	19.9 6.5	8.7 5.9
Attic insulation	43.5 66.7	20.5 17.0	12.4 7.2	23.6 9.2
Floor insulation	44.1 64.1	22.4 10.5	10.6 5.2	23.0 20.3
Overall insulation	46.0 75.2	28.0 15.0	18.0 6.5	8.1 3.3
	<u>A11</u>	Some	None	Other, NA
Insulated or storm windows	78.9 88.2	8.7 5.9	10.6 <b>4</b> .6	1.9 1.3
Weather stripping	46.0 69.3	26.7 20.9	24.2 7.2	3.1 2.6
		Yes	No	NA
Attic ventilation		49.7 68.6	15.5 9.2	34.8 22.2
Knowledge of "R-factor"		11.2 17.6	86.3 81.7	2.5 0.7

# Table 4 Energy Efficiency Profile of Homes (In Percentages)

In each response category, upper line represents households with income  $\leq$  \$15,000, and lower line represents households with income > \$15,000.

Therefore, ground was prepared before seeking answers to some hypothetical questions on their propensity to alter household routines. An attempt was made to find out how much the households could be induced to rearrange some of their activities by provision of a cheaper (30%) rate during non-peak night hours. Fifty-five percent of the respondents replied that they would change some of their household routines to take advantage of the cheaper rate. On further inquiry; laundry, dishwashing, and ironing were found to be relatively more flexible. On the other hand, as expected, cooking and bathing were found to be relatively inflexible. Gollin and Smith (3) have found similar characteristics in New Hampshire.

Table 5					
Extent of	Changing	Timing of	Selected	Household	Routines
	()	lumber of	Household	s)	

Activities		Proportion	Saying:		
	Great Deal	Somewhat	Little	No change	N
Cooking	4	3	1	107	115
	4	3	0	97	104
Laundry	33	10	4	27	74
	37	17	6	20	80
Bathing	5	2	4	105	116
	6	2	6	94	108
Dishwashing	15	5	2	37	59
	14	5	2	38	59
Ironing	15	2	2	42	61
	9	1	2	48	60

In each response category, upper line represents households with income  $\leq$  \$15,000, and lower line represents households with income > \$15,000.

The inducements offered by a time-of-day pricing system do seem, on this evidence, to have motivational significance in influencing people's willingness to alter established household routines.

#### 3.4 PERCEIVED PRICE ELASTICITY

Considerable amount of work has been done analyzing the demand for electricity. Taylor (9) surveyed the work done in this field. Almost all the studies have focused on determining the price elasticity of electricity consumption by using the past data. These studies seem to assume that the past behavior would continue in the future also. This study, on the other hand, attempts to measure the price elasticity based on the intended behavior of the consumers. Intentions, as the time progresses, may change also. However, the notion of perceived price elasticity does seem to provide an added dimension which can be advantageously incorporated in a policy-making environment.

Table 6 describes the responses of the households to two hypothetical price increases---10% and 20% respectively. Table 7 notes the computed elasticity figures for the two income groups. These elasticity estimates are somewhat in the lower range when compared with the ones estimated by using actual past behavior. One can notice that for the higher income group, as theoretically expected, the perceived electricity demand is somewhat more price inelastic. Overall, the perceived household demand for electricity, at least in the short run, seems relatively insensitive to small changes in electric rates.

Table 6 Percentage Decrease in Consumption When Electric Rate Increases (Number of Respondents)

	No Decrease	1-5% Decrease	6-10% Decrease	More than 10% Decrease	Total Respondents
Rate	96	8	10	8	122
Increases 10%	92	4	12	9	117
Rate	75	2	12	26	115
Increases 20%	68	4	7	23	102

In each response category, upper line represents households with income  $\leq$  \$15,000, and lower line represents households with income > \$15,000.

Table 7					
Perceived Price Elasticity of Electricity Consumption					
(Weighted Average Elasticity)					

Respondents	Rate	Increases 10%	Rate	Increases 20%
Income < \$15,000		-0.30		-0.39
Income <b>&gt;</b> \$15,000		-0.29		-0.35

# 4. CONCLUSIONS

This study attempted to measure how much motivational value a time-of-day rate structure would have in influencing households' response to shifting their electrical usage from peak to non-peak hours of the day. It also attempted to determine their perceived price elasticity of electricity consumption. It is felt that such attitudinal studies provide additional dimensions which could and should be incorporated when formulating policies and strategies.

#### 5. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Anderson, K.P. "Residential Energy Use: An Econometric Analysis." The Rand Corporation (R-1297-NSF), October 1973.
- Buchanan, J.M. "Peak Loads and Efficient Pricing: Comments." <u>Quarterly Journal</u> of Economics, August 1966, pp. 463-471.
- Gollin, A.E. and Smith, S.J. "New Hampshire Energy Usage Patterns and Consumer Orientation: A Comparative Assessment." Bureau of Social Science Research, Inc., Washington, D.C., May 1976.
- Houthakker, H.S. and Taylor, L.D. <u>Con-sumer Demand in the United States</u>, 2nd ed. Cambridge: Harvard Univ. Press, 1970.
- Kline, P.H. "Projections of Electricity Demand by Residential Consumer, 1970-1990." Federal Power Commission Memorandum, October 1967.
- Lyman, R.A. "Price Elasticities in the Electric Power Industry." Department of Economics, University of Arizona, October 1973.
- Nelson, D.C. "A Study of the Elasticity of Demand for Electricity by Residential Consumers: Sample Markets in Nebraska." <u>Land Economics</u> (February 1965), pp. 92-96.
- Steiner, P.O. "Peak Loads and Efficient Pricing." <u>Quarterly Journal of Econom-</u> ics, Vol. 71 (November 1957), pp. 585-610.
- Taylor, L.D. "The Demand for Electricity: A Survey," <u>The Bell Journal of Econom-</u> ics, Spring 1975.

## BIOGRAPHIES

MANGI L. AGARWAL, Assistant Professor of Management, University of Evansville, Evansville, Indiana, received a Ph.D. in business administration from the University of Georgia; the M.S. in industrial engineering from Georgia Institute of Technology; and the B.Tech. (Honors) in mechanical engineering from Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur, India. His areas of interest are management science, quantitative methods, and business conditions analysis. He has taught at the University of Georgia, and Sangamon State University before accepting his present position at the University of Evansville. He has also worked on various consulting assignments.

DAN JOHNSON, Associate Professor of Sociology and Public Affairs and Director of the Center for the Study of Middle-size Cities, Sangamon State University, Springfield, Illinois, received the B.A. and M.A. degrees from Texas Christian University and the Ph.D. from the University of Missouri at Columbia. He is the author of the forthcoming book, BLACK MIGRATION IN AMERICA (Duke University Press) and is co-authoring another book, BLACK RE-TURN MIGRATION TO THE SOUTH.