# POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Compact and tunable stretch bioreactor advancing tissue engineering implementation. Application to engineered cardiac constructs

Original

Compact and tunable stretch bioreactor advancing tissue engineering implementation. Application to engineered cardiac constructs / Putame, Giovanni; Gabetti, Stefano; Carbonaro, Dario; Di Meglio, Franca; Romano, Veronica; Maria Sacco, Anna; Belviso, Immacolata; Serino, Gianpaolo; Bignardi, Cristina; Morbiducci, Umberto; Castaldo, Clotilde; Massai, DIANA NADA CATERINA. - In: MEDICAL ENGINEERING & PHYSICS. - ISSN 1873-4030. - ELETTRONICO. - 84:(2020). [10.1016/j.medengphy.2020.07.018]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2842487 since: 2020-08-11T21:09:51Z

Publisher: Elsevier

Published DOI:10.1016/j.medengphy.2020.07.018

Terms of use: openAccess

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright Elsevier postprint/Author's Accepted Manuscript

© 2020. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.The final authenticated version is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.medengphy.2020.07.018

(Article begins on next page)

## Manuscript - clean copy

1	Compact and tunable stretch bioreactor advancing tissue engineering
2	implementation. Application to engineered cardiac constructs
3	
4 5 6 7	Giovanni Putame <sup>a,b</sup> , Stefano Gabetti <sup>a,b</sup> , Dario Carbonaro <sup>a,b</sup> , Franca Di Meglio <sup>c</sup> , Veronica Romano <sup>c</sup> , Anna Maria Sacco <sup>c</sup> , Immacolata Belviso <sup>c</sup> , Gianpaolo Serino <sup>a,b</sup> , Cristina Bignardi <sup>a,b</sup> , Umberto Morbiducci <sup>a,b</sup> , Clotilde Castaldo <sup>c</sup> , and Diana Massai <sup>a,b</sup>
8 9	<sup>a</sup> Polito <sup>BIO</sup> Med Lab, Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy
10	<sup>b</sup> Interuniversity Center for the Promotion of the 3Rs Principles in Teaching and Research, Italy
11	<sup>c</sup> Department of Public Health, University of Naples "Federico II", Naples, Italy
12	
13	*Correspondence:
14	Diana Massai
15	diana.massai@polito.it
16	
17 18	<b>Keywords:</b> Bioreactor, Cyclic stretching, Mechanical stimulation, Tissues engineering, Cardiac tissue maturation.
19	
20	
21	

#### 22 Abstract

23 Physical stimuli are crucial for the structural and functional maturation of tissues both in vivo and in vitro. In tissue engineering applications, bioreactors have become fundamental and effective tools 24 for providing biomimetic culture conditions that recapitulate the native physical stimuli. In addition, 25 26 bioreactors play a key role in assuring strict control, automation, and standardization in the production process of cell-based products for future clinical application. In this study, a compact, 27 28 easy-to-use, tunable stretch bioreactor is proposed. Based on customizable and low-cost 29 technological solutions, the bioreactor was designed for providing tunable mechanical stretch for 30 biomimetic dynamic culture of different engineered tissues. In-house validation tests demonstrated 31 the accuracy and repeatability of the imposed mechanical stimulation. Proof of concepts biological 32 tests performed on engineered cardiac constructs, based on decellularized human skin scaffolds seeded with human cardiac progenitor cells, confirmed the bioreactor Good Laboratory Practice 33 34 compliance and ease of use, and the effectiveness of the delivered cyclic stretch stimulation on the 35 cardiac construct maturation.

## 36 1. Introduction

37 Tissue engineering (TE) is a multidisciplinary research field whose primary purpose is the *in vitro* 

development of functional tissue constructs used as models for basic research, drug testing, and

disease investigations, or ultimately aimed at repairing injured tissues or even organs [1,2].

40 According to the TE paradigm, the bioprocess for generating functional constructs is based on three

41 key elements: cells, scaffolds, and culture environmental cues [3,4].

42 Cells play a crucial role, since they generate the new tissue through proliferation, differentiation and

43 maturation. In particular, the use of human stem or progenitor cells, which can differentiate into

44 tissue-specific functional cell types, provides promising perspectives for patient-specific tissue

45 models and personalized TE [5-10].

46 Scaffolds substantially serve as active biochemical and structural support for cell growth. In

47 particular, decellularized extracellular matrix (ECM) is recognized as one of the most promising

48 biological scaffolds, because of its native biochemical and biomechanical features, and its three-

49 dimensional (3D) microarchitecture [11,12].

Lastly, biomimetic chemical and physical environmental cues have proven to be fundamental for
defining the fate and the functionality of the engineered constructs [13–16]. Focusing on strategies
for engineering tissues that *in vivo* are physiologically subjected to mechanical stimuli (e.g., tensile

or compressive load), several studies demonstrated that the use of dynamic culture devices

54 providing adequate *in vitro* mechanical stimuli leads to significant improvements in structural and

55 functional tissue maturation [17–20]. For example, it was observed that the controlled exposure of

56 engineered skeletal muscle tissues to mechanical cyclic stretch promotes their development, with

57 improved morphological, contractile and myogenic properties [21–24]. Furthermore, stretch was

58 successfully applied for cultivating *in vitro* tendon and ligament grafts, with several studies

59 demonstrating that mechanical stimulation is crucial for promoting tenocyte differentiation, tendon

60 matrix synthesis, and construct tensile strength [25–30]. Dynamic culture devices providing stretch

61 stimuli were also used for generating skin tissue models characterized by thick epidermal layers

62 with high levels of expressed basement membrane proteins [31], and for *ex vivo* expansion of skin

63 grafts, promoting dermal ECM synthesis [32,33]. Cyclic stretch plays a fundamental role in

64 bioprocesses designed for the *in vitro* maturation of cardiac tissue models. A large body of literature

demonstrated that the provision of cyclic stretch stimulation mimicking the cyclic diastolic filling of

66 the ventricles promotes cell proliferation, myocardium-like morphological arrangement and

67 maturation, and contractile performance of engineered cardiac tissues [34–42].

68 The need of TE bioprocesses to provide biomimetic physical stimuli in a strictly controlled manner

69 is faced using bioreactors. When equipped with advanced and programmable technological

70 solutions, these devices can guarantee control, automation, and standardization of the production process [43,44], fulfilling the rigorous requirements for clinical translation of cell-based products. 71 Moreover, bioreactors represent useful platforms for generating in vitro tissue models, thus 72 73 addressing the need for providing investigation methods alternative to animal-based experimentation. 74 However, bioreactor-based approaches have to cope with a series of drawbacks limiting their wide 75 spread. In particular, complex technology and high costs, often related to the high level of 76 77 customization required by the specific application, represent relevant limiting factors [45]. 78 Moreover, difficulty of use is a critical aspect affecting both custom-made and commercial 79 bioreactor platform diffusion [46,47]. 80 Nowadays, the availability of affordable open-source and low-cost electronic solutions for bioprocess monitoring and control purposes and the diffusion of low-cost 3D printing technologies 81 82 give the opportunity to rethink the design phase as well as to develop highly customizable and 83 flexible bioprocess platforms at limited implementation costs [48–52]. In this perspective, we 84 present here a compact, easy-to-use, tunable stretch bioreactor platform for TE applications. Customizable and low-cost technological solutions are adopted for the platform implementation. 85 Using a purpose-built test bench, in-house validation tests are performed to assess the motor motion 86 accuracy and repeatability. To demonstrate the bioreactor platform performance in a cell culture 87 laboratory and to investigate the impact of cyclic stretch on maturation of engineered cardiac 88 tissues, explanatory biological experiments on decellularized human skin (d-HuSk) scaffolds seeded 89 with human cardiac progenitor cells (hCPCs), performed within the bioreactor platform, are 90 presented. The hCPC-seeded d-HuSk scaffolds are subjected to controlled cyclic stretch, and the 91 effect of cyclic stretch conditioning is analyzed in terms of cell organization and gene expression of 92 typical cardiac markers. 93

94

## 95 2. Materials and methods

## 96 2.1 Bioreactor platform

97 The design of the bioreactor platform was guided by specific requirements. Firstly, the device 98 should provide tunable mechanical stretch for biomimetic dynamic culture of different engineered 99 tissues (e.g., myocardium, skeletal muscle, skin, tendon, and ligament tissue). Then, it should 100 accomplish general specifications of a bioreactor for TE strategies [17], particularly Good 101 Laboratory Practices (GLP) compliance in terms of ease of assembling, cleaning, and use in a cell 102 culture laboratory and with conventional laboratory equipment. Moreover, the bioreactor platform

4

- should be modular for facilitating assembling/disassembling/cleaning procedures and
- 104 customization, and it should be characterized by small size, to be easily handled under laminar flow
- 105 hood and within the incubator. Lastly, for promoting the use of the system, the bioreactor platform
- should be designed and produced with easy-to-use and low-cost hardware and software, and overall
- 107 it should guarantee reliability for long-term experiments within the incubator (37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, and
- 108 90-95% humidity).
- 109 Based on these requirements, the bioreactor platform is designed consisting of three main units (Fig.
- 110 1A): (1) the culture unit, housing the constructs; (2) the stimulation unit, providing the biomimetic
- 111 mechanical stimuli; (3) the control unit, devoted to the control of the stimulation unit. Both the
- culture unit and the stimulation unit are mounted on an aluminum planar base (342 mm x 128 mm)
- to be incubated, while the control unit is located outside the incubator.
- In detail, the culture unit, adapted from a previously developed device [53], is composed of a 114 polycarbonate culture chamber (140 x 80 x 75 mm<sup>3</sup> with a priming volume of ~100 ml) designed to 115 house multiple constructs to be cultured simultaneously. Within the culture chamber, two opposite 116 117 polyoxymethylene (POM) clamps allow grasping the constructs during stimulation. One clamp is mobile, coupled with a stainless steel through-shaft externally connected to the stimulation unit 118 motor, while the opposite clamp is fixed (Fig. 1B). Silicone bellows (J-Flex rubber, Retford, UK) 119 assure watertightness of the culture chamber. The culture chamber is inserted within an L-shaped 120 chassis, previously developed for guaranteeing a correct positioning of the culture chamber on the 121 planar base [54]. The stimulation unit consists of a watertight box (130 x 95 x 65 mm<sup>3</sup>), which 122 houses a captive stepper motor (NEMA 14, Nanotec Electronic GmbH & Co. KG, Feldkirchen, DE) 123 that generates a linear motion with a resolution of 10 µm/step. The motor provides the mechanical 124 stimulation to the cultured constructs, controlled by the control unit. The latter is made of a compact 125 box (170 x 150 x 60 mm<sup>3</sup>) containing a microcontroller board (Arduino Due, Arduino, Ivrea, IT), 126 selected because it is an open-source and low-cost electronics platform, which is coupled with a 127 small-sized motor driver (A4988, Allegro MicroSystems, Manchester, USA). The motor driver with 128 built-in translator and current regulator acts as bridge component between the microcontroller and 129 130 the motor, and enables motor control in open-loop configuration efficiently assuring the needed power supply. A user-friendly interface, based on push buttons and a 1.8" LCD screen (Arduino), 131 132 allows the proper adjustment of the initial relative position between clamps and the setting of the stimulation parameters (i.e., stretching amplitude and frequency). A schematic diagram of the 133 134 control unit implementation is reported in Figure 1C. To perform the explanatory biological tests, dedicated to culture cardiac constructs under cardiac-135
- 136 like cyclic stretch, the microcontroller is programmed to generate a sinusoidal motor motion with

tunable stretching amplitudes (0.1-3.0 mm, by 0.1 mm steps) and frequencies (1-3 Hz, by 1 Hz
steps). Available combinations of stimulation parameters for culturing constructs are reported in
Table 1.

140



**Table 1.** Stimulation parameter combinations. Black dots indicate the available combinations.

All culture chamber components in contact with medium or constructs are made of cytocompatible
and autoclavable materials [53,55]. The L-shaped chassis housing the culture chamber and the
stimulation unit box are manufactured in ABS thermoplastic material by fused deposition modelling
(FDM) for guaranteeing design flexibility and cost-efficiency [54].

#### 147 2.2 In-house tests

The ease of use and the reliability of stimulation and control units were preliminarily tested in-148 149 house. In detail, the motion accuracy of the stimulation unit operated by the control unit was characterized using a purpose-built test bench. A linear variable displacement transducer (LVDT, 150 151 AML/EU/±5/S, Applied Measurements Ltd., Aldermaston, UK), mounted on a chassis and connected to a dedicated data acquisition system (Personal computer equipped with a cDAQ-9174 152 153 coupled with a NI 9218 module, National Instruments, Austin, TX, USA), was put in contact with 154 the through-shaft connected to the stimulation unit motor (Supplementary Fig. S1), and all the 60 155 combinations of motor amplitude and frequency parameters were tested. In detail, for each possible 156 combination, the motor imparted displacement was acquired continuously over 30 cycles (sampling rate = 1652 Hz). The measured LVDT signals were acquired, filtered (Butterworth low-pass filter, 157 order 8, cut-off frequency = 10 Hz), and analyzed in LabVIEW environment (LabVIEW, National 158 Instruments) to evaluate the peak-to-peak amplitude as well as the frequency of the recorded 159 160 displacement signals. All measurements were carried out in triplicate. The motor displacement waveforms were characterized by comparing the measured waveforms with the prescribed ideal 161 162 sinusoidal waveforms. The mean percentage errors of measured amplitude and frequency values with respect to the prescribed nominal values were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). 163

164

## 165 2.3 Biological tests

## 166 *2.3.1 Bioreactor platform performance in a cell culture laboratory*

The bioreactor platform was then tested in a cell culture laboratory in order to assess its ease of use
and compliance with GLP procedures. In detail, the components of the culture chamber were
autoclaved and assembled under laminar flow hood, the culture chamber was filled with Dulbecco's
Modified Eagle's Medium/Ham's Nutrient Mixture F12 culture medium (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis,
MO, USA), and the assembled system was placed in incubator without constructs but with the
mechanical stimulation (1 mm, 1 Hz) switched on for 5 days.

#### 173 2.3.2 Preparation and culture of cardiac constructs

To investigate the influence of biomimetic cyclic stretch on the maturation of cardiac constructs, 174 explanatory biological tests were carried out on decellularized human skin (d-HuSk) scaffolds 175 seeded with human cardiac progenitor cells (hCPCs) and hCPC-derived early cardiac myocytes. 176 Concerning the scaffold preparation, human skin samples were obtained from patients undergoing 177 abdominoplasty (n = 4, mean age  $41.75 \pm 2.36$ ). Upon receipt, samples were washed in 178 physiological saline solution, then subcutaneous tissue was removed and multiple specimens were 179 cut (length = 20 mm, width = 10 mm) marking Langer's line orientation. For decellularization 180 treatment, specimens were enclosed in embedding cassettes housed in a purpose-built sample-181 182 holder, put within a beaker filled with the decellularizing solution (700 ml) and placed on a magnetic stirrer, and kept under constant stirring (150 rpm) for 24 h [56]. The decellularizing 183 184 solution contained 1% w/v sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) (Sigma-Aldrich) and 1% v/v Triton (Sigma-Aldrich). The specimens were then rinsed for 24 h in antibiotic solution containing 0.25 185 186 µg/ml Amphotericin B, 100 U/ml Penicillin, and 50 U/ml Streptomycin (all from Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS, and lastly in sterile bidistilled water for additional 30 min [57,58]. The d-HuSk specimens 187 188 were snap-frozen, mounted on a cryostat chuck using Tissue Freezing Medium (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany), and sliced into 600-µm-thick sections by a Leica CM1950 189 190 cryostat (Leica Microsystems). Cryosections of d-HuSk were sterilized by exposure to ultraviolet radiation for 40 min and rehydrated for one week with F12K medium in incubator (37° C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). 191 The sterilized and rehydrated d-HuSk cryosections were then stored in standard culture conditions 192 with the same medium until use. 193 As regards the hCPCs, they were isolated from cardiac specimens derived from macroscopically 194

uninjured areas of the left ventricle of explanted hearts of patients undergoing heart transplant

because of end-stage heart failure (n = 10, mean age 49.5  $\pm$  4.7). Specifically, following a

150 because of the stage near function  $(n = 10, mean age (5.5 \pm 1.7))$ . Specifically, following a

197 previously described protocol [59] cardiac specimens were washed in physiological saline solution,

dissected, minced, and enzymatically disaggregated by incubation in 0.25% trypsin (Sigma-198 Aldrich) for 6 h at 4 °C and in 0.1% w/v collagenase II (Sigma-Aldrich) for 30 min at 37 °C. The 199 digestion was stopped by adding double volume of Hanks' Balanced Salt solution (Sigma-Aldrich) 200 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Sigma-Aldrich). Resulting fragments of tissue 201 were further disaggregated by pipetting. Tissue debris and cardiomyocytes were then removed by 202 sequential centrifugation at 100 g for 2 min, passage through a 40-µm cell strainer (BD Biosciences, 203 Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA), and centrifugation at 400 g for 5 min. The obtained cell population was 204 then incubated with anti-fibroblast MicroBeads (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) to 205 206 magnetically label fibroblasts that were then removed loading cells onto a MACS column (Miltenyi Biotec) placed in the magnetic field of a MACS separator (Miltenyi Biotec). The negative fraction 207 of unlabeled hCPCs ran through the column was collected and plated at a density of  $4 \times 10^3$  cells per 208 cm<sup>2</sup> in F12K medium, prepared from Nutrient Mixture F-12 Ham medium (Sigma-Aldrich) 209 210 supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma-Aldrich), basic fibroblast growth factor (Peprotech, Rocky Hill, NJ, USA), glutathione (Sigma-Aldrich), penicillin and streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich). The 211 212 hCPCs were cultured in incubator (37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>) and observed daily by an inverted phase-contrast microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). Medium was replaced every 3 days until the 75% confluence 213 214 was reached. Then, an unselected subpopulation of hCPCs was induced to differentiate towards 215 cardiac myocytes by adding 50 µg/ml of ascorbic acid (Sigma-Aldrich) and 10 ng/ml of Vascular-Endothelial Growth Factor (Sigma-Aldrich) to the culture medium for 7 days. 216 Successively, the d-HuSk scaffolds (n = 24) were seeded with  $2.5 \times 10^6$  hCPCs and  $2.5 \times 10^6$  hCPC-217 derived early cardiac myocytes. After 7 days of static culture in Petri dish, half of the constructs (n 218 = 12) were transferred, in pairs, into the bioreactor culture chamber with F12K medium and 219 subjected to dynamic conditions (i.e., sinusoidal cyclic stretch, 10% strain, 1 Hz) for additional 7 220 221 days (see Supplementary Movie 1) for mimicking the cyclic diastolic filling of the ventricles [60– 63]. As control experiment, the other half of the constructs (n = 12) were cultured statically in Petri 222 dishes for the entire duration of 14 days (Fig. 2). Finally, constructs were cut into smaller specimens 223 that were either fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin (Sigma-Aldrich) for morphological analyses 224 225 or processed for RNA extraction for gene expression profiling.

## 226 2.3.3 Histochemistry analysis

Following standard protocols, subsets of constructs cultured in static conditions (control) or in
bioreactor and fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin were dehydrated in a graded series of
alcohols, embedded in paraffin and sliced into serial 5-µm-thick sections [57,58].Sections were
stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) and with Mallory's trichrome staining using specific

kits (both from Bio-Optica, Milan, Italy). Stained sections were observed by at least three

independent researchers using a light microscope DM2000 Led (Leica Microsystems) equipped
with an ICC50HD camera (Leica Microsystems).

## 234 2.3.4 Gene expression profile analysis

235 Total RNA was extracted from hCPCs seeded on d-HuSk scaffolds, cultured both in static and cyclic stretch conditions, using Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, 236 237 USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was dissolved in RNase-free water and its final concentration was quantified at the NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, 238 Waltham, MA, USA). All RNA samples were checked for quality and resulted suitable for gene 239 expression profiling analyses. Analysis was performed as previously described [64]. Briefly, RNA 240 241 from each sample was reverse transcribed into cDNA with QuantiTect Reverse Trascription Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and gene expression was quantified by real-time qPCR using Power 242 243 SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystem, Thermo Fisher Scientific). DNA amplification was carried out using QuantStudio<sup>™</sup> 5 Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the 244 detection was performed by measuring the binding of the fluorescent dye SYBR Green I to double-245 stranded DNA. The thermal cycling conditions included an initial enzyme activation at 95°C for 10 246 min and 40 cycles consisting of a denaturation step at 95°C for 15 s and an annealing step at 60°C 247 for 60 s. Melt curve analysis was performed to assess uniformity of product formation, primer 248 dimer formation and amplification of non-specific products. Primers used in this study were 249 designed with Primer3 software (http://frodo.wi.mit.edu) starting from the CDS (coding sequence) 250 of mature mRNA available on GeneBank (Supplementary Table S1). All samples were tested in 251 triplicate with the housekeeping gene (GAPDH) to correct for variations in RNA quality and 252 quantity. Comparative quantification of target gene expression in the samples was performed based 253 254 on cycle threshold (Ct) normalized to the housekeeping gene and using the 2- $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method.

255 2.3.5 Statistical analysis

Data from gene expression profiling were analyzed by GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA) using Student's two-tailed unpaired t-test. All experiments were performed in triplicate and data were averaged and expressed as the mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean. The statistical significance is denoted as \* for p-value  $\leq 0.05$ , \*\* for p-value  $\leq 0.01$ , \*\*\* for p-value  $\leq$ 0.001.

261

## 262 **3. Results**

263 3.1 In-house tests

In-house tests confirmed the ease of use and the reliability of the stimulation and control units. In 264 265 detail, tests on motor imposed displacement accuracy highlighted that real displacement waveforms agree with the prescribed ideal sinusoidal waveforms for all the available combinations of working 266 conditions, as testified by the explanatory waveforms presented in Figure 3A. As regards the 267 comparison between the measured stretching amplitude values and the nominal ones, mean error 268 values up to  $13.3\% \pm 7.3\%$  were observed, with the largest deviation from the nominal curve 269 corresponding to the combination characterized by minimum amplitude equal to 0.1 mm and 270 frequency equal to 1 Hz. For nominal amplitude values higher than 0.4 mm the observed mean error 271 272 values were lower than 4% (Fig. 3B). Concerning the nominal frequency, mean error values up to 273 10.5% (corresponding to the combination characterized by amplitude equal to 1.3 mm and 274 frequency equal to 1 Hz) were observed (Fig. 3C).

## 275 3.2 Biological tests

## 276 *3.2.1 Bioreactor platform performance in a cell culture laboratory*

Preliminary tests performed in a cell culture laboratory confirmed ease of use, sterility maintenance,
and functionality of the bioreactor platform in a standard incubator. During the explanatory cyclic
stimulation tests run for 5 days in incubator, the system did not present adverse issues, the
watertightness of the culture chamber and the stimulation unit was confirmed, and the culture
medium did not present any signs of contamination.

## 282 *3.2.2 Histochemistry*

The H&E and Mallory's trichrome staining of the cardiac constructs revealed that, under both static
(control) and dynamic (sinusoidal cyclic stretch, 10% strain, 1 Hz) culture conditions, hCPCs
organized into a structured multilayered tissue on the surface of the d-HuSk scaffolds (Fig. 4).
Noteworthy, the histochemical analysis highlighted that the dynamic culture promoted hCPC
migration towards the inner layers of the scaffolds (Figs. 4B and 4D).

## 288 3.2.2 Gene expression profile analysis

289 The gene expression profile analysis of hCPCs extracted from constructs cultured under either static

- or dynamic conditions included genes typical of main cardiac cell lineages. In particular, in
- bioreactor-cultured constructs, a significant up-regulation of cardiac alpha actin (ACTC1), a marker
- typical of late differentiating and mature cardiac myocytes, was observed. On the opposite, markers
- typical of undifferentiated hCPCs (like CD117) or of early stages of cardiac myocyte differentiation
- (like TBX3 and TBX5) were significantly down-regulated with respect to control constructs (Fig.
- 5). The transcription of other markers typical of cardiac myocytes, like MEF2C, CX43, and
- 296 GATA4, did not differ significantly among constructs cultured in static or dynamic conditions, and

similarly happened for the transcription of the mesenchymal cell marker CD105 and of genes
typical of smooth muscle cells (GATA6, ACTA2) and endothelial cells (ETS1, FVIII)

299 (Supplementary Fig. S2).

300

## 301 4. Discussion

302 In TE research, a number of studies demonstrated that successful strategies for the *in vitro* generation of functional engineered tissues require a synergistic combination of appropriate cells, 303 304 scaffolds, and biochemical and biophysical signals [65–67]. As specifically concerns mechanical cues, in the last two decades a plethora of custom-made bioreactors providing in vitro biomimetic 305 306 mechanical stretch have been proposed [23,24,29–31,33,40,42]. In parallel, ready-to-use systems have been developed by commercial companies (e.g., Tissue Train 3D Culture System from 307 FlexCell International, Hillsborough, USA; TC-3 from Ebers Medical Technology, Zaragoza, 308 Spain; MCT6 from CellScale, Waterloo, Canada; BioDynamic 5100 from TA Instruments, New 309 Castle, USA). All the developed culture devices substantially contributed to unravel the 310 fundamental role that mechanical stretch has on structural and functional development of biological 311 tissues and in regulating tissue homeostasis and pathophysiology. Moreover, their use increased the 312 knowledge on sensitivity of cells to mechanical stimuli, to which cells react activating specific 313 314 mechanotransduction pathways that can lead to phenotypic changes [68–71]. However, the proposed custom-made bioreactors were often based on complex technological 315 316 solutions, difficult to use by non-trained operators in a cell culture laboratory and typically 317 dedicated to highly specialized applications, while the commercial devices are generally expensive and not fully customizable. 318 319 Taking into account these limitations, in this study we developed a compact, easy-to-use, tunable 320 stretch bioreactor platform for culturing in vitro 3D engineered constructs under biomimetic stretch

321 conditions. Particular attention was paid in developing reliable and affordable stimulation and

322 control units. As regards the stimulation unit, the use of a captive stepper motor enables the

323 provision of linear motion adopting an open loop control strategy ensuring high displacement

resolution without the need for additional and complex feedback sensing solutions. In combination,

- a compact control unit, based on low-cost open-source hardware and freeware software, avoids the
- use of cumbersome and expensive equipment (e.g., laptop, data acquisition module, and

327 commercial software). Moreover, the integrated user-friendly interface allows ease-of-use to not

328 experienced operators as well as system portability. In-house performance tests confirmed that the

329 bioreactor platform is reliable in providing accurate and repeatable stimulation within a range of

physiological interest. For imposed motor displacement values higher than 0.4 mm, the mean error

- values between the measured amplitude values and the nominal ones were lower than 4%, thus
- negligible, for all available stimulation parameter combinations. Conversely, for motor

displacement values in the range of 0.1 - 0.4 mm, higher amplitude errors were calculated (Fig. 3).

However, it should be noted that such small displacement values are not commonly adopted for

mechanical stimulation of macroscopic constructs. This inaccuracy could be ascribed to the axial

play of the motor shaft, and to inertial and vibrational phenomena that are intrinsic to stepper

motors. In addition, possible signal artefacts during the LVDT data acquisition, due to inductive and

338 capacitive electrical interference, could not be excluded. As concerns the stimulation frequency,

measurements revealed negligible errors, probably ascribable to intrinsic technical limitations of the
adopted low-cost microcontroller.

341 Preliminary tests in a cell culture laboratory demonstrated that the device is easy-to-use with GLP

342 compliant procedures, compact to handle and fit in a standard incubator, and guarantees

343 watertightness, sterility maintenance and functionality.

- For investigating the effect of cyclic stretch on cardiac construct maturation, the biological
  experiments were performed on decellularized human skin scaffolds seeded with hCPCs and
- cultured for 7 days in static conditions, and then transferred into the bioreactor (sinusoidal cyclic

347 stretch, 10% strain, 1 Hz) for additional 7 days. The histochemical analysis showed cell engraftment

on the scaffold surface in both controls and dynamically cultured constructs (Fig. 4), but only when

subjected to cyclic stretch cells migrated towards the inner layers of the scaffolds, starting to

colonize their 3D structure (Figs. 4B and 4D). The gene expression analysis highlighted a

351 significant up-regulation of the ACTC1 marker, typical of late differentiating and mature cardiac

myocytes, concomitantly with a marked down-regulation of CD117, TBX3 and TBX5 markers

353 (Fig. 5), typical receptors for stem cells or early stage cardiac myocytes, suggesting that dynamic

culture likely promoted hCPC differentiation towards mature cardiac myocytes, in accordance with

355 previous studies [72–76].

356 Although further and longer experimental tests will be necessary for comprehensively

357 characterizing the effect of cyclic stretch on the maturation of d-HuSk scaffolds seeded with

358 hCPCs, the latter particularly sensitive to the microenvironment, the preliminary promising findings

provided evidence of the bioreactor platform reliability and suitability for cardiac tissue engineering

applications. In the future, the possibility to switch from stretching to compression mode will be

- implemented in the bioreactor platform, and the device will be adapted to be equipped with an
- electrical stimulation unit [77] to provide combinable mechanical and electrical stimulations for
- 363 mimicking the complex native cardiac environment.

364	In conclusion, adopting customizable and low-cost technological solutions, a compact, easy-to-use,	
365	tunable stretch bioreactor platform for biomimetic dynamic culture of 3D engineered was	
366	developed. Based on modular components and providing tunable stimulation, the proposed device is	
367	versatile and adaptable for different tissue engineering applications. Moreover, the choice of the 3D	
368	printing technology and low-cost hardware coupled with free and open-source software,	
369	substantially limited the development costs and will support in the future the use of the system as	
370	valuable tool for <i>in vitro</i> investigation and for future production of functional engineered constructs.	
371		
372	Data Availability Statement	
373	Data associated with this study is available upon request to the corresponding author.	
374		
375	Conflict of Interest	
376	The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial	
377	relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.	
378		
379	Acknowledgements	
380	Competing interests: None declared.	
381	Funding: None.	
382	Ethical approval: Patients provided written informed consent and samples were collected without	
383	patient identifiers, following protocols approved by the Federico II University Hospital Ethical	
384	Committee (ref. number 79/18) and in conformity with principles outlined in the Declaration of	
385	Helsinki.	
386		
387	7 References	
388	[1] Lanza R, Langer R, Vacanti J, editors. Principles of Tissue Engineering. 4th ed. Elsevier;	
389	2014. https://doi.org/10.1016/C2011-0-07193-4.	
390	[2] Vunjak Novakovic G, Eschenhagen T, Mummery C. Myocardial Tissue Engineering: In	
391	Vitro Models. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2014;4:a014076–a014076.	
392	https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a014076.	
393	[3] Goldstein AS, Christ G. Functional Tissue Engineering Requires Bioreactor Strategies.	
	13	

394	Tissue Eng Part A 2009;15:739-40. https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.tea.2009.0046.
395 [4] 396	Lyons F, Partap S, O'Brien FJ. Part 1: Scaffolds and Surfaces. Technol Heal Care 2008;16:305–17. https://doi.org/10.3233/THC-2008-16409.
<ul><li>397 [5]</li><li>398</li><li>399</li></ul>	Kropp C, Massai D, Zweigerdt R. Progress and challenges in large-scale expansion of human pluripotent stem cells. Process Biochem 2017;59:244–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2016.09.032.
400 [6] 401 402	Chimenti I, Massai D, Morbiducci U, Beltrami AP, Pesce M, Messina E. Stem Cell Spheroids and Ex Vivo Niche Modeling: Rationalization and Scaling-Up. J Cardiovasc Transl Res 2017;10:150–66. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12265-017-9741-5.
403 [7] 404	Bianco P, Robey PG. Stem cells in tissue engineering. Nature 2001;414:118–21. https://doi.org/10.1038/35102181.
405 [8] 406	Caplan AI. Adult mesenchymal stem cells for tissue engineering versus regenerative medicine. J Cell Physiol 2007;213:341–7. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.21200.
407 [9] 408 409	Kwon SG, Kwon YW, Lee TW, Park GT, Kim JH. Recent advances in stem cell therapeutics and tissue engineering strategies. Biomater Res 2018;22:36. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40824-018-0148-4.
<ul><li>410 [10]</li><li>411</li><li>412</li></ul>	Edri R, Gal I, Noor N, Harel T, Fleischer S, Adadi N, et al. Personalized Hydrogels for Engineering Diverse Fully Autologous Tissue Implants. Adv Mater 2019;31:1803895. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201803895.
<ul><li>413 [11]</li><li>414</li><li>415</li></ul>	Badylak SF, Taylor D, Uygun K. Whole-Organ Tissue Engineering: Decellularization and Recellularization of Three-Dimensional Matrix Scaffolds. Annu Rev Biomed Eng 2011;13:27–53. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-bioeng-071910-124743.
<ul><li>416 [12]</li><li>417</li><li>418</li></ul>	Porzionato A, Stocco E, Barbon S, Grandi F, Macchi V, De Caro R. Tissue-Engineered Grafts from Human Decellularized Extracellular Matrices: A Systematic Review and Future Perspectives. Int J Mol Sci 2018;19:4117. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19124117.
419 [13] 420	Murphy WL, McDevitt TC, Engler AJ. Materials as stem cell regulators. Nat Mater 2014;13:547–57. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3937.
421 [14] 422 423	Guilak F, Butler DL, Goldstein SA, Baaijens FPT. Biomechanics and mechanobiology in functional tissue engineering. J Biomech 2014;47:1933–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2014.04.019. 14

- [15] Almouemen N, Kelly HM, O'Leary C. Tissue Engineering: Understanding the Role of
  Biomaterials and Biophysical Forces on Cell Functionality Through Computational and
  Structural Biotechnology Analytical Methods. Comput Struct Biotechnol J 2019;17:591–8.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2019.04.008.
- [16] Vunjak-Novakovic G, Tandon N, Godier A, Maidhof R, Marsano A, Martens TP, et al.
  Challenges in Cardiac Tissue Engineering. Tissue Eng Part B Rev 2010;16:169–87.
  https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.teb.2009.0352.
- 431 [17] Martin I, Wendt D, Heberer M. The role of bioreactors in tissue engineering. Trends
  432 Biotechnol 2004;22:80–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2003.12.001.
- 433 [18] Bilodeau K, Mantovani D. Bioreactors for Tissue Engineering: Focus on Mechanical
  434 Constraints. A Comparative Review. Tissue Eng 2006;12:2367–83.
  435 https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.2006.12.2367.
- [19] Ravichandran A, Liu Y, Teoh S-H. Review: bioreactor design towards generation of relevant
  engineered tissues: focus on clinical translation. J Tissue Eng Regen Med 2018;12:e7–22.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/term.2270.
- 439 [20] Mantero S, Sadr N, Riboldi SA, Lorenzoni S, Montevecchi FM. A new electro-mechanical
  440 bioreactor for soft tissue engineering. J Appl Biomater Biomech 2007;5:107–16.
- [21] Powell CA, Smiley BL, Mills J, Vandenburgh HH. Mechanical stimulation improves tissueengineered human skeletal muscle. Am J Physiol Physiol 2002;283:C1557–65.
  https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.00595.2001.
- 444 [22] Moon DG, Christ G, Stitzel JD, Atala A, Yoo JJ. Cyclic Mechanical Preconditioning
  445 Improves Engineered Muscle Contraction. Tissue Eng Part A 2008;14:473–82.
  446 https://doi.org/10.1089/tea.2007.0104.
- 447 [23] Somers SM, Spector AA, DiGirolamo DJ, Grayson WL. Biophysical Stimulation for
  448 Engineering Functional Skeletal Muscle. Tissue Eng Part B Rev 2017;23:362–72.
  449 https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.teb.2016.0444.
- [24] Turner DC, Kasper AM, Seaborne RA, Brown AD, Close GL, Murphy M, et al. Exercising
  Bioengineered Skeletal Muscle In Vitro: Biopsy to Bioreactor. Methods Mol. Biol., vol.
  1889, 2019, p. 55–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-8897-6\_5.
- 453 [25] Paxton JZ, Hagerty P, Andrick JJ, Baar K. Optimizing an Intermittent Stretch Paradigm

454 455		Using ERK1/2 Phosphorylation Results in Increased Collagen Synthesis in Engineered Ligaments. Tissue Eng Part A 2012;18:277–84. https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.tea.2011.0336.
456 457 458	[26]	Wang T, Gardiner BS, Lin Z, Rubenson J, Kirk TB, Wang A, et al. Bioreactor Design for Tendon/Ligament Engineering. Tissue Eng Part B Rev 2013;19:133–46. https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.teb.2012.0295.
459 460 461	[27]	Youngstrom DW, Rajpar I, Kaplan DL, Barrett JG. A bioreactor system for in vitro tendon differentiation and tendon tissue engineering. J Orthop Res 2015;33:911–8. https://doi.org/10.1002/jor.22848.
462 463 464	[28]	Burk J, Plenge A, Brehm W, Heller S, Pfeiffer B, Kasper C. Induction of Tenogenic Differentiation Mediated by Extracellular Tendon Matrix and Short-Term Cyclic Stretching. Stem Cells Int 2016;2016:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/7342379.
465 466 467	[29]	Dursun G, Tohidnezhad M, Markert B, Stoffel M. Effects of uniaxial stretching on tenocyte migration behaviour. Curr Dir Biomed Eng 2018;4:313–7. https://doi.org/10.1515/cdbme-2018-0076.
468 469 470	[30]	Talò G, D'Arrigo D, Lorenzi S, Moretti M, Lovati AB. Independent, Controllable Stretch- Perfusion Bioreactor Chambers to Functionalize Cell-Seeded Decellularized Tendons. Ann Biomed Eng 2020;48:1112–26. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-019-02257-6.
471 472 473	[31]	Tokuyama E, Nagai Y, Takahashi K, Kimata Y, Naruse K. Mechanical Stretch on Human Skin Equivalents Increases the Epidermal Thickness and Develops the Basement Membrane. PLoS One 2015;10:e0141989. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0141989.
474 475 476	[32]	Jeong C, Chung HY, Lim HJ, Lee JW, Choi KY, Yang JD, et al. Applicability and Safety of in Vitro Skin Expansion Using a Skin Bioreactor: A Clinical Trial. Arch Plast Surg 2014;41:661. https://doi.org/10.5999/aps.2014.41.6.661.
477 478 479 480	[33]	Huh M-I, Yi S-J, Lee K-P, Kim HK, An S-H, Kim D-B, et al. Full Thickness Skin Expansion ex vivo in a Newly Developed Reactor and Evaluation of Auto-Grafting Efficiency of the Expanded Skin Using Yucatan Pig Model. Tissue Eng Regen Med 2018;15:629–38. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13770-018-0154-6.
481 482 483	[34]	Zimmermann W-H, Schneiderbanger K, Schubert P, Didié M, Münzel F, Heubach JF, et al. Tissue Engineering of a Differentiated Cardiac Muscle Construct. Circ Res 2002;90:223–30. https://doi.org/10.1161/hh0202.103644.

- [35] Zimmermann W-H, Melnychenko I, Wasmeier G, Didié M, Naito H, Nixdorff U, et al.
  Engineered heart tissue grafts improve systolic and diastolic function in infarcted rat hearts.
  Nat Med 2006;12:452–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1394.
- 487 [36] Birla RK, Huang YC, Dennis RG. Development of a Novel Bioreactor for the Mechanical
  488 Loading of Tissue-Engineered Heart Muscle. Tissue Eng 2007;13:2239–48.
  489 https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.2006.0359.
- 490 [37] Schaaf S, Shibamiya A, Mewe M, Eder A, Stöhr A, Hirt MN, et al. Human Engineered Heart
  491 Tissue as a Versatile Tool in Basic Research and Preclinical Toxicology. PLoS One
  492 2011;6:e26397. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0026397.
- [38] Mihic A, Li J, Miyagi Y, Gagliardi M, Li S-H, Zu J, et al. The effect of cyclic stretch on
  maturation and 3D tissue formation of human embryonic stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes.
  Biomaterials 2014;35:2798–808. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2013.12.052.
- 496 [39] Salazar BH, Cashion AT, Dennis RG, Birla RK. Development of a Cyclic Strain Bioreactor
  497 for Mechanical Enhancement and Assessment of Bioengineered Myocardial Constructs.
  498 Cardiovasc Eng Technol 2015;6:533–45. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13239-015-0236-8.
- [40] Mannhardt I, Breckwoldt K, Letuffe-Brenière D, Schaaf S, Schulz H, Neuber C, et al.
  Human Engineered Heart Tissue: Analysis of Contractile Force. Stem Cell Reports
  2016;7:29–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2016.04.011.
- 502 [41] Morgan KY, Black LD. Creation of a Bioreactor for the Application of Variable Amplitude
  503 Mechanical Stimulation of Fibrin Gel-Based Engineered Cardiac Tissue, 2014, p. 177–87.
  504 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-1047-2\_16.
- 505 [42] Valls-Margarit M, Iglesias-García O, Di Guglielmo C, Sarlabous L, Tadevosyan K, Paoli R,
  506 et al. Engineered Macroscale Cardiac Constructs Elicit Human Myocardial Tissue-like
  507 Functionality. Stem Cell Reports 2019;13:207–20.
- 508 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2019.05.024.
- 509 [43] Hambor JE. Bioreactor design and bioprocess controls for industrialized cell processing:
  510 Bioengineering strategies and platform technologies. Bioprocess Int 2012;10:22–33.
- [44] Hansmann J, Groeber F, Kahlig A, Kleinhans C, Walles H. Bioreactors in tissue engineeringprinciples, applications and commercial constraints. Biotechnol J 2013;8:298–307.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/biot.201200162.

- 514 [45] Ozturk S, Hu WS. Cell Culture Technology for Pharmaceutical and Cell-Based Therapies.
  515 CRC Press; 2005.
- 516 [46] Martin I, Smith T, Wendt D. Bioreactor-based roadmap for the translation of tissue
  517 engineering strategies into clinical products. Trends Biotechnol 2009;27:495–502.
  518 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2009.06.002.
- [47] Wendt D, Riboldi SA, Cioffi M, Martin I. Potential and Bottlenecks of Bioreactors in 3D
  Cell Culture and Tissue Manufacturing. Adv Mater 2009;21:3352–67.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.200802748.
- [48] Raveling AR, Theodossiou SK, Schiele NR. A 3D printed mechanical bioreactor for
  investigating mechanobiology and soft tissue mechanics. MethodsX 2018;5:924–32.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2018.08.001.
- 525 [49] Schneidereit D, Tschernich J, Friedrich O, Scharin-Mehlmann M, Gilbert DF. 3D-Printed
  526 Reusable Cell Culture Chamber with Integrated Electrodes for Electrical Stimulation and
  527 Parallel Microscopic Evaluation. 3D Print Addit Manuf 2018;5:115–25.
  528 https://doi.org/10.1089/3dp.2017.0103.
- [50] Smith LJ, Li P, Holland MR, Ekser B. FABRICA: A Bioreactor Platform for Printing,
  Perfusing, Observing, & amp; Stimulating 3D Tissues. Sci Rep 2018;8:7561.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-25663-7.
- [51] Rimington RP, Capel AJ, Chaplin KF, Fleming JW, Bandulasena HCH, Bibb RJ, et al.
  Differentiation of Bioengineered Skeletal Muscle within a 3D Printed Perfusion Bioreactor
  Reduces Atrophic and Inflammatory Gene Expression. ACS Biomater Sci Eng 2019;5:5525–
  38. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.9b00975.
- Tandon N, Taubman A, Cimetta E, Saccenti L, Vunjak-Novakovic G. Portable bioreactor for
  perfusion and electrical stimulation of engineered cardiac tissue. 2013 35th Annu. Int. Conf.
  IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc., IEEE; 2013, p. 6219–23.
- 539 https://doi.org/10.1109/EMBC.2013.6610974.
- 540 [53] Pisani G, Massai D, Rodriguez A, Cerino G, Galluzzi R, Labate Falvo D'Urso G, et al. An
  541 automated adaptive bioreactor based platform for culturing Cardiac Tissue Models. Proc V
  542 Meet Ital Chapter Eur Soc Biomech 2015.
- 543 [54] Putame G, Terzini M, Carbonaro D, Pisani G, Serino G, Di Meglio F, et al. Application of
  3D Printing Technology for Design and Manufacturing of Customized Components for a

- 545 Mechanical Stretching Bioreactor. J Healthc Eng 2019;2019:1–9.
- 546 https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/3957931.
- 547 [55] Massai D, Pisani G, Isu G, Rodriguez Ruiz A, Cerino G, Galluzzi R, et al. Bioreactor
  548 Platform for Biomimetic Culture and in situ Monitoring of the Mechanical Response of in
  549 vitro Engineered Models of Cardiac Tissue. Front Bioeng Biotechnol 2020;8.
  550 https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2020.00733.
- [56] Carbonaro D, Putame G, Castaldo C, Meglio F Di, Belviso I, Sacco AM, et al. A Novel 3DPrinted Sample-Holder for Agitation-Based Decellularization Human Cardiac Tissue
  Application. Proceeding "25th Congr. Eur. Soc. Biomech. (ESB 2019)," Vienna (A), 7-10
  July: 2019, p. 546.
- 555 [57] Di Meglio F, Nurzynska D, Romano V, Miraglia R, Belviso I, Sacco AM, et al. Optimization
  556 of Human Myocardium Decellularization Method for the Construction of Implantable
  557 Patches. Tissue Eng Part C Methods 2017;23:525–39.
  558 https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.tec.2017.0267.
- [58] Belviso I, Romano V, Sacco AM, Ricci G, Massai D, Cammarota M, et al. Decellularized
  human dermal matrix as a biological scaffold for cardiac repair and regeneration. Front
  Bioeng Biotechnol 2020;In Press. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2020.00229.
- [59] Nurzynska D, Di Meglio F, Romano V, Miraglia R, Sacco AM, Latino F, et al. Cardiac
  primitive cells become committed to a cardiac fate in adult human heart with chronic
  ischemic disease but fail to acquire mature phenotype: genetic and phenotypic study. Basic
  Res Cardiol 2013;108:320. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00395-012-0320-2.
- 566 [60] Schneck D. An outline of cardiovascular structure and function. In: Bronzino J, Peterson D,
  567 editors. Biomed. Eng. Handb. Four Vol. Set, CRC Press; 2018.
- [61] Klingensmith M, Ern Chen L, Glasgow S, Goers T, Melby S. The Washington Manual ofSurgery. 2008.
- [62] Kuznetsova T, Herbots L, Richart T, D'hooge J, Thijs L, Fagard RH, et al. Left ventricular
  strain and strain rate in a general population. Eur Heart J 2008;29:2014–23.
  https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehn280.
- [63] Kensah G, Roa Lara A, Dahlmann J, Zweigerdt R, Schwanke K, Hegermann J, et al. Murine
  and human pluripotent stem cell-derived cardiac bodies form contractile myocardial tissue in
  vitro. Eur Heart J 2013;34:1134–46. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehs349.

- 576 [64] Sacco AM, Belviso I, Romano V, Carfora A, Schonauer F, Nurzynska D, et al. Diversity of
  577 dermal fibroblasts as major determinant of variability in cell reprogramming. J Cell Mol Med
  578 2019;23:4256–68. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.14316.
- [65] Cerino G, Gaudiello E, Grussenmeyer T, Melly L, Massai D, Banfi A, et al. Three
  dimensional multi-cellular muscle-like tissue engineering in perfusion-based bioreactors.
  Biotechnol Bioeng 2016;113:226–36. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.25688.
- [66] Schmid J, Schwarz S, Meier-Staude R, Sudhop S, Clausen-Schaumann H, Schieker M, et al.
  A Perfusion Bioreactor System for Cell Seeding and Oxygen-Controlled Cultivation of
  Three-Dimensional Cell Cultures. Tissue Eng Part C Methods 2018;24:585–95.
  https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.tec.2018.0204.
- [67] Piola M, Prandi F, Bono N, Soncini M, Penza E, Agrifoglio M, et al. A compact and
  automated ex vivo vessel culture system for the pulsatile pressure conditioning of human
  saphenous veins. J Tissue Eng Regen Med 2016;10:E204–15.
- 589 https://doi.org/10.1002/term.1798.
- 590 [68] Chen CS. Mechanotransduction a field pulling together? J Cell Sci 2008;121:3285–92.
  591 https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.023507.
- [69] Paluch EK, Nelson CM, Biais N, Fabry B, Moeller J, Pruitt BL, et al. Mechanotransduction:
  use the force(s). BMC Biol 2015;13:47. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-015-0150-4.
- [70] Humphrey JD, Dufresne ER, Schwartz MA. Mechanotransduction and extracellular matrix
  homeostasis. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2014;15:802–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3896.
- 596 [71] Martino F, Perestrelo AR, Vinarský V, Pagliari S, Forte G. Cellular Mechanotransduction:
  597 From Tension to Function. Front Physiol 2018;9:1–21.
- 598 https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.00824.
- [72] Massai D, Cerino G, Gallo D, Pennella F, Deriu M, Rodriguez A, et al. Bioreactors as
  Engineering Support to Treat Cardiac Muscle and Vascular Disease. J Healthc Eng
  2013;4:329–70. https://doi.org/10.1260/2040-2295.4.3.329.
- [73] Liaw NY, Zimmermann W. Mechanical stimulation in the engineering of heart muscle. Adv
  Drug Deliv Rev 2016;96:156–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2015.09.001.
- Farsa H, Ronaldson K, Vunjak-Novakovic G. Bioengineering methods for myocardial
   regeneration. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2016;96:195–202.

606 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2015.06.012.

- 607 [75] Stoppel WL, Kaplan DL, Black LD. Electrical and mechanical stimulation of cardiac cells
  608 and tissue constructs. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2016;96:135–55.
  609 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2015.07.009.
- [76] Paez- Mayorga J, Hernández- Vargas G, Ruiz- Esparza GU, Iqbal HMN, Wang X, Zhang
  YS, et al. Bioreactors for Cardiac Tissue Engineering. Adv Healthc Mater 2019;8:1701504.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/adhm.201701504.
- 613 [77] Gabetti S, Putame G, Montrone F, Isu G, Marsano A, Audenino A, et al. Versatile electrical
  614 stimulator for providing cardiac-like electrical impulses in vitro. Biomed Sci Eng 2020;3.
  615 https://doi.org/10.4081/bse.2019.111.
- 616

617