This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Ruggieri, P., Benassi, M., Materia, S. et al. *On the role of Eurasian autumn snow cover in dynamical seasonal predictions.* Climate Dynamics 58, 2031–2045 (2022).

The final published version is available online at: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-021-06016-z</u>

Rights / License:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<u>https://cris.unibo.it/</u>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Noname manuscript No. (will be inserted by the editor)

## <sup>1</sup> On the role of Eurasian autumn snow cover in <sup>2</sup> dynamical seasonal predictions

- <sup>3</sup> Paolo Ruggieri, Marianna Benassi, Stefano
- <sup>4</sup> Materia, Daniele Peano, Constantin
- 5 Ardilouze, Lauriane Batté, Silvio Gualdi

7 Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract Seasonal predictions leverage on predictable or persistent components 8 of the Earth system that can modify the state of the atmosphere. The land surface 9 provides predictability through various mechanisms, including snow cover, with 10 particular reference to Autumn snow cover over the Eurasian continent. The snow 11 cover alters the energy exchange between surface and atmosphere and induces a di-12 abatic cooling that in turn can affect the atmosphere locally and remotely. Lagged 13 relationships between snow cover in Eurasia and atmospheric modes of variability 14 in the Northern Hemisphere have been documented but are deemed to be non-15 stationary and climate models typically do not reproduce observed relationships 16 with consensus. The role of the snow in recent dynamical seasonal forecasts is 17 therefore unclear. Here we assess the role of Autumn Eurasian snow cover in a set 18 of 5 operational seasonal forecasts with large ensemble size and high resolution 19 and with the help of targeted idealised simulations. Forecast systems reproduce 20 realistically regional changes of the surface energy balance. Retrospective forecasts 21 and idealised sensitivity experiments identify a coherent change of the circulation 22 in the Northern Hemisphere. The main features of the atmospheric response are 23 a wave-train downstream over the Pacific and North America and a signal in the 24 Arctic. The latter does not emerge in reanalysis data but is compatible with a 25 lagged but weak and fast feedback from the snow to the Arctic Oscillation. 26

27 Keywords Seasonal climate predictions · Eurasian Snow Cover

#### F. Author

Marianna Benassi, Daniele Peano, Stefano Materia Fondazione CMCC, Bologna, Italy,

Constantin Ardilouze, Lauriane Batté CNRM, Météo-France, CNRS

Silvio Gualdi Fondazione CMCC, Bologna and Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Bologna

Department of Physics and Astronomy,

University of Bologna, Via Irnerio, 46, 40122, Bologna Italy and Fondazione CMCC, Bologna, Italy E-mail: paolo.ruggieri2@unibo.com

#### 28 1 Introduction

The role of autumn Eurasian snow cover for the interannual variability of the 29 Northern Hemisphere climate was examined by many studies. Observational evi-30 dence promoted the concept of a causal relationship between snow cover and the 31 Northern Hemisphere annular mode. This linkage is also exemplified by the rela-32 tionship between snow cover and the near-surface Arctic Oscillation (AO) or the 33 North Atlantic Oscillation [NAO, Saito and Cohen, 2003, Garfinkel et al., 2020]. 34 The AO/NAO are dominant modes of variability for the circulation of, respectively, 35 the Northern Hemisphere and the north Atlantic. Emphasis has been posed on a 36 stratospheric pathway [Cohen et al., 2014a, 2007] that can be explained in terms of 37 a coupled troposphere-stratosphere adjustment to surface forcing [Reichler et al., 38 2005, Fletcher et al., 2007]. This mechanism has implications for predictability on 39 subseasonal and seasonal time scales, as it implies that autumn snow cover induces 40 circulation changes in late autumn and in winter. 41 Years of development led to established empirical forecasts of either the AO or 42 the NAO that are based also on indices of snow cover variability or support indi-43 rectly the role of the snow [e.g. Wang et al., 2017, Cohen and Jones, 2011]. More 44 recently, snow cover has been successfully exploited in the implementation of a 45 hybrid statistical-dynamical forecast of the NAO via a redefinition (subsampling) 46 of the ensemble that involves the state of snow cover [Dobrynin et al., 2018]. A 47 number of studies demonstrated a snow-AO mechanism in idealised simulations 48 [e.g. Smith et al., 2010, Fletcher et al., 2009, Orsolini and Kvamstø, 2009]. These 49 studies find an impact of the snow cover on the circulation over the Pacific and 50 North America that leads to enhanced wave propagation into the stratosphere. 51 Nonetheless, Hardiman et al. [2008] showed that CMIP3 climate models do not 52 reproduce the observed snow-stratosphere linkage and therefore fail to reproduce 53 the impact on the AO/NAO. More recently, Gastineau et al. [2017] identified a 54 snow-AO relationship in a small subset of CMIP5 models and called for targeted 55 experiments to assess the causal relationship. Furtado et al. [2015] also confirmed 56 that the relationship is not robust in CMIP5 models but emerges in some time in-57 tervals, indicating a non-stationarity of the process, and revealed a common bias in 58 the modelled snow variability. Along these lines, recent studies [Peings et al., 2013, 59 Douville et al., 2017] highlighted the non-stationarity of the observed snow-AO re-60 lationship in past decades. Li et al. [2019] examined the role of snow initialisation 61 in a seasonal forecast system and suggested a compromise of a weak feedback of 62 the snow onto the AO/NAO [see also Orsolini et al., 2016, Garfinkel et al., 2020]. 63 Non-stationarity and model dependence of the snow-AO relationship pose ques-64 tions on the role of snow in modern dynamical seasonal forecasts and the lack of a 65 multi-model assessment in an operational context is potentially a gap in our view 66 of the linkage. In this study we investigate the relationship between Eurasian snow 67 cover variability in a set of state-of-art operational forecast systems. As discussed 68 in section 2, by using the Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) retrospective 69 forecasts, we can take advantage of large ensembles and high resolution models. 70 We demonstrate consensus and realism in how models reproduce the modulation 71 of the surface energy balance by snow cover variability. As the hindcast time series 72 is short and the sampling of the state of the climate system is poor, signals in the 73 atmosphere can be explained by a forcing other than the snow or more generally 74 by a predictable component of the system. One example is variability of sea ice in 75

the Eurasian sector of the Arctic, which is known to be covariant with Eurasian 76 snow [Cohen et al., 2014b, Gastineau et al., 2017] and has been linked with cir-77 culation changes in polar and subpolar regions [Ruggieri et al., 2016] on seasonal 78 time scales. Similarly, the winter circulation in the Northern Hemisphere can be 79 affected by a range of phenomena. Noticeable examples are El Nino Southern Os-80 cillation and the Pacific-Decadal Oscillation [Benassi et al., 2021, Rao et al., 2019], 81 the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation [Rao et al., 2020], the Madden-Julian Oscillation 82 [Wang et al., 2020], the Atlantic Multidecadal Variability [Ruggieri et al., 2021, 83 Ruprich-Robert et al., 2017]. To account for the shortness of the hindcast period 84 and corroborate the attribution of the remote atmospheric adjustment, idealised 85 simulations with prescribed snow cover are performed. The synthesis of this com-86 bined approach allows to identify robust features of the atmospheric response to 87 snow cover and disclose potential applications. Results are presented in section 3 88

<sup>89</sup> and discussed in section 4.

#### 90 2 Methodology

91 2.1 Reanalysis, seasonal forecast and idealised simulations

The ERA5 [Hersbach et al., 2020] and ERA5-Land [Muñoz-Sabater et al., 2021] 92 reanalyses of the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecast are used 93 in this study to obtain an estimate of the state of the surface energy balance and of 94 the atmospheric circulation associated with Eurasian snow cover variability. Data 95 used include snow cover, two-metre temperature, sea level pressure, geopotential 96 height, surface thermal and shortwave net radiation, surface latent and sensible 97 heat flux. Data are obtained on a monthly basis from the Copernicus Data Store 98 on the native grid. Results presented in the study also include the analysis of ret-99 rospective forecasts performed with 5 operational forecast systems contributing 100 to the Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S). The retrospective forecasts or 101 hindcasts cover the period from 1993 to 2016 and we use the nominal start date 102 of October 1st. Models used are summarised in table 1 and details on model fea-103 tures and initialisation techniques are available through the C3S documentation 104 (https://confluence.ecmwf.int/display/CKB/C3S+Seasonal+Forecasts). A quali-105 tative description of the snow cover initialisation technique is given in table 1. 106 Some models are initialised via a reanalysis field, other via a forced run, one in-107 directly via a coupled run with assimilation in the atmosphere. One advantage 108 of these forecast systems is the relatively high number of ensemble members that 109 allows to identify small signals. A drawback of the approach is that the time series 110 is short, a fact that undermines a clean attribution of the signal to snow cover vari-111 ability. To corroborate findings obtained with seasonal forecast and to facilitate 112 the attribution of atmospheric signals to snow cover related surface forcing, we 113 use idealized simulations with atmospheric general circulation models (AGCMs) 114 performed within the MEDSCOPE project (https://www.medscope-project.eu/). 115 Models used are the atmospheric component of CMCC-SPS3 [Sanna et al., 2016, , 116 one of the models contributing to the C3S forecast] hereafter referred to as CMCC-117 AGCM, and the ARPEGE-Climat 6.3 [Roehrig et al., 2020] hereafter referred to 118 as MF-AGCM. A reference control (hereafter CONTROL) simulation and a forced 119 experiment (hereafter SNOW), designed to mimic a snow cover increase in Eurasia 120

Please give a shorter version with: \authorrunning and \titlerunning prior to \maketitle

(i.e. 42.5 to 72.5 °N, 40 to 180°E), have been performed. CONTROL is a 50-year 121 integration with climatological monthly SSTs [computed over the period 1981-122 2010 from the HadiSST ocean analysis, Rayner et al., 2003 and using perpetual 123 radiative forcing at year 2000 (i.e. present-day conditions), after spinup. On the 124 other hand, SNOW is a 50-member ensemble of 6-month long integrations from 125 the 1st of October with initial conditions taken from CONTROL. To prescribe an 126 arbitrary snow cover field, the models land surface schemes have been modified 127 to inhibit the interactive snow formation, accumulation, and melt over the region 128 of interest. The methodology adapts the approach applied by Hauser et al. [2017] 129 on soil moisture to snow water equivalent. With this method we restore the snow 130 cover to a stationary state for the first two months of integration, while from De-131 cember this constraint is not further applied and the system is free to evolve. The 132 snow water equivalent values are prescribed to the average November snow water 133 equivalent computed over the upper 25th percentile of the observed distribution 134 in the 1913-2012 period from the NOAA-20CR reanalysis [as in Douville et al., 135 2017]. The quality of snow cover data in this reanalysis is also discussed in Douville 136 137 et al. [2017]. As shown in the Results section, this definition imposes a forcing that mimics observed features of snow cover variability. 138

139 2.2 Methods

To quantify the variability of snow cover in Eurasia we introduce the Eurasian 140 Snow Cover (ESC) index adapted from Douville et al. [2017]. Compared to Douville 141 et al. [2017], we apply a small adjustment to the latitudinal boundaries to facilitate 142 the implementation of a snow cover restoring in idealised simulations. The ESC 143 index is defined as the area-weighted average of the snow cover area fraction (snow 144 cover) of ERA5-Land in the domain 40-180°E, 42.5-72.5°N in October. This index 145 is therefore proportional to the fraction of the domain covered by snow. Note 146 that in the reanalysis the snow cover is diagnosed from other prognostic variables. 147 The choice of the the snow cover over other snow-related variables is guided by its 148 established impact with the near-surface climate and is common to previous studies 149 [e.g. Douville et al., 2017, Gastineau et al., 2017]. For models, linear regressions 150 are computed using the ESC index of the reanalysis as predictor and the ensemble 151 mean of a modelled quantity as predict and. Statistical significance for regressions 152 has been computed using the Wald test [Wald and Wolfowitz, 1940] to reject the 153 null hypothesis that the slope is zero. The atmospheric response to snow forcing 154 in idealised experiments is defined as the difference between the ensemble mean of 155 SNOW and the ensemble mean of CONTROL. Statistical significance for idealised 156 experiments is assessed using a t-test to reject the null hypothesis that the means 157 belong to the same distribution. The AO pattern is obtained as the first empirical 158 orthogonal function of monthly sea level pressure, computed separately for each 159 month. The strength of the stratospheric polar vortex is diagnosed with the average 160 of the zonal mean zonal wind at 10 hPa in the band 50-65 °N [see e.g. Palmeiro 161 et al., 2015]. 162

#### 163 3 Results

<sup>164</sup> This section is divided into three parts. The first one where the relationship be-<sup>165</sup> tween snow cover, the local surface energy balance and the circulation in seasons

<sup>166</sup> ahead is investigated using a reanalysis. In the second one, linear regressions based

<sup>167</sup> on seasonal hindcasts are analysed to identify a predictable component of the at-

<sup>168</sup> mospheric response to snow cover variability. In the third and final one, results

<sup>169</sup> presented in previous sections 3.1 and 3.2 are discussed in view of a set of idealised

<sup>170</sup> sensitivity model simulations in which snow cover is increased in Eurasia.

#### <sup>171</sup> 3.1 Snow cover variability and teleconnection in the reanalysis

The time series of the ESC index in the period covered by C3S hindcasts is shown 172 in Fig. 1 by a solid black line. We note a peak around year 2000 followed by a 173 drop between 2003 and 2012 and a second peak at the end of the time series. 174 This behaviour is broadly in agreement with satellite-derived estimates of snow 175 cover [not shown, Estilow et al., 2015]. The role of snow cover in the surface energy 176 balance was examined by Cohen and Rind [1991] and the impact of the snow cover 177 variability on surface energy fluxes is demonstrated by Fletcher et al. [2009, see 178 their figure 1]. The presence of snow increases the reflected amount of shortwave 179 radiation by increasing the surface albedo and cools the surface. A cooler surface 180 leads to reduced thermal and turbulent heat fluxes. Overall the combined effect of 181 these changes cools the atmosphere and reduces the near surface air temperature. 182 We see in Fig. 1 that the ESC index explains a large fraction of surface flux 183 variability in its domain, with the correlation between ESC and the net shortwave 184 flux reaching 0.75 (for the other components it is about -0.66). Note that in Fig. 1 185 the sign of the sum of thermal radiation and turbulent heat flux has been reversed 186 to facilitate the comparison with the other time series. The correlation between 187 the ESC index and grid point values of snow cover is shown in Fig. 2. It can be seen 188 that the variability in the period 1993-2016 described by the ESC index is mostly 189 representative of the western part of the sector, although positive correlations are 190 found over more than 90% of the land grid points in the domain. Fig. 2 also 191 suggests that October snow cover anomalies persist in November in some regions, 192 and up to winter in the Ural region. We conclude that the ESC index is associated 193 with regional snow cover variability in a vast portion of Eurasia and is closely 194 representative of snow cover variability in the Ural-Western-Siberia region. We 195 examine hereafter the surface energy balance and to account for the persistence 196 of the snow cover anomalies (Fig. 2) we look at October-November bimonthly 197 averages. 198

<sup>199</sup> The relationship between the ESC index and the local surface energy balance <sup>200</sup> in the reanalysis is examined in Fig. 3. Grey rectangles encompass the area used <sup>201</sup> to compute averaged quantities displayed in Fig. 1. We can identify three regions <sup>202</sup> where a considerable fraction of the variability of surface fluxes is explained by <sup>203</sup> the ESC index: in the Ural region, in Eastern Siberia and in Western Siberia. In <sup>204</sup> these regions, correlations reach about  $\pm 0.75$  and a linear regression suggests that <sup>205</sup> the ESC index can explain up to about 10 Wm<sup>-2</sup>.

The surface shortwave flux is positively correlated also in smaller scale regions in East Asia and a negative correlation is found in a small area to the south  ${f P}$  lease give a shorter version with: \authorrunning and \titlerunning prior to \maketitle

and to the east of the Central Siberian Plateau. The negative correlation can be 208 interpreted in terms of a weaker snow cover variability in this region. The sum 209 of other components of the energy flux (Fig. 3,c) confirms the regional signature 210 of snow cover variability found in Fig. 3,a. These findings hold for the individual 211 addenda of Fig. 3,c (not shown). Such alteration of the surface energy balance 212 leads to a cooler atmosphere, as confirmed by Fig. 3,d, where we see that negative 213 correlations with near-surface air temperature cover most of the domain. The snow 214 cover variability captured by the ESC index can modulate the near surface air 215 temperature in Eurasia by 4 K, presumably with a stronger impact on the western 216 part of the domain, where also changes in surface fluxes are stronger. A fraction of 217 the temperature signal is likely explained by the atmospheric circulation in October 218 (Fig. 4,a), that features a barotropic low over western Siberia and the northerly 219 flow over the Ural region, coincident with the most negative correlation in Fig. 3,d. 220 A similar pattern, prior to episodes of snow cover increase in western Siberia on 221 an intraseasonal time scale, is discussed by Song and Wu [2019]. Moreover, we see 222 223 a barotropic high in the Arctic, from the Barents to the Laptev sea, and a surface 224 high in Eastern Siberia, both are statistically significant at the chosen confidence level and the latter is likely explained by a shallow thermodynamic adjustment 225 to local snow cover increase, perhaps in phase with an independent surface high. 226 With the same rationale, the low over Siberia could be masking the snow feedback 227 on sea level pressure. These statements are discussed more in details in section 3.3. 228 In November (not shown) a signal is found in the extratropical Pacific with a deep 229 low covering most of the Northern part of the ocean and high located to the North. 230 A shallow high over Barents sea and Urals is also found and the barotropic signal 231 in the Pacific is persistent up to December and is detectable in seasonal average 232 of NDJ (Fig. 4,b). The lack of a negative AO response in winter is noticeable 233 and is common also to late winter (Fig. 4,c and d). The picture given by Fig. 4 is 234 likely to be contaminated by atmospheric noise that is unrelated to nor induced by 235 snow cover or any deterministic surface forcing. Ensembles of dynamical seasonal 236 forecast can help us detect a predictable component of the feedback of the snow 237 onto the atmosphere and they are analysed in section 3.2. 238

<sup>239</sup> 3.2 Eurasian snow cover in recent seasonal forecasts

Results presented in this section are based on regressions onto the ERA5-Land 240 ESC index of atmospheric and surface variables in a multi-model ensemble of sea-241 sonal forecasts. The regressions is computed for ensemble mean quantities of each 242 model separately, over the period (1993-2016). Models used are described in sec-243 tion 2.1 and in table 1. In Figs. 5 and 6 we can see that all models simulate the 244 observed modulation of the surface energy balance by snow cover and they repro-245 duce regional features with good accuracy. In seasonal hindcasts, as for ERA5, 246 the surface forcing to the atmosphere associated with snow cover variability is 247 particularly strong in the Ural-Western-Siberia region. This analysis shows that 248 the current generation of dynamical seasonal forecasts reproduces the signature 249 of snow cover variability on a regional scale. We can therefore investigate the de-250 pendence of the forecast of the tropospheric circulation on the snow cover extent 251 in Eurasia. In Fig. 7 we see the multi-model mean of the regression of Z500 and 252 SLP, i.e. the mean of the 5 regression coefficients computed for each model alone. 253

We can see that in regions where a signal emerges all models agree on the sign of 254 the regression (stippled regions). This result indicates that models agree on simu-255 lating a linear dependence of the forecast on the Eurasian snow cover variability 256 captured by the ESC index. It also reassures that signals in the multimodel mean 257 are not dominated by one or some of the models of the ensemble. It can be seen 258 that, in October (Fig. 7,a), all models show a wave-train from the North Atlantic 259 which at 500 hPa peaks with a low over Siberia, where there is no signal in the 260 sea level pressure. The surface pressure peaks instead in the Barents sea and in 261 Scandinavia. The Scandinavian pattern and the low over western Siberia are pre-262 cursors of snow cover increase in the region [Gastineau et al., 2017, Song and Wu, 263 2019, but a similar pattern emerges also in response to snow cover increase, as 264 shown later, and it is found in the reanalysis (Fig. 4). This configuration can be in-265 terpreted assuming that the models predict the linear, local response over Eurasia 266 plus a predictable signal confined in the first weeks. The statement on the linear 267 response is confirmed by results presented in section 3.3. After the first month, 268 seasonal averages are dominated by the negative barotropic anomaly in the Pacific 269 270 and the positive one further downstream over the American continent. A shallow high from the Barents to the Laptev sea develops throughout the seasons, deepens 271 and enlarges into the Labrador sea. 272

While the snow can be linked with the local adjustment of the pressure and of 273 the geopotential, the robust remote response in the Arctic and in the Pacific can 274 be explained also by a different forcing. Large ensembles of seasonal forecasts are 275 indeed a valuable tool to identify the predictable signal even if the magnitude of 276 the signal is small compared to that of the noise, but are so far available for a 277 relatively short period. The shortness of the time series introduces a major lim-278 itation on the attribution of the signal. To mitigate this issue a set of idealised 279 simulations has been performed and results based on these idealised simulations 280 are presented in the following section. 281

#### 282 3.3 Idealised simulations

Idealised simulations with two AGCMs have been performed to corroborate findings obtained with the seasonal forecasts. The setup is such that the control simulation performed with climatological sea ice and SSTs and freely evolving snow cover (CONTROL) is compared with a perturbed run where the snow extent in Eurasia is imposed to be substantially larger in October and November (SNOW). Both are 6-month ensemble simulations starting in October. Details on the setup and the models are given in section 2.1. The analysis is based on differences be-

 $_{\rm 290}$   $\,$  tween the ensemble mean of the SNOW run minus that of the CONTROL run.

It can be seen from Fig. 8 that this idealised setup implies increased snow cover in 291 the southern and in the western part of the domain and this is a desired feature of 292 the surface forcing, in view of the results presented in Figs. 2, 4 and 5. However, 293 the forcing is particularly strong in the southern boundary of the domain and 294 covers also east Asia, which does not resemble closely the observed variability in 295 the hindcast period. The intensity of the forcing decreases substantially between 296 October and November especially for the CMCC model and a residual anomalous 297 snow cover in the western part of the domain persists into winter, up to January 298 (not shown). Overall this behaviour agrees qualitatively with the reanalysis. The 299

 ${f P}$  lease give a shorter version with: \authorrunning and \titlerunning prior to \maketitle

total snow cover extent of the domain in October is however exaggerated if com-300 pared to the interannual variability of seasonal forecasts used in this study. Surface 301 fluxes (Fig. 9) are perturbed by the increased snow in a way that resembles what 302 found in sections 3.1 and 3.2 in reanalysis and seasonal forecasts. The associated 303 spatial patterns are not shown as they follow the pattern of snow cover change. We 304 note the positive heat flux anomaly in the western Pacific, that can be explained 305 in terms of a stronger heating by the ocean due to a colder overlaying atmosphere, 306 which in turn is explained by advection by the predominantly westerly wind of air 307 that is cooled by the presence of the snow. The abrupt fluctuation between 40 and 308 60 °E is explained by the intensified heat transfer from water to air in the Caspian 309 sea. 310 To condense and compare results obtained by the two idealised simulations, in Fig. 311 10 we show atmospheric fields averaged in the midlatitudes, precisely between 42.5 312 °N and 72.5 °N, which is the latitudinal extent of the domain used to impose the 313 snow cover perturbation and used in Fig. 3. As in forecast and reanalysis, the 314 315 surface cooling is stronger in the western part of the domain, by construction in this case, and differences between the two models can be explained by differ-316 ences in the surface flux change that in turn is proportional to a different snow 317 cover anomaly. The temperature change is found in the boundary layer and in 318 the free troposphere above and it persists but substantially decays in November. 319 The equivalent analysis for the circulation is rather interesting. In Fig. 10, c the 320 dominant feature is a strongly baroclinic response that can be understood in the 321 framework of a steady, linear adjustment a la Hoskins and Karoly [1981]. Both 322 models reproduce a shallow high to the east of the cooling, advection of warm 323 air from lower latitudes in the region of strong cooling (50-90 °E) and the vortic-324 ity anomaly increasing with height as implied by the negative Z500 signal. This 325 regime of the response has been described for surface heating/cooling associated 326 with high-latitude SST and sea ice variability [Deser et al., 2010, Ruggieri et al., 327 2017]. These studies found that the linear response is established within 1-2 days 328 and dominates the anomalous circulation up to two-three weeks. After that, a re-329 sponse projecting onto a dominant mode of variability (AO-NAO) emerges. In our 330 case, the linear response indeed dominates in the first month and is confined in 331 the first 3 weeks of simulation (not shown). After one month, in November, albeit 332 a persistent, residual forcing, there is no evidence of a surface cooling out of the 333 boundary layer and of any signal attributable to the linear response. On the other 334 hand, the two models show a coherent signal in the Pacific and in North America, 335 broadly (but not exactly) coincident with a weakened Aleutian low. These findings 336 highlight the emergence of a lagged and remote response that is arguably crucial 337 for the predictability in the seasonal range. 338 The comparison between idealised experiments and seasonal forecasts is indeed 339

particularly insightful. Fig. 11 shows a 1-2 month lagged atmospheric response to 340 snow cover increase deduced with two different methods. In panel a), it is assessed 341 using the multi-model (C3S) regression on the ESC index based on ERA5-Land. In 342 panel b), it is assessed through the two-model ensemble mean difference in the ide-343 alised sensitivity experiments. Substantial and intriguing similarities can be noted, 344 primarily in the Pacific-American sector. There is a wave-train peaking with a neg-345 ative anomaly in the Eastern Pacific. In the Arctic, a rather shallow signal in the 346 Kara and Laptev seas is found. On a finer scale, there are important differences: 347

<sup>348</sup> both are compatible with a local linear response to cooling at the surface in Eura-

sia, but this signature is remarkably stronger in the idealised experiments. This 349 is expected and consistent with a stronger surface forcing. Finally over the North 350 Atlantic and Europe, here it appears that uncertainty is larger, compared to other 351 regions. Both approaches feature a low over the subpolar-gyre/Nordic-Seas and 352 high over central and western Mediterranean. However the anomalous circulation 353 is substantially different in the Euro-Atlantic. Perhaps this region is more sensi-354 tive to small differences in surface forcing, but in any case this sensitivity foresees 355 large intrinsic uncertainty. Robust features in the Arctic and in the Pacific are 356 confirmed by analysis of individual models. For instance, in Fig. 12, we can see 357 the comparison of the regression with the CMCC forecast system and with the 358 CMCC-AGCM, that is the atmospheric component of the forecast systems itself. 359

The structure with a low over Siberia, a high over the Arctic and a low over the Eastern Pacific is confirmed. We also note that the CMCC and ECMWF forecast systems feature a stronger signal in the Pacific and in the Arctic compared to other models (not shown). This is in agreement with a stronger signal in the surface fluxes (Figs. 5 and 6). Fig. 12 also confirms a large uncertainty for the response in the Atlantic.

As discussed in the Introduction, previous studies have identified a stratospheric 366 pathway for a delayed atmospheric response to snow cover. The timing of the ro-367 bust features of the response can help understand whether the signal is related 368 to a stratospheric pathway. The North Pacific response (Fig. 13a,b) is relatively 369 fast, peaks in November and can be seen in bimonthly averages up to November-370 December, models agree on the timing of the signal. The shallow Arctic high (Fig. 371 13c,d) peaks later through the season and models slightly disagree on the timing 372 with CMCC-AGCM showing the peak in December and MF-AGCM in January. 373 Bimonthly averages show clearly that this signal persists into winter. In the strato-374 sphere there is no evidence of any significant modification of the intensity of the 375 polar vortex. We measure it with the average zonal mean zonal wind in the polar 376 vortex edge (Fig. 13e,f, Palmeiro et al. [2015]). There is no evidence of a role for 377 the stratosphere in the mechanism, but the tropospheric signal projects onto the 378 model AO pattern (see table 2). Indeed, the peak of the signal in the Artic (Fig. 379 13c,d) is associated with a weak negative AO signal. 380 Forecasts and idealised simulations coherently suggest a potential for the pre-381

dictability of temperature and precipitation over land driven by snow cover vari-382 ability in some regions of Europe and North America. The latter can be seen in 383 Fig. 14, where again forecast and idealised simulations provide a similar picture 384 for the precipitation response over some areas of the extra-tropical North America. 385 We note in particular sporadic signals of continental drying and a dipolar pattern 386 of precipitation along the East coast of the continent. Nonetheless, there is lit-387 tle agreement with the corresponding regression with reanalysis. Differences with 388 reanalysis (not shown) are attributable to a different tilt of the Pacific wave-train. 389

### **390 4 Concluding remarks**

<sup>391</sup> In this study retrospective seasonal forecasts with operational systems are com-

<sup>392</sup> bined with idealised simulations with state-of-the-art AGCMs to assess the atmo-

<sup>393</sup> spheric response to Eurasian snow cover variability in recent decades (1993-2016).

394 Seasonal hindcasts reproduce regional features of Eurasian snow cover variabil-

 $\mathbf{M}$ ease give a shorter version with: \authorrunning and \titlerunning prior to \maketitle

 $_{\tt 395}$   $\,$  ity and the associated change in the surface energy balance. Reanalysis, seasonal

<sup>396</sup> forecasts and idealised experiments converge in indicating that an anomalous cir-

<sup>397</sup> culation in the Pacific is established after the first month and persists for about

<sup>398</sup> two months (Figs. 4, 7, 11, 13). Forecasts identify a robust relationship between

<sup>399</sup> snow cover and atmospheric circulation that can be attributed to snow cover vari-

ability by AGCM idealised simulations (Fig. 11). The modelled response projects
 onto the annular mode of variability of the model, but notably there is no evidence

<sup>402</sup> of changes in the stratospheric circulation (Fig. 13).

The geographical position of the surface forcing determines how the atmospheric 403 flow responds. For the case of the snow, this can be understood primarily in terms 404 of a linear interference between anomalous and climatological waves [Smith et al., 405 2010], but in also in view of how synoptic eddies respond [Ruggieri et al., 2019]. 406 For the period considered in our study, autumn snow cover variability in Eurasia is 407 dominated by western Siberia, but this does not generally apply to the twentieth 408 century [Brown, 2000]. It follows that the lack of a stratospheric response could 409 410 be linked with this specific feature of the forcing (e.g. whether it is stronger in western or eastern Siberia). On the other hand, part of the uncertainty of the re-411 sponse could be mitigated if we were able to sample the role of regional snow cover 412 variability in sub-domains of Eurasia. In this sense, it could be that operational 413 forecasts draw predictability from snow cover well beyond the picture given by 414 Fig. 11. An interesting question is whether the snow-cover forcing closer to winter 415 shows an impact on the polar vortex. Portal et al. [2021] showed that November 416 start dates of the same seasonal forecasts used in this study are able to simulate 417 realistically the variability of the polar vortex, the upward wave propagation and 418 their relationship. It is therefore unlikely that the lack of the stratospheric path-419 way in this study is explained by model biases in the troposphere-stratosphere 420 interaction. Portal et al. [2021] also find a weak and model dependent relation-421 ship between snow cover variability and the intensity of the polar vortex in the 422 examined period for the November start date, that is consistent with results of 423 Garfinkel et al. [2020] and Orsolini et al. [2016]. It is therefore plausible that start 424

425 dates closer to winter may reveal a different picture.

Some inconsistencies between models are found in regional features of the surface 426 flux variability associated with snow variability (Fig. 6). This can be partly ex-427 plained by differences in the snow initialisation techniques. The use of reanalysis 428 initialization for land surface could generate inconsistencies, resulting in a degra-429 dation of the forecast quality [Materia et al., 2014]. Improvements in the land 430 initialisation and in land-atmosphere coupled data assimilation may reduce the 431 model uncertainty for the atmospheric response to Eurasian snow cover variability 432 and the value of development in this direction is advocated by our analysis. We 433 find regional impacts in other regions, one example is the western Mediterranean 434 where models show a surface high (Fig. 11) and reduced precipitation (not shown). 435 But as this signal comes with a model dependent large scale response in Europe, 436 associated impacts should be mentioned with caution. The substantial agreement 437 between forecast, idealised simulations and to some extent reanalysis gives a clear 438 picture of the atmospheric response to snow cover variability for many regions but 439 the North Atlantic. This is arguably closely linked with the lack of role for the 440 stratosphere, which also limits the lead time of the predictable component of the 441 response to 2-3 months after initialisation. It is likely that persistence and predic-442

tion of snow cover anomalies will be crucial for practical purposes. In terms of the

- 444 Arctic Oscillation, our study indicates a weak but robust tropospheric feedback 445 from the snow to the AO.
- 446 Acknowledgements The authors are thankful to three anonymous reviewers for their valu-
- 447 able comments. This study has been supported by the MEDSCOPE (MEDiterranean Services
- <sup>448</sup> Chain based On climate PrEdictions) ERA4CS project (grant agree-ment no. 690462) funded <sup>449</sup> by the European Union.

#### 450 References

- <sup>451</sup> M. Benassi, G. Conti, S. Gualdi, P. Ruggieri, S. Materia, J. García-Serrano, F. M.
- Palmeiro, L. Batté, and C. Ardilouze. El niño teleconnection to the euro mediterranean late-winter: the role of extratropical pacific modulation. *Climate*
- $_{454}$  Dynamics, pages 1–21, 2021.
- V. Brovkin, T. Raddatz, C. H. Reick, M. Claussen, and V. Gayler. Global biogeo physical interactions between forest and climate. *Geophysical Research Letters*,
   36(7), 2009. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL037543.
- <sup>458</sup> R. D. Brown. Northern Hemisphere Snow Cover Variability and Change,
   <sup>459</sup> 1915–97. Journal of Climate, 13(13):2339–2355, 07 2000. ISSN 0894-8755. doi:
   <sup>10</sup> 1175 (JEDD 0449(0000)012:0220 NUSCUA : 0.0 CO. 0
- 460 10.1175/1520-0442(2000)013;2339:NHSCVA;2.0.CO;2.
- J. Cohen and J. Jones. A new index for more accurate winter predictions. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38(21), 2011. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL049626.
- J. Cohen and D. Rind. The effect of snow cover on the climate. Journal of Climate,
   4(7):689-706, 1991.
- J. Cohen, M. Barlow, P. J. Kushner, and K. Saito. Stratosphere–troposphere
  coupling and links with eurasian land surface variability. *Journal of Climate*, 20
  (21):5335–5343, 2007. doi: 10.1175/2007JCLI1725.1.
- J. Cohen, J. C. Furtado, J. Jones, M. Barlow, D. Whittleston, and D. Entekhabi.
   Linking siberian snow cover to precursors of stratospheric variability. *Journal* of Climate, 27(14):5422-5432, 2014a.
- J. Cohen, J. A. Screen, J. C. Furtado, M. Barlow, D. Whittleston, D. Coumou,
  J. Francis, K. Dethloff, D. Entekhabi, J. Overland, and J. Jones. Recent arctic
  amplification and extreme mid-latitude weather. *Nature Geosci*, 7(9):627–637,
- 473 amplification and extreme inid-fatitude weather. *Nature Geosci* 474 09 2014b.
- <sup>475</sup> D. P. Dee, S. M. Uppala, A. J. Simmons, P. Berrisford, P. Poli, S. Kobayashi,
  <sup>476</sup> U. Andrae, M. A. Balmaseda, G. Balsamo, P. Bauer, P. Bechtold, A. C. M. Bel<sup>477</sup> jaars, L. van de Berg, J. Bidlot, N. Bormann, C. Delsol, R. Dragani, M. Fuentes,
- A. J. Geer, L. Haimberger, S. B. Healy, H. Hersbach, E. V. Hólm, L. Isaksen,
- P. Kållberg, M. Köhler, M. Matricardi, A. P. McNally, B. M. Monge-Sanz, J.-J.
  Morcrette, B.-K. Park, C. Peubey, P. de Rosnay, C. Tavolato, J.-N. Thépaut,
- and F. Vitart. The era-interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of
- and F. Vitart. The era-interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of
   the data assimilation system. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 137(656):553–597, 2011. ISSN 1477-870X. doi: 10.1002/qj.828. URL
- 484 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/qj.828.
- 485 C. Deser, R. Tomas, M. Alexander, and D. Lawrence. The seasonal atmo-
- 486 spheric response to projected arctic sea ice loss in the late twenty-first century.
- 487 Journal of Climate, 23(2):333–351, 2010. doi: 10.1175/2009JCLI3053.1. URL
- 488 http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI3053.1.

 $\mathbf{Pl}$ ease give a shorter version with: \authorrunning and \titlerunning prior to \maketitle

- 489 M. Dobrynin, D. I. Domeisen, W. A. Müller, L. Bell, S. Brune, F. Bun-
- zel, A. Düsterhus, K. Fröhlich, H. Pohlmann, and J. Baehr. Improved
   teleconnection-based dynamical seasonal predictions of boreal winter. *Geophys- ical Research Letters*, 45(8):3605–3614, 2018.
- H. Douville, Y. Peings, and D. Saint-Martin. Snow-(n)ao relationship revisited
   over the whole twentieth century. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(1):569–577,
   2017. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2016/CL.071584
- <sup>495</sup> 2017. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL071584.
- T. W. Estilow, A. H. Young, and D. A. Robinson. A long-term northern hemisphere
  snow cover extent data record for climate studies and monitoring. *Earth System Science Data*, 7(1):137, 2015.
- C. G. Fletcher, P. J. Kushner, and J. Cohen. Stratospheric control of the extrat ropical circulation response to surface forcing. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 34
   (21), 2007.
- C. G. Fletcher, S. C. Hardiman, P. J. Kushner, and J. Cohen. The dynamical response to snow cover perturbations in a large ensemble of atmospheric gcm integrations. *Journal of Climate*, 22(5):1208–1222, 2009. doi: 10.1175/2008JCLI2505.1.
- J. C. Furtado, J. L. Cohen, A. H. Butler, E. E. Riddle, and A. Kumar. Eurasian snow cover variability and links to winter climate in the cmip5 models. *Climate dynamics*, 45(9-10):2591–2605, 2015.
- C. I. Garfinkel, C. Schwartz, I. P. White, and J. Rao. Predictability of the early
   winter arctic oscillation from autumn eurasian snowcover in subseasonal forecast
   models. *Climate Dynamics*, 55:961–974, 2020.
- G. Gastineau, J. García-Serrano, and C. Frankignoul. The influence of autumnal
   eurasian snow cover on climate and its link with arctic sea ice cover. Journal of
   Climate, 30(19):7599-7619, 2017.
- S. C. Hardiman, P. J. Kushner, and J. Cohen. Investigating the ability of general circulation models to capture the effects of eurasian snow cover on winter
  climate. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 113(D21), 2008. doi:
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010623.
- M. Hauser, R. Orth, and S. I. Seneviratne. Investigating soil moisture-climate interactions with prescribed soil moisture experiments: an assessment with the community earth system model (version 1.2). *Geoscientific Model Development*,
- 10(4):1665-1677, 2017.
- H. Hersbach, B. Bell, P. Berrisford, S. Hirahara, A. Horányi, J. Muñoz-Sabater, 523 J. Nicolas, C. Peubey, R. Radu, D. Schepers, A. Simmons, C. Soci, S. Ab-524 dalla, X. Abellan, G. Balsamo, P. Bechtold, G. Biavati, J. Bidlot, M. Bonavita, 525 G. De Chiara, P. Dahlgren, D. Dee, M. Diamantakis, R. Dragani, J. Flem-526 ming, R. Forbes, M. Fuentes, A. Geer, L. Haimberger, S. Healy, R. J. Hogan, 527 E. Hólm, M. Janisková, S. Keeley, P. Laloyaux, P. Lopez, C. Lupu, G. Radnoti, 528 P. de Rosnay, I. Rozum, F. Vamborg, S. Villaume, and J.-N. Thépaut. The era5 529 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146 530 (730):1999–2049, 2020. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803. 531 Hoskins and D. J. Karoly. Β. J. The steady linear response 532
- spherical atmosphere  $\mathrm{to}$ thermal and orographic forcof а 533 ing. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 38(6):1179-1196.1981. 534 10.1175/1520-0469(1981)038;1179:TSLROA;2.0.CO;2. doi: URL 535 http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1981)038<1179:TSLROA>2.0.CO;2. 536

- 537 S. J. Johnson, T. N. Stockdale, L. Ferranti, M. A. Balmaseda, F. Molteni, L. Mag-
- nusson, S. Tietsche, D. Decremer, A. Weisheimer, G. Balsamo, et al. Seas5: the
- <sup>539</sup> new ecmwf seasonal forecast system. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 12(3):

- S. Kobayashi, Y. Ota, Y. Harada, A. Ebita, M. Moriya, H. Onoda, K. Onogi,
  H. Kamahori, C. Kobayashi, H. Endo, et al. The jra-55 reanalysis: General
  specifications and basic characteristics. *Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan. Ser. II*, 93(1):5–48, 2015.
- <sup>545</sup> P. Le Moigne, A. Boone, J. Calvet, B. Decharme, S. Faroux, A. Gibelin,
  <sup>546</sup> C. Lebeaupin, J. Mahfouf, E. Martin, V. Masson, et al. Surfex scientific documentation. Note de centre (CNRM/GMME), Météo-France, Toulouse, France,
- 548 2009.
- F. Li, Y. Orsolini, N. Keenlyside, M.-L. Shen, F. Counillon, and Y. Wang. Impact of snow initialization in subseasonal-to-seasonal winter forecasts with the norwe gian climate prediction model. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 124(17-18):10033-10048, 2019.
- S. Materia, A. Borrelli, A. Bellucci, A. Alessandri, P. Di Pietro, P. Athanasiadis,
   A. Navarra, and S. Gualdi. Impact of atmosphere and land surface initial con ditions on seasonal forecasts of global surface temperature. *Journal of Climate*,
   27(24):9253–9271, 2014.
- J. Muñoz-Sabater, E. Dutra, A. Agustí-Panareda, C. Albergel, G. Arduini, G. Balsamo, S. Boussetta, M. Choulga, S. Harrigan, H. Hersbach, et al. Era5-land:
   A state-of-the-art global reanalysis dataset for land applications. *Earth System* Science, Data Discussione, pages 1, 50, 2021
- 560 Science Data Discussions, pages 1–50, 2021.
- K. Oleson, D. Lawrence, G. Bonan, B. Drewniak, M. Huang, C. Koven, S. Levis,
  F. Li, W. Riley, Z. Subin, et al. Technical description of version 4.5 of the
  community land model (clm), ncar technical note: Ncar/tn-503+ str. National *Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR), Boulder, CO, USA, https://doi.*org/10.5065/D6RR1W7M, 2013.
- Y. Orsolini, R. Senan, F. Vitart, G. Balsamo, A. Weisheimer, and F. Doblas-Reyes. Influence of the eurasian snow on the negative north atlantic oscillation in subseasonal forecasts of the cold winter 2009/2010. *Climate Dynamics*, 47 (3-4):1325–1334, 2016.
- Y. J. Orsolini and N. G. Kvamstø. Role of eurasian snow cover in wintertime circulation: Decadal simulations forced with satellite observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 114(D19), 2009. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD012253.
- F. M. Palmeiro, D. Barriopedro, R. García-Herrera, and N. Calvo. Comparing
  sudden stratospheric warming definitions in reanalysis data. *Journal of climate*,
  28(17):6823–6840, 2015.
- Y. Peings, E. Brun, V. Mauvais, and H. Douville. How stationary is the relationship
   between siberian snow and arctic oscillation over the 20th century? *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40(1):183–188, 2013.
- A. Portal, P. Ruggieri, F. M. Palmeiro, J. García-Serrano, D. I. Domeisen, and
   S. Gualdi. Seasonal prediction of the boreal winter stratosphere. *Climate Dy- namics*, pages 1–22, 2021.
- J. Rao, C. I. Garfinkel, and R. Ren. Modulation of the northern winter strato-
- spheric el niño-southern oscillation teleconnection by the pdo. Journal of Cli-
- 585 mate, 32(18):5761–5783, 2019.

<sup>540 1087–1117, 2019.</sup> 

 $P4 ease give a shorter version with: \verb+authorrunning and \verb+titlerunning prior to \verb+maketitle+$ 

- 586 J. Rao, C. I. Garfinkel, and I. P. White. How does the quasi-biennial oscillation
- <sup>587</sup> affect the boreal winter tropospheric circulation in cmip5/6 models? *Journal of* <sup>588</sup> *Climate*, 33(20):8975–8996, 2020.
- N. Rayner, D. E. Parker, E. Horton, C. Folland, L. Alexander, D. Rowell, E. Kent,
   and A. Kaplan. Global analyses of sea surface temperature, sea ice, and night
   marine air temperature since the late nineteenth century. *Journal of Geophysical*
- <sup>592</sup> Research: Atmospheres, 108(D14), 2003.
- T. Reichler, P. J. Kushner, and L. M. Polvani. The coupled stratosphere-troposphere response to impulsive forcing from the troposphere. *Journal* of the Atmospheric Sciences, 62(9):3337–3352, 2005. doi: 10.1175/JAS3527.1.
- R. Roehrig, I. Beau, D. Saint-Martin, A. Alias, B. Decharme, J.-F. Guérémy, 596 A. Voldoire, A. Y. Abdel-Lathif, E. Bazile, S. Belamari, S. Blein, D. Bouniol, 597 Y. Bouteloup, J. Cattiaux, F. Chauvin, M. Chevallier, J. Colin, H. Dou-598 ville, P. Marquet, M. Michou, P. Nabat, T. Oudar, P. Peyrillé, J.-M. 599 Piriou, D. Salas y Mélia, R. Séférian, and S. Sénési. The cnrm global 600 atmosphere model arpege-climat 6.3: Description and evaluation. Journal 601 of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 12(7):e2020MS002075, 2020. doi: 602
- 603 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020MS002075.
- P. Ruggieri, R. Buizza, and G. Visconti. On the link between barents-kara sea ice variability and european blocking. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 121(10):5664–5679, 2016. ISSN 2169-8996. doi: 10.1002/2015JD024021.
  URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2015JD024021. 2015JD024021.
- P. Ruggieri, F. Kucharski, R. Buizza, and M. H. P. Ambaum. The transient atmospheric response to a reduction of sea-ice cover in the barents and kara seas. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 143 (704):1632-1640, 2017. ISSN 1477-870X. doi: 10.1002/qj.3034. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/qj.3034.
- P. Ruggieri, F. Kucharski, and L. Novak. The response of the midlatitude jet to
   regional polar heating in a simple storm-track model. *Journal of Climate*, 32
   (10):2869–2885, 2019.
- P. Ruggieri, A. Bellucci, D. Nicolì, P. J. Athanasiadis, S. Gualdi, C. Cassou, F. Castruccio, G. Danabasoglu, P. Davini, N. Dunstone, et al. Atlantic multidecadal
  variability and north atlantic jet: A multimodel view from the decadal climate
  prediction project. *Journal of Climate*, 34(1):347–360, 2021.
- <sup>620</sup> Y. Ruprich-Robert, R. Msadek, F. Castruccio, S. Yeager, T. Delworth, and G. Dan-<sup>621</sup> abasoglu. Assessing the climate impacts of the observed atlantic multidecadal
- abasoglu. Assessing the climate impacts of the observed atlantic multidecadal
   variability using the gfdl cm2.1 and ncar cesm1 global coupled models. *Journal* of Climate, 30(8):2785–2810, 2017. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0127.1.
- K. Saito and J. Cohen. The potential role of snow cover in forcing interannual variability of the major northern hemisphere mode. *Geophysical Research Letters*,
- <sup>626</sup> 30(6), 2003. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GL016341.
- A. Sanna, A. Borrelli, P. Athanasiadis, S. Materia, A. Storto, A. Navarra,
  S. Tibaldi, S. Gualdi, et al. Cmcc-sps3: the cmcc seasonal prediction system *CMCC Research Paper*, (RP0285), 2016.
- K. L. Smith, C. G. Fletcher, and P. J. Kushner. The role of linear interference in the annular mode response to extratropical surface forcing. *Journal of Climate*, 23(22):6036–6050, 2010. doi: 10.1175/2010JCLI3606.1.
- $1 = \frac{1}{20} = \frac{1}{$
- L. Song and R. Wu. Intraseasonal snow cover variations over western siberia and associated atmospheric processes. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmo-*

- spheres, 124(16):8994–9010, 2019. doi: 10.1029/2019JD030479.
- A. Wald and J. Wolfowitz. On a test whether two samples are from the same
   population. The Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 11(2):147–162, 1940.
- <sup>638</sup> D. Walters, I. Boutle, M. Brooks, T. Melvin, R. Stratton, S. Vosper, H. Wells,
- K. Williams, N. Wood, T. Allen, et al. The met office unified model global
- atmosphere 6.0/6.1 and jules global land 6.0/6.1 configurations. *Geoscientific*
- Model Development, 10(4):1487-1520, 2017.
- J. Wang, H. Kim, D. Kim, S. A. Henderson, C. Stan, and E. D. Maloney. Mjo
  teleconnections over the pna region in climate models. part i: Performance-and
  process-based skill metrics. *Journal of Climate*, 33(3):1051–1067, 2020.
- L. Wang, M. Ting, and P. Kushner. A robust empirical seasonal prediction of
- winter nao and surface climate. Scientific reports, 7(1):1–9, 2017.

P6 ease give a shorter version with: \authorrunning and \titlerunning prior to \maketitle

Table 1 Description of the seasonal prediction systems used in this study. Columns indicate, from left to right, the modelling centre and the system version, the resolution, the size of the ensemble the method used to initialize snow cover, the land model and a reference for the land model. The JRA55 reanalysis is documented in Kobayashi et al. [2015]. The ERA-Interim (ERA-I) reanalysis is documented in Dee et al. [2011]. Here a forced run is an offline land-only run forced with meteorological forcing.

System	Resolution	Ens. Size	Snow I.C.	Land model	Ref.
CMCC 3	1×1 L46	40	Forced run	CLM4.5	Oleson et al. [2013]
MF 6	TL359 L91	25	ERA-I	SURFEX v8.1	Le Moigne et al. [2009]
ECMWF 5	$T_{CO}319$ L91	25	Forced run	IFS 43r1	Johnson et al. [2019]
DWD 2	T127 L95	30	Indirect	JSBACH	Brovkin et al. [2009]
UKMO 13	N216 L95	21	JRA55	JULES GL $6$	Walters et al. $[2017]$

 Table 2
 Projection onto the model AO pattern of the response (SNOW minus CONTROL)
 in idealised simulations with the CMCC-AGMC model and the MF-AGCM model.

Month	CMCC	$\mathbf{MF}$
OCT	-0.17	0.23
NOV	-0.33	0.53
DEC	-1.22	-0.10
JAN	-0.05	-0.71
FEB	0.29	-0.23



**Fig. 1** Standardized time series of October snow covered area fraction (snow cover, black line) and October surface heat fluxes over Eurasia (42.5°N-72.5°N 40°E-180°E, only grid point entirely over land are used) derived from ERA5-Land in the period covered by C3S seasonal forecast. Fluxes are defined as positive when upward. The red line indicates the shortwave flux, while the yellow line indicates the sum of sensible, latent and longwave flux with a minus sign.



### Correlation between snow cover and ESC index

Fig. 2 Correlation between monthly mean snow cover in ERA5-Land and the October ESC index. Values between -.1 and .1 are not displayed. Grey rectangles encompass the area used to compute averaged quantities displayed in Fig. 1.

## Correlation (shading) and regression with ESC index



Fig. 3 Correlation (shading) and regression (contours) of October-November mean ERA5land snow cover, and ERA5 surface fluxes and two-metre temperature (T2m) and the October ESC index in ERA5-Land, shown in Fig. 1 with a black line. All fluxes are defined as positive when upward. a) Correlation of snow cover. b) Correlation and regression of the sum of sensible heat flux, latent heat flux and net longwave flux. c) as in b) but for the net shortwave flux and d) for two-metre temperature. Units for the regression are Wm<sup>-</sup>2 in b) and c) and K in d). Values between -.1 and .1 are not displayed.



## ERA5 SLP (hPa/ $\sigma$ ) and Z500 (m/ $\sigma$ ) regression on ESC index

Fig. 4 ERA5 regression coefficients on the October ESC index of Z500 (contours drawn at  $\pm$  10, 20 and 30 m) and SLP (shadings, hPa) averaged in a) October, b) OND, c) NDJ and d) DJF. Stippling indicates region where the regression is statistically significant at 95% confidence level. Values between -.5 and .5 hPa are not displayed.

 ${\tt PO}\!\!\!$  ease give a shorter version with: <code>\authorrunning and \titlerunning prior to \maketitle</code>



Fig. 5 Correlation (shadings) and regression (contours, drawn at  $\pm$  1, 2  $\rm Wm^{-2}$ ) between the flux of shortwave radiation and the October ESC index in ERA5-Land for 5 seasonal hindcasts. Values between -.1 and .1 are not displayed.

## Surface heat flux (shortwave) correlation with ESC index



# Surface heat flux (turbulent+longwave) correlation with ESC index

Fig. 6 As in Fig. 5 but for the sum of sensible heat, latent heat and thermal radiation.



# Multi model mean of SLP (hPa/ $\sigma$ ) and Z500 (m/ $\sigma$ ) regression on ESC index

Fig. 7 Multi-model (5 seasonal hindcasts) mean of regression coefficients on the October ESC index in ERA5-Land of Z500 (contours drawn  $\pm$  6, 12 and 18 m in a) and at  $\pm$  2, 4 and 6 m in b),c) d)) and SLP (shadings, hPa) averaged over a) October, b) NDJ, c) DJF and d) JFM. Stippling indicates region where all models have the same sign for SLP. SLP values between -.1 and .1 hPa are not displayed and SLP values in a) have been scaled by a factor of 1/3.



Fig. 8 Response of the snow cover in the idealized AGCM experiments in October (a, d), November (b, e) and their average (c, f) for the CMCC-AGCM model (top row) and the MF-AGCM model (bottom row).



Fig. 9 Surface heat flux response in October and November in the idealised experiments with the CMCC-AGCM (grey lines) and MF-AGCM (black lines) model. The dashed lines are used for shortwave radiation and the solid lines for the sum of longwave, sensible and latent heat fluxes. In panel a) fluxes are averaged meridionally (weighted by the area) between 40 and 70  $^{\circ}$ N, in b) they are averaged zonally between 40 and 150  $^{\circ}$ E.



Fig. 10 Meridional mean (area-weighted) between 42.5N and 72.5N of T2m (solid lines, K) and T850 (dashed lines, K) in a) OCT and b) NOV for the idealized AGCM experiments with the CMCC-AGCM model (grey lines) and the MF-AGCM (black lines) model. c) and d) As in a) and b) but for SLP (solid lines, hPa) and Z500 (dashed lines, m).



25

Fig. 11 November-December Atmospheric response to October snow cover increase inferred from C3S hindcasts (a) and idealised simulations (b). In a), the multi-model mean regression coefficient is shown for Z500 (contours drawn at  $\pm 1.5, 2.25, 3, 3.75$  m) and SLP (shadings). Stippling indicates regions where all models agree on the sign of the regression. In b), the response to snow cover defined two-model mean of the ensemble mean difference (SNOW-CONTROL). As in a), Z500 is shown by contours drawn at  $\pm 6, 12, 18$  and SLP is shown with shadings. Stippling indicates regions where the two models have the same sign of the response.



Fig. 12 As in Fig. 11 but using the CMCC-AGCM model instead of the multi-model mean. Stippling indicates statistically significant values at 95% confidence level.



 ${\tt P6}{\rm ease}$  give a shorter version with: <code>\authorrunning</code> and <code>\titlerunning</code> prior to <code>\maketitle</code>

Fig. 13 Circulation response in the idealised simulations with the CMCC-AGCM (grey lines) and MF-AGCM (black lines) models. Bars indicate the confidence interval at 80% and 90% confidence level. Values are averaged monthly in the left column and bimonthly in the right column. a) and b) show Z500 averaged in the Northern extratropical Pacific (45-65 °N, 180-210 °E). c) and d) show SLP averaged in the Siberian sector of the Arctic ocean (75-90 °N, 55-150 °E, over the East Siberian sea and the Laptev sea). e) and f) zonal mean of the zonal wind at 10 hPa between 55 and 65 °N.



Multi model mean of Total Precip. (mm day<sup>-1</sup>) and Z500 (m)

Fig. 14 a) Multi-model mean regression coefficient with the ESC index in ERA5-Land of Z500 (contours, drawn every 1 m, zero line omitted) and precipitation (shadings, mm day<sup>-1</sup>) in seasonal hindcasts. b) Multi-model mean response to snow cover increase in idealised simulation. Contours of Z500 are drawn every 6 m (zero line omitted) and shadings are used for precipitation.