

Supersymmetric near-horizon geometry and Einstein-Cartan-Weyl spaces

Original

Supersymmetric near-horizon geometry and Einstein-Cartan-Weyl spaces / Klemm, D. S.; Ravera, L.. - In: PHYSICS LETTERS. SECTION B. - ISSN 0370-2693. - ELETTRONICO. - 793:(2019), pp. 265-270.
[10.1016/j.physletb.2019.04.061]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2876411 since: 2022-01-12T14:33:09Z

Publisher:

Elsevier B.V.

Published

DOI:10.1016/j.physletb.2019.04.061

Terms of use:

openAccess

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

Elsevier postprint/Author's Accepted Manuscript

© 2019. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>. The final authenticated version is available online at:
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2019.04.061>

(Article begins on next page)



Supersymmetric near-horizon geometry and Einstein-Cartan-Weyl spaces



Dietmar Silke Klemm ^{a,b}, Lucrezia Ravera ^{b,*}

^a Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, Via Celoria 16, 20133 Milano, Italy

^b INFN, Sezione di Milano, Via Celoria 16, I-20133 Milano, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 April 2019

Accepted 22 April 2019

Available online 30 April 2019

Editor: M. Cvetič

Keywords:

Supersymmetry

Supergravity

Near horizon geometry

Metric affine gravity

ABSTRACT

We show that the horizon geometry for supersymmetric black hole solutions of minimal five-dimensional gauged supergravity is that of a particular Einstein-Cartan-Weyl (ECW) structure in three dimensions, involving the trace and traceless part of both torsion and nonmetricity, and obeying some precise constraints. In the limit of zero cosmological constant, the set of nonlinear partial differential equations characterizing this ECW structure reduces correctly to that of a hyper-CR Einstein-Weyl structure in the Gauduchon gauge, which was shown by Dunajski, Gutowski and Sabra to be the horizon geometry in the ungauged BPS case.

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Funded by SCOAP³.

1. Introduction

More than forty years ago Hawking proved his famous theorem [1,2] on the topology of black holes, which asserts that event horizon cross sections of 4-dimensional asymptotically flat stationary black holes obeying the dominant energy condition are topologically S^2 .¹ This result extends to outer apparent horizons in black hole spacetimes that are not necessarily stationary [6]. Such restrictive uniqueness theorems do not hold in higher dimensions, the most famous counterexample being the black ring of Emparan and Reall [7], with horizon topology $S^2 \times S^1$.²

Moreover, in $d > 4$ it is highly nontrivial to determine whether a given near-horizon geometry can be extended to a full black hole solution, since the strong uniqueness theorems that hold in four dimensions [1,9–13] break down and there exist different black

holes with the same asymptotic charges and different black hole solutions with the same near-horizon geometry.

In the last decade there has been significant progress in classifying near-horizon geometries, see e.g. [14–21]. In particular, the authors of [18] showed that for minimal gauged five-dimensional supergravity the latter are at least half-supersymmetric. If they preserve a larger fraction of supersymmetry, then they are locally isometric to AdS_5 with vanishing two-form field strength. In the ungauged case of the same theory, it was recently shown in [20] that supersymmetric horizon geometries are given by three-dimensional Einstein-Weyl structures of hyper-Cauchy-Riemann (hyper-CR) type [22–26].³ In particular, it was proven in [20] that a class of solutions of minimal supergravity in five dimensions is given by lifts of three-dimensional Einstein-Weyl structures of hyper-CR type, and this class was characterized as the most general near-horizon limit of supersymmetric solutions to the five-dimensional theory. Moreover, it was deduced that a compact spatial section of a horizon can only be a Berger sphere, a product metric on $S^1 \times S^2$, or a flat three-torus. Subsequently, [20] considered the problem of reconstructing all supersymmetric solutions from a given near-horizon geometry, and proved that the moduli space of infinitesimal supersymmetric transverse deformations of the near-horizon data is finite-dimensional if the spatial section

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: dietmar.klemm@mi.infn.it (D.S. Klemm), lucrezia.ravera@mi.infn.it (L. Ravera).

¹ In four dimensions, one can have black holes with nonspherical horizons by relaxing some of the assumptions that go into Hawking's theorem. For instance, in asymptotically anti-de Sitter (aAdS) space, the horizon of a black hole can be a compact Riemann surface Σ_g of any genus g [3], or a sphere with two punctures [4, 5]. In the latter case, the horizon is noncompact but has yet finite area. For aAdS spaces, both the asymptotically flat and dominant energy conditions are violated.

² Nevertheless, Galloway and Schoen [8] were able to show that, in arbitrary dimension, cross sections of the event horizon (in the stationary case) and outer apparent horizons (in the general case) are of positive Yamabe type, i.e., admit metrics of positive scalar curvature.

³ Notice that hyper-CR Einstein-Weyl spaces have originally been called 'special' in [27], and they have also been referred to as 'Gauduchon-Tod spaces' in the literature, cf. also [28].

of the horizon is compact. This analysis was carried on along the same lines of the one done in [29] for the case of nonsupersymmetric vacuum horizons in presence of a cosmological constant; see also [30].

In this paper, we extend some of the results of [20] to minimal gauged supergravity in five dimensions. In particular, we show that the horizon geometry of supersymmetric black holes in this theory is that of a particular Einstein-Cartan-Weyl structure in three dimensions, which involves the trace and traceless part of both torsion and nonmetricity, and obeys some precise constraints. We also study the limit of zero cosmological constant, in which the set of nonlinear partial differential equations characterizing this ECW structure reduces correctly to that of a hyper-CR Einstein-Weyl structure in the Gauduchon gauge, which was shown in [20] to be the horizon geometry in the ungauged BPS case. Moreover, it turns out that in the ungauged theory the geometry of the horizon can be alternatively interpreted as a particular Einstein-Cartan-Weyl structure in three dimensions subject to some specific constraints.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we briefly introduce the theory of minimal gauged supergravity in five dimensions, and give a summary of the equations satisfied by the near-horizon limit of supersymmetric black holes, following [18]. In section 3 we review the basic notions of Einstein-Cartan-Weyl geometry in three dimensions [31]. The main results are contained in section 4, where we derive the correspondence between ECW structures in three dimensions and the near-horizon limit of supersymmetric black holes, and consider the limit $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$. We conclude in 5 with some final remarks.

2. $N = 2, d = 5$ gauged supergravity and the near-horizon limit of BPS black holes

The bosonic action of minimal $N = 2, d = 5$ gauged supergravity is given by [18,32]⁴

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi G} \int \left[\frac{1}{4} \left(R + \frac{12}{\ell^2} \right) \star_5 1 - \frac{1}{2} F \wedge \star_5 F - \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} F \wedge F \wedge A \right], \quad (1)$$

where $F = dA$ is a $U(1)$ field strength, ℓ is related to the cosmological constant by $\Lambda = -6/\ell^2$, and \star_5 denotes the Hodge endomorphism in five dimensions. We adopt the conventions of [18]. The equations of motion following from (1) read

$$R_{\alpha\beta} - 2F_{\alpha\gamma}F_{\beta}{}^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{3}g_{\alpha\beta} \left(F^2 + \frac{12}{\ell^2} \right) = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$d \star_5 F + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} F \wedge F = 0,$$

with $F^2 \equiv F_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta}$.

2.1. Near-horizon geometry of BPS black holes

To describe the near-horizon geometry it is convenient to introduce Gaussian null coordinates (u, r, y^i) [33–35], defined in a neighborhood of a Killing horizon, where $g(V, V) = 0$, with $V = \partial_u$ a Killing vector. The horizon is then located at $r = 0$, and y^i are local coordinates on a three-dimensional Riemannian manifold Σ with metric γ , which is the spatial cross section of the horizon. The metric and the two-form field strength are given by

$$ds^2 = 2e^+e^- + \gamma_{ij}dy^i dy^j, \quad (3)$$

$$F = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \mathbf{e}^+ \wedge \mathbf{e}^- - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} r \mathbf{e}^+ \wedge (d\Phi - h\Phi) + dB,$$

with

$$\mathbf{e}^+ = du, \quad \mathbf{e}^- = dr + rh - \frac{1}{2}r^2 \Delta du, \quad (4)$$

where the scalars Δ, Φ , the one-forms h, B , and the Riemannian metric γ depend only on y^i ($i, j = 1, 2, 3$), cf. [18,20,30] for more details. The one-form gauge potential associated to F reads

$$A = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} r \Phi du + B. \quad (5)$$

The orientation is specified by

$$\epsilon_5 = \mathbf{e}^+ \wedge \mathbf{e}^- \wedge \epsilon_3, \quad (6)$$

where ϵ_5 is the five-dimensional volume form and ϵ_3 is the volume form on Σ .

In the near-horizon limit, the bosonic field equations (2) boil down to a set of equations on the three-dimensional manifold Σ [18]. In particular, from the gauge field equations one obtains

$$d \star_3 dB + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \star_3 (d\Phi - \Phi h) - h \wedge \star_3 dB - 2\Phi dB = 0, \quad (7)$$

with \star_3 the Hodge dual on Σ . The nontrivial components of the Einstein equations, namely (ur) and (ij) , become respectively

$$\frac{1}{2} \nabla^i h_i - \frac{1}{2} h^2 + \frac{1}{3} dB_{mn} dB^{mn} + \Phi^2 - \Delta + \frac{4}{\ell^2} = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$R_{ij} + \nabla_{(i} h_{j)} - \frac{1}{2} h_i h_j - 2dB_{ik} dB_j{}^k + \gamma_{ij} \left(\frac{1}{3} dB_{kl} dB^{kl} - \frac{1}{2} \Phi^2 + \frac{4}{\ell^2} \right) = 0, \quad (9)$$

where R_{ij} denotes the Ricci tensor on Σ , $h^2 \equiv h_i h^i$, and ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of the metric γ .

One can prove (see [18]) that the necessary and sufficient conditions for a near-horizon geometry to be a supersymmetric solution of minimal five-dimensional gauged supergravity are given by

$$\Delta = \Phi^2, \quad (10)$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} h + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \star_3 dB \right)^2 = \frac{1}{\ell^2}. \quad (11)$$

Along the same lines of [18], one can then introduce a one-form Z such that

$$\frac{1}{2} h + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \star_3 dB = \frac{1}{\ell} Z, \quad (12)$$

$$Z^2 \equiv Z^i Z_i = 1. \quad (13)$$

Furthermore one must have [18]

$$\nabla_i Z_j = \left(-\frac{3}{\ell} + h^m Z_m \right) \gamma_{ij} + \frac{3}{\ell} Z_i Z_j - Z_i h_j - \frac{1}{2} \Phi (\star_3 Z)_{ij}, \quad (14)$$

with $(\star_3 Z)_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk} Z^k$. Then, by taking the exterior derivative of (12) and making use of the gauge field equation (7), one finds the condition

$$\star_3 dh = d\Phi - 2\Phi h - 2\sqrt{3} \Phi \star_3 dB. \quad (15)$$

As we will see below, using (11), equ. (15) can be rewritten as a generalized monopole equation [36–40].

⁴ We use mostly plus signature.

3. Einstein-Cartan-Weyl geometry in three dimensions

In this section, we briefly review Einstein-Cartan-Weyl geometry in three dimensions, following [31].

Consider a three-dimensional Einstein manifold endowed with a metric γ . The connection $\hat{\Gamma}$, which is assumed to have nonvanishing torsion and nonmetricity, can be decomposed as

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{ij}^l = \Gamma_{ij}^l + N_{ij}^l, \quad (16)$$

where Γ denotes the Levi-Civita connection and N_{ij}^l are the components of the distortion. The latter can be written as

$$N_{lij} = \frac{1}{2}(T_{jli} - T_{lji} - T_{ijl}) + \frac{1}{2}(Q_{lij} + Q_{lji} - Q_{ilj}). \quad (17)$$

Here T_{ij}^l is the torsion, antisymmetric in the last two indices,

$$T_{ij}^l = \hat{\Gamma}_{ij}^l - \hat{\Gamma}_{ji}^l, \quad (18)$$

while Q_{lij} is the nonmetricity tensor,

$$Q_{lij} = -\hat{\nabla}_i \gamma_{jl}, \quad (19)$$

where $\hat{\nabla}$ is the covariant derivative associated to $\hat{\Gamma}$. Q_{lij} can be decomposed into a trace and traceless part,

$$Q_{lij} = -2\Theta_i \gamma_{jl} + \tilde{Q}_{lij}, \quad (20)$$

with Θ_i the Weyl vector and $\tilde{Q}_{ij}^j = 0$. In three dimensions, the decomposition for the torsion reads

$$T_{ij}^l = \tilde{T}_{ij}^l + \frac{1}{2}(\delta_j^l T_i - \delta_i^l T_j), \quad (21)$$

where $\tilde{T}_{ij}^j = 0$ and $T_i \equiv T_{ij}^j$. For simplicity of notation, let us define the traceless part of the distortion as

$$\tilde{N}_{lij} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{T}_{jli} - \tilde{T}_{lji} - \tilde{T}_{ijl}) + \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{Q}_{lij} + \tilde{Q}_{lji} - \tilde{Q}_{ilj}), \quad (22)$$

such that

$$N_{lij} = \tilde{N}_{lij} + \Theta_l \gamma_{ij} - \Theta_i \gamma_{lj} - \Theta_j \gamma_{li} + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{ij} T_l - \gamma_{il} T_j). \quad (23)$$

An Einstein-Cartan-Weyl space is defined as one for which the symmetrized Ricci tensor $\hat{R}_{(ij)}$ of $\hat{\nabla}$ is proportional to the metric. In particular, in three dimensions one has

$$\hat{R}_{(ij)} = \frac{1}{3} \hat{R} \gamma_{ij}, \quad (24)$$

where \hat{R} denotes the scalar curvature of $\hat{\nabla}$. Under a Weyl rescaling $\gamma_{ij} \mapsto e^{2\omega} \gamma_{ij}$, the one-form Θ and the connection $\hat{\Gamma}$ transform according to

$$\Theta_i \mapsto \Theta_i + \xi \partial_i \omega, \quad \hat{\Gamma}_{jk}^i \mapsto \hat{\Gamma}_{jk}^i + (1 - \xi) \delta_k^i \partial_j \omega, \quad (25)$$

where ξ denotes an arbitrary parameter that we are free to include [41,42]. This means that the torsion and the nonmetricity tensor transform respectively as

$$T_{jk}^i \mapsto T_{jk}^i + 2(1 - \xi) \delta_{[k}^i \partial_{j]} \omega, \quad Q_{jk}^i \mapsto Q_{jk}^i - 2\xi \delta_k^i \partial_j \omega, \quad (26)$$

which implies

$$T_i \mapsto T_i + 2(1 - \xi) \partial_i \omega, \quad \tilde{T}_{jk}^i \mapsto \tilde{T}_{jk}^i. \quad (27)$$

For the Riemann tensor, the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature one obtains

$$\hat{R}_{jkl}^i \mapsto \hat{R}_{jkl}^i, \quad \hat{R}_{ij} \mapsto \hat{R}_{ij}, \quad \hat{R} \mapsto e^{-2\omega} \hat{R}, \quad (28)$$

and thus the condition (24) is Weyl invariant. In terms of Riemannian data, (24) becomes [31]

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ij} + \nabla_{(i} \Theta_{j)} + \Theta_i \Theta_j + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{(i} T_{j)} + \frac{1}{4} T_i T_j + \Theta_{(i} T_{j)} \\ - \tilde{N}_{(i}^{lm} \tilde{N}_{j)lm} + \Theta^l \tilde{N}_{(ij)l} + \frac{1}{2} T^l \tilde{N}_{(ij)l} - \nabla_l \tilde{N}_{(i}^l{}_{j)} \\ = \frac{1}{3} \gamma_{ij} \left(R + \nabla^k \Theta_k + \Theta^k \Theta_k + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^k T_k + \frac{1}{4} T^k T_k \right. \\ \left. + \Theta^k T_k - \tilde{N}^{lmn} \tilde{N}_{mnl} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where R_{ij} and R are the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature of the Levi-Civita connection. The Ricci scalar for a three-dimensional Einstein-Cartan-Weyl manifold reads

$$\hat{R} = R + 4\nabla^k \Theta_k - 2\Theta^k \Theta_k + 2\nabla^k T_k - \frac{1}{2} T^k T_k - 2\Theta^k T_k - \tilde{N}^{lmn} \tilde{N}_{mnl}. \quad (30)$$

Finally, notice that we can define a one-form

$$\check{\Theta}_i \equiv \Theta_i + \frac{1}{2} T_i, \quad (31)$$

such that (29) and (30) can be recast in the form

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ij} + \nabla_{(i} \check{\Theta}_{j)} + \check{\Theta}_i \check{\Theta}_j - \tilde{N}_{(i}^{lm} \tilde{N}_{j)lm} + \check{\Theta}^l \tilde{N}_{(ij)l} - \nabla_l \tilde{N}_{(i}^l{}_{j)} \\ = \frac{1}{3} \gamma_{ij} \left(R + \nabla^k \check{\Theta}_k + \check{\Theta}^k \check{\Theta}_k - \tilde{N}^{lmn} \tilde{N}_{mnl} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

$$\hat{R} = R + 4\nabla^k \check{\Theta}_k - 2\check{\Theta}^k \check{\Theta}_k - \tilde{N}^{lmn} \tilde{N}_{mnl}. \quad (33)$$

We see that the traces Θ_i and T_i appear only through the linear combination (31), which transforms as $\check{\Theta} \mapsto \check{\Theta} + d\omega$ under (25). In fact, a torsion trace can always be shuffled into a Weyl vector and vice versa, as can be easily seen from the first Cartan structure equation.⁵ This is the reason for the freedom to include the arbitrary parameter ξ in (25).

4. Three-dimensional ECW structures and $N = 2$, $d = 5$ gauged supergravity

In this section, we show that the horizon geometry for supersymmetric black hole solutions of minimal five-dimensional gauged supergravity is that of a particular Einstein-Cartan-Weyl structure in three dimensions. To this aim, consider the field equations (7), (8) and (9) and assume that the supersymmetry constraints (10) and (11) hold. Thus, we have

$$dB_{jk} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} h_i + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\ell} \epsilon_{ijk} Z_i, \quad (34)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} dB_{im} dB_j^m = \frac{3}{4} (\gamma_{ij} h^2 - h_i h_j) + \frac{3}{\ell^2} (\gamma_{ij} Z^2 - Z_i Z_j) \\ - \frac{3}{\ell} (\gamma_{ij} h^m Z_m - h_{(i} Z_{j)}), \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

⁵ Such a reshuffling changes of course the definition of parallel transport.

$$dB_{im}dB^{im} = \frac{3}{2}h^2 + \frac{6}{\ell^2}Z^2 - \frac{6}{\ell}h^iZ_i. \tag{36}$$

Furthermore, using (11), equ. (15) can be recast in the form

$$\star_3 \left[d\Phi + \left(h - \frac{6}{\ell}Z \right) \Phi \right] = dh, \tag{37}$$

which is the generalized monopole equation [36–39]. Thus, the gauge field equation (7) reduces to the generalized monopole equation (37). Note that Φ is a weighted scalar with conformal weight -1 on Σ .

The (ur) component (8) of the Einstein equations becomes

$$\nabla^i h_i = -\frac{12}{\ell^2}Z^iZ_i + \frac{4}{\ell}h^iZ_i. \tag{38}$$

The symmetrized part and the trace part of (14) give respectively

$$\nabla_{(i}Z_{j)} = \left(-\frac{3}{\ell}Z^mZ_m + h^mZ_m \right) \delta_{ij} + \frac{3}{\ell}Z_iZ_j - Z_{(i}h_{j)}, \tag{39}$$

$$\nabla^iZ_i = 2h^iZ_i - \frac{6}{\ell}Z^iZ_i, \tag{40}$$

and thus (38) can be written as

$$\nabla^i h_i = \frac{2}{\ell} \nabla^i Z_i, \tag{41}$$

which generalizes the Gauduchon gauge $\nabla^i h_i = 0$, that holds in the case of vanishing cosmological constant, i.e., $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ [20].

Moreover, using (35), (36) and (38), the (ij) -components (9) of the Einstein equations yield

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ij} + \nabla_{(i}h_{j)} + h_i h_j + \frac{6}{\ell^2}Z_iZ_j - \frac{6}{\ell}h_{(i}Z_{j)} \\ = \left(\frac{1}{2}\Phi^2 + h^k h_k - \frac{4}{\ell}h^k Z_k \right) \gamma_{ij}, \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

whose trace, together with (38), leads to

$$R = \frac{1}{2} \left(3\Phi^2 + 4h^i h_i + \frac{12}{\ell^2}Z^iZ_i - \frac{20}{\ell}h^iZ_i \right). \tag{43}$$

Observe that the limit $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ of (42) and (43) exactly reproduces the results of [20], i.e., the conditions on the horizon geometry in the ungauged case, without cosmological constant.

To finally show that the horizon geometry for BPS black holes in minimal $d = 5$ gauged supergravity is that of a particular Einstein-Cartan-Weyl structure in three dimensions, consider a three-dimensional ECW space for which the following conditions hold:

- A) There exists a scalar Φ of conformal weight -1 that, together with the nonmetricity and torsion traces Θ and T , satisfies the generalized monopole equation

$$\star_3 \left(d\Phi + \check{\Theta}\Phi \right) = d\Theta. \tag{44}$$

Notice that (44) is invariant under

$$\Phi \mapsto e^{-\omega}\Phi, \quad \Theta \mapsto \Theta + \xi d\omega, \quad \check{\Theta} \mapsto \check{\Theta} + d\omega \tag{45}$$

for any value of ξ .

- B) The trace part of the torsion satisfies

$$T^2 \equiv T^i T_i = c^2, \tag{46}$$

where c is a constant, and

$$\nabla_i T_j = \left(\frac{1}{4}T^k T_k + \Theta^k T_k \right) \gamma_{ij} - T_i \Theta_j - \frac{1}{4}T_i T_j - \frac{1}{2}\Phi \epsilon_{ijk} T^k, \tag{47}$$

which implies in particular

$$\nabla_{(i} T_{j)} = \left(\frac{1}{4}T^k T_k + \Theta^k T_k \right) \gamma_{ij} - T_{(i} \Theta_{j)} - \frac{1}{4}T_i T_j, \tag{48}$$

and

$$\nabla^i T_i = 2\Theta^i T_i + \frac{1}{2}T^i T_i. \tag{49}$$

- C) The Weyl vector obeys

$$\nabla^i \Theta_i = -\frac{1}{3}\Theta^i T_i - \frac{1}{12}T^i T_i. \tag{50}$$

- D) The traceless part of the torsion is totally antisymmetric and reads

$$\tilde{T}_{lmn} = \Phi \epsilon_{lmn}, \tag{51}$$

while the traceless part of the nonmetricity is given by

$$\tilde{Q}_{mln} = \frac{2c}{\sqrt{3}} \epsilon_{lkm} T^k T_n, \tag{52}$$

and thus

$$\tilde{N}_{lmn} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\epsilon_{lmk} T^k T_n + \epsilon_{lnk} T^k T_m \right) + \frac{1}{2}\Phi \epsilon_{lmn}. \tag{53}$$

- E) The Ricci scalar of the affine connection is

$$\hat{R} = -\frac{3c}{2}\Theta^i T_i + \frac{9}{2}c^2. \tag{54}$$

Observe that in terms of $\hat{\nabla}$, (47) reads

$$\hat{\nabla}_i T_j = \frac{1}{4}T_i T_j - \Phi \epsilon_{ijk} T^k - \frac{1}{4}\gamma_{ij} T^k T_k + \Theta_i T_j, \tag{55}$$

and thus

$$\hat{\nabla}_T T_j = \Theta^k T_k T_j, \tag{56}$$

which means that the vector $u_j \equiv f^{-1}T_j$, where the function f satisfies $T^i \partial_i \ln f = \Theta^i T_i$, is parallel transported along its integral curves, $\hat{\nabla}_u u = 0$. Notice also that we can define a torsionful but metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$, with torsion trace and traceless part respectively given by $\bar{T}_i = \frac{1}{2}T_i + 2\Theta_i$ and $\bar{\tilde{T}}_{ijk} = -\Phi \epsilon_{ijk}$, such that (47) becomes

$$\bar{\nabla}_i T_j = 0. \tag{57}$$

This implies $\bar{\nabla}_{(i} T_{j)} = 0$, and therefore T_j is a Killing vector with torsion [43].

Note that the ‘gauge fixing’ conditions (49) and (50) lead to

$$\nabla^i \Theta_i = -\frac{1}{6}\nabla^i T_i. \tag{58}$$

We now identify

$$\Theta = h, \quad T = -\frac{12}{\ell}Z, \quad c = \frac{12}{\ell}, \tag{59}$$

such that (46) turns into (13), while (52), (53) and (54) assume the form

$$\tilde{Q}_{mln} = \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{\ell} \epsilon_{lk(m} Z^k Z_n), \quad (60)$$

$$\tilde{N}_{lmn} = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{\ell} \left(\epsilon_{lmk} Z^k Z_n + \epsilon_{lnk} Z^k Z_m \right) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi \epsilon_{lmn}, \quad (61)$$

$$\hat{R} = -\frac{18}{\ell} h^i Z_i + \frac{54}{\ell^2}. \quad (62)$$

Moreover, under the identifications (59), eqns. (47), (48), (49), (50) and (58) become respectively (14), (39), (40), (38) and (41). Likewise, the generalized monopole equations (37) and (44) coincide.

For the case we are considering, the Einstein-Cartan-Weyl equations (29) read

$$R_{ij} + \nabla_{(i} h_{j)} + h_i h_j + \frac{6}{\ell^2} Z_i Z_j - \frac{6}{\ell} h_{(i} Z_{j)} \\ = \frac{1}{3} \gamma_{ij} \left(R + h^k h_k - \frac{6}{\ell^2} Z^k Z_k - \frac{2}{\ell} h^k Z_k \right). \quad (63)$$

One can now use the expression (30) for the Ricci scalar of the affine connection to rephrase the constraint (43) as (62). Finally, using (43), we see that (42) is equivalent to the ECW equations (63), that is the set of partial differential equations characterizing an Einstein-Cartan-Weyl manifold in the gauge (41), subject to the conditions (37) and (62), together with the constraints on the trace part of the torsion (cf. (46) and (47)) and on the traceless part of the distortion (cf. (61)).

We have thus shown that the horizon geometry for supersymmetric black hole solutions of minimal five-dimensional gauged supergravity is that of a particular Einstein-Cartan-Weyl structure in three dimensions, in the gauge (41), subject to the constraints (37), (46), (47), (61) and (62). Notice that the conditions B) - E) above break conformal invariance, but this was to be expected, since the supergravity theory we started with is not conformally invariant.

4.1. Observations on the limit $\ell \rightarrow \infty$

Let us finally comment on the special case when the cosmological constant goes to zero. As we have already mentioned, the limit $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ of (42) and (43) exactly reproduces the results of [20], i.e.,

$$R_{ij} + \nabla_{(i} h_{j)} + h_i h_j = \left(\frac{1}{2} \Phi^2 + h^k h_k \right) \gamma_{ij}, \quad (64)$$

$$R = \frac{1}{2} \left(3\Phi^2 + 4h^k h_k \right). \quad (65)$$

The same holds for the generalized monopole equation (37), which, for $\ell \rightarrow \infty$, reduces to the monopole equation found in [20], that is

$$\star_3 (d\Phi + h\Phi) = dh. \quad (66)$$

Moreover, for $\ell \rightarrow \infty$, the conditions on the Einstein-Cartan-Weyl geometry of section 4 boil down to

$$T_i = 0, \quad (67)$$

$$\nabla^i h_i = 0, \quad (68)$$

$$\tilde{N}_{lmn} = \frac{1}{2} \Phi \epsilon_{lmn}, \quad (69)$$

$$\hat{R} = 0. \quad (70)$$

In particular, (68) is called the Gauduchon gauge. We can thus conclude that the horizon geometry for supersymmetric black holes in $d = 5$ ungauged supergravity not only corresponds to a three-dimensional hyper-CR Einstein-Weyl structure in the Gauduchon

gauge (as shown in [20]), but also to an Einstein-Cartan-Weyl structure in the Gauduchon gauge and subject to the constraints (67) (vanishing torsion trace), (66), (69) (which defines the traceless part of the torsion, which is completely antisymmetric, while the traceless part of the nonmetricity is zero), and (70) (vanishing Ricci scalar of the affine connection). This ambiguity comes from the fact that the sets of nonlinear partial differential equations characterizing the hyper-CR Einstein-Weyl structure of [20] and the ECW structure defined by (66)-(70) coincide.

5. Conclusions

It was shown recently in [20] that the horizon geometry of BPS black holes in minimal $d = 5$ supergravity defines a hyper-CR Einstein-Weyl structure. Here, we extended this result to $N = 2$, $d = 5$ gauged supergravity, and showed that in this case supersymmetric black hole horizons correspond to a particular three-dimensional Einstein-Cartan-Weyl structure, given in the gauge (41) and obeying the constraints (37), (46), (47), (61) and (62).

As a byproduct, it turned out that in the limit of vanishing cosmological constant, the horizon geometry can be alternatively interpreted as an ECW structure subject to (66)-(70).

Future developments of our work include possible extensions to higher dimensions and to the matter-coupled case.

Acknowledgement

D. S. K. is supported partly by INFN.

References

- [1] S.W. Hawking, Black holes in general relativity, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 25 (1972) 152.
- [2] S.W. Hawking, G.F.R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1973.
- [3] J.P.S. Lemos, Cylindrical black hole in general relativity, *Phys. Lett. B* 353 (1995) 46, arXiv:gr-qc/9404041; R.B. Mann, Pair production of topological anti-de Sitter black holes, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 14 (1997) L109, arXiv:gr-qc/9607071; L. Vanzo, Black holes with unusual topology, *Phys. Rev. D* 56 (1997) 6475, arXiv:gr-qc/9705004; R.G. Cai, Y.Z. Zhang, Black plane solutions in four-dimensional space-times, *Phys. Rev. D* 54 (1996) 4891, arXiv:gr-qc/9609065.
- [4] A. Gnechchi, K. Hristov, D. Klemm, C. Toldo, O. Vaughan, Rotating black holes in 4d gauged supergravity, arXiv:1311.1795 [hep-th].
- [5] D. Klemm, Four-dimensional black holes with unusual horizons, *Phys. Rev. D* 89 (8) (2014) 084007, arXiv:1401.3107 [hep-th].
- [6] S.W. Hawking, in: C. DeWitt, B.S. DeWitt (Eds.), *Black Holes*, Les Houches Lectures, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1972.
- [7] R. Emparan, H.S. Reall, A rotating black ring solution in five-dimensions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88 (2002) 101101, arXiv:hep-th/0110260.
- [8] C.J. Galloway, R. Schoen, A generalization of Hawking's black hole topology theorem to higher dimensions, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 266 (2006) 571, arXiv:gr-qc/0509107.
- [9] W. Israel, Event horizons in static vacuum space-times, *Phys. Rev.* 164 (1967) 1776.
- [10] B. Carter, Axisymmetric black hole has only two degrees of freedom, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 26 (1971) 331.
- [11] D.C. Robinson, Uniqueness of the Kerr black hole, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 34 (1975) 905.
- [12] W. Israel, Event horizons in static electrovac space-times, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 8 (1968) 245.
- [13] P.O. Mazur, Proof of uniqueness of the Kerr-Newman black hole solution, *J. Phys. A* 15 (1982) 3173.
- [14] H.K. Kunduri, J. Lucietti, H.S. Reall, Do supersymmetric anti-de Sitter black rings exist?, *JHEP* 0702 (2007) 026, arXiv:hep-th/0611351.
- [15] H.K. Kunduri, J. Lucietti, A classification of near-horizon geometries of extremal vacuum black holes, *J. Math. Phys.* 50 (2009) 082502, arXiv:0806.2051 [hep-th].
- [16] J.B. Gutowski, W.A. Sabra, Enhanced horizons, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 27 (2010) 235011, arXiv:0807.4714 [hep-th].
- [17] J. Gutowski, G. Papadopoulos, Heterotic black horizons, *JHEP* 1007 (2010) 011, arXiv:0912.3472 [hep-th].

- [18] J. Grover, J.B. Gutowski, G. Papadopoulos, W.A. Sabra, Index theory and supersymmetry of 5D horizons, *JHEP* 1406 (2014) 020, arXiv:1303.0853 [hep-th].
- [19] J. Gutowski, G. Papadopoulos, Index theory and dynamical symmetry enhancement of M-horizons, *JHEP* 1305 (2013) 088, arXiv:1303.0869 [hep-th].
- [20] M. Dunajski, J. Gutowski, W. Sabra, Einstein–Weyl spaces and near-horizon geometry, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 34 (4) (2017) 045009, arXiv:1610.08953 [hep-th].
- [21] A. Alaei, M. Khuri, H. Kunduri, Existence and uniqueness of near-horizon geometries for 5-dimensional black holes, arXiv:1812.08285 [hep-th].
- [22] D.M.J. Calderbank, P. Tod, Einstein metrics, hypercomplex structures and the Toda field equation, *Differ. Geom. Appl.* 14 (2001) 199, arXiv:math/9911121 [math-dg].
- [23] M. Dunajski, P. Tod, Einstein–Weyl structures from hyper-Kähler metrics with conformal Killing vectors, *Differ. Geom. Appl.* 14 (2001) 39, arXiv:math/9907146 [math-dg].
- [24] M. Dunajski, A Class of Einstein–Weyl spaces associated to an integrable system of hydrodynamic type, *J. Geom. Phys.* 51 (2004) 126, arXiv:nlin/0311024 [nlin-si].
- [25] M. Dunajski, W. Krynski, Einstein–Weyl geometry, dispersionless Hirota equation and Veronese webs, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* 157 (2014) 139, arXiv:1301.0621 [math.DG].
- [26] M. Dunajski, J. Gutowski, W. Sabra, A note on the hyper-CR equation, and gauged $N = 2$ supergravity, *Phys. Lett. B* 780 (2018) 166, arXiv:1801.10013 [math-ph].
- [27] P. Gauduchon, K.P. Tod, Hyper-Hermitian metrics with symmetry, *J. Geom. Phys.* 25 (1998) 291.
- [28] J. Grover, J.B. Gutowski, C.A.R. Herdeiro, P. Meessen, A. Palomo-Lozano, W.A. Sabra, Gauduchon–Tod structures, Sim holonomy and de Sitter supergravity, *JHEP* 0907 (2009) 069, arXiv:0905.3047 [hep-th].
- [29] C. Li, J. Lucietti, Transverse deformations of extreme horizons, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 33 (7) (2016) 075015, arXiv:1509.03469 [gr-qc].
- [30] A. Fontanella, J.B. Gutowski, Moduli spaces of transverse deformations of near-horizon geometries, *J. Phys. A* 50 (21) (2017) 215202, arXiv:1610.09949 [hep-th].
- [31] D. Klemm, L. Ravera, Einstein manifolds with torsion and nonmetricity, arXiv:1811.11458 [gr-qc].
- [32] M. Günaydin, G. Sierra, P.K. Townsend, Gauging the $d = 5$ Maxwell–Einstein supergravity theories: more on Jordan algebras, *Nucl. Phys. B* 253 (1985) 573.
- [33] V. Moncrief, J. Isenberg, Symmetries of cosmological Cauchy horizons, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 89 (3) (1983) 387.
- [34] H. Friedrich, I. Racz, R.M. Wald, On the rigidity theorem for space-times with a stationary event horizon or a compact Cauchy horizon, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 204 (1999) 691, arXiv:gr-qc/9811021.
- [35] V. Moncrief, J. Isenberg, Symmetries of higher-dimensional black holes, *Class. Quantum Gravity* 25 (2008) 195015, arXiv:0805.1451 [gr-qc].
- [36] N.J. Hitchin, Monopoles and geodesics, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 83 (1982) 579.
- [37] P. Jones, K. Tod, Minitwistor spaces and Einstein–Weyl spaces, *Class. Quantum Gravity* 2 (4) (1985) 565.
- [38] M. Dunajski, L.J. Mason, P. Tod, Einstein–Weyl geometry, the dKP equation and twistor theory, *J. Geom. Phys.* 37 (2001) 63, arXiv:math/0004031 [math-dg].
- [39] M. Dunajski, Einstein–Maxwell–dilaton metrics from three-dimensional Einstein–Weyl structures, *Class. Quantum Gravity* 23 (2006) 2833, arXiv:gr-qc/0601014.
- [40] D. Klemm, M. Nozawa, Geometry of Killing spinors in neutral signature, *Class. Quantum Gravity* 32 (18) (2015) 185012, arXiv:1504.02710 [hep-th].
- [41] L.L. Smalley, Brans–Dicke type models with nonmetricity, *Phys. Rev. D* 33 (1986) 3590.
- [42] T.Y. Moon, J. Lee, P. Oh, Conformal invariance in Einstein–Cartan–Weyl space, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* 25 (2010) 3129, arXiv:0912.0432 [gr-qc].
- [43] D. Kubiznak, H.K. Kunduri, Y. Yasui, Generalized Killing–Yano equations in $D = 5$ gauged supergravity, *Phys. Lett. B* 678 (2009) 240, arXiv:0905.0722 [hep-th].