



Performance of age-adjusted D-dimer cut-off to rule out pulmonary embolism

Submitted by Emmanuel Lemoine on Tue, 12/16/2014 - 10:55

Titre	Performance of age-adjusted D-dimer cut-off to rule out pulmonary embolism
Type de publication	Article de revue
Auteur	Penaloza, Andrea [1], Roy, Pierre-Marie [2], Kline, J. [3], Verschuren, F. [4], Le Gal, Grégoire [5], Quentin-Georget, S. [6], Delvau, N. [7], Thys, Frédéric [8]
Editeur	Wiley
Type	Article scientifique dans une revue à comité de lecture
Année	2012
Langue	Anglais
Date	2012/07/01
Numéro	7
Pagination	1291 - 1296
Volume	10
Titre de la revue	Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis
ISSN	1538-7836
Mots-clés	D-dimer [9], pulmonary embolism [10], rule-out [11]
Résumé en anglais	<p>Background: Age-adjusted D-dimer cut-off has recently been proposed to increase D-dimer usefulness in older patients suspected of pulmonary embolism (PE). Objective: We externally validated this age-adjusted D-dimer cut-off using different D-dimer assays in a multicenter sample of emergency department patients. Methods: Secondary analysis of three prospectively collected databases (two European, one American) of patients suspected of having PE. D-dimer performance for ruling out PE was assessed by calculating negative likelihood ratio (nLR) for D-dimer with age-adjusted D-dimer cut-off (< age × 10 in patients over 50 years) and with conventional cut-off (< 500 µg dL⁻¹). Test efficiency was assessed by the number needed to test (NNT) to rule out PE in one patient. Results: Among 4537 patients included, overall PE prevalence was 10.1%. In the overall population, nLR was 0.06 (95% confidence interval, 0.03–0.09) with conventional cut-off and 0.08 (0.05–0.12) with age-adjusted cut-off. Using age-adjusted cut-off, nLR was 0.08, 0.09 and 0.06 for Vidas®, Liatest® and MDA® assays, respectively. Use of age-adjusted cut-off produced a favorable effect on NNT in the elderly; the greatest decrease was observed in patients > 75 years: NTT halved from 8.1 to 3.6. The proportion of patients over 75 years with normal D-dimer was doubled (27.9% vs. 12.3%). Conclusions: Our study shows that age-adjusted D-dimer had low nLR, allowing its use as a rule-out PE strategy in non-high pretest clinical probability patients, as well as using Vidas®, Liatest® or MDA® assays. This age-adjusted cut-off increased clinical usefulness of D-dimer in older patients. A large prospective study is required to confirm these results.</p>
URL de la notice	http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications/ua6487 [12]
DOI	10.1111/j.1538-7836.2012.04769.x [13]

Liens

- [1] [http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f\[author\]=10242](http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f[author]=10242)
- [2] <http://okina.univ-angers.fr/pierremarie.roy/publications>
- [3] [http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f\[author\]=10260](http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f[author]=10260)
- [4] [http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f\[author\]=10130](http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f[author]=10130)
- [5] [http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f\[author\]=10012](http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f[author]=10012)
- [6] [http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f\[author\]=10261](http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f[author]=10261)
- [7] [http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f\[author\]=10262](http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f[author]=10262)
- [8] [http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f\[author\]=10051](http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f[author]=10051)
- [9] [http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f\[keyword\]=10583](http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f[keyword]=10583)
- [10] [http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f\[keyword\]=10587](http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f[keyword]=10587)
- [11] [http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f\[keyword\]=10659](http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications?f[keyword]=10659)
- [12] <http://okina.univ-angers.fr/publications/ua6487>
- [13] <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1538-7836.2012.04769.x>

Publié sur *Okina* (<http://okina.univ-angers.fr>)