

Effect of Thermal Stabilization on PAN-Derived Electrospun Carbon Nanofibers for CO2 Capture

Original

Effect of Thermal Stabilization on PAN-Derived Electrospun Carbon Nanofibers for CO2 Capture / Maruccia, Elisa; Ferrari, Stefania; Bartoli, Mattia; Lucherini, Lorenzo; Meligrana, Giuseppina; Pirri, Candido F.; Saracco, Guido; Gerbaldi, Claudio. - In: POLYMERS. - ISSN 2073-4360. - STAMPA. - 13:23(2021), p. 4197. [10.3390/polym13234197]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2942772 since: 2021-12-23T10:38:23Z

Publisher:

MDPI

Published

DOI:10.3390/polym13234197

Terms of use:

openAccess

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

Article

Effect of Thermal Stabilization on PAN-Derived Electrospun Carbon Nanofibers for CO₂ Capture

Elisa Maruccia ^{1,2,3}, Stefania Ferrari ^{4,*}, Mattia Bartoli ^{2,5}, Lorenzo Lucherini ⁵, Giuseppina Meligrana ^{1,3}, Candido F. Pirri ^{2,5}, Guido Saracco ⁵ and Claudio Gerbaldi ^{1,3,*}

¹ GAME Lab, Department of Applied Science and Technology, Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy; elisa.maruccia@polito.it (E.M.); giuseppina.meligrana@polito.it (G.M.)

² Center for Sustainable Future Technologies (CSFT), Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia (IIT), Via Livorno 60, 10144 Torino, Italy; mattia.bartoli@iit.it (M.B.); fabrizio.pirri@iit.it (C.F.P.)

³ National Reference Center for Electrochemical Energy Storage (GISEL)-INSTM, Via G. Giusti 9, 50121 Firenze, Italy

⁴ Department of Pharmacy, Università di Chieti Pescara “G. d’Annunzio”, Via dei Vestini 31, 66100 Chieti, Italy

⁵ Department of Applied Science and Technology, Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy; lorenzo.lucherini@epfl.ch (L.L.); guido.saracco@polito.it (G.S.)

* Correspondence: stefania.ferrari@unich.it (S.F.); claudio.gerbaldi@polito.it (C.G.)

Abstract: Carbon capture is amongst the key emerging technologies for the mitigation of greenhouse gases (GHG) pollution. Several materials as adsorbents for CO₂ and other gases are being developed, which often involve using complex and expensive fabrication techniques. In this work, we suggest a sound, easy and cheap route for the production of nitrogen-doped carbon materials for CO₂ capture by pyrolysis of electrospun poly(acrylonitrile) (PAN) fibers. PAN fibers are generally processed following specific heat treatments involving up to three steps (to get complete graphitization), one of these being stabilization, during which PAN fibers are oxidized and stretched in the 200–300 °C temperature range. The effect of stabilization temperature on the chemical structure of the carbon nanofibers is investigated herein to ascertain the possible implication of incomplete conversion/condensation of nitrile groups to form pyridine moieties on the CO₂ adsorption capacity. The materials were tested in the pure CO₂ atmosphere at 20 °C achieving 18.3% of maximum weight increase (equivalent to an uptake of 4.16 mmol g⁻¹), proving the effectiveness of a high stabilization temperature as route for the improvement of CO₂ uptake.

Citation: Maruccia, E.; Ferrari, S.; Bartoli, M.; Lucherini, L.; Meligrana, G.; Pirri, C.F.; Saracco, G.; Gerbaldi, C. Effect of Thermal Stabilization on PAN-Derived Electrospun Carbon Nanofibers for CO₂ Capture. *Polymers* **2021**, *13*, 4197. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13234197>

Academic Editor: Alexey Iordanskii

Received: 22 October 2021

Accepted: 26 November 2021

Published: 30 November 2021

Publisher’s Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Keywords: poly(acrylonitrile) (PAN); electrospinning; carbon fiber; CO₂ adsorption; renewable feedstock

1. Introduction

The majority of anthropogenic greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions are constituted by carbon dioxide (CO₂) [1], that accounts for 65% of all gases emitted and has reached values higher than 400 ppm in the atmosphere since the beginning of the industrial revolution when it was just 278 ppm [2]. The observed increase of the global average temperatures since the mid-20th-century is very likely due to the continuous rise of the GHG emissions globally produced [2,3]. Moving away from the burning of fossil fuels for energy production toward renewable resources is undoubtedly the main path to follow in the long term to slow down and hopefully stop/invert climate change [4,5]. To mitigate the current emission level, other solutions are being considered, alongside clean energy production in the short term, such as CO₂ sequestration [2,6]. In recent years, carbon capture and storage (CCS) technologies have undergone massive development and at present are the subject of many investigations worldwide directed at finding innovative and more effective approaches that demand low energy, along with easy and low-cost

manufacturing [7]. Chemical absorption by aqueous amine-based solvents is a commercially available technology for post-combustion CO₂ capture, but requires a vast amount of equipment and has a high cost due to the high parasitic energy consumption [8,9]. Alternative, more economic adsorption methods are considered very promising, such as those that make use of porous materials including zeolites, MOFs, silica, porous polymers and carbons. Activated carbons with a high surface area, porosity and good chemical stability are industrially available and have demonstrated good efficiency as adsorbents for H₂, CH₄ and CO₂. However, carbon nanopowders need to be transformed into functional components such as membranes or films to be useful in practical applications; in addition, additives such as binders, and fabrication methods like hot pressing have to be used, which might affect their textural features. Among the various porous carbon nanomaterials, carbon nanofibers (CNFs) have been recently studied as effective CO₂ adsorbent thanks to their morphology and intrinsic porosity [10]. Carbon cloth and mats can be easier to handle compared to nanopowders and offer more opportunities for designing conformable coatings or liners inside containers used in industrial plants [11], even if their cost is significantly higher than that of carbon felt [12].

Electrospinning has received growing attention in the last ten years thanks to its versatility in terms of processable materials [13]. Both academia and industry have found electrospinning to be very attractive for its simplicity and relatively high production rate [14]. Nanofiber mats can be obtained from a wide range of polymers, both natural and synthetic, as well as from ceramic materials [15]. Together with an increased surface area typical of nanoscale objects, spun mats of disordered fibers exhibit a porous and interconnected structure inherent to non-woven textures [16]. Moreover, material characteristics are combined with unique features emerging from the single fiber morphology and, on a larger scale, from the layered complex of spun fibers [17–19]. Electrospun mats are thus of enormous interest for applications where highly porous [20], interconnected and, if necessary, functionalized [21] structures are highly desirable, such as in energy storage [22], environmental [23], catalysis [24], and biomedical fields [25].

Air and water filtration are two major applications of non-woven mat thanks to their high porosity and high surface area which enable a higher number of interaction sites [26]. They show effective removal of micrometer size air pollutants particles via physical trapping and adsorption on fibers surface and less pressure drop across the membrane [27–29].

PAN-derived carbon fibers have been recently under investigation for gas adsorption [30–32], particularly because their properties are prone to being easily and finely tuned by means of thermal treatments carried out in different atmosphere (N₂, Ar) and at different temperatures [33,34]. In addition to functional groups, the pore structure and the micropore surface area are far more significant than the BET area for increasing the gas selectivity of carbon nanofibers. The optimal processing parameters to enhance the adsorption ability of the fibers mats still need to be properly identified and have been a current matter of discussion [33–36]. The effect of carbonization temperature on the fibers adsorption properties has been recently explored [34–36], but further helpful information in determining how to design an optimal adsorbent for gases could be extracted by also investigating the impact of the stabilization step which is the initial thermal treatment in oxidative atmosphere. Herein, CNFs from electrospun polyacrylonitrile (PAN) are proposed for CO₂ capture. Contrarily to the traditional CNFs production process [37], the proposed approach did not involve a final graphitization step, but it explored the differences induced during the stabilization. Accordingly, the carbon mats were produced by a simple, reproducible, and inexpensive procedure which did not require physical or chemical activation of the fibers to achieve good CO₂ uptake values. Furthermore, some preliminary considerations on the effect of the stabilization step were also reported. Then, a tentative was made to correlate the thermal history of the stabilization step with the adsorption performance.

2. Materials and Methods

The electrospinning set up used in this work is shown schematically in Figure 1. The basic principle of this method is to induce static electrical charges on the molecules of the precursor solution such that when the charge repulsion is higher than the surface tension, the drop tip is broken and a continuous jet of polymer and solution is drawn towards the current collector by the electric field.




Figure 1. Schematic representation of electrospinning apparatus.

A plastic box surrounded the needle tip and the metallic target. Inside the box a thermometer and humidity sensor were used to monitor the environmental conditions. The humidity of the box was set to 40% by using nitrogen flow. A high voltage (HV) supply and a syringe pump were placed outside the box. A high-resolution camera was used to check the formation of the Taylor cone at the needle tip. Before starting the electrospinning process, a precursor solution was prepared by dissolving polyacrylonitrile (PAN) in dimethylacetamide (DMAc). The resulting solution was 7 wt.% PAN. A syringe connected to a metallic blunt-end needle was then loaded with the precursor solution and placed in a syringe pump for flux control. The needle pointed towards a flat metallic target and the high voltage source was connected between the two. The HV source was turned on up to a voltage of 15 kV and the syringe pump was operated at a constant flux of 1.50 mL h⁻¹. The distance between the needle and collector (grounded, covered with Al foil) was 15 cm.

The stabilization of the structure of the fibers was carried out in the air, in a Thermo Scientific Heraeus oven with three slow ramps of temperature, first at 100 °C for 30 min, then 200 °C for 30 min and finally at 230 °C for 1 h or 260 °C for 2 h, thus obtaining the CNF_230 and CNF_260 samples. After stabilization, the samples were carbonized in a tubular furnace in Argon at 900 °C for 2 h, with a heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹. Some control samples were also considered (see Supplementary Information for more details).

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) images were collected by using a Zeiss Gemini 300 SEM (Oberkochen, Germany) on samples coated with 5-nm-thick sputtered carbon.

The FTIR spectra were acquired by a Nicolet 6700 spectrometer in ATR mode (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Madison, WI, USA). Scans were acquired from 600 to 4000 cm⁻¹. Baseline removal and peaks match were performed with Nicolet software. Raman spectra of CNF mat before and after carbonization were acquired with a Renishaw inVia microscope in the 250–4000 cm⁻¹ range with an excitation wavelength of 532 nm.

X-ray Photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) characterization was carried out with a PHI 5000 Versaprobe spectrometer (Physical Electronics, Chanhassen, MN, USA) using monochromatic Al K α source ($h\nu = 1486.6$ eV). Survey spectra were collected with a pass energy of 160 eV over a binding energy (BE) range of 1200–0 eV. High-resolution spectra

were obtained using a 20-eV pass energy (resolution approximately 0.4 eV). The spectrometer was calibrated using the C1s peak of graphite. Peak fitting was performed by using Origin 9 pro software (OriginLab Corp., Northampton, MA, USA), using mixed Gaussian (Voigt) line shapes and Shirley backgrounds.

CO₂ adsorption isotherms were measured at 20 °C by using a TriStar II analyzer (Micromeritics Instrument Corporation, Norcross, GA, USA) after outgassing the samples at 150 °C under vacuum. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) performed on a NETZSCH TG 209 F1 Libra was used to assess the selectivity for CO₂ adsorption by the electrospun mats in a simulated post-combustion flue gas mixture (20/80 *v/v*, CO₂/N₂) [38]. The CO₂/N₂ adsorption step (8 mL min⁻¹ of CO₂ in a total flow of 40 mL min⁻¹) was carried out at 30 °C and ambient laboratory pressure (~1 bar). The samples were activated before each test under vacuum at 120 °C for 30 min in order to remove previously adsorbed gases or water.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Stabilization and Carbonization

Carbon fibers are well known as suitable materials to reinforce composites, and to this end, commercial nanofibers are very often produced by thermal treatment of PAN. PAN is a robust, high melting polymer that, when used as a precursor, gives carbon fibers with a higher performance, strength and stiffness compared to pitch, rayon etc. [32]. Indeed, the electrospun fibers showed exceptional mechanical stability that allowed easy handling. The morphological characteristics of the mats were evaluated by SEM (Figure 2).




Figure 2. SEM images of (i,ii) as-spun carbon nanofibers at different magnifications; (iii) carbonized fibers with analysis of diameter; (iv) cross section of the mat.

The samples appeared as a non-woven mat of fibers with an estimated average diameter of about 320 nm. After the spinning, the second step was the stabilization of the fibers. The resulting chain structure guarantees an improved stability during carbonization and the final CNFs were more flexible. The carbonization process took place as soon as the stabilization ended to avoid moisture absorption. The set temperature was 900 °C and the carbonization took about 2 h to be completed. The morphology of the fibers (see Figure 2iii) was not affected after stabilization and carbonization, and the diameter was not significantly altered since an average value of 341 (47) nm was determined. The average diameter was reduced to 173 (14) nm after the carbonization step which is 45% of the pre-carbonization value. Weight loss of about 50% was also observed after carbonization. Both results were attributed to nitrogen and hydrogen loss during the high temperature treatment. The SEM image of the cross section of the carbonized mat is shown in Figure 2iv. The CNFs mat was characterized by multiple stacking of different sheets in which the

fibers are randomly oriented. Cavities due to lack of contact among the fiber sheets gave rise to interconnected pores in the micron range distributed along the section.

The proposed complex mechanism of stabilization and carbonization of PAN fibers is briefly represented in Figure 3.




Figure 3. Proposed mechanism for (i) N6, (ii) N5, (iii) NQ and (iv) aromatic rings formation occurring during stabilization and carbonization of PAN fibers.

The thermo oxidative stabilization step in air is usually carried out below 300 °C as the first step which enables the formation of a ladder structure that does not collapse during the subsequent thermal treatments. Therefore, this stage controls and drives the final mechanical properties of the CNFs, and chemical properties, such as the elemental content of the carbon fibers, might also be influenced by this thermal treatment. After stabilization in air, the PAN structure could undergo several modifications due to the oxidation and rearrangement of nitrile groups with the production of condensed nitrogen-doped rings (Figure 3i) that could further evolve to N6 moieties [32,35]. Furthermore, homolytic cleavage of nitrile groups could also lead to the formation of N5 (Figure 3ii) and NQ (Figure 3iii) structures after dehydrogenation reactions. Interestingly, Grassie et al. [34] reported the formation mechanism of carbon rings through the coupling of homolytic nitrile cleavage and heterolytic hydrogen cleavage (Figure 3iv). The occurrence of these mechanisms was supported by the FT-IR spectra, which are shown in Figure 4.




Figure 4. FT-IR (ATR mode) of electrospun CNFs precursor (0.0 h) then stabilized in air at (i) 230 and (ii) 260 °C for times ranging from 1 up to 2 h.

CNFs stabilized at 230 °C display a reduction of peak of ν_{CN} centred at around 2240 cm^{-1} and a drastic lowering of $\nu_{\text{C=O}}$ peaked at around 1740 cm^{-1} that was likely due to the

presence of carboxylic additives [39] in the commercial PAN. The ν_{CH_2} signal at 1452 cm^{-1} is not significantly decreased after 1 h (sample CNF_230) and 2 h of treatment at $230\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ indicating that dehydrogenation was barely initiated. At $260\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, ν_{CN} peak intensity is further reduced, suggesting an advanced nitrile groups rearrangement [39] with rising of $\nu_{\text{C}=\text{C}}$ and $\nu_{\text{C}=\text{N}}$. Stabilization time seemed to poorly affect PAN when a temperature of up to $230\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ is used during the stabilization process, while it became more relevant at $260\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. After 2 h at $260\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, ν_{CN} and ν_{CH_2} peaks completely disappeared and the neat and nitrogen containing aromatic moieties are massively detected. After the stabilization, the pyrolytic treatment induced the conversion of PAN fibers to CNFs with the disappearing of residual functionalities and the ordering of carbonaceous structure, as clearly emerges from Raman spectra shown in Figure 5.




Figure 5. Raman spectra in the range from 500 cm^{-1} up to 4000 cm^{-1} of (i) CNF_230 and (ii) CNF_260.

Both Raman spectra of CNF_230 and CNF_260 show sharp D and G peaks and a poorly structured 2D region supporting the formation of sp^2 condensed disorganized structures. Nevertheless, the $I_{\text{D}}/I_{\text{G}}$ ratio of CNF_230 is considerably higher than CNF_260 reaching 1.34 and 1.04, respectively. This is in good agreement with the evolution of the PAN fibers shown in Figure 5, supporting the effectiveness of the stabilization process at $260\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$.

The XPS spectra of CNF_230 and CNF_260 provide more detailed information about the residual groups retained by CNFs after pyrolysis, as shown in Figure 6 and summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Characteristic features from XPS and Raman analysis of CNF_230 and CNF_260.

	Carbon (%)		Oxygen (%)			Nitrogen (%)		$I_{\text{D}}/I_{\text{G}}$	
	C sp^2 284.8 eV	C-X (X=O, N) 287.8 eV	COOH 289.8 eV	C-OH 530.7 eV	COOH 532.8 eV	N6 398.2 eV	N5 399.5 eV		
CNF_230	58	38	4	14	86	36	22	43	1.34
CNF_260	44	43	13	11	89	32	14	53	1.04

The high resolution C 1s spectra of both CNF_230 and CNF_260 (Figure 6i,iv) show the typical sp^2 carbon asymmetric peak centered at 284.8 eV together with a second component that according to some authors [40,41] can be attributed to C-X type bonds, like C-O and C-N bonds. The relative concentration of nitrogen on the surface was found to be 7.1 and 6.6 at.% in CNF_230 and CNF_260, respectively (XPS survey spectra in Figure S1 in the Supporting information) and the distribution of nitrogen species as extracted by

XPS signal deconvolution is reported in Figure 6iii,vi. It was shown that nitrogen containing groups can have a great impact on the adsorption properties; an experimental and computational analysis revealed that the specific surface area is not as important as the N doping level on the CO₂ uptake with N5 and N6 types showing great affinity for CO₂ and large adsorption energies [42]. Those observations led to discussions around which type of group is the most relevant between pyrrolic and pyridinic for CO₂ adsorption [32,35,43]. Therefore, XPS was useful to determine the relative amount of those N groups. CNF_230 showed percentages of N6 (pyridinic, 398.2 eV), N5 (pyrrolic, 399.5 eV) and NQ (quaternary, 401.1 eV) of up to 36, 22 and 43%, respectively. For the CNF_260 sample, a lower amount of N6 and N5 (32% and 14%, respectively) and a greater amount of NQ up to 53% were determined. Therefore, in these samples, both pyridinic and quaternary nitrogen groups are predominant, while the N5 groups are less representative, especially in CNF_260.




Figure 6. XPS spectra of CNF_230 (C 1s (i), O 1s (ii), and N 1s (iii)) and CNF_260 (C 1s (iv), O 1s (v), and N 1s (vi)).

Interestingly, the nitrogen percentage of CNF_230 was higher than in CNF_260 (Figure S1). An opposite trend was observed for carbon, with percentages of 85.6 at.% for CNF_230 and 83.9 at.% for CNF_260. In agreement with the mechanism reported in Figure 3, we hypothesized that the higher stabilization temperature improved aromatization through nitrile loss (Figure 3iv). The lower content of carbon in the CNF_260 sample was reasonably due to the higher oxidation induced by the increment of the stabilization temperature as proven by the higher content of oxygen compared to CNF_230 (7.5 at.% and 6.1 at.% respectively obtained by the XPS analysis).

3.2. CO₂ Adsorption Performance

The CO₂ adsorption performance was analyzed by using a volumetric analyzer at 20 °C (see Figure 7i,ii). CNF_230 and CNF_260 samples showed a very similar adsorption behavior, but with better CO₂ uptake for the latter, i.e., 4.16 mmol g⁻¹ (18.3 wt.%) with respect to 2.75 mmol g⁻¹ (12 wt.%) of CNF_230 sample. At a low pressure these two samples showed a very similar adsorption, while at a higher pressure the CO₂ uptake of CNF_260 increased quickly. Some results on control samples are reported in the Supplementary Information file. An “as spun” (not stabilized) sample (AS) was not efficient in CO₂ adsorption (0.3 mmol g⁻¹ of adsorbed gas) due to inadequate/absent carbon fiber formation, no porous structure, and likely no residual N groups of the kind N6, N5 and NQ. The stabilized but not carbonized sample (STAB) showed a modest increment in CO₂ adsorption compared with the AS sample. The histogram reported in SI (Figure S3) clearly

shows the adsorption trend of the samples. TGA method was also applied on CNF_230 sample in order to assess the selectivity for CO₂ adsorption in a CO₂/N₂ gas mixture, whose composition simulates a typical post-combustion flue gas [38]. Accordingly, the measurements were taken under 20% CO₂ in N₂ flow, and the result is shown in Figure 7iii. The weight of the CNF_230 sample increased rapidly as soon as it was exposed to the CO₂ flux and after saturation, the CO₂ uptake remained constant, which means that the molecules were physically adsorbed on the surface of the CNFs. The CO₂ adsorbed amount reached 0.53 mmol g⁻¹ (23 mg g⁻¹), approximately 20% of the amount captured under a pure CO₂ flow. This evidence is in good agreement with the tests performed under pure CO₂, considering the corresponding CO₂ partial pressure and the slight increase of the tested temperature (from 20 to 30 °C, for pure CO₂ and CO₂/N₂ adsorption, respectively), which typically causes a decrease in the adsorption for physisorption processes [44]. Moreover, this result confirms the negligible N₂ uptake in respect to CO₂ under the tested conditions.




Figure 7. CO₂ adsorption isotherms of (i) CNF_230 and (ii) CNF_260 at 20 °C in pure CO₂ atmosphere and (iii) CO₂/N₂ selectivity test of CNF_230 mat at 30 °C in a simulated post-combustion flue gas mixture of 20 vol.% CO₂ and 80 vol.% N₂.

Some meaningful recent literature results are collected in Table 2. A variety of preparation methods have been explored in which the activation of the CNFs was also used. The adsorption performances achieved by our samples are among the best reported so far that can be beneficial from an environmental perspective and additionally advantageous from the manufacturing viewpoint, including resources and energy employed during the fabrication. Looking at these results, the overall content of N does not seem to be the main characteristic that drives the adsorption performances. Rather, the relative content of nitrogen-containing groups might clarify the superior CO₂ adsorption of CNF_260, likely resulting from the combination of high amount of NQ with N6 groups, these latter quite comparable in both the samples (36% in CNF_230 vs. 32% in CNF_260).

Table 2. Adsorption performances at different conditions, preparation steps, and nitrogen content of literature samples compared to this work.

Preparation ^{REF.}	Samples ^{REF.}	N Content %	CO ₂ Capacity mmol·g ⁻¹	T _{ads} ^b °C	P _{ads} ^c bar	T _{act} ^d °C
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Electrospinning • Stabilization • Carbonization 	CNF_230 ^{this work}	7.1 ^a	0.53 (CO ₂ :N ₂ = 20:80)	35	1	150
			2.75 (100% CO ₂)	20	1	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Electrospinning • Stabilization • Carbonization 	CNF_260 ^{this work}	6.6 ^a	(CO ₂ :N ₂ = 20:80)	35	1	150
			4.16 (100% CO ₂)	20	1	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Addition of pore forming agent (PVP ^d) • Cross-linking by HH ^e • Electrospinning • Stabilization • Carbonization 	PCF-H5 [32]	16.48 ^b	0.73 (CO ₂ :N ₂ = 10:90)	25	1	110
			2.29 (100% CO ₂)	25	1	200
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Addition of pore forming agent (PVP ^d) • Electrospinning • Carbonization 	PCNF-2-1000 [45]	9.08 ^a	3.11 (100% CO ₂)	25	1	105
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Electrospinning • Stabilization • Carbonization • CO₂ activation 	AFH2 [46]	9.0 ^a	3.17 (100% CO ₂)	25	1	350
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Electrospinning • Stabilization • Carbonization • KOH activation 	PAN-PK [30]	8.13 ^b	4.4 (100% CO ₂)	25	1	150
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urea doping • Electrospinning • Carbonization • CO₂ activation 	N-AnF(1:5) [43]	m.i. ^c	2.98 (100% CO ₂)	m.i. ^c	m.i. ^c	m.i. ^c
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Melamine doping • Electrospinning • Stabilization • CO₂ activation 	MACNF-7 [47]	m.i. ^c	1.22 (CO ₂ :N ₂ = 15:85)	25	1	120
	MACNF-10 [47]		3.15 (100% CO ₂)	0	1	m.i. ^c

^a determined by XPS (at.%); ^b determined by elemental analysis (wt.%); ^c m.i. denotes missing information; ^d PVP: polyvinylpyrrolidone; ^e HH: hydrazine hydrate.

The presence of pyrrolic moieties seemed to have less impact in this case compared to other literature results [32], but in agreement with other observations on PAN samples carbonized at different temperatures that showed a predominance of pyridinic and quaternary groups that facilitated CO₂ adsorption [35].

Noteworthy, theoretical calculation demonstrated that NQ doped edge and basal planes positions contribute significantly to the adsorption properties, thus confirming the synergistic effect of the co-presence of NQ and N6 groups [42] that was beneficial for the CO₂ uptake measured for CNF_260. The good amount of N6 and especially of NQ could be reasonably due to higher stabilization temperature of CNF_260.

According to the mechanism reported in Figure 3, during the cyclization process, the nitrile residues condensate into aromatic structures rich in pyridine moieties that can be rearranged in NQ groups as confirmed by our FT-IR results. Therefore, a more suitable precursor for the production of carbon rich in nitrogen basic sites was obtained, that interacted more efficiently with CO₂ [42,48].

4. Conclusions

Among various sorbent materials, electrospun CNFs can be an excellent solution for carbon dioxide adsorption due to the easy, fast, and low-cost fabrication method. In this work, robust PAN nanofibers mats were produced at two different stabilization temperatures, namely 230 °C and 260 °C, while the carbonization step was set at 900 °C. The CNFs-based membranes were tested for CO₂ adsorption in pure CO₂ and in a N₂/CO₂ mixture for gas separation applications, and the favorable effect of a higher stabilization temperature was proven. The improvement of the adsorption efficiency is ascribed to the formation of a stabilized precursor rich in nitrogen containing condensed carbon structures that could be turned into N6 and NQ rich carbon materials. The massive presence of nitrogen basic sites is the key to the best performances showed by CNF_260 compared to CNF_230. The combination of a facile production technique such as the electrospinning coupled with the temperature tunable properties of carbonized PAN opens the way to further engineering of this material aiming to reach even higher performances. The interesting characteristics including the mechanical robustness of PAN-derived carbon fibers would make possible the industrial fabrication of large area, self-standing membranes which could reduce CO₂ emissions from large point emission sources with minimal intervention on plants.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/polym13234197/s1; Figure S1: Survey spectra of (a) CNF_230 and (b) CNF_260; Figure S3: Histogram of the total amount of adsorbed CO₂ (mmol g⁻¹ and wt.%) for each sample.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, L.L., E.M., S.F., C.G.; data acquisition and methodology, E.M., L.L., M.B.; writing S.F., M.B., E.M., G.M., review and editing, all authors.; funding, C.F.P., G.S., C.G.; supervision, C.F.P., C.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was partially funded by the RECODE project, GA 768583.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: The RECODE project received funding from the EU's H2020 (R&I) program under GA 768583.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. U.S.E.P. Agency Overview of Greenhouse Gases Emissions in 2019. Available online: <https://www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/overview-greenhouse-gases> (accessed on 27 November 2021).
2. Chu, S.; Cui, Y.; Liu, N. The path towards sustainable energy. *Nat. Mater.* **2016**, *16*, 16–22, doi:10.1038/nmat4834.
3. Schmittner, A.; Oschlies, A.; Matthews, H.D.; Galbraith, E.D. Future changes in climate, ocean circulation, ecosystems, and biogeochemical cycling simulated for a business-as-usual CO₂ emission scenario until year 4000 AD. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **2008**, *22*, 1–21, doi:10.1029/2007GB002953.
4. Turner, D.A.; Williams, I.D.; Kemp, S. Greenhouse gas emission factors for recycling of source-segregated waste materials. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* **2015**, *105*, 186–197, doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2015.10.026.
5. Amponsah, N.Y.; Troldborg, M.; Kington, B.; Aalders, I.; Hough, R.L. Greenhouse gas emissions from renewable energy sources: A review of lifecycle considerations. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2014**, *39*, 461–475, doi:10.1016/j.rser.2014.07.087.

6. Yu, C.H.; Huang, C.H.; Tan, C.S. A review of CO₂ capture by absorption and adsorption. *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.* **2012**, *12*, 745–769, doi:10.4209/aaqr.2012.05.0132.
7. Li, B.; Duan, Y.; Luebke, D.; Morreale, B. Advances in CO₂ capture technology: A patent review. *Appl. Energy* **2013**, *102*, 1439–1447, doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.09.009.
8. Stowe, H.M.; Hwang, G.S. Fundamental Understanding of CO₂ Capture and Regeneration in Aqueous Amines from First-Principles Studies: Recent Progress and Remaining Challenges. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2017**, *56*, 6887–6899, doi:10.1021/acs.iecr.7b00213.
9. Koytsoumpa, E.I.; Bergins, C.; Kakaras, E. The CO₂ economy: Review of CO₂ capture and reuse technologies. *J. Supercrit. Fluids* **2018**, *132*, 3–16, doi:10.1016/j.supflu.2017.07.029.
10. Jiménez, V.; Ramirez-Lucas, A.; Diaz, J.A.; Sanchez, P.; Romero, A. CO₂ Capture in Different Carbon Materials. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46*, 7407–7414.
11. Lin, Z.; Yang, J.; Jia, X.; Li, Y.; Song, H. Polydopamine/FeOOH-modified interface in carbon cloth/polyimide composites for improved mechanical/tribological properties. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **2020**, *243*, 122677, doi:10.1016/j.matchemphys.2020.122677.
12. Zhang, H.; Tan, Y.; Luo, X.D.; Sun, C.Y.; Chen, N. Polarization Effects of a Rayon and Polyacrylonitrile Based Graphite Felt for Iron-Chromium Redox Flow Batteries. *ChemElectroChem* **2019**, *6*, 3175–3188, doi:10.1002/celec.201900518.
13. Ahmed, F.E.; Lalia, B.S.; Hashaikeh, R. A review on electrospinning for membrane fabrication: Challenges and applications. *Desalination* **2015**, *356*, 15–30, doi:10.1016/j.desal.2014.09.033.
14. Ramakrishna, S.; Fujihara, K.; Teo, W.E.; Yong, T.; Ma, Z.; Ramaseshan, R. Electrospun nanofibers: Solving global issues. *Mater. Today* **2006**, *9*, 40–50, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-7021\(06\)71389-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-7021(06)71389-X).
15. Teo, W.E.; Ramakrishna, S. A review on electrospinning design and nanofibre assemblies. *Nanotechnology* **2006**, *17*, R89, doi:10.1088/0957-4484/17/14/R01.
16. He, J.-H.; Liu, Y.; Xu, L. Apparatus for preparing electrospun nanofibres: A comparative review. *Mater. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *26*, 1275–1287, doi:10.1179/026708310x12798718274430.
17. Mirjalili, M.; Zohoori, S. Review for application of electrospinning and electrospun nanofibers technology in textile industry. *J. Nanostructure Chem.* **2016**, *6*, 207–213, doi:10.1007/s40097-016-0189-y.
18. *One-Dimensional Nanostructures-Electrospinning Technique and Unique Nanofibers*; Ed. Zhenyu, L.; Wang, C.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2013; ISBN 9783642364266.
19. Casper, C.L.; Stephens, J.S.; Tassi, N.G.; Chase, D.B.; Rabolt, J.F. Controlling surface morphology of electrospun polystyrene fibers: Effect of humidity and molecular weight in the electrospinning process. *Macromolecules* **2004**, *37*, 573–578, doi:10.1021/ma0351975.
20. McCann, J.T.; Marquez, M.; Xia, Y. Highly porous fibers by electrospinning into a cryogenic liquid. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 1436–1437, doi:10.1021/ja056810y.
21. Lee, J.K.Y.; Chen, N.; Peng, S.; Li, L.; Tian, L.; Thakor, N.; Ramakrishna, S. Polymer-based composites by electrospinning: Preparation & functionalization with nanocarbons. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **2018**, *86*, 40–84, doi:10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2018.07.002.
22. Li, S.; Cui, Z.; Li, D.; Yue, G.; Liu, J.; Ding, H.; Gao, S.; Zhao, Y.; Wang, N.; Zhao, Y. Hierarchically structured electrospinning nanofibers for catalysis and energy storage. *Compos. Commun.* **2019**, *13*, 1–11, doi:10.1016/j.coco.2019.01.008.
23. Homaeigohar, S.; Elbahri, M. Nanocomposite electrospun nanofiber membranes for environmental remediation. *Materials* **2014**, *7*, 1017–1045, doi:10.3390/ma7021017.
24. Yin, J.; Roso, M.; Boaretti, C.; Lorenzetti, A.; Martucci, A.; Modesti, M. PVDF-TiO₂ core-shell fibrous membranes by microwave-hydrothermal method: Preparation, characterization, and photocatalytic activity. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* **2021**, *9*, 106250, doi:10.1016/j.jece.2021.106250.
25. Parham, S.; Kharazi, A.Z.; Bakhsheshi-Rad, H.R.; Ghayour, H.; Ismail, A.F.; Nur, H.; Berto, F. Electrospun Nano-fibers for biomedical and tissue engineering applications: A comprehensive review. *Materials* **2020**, *13*, 2153, doi:10.3390/ma13092153.
26. Qu, C.; Zhao, P.; Wu, C.; Zhuang, Y.; Liu, J.; Li, W.; Liu, Z.; Liu, J. Electrospun PAN/PANI fiber film with abundant active sites for ultrasensitive trimethylamine detection. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2021**, *338*, 129822, doi:10.1016/j.snb.2021.129822.
27. Lv, D.; Zhu, M.; Jiang, Z.; Jiang, S.; Zhang, Q.; Xiong, R.; Huang, C. Green Electrospun Nanofibers and Their Application in Air Filtration. *Macromol. Mater. Eng.* **2018**, *303*, 1–18, doi:10.1002/mame.201800336.
28. Zhu, M.; Han, J.; Wang, F.; Shao, W.; Xiong, R.; Zhang, Q.; Pan, H.; Yang, Y.; Samal, S.K.; Zhang, F.; et al. Electrospun Nanofibers Membranes for Effective Air Filtration. *Macromol. Mater. Eng.* **2017**, *302*, 1600353, doi:10.1002/mame.201600353.
29. Zhu, M.; Xiong, R.; Huang, C. Bio-based and photocrosslinked electrospun antibacterial nanofibrous membranes for air filtration. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2019**, *205*, 55–62, doi:10.1016/j.carbpol.2018.09.075.
30. Shen, W.; Zhang, S.; He, Y.; Li, J.; Fan, W. Hierarchical porous polyacrylonitrile-based activated carbon fibers for CO₂ capture. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2011**, *21*, 14036–14040, doi:10.1039/c1jm12585k.
31. Olivieri, L.; Roso, M.; De Angelis, M.G.; Lorenzetti, A. Evaluation of electrospun nanofibrous mats as materials for CO₂ capture: A feasibility study on functionalized poly(acrylonitrile) (PAN). *J. Memb. Sci.* **2018**, *546*, 128–138, doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2017.10.019.
32. Li, L.; Wang, X.F.; Zhong, J.J.; Qian, X.; Song, S.L.; Zhang, Y.G.; Li, D.H. Nitrogen-enriched porous polyacrylonitrile-based carbon fibers for CO₂ Capture. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2018**, *57*, 11608–11616, doi:10.1021/acs.iecr.8b01836.
33. Rahaman, M.S.A.; Ismail, A.F.; Mustafa, A. A review of heat treatment on polyacrylonitrile fiber. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2007**, *92*, 1421–1432, doi:10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2007.03.023.

34. Grassie, N.; McGuchan, R. Pyrolysis of polyacrylonitrile and related polymers—IX. *Eur. Polym. J.* **1973**, *9*, 507–517, doi:10.1016/0014-3057(73)90034-7.
35. Kretzschmar, A.; Selmert, V.; Weinrich, H.; Kungl, H.; Tempel, H.; Eichel, R.A. Tailored Gas Adsorption Properties of Electrospun Carbon Nanofibers for Gas Separation and Storage. *ChemSusChem* **2020**, *13*, 3180–3191, doi:10.1002/cssc.202000520.
36. Ojeda-López, R.; Esparza-Schulz, J.M.; Pérez-Hermosillo, I.J.; Hernández-Gordillo, A.; Domínguez-Ortiz, A. Improve in CO₂ and CH₄ adsorption capacity on carbon microfibers synthesized by electrospinning of PAN. *Fibers* **2019**, *7*, 81, doi:10.3390/fib7100081.
37. Zhang, H.; Chen, N.; Sun, C.; Luo, X. Investigations on physicochemical properties and electrochemical performance of graphite felt and carbon felt for iron-chromium redox flow battery. *Int. J. Energy Res.* **2020**, *44*, 3839–3853, doi:10.1002/er.5179.
38. Sumida, K.; Rogow, D.L.; Mason, J.A.; McDonald, T.M.; Bloch, E.D.; Herm, Z.R.; Bae, T.H.; Long, J.R. Carbon dioxide capture by metal organic frameworks. *Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *112*, 724–781, doi:dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr2003272.
39. Farsani, R.E.; Raissi, S.; Shokuhfar, A.; Sedghi, A. FT-IR study of stabilized pan fibers for fabrication of carbon fibers. *World Acad. Sci. Eng. Technol.* **2009**, *38*, 434–437, doi:10.5281/zenodo.1328446.
40. Bagus, P.S.; Ilton, E.S.; Nelin, C.J. The interpretation of XPS spectra: Insights into materials properties. *Surf. Sci. Rep.* **2013**, *68*, 273–304, doi:10.1016/j.surfrep.2013.03.001.
41. Jansen, R.J.J.; van Bekkum, H. XPS of nitrogen-containing functional groups on activated carbon. *Carbon* **1995**, *33*, 1021–1027, doi:10.1016/0008-6223(95)00030-H.
42. Sun, F.; Liu, X.; Gao, J.; Pi, X.; Wang, L.; Qu, Z.; Qin, Y. Highlighting the role of nitrogen doping in enhancing CO₂ uptake onto carbon surfaces: A combined experimental and computational analysis. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2016**, *4*, 18248–18252, doi:10.1039/c6ta08262a.
43. Kim, D.W.; Jung, D.W.; Adelodun, A.A.; Jo, Y.M. Evaluation of CO₂ adsorption capacity of electrospun carbon fibers with thermal and chemical activation. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2017**, *134*, 1–8, doi:10.1002/app.45534.
44. García-Díez, E.; Castro-Muñiz, A.; Paredes, J.I.; Maroto-Valer, M.M.; Suárez-García, F.; García, S. CO₂ capture by novel hierarchical activated ordered micro-mesoporous carbons derived from low value coal tar products. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2021**, *318*, 110986, doi:10.1016/j.micromeso.2021.110986.
45. Zainab, G.; Babar, A.A.; Ali, N.; Aboalhassan, A.A.; Wang, X.; Yu, J.; Ding, B. Electrospun carbon nanofibers with multi-aperture/opening porous hierarchical structure for efficient CO₂ adsorption. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2020**, *561*, 659–667, doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2019.11.041.
46. Chiang, Y.C.; Wu, C.Y.; Chen, Y.J. Effects of activation on the properties of electrospun carbon nanofibers and their adsorption performance for carbon dioxide. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **2020**, *233*, 116040, doi:10.1016/j.seppur.2019.116040.
47. Jeong, D.; Jie, W.; Adelodun, A.A.; Kim, S.; Jo, Y. Electrospun melamine-blended activated carbon nanofibers for enhanced control of indoor CO₂. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2019**, *136*, 3–10, doi:10.1002/app.47747.
48. Sánchez-Sánchez, Á.; Suárez-García, F.; Martínez-Alonso, A.; Tascón, J.M.D. Influence of porous texture and surface chemistry on the CO₂ adsorption capacity of porous carbons: Acidic and basic site interactions. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2014**, *6*, 21237–21247, doi:10.1021/am506176e.