

University of North Dakota
UND Scholarly Commons

Biology Faculty Publications

Department of Biology

5-2021

Pre-existing Microfilarial Infections of American Robins (Passeriformes: Turdidae) and Common Grackles (Passeriformes: Icteridae) Have Limited Impact on Enhancing Dissemination of West Nile Virus in Culex pipiens Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae)

Jefferson A. Vaughan University of North Dakota, jefferson.vaughan@UND.edu

Juanita Hinson

Elizabeth S. Andrews

Michael J. Turrell

Follow this and additional works at: https://commons.und.edu/bio-fac

Part of the Biology Commons

Recommended Citation

Jefferson A. Vaughan, Juanita Hinson, Elizabeth S. Andrews, et al.. "Pre-existing Microfilarial Infections of American Robins (Passeriformes: Turdidae) and Common Grackles (Passeriformes: Icteridae) Have Limited Impact on Enhancing Dissemination of West Nile Virus in Culex pipiens Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae)" (2021). *Biology Faculty Publications*. 39. https://commons.und.edu/bio-fac/39

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Biology at UND Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Biology Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of UND Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact und.commons@library.und.edu.

	Corresponding Author: Jefferson A. Vaughan
	University of North Dakota Department of Biology
	Grand Forks, ND 58202-9019
	jefferson.vaughan@und.edu
1	
2	Pre-existing microfilarial infections of American Robins (Passeriformes: Turdidae) and
3	Common Grackles (Passeriformes: Icteridae) have limited impact on enhancing
4	dissemination of West Nile virus in <i>Culex pipiens</i> mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae)
5	
6	JEFFERSON A. VAUGHAN ¹ , JUANITA HINSON ^{2,3} , ELIZABETH S. ANDREWS ^{2,4} ,
7	MICHAEL J. TURELL ^{2,5}
8	
9	AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS:
10	¹ Department of Biology, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, ND 58202
11	² Virology Division, US Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, Fort Detrick,
12	MD 21702
13	³ Current Address: ALS Environmental, Kelso, WA
14	⁴ Current Address: Vector-Borne Disease Section, California Department of Public Health, Elk
15	Grove, CA 95624
16	⁵ Current Address: VectorID LLC, Frederick, MD 21702
17	
18	SHORT TITLE: VAUGHAN ET AL.: Avian microfilariae and WNV transmission
19	
20	

21 ABSTRACT

Microfilariae (MF) are the immature stages of filarial nematode parasites and inhabit the blood 22 23 and dermis of all classes of vertebrates, except fish. Concurrent ingestion of MF and arboviruses 24 by mosquitoes can enhance mosquito transmission of virus compared to when virus is ingested alone. Shortly after being ingested, MF penetrate the mosquito's midgut and may introduce virus 25 26 into the mosquito's hemocoel, creating a disseminated viral infection much sooner than normal. 27 This phenomenon is known as microfilarial enhancement. Both American Robins and Common Grackles harbor MF - i.e., Eufilaria sp. and Chandlerella quiscali von Linstow, respectively. We 28 compared infection and dissemination rates in *Culex pipiens* L. mosquitoes that fed on birds with 29 and without MF infections that had been infected with West Nile virus (WNV). At moderate 30 31 viremias, about 10^7 plaque-forming units (pfu)/ml of blood, there were no differences in 32 infection or dissemination rates among mosquitoes that ingested viremic blood from a bird with or without microfilaremia. At high viremias, $>10^{8.5}$ pfu/ml, mosquitoes feeding on a 33 microfilaremic Grackle with concurrent viremia had significantly higher infection and 34 35 dissemination rates than mosquitoes fed on viremic Grackles without microfilaremia. 36 Microfilarial enhancement depends on the specific virus, MF, and mosquito species examined. 37 How virus is introduced into the hemocoel by MF differs between the avian/WNV systems 38 described here (i.e., leakage) and various arboviruses with MF of the human filarid, Brugia 39 malayi (i.e., co-transport). Additional studies are needed to determine if other avian species and 40 their MF are involved in the microfilarial enhancement of WNV in nature. 41 KEY WORDS: West Nile virus, Culex pipiens, American Robin, Common Grackle, filaria, 42

43 microfilarial enhancement of arboviral transmission

44	West Nile virus (WNV), a member of the genus Flavivirus, family Flaviviridae, was
45	introduced into the Americas in 1999 (CDC, 1999). Since then, WNV has spread throughout the
46	Americas and ~3,000 human cases have been reported in the United States every year since 2003
47	(CDC 2020). While WNV has been isolated from many mosquito species, Culex pipiens L. has
48	be incriminated as one of the principal vectors in the eastern United States (Turell et al. 2000,
49	Andreadis 2012). However, many factors affect the ability of a mosquito to serve as a vector
50	(Hardy et al. 1983, Hardy 1988). In order for the mosquito to be a competent vector, the virus
51	must be able to infect the cells of the midgut. The inability of the virus to infect the midgut is
52	known as a "midgut barrier" (Chamberlain and Sudia 1961). If the virus is able to infect the
53	midgut, it still needs to be able to disseminate to the rest of the mosquito's body before it can be
54	transmitted. The inability of a virus to disseminate from the midgut to the hemocoel is known as
55	a "midgut escape barrier" (Kramer et al. 1981, Hardy et al. 1983). For Cx. pipiens and WNV,
56	once the midgut barriers are overcome, nearly all individuals are able to transmit WNV by bite
57	(Turell et al. 2000, 2001). Therefore, any mechanism that effectively bypasses midgut barriers
58	will greatly increase the potential transmission of arboviruses by Cx. pipiens and other species.
59	In nature, enzootic transmission of arboviruses, like WNV, probably does not occur in
60	isolation. More likely, transmission cycles of enzootic arboviruses occur within the context of
61	other pathogen transmission cycles circulating within a vertebrate host population. One such
62	example of a biotic interaction is that of arboviruses and pre-existing filarial infections –
63	specifically, blood microfilariae (MF). Mosquitoes and biting midges feeding on blood with
64	concurrent viremias and microfilaremias have consistently been shown to have significantly
65	higher viral dissemination rates (Mellor and Boorman 1980; Turell et al. 1984b; Vaughan and
66	Turell 1996, 2017; Vaughan et al. 1999, 2009; Zytoon et al. 1993) than those that fed on blood

67 with similar viremias, but without MF. This effect is known as microfilarial enhancement

68 (Vaughan and Turell 1996).

This study examined the potential of microfilarial enhancement of WNV transmission in 69 70 two bird species known to be susceptible to WNV and to participate in its enzootic transmission 71 within the United States. American Robins (*Turdus migratorius* L.) are believed to be one of the 72 most important amplifying hosts for WNV and are the primary blood source for Cx. pipiens in 73 the eastern United States (Molaei et al. 2006, Savage et al. 2007, Simpson, et al. 2009). However, little is known about the prevalence, transmission biology, or the species of filarial 74 nematodes that parasitize Robins. Conversely, the role of Common Grackles (Quiscalus quiscula 75 L.) as amplifying hosts for WNV is generally less appreciated but more information exists with 76 77 regard to its filarial parasites. There are at least two species of filarial nematodes that produce 78 microfilaremia in Grackles and five species that produce microfilaremia in Robins (Table 1). The primary filarial parasite of Grackles is Chandlerella quiscali von Linstow. Prevalence of MF 79 infections in Grackle and Robin populations also varies according to location, but overall, 80 81 prevalence is higher in Grackles than in Robins (Table 1). It should be noted that most blood 82 surveys of birds are conducted during daylight hours. This can result in underestimates of active 83 MF infections. That is because most species of blood-inhabiting avian MF exhibit nocturnal 84 periodicity (Anderson 2000, Hibler 1963, Odetovinbo 1960, Vaughan et al. 2012). Avian MF 85 may be scarce within the peripheral blood during the day, making infections easy to overlook. Nocturnal periodicity may also explain why there is a paucity of information regarding 86 the intensity of microfilarial infections in birds. With regard to microfilaremias of C. quiscali MF 87 88 in Grackles, our counts of MF from 34 individual Grackles, taken at night during peak 89 microfilaremia using methods described below, combined with nighttime count data from Odetovindo (1960) (n = 10 birds), vielded an overall geometric mean of 22.5 MF per 20 μ l of 90

91	blood during peak microfilaremia. However, the variation among individual Grackles was
92	considerable, ranging from 3 to 896 MF per 20 μ l blood. This is undoubtedly reflective of the
93	varying number of reproductive adult worms that may be present in the brains of infected
94	Grackles. For Eufilaria infections in Robins, our MF counts based on three individual Robins,
95	yielded a geometric mean of 7 MF per 20 μ l blood (range = 1 to 53). This suggests that
96	microfilaremia in Robins may be less intense than in Grackles.
97	Nocturnal periodicity of avian MF is relevant to WNV transmission because the primary
97 98	Nocturnal periodicity of avian MF is relevant to WNV transmission because the primary mosquito vectors of WNV – i.e., <i>Culex</i> spp. – generally feed on birds at night when MF are most
97 98 99	Nocturnal periodicity of avian MF is relevant to WNV transmission because the primary mosquito vectors of WNV – i.e., <i>Culex</i> spp. – generally feed on birds at night when MF are most abundant within the peripheral circulation of the bird. Both Robins and Grackles are involved in
97 98 99	Nocturnal periodicity of avian MF is relevant to WNV transmission because the primary mosquito vectors of WNV – i.e., <i>Culex</i> spp. – generally feed on birds at night when MF are most abundant within the peripheral circulation of the bird. Both Robins and Grackles are involved in the enzootic transmission of WNV and are known to harbor MF infections. Thus, if microfilarial
97 98 99 100	Nocturnal periodicity of avian MF is relevant to WNV transmission because the primary mosquito vectors of WNV – i.e., <i>Culex</i> spp. – generally feed on birds at night when MF are most abundant within the peripheral circulation of the bird. Both Robins and Grackles are involved in the enzootic transmission of WNV and are known to harbor MF infections. Thus, if microfilarial enhancement is important in the enzootic transmission of WNV, then Robins and Grackles are

103

104 MATERIALS AND METHODS

105 Ethical Approval

106 Research was conducted under IACUC approved protocols from both the University of North 107 Dakota and the US Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases in compliance with 108 the Animal Welfare Act, PHS Policy, and other Federal statutes and regulations relating to 109 animals and experiments involving animals. Both facilities where this research was conducted 110 were accredited by the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal 111 Care, International and adhere to principles stated in the Guide for the Care and Use of 112 Laboratory Animals, National Research Council, 2011. Collection, transport, and 113 experimentation with migratory birds were conducted under the authority and approval of a U.S.

114 Fish & Wildlife scientific collection permit (MB072162) and annual state collecting permits

115 from North Dakota and Minnesota.

116 Mosquitoes

117 Two strains of *Cx. pipiens* were used. One (Rutgers) was derived from larvae collected in the

- 118 1980's at the Edgeboro Landfill in East Brunswick, NJ, and provided by Dina Fonseca at
- 119 Rutgers University. It had been maintained at the United States Army Medical Research Institute
- 120 of Infectious Diseases (USAMRIID) since 2011 (F>200). The second (Area B) was derived from
- 121 larvae collected at Fort Detrick, MD in June of 2010 (F~30). Both strains were maintained in

122 colonies in a Biosafety Level (BSL)-2 insectary at USAMRIID and maintained at 26°C with a

123 16:8 (L:D) photoperiod until used in these studies.

124 Birds

125 American Robins (*Turdus migratorius* L., Passeriformes: Turdidae) were collected from Roseau

126 and Pennington Counties, MN, in spring and early summer (April – June) using mist nets.

127 Common Grackles (Quiscalus quiscala L., Passeriformes: Icteridae) were collected from Grand

128 Forks Co., ND, in spring (April through May) using wire-mesh ground traps baited with bread

129 cubes. All birds were screened for MF, trypanosomes, haemosporidia (e.g., Plasmodium) and

130 antibodies to WNV. Because avian blood MF exhibit nocturnal periodicity (Hibler 1963,

131 Odetoyinbo 1960, Vaughan et al. 2012), birds were held in outdoor cages after capture and bled

132 at ~midnight to detect microfilaremias. For each bird, 30-50 µl of blood was collected into

heparinized capillary tube after pricking the brachial wing vein with a sterile 26-gauge syringe

- 134 needle. Tubes were centrifuged for ~2 minutes in a hematocrit centrifuge. Spun tubes were
- 135 positioned in the slide holder of a compound microscope and the interface between serum and
- 136 cell pack was examined at 200x for motile MF and trypanosomes (Collins 1971). To estimate
- 137 host microfilaremia, the volume of blood in each tube was noted. Then while viewing the

138 capillary tube at 200x, the tube was slowly rotated within the slide holder with a lightly-139 moistened finger and the tube moved from the buffy coat out along the entire length of the serum 140 column using the stage control knob. All MF were counted and the total was adjusted for the 141 blood volume within the tube in order to obtain an estimate of the absolute density of MF within the microfilaremic bird from which the sample had been taken. Tubes were then scored with a 142 143 glass cutter and snapped in two at the blood-serum interface. The cell pack was expelled into a labelled microfuge tube and used for molecular screening of hemosporidian parasites as 144 145 described by Hellgren et al. (2004). The serum was expelled into a labelled microfuge tube and 146 used for serological testing of WNV antibodies using an epitope-blocking enzyme-linked 147 immunosorbent assay as described by Blivitch et al. (2003). Only birds that tested negative for 148 antibodies against WNV were selected for use in WNV infectivity trials. Select birds were 149 transported in groups of 2-3 birds within modified plastic dog kennels (Petco Animal Supplies, 150 San Diego, CA) via commercial airline from Grand Forks, ND, to Dulles International Airport, 151 VA, where they were driven by car to the USAMRIID facilities and housed in standard bird 152 cages in the BSL-3 suite for an acclimatization period of > 24 hour prior to use in experiments. A 153 total of six Robins (=three microfilaremic plus three non-microfilaremic) and nine Grackles 154 (=five microfilaremic plus four non-microfilaremic) were used in the WNV infectivity trials.

155 Microfilariae in mosquito midguts

The natural vectors for the MF species examined in this study (*C. quiscali* and *Eufilaria* spp.) are *Culicoides* midges, not *Culex* sp. mosquitoes (Anderson 2000, Bain 1980, Hibler 1963, Robinson 1971). Therefore, prior to conducting infectivity studies with WNV, preliminary studies were conducted with microfilaremic birds to determine whether *Cx. pipiens* would ingest MF during blood feeding on microfilaremic birds and if so, how efficient were MF at penetrating the midguts of engorged *Cx. pipiens*. Microfilaremias were determined as described above. Birds

162	were weighed and anesthetized prior to mosquito exposure. Grackles received intramuscular
163	injections of 2 mg ketamine : 0.4 mg xylazine per 100 g body weight and Robins received 5 mg
164	ketamine : 0.2 mg xylazine per 100 g body weight. Blood-fed mosquitoes were held overnight at
165	~26°C to allow MF to penetrate the midgut. The next day, mosquitoes were aspirated into 70%
166	ethanol and transferred to chilled saline. The midguts were excised intact and placed into 20 μl
167	of 5% acetic acid (vinegar) to lyse erythrocytes and immobilize the MF. Preparations were
168	placed on microscope slides, covered with coverslips, and the total number of MF in a sample
169	was counted at 200x magnification. To quantify MF penetration, eviscerated carcasses were
170	minced in ~50 μ l of buffered saline and preparations were examined for MF at 200x
171	magnification
171	inagini toution.
172	Virus
172 173	Virus We used the crow 397-99 strain of WNV that was obtained from the brain of a crow, <i>Corvus</i>
172 173 174	Virus We used the crow 397-99 strain of WNV that was obtained from the brain of a crow, <i>Corvus</i> <i>brachyrhynchos</i> Brehm, which died in the Bronx, NY, in September, 1999 (Turell et al. 2000).
172 173 174 175	 Virus We used the crow 397-99 strain of WNV that was obtained from the brain of a crow, <i>Corvus</i> <i>brachyrhynchos</i> Brehm, which died in the Bronx, NY, in September, 1999 (Turell et al. 2000). The virus had been passaged twice in Vero cell culture and stocks frozen at -80°C before use in
172 173 174 175 176	 Virus We used the crow 397-99 strain of WNV that was obtained from the brain of a crow, <i>Corvus</i> <i>brachyrhynchos</i> Brehm, which died in the Bronx, NY, in September, 1999 (Turell et al. 2000). The virus had been passaged twice in Vero cell culture and stocks frozen at -80°C before use in this study. Mosquito and bird blood suspensions were tested for infectious WNV by plaque assay
172 173 174 175 176 177	Virus We used the crow 397-99 strain of WNV that was obtained from the brain of a crow, <i>Corvus</i> <i>brachyrhynchos</i> Brehm, which died in the Bronx, NY, in September, 1999 (Turell et al. 2000). The virus had been passaged twice in Vero cell culture and stocks frozen at -80°C before use in this study. Mosquito and bird blood suspensions were tested for infectious WNV by plaque assay on African green monkey kidney (Vero) cell monolayers. Procedures were similar to those of
172 173 174 175 176 177 178	Virus We used the crow 397-99 strain of WNV that was obtained from the brain of a crow, <i>Corvus</i> <i>brachyrhynchos</i> Brehm, which died in the Bronx, NY, in September, 1999 (Turell et al. 2000). The virus had been passaged twice in Vero cell culture and stocks frozen at -80°C before use in this study. Mosquito and bird blood suspensions were tested for infectious WNV by plaque assay on African green monkey kidney (Vero) cell monolayers. Procedures were similar to those of Gargan et al. (1983), except that the second overlay, containing neutral red, was added 2, rather
172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179	Virus We used the crow 397-99 strain of WNV that was obtained from the brain of a crow, <i>Corvus</i> <i>brachyrhynchos</i> Brehm, which died in the Bronx, NY, in September, 1999 (Turell et al. 2000). The virus had been passaged twice in Vero cell culture and stocks frozen at -80°C before use in this study. Mosquito and bird blood suspensions were tested for infectious WNV by plaque assay on African green monkey kidney (Vero) cell monolayers. Procedures were similar to those of Gargan et al. (1983), except that the second overlay, containing neutral red, was added 2, rather than 4, d after the initial assay. Viral titers were expressed as Logarithm ₁₀ plaque-forming units

181 Vector Competence Studies

Birds were inoculated subcutaneously with 0.2 ml of WNV (10^{6.1} pfu) in the late afternoon/early
evening between 1700 and 1930 h and mosquito feedings were conducted on the birds for three

- 184 successive nights. Mosquito feedings had to be conducted at night (~1200 to 0130 h) to coincide
- 185 with nocturnal appearance of MF. Therefore, at intervals of ~30, 54 and 78 h after birds were

186 injected with WNV, groups of Cx. pipiens mosquitoes (6 – 8 days post-eclosion) were fed on 187 anesthetized birds. The Grackles and Robins were anesthetized as described above. Anesthetized 188 birds were placed through the cotton stockinette of individual cylindrical 3.8-liter screen-topped 189 cardboard cages containing \sim 50 mosquitoes. Mosquitoes were allowed \sim 30 minutes to engorge on the birds which occurred primarily on the bare skin of the evelids, periorbital region, and base 190 191 of the beak. After 30 min, any mosquitoes still attached were gently blown and brushed off and 192 the birds were removed and returned to their respective birdcages to recover. Unfed mosquitoes 193 were removed and placed into a fresh cage and were used to feed on the same bird the following 194 night. Partially-fed mosquitoes were discarded. Following the termination of each feed, six to 195 eight fully engorged mosquitoes were removed from each cage. Three of the mosquitoes were 196 triturated and tested by plaque assay to determine the viremia at the time of feeding (Kading et 197 al. 2014). Three to five of the mosquitoes were dissected to estimate how many MF had been ingested using the methods described above. 198

To determine infection and dissemination rates, we froze a subsample of the mosquitoes 199 200 at -20°C for (5 min) at 3, 4, 5, or 7 d after the infectious blood meal. This time period was 201 selected because when *Cx. pipiens* are fed WNV only and held thereafter at 26°C, they rarely 202 develop a disseminated infection before 7 d after the infectious blood meal (Dohm et al. 2002). 203 Earlier-than-normal dissemination of WNV in Cx. pipiens fed on dually-infected birds would 204 indicate evidence of microfilarial enhancement. Bodies and legs were triturated separately in 1 205 ml of diluent. These suspensions were stored at -80°C until tested for virus by plaque assay. 206 Presence of virus in a mosquito's body indicated infection, while virus in the legs indicated the mosquito had a disseminated infection (Turell et al. 1984a). 207

Host viremia strongly influences resulting viral infection and dissemination rates in
mosquitoes (Chamberlain and Sudia 1961, Hardy et al. 1983, Hardy 1988). Therefore, fair

210 comparisons for outcomes between our two experimental treatments (i.e., singly versus dually 211 infected birds) could only be made between groups of mosquitoes having fed on birds with 212 comparable viremias. The range of host viremias was similar among the six Robins used in these 213 trials but host viremias were more variable with the nine Grackles. Therefore, mosquitoes fed on 214 Grackles were split into two categories for analysis purposes – mosquitoes that had fed on birds 215 with 'moderate' viremias (10^{6.1} to 10^{7.7} pfu/ml) and mosquitoes that had fed on birds with 'high' 216 viremia (> 10⁸ pfu/ml). Rates of infection and dissemination among groups were distinguished 217 statistically with Fisher exact tests and Chi square tests using a p-value of 0.05.

218 Serial dilution "spin-and-wash" experiment

219 To test the potential adherence of WNV to MF, C. quiscali MF and virus were harvested from 220 dually infected Grackles at mid-morning following the third day of WNV viremia. Microfilariae 221 of nocturnally periodic filarids typically recede from the peripheral circulation at sunrise and 222 become sequestered in the alveolar capillaries of the lungs until the next night when they leave 223 alveolar capillaries, move into the general circulation, and reappear in peripheral blood 224 (Hawking and Thurston 1951). Thus, enormous numbers of MF can be collected from the lungs 225 of microfilaremic birds during the day. Grackles were humanely euthanized and their lungs were 226 removed. The lungs were lightly teased apart with jeweler's forceps and gently agitated in ~5 ml 227 of Medium 199 with Earle's salts (E-199) to release MF from the capillaries. The chunks of lung 228 were discarded and ~4 ml of the remaining 'slurry' of MF and alveolar tissue was transferred to 229 centrifuge tubes and centrifuged for 5 minutes at 3,000 rpm, resulting in a dark red pellet 230 (blood/tissue) with a white band (MF) just above it. The supernatant and most of the white band 231 containing MF were carefully pipetted and transferred to a clean tube, leaving behind unwanted 232 blood and other host debris. The suspension, now containing WNV and 'semi-purified' MF from 233 dually-infected birds, was brought up to a final volume of 3 ml of E-199. The suspension was

234 centrifuged as before to pellet the MF. This time, exactly half of the supernatant (1.5ml) was 235 removed and placed into a clean tube. Thus, the two starting tubes contained the same 236 concentration of virus except one contained only virus, whereas the other contained virus and MF. Both tubes were brought up to a final volume of 3 ml E-199 and incubated for 1 hour at 237 238 room temperature, being gently agitated every 5-10 minutes to ensure mixing of MF and virus. 239 After the incubation, tubes were vortexed and a tiny subsample $(10\mu l)$ was removed from each 240 tube, acidified in 20 µl vinegar, and the MF were counted, as described above. Tubes were then 241 centrifuged for 5 minutes at 3,000 rpm to pellet the MF and 2.7 ml of the supernatant was 242 removed and stored at -80°C for later viral quantification. The MF pellet and control pellet (i.e., 243 virus only tube) were re-suspended by adding 2.7 ml of fresh medium. Tubes were vortexed for 244 several minutes to ensure mixing and allowed to incubate for 1 to 2 hours, then sampled for MF 245 and centrifuged again at 3,000 rpm to pellet the MF. This procedure of washing the MF with 246 serial 10-fold dilutions was repeated multiple times in order to dilute virus beyond the theoretical limits of detection. 247

248

249 **RESULTS**

250 Viremias in Grackles and Robins with and without blood parasitemias

The course of viremia in Robins and Grackles was similar (Fig. 1). Viremias peaked on Days 1
and 2 after inoculation and subsided by Day 3. In terms of blood parasites, the only blood
parasites detected in the five microfilaremic Grackles were *C. quiscali* MF; no other adventitious
blood parasites were present. The four non-microfilaremic Grackles were free of blood parasites.
However, this was not the case with the Robins. Two of the three microfilaremic Robins and two
of the non-microfilaremic Robins were also infected with *Plasmodium* (i.e., avian malaria). All
three microfilaremic Robins were also infected with trypanosomes whereas none of the non-

258 microfilaremic Robins were infected with trypanosomes. Two of the Robins were triply infected

with MF, *Plasmodium* and trypanosomes. Only one of the six Robins had no blood parasites.

260 Nevertheless, none of these blood parasites appeared to alter WNV levels as the viremias in both

261 Grackles and Robins were similar whether or not they were co-infected with MF, *Plasmodium* or

trypanosomes.

263 Mosquito ingestion of microfilariae

264 During WNV infectivity studies, 26% of 27 mosquitoes examined ingested *Eufilaria* MF after

265 feeding on the three microfilaremic Robins. The geometric mean number ingested was 1.6 MF;

ranging from 1 to 5 MF per mosquito. In contrast, 100% of 55 mosquitoes examined ingested *C*.

267 *quiscali* MF after feeding on the five microfilaremic Grackles, with a geometric mean of 45.3

268 MF ingested. Numbers of *C. quiscali* MF ingested were highly variable, even amongst

269 mosquitoes feeding on the same bird at the same time (overall range was 3 to 425 MF per

270 mosquito).

271 West Nile virus infection in *Cx. pipiens* that had fed on Robins and Grackles

272 <u>Robins</u>. When *Cx. pipiens* fed on Robins with WNV viremias $\sim 10^{6.7}$ pfu/ml, about 85% of the

273 mosquitoes became infected. There was no difference (Fisher's exact test, p = 1.0) in either

274 infection or dissemination rates between Cx. pipiens that fed on Robins with or without Eufilaria

spp. MF (Table 2). Likewise, there were no differences in WNV infection rates between *Cx*.

276 *pipiens* fed on Robins with or without blood protozoan infections (Table 3).

277 <u>Grackles</u>. When fed on Grackles with WNV viremias $\sim 10^{7.0}$ pfu/ml, about 36% of *Cx*.

278 *pipiens* mosquitoes became infected (Table 4). While the infection rate was not significantly

greater (Fisher's exact test, p > 0.05) in the mosquitoes that concurrently ingested *C. quiscali*,

this apparent difference was because more of the Area B strain than the Rutgers strain fed on the

281 microfilaremic Grackles and more of the Rutgers strain than the Area B strain fed on the

282	amicrofilaremic Grackles. When the MF status of the Grackle was ignored, significantly more of
283	the Area B strain (45%, 157/349) were infected than the Rutgers strain (32%, 69/217) (Fisher's
284	exact test, $p = 0.002$). There was no difference in dissemination rates between those <i>Cx. pipiens</i>
285	that fed on Grackles with or without <i>C. quiscali</i> MF (Fisher's exact test, $p = 1.0$). However,
286	when <i>Cx. pipiens</i> fed on Grackles with WNV viremias $\sim 10^{8.3}$ pfu/ml, both infection and
287	dissemination rates were significantly higher in the mosquitoes that concurrently ingested C .
288	<i>quiscali</i> and WNV than in mosquitoes that ingested WNV alone (Fisher's exact test, $p < 0.0001$,
289	Table 5).

290 Serial dilution "spin-and-wash"

291 The affinity of WNV to associate with C. quiscali MF was examined by harvesting the MF from 292 dually-infected Grackles and washing the MF using repeated cycles of centrifugation and 293 resuspension. Parallel procedures were performed with samples from the exact same birds from which the MF had been removed beforehand. The starting virus concentrations for samples 294 295 prepared from the two birds were $10^{2.3}$ and $10^{2.6}$ pfu/ml. With each successive centrifugation and 296 10-fold resuspension, virus concentration in the supernatants of both MF-positive and MF-297 negative samples diminished in a logarithmic fashion so that by the second or third wash, virus 298 was depleted (Table 6). The MF densities in both MF-positive samples remained essentially the 299 same throughout the spin-and-wash procedure.

300

301 **DISCUSSION**

302 This study examined whether or not naturally occurring microfilarial infections of American

303 Robins and Common Grackles could enhance the dissemination of WNV into the hemocoel of

304 *Cx. pipiens* mosquitoes. There were several notable findings. First, microfilaremias did not affect

the intensity or dynamics of WNV viremia in either bird species (Fig. 1). This differs from

306 reports with retroviral-MF co-infections, where viral loads are higher in microfilaremic versus

307 non-microfilaremic hosts (Dietze et al. 2016, Kroidl et al. 2016).

308 Second, the frequency and numbers of MF ingested were different in mosquitoes when 309 fed on microfilaremic Robins versus microfilaremic Grackles. When fed on microfilaremic 310 Robins, the frequency (26%) and density (1.6 MF/mosquito) of *Eufilaria* MF ingested by 311 mosquitoes were much lower than that observed for mosquitoes fed on microfilaremic Grackles 312 (*i.e.*, 100% ingested C. quiscali MF at densities ~45 MF/mosquito). To investigate midgut 313 penetration by the MF of these two filarial species, we conducted additional trials using 314 microfilaremic-only birds (i.e., no WNV). In those trials, ingested Eufilaria MF failed to 315 penetrate Cx. pipiens midguts whereas the higher densities of ingested C. quiscali MF penetrated 316 the midguts of roughly one in three engorged Cx. pipiens (Suppl. Table S1). Since penetration of 317 the midgut is required for microfilarial enhancement to occur, failure to observe midgut 318 penetration by *Eufilaria* MF in Robins suggested that microfilarial enhancement in Robins may 319 not occur or if it does, it is an exceedingly rare event.

Third, microfilarial enhancement of WNV dissemination was observed only in a single Grackle that developed a very high viremia. For both Grackles and Robins that produced moderate viremias of $\sim 10^7$ pfu/ml, the dissemination rates of WNV in *Cx. pipiens* were similar whether or not a bird was co-infected with MF (Tables 2 and 4). However, when host viremias were high ($\sim 10^{8.5}$ pfu/ml), subsequent infection and dissemination rates were significantly higher in the *Cx. pipiens* that ingested *C. quiscali* MF and WNV as compared to mosquitoes that ingested WNV alone (p<0.0001, see Table 5).

327 One obvious mechanism of microfilarial enhancement involves the passive leakage of 328 virus from blood meal into hemocoel through microscopic fissures produced by MF as they 329 penetrate the mosquito midgut. Although this may occur, previous studies indicate that

330	microfilarial enhancement is not necessarily confined to mere leakage. A more efficient route of
331	virus introduction into the mosquito hemocoel can involve the active association between MF
332	and virus that allows for co-transport of virus by MF into the mosquito hemocoel (Vaughan and
333	Turell 2017). To investigate the potential association and/or adhesion of WNV to avian MF, we
334	conducted spin-and-wash experiments with C. quiscali MF. In similar spin-and-wash
335	experiments conducted with two different alphaviruses and the human MF, Brugia malayi
336	(Brug), all virus was diluted out of the sample without MF but virus remained present in samples
337	containing B. malayi MF for many dilutions past where it should have been diluted out (Vaughan
338	and Turell 2017). However, this was not what we observed with WNV and C. quiscali MF.
339	Instead, WNV was easily diluted and washed free of the MF (Table 4), indicating that WNV
340	does not tightly associate or adhere to C. quiscali MF during a co-infection. Thus, there is
341	probably minimal co-transport of WNV into the hemocoel of Cx. pipiens during midgut
342	penetration by C. quiscali MF. Nevertheless, a small amount of blood meal leakage could still
343	occur as the result of MF penetrating the mosquito midgut.

344 Previous examples of microfilarial enhancement come mostly from work using Brugia 345 spp. MF. Brugia filarids are mammalian parasites transmitted naturally by mosquitoes and have 346 MF (177 to 230 µm) that are larger than Eufilaria MF (95-123 µm) or C. quiscali MF (178-193 347 μm) – species transmitted in nature by *Culicoides* midges, not mosquitoes (Anderson 2000, Bain 1980, Hibler 1963, Robinson 1971). The degree of tissue damage inflicted on Cx. pipiens 348 349 midguts by C. quiscali MF after ingestion is unknown, but it is probably less than what occurs with larger MF specifically adapted to develop within mosquitoes (e.g., Brugia, Wuchereria, 350 351 etc.). Even with moderately high viremias, the amount of fluid that "leaks" through the midgut as 352 the result of C. quiscali MF penetration may be so small, that it is unlikely to contain even one 353 infectious virion. However, with an extremely high viremia, as observed in the current study,

354	leakage of virions may become possible and result in enhanced WNV dissemination. Thus, in the
355	case of C. quiscali MF, the mechanism of microfilarial enhancement is probably restricted to
356	midgut leakage, and active co-transport of virus by MF (as seen with B. malayi MF) is not
357	involved. Microfilarial enhancement of WNV by filarial infections in Grackles may occur, but
358	only when there is very high concentration of virus present in the blood meal (Table 5).
359	In conclusion, our results suggest that microfilarial infections of Robins probably play no
360	role in enhancing WNV dissemination in Cx pipiens. Microfilarial infections in Grackles can
361	enhance WNV dissemination in Cx. pipiens, but only in Grackles experiencing very high
362	viremias. Even though these results seem to place a major constraint on microfilarial
363	enhancement of WNV transmission by avian MF, it is important to note that in the case of
364	Grackles, there are populations in the Midwest in which nearly every adult Grackle is
365	microfilaremic with C. quiscali (see Table 1). The sheer abundance of this parasite increases the
366	probability that microfilarial enhancement could augment the importance of Grackles as an
367	amplifying host for WNV in some locations. Furthermore, the prevalence of a related
368	microfilarial species, Chandlerella chitwoodae Anderson, in American Crows can also be quite
369	high (>60%; Bartlett and Anderson 1980, Robinson 1955, Vaughan et al. 2012). This could be
370	important because the American Crow is a bird species known to develop extremely high
371	viremias at levels that can exceed 10 ¹⁰ pfu/ml (Komar et al. 2003, Bunning et al. 2007). Thus,
372	microfilarial enhancement in passerine birds may contribute to a greater or lesser extent to
373	enzootic maintenance of WNV, depending on the bird species and filarial parasite involved.
374	
375	Acknowledgments

376 Several students in the Vaughan laboratory assisted in the capture and blood screening of birds

377 used in this study. Mr. Chad Stromlund and Ms. Danielle Kvasager captured the Robins and

Page 17 of 66

378	assisted in screening them for microfilariae and trypanosomes. Ms. Sarina Bauer assisted with
379	the molecular diagnosis of hemosporidian parasites. Mr. Lei Guo assisted with the epitope-
380	blocking ELISAs to determine seroreactivity to WNV. Ms. Denise Nash (USAMRIID) helped
381	rear the mosquitoes used in this study. This work was supported in part by a grant from the
382	National Institutes of Health (R21 AI105662).
383	Disclaimers:
384	The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy
385	of the Department of Army, Department of Defense, or the U.S. Government. Trade names are
386	used for identification purposes only and do not imply endorsement. This work was prepared as
387	part of official duties as federal employees (JH, ESA, MJT) of the United States government.
388	Title 17 U.S.C. 105 provides that 'copyright protection under this title is not available for any
389	work of the United States Government'. Title 17 U.S.C. 101 defines U.S. Government work as
390	work prepared by a military service member or employee of the U.S. Government as part of that
391	person's official duties.

393	References	Cited
000	I VIUI UIUUS	Unitu

- 394
- Anderson, R. C. 2000. Nematode Parasites of Vertebrates. Their Development and
 Transmission. 2nd ed. CABI Publishing NY.
- 397 Andreadis, T. G. 2012. The contribution of *Culex pipiens* complex mosquitoes to transmission
- and persistence of West Nile virus in North America. J. Am. Mosq. Cont. Assoc. 28: 137-151.
- Bain O. 1980. Two filariae of the genus *Eufilaria* in *Turdus merula*; development in *Culicoides nubeculosus*. [French]. Ann. Parasitol. Hum. Comp. 55: 583-590.
- 402 Bartlett, C. M. and R. C. Anderson. 1980. Filarioid nematodes (Filarioidea: Onchocercidae) of
- 403 *Corvus branchyrhynchos* Brehm in southern Ontario, Canada and a consideration of the
 404 epizootiology of avian filariasis. Syst. Parasitol. 2: 77-102.
- 405 Blitvich, B. J., R. A. Bowen, N. L. Marlenee, R. A. Hall, M. L. Bunning, and B. J. Beaty.
- 2003. Epitope-blocking enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays for detection of West Nile
 virus antibodies in domestic mammals. J. Clin. Microbiol. 41: 2676-2679.
- 408 Bunning, M. L., P. E. Fox, R. A. Bowen, N. Komar, G. J. Chang, T. J. Speaker, M. R.
- 409 Stephens, N. Nemeth, N. A. Panella, S. A. Langevin, P. Gordy, M. Teehee, P. R.
- 410 Bright, and M. J. Turell. 2007. DNA vaccination of the American crow (*Corvus*
- 411 *brachyrhynchos*) provides partial protection against lethal challenge with West Nile
- 412 virus. Avian Dis. 51: 573-577.
- Chamberlain, R. W., and W. D. Sudia. 1961. Mechanism of transmission of viruses by
 mosquitoes. Ann. Rev. Entomol. 6: 371-390.
- 415 **Collins, J. D. 1971**. The detection of microfilariae using the capillary hematocrit tube methods.
- 416 Trop. Animal Health Prod. 3: 23-25.

417	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 1999. Outbreak of West Nile-like viral
418	encephalitis-New York, 1999. MMWR 48: 845-849.
419	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 2020.
420	https://www.cdc.gov/westnile/statsmaps/cumMapsData.html
421	Dietze K. K., U. Dittmer, D. K. Koudaimi, S. Schimmer, M. Reitz, M. Breloer, and W.
422	Hartmann. 2016. Filariae-retrovirus co-infection in mice is associated with suppressed
423	virus-specific IgG immune response and higher viral loads. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 2016
424	Dec 6;10(12): e0005170.
425	Dohm D. J., M. L. O'Guinn, and M. J. Turell. 2002. Effect of environmental temperature on
426	the ability of Culex pipiens (Diptera: Culicidae) to transmit West Nile virus. J. Med.
427	Entomol. 39: 221-225.
428	Gargan, T. P., II, C. L. Bailey, G. A. Higbee, A. Gad, and S. El Said. 1983. The effect of
429	laboratory colonization on the vector pathogen interactions of Egyptian Culex pipiens
430	and Rift Valley fever virus. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 32: 1154-1163.
431	Granath, W. O. 1980. Fate of the wild avian filarioid nematode Chandlerella quiscali
432	(Onchocercidae: Filarioidae) in the domestic chicken. Poultry Sci. 59: 996-1000.
433	Hamer, G. L., T. K. Anderson, G. E. Berry, A. P. Makohon-Moore, J. C. Crafton, J. D.
434	Brawn, A. C. Dolinski, B. L. Krebs, M. O. Ruiz, P. M. Muzzall, T. L. Goldberg, and
435	E. D. Walker. 2013. Prevalence of filarioid nematodes and trypanosomes in American
436	robins and house sparrows, Chicago USA. Internat. J. Parasitol: Parasites Wildlife. 2: 42-
437	49.
438	Hardy, J. L. 1988. Susceptibility and resistance of vector mosquitoes, pp. 87-126. In T. P.
439	Monath [ed.], The arboviruses: epidemiology and ecology, vol. 1. CRC, Boca Raton, FL.

440	Hardy, J. L., E. J. Houk, L. D. Kramer, and W. C. Reeves. 1983. Intrinsic factors affecting
441	vector competence of mosquitoes for arboviruses. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 28: 229-262.
442	Hawking, F., and J. P. Thurston. 1951. The periodicity of microfilariae. I. The distribution of
443	microfilariae in the body. Trans. Royal Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. 45: 307-328.
444	Hellgren, O., J. Waldenstrom, and S. Bensch. 2004. A new PCR assay for simultaneous
445	studies of Leucocytozoon, Plasmodium, and Haemoproteus from avian blood. J. Parasitol.
446	90: 797-802.
447	Hibler, C. P. 1963. Onchocercidae (Nematoda: Filarioidea) of the American magpie Pica pica
448	hudsonia (Sabine) in northern Colorado. Ph.D. dissertation, Colorado State University.
449	Johnson, A. A. 1984. Helminths of common grackles (Quiscalus quiscali-versicolor, Vieillot) in
450	central Arkansas. Arkansas Acad. Sci. Proc. 38: 53-55.
451	Kading, R. C., B. J. Biggerstaff, G. Young, and N. Komar. 2014. Mosquitoes used to draw
452	blood for arbovirus viremia determinations in small vertebrates. PLoS ONE 9: e99342.
453	Komar, N., S. Langevin, S. Hinten, N. Nemeth, E. Edwards, D. Hettler, B. Davis, R. Bowen,
454	and M. Bunning. 2003. Experimental infection of North American birds with the New
455	York 1999 strain of West Nile virus. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 9: 311-322.
456	Kramer, L. D., J. L. Hardy, S. B. Presser, and E. J. Houk. 1981. Dissemination barriers for
457	western equine encephalomyelitis virus in Culex tarsalis infected after ingestion of low
458	viral doses. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 30: 190-197.
459	Kroidl I., E. Saathoff, L. Maganga, W. H. Makunde, A. Hoerauf, C. Geldmacher, P.
460	Clowes, L. Maboko, and M. Hoelscher. 2016. Effect of Wuchereria bancrofti infection
461	on HIV incidence in southwest Tanzania: a prospective cohort study. Lancet 388: 1912-
462	1920.

463	Molaei, G., T. G. Andreadis, P. M. Armstrong, J. F. Anderson, C. R. Vossbrinck. 2006.
464	Host feeding patterns of Culex mosquitoes and West Nile virus transmission,
465	Northeastern United States. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 12: 468-474. doi:
466	10.3201/eid1203.051004.
467	Mellor, P. S., and J. Boorman. 1980. Multiplication of bluetongue virus in Culicoides
468	nubeculosus (Meigen) simultaneously infected with the virus and the microfilariae of
469	Onchocerca cervicalis (Railliet & Henry), Ann. Trop. Med. Parasitol. 74: 463-469, DOI:
470	10.1080/00034983.1980.11687368
471	Odetoyinbo, J. A. 1960. Biology of Splenididofilaria quiscali (von Linstow, 1904) n. comb.
472	(Nematoda: Onchocercidae). Ph.D. dissertation. Iowa State University, Ames.
473	Robinson, E. J. 1955. Observations on the epizootiology of filarioid infections in two species of
474	the avian family Corvidae. J. Parasitol. 41: 209-214.
475	Robinson, E. J. 1971. Culicoides crepuscularis (Malloch) (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) as a host
476	for Chandlerella quiscali (Von Linstow, 1904) comb. n. (Filarioidea: Onchocercidae). J.
477	Parasitol. 57: 772-776.
478	Savage, H. M. et al. 2007. Host choice and West Nile virus infection rates in blood-fed
479	mosquitoes, including members of the Culex pipiens complex, from Memphis and Shelby
480	County, Tennessee, 2002–2003. Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2007; 7: 365–386. doi:
481	10.1089/vbz.2006.0602
482	Simpson, J. E., C. M. Folsom-O'Keefe, J. E. Childs, L. E. Simons, T. G. Andreadis, and M.
483	A Diuk-Wasser. 2009. Avian host selection by Culex pipiens in experimental trials.
484	PLoS One. 4(11):e7861. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0007861.

405 I UI CII, IVI. J., I. I. Gai gail II, and C. L. Daney, 1704a. Replication and dissemination of	485
--	-----

- 486 Valley fever virus in *Culex pipiens*. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 33: 176-181.
- 487 Turell, M. J., P. A. Rossignol, A. Spielman, C. A. Rossi, and C. L. Bailey. 1984b. Enhanced
 488 arboviral transmission by mosquitoes that concurrently ingested microfilariae. Science
- 489 (Wash. D.C.) 225: 1039-1041.
- 490 Turell, M. J., T. N. Mather, A. Spielman, and C. L. Bailey. 1987. Increased dissemination of
- dengue 2 virus in Aedes aegypti associated with concurrent ingestion of microfilariae of *Brugia malayi*. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 37: 197-201.
- **Turell, M.J., M.L. O'Guinn, and J. Oliver. 2000**. Potential for New York mosquitoes to
 transmit West Nile Virus. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 62: 413-414.
- Turell, M. J., M. L. O'Guinn, D. J. Dohm, and J. W. Jones. 2001. Vector competence of
 North American mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) for West Nile virus. J. Med. Entomol.
 38: 130-134.
- Vaughan, J. A., and M. J. Turell. 1996. Dual host infections: enhanced infectivity of eastern
 equine encephalitis virus to *Aedes* mosquitoes mediated by *Brugia* microfilariae. Am. J.
 Trop. Med. Hyg. 54: 105-109.
- 501 Vaughan, J. A. and M. J. Turell. 2017. *Brugia malayi* microfilariae transport alphaviruses
- across the mosquito midgut. PLoS One. 12:e0172309. doi:
- 503 10.1371/journal.pone.0172309. eCollection 2017.
- 504 Vaughan, J. A., M. Trpis, and M. J. Turell. 1999. Brugia malayi microfilariae (Nematoda:
- 505 Filaridae) enhance the infectivity of Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus to *Aedes*
- 506 mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae). J. Med. Entomol. 36: 758-763.

507	Vaughan, J. A., D. A. Focks, and M. J. Turell. 2009. Simulation models examining the effect
508	of Brugian filariasis on dengue epidemics. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 80: 44-50.
509	Vaughan, J. A., J. O. Mehus, C. M. Brewer, D. K. Kvasager, S. Bauer, J. L. Vaughan, H. K.
510	Hassan, T. R. Unnasch, and J. A. Bell. 2012. Theoretical potential of passerine
511	filariasis to enhance the enzootic transmission of West Nile virus. J. Med. Entomol. 49:
512	1430-1441.
513	Welker, G. W. 1962. Helminth parasites of the common grackle, Quiscalis quiscula versicolor
514	Veillot in Indiana. Ph.D. dissertation, The Ohio State University.
515	Zytoon, E. M., H. I. el-Belbasi, and T. Matsumura. 1993. Mechanism of increased
516	dissemination of chikungunya virus in Aedes albopictus mosquitoes concurrently
517	ingesting microfilariae of Dirofilaria immitis. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 49: 201-207. doi:
518	10.4269/ajtmh.1993.49.201.
519	
520	

Table 1. Prevalence estimates of microfilarial infections within populations of CommonGrackles and American Robins throughout the central United States of America, as determinedby examination of lung tissue (necropsy) or peripheral blood collected at night.

Bird Species	Locality	n	% MF ¹	Filarial species	Filarial species Basis for MF identification	
Common Grackle	North Dakota /	777 11%		Chandlerella quiscali	Morphology, DNA seq.	Vaughan, unpubl. data,
	Minnesota		0.1%	<i>Eufilaria</i> sp.	Morphology	2005 - 2018
	Iowa	112	62%	Chandlerella quiscali	Morphology	Odetoyinbo 1960
	Arkansas	71	87%	Chandlerella quiscali	Morphology	Johnson 1984
	Illinois 20		98%	Chandlerella quiscali	Morphology	Granath 1980
	Indiana	184	100%	Chandlerella quiscali	Morphology	Welker 1962
	Ohio	42	24%	Chandlerella quiscali	Morphology	Robinson 1961, Buck et al. 1975
American	North	160	20%	<i>Eufilaria</i> sp.	Morphology	Vaughan,
Kobin	Minnesota		2%	<i>Cardiofilaria</i> sp.	Morphology	2005 - 2014
	Illinois	Illinois 63 8%		Splendidofilaria sp.	DNA seq.	Hamer et al.
			3%	Chandlerella quiscali	DNA seq.	2013
			3%	Unidentified sp.	DNA seq.	
	Ohio	11	9%	Unidentified sp.	not reported	Robinson 1961

¹ Percentage of birds containing microfilariae (MF).

Table 2. Rates of West Nile virus (WNV) infection and dissemination in *Culex pipiens* mosquitoes after feeding on infected American Robins with comparable levels of viremia (6.7 ± 0.3 PFU/ml) with or without co-infections of *Eufilaria* sp. microfilariae (MF).

Microfilaria Status	No. MF	No. of	No. mosquitoes	Infection	Dissemination
of Robin	ingested ¹	Feeds	tested for WNV	Rate ²	Rate ³
Amicrofilaremic	0	3	62	85% (53)	3% (2)
Microfilaremic	1.6	3	88	84% (74)	2% (2)

¹ At time of the infectious feeding, 26% of 27 mosquitoes examined ingested *Eufilaria* MF after feeding on microfilaremic Robins. The geometric mean number of MF ingested was 1.6 MF per mosquito, with a range of one to five MF per mosquito.

² Percent of mosquitoes infected with WNV (number of infected mosquitoes)

³ Percent of mosquitoes with a disseminated WNV infection (number of mosquitoes with disseminated infections)

Table 3. Rates of West Nile virus (WNV) infection in *Culex pipiens* mosquitoes (Area B strain) after feeding on American Robins with comparable levels of viremias with or without co-infection of blood parasites, including microfilariae (MF), *Plasmodium*, or trypanosomes.

Host Parasite Infection Status	Host Viremia (log PFU/ml)	No. of Feeds	No. Mosquitoes Tested for WNV	Infection Rate ¹	p-value	
Plasmodium	7.2 – 7.4	2	34	100% (34)	0.125	
No blood parasites	7.3	1	35	91% (32)	0.125	
MF + trypanosomes	7.3	1	21	71% (15)	0.057	
No blood parasites	7.3	1	35	91% (32)	0.05/	
MF + <i>Plasmodium</i> + trypanosomes	6.7	1	14	86% (12)	0.305	
No blood parasites	6.7	1	34	74% (25)		
MF + trypanosomes +/- Plasmodium	6.7-7.3	2	69	77% (27)	0.60	
No blood parasites	6.7-7.3	2	35	83% (57)		

¹Percent of mosquitoes infected with WNV (number of infected mosquitoes)

Table 4. Rates of West Nile virus (WNV) infection and dissemination in *Culex pipiens*mosquitoes after feeding on infected Common Grackles with comparable levels of viremia (7.0 ± 0.7 PFU/ml) with or without co-infections of *Chanderella quiscali* microfilariae (MF).

Microfilaria Status of	No. MF	No. of	No. mosquitoes	Infection	Dissemination
Grackle	ingested ¹	Feeds	tested for WNV	Rate ²	Rate ³
Amicrofilaremic	0	6	228	34% (78)	5% (11)
Microfilaremic LOW	12-49	5	212	41% (91)	5% (10)
Microfilaremic HIGH	138-143	2	88	47% (41)	1% (1)
Microfilaremic ALL	12-143	7	300	44% (132)	4% (11)

¹ Denotes the range in geometric mean number of MF ingested per mosquito observed in separate feedings. All 27 mosquitoes examined ingested *C. quiscali* MF after feeding on microfilaremic Grackles. Microfilariae densities are grouped by low and high densities. The overall geometric mean number of MF ingested for all feeding combined was 47 MF per mosquito.

² Percent of mosquitoes infected with WNV (number of infected mosquitoes)

³ Percent of mosquitoes with a disseminated WNV infection (number of mosquitoes with disseminated infections)

Table 5. Rates of West Nile virus (WNV) infection and dissemination in *Culex pipiens*mosquitoes after feeding on infected Common Grackles with comparable levels of viremia (8.3 ± 0.4 PFU/ml) with or without co-infections of *Chanderella quiscali* microfilariae (MF).

Microfilaria Status	No. MF	No. of	No. mosquitoes	Infection	Dissemination
of Grackle	ingested ¹	Feeds	tested for WNV	Rate ²	Rate ³
Amicrofilaremic	0	2	150	63% (95)	7% (11)
Microfilaremic	83	1	50	100% (50)	46% (23)

¹ All three mosquitoes examined ingested *C. quiscali* MF after feeding on a microfilaremic Grackle. The geometric mean number of MF ingested was 82.7 MF per mosquito, with a range of 77 to 92 MF per mosquito.

² Percent of mosquitoes infected with WNV (number of infected mosquitoes)

³ Percent of mosquitoes with a disseminated WNV infection (number of mosquitoes with disseminated infections)

Table 6. Lack of virus adherence and affinity to *Chandlerella quiscali* microfilariae (MF) within two microfilaremic grackles 3 days after they were experimentally infected with West Nile virus (WNV). Microfilariae were harvested from the lungs of co-infected grackles and washed by repeated cycles of centrifugation, removal of supernatant, and resuspension.

Bird		Virus titer (log ₁₀ PFU per ml) of supernatant at each dilution							
No.	_	Stock	10-1	10-2	10-3	10-4	10-5		
	WNV - MF removed	2.6	1.7	0	0	0	NT		
29	WNV with MF	2.6	1.9	1.0	0	0	NT		
	MF density per 10µl	-	81 <u>+</u> 1	-	59 <u>+</u> 1	-	92 <u>+</u> 16		
	WNV - MF removed	2.4	1.4	0	0	0	NT		
23	WNV with MF	2.3	1.5	0	0	0	NT		
	MF density per 10µl	-	137 <u>+</u> 5	-	95 <u>+</u> 7	-	197 <u>+</u> 61		

Figure Legend

Fig. 1. A. Course of viremia in six adult American Robins inoculated subcutaneously (0.2 ml) with 10^{6.1} PFU of West Nile virus (Crow 397-99 strain). B. Course of viremia in nine adult Common Grackles inoculated subcutaneously (0.2 ml) with 10^{6.1} PFU of West Nile virus (Crow 397-99 strain). Birds dually-infected with West Nile virus and microfilariae are denoted with dashed lines. Birds infected with only West Nile virus are denoted with solid lines.



https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/medent

Supplemental Table 1. Examination of the ingestion of *Eufilaria* sp. and *Chandlerella quiscali* microfilariae (MF) by *Culex pipiens* mosquitoes fed on microfilaremic Robins and Grackles respectively, and ability of ingested MF to penetrate the midguts of engorged mosquitoes within 12 hours after mosquitoes had fed.

	Dind	Host	No.	%	No. MF ingested	No. MF	Prevalence of
Bird (ME species)	BIR	microfilaremia	mosquitoes	mosquitoes	per mosquito	penetrating	MF
(ivit species)	ID	(no# MF per 3 µl)	examined	ingesting MF	(range) ¹	midgut (range)	penetration
Robin (<i>Eufilaria</i> sp.)	C67	1.8	30	80%	2.0 (1-8)	0	0%
Robin (<i>Eufilaria</i> sp.)	C109	7.8	32	100%	4.2 (1-16)	0	0%
Robin (<i>Eufilaria</i> sp.)	C3	13.2	40	100%	7.8 (1-27)	0	0%
Grackle (C. quiscali)	304	11.7	26	96%	6.9 (1-37)	1.0 (1)	4%
Grackle ² (<i>C. quiscali</i>)	303	103.8	49	100%	28.8 (1-347)	1.9 (1-12)	37%

¹ Excludes zero counts, *i.e.*, density equals the geometric mean number (range) of MF in the blood meals of those mosquitoes that ingested MF.

² Data from Vaughan et al. 2012.