## POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Effect of different good solvents in flash nano-precipitation via multi-scale population balance modeling-CFD coupling approach

Original

Effect of different good solvents in flash nano-precipitation via multi-scale population balance modeling-CFD coupling approach / Lavino, Alessio D.; Ferrari, Marco; Barresi, Antonello A.; Marchisio, Daniele. - In: CHEMICAL ENGINEERING SCIENCE. - ISSN 0009-2509. - STAMPA. - 245:(2021), p. 116833. [10.1016/j.ces.2021.116833]

Availability: This version is available at: 11583/2910552 since: 2021-07-12T09:47:52Z

Publisher: Elsevier

Published DOI:10.1016/j.ces.2021.116833

Terms of use: openAccess

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright Elsevier postprint/Author's Accepted Manuscript

© 2021. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.The final authenticated version is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2021.116833

(Article begins on next page)

# Effect of Different Good Solvents in Flash Nano-Precipitation via Multi-scale Population Balance Modeling-CFD coupling approach

Alessio D. Lavino<sup>1</sup>, Marco Ferrari<sup>\*</sup>, Antonello A. Barresi, Daniele Marchisio

Department of Applied Science and Technology, Institute of Chemical Engineering, Politecnico di Torino, 10129 Torino, Italy

## Abstract

A computational and modeling approach is used to highlight the key factors that affect the polymer nanoparticles (NP) size in flash nano-precipitation (FNP), when the good solvent, e.g., acetone, is replaced by acetonitrile, tetrahydrofuran and tert-butanol. A population balance model is coupled with computational fluid dynamics to study the kinetics effects on FNP. The mean NP size is predicted in terms of mean radius of gyration via the Flory law of real polymers. The effect of different good solvents is modeled in terms of solute-solvent interactions, using the Flory-Huggins theory and Hansen solubility parameters. Promising results show how the proposed methodology is

Preprint submitted to Chemical Engineering Science

Abbreviations: ACT, acetone; ACN, acetonitrile; CMD, cluster mass distribution; CFD, computational fluid dynamics; CIJM, confined impinging jets mixer; DQMOM-IEM, direct quadrature method of moments coupled with the interaction and exchange with the mean; FNP, flash nano-precipitation; HSP, Hansen solubility parameters; NP, Nanoparticles; PCL, poly- $\varepsilon$ -caprolactone; PBM, population balance model; PDF, probability density function; QMOM, quadrature method of moments; TBA, tert-butanol; THF, tetrahydrofuran.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

*Email address:* marco.ferrari@polito.it (Marco Ferrari)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Present address: Department of Chemical Engineering, Imperial College London, South Kensington Campus, London SW7 2AZ, UK

able to investigate the role played by different good solvents, analyzing single factors at the time. A deep insight into both the dynamics of mixing and the dynamics of aggregation is therefore reached and the main mechanisms involved are pointed out, showing a good agreement with experimental data. *Keywords:* Flash Nano-Precipitation, Hansen Solubility Parameters, Flory-Huggins Theory, Population Balance Model, CFD

## 1 1. Introduction

Nanoparticles (NP) production has been widely investigated in the last 2 decade, due to the wide range of its applications such as cosmetics, pharma-3 ceuticals, textiles, agriculture, and food science (Das et al., 2009; Demetzos, 4 2016; Nelson, 2002; Prasad et al., 2014; Wu and Guy, 2009). The control of the final NP size and particle size distribution is of paramount importance, especially in controlled drug delivery systems applications, in which 7 a threshold dimension must not be exceeded to guarantee the correct drug 8 release at the targeted area inside the blood stream (Hans and Lowman, 9 2002; Petitti et al., 2008). In particular, polymer NP formation received 10 a lot of attention from both experimental and modeling approaches, in or-11 der to determine the key parameters that govern the final NP targeted size 12 (Celasco et al., 2014; Valente et al., 2012a,b; Zelenková et al., 2018). Due to 13 their biological applications, polymers must be biocompatible and non-toxic; 14 here, poly- $\varepsilon$ -caprolactone (PCL) is used, since it has been considered one 15 of the best candidates for this purpose (Who et al., 2000). One of the most 16 used techniques for NP production is represented by the so-called flash nano-17 precipitation (FNP). It consists in the mixing of a 'good solvent' in which the 18

<sup>19</sup> polymer is dissolved and a 'bad solvent' (also named as anti- or non-solvent), <sup>20</sup> which is miscible with the good solvent but not compatible with the polymer. <sup>21</sup> The anti-solvent destabilizes the mixture inducing polymer aggregation and <sup>22</sup> precipitation of the formed NP. The phenomenon that governs NP forma-<sup>23</sup> tion just described above is also labelled as solvent displacement (Saad and <sup>24</sup> Prud'homme, 2016).

Several studies have been already carried out to understand fluid dynam-25 ics effects at macro- and micro-scales (Johnson and Prud'homme, 2003b; 26 Liu and Fox, 2006) for the confined impinging jets mixer (Johnson and 27 Prud'homme, 2003a) (CIJM) and also for different geometries, such as the 28 vortex mixer (VM) (Marchisio et al., 2009, 2008), the multi-inlet vortex mixer 20 (MIVM) (Liu et al., 2008), the T-mixer (Gradl et al., 2006) and the Y-mixer 30 (Choi et al., 2005). Other experimental (Lince et al., 2008) and modeling 31 (Cheng et al., 2010; Di Pasquale et al., 2012; Lavino et al., 2015, 2017) at-32 tempts also showed the importance of accounting for the kinetics besides 33 the thermodynamics for FNP. However, numerous are still the open topics 34 under debate that need to be addressed. One of them is represented by the 35 effect of different good solvents in NP formation via FNP and has become 36 a crucial aspect of the industrial NP production. Here, the interesting case 37 of NP formation in CIJM is considered, with PCL as solute and water as 38 anti-solvent. Four different good solvents are investigated: acetone (ACT). 39 acetonitrile (ACN), tetrahydrofuran (THF) and tert-butanol (TBA). 40

The experimental procedure for polymeric NP production through solvent displacement has been extensively applied throughout the years, testing different polymers; in particular, it was validated for PCL, obtaining also good

incorporation efficiency with different loading substances (Barresi et al., 2015; 44 Celasco et al., 2014: Ferri et al., 2017; Lavino et al., 2019; Lince et al., 2008, 45 2009, 2011; Massella et al., 2018; Valente et al., 2012a,b; Zelenková et al., 46 2015, 2014). In experiments, water quenching (i.e. sudden dilution with 47 distilled water) is employed as stabilization technique over time of the pre-48 cipitated NP (Barresi et al., 2015; Ferri et al., 2017; Zelenková et al., 2015, 49 2014), preventing further aggregation in the reactor outlet (Barresi et al., 50 2015; Saad, 2007) and preserving the particle distribution. This is implicitly 51 taken into account in our modeling approach by 'freezing' the predicted NP 52 sizes at the outlet of the mixer (Lavino et al., 2017, 2019; Lince et al., 2009) 53 allowing a consistent comparison with the experimental data, as it will be 54 explained more clearly in the theoretical and modeling section of this work. 55

Experiments showed how different NP size and size distribution may be 56 reached by just changing the good solvent (Ferri et al., 2017; Zelenková et al., 57 2015), a crucial aspect in several industrial contexts. At this level of descrip-58 tion, from the experiments side, it is hard to fully understand the parameters 50 that play a key role in determining different mean NP size at the outlet of the 60 process. Hence, modeling and simulations are here employed to further exam-61 ine those aspects and, eventually, to analyze them separately. A population 62 balance model (PBM) which uses molecules as building blocks coupled with 63 computational fluid dynamics (CFD) approach is used, already proposed and 64 validated in an our previous work (Lavino et al., 2017), where the presence 65 of the drug is neglected, as also in this present work. The main novelty of the 66 proposed methodology consists in the incorporation of the thermodynamics 67 theory of Flory-Huggins interaction parameter (Hansen, 2007) inside the ki-68

netic model (PBM-CFD) to study the different good solvent effects on the 69 final predicted NP size. Recent efforts also showed at the molecular scale 70 the importance of accounting for the thermodynamics on the polymer con-71 formation in mixtures (Gartner and Jayaraman, 2018; Lavino et al., 2018, 72 2020; Martin and Jayaraman, 2016). More specifically, the Flory-Huggins 73 interaction parameter  $\chi$  is here correlated to the mean radius of gyration, 74 expressed, in turn, in terms of Flory law (Flory, 1953). The solubility of PCL 75 is accounted for by using the Hansen solubility parameters (HSP) for the dif-76 ferent solvents investigated in this work. This modeling approach brings the 77 advantage of considering thermodynamic quantities inside the kinetic model, 78 such as the Flory-Huggins  $\chi$  parameter and the HSP, extensively reported in 79 literature for a wide range of solvents and, more importantly, shows how to 80 correlate them to the prediction of the final mean NP size. In this way, it is 81 possible to readily obtain a transferable model, when different good solvents 82 are used in FNP. Kinetics and thermodynamics are therefore intertwined in 83 a unique modeling tool and used to investigate the effect of different good 84 solvents on NP precipitation. Another very important advantage is the pos-85 sibility to analyze single factors at a time, unlike experiments, getting a 86 deeper insight into the main phenomena. In this way, the dynamics of mix-87 ing is studied separately from the dynamics of aggregation, highlighting the 88 physical and modeling properties that mainly influence polymer aggregation, 89 when different good solvents are used. 90

The paper is structured as follows: modeling and theoretical backgrounds are presented in section 2, with particular attention to the multi-scale kinetic model (CFD and population balance model) together with the thermodynam<sup>94</sup> ics of NP formation by solvent displacement; then, operating conditions and
<sup>95</sup> numerical details are reported in section 3. The main results are discussed
<sup>96</sup> in section 4 and, finally, conclusions and future developments are presented
<sup>97</sup> in section 5.

## 98 2. Theoretical Background

<sup>99</sup> This section is dedicated to the theoretical background and the modeling <sup>100</sup> strategy adopted in this work. The theory presented here is divided into <sup>101</sup> two main subsections: *i*. the kinetic model, in which the main equations <sup>102</sup> of the population balance model (PBM) and computational fluid dynamics <sup>103</sup> (CFD) are presented; *ii*. the thermodynamic model, used to study the solute-<sup>104</sup> solvent interactions, with a particular focus on the theory of real polymers <sup>105</sup> in solution.

The PBM-CFD coupling approach is able to describe the effect of kinetics 106 on NP precipitation by accounting for the interplay of many factors, such as 107 the inlet flow rate, the turbulent mixing and the particles-collision dynamics 108 (details thereof will be presented in section 2.1), which have been proven to 109 strongly affect the final NP size at certain operating conditions (Lavino et al., 110 2017). More specifically, the PBM predicts the mean particles size at the 111 outlet of the mixer, as well as the evolution of the particles size distribution, 112 also named cluster mass distribution (CMD), as it will be labelled from now 113 on. On the other hand, the thermodynamics of real polymers is used to build 114 up a modeling bridge, able to embrace the different good solvent effects, and 115 strictly interconnected to the PBM, as it will be explained in section 2.2. 116

<sup>117</sup> Regarding the flow field, the steady-state Favre-averaged continuity and

Navier–Stokes equations are solved in the CFD code, together with the equa-118 tions for the turbulent kinetic energy, k, and the turbulent dissipation rate, 119  $\varepsilon$ , in line with the standard  $k - \varepsilon$  turbulence model (Andersson et al., 2012). 120 As these equations are very well known and already implemented in the CFD 121 code, they are omitted here for a sake of brevity and the readers can refer to 122 our previous work Di Pasquale et al. (2012) for further details. The Favre-123 average approach (Favre, 1965) is necessary, since two fluids with different 124 densities are involved. The fluid can still be considered incompressible, but 125 density fluctuations are taken into account in this way. 126

The effect of turbulent fluctuations on NP formation is modeled by the direct quadrature method of moments, coupled with the interaction-by-exchangewith-the-mean (DQMOM-IEM) method (Marchisio and Fox, 2005), in which two nodes/environments are employed for the quadrature procedure. Below, two subsections are dedicated respectively to the PBM (aggregation dynamics and micro-mixing models) and the thermodynamics of real polymers in solution, presenting the main modeling details employed in this work.

## 134 2.1. Population Balance Model for FNP

A population balance model (PBM) is employed to describe the evolution 135 of the cluster mass distribution (CMD). Being this model extensively pre-136 sented in our previous work, here we report only the definition of the CMD 137 and the kinetic equation in which the moments of the CMD are transported. 138 For a complete detailed description of the PBM, please refer to Lavino et al. 139 (2017). The CMD is modeled with a number density function,  $f(\mathbf{x}, n)$ , de-140 fined in such a way that the quantity  $f(\mathbf{x}, n) dn$  represents the number den-141 sity of NP, or molecular clusters, containing n macromolecules at position  $\mathbf{x}$ . 142

The term 'macromolecule' is here referred to as PCL molecule of molecular 143 weight  $M_W = 14000$  g mol<sup>-1</sup>. The variable *n* is labelled as dimensionless 144 cluster mass or aggregation number and it can be treated as a continuous 145 variable, since it varies from one to very large numbers. In the pure good sol-146 vent stream the PCL is completely dissolved and no aggregation takes place. 147 Therefore, the CMD corresponds to a Dirac delta function centred in n = 1. 148 By normalising the CMD with the Avogadro number (necessary to keep the 149 simulations numerically stable), the CMD in the good solvent stream (initial 150 conditions) corresponds to the initial polymer molar concentration. 151

The PBM is here solved with the quadrature method of moments (QMOM) approach (Marchisio and Fox, 2013). Let us recall the definition of the generic  $j^{th}$ -order moment:

$$m^{(j)} = \int_0^\infty f(n) n^j \mathrm{d}n. \tag{1}$$

The advantage is twofold: on one hand, the computational cost is heavily reduced, compared to other discretized methods (Marchisio and Fox, 2013); on the other hand, the moments of the CMD represent physical measurable quantities. Indeed,  $m^{(0)}$  is the total cluster number density,  $m^{(1)}$  is a conserved quantity and corresponds to the total number density of macromolecules, whereas the ratio between  $m^{(1)}$  and  $m^{(0)}$  results in the average number of macromolecules per NP or molecular cluster.

By applying the moment transform and the Favre average  $\langle \cdot \rangle$ , the steadystate transport equation for the  $j^{th}$ -order moment of the CMD f(n) reads as follows:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \cdot \left( \bar{\rho} \langle \mathbf{U} \rangle \langle m^{(j)} \rangle \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \cdot \left( \bar{\rho} \Gamma_t \frac{\partial \langle m^{(j)} \rangle}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) = \\
= \frac{\bar{\rho}}{2} \left\langle \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left[ (n+n')^j - n^j - n'^j \right] \beta(n,n') f(n) f(n') \mathrm{d}n \mathrm{d}n' \right\rangle, \quad (2)$$

where  $\Gamma_t \approx \nu_t/0.7$ , is the turbulent diffusivity which is much larger than the 165 Brownian diffusivity and is calculated from the turbulent viscosity,  $\nu_t$ , by 166 assuming a turbulent Schmidt number of 0.7 (Andersson et al., 2012),  $\bar{\rho}$  is 167 the time-averaged fluid density and  $\langle \mathbf{U} \rangle$  is the Favre-averaged fluid velocity, 168 as the particle Stokes number is much less than unity (Baldyga and Orciuch, 169 2001). The aggregation kernel,  $\beta(n, n')$ , that appears in the source term on 170 the right hand side of Eq. (2) represents the rate with which two clusters 171 (or, equally, nanoparticles) collide and aggregate (second order point process 172 Marchisio and Fox (2013)). The source term consists of two contributions: 173 a negative term that states the disappearance of two clusters containing 174 respectively n and n' polymer macromolecules, and the appearance of the 175 aggregate cluster (positive term) formed by n + n' macromolecules. It is 176 noteworthy to stress that molecular dynamics investigations (Di Pasquale 177 et al., 2014) have shown that the freely-jointed chain model (Rubinstein 178 and Colby, 2003) can be applied in this mathematical formulation, so that 179 a cluster made by n molecules of molecular weight  $M_w$  will behave as a 180 cluster made by one single PCL molecule of molecular weight  $n \cdot M_w$ . This 181 assumption affects the formula used for the mean clusters size, in terms of 182 Flory law, as it will be shown in section 2.2. 183



The aggregation kernel accounts for two mechanisms: Brownian motions

and turbulent fluctuations. Here, the assumption is that these two contri-185 butions are simply additive, and it holds on the physical evidence that at 186 low initial polymer concentration in good solvent stream, Brownian aggrega-187 tion dominates over the turbulent one, and vice versa at high initial polymer 188 concentration. Applying the Stokes-Einstein formulation (Elimelech et al., 189 1998), the aggregation kernel in function of the mean radius of gyration of 190 the two NP, or molecular clusters, that are self-assembling or aggregating 191 assumes the following expression (Cheng et al., 2010): 192

$$\beta(n,n') = \eta \frac{2k_B T}{3\mu} \frac{\left( \left[ R_g(n,x_s) \right] + \left[ R_g(n',x_s) \right] \right)^2}{\left[ R_g(n,x_s) \right] \left[ R_g(n',x_s) \right]} + 1.2944\eta \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\nu}} \left( \left[ R_g(n,x_s) \right] + \left[ R_g(n',x_s) \right] \right)^3, \quad (3)$$

<sup>193</sup> where  $\mu$  is the molecular viscosity of the suspending liquid (i.e. mixture <sup>194</sup> of the good and bad solvents and therefore function of the good solvent <sup>195</sup> molar fraction  $x_s$  (Lavino et al., 2017)),  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant,  $\varepsilon$  is <sup>196</sup> the turbulent dissipation rate,  $\nu$  is the mixture kinematic viscosity and  $[\cdot]$ <sup>197</sup> represents the ensemble-average.

The term  $\eta$  stands for an aggregation efficiency, only dependent on the initial supersaturation ratio. It is represented by a stepwise function: zero for undersaturated solutions and one for supersaturated solutions. The supersaturation ratio is defined as the ratio between the local PCL concentration and its equilibrium one. Their expressions are reported in our previous work (Lavino et al., 2017). When the supersaturation ratio is locally greater than unity, or in other words when the local PCL concentration is greater than its

local solubility (i.e., equilibrium concentration), which in turn depends on 205 the local solvent composition, self-assembly and aggregation are triggered; 206 namely PCL molecular self-assemble forming NP that then further aggre-207 gate forming the final NP. The main assumption is that molecules are more 208 stable when in a molecular cluster and therefore they self-assemble or aggre-209 gate irreversibly. This implies that the energy barrier for particle formation 210 is null and therefore particle formation, under these conditions, can be in-211 terpreted as spinodal decomposition rather than nucleation. In line with the 212 classical nucleation theory this model is applicable only when the initial su-213 persaturation is very large, as also found out in our previous work, where the 214 model was originally validated by Lavino et al. (2017). An accurate quantifi-215 cation of the precipitated PCL with respect to what is left in solution during 216 FNP still remains an unsolved issue; when the supersaturation ratio is much 217 larger than unity, it is reasonable to assume that most of PCL precipitates 218 out with the operating conditions investigated in this work. 210

 $\llbracket R_g(n, x_s) \rrbracket$  represents the ensemble-averaged radius of gyration, depen-220 dent on the aggregation number n and on the good solvent molar fraction 221  $x_s$ . It is expressed in terms of the Flory law, as explained in subsection 2.2. 222 Moreover, the dynamics of mixing is also considered, as turbulence fluc-223 tuations and local mixing gradients (supersaturation) affect PCL aggrega-224 tion. More specifically, the solvent mixture fraction is described in terms of 225 probability density function (PDF) for the good solvent mass fraction,  $\xi$ , in 226 the good solvent-water mixture. As anticipated above in the text, mixing is 227 treated with the DQMOM-IEM approach with only two nodes/environments. 228 This turns out to be a strategic approach in modeling a binary mixture when 229

no reaction occurs (Di Pasquale et al., 2012; Gavi et al., 2007). In line with
the DQMOM-IEM, the weights and weighted abscissas in the two environments 1 and 2 are directly solved through suitable transport equations:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \left( \bar{\rho} \langle \mathbf{U} \rangle p_1 \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \left( \bar{\rho} \Gamma_t \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) = 0, \tag{4}$$

<sup>233</sup> and  $p_2 = 1 - p_1$  (the PDF integrates to unity), together with:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \left( \bar{\rho} \langle \mathbf{U} \rangle p_1 \xi_1 \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \left( \bar{\rho} \Gamma_t \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} (p_1 \xi_1) \right) = \\
= \bar{\rho} \gamma_M p_1 p_2 \left( \xi_2 - \xi_1 \right) + \frac{\bar{\rho} \Gamma_t}{\xi_1 - \xi_2} \left( p_1 \frac{\partial \xi_1}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \frac{\partial \xi_1}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + p_2 \frac{\partial \xi_2}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \frac{\partial \xi_2}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right). \quad (5)$$

The right-hand side of Equation (5) represents the molecular mixing, also 234 referred to as micro-mixing term, mathematically closed with the IEM ap-235 proach (Fox, 2003);  $\gamma_M = \frac{C_{\phi}}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{k}$  is the micro-mixing rate, where  $C_{\phi}$  is a pa-236 rameter that depends on the local Reynolds number (Fox, 2003) and  $\varepsilon$  and 237 k are respectively the turbulence dissipation rate and the turbulent kinetic 238 energy. More details can be found in Gavi et al. (2007). By inverting the 239 subscripts 1 and 2, the transport equation related to  $p_2\xi_2$  can be obtained. 240  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  can be interpreted as local good solvent mass fractions in the 241 two "environments", representing turbulent composition fluctuations. The 242 Favre-averaged mass fraction can be calculated as follows: 243

$$\langle \xi \rangle = \int_0^1 p(\xi) \xi d\xi \approx p_1 \xi_1 + p_2 \xi_2, \tag{6}$$

where  $p(\xi)$  is the PDF related to the mixture fraction  $\xi$ . According to Equa-

tion (6), also the good solvent volume fraction can be defined as:

$$\langle \xi_v \rangle = p_1 \xi_{1,v} + p_2 \xi_{2,v},$$
(7)

where  $\xi_{i,v}$  is the volume fraction in the environment i = 1, 2, function of the mass fraction through the relation  $\xi_{i,v} = [1 + (1/\xi_i - 1) \cdot \rho_s/\rho_w]^{-1}$ , with  $\rho_s$ and  $\rho_w$  the good solvent and water densities, respectively.

### 249 2.2. Thermodynamics of real polymers in solution

This section is referred to the thermodynamic model that is incorporated 250 into the PBM-CFD model. Let us recall the Flory theory of real polymers 251 in solution (Flory, 1953). The polymer conformation in a given mixture 252 is the balance between attractive and repulsive forces among the repeated 253 units, taken into account through the so called excluded volume v. The 254 polymer conformation is strictly related to the nature of the solvent and, 255 more specifically, the polymer mean squared radius of gyration, appearing in 256 Eq. (3), can be expressed via the Flory law, that can in turn be extended to 257 molecular clusters or NP containing n polymer molecules, as shown in our 258 previous work: 259

$$[\![R_g^{\ 2}(n,x_s)]\!] = k_s(x_s)(nM_w)^{2\nu_s(x_s)},\tag{8}$$

where, as mentioned, the freely-jointed chain hypothesis is applied for a molecular cluster containing n PCL molecules,  $M_w$  is the molecular weight of a single PCL molecule and  $k_s(x_s)$  and  $\nu_s(x_s)$  are the Flory parameters, dependent, in turn, on the good solvent molar fraction  $x_s$  and on the nature of the solvent, as indicated by the subscript s. As far as the acetone is concerned as good solvent, molecular dynamics calculations have been performed and interpolated and the corresponding functional forms determined
in a previous work (Di Pasquale et al., 2014):

$$k_s(x_s) = k_{ref}(x_{ref}) = 0.0064 \exp\left(-3.15x_{ref}\right),\tag{9}$$

$$\nu_s(x_s) = \nu_{ref}(x_{ref}) = 0.30 + 0.45x_{ref} - 0.15x_{ref}^2, \tag{10}$$

where the subscript 'ref' is introduced and from now on it will refer to as the reference solvent, namely the solvent for which all the necessary information is already provided from previous studies (acetone in this case).

When the repulsive forces dominate on the attractive ones among the 271 monomers, the excluded volume v assumes a positive value and the polymer 272 shows a more stretched (coil) conformation, corresponding to a good solvent 273 condition, and the Flory exponent is equal to 3/5. On the contrary, when 274 the monomer attractive forces prevail, the excluded volume v is negative and 275 the polymer exhibits a globule conformation. The latter case corresponds to 276 a bad solvent condition and the Flory exponent is equal to 1/3. When the 277 two forces counterbalance each other, the excluded volume is null and the 278 polymer assumes an ideal conformation, related to the so called ' $\theta$ -condition'. 279 At the  $\theta$ -condition, the Flory exponent is equal to 1/2. The close proximity to 280 the bad solvent condition is crucial to be locally reached in FNP, in order to 281 induce the spontaneous self-assembly of the polymer molecules in molecular 282 cluster or NP. 283

284

As a matter of fact, then, the polymer conformation is strictly depen-

dent on the nature of the surrounding solvent; therefore, the Flory-Huggins 285 interaction parameter,  $\chi_{s,p}$ , is introduced in this modeling framework, being 286 this one a direct measure of the energetic interaction of two components, e.g., 287 a solute p and a solvent s, in turn, strictly correlated to the solubility of 288 the solute in that specific solvent. The solubility is here taken into account 289 by using the Hansen solubility parameters (HSP). The model presented in 290 this work correlates the radius of gyration with the interaction parameter  $\chi$ 291 and the HSP, in order to be able to properly define the Flory parameters 292  $(k_s(x_s) \text{ and } \nu_s(x_s))$  functional forms in Eq. (8), when different good solvents 293 are employed. 294

Before getting into the HSP theory, a brief mention to the Hildebrand approach is mandatory. The Hildebrand solubility parameter (Hildebrand and Scott, 1950) of a substance corresponds to the cohesive energy per unit volume (it quantifies the work necessary to keep molecules close to each other) and reads as follows:

$$\delta = \left(\frac{\Delta H_v - RT}{V}\right)^{1/2},\tag{11}$$

where  $\Delta H_v$  is the vaporization enthalpy, R is the gas constant, T is the absolute temperature and V is the molar volume.

Hansen (2007) proposed a decomposition of the Hildebrand parameter into three different contributions, leading to the so-called Hansen solubility parameters (HSP):

$$\delta = \left(\delta_D^2 + \delta_P^2 + \delta_H^2\right)^{1/2},\tag{12}$$

where  $\delta_D$  considers the dispersion attractive forces (non-polar),  $\delta_P$  accounts 305 for the permanent dipole-permanent dipole interactions and  $\delta_H$  for the hy-306 drogen bonds. The main advantage of the HSP approach is that polar effects 307 are explicitly considered, differently from the Hildebrand parameter that is 308 more suitable for apolar systems. The ability of a given solvent to solubilize 309 a solute (e.g., polymer) is expressed in terms of solubility 'distance' from the 310 solute itself and takes the form of the radius of a sphere in Hansen solubility 311 space  $\{\delta_D, \delta_P, \delta_H\}$  (Hansen, 2007): 312

$$Ra^{2}(\delta) = 4(\delta_{D,s} - \delta_{D,p})^{2} + (\delta_{P,s} - \delta_{P,p})^{2} + (\delta_{H,s} - \delta_{H,p})^{2},$$
(13)

where  $Ra(\delta)$  is the solubility 'distance', in terms of sphere radius in the Hansen space, and the subscripts *s* and *p* refer respectively to the solvent and the polymer. The term '4' is added in order to make the shape of this functional form more spherical and less elliptical. The whole derivation can be found in Hansen (2007). The Flory-Huggins parameter relative to the interaction between the solvent and the polymer  $\chi_{s,p}$  can be expressed as a function of the HSP through:

$$\chi_{s,p} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{Ra(\delta)}{2R_m}\right)^2,\tag{14}$$

where  $Ra(\delta)$  is the solubility distance, function of the HSP, as reported in Eq. (13) and  $R_m$  represents the radius of the solubility sphere, namely the maximum solubility distance (in Hansen solubility space) that allows the solvent to dissolve the solute. It is worthwhile to stress again that in Hansen phase space the coordinates are square roots of energies per unit volume; therefore, talking about 'solubility distances' refers implicitly to 'energetic distances' contributions between two components. The parameter  $R_m$  is defined in such a way that the dependence of the solubility parameters on the polymer molecular weight is accounted for, thanks to the following expression:

$$R_m^2 = 0.5(1+1/r^{1/2})RT/V_m,$$
(15)

where  $V_m$  is the molar volume of the solvent and r is the degree of polymerization, which can be calculated as the ratio between the total PCL macromolecule and the single repeated unit molecular weights.

Solvents characterized by  $Ra > 2R_m$  are classified as non-solvents (or bad 333 solvents) with respect to that specific solute. On the contrary, all the solvents 334 that belong to the Hansen solubility sphere  $(Ra/2R_m$  smaller than unity) 335 are classified as good solvents for that solute. In terms of  $\chi_{s,p}$  interacting 336 parameter, what stated above is equivalent to infer that when  $\chi_{s,p} < 1/2$  the 337 system is in good solvent condition, namely the solute molecule shows a more 338 stretched conformation because the solvent-solute interactions are preferred 339 over the solute-solute ones;  $\chi_{s,p} > 1/2$  corresponds to bad solvent condition, 340 namely the solute molecule shows a more globule conformation because the 341 solute-solute interactions are preferred over the solvent-solute ones;  $\chi_{sp}$  = 342 1/2 is the  $\theta$ -condition, corresponding to ideal conformation of the solute 343 molecule occurring when the solute-solvent and solute-solute interactions are 344 energetically equivalent. 345

As stated above, it is the local close proximity to bad solvent condition that energetically (and also entropically) drives the spontaneous PCL selfassembly. Under these conditions, the radius of gyration of a single polymer molecule,  $R_g$ , can be expressed as a function of the Flory-Huggins parameter,  $\chi_{s,p}$  (Rubinstein and Colby, 2003):

$$R_g \approx \frac{b^2}{|v|^{1/3}} N^{1/3} = \frac{bN^{1/3}}{(2\chi_{s,p} - 1)^{1/3}},$$
(16)

where b is the Kuhn length (representative of the monomer length) and N is the number of repeated units that form the polymer chain. The expression reported in Eq. (16) is for real polymers in solution, since the negative excluded volume v is taken into account, as well as the Flory exponent 1/3appears, meaning that the single polymer molecule is surrounded by a bad solvent.

Starting from the relationship reported in Eq. (14), combined with Eq. (16), the whole procedure to find the Flory parameters functional forms for an unknown good solvent is developed and extensively explained in the following section.

#### <sup>361</sup> 3. Operating conditions and numerical details

#### 362 3.1. Experimental background

Details about the experimental set-up which this modeling work is based on are here reported. As already stated, PCL is the polymer employed as solute in the FNP process investigated in this work. It is especially suitable for NP production in the pharmaceutical field and for biological applications, since PCL is bio-compatible and non-toxic (Who et al., 2000); among the other advantages, it is also permeable to low molecular weight drugs and can therefore be used for diffusion controlled delivery systems (Le Roy Boehm

et al., 2000). The experimental procedure for PCL NP production has been 370 extensively validated throughout the years (Barresi et al., 2015; Celasco et al., 371 2014; Ferri et al., 2017; Lavino et al., 2019; Lince et al., 2008, 2009, 2011; 372 Massella et al., 2018; Valente et al., 2012a,b; Zelenková et al., 2015, 2014). 373 In the experimental context, the NP stabilization over time is of paramount 374 importance and, in order to prevent further aggregation at the mixer outlet 375 (Barresi et al., 2015; Saad, 2007) and to preserve the particle size distribu-376 tion, the outlet CIJM stream is usually quickly diluted in ultra-pure water 377 and gently stirred to stabilize the NP suspension. Dilution, also labelled as 378 'quench', avoids the size increase due to Ostwald ripening effects (Barresi 379 et al., 2015; Ferri et al., 2017; Zelenková et al., 2015, 2014) and keeps the 380 precipitated NP stable up to 20 days (Le Roy Boehm et al., 2000). The 381 water quench volume can have an effect of the final NP size (Barresi et al., 382 2015; Ferri et al., 2017), therefore all the experimental data shown here are 383 obtained by quenching with the same water amount. 384

Although several polymers have been tested for NP production via FNP (Saad, 2007), very little experimental data are available in literature - to the best of the authors knowledge - showing the effect of different good solvents for the same specific type of polymer. As this represents the aim of this work, only experimental studies using PCL as polymer solute have produced enough data to guarantee a consistent comparison with our modeling results.

The experimental measurements were conducted via Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) in diluted samples. By means of this technique the hydrodynamic radius,  $R_H$ , is estimated as the ratio between the 7<sup>th</sup>- and the 6<sup>th</sup>-order moments of the NP distribution. However, from our modeling point of view,

those quantities can be determined only with a numerical regression since the 395 highest order moment we solve numerically is of order three (only two nodes 396 in the aggregation model solved via QMOM). Therefore, it turns out to be 397 more reasonable to express the mean particle size in terms of ratio between 398  $1^{st}$ - and  $0^{th}$ -order moments of the radius of gyration,  $R_g$ , distribution. De-399 spite the hydrodynamic radius is generally greater than the radius of gyration 400 (Bhattacharjee, 2016), we made the approximation:  $R_H \approx R_g$  which holds 401 particularly for spherical NP. Ultimately, it is an acceptable assumption for 402 narrow CMD characterized by small poly-dispersity indexes (Barresi et al., 403 2015; Ferri et al., 2017). 404

## 405 3.2. Experimental set up

Four different good solvents are investigated in this work: acetone (ACT), 406 acetonitrile (ACN), tetrahydrofuran (THF) and tert-butanol (TBA). As stated 407 above, the acetone is labelled as the reference solvent, since a full modeling 408 description thereof was already provided from previous molecular dynamics 409 (Di Pasquale et al., 2014) and CFD simulations (Di Pasquale et al., 2012; 410 Lavino et al., 2017). The geometrical dimensions of CIJM are: inlet and out-411 let diameters respectively equal to 1 and 2 mm. The width of the chamber 412 is equal to 4.76 mm and its height is two times the width, in line with our 413 previous work (Di Pasquale et al., 2012; Lavino et al., 2017). 414

415 3.3. Numerical details

According to the quadrature-based moments method employed in this work, the mean radius of gyration of a population of NP is calculated by means of nodes and weights of the quadrature procedure, as reported in

Lavino et al. (2017). The comparison with experiments is done in terms of 419 this value exiting the CIJM. As stated above, during experiments the aggre-420 gation is limited and the particles are stabilized by quench water immediately 421 after precipitation, preventing in this way further aggregation. It is reason-422 able to conclude, therefore, that measuring an average property at the outlet 423 of the mixer from our simulations is totally equivalent to measure experi-424 mentally the given property immediately after quenching, via dynamic light 425 scattering. However, it is worthwhile to mention that uncertainties present in 426 the current work and the derivation of the models (Flory parameters, aggre-427 gation kernel, etc.) keep the predicted NP dimensions always included into 428 the uncertainty range of the experimental results (Ferri et al., 2017; Lavino 429 et al., 2017). 430

It is important to stress here that the choice of the good solvent has 431 multiple effects on the FNP process. Indeed, by changing the good solvent 432 we simultaneously change the thermodynamics of the interaction between the 433 polymer chains and the good solvent and bad solvent mixture, the kinetics of 434 polymer molecule self-assembly and the dynamics of mixing of the two feed 435 streams (i.e., good and bad solvent). As mentioned in the introduction, the 436 main objective of this work is to quantify each of these effects (on the final 437 NP size and CMD) separately. 438

Density, viscosity and molar volume of the good solvents represent the physical-chemical properties that are expected to play a role in the FNP process. The density of the good solvent affects the fluid dynamics in the CIJM and the position of the impinging plane. The viscosity of the good solvent determines, via the Stokes-Einstein law already included in the aggregation kernel reported in Eq. (3), the kinetics of molecules and molecular cluster
self-assembly/aggregation. The molar volume of the good solvent defines the
final good solvent molar fraction (for a given volume ratio between good and
bad solvents). They are schematically listed in Table 1.

Table 1: Physical-chemical properties of the good solvents and Reynolds number,  $\text{Re}_{s}$ , range investigated in this work.  $\text{Re}_{s}$  refers to the good solvent inlet jet stream (see Eq. (17)).

Good solvent	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Density,} \\ \textbf{kg} \ \textbf{m}^{-3} \end{array}$	Viscosity, Pa·s	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Molar \ volume,} \\ {\rm cm}^3 {\rm mol}^{-1} \end{array}$	${ m Re_s}$ range
ACT	780.85	$3.10 \times 10^{-4}$	74.38	1069 - 6414
ACN	771.45	$3.26 \times 10^{-4}$	53.21	1004 - 6026
THF	874.78	$4.34\times10^{-4}$	82.43	855 - 5133
TBA	777.89	$3.46 \times 10^{-3}$	95.29	95 - 573

As it can be seen in Table 1, acetone and acetonitrile have similar values 448 of density and viscosity; instead, acetonitrile has a smaller molar volume; 449 this may become a crucial factor that affects the mean NP size, as it will 450 be shown in section 4. On the contrary, THF has higher density, viscosity 451 and molar volume with respect to acetone and acetonitrile. More generally, 452 despite all the solvents investigated here present similar physical properties, 453 it is noteworthy to stress that TBA exhibits one order of magnitude higher 454 viscosity. The way in which the density fluctuations are taken into account, 455 as well as the local viscosity and molar fraction dependence on molar volumes, 456 are reported in an our previous work (Lavino et al., 2017), and therefore here 457 omitted for the sake of brevity. 458

Although experiments and simulations are conducted by varying the inlet flow rate, the mean radius of gyration of the NP exiting the CIJM is evaluated as a function of Reynolds number, Re<sub>s</sub>, referred to the good solvent inlet jet <sup>462</sup> stream and its definition reads as follows:

$$\operatorname{Re}_{\mathrm{s}} = \frac{\rho_s d_{in} u_j}{\mu_s} \tag{17}$$

where  $d_{in}$  is the CIJM inlet diameter,  $u_j$  is the mean inlet jet velocity,  $\rho_s$ and  $\mu_s$  are the good solvent density and viscosity respectively, as reported in Table 1. When comparing the dynamics of aggregation for different good solvents, the Reynolds number includes the inlet operating conditions as well as the physical properties of the good solvent to make the outcome of this work a general discussion, as it will be clearer in section 4.

<sup>469</sup> Solvents and polymer Hansen solubility parameters (HSP), as well as the <sup>470</sup> corresponding distances from PCL, *Ra*, are listed in Table 2.

	$\delta_D$ , MPa <sup>1/2</sup>	$\delta_P$ , MPa <sup>1/2</sup>	$\delta_H$ , MPa <sup>1/2</sup>	Ra, MPa <sup>1/2</sup>
PCL	17.0	4.8	8.3	_
THF	16.8	5.7	8.0	1.0
ACT	15.5	10.4	7.0	6.5
TBA	15.2	5.1	14.7	7.4
ACN	15.3	18.0	6.1	13.8
Water	15.6	16.0	42.3	35.9

Table 2: Hansen solubility parameters (HSP) and distances, Ra, from PCL (Eq. (13)) for all the components of the investigated system.

The distances from PCL are representative of the solvent affinity with the polymer. More specifically, the lower is the distance (last column in Table 2), the higher is the solvent-solute affinity. As expected, the water solubility distance is the highest value, much higher than the Hansen solubility sphere radius for PCL,  $R_m \approx 9.8$  MPa<sup>1/2</sup> (calculated by means of swelling tests Bordes et al. (2010)), proving therefore to behave as bad solvent. In

the Hansen phase space, acetone, THF and TBA belong to the Hansen sol-477 ubility sphere, behaving as good solvents. In the case of acetonitrile, the 478 distance from PCL is shown to be slightly higher than the sphere radius. 479 It is worthwhile mentioning that Bordes et al. (2010) obtained this value of 480  ${\cal R}_m$  by performing swelling tests in which PCL initial concentration was two 481 orders of magnitude higher than the one employed in this work. It is there-482 fore reasonable to assume that  $R_m$  would be much higher in this context, 483 so that also acetonitrile leads to a full PCL solubilization, as seen in our 484 experiments (Ferri et al., 2017), and consequently behaving as good solvent 485 in these operating conditions. 486

In this analysis, the solute is the PCL and the solvent is the 'good solvent-487 water' mixture. Being the second phase made by a binary mixture, all the 488 solubility parameters (e.g., HSP) and physical properties (e.g., molar volume) 489 involved in the following calculations are weighted on the good-bad solvents 490 volume and molar fractions. The binary mixture phase will be therefore 491 generically labelled as 'solvent' from now on. The single PCL macro-molecule 492 molecular weight  $M_w = 14000 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$  and the repeated unit molecular 493 weight  $M_o = 114 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$ . The term r appearing in Eq. (13) corresponds to 494 the degree of polymerization, here simply evaluated as  $M_w/M_o$ . 495

Let us recall that in FNP the PCL solubility limit is overcome and the self-assembly induced only thanks to the presence of the bad solvent, which is a local effect. Furthermore, the mixing is generally very fast and efficient, leading to a well micro-mixed system in almost all the domain of the CIJM (Gavi et al., 2007). Hence, the assumption of considering the polymer chain at the mean good solvent molar fraction  $\bar{x}_s$  holds, after mixing occurs, in

the range  $\bar{x}_s \in [0.15, 0.25]$  for all the good solvents, since the good solvent-502 to-water ratio in volume is constant and equal to unity. Consequently, the 503 three-components phase diagram (water, good solvent and PCL) can be ap-504 proximated as a two-components one (the polymer as solute and the binary 505 mixture as solvent) (Flory, 1953). In these conditions, the single PCL ra-506 dius of gyration dependence on  $\chi_{s,p}$  can be expressed by means of Eq. (16), 507 since the binary mixture behaves as a bad solvent, leading the single macro-508 molecules to self-assemble. This concept will be confirmed by looking at the 509  $\chi_{s,p}$  values, reported in Table 3 later on. 510

<sup>511</sup> By using the relation reported in Eq. (16) for two different solvents s1<sup>512</sup> and s2 (e.g., s1 = acetonitrile-water and s2 = acetone-water), and assuming <sup>513</sup> that the Kuhn length b (mainly a solute property) does not change too much <sup>514</sup> for any solvent used, the following ratio can be readily obtained:

$$\frac{\llbracket R_g(n=1) \rrbracket_{s1}}{\llbracket R_g(n=1) \rrbracket_{s2}} \approx \frac{(2\chi_{s2,p}-1)^{1/3}}{(2\chi_{s1,p}-1)^{1/3}} = S_f,$$
(18)

where  $S_f$  is a scaling ratio, function of the solely Flory-Huggins parameters  $\chi_{s1,p}$  and  $\chi_{s2,p}$ , calculated starting from the HSP through Eq. (14). The adopted strategy consists in setting s2 as the reference solvent, i.e., acetonewater in this case, for which the functional forms of Flory parameters are known from MD (Di Pasquale et al., 2014). By rearranging Eq. (18) as a function of the reference solvent, the following equality holds:

$$\sqrt{k_{s1}(x_{s1})M_w^{2\nu_{s1}(x_{s1})}} = S_f \cdot \sqrt{k_{ref}(x_{ref})M_w^{2\nu_{ref}(x_{ref})}},$$
(19)

s21 where, as stated above, the subscript ref refers to the acetone-water mix-

```
ture. Scaling factors S_f and Flory-Huggins parameters \chi_{s,p} referred to the
solvent-polymer systems investigated in this work, namely acetone-water,
acetonitrile-water, THF-water and TBA-water, evaluated at mean good sol-
vent molar fraction \bar{x}_s, are reported in Table 3.
```

Table 3: Flory-Huggins parameter,  $\chi_{s,p}$ , for acetone, acetonitrile, THF and TBA as good solvents with the relative scaling factors obtained from Eq. (18), at mean good solvent molar fraction,  $\bar{x}_s$ .

Solvent	$\bar{x}_s$	Flory-Huggins parameter, $\chi_{s,p}$	Scaling factor, $S_f$
ACT-water	0.20	0.926	1.00
ACN-water	0.25	1.007	0.94
THF-water	0.18	0.873	1.05
TBA-water	0.16	1.251	0.83

<sup>526</sup> By looking at Table 3, the Flory-Huggins parameter is always greater than <sup>527</sup> 1/2 ( $\theta$ -condition) for each solvent investigated here. This confirms what was <sup>528</sup> already stated above: the systems are in bad solvent conditions and the single <sup>529</sup> PCL macromolecules are spontaneously led to aggregate.

At this point, an iterative procedure can be carried out, based on Eq. (19) 530 and on the values reported in Table 3. More specifically, Eq. (19) still presents 531 two degrees of freedom, that are the Flory constant and exponent functional 532 forms. By putting  $\nu_{s1}(x_{s1}) = \nu_{ref}(x_{s1})$  as starting value,  $k_{s1}(x_{s1})$  is univo-533 cally determined and with this new set of Flory parameters, say  $k_{s1}^*(x_{s1})$  and 534  $\nu_{s1}^{*}(x_{s1})$ , simulations can be performed and the deviation against the experi-535 mental data is detected. Based on the deviation with respect to experiments, 536 the Flory exponent is suitably adjusted to a new functional form, say  $\nu_{s1}^{**}(x_{s1})$ 537 and, by means of Eq. (19), a new functional form for  $k_{s1}^{**}(x_{s1})$  is obtained. 538 With this new set of Flory parameters, simulations are performed until this 539

- <sup>540</sup> iterative procedure leads to an acceptable accordance against experiments.
- <sup>541</sup> This corresponds to the Flory parameters reported in Table 4.

Table 4: Flory parameters functional forms for acetone (reported in Eq. (9) and (10)), acetonitrile, THF and TBA.

Good solvent	$k_s(x_s)$	$ u_s(x_s)$
ACT	$0.0064 \exp\left(-3.15 x_s\right)$	$0.30 + 0.45x_s - 0.15x_s^2$
ACN	$0.0055 \exp\left(-3.15 x_s\right)$	$0.30 + 0.40x_s - 0.10x_s^2$
$\mathbf{THF}$	$0.0047 \exp\left(-3.15 x_s\right)$	$0.30 + 0.62x_s - 0.32x_s^2$
TBA	$0.0056 \exp\left(-3.15 x_s\right)$	$0.30 + 0.42x_s - 0.12x_s^2$

The adjustment of the Flory parameters functional forms deserves further 542 explanations. Regarding the Flory constant,  $k_s(x_s)$ , only the proportionality 543 constant of the exponential is adjusted with respect to the function referred 544 to acetone, Eq. (9). As far as the Flory exponent  $\nu_s(x_s)$  is concerned, it 545 corresponds to a parabolic profile (Eq. (10)); therefore, three conditions are 546 needed: two of them are represented by the exponent value in pure good 547 and pure bad solvent, that are respectively 3/5 and 1/3, in line with the 548 Flory theory. The third condition is the only degree of freedom that the 549 user needs to fulfill and it might correspond to its value at the mean good 550 solvent molar fraction,  $\nu_s(\bar{x}_s)$ , that is suitably adjusted during the iterative 551 procedure presented above. 552

## 553 4. Results

First, only the dynamics of mixing is shown in order to assess the importance of changes in physical properties when different good solvents are used in FNP. In Figure 1 two quantities related respectively to macro- and micro-mixing are reported for all the four solvents investigated here and for two feeding flow rates. The first one is represented by the good solvent volume fraction,  $\langle \xi_v \rangle$  (see Eq. (7)). It is clear how in general the macro-mixing is very efficient for all the solvents since in almost the whole domain  $\langle \xi_v \rangle$ approaches to 0.5, as expected by using an inlet volume flow rate ratio equal to unity. Two different flow rates are here considered: 40 mL/min and 100 mL/min. Further mathematical details about the macro-mixing are reported in Appendix A.



Figure 1: Good solvent volume fraction,  $\langle \xi_v \rangle$  (Eq. (7)), and micro-mixing term,  $\gamma_M p_1 p_2 (\xi_2 - \xi_1)$  (Eq. (A.4)), for which a further mathematical and physical analysis is reported in section 2.1 and in Appendix A. Two inlet flow rates are here reported: 40 mL min<sup>-1</sup> (left side) and 100 mL min<sup>-1</sup> (right side).

Besides macro-mixing, it is worthwhile to evaluate also the micro-mixing, 565 being this one a fundamental aspect of FNP (Di Pasquale et al., 2012). As 566 already mentioned, the mixture fraction is modeled via the DQMOM-IEM 567 for which the corresponding transport equation is reported in section 2.1. A 568 particular focus is given now to the micro-mixing term of that transport equa-569 tion which corresponds to  $\gamma_M p_1 p_2 (\xi_2 - \xi_1)$ , where  $\gamma_M$  is the micro-mixing 570 rate, namely expressing the rate with which good solvent and bad solvent 571 mix at the molecular level.  $p_i$  and  $\xi_i$  correspond respectively to the weights 572 and abscissas (or nodes, or environments) of the quadrature formula, in the 573 two environments i = 1, 2, in line with the DQMOM approach (Marchisio 574 and Fox, 2005). The whole micro-mixing term describes how fast the micro-575 mixing variance is dissipated by turbulence (Fox, 2003; Liu and Fox, 2006). 576 The entire mathematical framework is here omitted, being already presented 577 in our previous works (Di Pasquale et al., 2012; Lavino et al., 2017) and a 578 further mathematical elaboration is provided in Appendix A, in which the 570 relationship between micro-mixing term and micro-mixing variance is clearly 580 stated. 581

At this level of description, Figure 1 points out that the micro-mixing term 582 is very similar for acetone, acetonitrile and THF; a different trend is detected 583 for TBA, for which micro-mixing turns out to be less efficient compared with 584 the other good solvents. It is noteworthy to stress here that the numerical 585 values in the contour plots must be interpreted as absolute values, since 586 negative terms may come out from the local value of the abscissas in the 587 two environments of the quadrature. Indeed, this is proven by looking at 588 the region in which the turbulence is created and dissipated, which is where 589

<sup>590</sup> molecular-mixing or micro-mixing occurs. It is clearly less shrunk around <sup>591</sup> the impinging plane than in other solvents, showing wider spatial gradients <sup>592</sup> in the mixer domain. This might be induced by the viscosity that in the <sup>593</sup> case of TBA is shown to be much larger (one order of magnitude) than in <sup>594</sup> the other solvents. These results, by just looking at the mixing dynamics of <sup>595</sup> the system, already allows us to predict a different scenario for TBA with <sup>596</sup> respect to acetone, acetonitrile and THF.

Let us move now on the dynamics of aggregation. In the case of the first 597 three solvents (i.e., acetone, acetonitrile, THF), whose dynamics of mixing, 598 only depending on their physical properties, is shown to be the same, it is 599 worthwhile to conduct the following analysis. For the sake of brevity, let us 600 consider only acetone and a 'virtual' solvent, characterized by the acetoni-601 trile physical properties (Table 1) and by acetone functional forms of Flory 602 parameters, namely Eq. (9) and (10). By simulating these two solvents with 603 the solely Brownian aggregation kernel active, we can quantify the dynamics 604 of self-assembly of polymer molecules into clusters and of small clusters into 605 larger clusters (before turbulent aggregation takes over). Although the same 606 functional forms of the acetone Flory parameters are considered here also 607 for the 'virtual' solvent, the results show a very different profile, in terms of 608 mean radius of gyration of the NP at the outlet of the mixer. This result 609 is depicted in Figure 2 and tells us that, although the dynamics of mix-610 ing is identical between acetone and the 'virtual' solvent, the aggregation 611 behaves in a very different way. The only physical explanation of that is 612 attributable to one physical property and, more specifically, lies on the dif-613 ferent molar volumes of the two solvents (look at Table 1), because the other 614

physical properties (density and viscosity) are very similar to each other, as 615 also demonstrated by looking at the respective Reynolds number values (see 616 Table 1). The molar volumes affect the molar fraction of the mixture,  $x_s$ , as 617 reported in Table 3 concerning its mean value, after mixing takes place. The 618 Flory parameters functional forms are, in turn, function of molar mixture 619 fraction. This analysis demonstrates that the differences between good sol-620 vents about the dynamics of aggregation only depend on Flory parameters. 621 Furthermore, it is shown how the modeling approach presented in this work 622 can be suitably employed to quantify the relative importance of the different 623 mechanisms involved in particles formation. 624



Figure 2: Mean radius of gyration as a function of Reynolds number referred to the good solvent inlet jet stream, with only Brownian aggregation for PCL initial concentrations equal to 6.0 mg/mL in acetone (triangles) and the 'virtual' solvent (squares), characterized by the acetonitrile physical properties and by the acetone functional forms of Flory parameters.

At this point of the current analysis, it is straightforward that the gap 625 against experiments must be bridged by suitably adjusting the functional 626 forms for  $k_s(x_s)$  and  $\nu_s(x_s)$  for all the solvents investigated here. As outcome 627 of the iterative procedure presented in section 3 (see Eqs. (18) and (19) and 628 Table 3), the final functional forms of the Flory parameters are determined 629 and listed in Table 4. These new functional forms are able to guarantee a 630 good agreement with experiments, as depicted in Figure 3 (TBA- and THF-631 water systems) and Figure 4 (acetone- and acetonitrile-water systems). The 632 range of PCL initial concentrations in the good solvent stream spans from 633 3.0 to 9.0 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>. The experimental error bars are also included. 634

Note that the accordance of the current model with experiments is in 635 line with the results found out in our previous work (Lavino et al., 2017). 636 As far as acetone, acetonitrile and THF are concerned, the agreement is 637 excellent starting from medium PCL initial concentration in good solvent 638 stream of about 5 mg/mL, namely the predicted NP dimensions are included 639 into the uncertainty range of the experimental results. In the case of TBA, 640 where the mixing dynamics is less efficient than in the other three good 641 solvents, the model shows to be transferable, by means of the Flory-Huggins 642 solubility theory. The experimental profile is qualitatively caught by this 643 purely-aggregative model, reproducing the negligible effect of the kinetics 644 on the final mean radius of gyration (flat profile of NP size as function of 645 the inlet good solvent Reynolds number) (Johnson and Prud'homme, 2003b; 646 Zelenková et al., 2015). This behaviour is also explained by looking at the 647 Re<sub>s</sub> range experienced by TBA in FNP with respect to the other systems, as 648 clearly shown in Figures 3 and 4. Indeed, Re<sub>s</sub> ranges of acetone, acetonitrile, 649



Figure 3: Mean radius of gyration of the NP exiting the CIJM versus the Reynolds number referred to the good solvent inlet jet stream as measured in experiments (black symbols) and as predicted by the purely-aggregative model (dashed line, empty symbols) for PCL-14000 initial concentrations equal to 3.0 (top panel) and 5.0 (bottom panel) mg/mL in THF (diamonds) and TBA (inverted triangles).

and THF are almost the same as well as one order of magnitude higher than TBA inlet Reynolds number, mainly due to the TBA viscosity (see Table 1). In the case of TBA, Re<sub>s</sub> value presumes that the turbulence is not completely developed inside the CIJM leading to less efficient mixing dynamics - as already stated - and, therefore, to a less important effect of fluid dynamics on the final mean NP size with respect to the other good solvent systems, as reproduced by both experiments and simulations. Results show,



Figure 4: Mean radius of gyration of the NP exiting the CIJM versus the Reynolds number referred to the good solvent inlet jet stream as measured in experiments (black symbols) and as predicted by the purely-aggregative model (dashed line, empty symbols) for PCL-14000 initial concentrations equal to 3.0 (top), 6.0 (middle) and 9.0 (bottom) mg/mL in acetone (triangles) and acetonitrile (squares) as the good solvents.

however, a quantitative gap against experiments, which, on the contrary, is 657 not observed in the case of THF (bottom panel in Figure 3) at the same 658 PCL initial concentration (5 mg/mL). It is worthwhile to stress that this 659 gap cannot be numerically overcome by using the proposed computational 660 tuning (described in section 3, in order not to break the physics of the system, 661 namely having higher values of Flory parameters for TBA than in acetone 662 (look at Figure 5) which, instead, is shown to have a better affinity with PCL 663 (lower solubility distance in Hansen phase space). This gap must be therefore 664 related to another phenomenon, as for example the role of nucleation, here 665 neglected (Lavino et al., 2017)). At constant PCL inlet concentration (around 666 5 mg/mL), nucleation might be more important for TBA than in the other 667 systems, in which turbulence is demonstrated to play a secondary role in 668 the aggregation for PCL inlet concentration under 5 mg/mL by our previous 669 CFD analysis (Lavino et al., 2017). 670

The Flory exponent profiles (Table 4) are depicted in Figure 5. The 671 inset shows the  $\nu_s$  values corresponding to the different mean good solvent 672 molar fractions  $\bar{x}_s$  (discrete symbols), assuming the perfect mixing between 673 the good and the bad solvent streams, already mentioned in the section 3. 674 This perfect mixing condition corresponds to a single good solvent volume 675 fraction value of 0.5 but different molar fractions, due to the different molar 676 volumes of the good solvents. It is important to point out here that, from 677 a qualitative point of view, the effect of the good solvent on the final mean 678 NP size can be predicted looking at the Flory exponent evaluated at the 679 mean good solvent molar fraction  $\nu_s(\bar{x}_s)$ . At the mean good solvent molar 680 fraction  $\bar{x}_s$ , the inset shows the following relation for different good solvents: 681

 $\nu_{\text{THF}}(\bar{x}_{\text{THF}}) > \nu_{\text{ACN}}(\bar{x}_{\text{ACN}}) > \nu_{\text{ACT}}(\bar{x}_{\text{ACT}}) > \nu_{\text{TBA}}(\bar{x}_{\text{TBA}}).$  This justifies, 682 indeed, the fact that PCL aggregates more in THF than in acetonitrile, in 683 acetone and finally in TBA at constant PCL initial concentration, in line 684 with experiments (Ferri et al., 2017; Zelenková et al., 2015). Therefore, 685 the approach described here is able to reproduce the experimental evidences 686 highlighting the fundamental role played by the good solvent molar fraction. 687 Note that the  $\nu_s(\bar{x}_s)$  values are very close to each other, denoting the high 688 sensitivity of the Flory exponent on final mean NP size. 689



Figure 5: Flory exponent  $\nu_s$  profiles in function of good solvent molar fraction for acetone (red), acetonitrile (green), THF (blue) and TBA (purple) (Table 4). The discrete symbols correspond to the Flory exponent at the outlet mean good solvent molar fraction  $\nu_s(\bar{x_s})$  (inset).

Figure 5 also depicts the affinity order found out in terms of HSP and 690 solubility distance from PCL shown in Table 2, namely THF > ACT >691 TBA > ACN for which the solubility distances from PCL are respectively 692 1.0, 6.5, 7.4 and 13.8 MPa  $^{1/2}.\,$  Hence, at constant molar fraction,  $\nu_s$  is di-693 rectly proportional to the good solvent affinity with PCL (in terms of sol-694 ubility distance). This implies that the polymer chain increases in size and 695 assumes a more stretched conformation, according to the thermodynamics 696 of real polymers in solution. However, operating at constant good-solvent-697 to-water ratio in volume leads to a mean good solvent molar fraction in the 698 order:  $\bar{x}_{ACN} > \bar{x}_{ACT} > \bar{x}_{THF} > \bar{x}_{TBA}$ , because of the different molar volumes 699 of the good solvents. This affects the Flory parameters and, consequently, 700 aggregation, the final NP size and CMD. 701

In Figure 6 the mean-squared radius of gyration of a NP is reported 702 for acetone (red), acetonitrile (green), THF (blue) and TBA (purple) in 703 function of the aggregation number. The crossover between the red and 704 green profiles (acetone and acetonitrile) shows the two different tendencies 705 and contributions in case of single PCL molecule  $(\llbracket R_g(n = 1) \rrbracket$  higher in 706 acetone) and at high aggregation number, n, in which  $[R_q(n)]$  is higher 707 in acetonitrile than in acetone. This means that, despite the single PCL 708 macromolecule occupies a smaller volume in acetonitrile, the PCL NP is 709 bigger in acetonitrile, namely more PCL macromolecules form the same 710 cluster (or NP) in acetonitrile  $(m^{(1)}/m^{(0)})$  is therefore greater than in ace-711 Therefore, the Flory theory combined together with the solubility tone). 712 affinity with PCL implies the following order of the single PCL macro-713 molecule dimension: THF > ACT > ACN > TBA, as also indicated by 714

<sup>715</sup> the  $S_f$  values in Table 3. However, the combination of good solvent mo-<sup>716</sup> lar volume (leading to different molar fractions) and the aggregation kernels <sup>717</sup> (dependent on Flory parameters) produces the following aggregation order: <sup>718</sup> THF > ACN > ACT > TBA.



Figure 6: Mean squared radius of gyration,  $[\![R_g^2]\!]$ , as a function of the number of molecules (Eq. (8)) that form a cluster (aggregation number, n) for acetone (red), acetonitrile (green), THF (blue) and TBA (purple) at the respective outlet mean good solvent molar fraction  $\bar{x_s}$ .

#### 719 5. Conclusions

In this work the effect of different good solvents in flash nano-precipitation
(FNP) is studied, from a modeling point of view. A population balance model
(PBM) based on molecules as building blocks is coupled with computational

fluid dynamics (CFD) and implemented in a commercial CFD code. Mo-723 ments of the cluster mass distribution (CMD) are transported and closed 724 by using the quadrature method of moments (QMOM) (Marchisio and Fox, 725 2013). The CMD is defined in such a way that it represents the number of 726 polymer molecules inside a cluster/NP. The turbulent mixing effects on NP 727 formation are accounted for with the direct quadrature method of moments 728 coupled with the interaction and exchange with the mean (DQMOM-IEM) 729 method, in line with our reference work, in which this modeling approach 730 was tested and validated (Lavino et al., 2017). 731

The novelty of the current approach consists in coupling PBM and CFD 732 with thermodynamics of polymers in solution. More specifically, the Flory-733 Huggins interaction parameter  $\chi$  is considered, taking into account therefore 734 the energetic contribution related to the polymer in the mixture, and is here 735 correlated to the prediction of the mean radius of gyration of the NP. All this 736 is done by taking advantage of the solubility theory in mixtures, in terms of 737 Hansen solubility parameters (HSP). In this way, different good solvents are 738 studied, with water as anti-solvent and poly- $\varepsilon$ -caprolactone (PCL) as solute, 739 in confined impinging jets mixer (CIJM). Four different good solvents are 740 considered: acetone (ACT), acetonitrile (ACN), tetrahydrofuran (THF) and 741 tert-butanol (TBA), taking the acetone as the reference solvent, being this 742 one already investigated at the molecular scale with molecular dynamics in 743 a previous work (Di Pasquale et al., 2014). 744

Thanks to the proposed approach, kinetics and thermodynamics are intertwined in a unique modeling tool used to investigate separately the dynamics of mixing from the dynamics of aggregation, addressing the main

factors that play a key role in such a complex process. CFD simulations 748 demonstrate that acetone, acetonitrile and THF are characterized by the 749 same macro- and micro-mixing dynamics, whereas TBA shows a different 750 micro-mixing behaviour, strongly dependent on its viscosity, that is one or-751 der of magnitude higher than the other solvents and water. Results also show 752 that the molar volume, combined together with the HSP, provides a good 753 prediction of the final mean NP size when different good solvents are em-754 ployed in FNP. Furthermore, functional forms for the Flory parameters are 755 determined, by combining the Flory-Huggins solubility theory with a suitable 756 computational tuning. In this way, it is shown that the proposed modeling 757 approach is transferable and adaptable to different scenarios, leading to a 758 good prediction of the experimental results from quantitative and qualita-759 tive points of view. This is valid also in the case of TBA which presents a 760 different mixing dynamics that, in turn, affects the final NP profile in func-761 tion of the inlet Reynolds number. The detected limitations, in terms of 762 accordance with experiments, might be overcome by introducing nucleative 763 effects in the aggregation source term of the PBM. In conclusion, although 764 the phenomena involved are very complex and this is not indeed the ultimate 765 model for FNP, this model is able to capture the main effects experimentally 766 observed since both kinetics and thermodynamics are considered. 767

Future work may be done by investigating these good solvent-water systems at the molecular scale with full-atom and coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations, aiming at confirming or refining the results obtained in this work, with more detailed molecular models.

## 772 Appendix

## 773 Appendix A. Mixing modeling and micro-mixing variance

In this appendix, further mathematical details concerning the mixing 774 modeling are provided. More specifically, it will be shown how the micro-775 mixing variance can be related to the mixing transport equation, in particular 776 to one of its terms: the micro-mixing term. In this modeling framework, as 777 reported in the main text, the mixture fraction is transported by means of 778 the direct quadrature method of moment coupled with the interaction and 779 exchange with the mean method (DQMOM-IEM) (Marchisio and Fox, 2013). 780 Besides the micro-mixing model introduced in the main text in section 781 2.1, a large-scale or macro-scale variance  $\langle \xi'^2 \rangle_{macro}$  is defined as follows: 782

$$\langle \xi'^2 \rangle_{macro} = (\langle \xi \rangle - \bar{\xi})^2,$$
 (A.1)

quantifying how different the local mean mixture fraction  $\langle \xi \rangle$  is from the ideal value  $\bar{\xi}$  that it would assume if the mixing were perfect. In the analyzed case, for instance,  $\bar{\xi}_v = 0.5$  in volume. For the analyzed cases, this tells us that the macro-mixing is very efficient, leading the macro-mixing variance to zero in almost all the CIJM, as shown in Figure 1.

The micro-mixing variance is defined as (Liu and Fox, 2006):

$$\langle \xi'^2 \rangle = \langle \xi^2 \rangle - \langle \xi \rangle^2. \tag{A.2}$$

789 We can rearrange the last expression as follows:

$$\langle \xi'^2 \rangle = \langle \xi^2 \rangle - \langle \xi \rangle^2 = p_1 \xi_1^2 + p_2 \xi_2^2 - (p_1 \xi_1 + p_2 \xi_2)^2 = = p_1 \xi_1^2 (1 - p_1) + p_2 \xi_2^2 (1 - p_2) - 2p_1 p_2 \xi_1 \xi_2 = = p_1 p_2 (\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 - 2\xi_1 \xi_2) = p_1 p_2 (\xi_2 - \xi_1)^2, \quad (A.3)$$

where the property  $p_1 = 1 - p_2$  is applied. By using the results shown in Figure Eq. (A.3), the first term on right hand side of Eq. (5) that contains the micromixing rate can be expressed as follows (the fluid density  $\bar{\rho}$  is here omitted for simplicity of notation):

$$\gamma_M p_1 p_2 \left(\xi_2 - \xi_1\right) = \frac{\gamma_M}{(\xi_2 - \xi_1)} \langle \xi'^2 \rangle,$$
 (A.4)

<sup>794</sup> strictly dependent on the micro-mixing variance  $\langle \xi'^2 \rangle$ . The quantity ex-<sup>795</sup> pressed in Eq. (A.4) is the one reported in the contour plots in Figure 1 <sup>796</sup> and can be thought of as a measure of how the micro-mixing variance is dis-<sup>797</sup> sipated by turbulence (included in the definition of  $\gamma_M$ ) at the micro-scale.

#### 798 Acknowledgements

This contribution was identified by R. Bertrum Diemer Jr (University of Delaware) as the Best Presentation in the session "Population Balance Modeling for Particle Formation Processes: Nucleation, Aggregation and Breakage Kernels" of the 2018 AIChE Annual Meeting in Pittsburgh. Computational resources were provided by HPC@POLITO, a project of Academic Computing within the Department of Control and Computer Engineering at the Politecnico di Torino (http://www.hpc.polito.it).

## 806 References

- Andersson, B., Andersson, R., Hakansson, L., Mortensen, M., Sudiyo, R.,
   van Wachem, B., 2012. Computational Fluid Dynamics for Engineering.
   Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Baldyga, J., Orciuch, W., 2001. Some hydrodynamic aspects of precipitation. Powder Technology 121, 9–19. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/
  S0032-5910(01)00368-0.
- Barresi, A.A., Vanni, M., Fissore, D., Zelenková, T., 2015. Thakur VK,
  Thaku MK. Handbook of Polymers for Pharmaceutical Technologies.
  Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. chapter Synthesis and preservation
  of polymer nanoparticles for pharmaceutical applications. pp. 229–280.
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119041412.ch9.
- Bhattacharjee, S., 2016. Dls and zeta potential what they are and what
  they are not? Journal of Controlled Release 235, 337 351. doi:https:
  //doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2016.06.017.

Bordes, C., Fréville, V., Ruffin, E., Marote, P., Gauvrit, J.Y., Briançon, S., Lantéri, P., 2010. Determination of  $poly(\varepsilon$ -caprolactone) solubility parameters: Application to solvent substitution in a microencapsulation process. International Journal of Pharmaceutics 383, 236–243. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2009.09.023.

- Celasco, E., Valente, I., Marchisio, D.L., Barresi, A.A., 2014. Dynamic light
  scattering and x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy characterization of pegylated polymer nanocarriers: Internal structure and surface properties.
  Langmuir 30, 8326–8335. doi:https://doi.org/10.1021/la501198v.
- <sup>830</sup> Cheng, J.C., Vigil, R.D., Fox, R.O., 2010. A competitive aggregation model
  <sup>831</sup> for flash nanoprecipitation. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science 351,
  <sup>832</sup> 330-342. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2010.07.066.
- <sup>833</sup> Choi, Y.J., Chung, S.T., Oh, M., Kim, H.S., 2005. Investigation of cristalliza<sup>834</sup> tion in a jet y-mixer by a hybrid computational fluid dynamics and process
  <sup>835</sup> simulation approach. Cristal Growth & Design 5, 959–968. doi:https:
  <sup>836</sup> //doi.org/10.1021/cg049670x.
- Das, M., Saxena, N., Dwivedi, P.D., 2009. Emerging trends of nanoparticles
  application in food technology: Safety paradigms. Nanotoxicology 3, 10–
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/17435390802504237.
- <sup>840</sup> Demetzos, C., 2016. Pharmaceutical Nanotechnology. Singapore: Adis.
- <sup>841</sup> Di Pasquale, N., Marchisio, D.L., Barresi, A.A., 2012. Model validation for
- precipitation in solvent-displacement processes. Chemical Engineering Sci-
- ence 84, 671-683. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2012.08.043.

- Di Pasquale, N., Marchisio, D.L., Barresi, A.A., Carbone, P., 2014. Solvent structuring and its effect on the polymer structure and processability: the case of water-acetone poly-ε-caprolactone mixtures. Journal of Physical Chemistry B 118, 13258–13267. doi:https://doi.org/10.1021/
  jp505348t.
- Elimelech, M., Gregory, J., Jia, X., Williams, R., 1998. Particle Deposition and Aggregation: Measurement, Modelling and Simulation. Woburn:
  Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Favre, A., 1965. Equations des gaz turbulents compressibles i. formes
  générales. Journal de Mecanique 4, 361–390.
- Ferri, A., Kumari, N., Peila, R., Barresi, A.A., 2017. Production of mentholloaded nanoparticles by solvent displacement. The Canadian Journal of
  Chemical Engineering 95, 1690–1706. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/
  cjce.22867.
- <sup>858</sup> Flory, P., 1953. Principles of Polymer Chemistry. Ithaca: Cornell University
  <sup>859</sup> Press.
- Fox, R.O., 2003. Computational Models for Turbulent Reacting Flows. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/CB09780511610103.
- Gartner, T.E., Jayaraman, A., 2018. Macromolecular 'size' and 'hard-ness' drives structure in solvent-swollen blends of linear, cyclic, and star
  polymers. Soft Matter 14, 411–423. doi:https://doi.org/10.1039/
  C7SM02199B.

- Gavi, E., Marchisio, D.L., Barresi, A.A., 2007. Cfd modelling and scaleup of confined impinging jet reactors. Chemical Engineering Science 62,
  2228-2241. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2006.12.077.
- Gradl, J., Schwarzer, H.C., Schwertfirm, F., Manhart, M., Peukert, W., 2006.
  Precipitation of nanoparticles in a t-mixer: coupling the particle population dynamics with hydrodynamics through direct numerical simulation.
  Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification 45, 908–916.
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2005.11.012.
- Hans, M.L., Lowman, A.M., 2002. Biodegradable nanoparticles for drug delivery and targeting. Current Opinion in Solid State and Materials Science
  6, 319–327. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-0286(02)00117-1.
- Hansen, C.M., 2007. Hansen Solubility Parameters: A User's Handbook (2nd
  edition). Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- Hildebrand, J., Scott, R.L., 1950. Solubility of Nonelectrolytes (3rd edition).
  New York: Reinhold.
- Johnson, B.K., Prud'homme, R.K., 2003a. Chemical processing and micromixing in confined impinging jets. AIChE Journal 49, 2264–2282. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.690490905.
- Johnson, B.K., Prud'homme, R.K., 2003b. Flash nano-precipitation of organic actives and block copolymers using a confined impinging jets mixer. Australian Journal of Chemistry 56, 1021–1024. doi:https://doi.org/ 10.1071/CH03115.

- Lavino, A.D., Banetta, L., Carbone, P., Marchisio, D.L., 2018. Extended charge-on-particle optimized potentials for liquid simulation acetone model: The case of acetone-water mixtures. The Journal of Physical Chemistry B 122, 5234-5241. doi:https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.
  8b01293.
- Lavino, A.D., Carbone, P., Marchisio, D.L., 2020. Martini coarse-grained
  model for poly-ε-caprolactone in acetone-water mixtures. The Canadian
  Journal of Chemical Engineering 98, 1868–1879. doi:https://doi.org/
  10.1002/cjce.23761.
- Lavino, A.D., Di Pasquale, N., Carbone, P., Barresi, A.A., Marchisio, D.L.,
  2015. Simulation of macromolecule self-assembly in solution: A multiscale
  approach. AIP Conference Proceedings 1695, 020036. doi:https://doi.
  org/10.1063/1.4937314.
- Lavino, A.D., Di Pasquale, N., Carbone, P., Marchisio, D.L., 2017. A novel
  multiscale model for the simulation of polymer flash nano-precipitation.
  Chemical Engineering Science 171, 485–494. doi:https://doi.org/10.
  1016/j.ces.2017.04.047.
- Lavino, A.D., Marchisio, D.L., Vanni, M., Ferri, A., Barresi, A.A., 2019.
  Nanoparticles production in continuous flow devices modelling and experimental insights into continuous flow-based processes. Chimica Oggi Chemistry Today 37, 8–11.
- Le Roy Boehm, A.L., Zerrouk, R., Fessi, H., 2000. Poly epsilon-caprolactone
  nanoparticles containing a poorly soluble pesticide: formulation and sta-

bility study. Journal of Microencapsulation 17, 195-205. doi:https:
 //doi.org/10.1080/026520400288436.

- Lince, F., Marchisio, D.L., Barresi, A.A., 2008. Strategies to control the particle size distribution of poly-ε-caprolactone nanoparticles for pharmaceutical applications. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science 322, 505–515.
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2008.03.033.
- Lince, F., Marchisio, D.L., Barresi, A.A., 2009. Smart mixers and reactors for the production of pharmaceutical nanoparticles: Proof of concept. Chemical Engineering Research and Design 87, 543-549. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2008.11.009.
- Lince, F., Marchisio, D.L., Barresi, A.A., 2011. A comparative study for
  nanoparticle production with passive mixers via solvent-displacement: Use
  of cfd models for optimization and design. Chemical Engineering and
  Processing: Process Intensification 50, 356–368. doi:https://doi.org/
  10.1016/j.cep.2011.02.015.
- Liu, Y., Cheng, C., Prud'homme, R.K., Fox, R.O., 2008. Mixing in a multiinlet vortex mixer (mivm) for flash nano-precipitation. Chemical Engineering Science 63, 2829–2842. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2007.
  10.020.
- Liu, Y., Fox, R.O., 2006. Cfd predictions for chemical processing in a confined
  impinging-jets reactor. AIChE Journal 52, 731–744. doi:https://doi.
  org/10.1002/aic.10633.

- Marchisio, D.L., Fox, R.O., 2005. Solution of population balance equations
  using the direct quadrature method of moments. Journal of Aerosol Science
  36, 43-73. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2004.07.009.
- Marchisio, D.L., Fox, R.O., 2013. Computational Models for Polydisperse
  Particulate and Multiphase Flows. Cambridge: Cambridge University
  Press.
- Marchisio, D.L., Omegna, F., Barresi, A.A., 2009. Production of tio2
  nanoparticles with controlled characteristics by means of a vortex reactor. Chemical Engineering Journal 146, 456-465. doi:https://doi.org/
  10.1016/j.cej.2008.10.031.
- Marchisio, D.L., Omegna, F., Barresi, A.A., Bowen, P., 2008. Effect of
  mixing and other operating parameters in sol-gel processes. Industrial &
  Engineering Chemistry Research 47, 7202–7210. doi:https://doi.org/
  10.1021/ie800217b.
- Martin, T.B., Jayaraman, A., 2016. Using theory and simulations to calculate effective interactions in polymer nanocomposites with polymer-grafted
  nanoparticles. Macromolecules 49, 9684–9692. doi:https://doi.org/10.
  1021/acs.macromol.6b01920.
- Massella, D., Celasco, E., Salaün, F., Ferri, A., Barresi, A.A., 2018. Overcoming the limits of flash nanoprecipitation: effective loading of hydrophilic
  drug into polymeric nanoparticles with controlled structure. Polymers 10,
  1092. doi:https://doi.org/10.3390/polym10101092.

- Nelson, G., 2002. Application of microencapsulation in textiles. International
   Journal of Pharmaceutics 242, 55–62. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/
   \$0378-5173(02)00141-2.
- Petitti, M., Vanni, M., Barresi, A.A., 2008. Controlled release of drug encapsulated as a solid core: Theoretical model and sensitivity analysis.
  Chemical Engineering Research and Design 86, 1294–1300. doi:https:
  //doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2008.05.008.
- Prasad, R., Kumar, V., Prasad, K.S., 2014. Nanotechnology in sustainable agriculture: Present concerns and future aspects. African Journal
  of Biotechnology 13, 705–713. doi:https://doi.org/10.5897/AJBX2013.
  13554.
- Rubinstein, M., Colby, R.H., 2003. Polymer Physics. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Saad, W.S., 2007. Drug nanoparticle formation via flash nanoprecipitation:
  Conjugation to encapsulate and control the release of paclitaxel. Ph.D.
  thesis. Princeton University.
- Saad, W.S., Prud'homme, R.K., 2016. Principles of nanoparticle formation
  by flash nanoprecipitation. Nano Today 11, 212–227. doi:https://doi.
  org/10.1016/j.nantod.2016.04.006.
- Valente, I., Celasco, E., Marchisio, D.L., Barresi, A.A., 2012a. Nanoprecipitation in confined impinging jets mixers: Production, characterization and scale-up of pegylated nanospheres and nanocapsules for phar-

maceutical use. Chemical Engineering Science 77, 217-227. doi:https:
 //doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2012.02.050.

- Valente, I., Stella, B., Marchisio, D.L., Dosio, F., Barresi, A.A., 2012b. Production of pegylated nanocapsules through solvent-displacement in confined impinging jets mixers. Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences 101, 2490– 2501. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/jps.23167.
- Who, C., Jim, T., Gan, Z., Zhao, Y., Wang, S., 2000. A heterogeneous
  catalytic kinetics for enzymatic biodegradation of poly(ε-caprolactone)
  nanoparticles in aqueous solution. Polymer 41, 3593–3597. doi:https:
  //doi.org/10.1016/S0032-3861(99)00586-8.
- Wu, X., Guy, R.H., 2009. Applications of nanoparticles in topical drug
  delivery and in cosmetics. Journal of Drug Delivery Science and Technology
  19, 371–384. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S1773-2247(09)50080-9.
- Zelenková, T., Barresi, A.A., Fissore, D., 2015. On the use of tertbutanol/water cosolvent systems in production and freeze-drying of poly-εcaprolactone nanoparticles. Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences 104, 178–
  190. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/jps.24271.
- Zelenková, T., Fissore, D., Marchisio, D.L., Barresi, A.A., 2014. Size
  control in production and freeze-drying of poly-ε-caprolactone nanoparticles. Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences 103, 1839–1850. doi:https:
  //doi.org/10.1002/jps.23960.
- Zelenková, T., Mora, M.J., Barresi, A.A., Granero, G.E., Fissore, D., 2018.
  On the production of chitosan-coated polycaprolactone nanoparticles in a

- confined impinging jet reactor. Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences 107,
- 1001 1157-1166. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xphs.2017.11.020.