

A national study of pregnancy-related maternal and fetal outcomes in women with inflammatory bowel disease.

Zahid Ijaz Tarar

Umer Farooq

Muhammad Usman Zafar
Lehigh Valley Health Network, muhammad.zafar@lvhn.org

Saad Saleem

Ahmad Nawaz

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlyworks.lvhn.org/medicine>



Part of the [Medicine and Health Sciences Commons](#)

Published In/Presented At

Tarar ZI, Farooq U, Zafar MU, Saleem S, Nawaz A, Kamal F, Ghous G, Inayat F, Ghouri YA. A national study of pregnancy-related maternal and fetal outcomes in women with inflammatory bowel disease. *Int J Colorectal Dis.* 2022 May 25. doi: 10.1007/s00384-022-04185-9. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 35612619.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by LVHN Scholarly Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in LVHN Scholarly Works by an authorized administrator. For more information, please contact LibraryServices@lvhn.org.

Authors

Zahid Ijaz Tarar, Umer Farooq, Muhammad Usman Zafar, Saad Saleem, Ahmad Nawaz, Faisal Kamal, Ghulam Ghous, Faisal Inayat, and Yezaz A Ghouri



A national study of pregnancy-related maternal and fetal outcomes in women with inflammatory bowel disease

Zahid Ijaz Tarar¹ · Umer Farooq² · Muhammad Usman Zafar³ · Saad Saleem⁴ · Ahmad Nawaz⁵ · Faisal Kamal⁶ · Ghulam Ghous¹ · Faisal Inayat⁷ · Yezaz A. Ghouri⁸

Accepted: 14 May 2022

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2022

Abstract

Background and aims The incidence of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) among women is highest during their reproductive years and current estimates suggest that the rate of conception is low in female IBD patients. The aim of our study was to assess the burden of adverse maternal and perinatal outcomes among female IBD patients.

Methods Using the national inpatient sample database from 2016 to 2018, we recruited all female patients above the age of 15 years admitted with a primary diagnosis of pregnancy and a secondary diagnosis of IBD. We adjusted our results for hospital and patient level variables including age, race, socioeconomic status, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, obesity, smoking, hyperlipidemia, alcohol use, and malnutrition. Multivariable regression analysis was used for analysis.

Results Pregnant women with IBD had greater odds of gestational diabetes (adjusted odds ratio [AOR] 1.55, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.04–2.3, p 0.02), hypertensive complications (AOR 1.35, 95% CI 1.06–1.72, p 0.01), and pre-term delivery (AOR, 1.41 95% CI 1.13–1.76, p 0.003). Pregnancies with co-existent IBD were associated with fetal growth restriction (AOR 1.27, 95% CI 1–1.63, p 0.04) and fetal death (AOR 3.21, 95% CI 1.72–6.00, p < 0.01). Odds of experiencing postpartum hemorrhage or large for gestational age infant were comparable to general population. Crohn's disease was independently associated with increased odds of worse maternal and fetal outcome. IBD patients had increased mean length of stay by 0.14 days and increased mean hospital charges of \$2741.

Conclusions Women with IBD had greater likelihood of poor maternal and fetal outcomes and increased hospital resource utilization.

Keywords Inflammatory bowel disease · Ulcerative colitis · Crohn's disease · Pregnancy · Perinatal · Maternal · Fetal · Outcomes

Introduction

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a chronic relapsing and remitting disease of the gastrointestinal tract, mainly comprising of Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC). The pathogenesis of IBD is not well understood,

although it is more prevalent among genetically susceptible individuals who are exposed to certain external factors including smoking, stress, microbial agents, drugs, diet, and history of appendectomy [1, 2].

IBD mainly effects young individuals, which includes females who are at their peak reproductive years. These

✉ Zahid Ijaz Tarar
drzahidejaz@hotmail.com

¹ Department of Medicine, University of Missouri School of Medicine, 1 Hospital Dr, Columbia, MO, USA

² Loyola Medicine/MacNeal Hospital, Berwyn, IL, USA

³ Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, PA, USA

⁴ Department of Medicine, Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center, Las Vegas, NV, USA

⁵ Department of Medicine, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

⁶ Department of Gastroenterology, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

⁷ Department of Medicine, Allama Iqbal Medical College, Lahore, Pakistan

⁸ Division of Gastroenterology & Hepatology, School of Medicine at Columbia, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, USA

patients are usually anxious about conceiving due to incurable and relapsing–remitting nature of their disease and the fear of adverse pregnancy outcomes following the use of immunosuppressive therapies. This can also result in stopping the treatment for IBD or poor compliance overall in these women [3–5].

Several studies have been conducted to assess the impact of IBD on fertility and pregnancy outcomes, but the results compiled from these studies are conflicting and lack consensus [6–10]. The disease course during pregnancy is not significantly different from non-pregnant patients and if the disease is in remission before conception, then in majority of the cases it will remain quiescent during the period of gestation [11]. The overall rate of relapse during pregnancy is about 20–35%, but if the disease is not in remission before conception the chances of disease exacerbation while pregnant increase to 60% [12–14]. Due to the increasing concerns of IBD patients and their partners regarding pregnancy outcomes, it is highly recommended that IBD patients planning to get pregnant should undergo objective assessment of their disease status and it should be optimally controlled prior to conception [15].

The aim of our study was to assess the burden of adverse maternal and perinatal outcomes in IBD patients using a large decentralized national inpatient sample (NIS) database. To our knowledge, this is the first study using the NIS data to determine the impact of IBD on pregnancy outcomes.

Methods

Study design and data source

This is a retrospective cohort study of pregnant patients admitted to hospitals in the USA between the years 2016 and 2018. These patients were selected from the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) [16], which is a large publicly available, all-payer inpatient database. The NIS for the years 2016 to 2018 contains data from 48 states plus district of Columbia and represents more than 97% of US population. In this dataset, the hospitals are broadly divided based on teaching or non-teaching status, rural or urban location, bed size, control or ownership, and geographic or regional location. The NIS contains 20% stratified sample of all discharges from more than 4,000 non-federal acute care hospitals in the USA. These discharges are weighted making it nationally representative. Weight is the total number of discharges from all acute care hospital in the USA divided by number of discharges included in the 20% sample. The NIS is the nation's most comprehensive healthcare database, and its large size is ideal for assessing national and regional estimates. It contains both patient- and hospital-related information. Patient level data includes age, gender, zip code, race, range of annual

income, principal diagnosis, secondary diagnosis, list of procedures performed, length of stay (LOS) at hospital, resource utilization, and outcomes which are extracted by utilizing international classification of disease (ICD) codes. Hospital level data includes hospital location, teaching status, and bed size. This NIS data from 2016 to 2018 contains a record of about 21 million hospital discharges.

Study population

Patients with a principal diagnosis of pregnancy were identified using ICD 10th revision codes. The inclusion criteria for the study were as follows: all patients above the age of 15 years with a primary diagnosis of pregnancy including live or still births, which represents pregnancies that lasted beyond 20 weeks of gestation, and a secondary diagnosis of UC or CD. The patients with spontaneous or missed abortion, miscarriage, extra-uterine pregnancy, and non-natural termination were excluded and only codes for final pregnancy outcomes were included to prevent duplication (Fig. 1). Pregnancy and UC or CD as primary and secondary diagnosis respectively were identified using their respective ICD-10 codes (Supplementary file 1). The validity of the codes for pregnancy and associated complications was established from the previously published studies [17, 18].

Study outcomes

The primary outcome was to study the effect of having a comorbidity like IBD on maternal and fetal outcomes. The analyzed primary maternal outcomes were gestational diabetes mellitus (DM) or abnormal glucose tolerance, hypertensive complications (gestational hypertension, pre-eclampsia, eclampsia, and HELLP [hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes and low platelets] syndrome), and postpartum hemorrhage. Fetal outcomes that were studied included fetal growth restriction (FGR), large for gestational age (LGA), pre-term birth, and fetal death. Further sub-group analysis was performed separately in the UC and CD groups to determine the effect of these individual diseases on maternal and perinatal outcomes. The secondary outcomes were LOS and mean hospital charges. Hospital LOS was calculated from the 1st day of hospitalization for labor and delivery to the day of discharge. The mean total charges were determined for these days of hospital stay.

Study variables

The variables of interest were defined based on patient demographics including age on admission, race, ethnicity, zip code, and insurance status. The demographic data was extracted directly from the NIS database. Hospital characteristics included in the study were location, teaching




Fig. 1 Patient selection criteria for study population using the National Inpatient Sample (NIS)

status, and bed size. The other variables of interest were pre-existing comorbidities, which included DM, hypertension, obesity, hyperlipidemia, history of smoking, history of alcohol use, and malnutrition. Chronic inhaled or

systematic corticosteroid use was also defined and added in the analysis. Respective ICD-10 codes were used to define the variables for pre-existing diseases and comorbidities.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using STATA, version 16.1 (College Station, TX, USA). We calculated unbiased results which are nationally representative and in addition can produce variance estimates and *p*-values. Discharge level weights released from HCUP were used to estimate the total number of patients admitted with a principal diagnosis of pregnancy. Fischer exact test was used to compare proportions. Continuous variables were compared by using analysis of variance (> 2 variables) or *t*-test (2 variables). Univariable regression analysis was used to calculate unadjusted odd ratios (ORs) for primary and secondary outcomes. Multivariable regression analysis was performed to adjust for potential confounders and obtain adjusted odds ratios (AORs) for maternal and fetal outcomes. Multivariable Poisson regression was used to determine mean difference in hospital LOS. Variables included in multivariate regression model were age, ethnicity, hospital teaching status, hospital bed size, hospital region, median household income, insurance status, Charlson's comorbidity index (CCI), chronic corticosteroid use, malnutrition, dyslipidemia, obesity, cigarette smoking, and malnutrition. These variables were included as confounders in multivariable regression analysis based on significant association seen on univariate analysis with a cut-off *p*-value of 0.2.

Results

Patient characteristics

The study included 8,079,828 pregnancies between the years 2016 and 2018, out of which 14,129 had IBD (Fig. 1). Mean age of pregnant women with IBD was 30 years, as compared to 28 years in the non-IBD group. Majority of pregnant patients were white (76.92% vs 51.53%), followed by black (10.07% vs 16.04%) and Hispanic (7.43% vs 20.99%). Majority of the study population in both IBD and non-IBD groups had a low CCI, i.e., category 0. Majority of the study population had private insurance (69.29% vs 49.93%), followed by Medicaid (24.38% vs 43.82%) in IBD versus non-IBD groups respectively. More patients were admitted to large urban teaching hospitals in both IBD and non-IBD groups. All these results were statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). Baseline characteristics of the study population are summarized in Table 1.

Incidence of maternal and perinatal complications

Gestational DM or glucose intolerance, hypertensive complications, and postpartum hemorrhage were more common in the IBD group when compared to non-IBD group. The

incidence of gestational DM was 5.94% in IBD group when compared to 5.66% in non-IBD group ($p = 0.04$). Hypertensive complications were present in 2.83% of IBD group patients while only 2.06% of non-IBD group experienced it ($p < 0.01$). There was no difference in incidence of postpartum hemorrhage in pregnant women with IBD or without IBD (3.50% vs 3.25%, $p = 0.55$). Pregnant women with IBD had a higher rate of pre-term delivery compared to those without IBD (2.97% vs 2.06%, $p < 0.01$).

Fetal growth restriction occurred more commonly in the IBD group when compared to non-IBD group (2.37% vs 1.82%, $p = 0.02$), as was fetal death (0.35% vs 0.12%, $p < 0.01$) (Table 2). Although there were higher odds of having a newborn that was LGA in IBD group, the results did not achieve statistical significance (2.02% vs 1.64%, $p = 0.12$).

Adjusted analysis of maternal and perinatal outcomes

Multivariable regression analysis was conducted after adjusting for patient and hospital level confounders. After adjusting for these confounders, pregnant women with IBD had higher odds of being diagnosed with gestational DM or glucose intolerance (AOR 1.55, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.04–2.30, p -value = 0.02) and hypertensive complications (AOR 1.35, 95% CI 1.06–1.72, p -value = 0.01). On multivariate regression analysis of perinatal outcomes, worse fetal outcomes were observed in pregnant women with IBD. Pregnancies with a co-diagnosis of IBD led to poor fetal growth (AOR 1.27, 95% CI 1.00–1.63, $p = 0.04$), higher odds of pre-term delivery, i.e., delivery at < 37 weeks (AOR 1.41, 95% CI 1.13–1.76, $p = 0.003$), and fetal death (AOR 3.21, 95% CI 1.72–6.00, $p < 0.01$). We observed that odds of post-partum hemorrhage and LGA were similar in pregnant IBD patient population when compared to non-IBD group (Table 3).

Maternal and perinatal outcomes in ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease sub-groups

Pregnant women with CD had higher odds of gestational DM and hypertensive complications (AOR 1.89, 95% CI 1.18–3.02, $p = 0.007$; AOR 1.52, 95% CI 1.15–2.02, $p = 0.004$ respectively), whereas odds of gestation DM and hypertensive complications were same in UC patients compared to general pregnancies without co-existent diagnosis of UC (AOR 1.13, 95% CI 0.57–2.26, $p = 0.71$; AOR 1.05, 95% CI 0.68–1.64, $p = 0.82$, respectively). The CD patient group was associated with higher odds of pre-term delivery (AOR 1.19, 95% CI 0.81–1.74, $p = 0.002$), but UC patients did not show increased odds of pre-term delivery (AOR 1.55, 95% CI 1.18–2.04, $p = 0.36$). Higher odds

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of pregnant patients with inflammatory bowel disease

Baseline characteristics	Pregnancy with IBD (<i>n</i> = 14129)	Pregnancy without IBD (<i>n</i> = 8065699)	<i>p</i> -value
Mean age (years)	30	28	
Race [<i>n</i> (%)]			< 0.01
White	10349 (76.92%)	3973221 (51.53%)	
Black	1355 (10.07%)	1236550 (16.04%)	
Hispanic	1000 (7.43%)	1618824 (20.99%)	
Asians	310 (2.30%)	465234 (6.03%)	
Native Americans	60 (0.45%)	55164 (0.72%)	
Others	380 (2.82%)	361619 (4.69%)	
Charlson's comorbidity index			< 0.01
0	12270 (86.84%)	7504963 (93.05%)	
1	1635 (11.57%)	518279 (6.43%)	
2	165 (1.17%)	33990 (0.42%)	
>3	60 (0.42%)	8465 (0.10%)	
Median household income (quartile) [<i>n</i> (%)]*			< 0.01
1st (0–25th)	2245 (16.02%)	2292503 (28.70%)	
2nd (26–50th)	3180 (22.69%)	2031008 (25.43%)	
3rd (51st–75th)	4050 (28.90%)	1950978 (24.43%)	
4th (76th–100th)	4540 (32.39%)	1712489 (21.44%)	
Insurance status [<i>n</i> (%)]			< 0.01
Medicare	340 (2.41%)	62314 (0.77%)	
Medicaid	3445 (24.38%)	3534407 (43.82%)	
Private	9790 (69.29%)	4027591 (49.93%)	
Uninsured	115 (0.81%)	209820 (2.60%)	
Hospital region [<i>n</i> (%)]			< 0.01
Northeast	2925 (20.70%)	1327334 (16.46%)	
Midwest	3430 (24.27%)	1638724 (20.32%)	
South	4870 (34.47%)	3170094 (39.29%)	
West	2905 (20.56%)	1929546 (23.92%)	
Hospital bed size [<i>n</i> (%)]			< 0.01
Small	2340 (16.56%)	1459417 (18.09%)	
Medium	3565 (25.23%)	2469726 (30.62%)	
Large	8225 (58.21%)	4136555 (51.29%)	
Hospital teaching status [<i>n</i> (%)]			< 0.01
Rural	880 (6.23%)	723392 (8.97%)	
Urban non-teaching	2285 (16.17%)	1758984 (21.81%)	
Urban teaching	10965 (77.60%)	5583322 (69.22%)	

*Median household income for the patient's zip code; 1st quartile: \$1–\$42,999, \$1–43,999, \$1–45,999 for NIS 2016, 2017, 2018 respectively. 2nd quartile: \$43,000–\$53,999, \$44,000–\$55,999, \$46,000–\$58,999 for NIS 2016, 2017, and 2018 respectively. 3rd quartile: \$54,000–\$70,999, \$56,000–\$73,999, \$59,000–\$78,999 for NIS 2016, 2017, and 2018 respectively. 4th quartile: > \$71,000, > 74,000, > 79,000 for NIS 2016, 2017, and 2018 respectively

of fetal death (AOR 3.35, 95% CI 1.25–8.98, $p = 0.01$) were seen in UC patient population. Pregnant patients with co-existent UC had comparable odds of postpartum hemorrhage and FGR to the pregnancies without UC (AOR 1.04, 95% CI 0.76–1.43, $p = 0.81$ and AOR 1.09, 95% CI 0.71–1.68, $p = 0.67$, respectively). The CD group was associated with higher odds of FGR (AOR 1.39, 95% CI

1.02–1.88, $p = 0.04$) and fetal death (AOR 3.11, 95% CI 1.39–6.99, $p = 0.006$) but similar odds of postpartum hemorrhage compared to general population (AOR 1.04, 95% CI 0.79–1.38, $p = 0.75$). Both UC and CD groups had similar odds of LGA infants compared to general population (AOR 1.33, 95% CI 0.88–1.99, $p = 0.18$ and AOR 0.88, 95% CI 0.59–1.31, $p = 0.53$, respectively). Table 4 delineates the

Table 2 Incidence of maternal and perinatal outcomes of pregnant patients with or without a co-diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease

	Incidence, <i>n</i> (%)		<i>p</i> -values
	<i>IBD</i>	<i>No IBD</i>	
Maternal outcomes			
Gestational diabetes	5.94% (839)	5.66% (457,085)	0.04
Gestational hypertension	2.83% (400)	2.06% (166914)	<0.01
Postpartum hemorrhage	3.50% (495)	3.27% (264580)	0.55
Perinatal outcomes			
Preterm birth	2.97% (420)	2.06% (166575)	<0.01
Small for gestational age	2.37% (335)	1.82% (147275)	0.02
Large for gestational age	2.02% (287)	1.64% (132690)	0.12
Fetal death	0.35% (49)	0.12% (9425)	<0.01

Preterm birth is defined as delivery before 37 weeks of gestation. *IBD*, inflammatory bowel disease

adjusted analysis of maternal and fetal outcomes in UC and CD sub-groups.

Length of stay and total hospital charges

Pregnant *IBD* patients showed increased mean LOS by 0.14 days (95% CI 0.09–0.18, $p < 0.01$) when compared to non-*IBD* patients. Based on our analysis, average duration of hospital stay for a pregnancy in the USA between 2016 and 2018 was 2.72 days, whereas in pregnant women with concomitant *IBD* the average hospital LOS was 3.27 days. Presence of *IBD* also showed increased mean total hospital charges by \$2741 (95% CI \$1699–3783%, $p < 0.01$) (Table 5).

Table 4 Subgroup analysis of pregnancy and perinatal outcomes in patients with ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease: adjusted analysis

	Ulcerative colitis (<i>n</i> = 5665) AOR, 95% CI	<i>p</i> -value	Crohn disease (<i>n</i> = 8475) AOR, 95% CI	<i>p</i> -value
Maternal outcomes				
Gestational diabetes mellitus	1.13 (0.57–2.26)	0.71	1.89 (1.18–3.02)	0.007
Hypertensive complications	1.05 (0.68–1.64)	0.82	1.52(1.15–2.02)	0.004
Postpartum hemorrhage	1.04 (0.76–1.43)	0.81	1.04 (0.79–1.38)	0.75
Perinatal outcomes				
Preterm delivery (<37 weeks)	1.19 (0.81–1.74)	0.36	1.55 (1.18–2.04)	0.002
Small for gestational age	1.09 (0.71–1.68)	0.67	1.39 (1.02–1.88)	0.04
Large for gestational age	1.33 (0.88–1.99)	0.18	0.88 (0.59–1.31)	0.53
Fetal death	3.35 (1.25–8.98)	0.01	3.11 (1.39–6.99)	0.006

Hypertensive complications include gestational hypertension, pre-eclampsia, eclampsia, and HELLP syndrome

AOR adjusted odds ratio

Table 3 Pregnancy-related maternal and perinatal adverse outcomes: adjusted analyses

	IBD vs. no IBD (AOR, 95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
Maternal outcomes		
Gestational diabetes mellitus	1.55 (1.04–2.3)	0.02
Hypertensive complications	1.35(1.06–1.72)	0.01
Postpartum hemorrhage	1.04 (0.84–1.29)	0.68
Perinatal outcomes		
Preterm delivery (<37 weeks)	1.41 (1.13–1.76)	0.003
Small for gestational age	1.27(1–1.63)	0.04
Large for gestational age	1.05(0.79–1.40)	0.69
Fetal death	3.21(1.72–6.00)	<0.01

Hypertensive complications include gestational hypertension, pre-eclampsia, eclampsia, and HELLP syndrome

IBD inflammatory bowel disease, AOR adjusted odds ratio

Discussion

In the current study, we used the NIS, which is the largest all payer US healthcare database, to analyze the effect of *IBD* on maternal and fetal outcomes. Overall, pregnant women with *IBD* experienced worse maternal and perinatal outcomes when compared to their counterparts without *IBD*. Even after adjusting for pre-existing maternal metabolic conditions and harmful lifestyle habits, *IBD* was associated with greater odds of gestational diabetes, and gestational hypertension-associated complications including pre-eclampsia, eclampsia, HELLP syndrome, and postpartum hemorrhage. Perinatal outcomes were also adversely affected in pregnant women with *IBD* when compared to non-*IBD* pregnant group. We observed higher odds of FGR, pre-term birth, and fetal death, after adjusting for maternal metabolic conditions and lifestyle habits.

Table 5 Adjusted odds of resource utilization in pregnant women with inflammatory bowel disease

Outcomes	Pregnancy with IBD	Pregnancy without IBD	<i>p</i> -value
Mean LOS (days)	3.27 days	2.72 days	< 0.03
Adjusted analysis	Co-efficient	95% CI	<i>p</i>-value
Adjusted mean difference in length of hospital stay (days)	0.14	(0.09–0.18)	< 0.01
Adjusted mean difference in total hospital charges (\$)	\$2741	(\$1699–\$3783)	< 0.01

IBD inflammatory bowel disease, *LOS* length of stay

In sub-group analysis of pregnant women with UC and CD, we found that CD patients showed higher adjusted odds of worse maternal and fetal outcomes, except it was not associated with higher risk of LGA infants. Contrary to this, UC patients' group had comparable odds of maternal and fetal outcomes to pregnancies in general population without UC, except it was associated with higher odds of fetal death. This was true even after adjusting for maternal metabolic diseases and lifestyle habits including smoking and alcohol abuse. Furthermore, even after adjusting for long-term use of inhaled and systemic corticosteroids, IBD in general and CD and UC independently were associated with poor maternal and perinatal outcomes. We also found that pregnant women with IBD have prolonged mean hospital LOS and increased resource utilization.

Previous studies on IBD affecting pregnancy showed conflicting evidence. Lee et al. studied the effect of IBD on pregnancy in a Korean cohort and concluded that women with moderate to severe IBD are associated with worse maternal and fetal outcomes, but with mild disease there was no difference in outcomes between the IBD and control group [3]. These results were further reinforced by Kammerlander et al., who studied the effect of disease severity on pregnancy outcomes and concluded that moderate to severe disease was associated with increased odds of low birth weight and pre-term delivery [19].

Baird et al. reported that women diagnosed with IBD had low pregnancy and fertility rates, but this low fertility rate was not secondary to disease effect rather it was a voluntary childlessness resulting from fear of worse pregnancy outcome [20]. Selinger et al. studied the rate of fertility in IBD patients and found that 17% of women chose not to conceive and the most important factor behind this decision was poor knowledge of disease and disease burden [5]. Contrary to this, Mayberry et al. documented that women with IBD had low fertility, regardless of the bowel segment involved [21]. Based on these results, it is evident that these women are fearful of having children or suffering from sub-fertility. Hence, better counselling and guidance is needed in this potentially high-risk pregnancy population.

In our analysis, we found that IBD patients in general and those with CD independently were associated with increased odds of gestational diabetes and glucose intolerance as compared to non-IBD control group. Patients with UC did not show increased risk of gestational DM and glucose intolerance. Tandon et al. performed a meta-analysis to study the risk of pregnancy outcomes in IBD patients and documented that gestational diabetes was more common in the IBD group. They reported no increased odds of developing gestational diabetes in pregnant IBD patients on long-term corticosteroids [22]. Another study by Leung et al. showed that IBD is independently associated with increased risk of gestational diabetes regardless of corticosteroid use, which further strengthens our findings [23]. We also found increased odds of gestational hypertension-associated complications like pre-eclampsia, eclampsia, and HELLP syndrome in IBD as well as UC and CD patient sub-groups. This is in consensus to previous findings reported by Boyd et al. that showed increased incidence of pre-eclampsia in IBD patients, but Boyd et al. labelled oral corticosteroid use as major contributing factor for the development of these complications [24]. Interestingly in our study we demonstrated that even after adjusting for long-term corticosteroid use, these pregnancies still carry a high risk for developing such complications.

Our analysis showed worse perinatal outcomes in pregnant women with IBD when compared to non-IBD controls. We included preterm birth, FGR, LGA, and fetal death as our outcomes of interest. Similar results were seen in many of the previous studies [3, 8, 9, 25–27]. In contrast, Bortoli et al. conducted a prospective study and found that IBD patients had similar perinatal outcomes when compared to non-IBD controls [28] and a study from Nogard et al. seconds these results [7]. It is still ambiguous if active disease results in worse fetal outcomes, and what the effect of IBD therapy can have on fetal outcomes. The studies performed earlier have not reached a clear conclusion. Baiocco et al. reported that active disease is associated with worse perinatal outcomes and treatment medications are not the central reason for these poor outcomes [29]. These results were reinforced by the study conducted by Oron et al., who found that active disease at conception

is associated with adverse birth outcomes [30]. Contrary to these findings, a study performed by de Lima et al. showed that disease relapse was not associated with adverse birth outcomes in IBD patients [31]. Based on our analysis we suggest that co-diagnosis of IBD is associated with worse fetal outcomes irrespective of the disease activity status. Corticosteroids are usually prescribed in active disease; in our analysis, even after adjusting for long-term corticosteroid use, pregnancy outcome remained the same.

Our study is first one in which NIS has been analyzed to determine the hospital resource utilization in pregnant women with IBD and we concluded that co-diagnosis of IBD was associated with increased LOS and mean hospital charges. The possible explanation for increased resource utilization in pregnant IBD patients is complexity of their disease, increased risk of adverse maternal and fetal outcome and high rate of birth through Cesarean section. Several such high-risk pregnancies are sometimes complicated with a co-existing IBD-related disease flare which may require additional days of hospitalization to control the bowel inflammation. Some of the medications, especially the biologicals, are quite expensive and add to the increased cost of care for IBD patients.

Our study has certain limitations; first, it is a retrospective study performed using administrative and claims-based datasets, which are susceptible to misclassification, missing codes, and inaccurate coding. Secondly, our study did not have randomization and blinding which limits the interpretation of results. In addition, NIS does not provide information about all the medications and laboratory values which limits us in analyzing the effect of various medications on patients' outcomes. The NIS also lacks data on relapse and course of the disease.

Despite these limitations, our study has many strengths. To our knowledge, this is the most up to date study performed using the US inpatient database to determine the effect of IBD on maternal and fetal outcomes. Around 48 states share their data with NIS which increases the sample size and makes it nationally representative. Moreover, NIS eliminates the commonly encountered limitation of single-center studies by allowing the use of a nationally representative large sample size. The other striking feature of our study is that we adjusted our result for long term inhaled and oral corticosteroid use which is associated with worse maternal and fetal outcomes.

Conclusions

Inflammatory bowel disease is an incurable disease, and its relapsing and remitting nature is stressful for the patients. It is a disease mainly seen in young population, majority of whom are diagnosed in their 20's and 30's which coincides

with the peak fertility period. Pregnant women with IBD experience worse maternal and fetal outcomes regardless of prolonged corticosteroid use. There are higher odds of gestational DM, hypertensive complications, preterm births, and fetal deaths among IBD patients. Mothers with a history of IBD stay longer in hospitals and utilize more resources after delivery. Women with IBD voluntarily avoid pregnancy due to the fear of complications. We suggest that women who have moderate to severe disease should get pre-conceptional counselling and be treated aggressively to achieve remission prior to getting pregnant. Due to limited knowledge regarding pregnancy outcomes in IBD patients, large multi-center prospective studies are needed to develop a consensus on IBD management and conception guidelines.

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00384-022-04185-9>.

Author contribution ZT, UF, FK, SS, YG: concept and design of the study, data collection, data analysis, interpretation of results, writing of manuscript and final revision. GG, UZ, FI, AN: interpretation of results and manuscript writing. All authors approved the final version of manuscript.

Data availability Study data can be shared on request.

Code availability None.

Declarations

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Ethics approval This research study was conducted retrospectively from data obtained for clinical purposes. We consulted extensively with the IRB at the University of Missouri School of Medicine who determined that our study did not need ethical approval. An IRB official waiver of ethical approval was granted from the IRB.

Consent to participate It is a retrospective study and consent is not required.

Consent for publication It is a retrospective study and consent is not required.

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Danese S, Sans M, Focchi C (2004) Inflammatory bowel disease: the role of environmental factors. *Autoimmun Rev* 3(5):394–400
2. Podolsky DK (2002) Inflammatory bowel disease. *N Engl J Med* 347(6):417–429
3. Lee HH et al (2020) Pregnancy outcomes in women with inflammatory bowel disease: a 10-year nationwide population-based cohort study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 51(9):861–869
4. Mountfield R et al (2009) Fear and fertility in inflammatory bowel disease: a mismatch of perception and reality affects family planning decisions. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 15(5):720–725

5. Selinger CP, Ghorayeb J, Madill A (2016) What factors might drive voluntary childlessness (VC) in women with IBD? Does IBD-specific pregnancy-related knowledge matter? *J Crohns Colitis* 10(10):1151–1158
6. van der Woude CJ et al (2015) The second European evidenced-based consensus on reproduction and pregnancy in inflammatory bowel disease. *J Crohns Colitis* 9(2):107–124
7. Nørgård B et al (2000) Birth outcomes of women with ulcerative colitis: a nationwide Danish cohort study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 95(11):3165–3170
8. Mahadevan U et al (2007) Pregnancy outcomes in women with inflammatory bowel disease: a large community-based study from Northern California. *Gastroenterology* 133(4):1106–1112
9. Stephansson O et al (2010) Crohn's disease is a risk factor for preterm birth. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 8(6):509–515
10. Nielsen OH et al (1983) Pregnancy in ulcerative colitis. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 18(6):735–742
11. Ferguson CB, Mahsud-Dornan S, Patterson RN (2008) Inflammatory bowel disease in pregnancy. *Bmj* 337(7662):a427
12. Mogadam M et al (1981) The course of inflammatory bowel disease during pregnancy and postpartum. *Am J Gastroenterol* 75(4):265–269
13. Fonager K et al (1998) Pregnancy outcome for women with Crohn's disease: a follow-up study based on linkage between national registries. *Am J Gastroenterol* 93(12):2426–2430
14. Miller JP (1986) Inflammatory bowel disease in pregnancy: a review. *J R Soc Med* 79(4):221–225
15. Nguyen GC et al (2016) The Toronto consensus statements for the management of inflammatory bowel disease in pregnancy. *Gastroenterology* 150(3):734–757.e1
16. Databases H (2019) Healthcare cost and utilization project (HCUP). Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, MD
17. Sarkar M et al (2020) Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in pregnancy is associated with adverse maternal and perinatal outcomes. *J Hepatol* 73(3):516–522
18. Wang CW et al (2022) Outcomes of pregnancy in autoimmune hepatitis: a population-based study. *Hepatology* 75(1):5–12
19. Kammerlander H et al (2017) The effect of disease activity on birth outcomes in a nationwide cohort of women with moderate to severe inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 23(6):1011–1018
20. Baird DD, Narendranathan M, Sandler RS (1990) Increased risk of preterm birth for women with inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology* 99(4):987–994
21. Mayberry JF, Weterman IT (1986) European survey of fertility and pregnancy in women with Crohn's disease: a case control study by European collaborative group. *Gut* 27(7):821–825
22. Tandon P et al (2020) Systematic review with meta-analysis: risk of adverse pregnancy-related outcomes in inflammatory bowel disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 51(3):320–333
23. Leung YP et al (2015) Intrapartum corticosteroid use significantly increases the risk of gestational diabetes in women with inflammatory bowel disease. *J Crohns Colitis* 9(3):223–230
24. Boyd HA et al (2015) Inflammatory bowel disease and risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes. *PLoS ONE* 10(6):e0129567
25. Getahun D et al (2014) Association between maternal inflammatory bowel disease and adverse perinatal outcomes. *J Perinatol* 34(6):435–440
26. Ito A et al (2018) Relationship between the clinical course of ulcerative colitis during pregnancy and the outcomes of pregnancy: a retrospective evaluation. *Intern Med* 57(2):159–164
27. Cornish J et al (2007) A meta-analysis on the influence of inflammatory bowel disease on pregnancy. *Gut* 56(6):830–837
28. Bortoli A et al (2011) Pregnancy outcome in inflammatory bowel disease: prospective European case-control ECCO-EpiCom study, 2003–2006. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 34(7):724–734
29. Baiocco PJ, Korelitz BI (1984) The influence of inflammatory bowel disease and its treatment on pregnancy and fetal outcome. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 6(3):211–216
30. Oron G et al (2012) Inflammatory bowel disease: risk factors for adverse pregnancy outcome and the impact of maternal weight gain. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 25(11):2256–2260
31. de Lima-Karagiannis A, Zelinkova-Detkova Z, van der Woude CJ (2016) The effects of active IBD during pregnancy in the era of novel IBD therapies. *Am J Gastroenterol* 111(9):1305–1312

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.