

VU Research Portal

Errors in Relative Risks Reported in Figure 3 in a Network Meta-analysis of Cognitive Behavior Therapy Delivery Formats in Adults with Depression

Cuijpers, Pim; Noma, Hisashi; Furukawa, Toshi A.

published in

JAMA Psychiatry
2022

DOI (link to publisher)

[10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2021.3491](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2021.3491)

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

document license

Article 25fa Dutch Copyright Act

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

Cuijpers, P., Noma, H., & Furukawa, T. A. (2022). Errors in Relative Risks Reported in Figure 3 in a Network Meta-analysis of Cognitive Behavior Therapy Delivery Formats in Adults with Depression. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 79(2), 180-180. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2021.3491>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

1. Cuijpers P, Noma H, Karyotaki E, Cipriani A, Furukawa TA. Effectiveness and acceptability of cognitive behavior therapy delivery formats in adults with depression: a network meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2019;76:700-707. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.0268
2. Errors in Results and in the Figure 3B label and plotted values. *JAMA Psychiatry*. Published online November 24, 2021. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2021.3357
3. Error in Figure 3. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2019;76(9):986. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.2040

CORRECTION

Error in Results and in the Figure 3B Label and Plotted Values: In the Original Investigation titled "Effectiveness and Acceptability of Cognitive Behavior Therapy Delivery Formats in Adults With Depression: A Network Meta-analysis,"¹ published in the July 2019 issue, there was a numeric and content error in the Results section. The sentence given as "Guided self-help format ranked considerably lower than the other treatment formats (1.6%; RR=0.72)" should have presented the relative risk as 1.39, followed by the phrase "increasing dropouts in comparison with

care as usual." In addition, the label of Figure 3B given as "Acceptability" should have been "Dropouts from study (acceptability)." The values for the forest plot of Figure 3B presented dropouts of care as usual over each of the treatment formats but should have presented dropouts of the various formats over care as usual. A Letter of Explanation² has been published that explains the errors. This article has been corrected. This article was previously corrected on July 17, 2019, to fix a label error in Figure 3B.³

1. Cuijpers P, Noma H, Karyotaki E, Cipriani A, Furukawa TA. Effectiveness and acceptability of cognitive behavior therapy delivery formats in adults with depression: a network meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2019;76(7):700-707. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.0268
2. Cuijpers P, Noma H, Furukawa TA. Errors in relative risks reported in figure 3 in a network meta-analysis of cognitive behavior therapy delivery formats in adults with depression. *JAMA Psychiatry*. Published online November 24, 2021. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2021.3491
3. Error in Figure 3. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2019;76(9):986. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.2040