



FLORE

Repository istituzionale dell'Università degli Studi di **Firenze**

Vasodilators and low-dose acetylsalicylic acid are associated with a lower incidence of distinct primary myocardial disease

Questa è la Versione finale referata (Post print/Accepted manuscript) della seguente pubblicazione:

Original Citation:

Vasodilators and low-dose acetylsalicylic acid are associated with a lower incidence of distinct primary myocardial disease manifestations in systemic sclerosis: results of the DeSScipher inception cohort study / Valentini G.; Huscher D.; Riccardi A.; Fasano S.; Irace R.; Messiniti V.; Matucci-Cerinic M.; Guiducci S.; Distler O.; Maurer B.; Avouac J.; Tarner I.H.; Frerix M.; Riemekasten G.; Siegert E.; Czirjak L.; Lorand V.; Denton C.P.; Nihtyanova S.; Walker U.A.; Jaeger V.K.; Del Galdo F.; Abignano G.; Ananieva L.P.; Gherghe A.

Availability:

This version is available at: 2158/1180517 since: 2019-12-19T12:08:08Z

Published version: DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-215486

Terms of use: **Open Access**

La pubblicazione è resa disponibile sotto le norme e i termini della licenza di deposito, secondo quanto stabilito dalla Policy per l'accesso aperto dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze (https://www.sba.unifi.it/upload/policy-oa-2016-1.pdf)

Publisher copyright claim: Conformità alle politiche dell'editore / Compliance to publisher's policies

Questa versione della pubblicazione è conforme a quanto richiesto dalle politiche dell'editore in materia di copyright. This version of the publication conforms to the publisher's copyright policies.

(Article begins on next page)

1 VASODILATORS AND LOW DOSE ACETYLSALYCILIC ACID ARE ASSOCIATED

2 WITH A LOWER INCIDENCE OF DISTINCT PRIMARY MYOCARDIAL DISEASE

3 MANIFESTATIONS IN SYSTEMIC SCLEROSIS: Results of the DeSScipher inception

4 cohort study

Gabriele Valentini¹, Dörte Huscher², Antonella Riccardi¹, Serena Fasano¹, Rosaria Irace¹,
Valentina Messiniti¹, Marco Matucci Cerinic³, Serena Guiducci³, Oliver Distler⁴, Britta
Maurer⁴, Jérome Avouac⁵, Ingo H Tarner⁶, Marc Frerix⁶, Gabriela Riemekasten⁷, Elise
Siegert⁸, László Czirják⁹, Veronika Lóránd⁹, Christopher P Denton¹⁰, Svetlana Nihtyanova¹⁰,
Ulrich A Walker¹¹, Veronika K Jaeger¹¹, Francesco Del Galdo¹², Giuseppina Abignano¹²,
Lidia P Ananieva¹³, Ana Maria Gheorghiu¹⁴, Carina Mihai¹⁴, Jörg Henes¹⁵, Tim Schmeiser¹⁶,
Alessandra Vacca¹⁷, Sergey Moiseev¹⁸, Ivan Foeldvari¹⁹, Armando Gabrielli²⁰, Brigitte

- 12 Krummel-Lorenz²¹, Simona Rednic²², Yannick Allanore⁵, Ulf Müller Ladner⁶
- ¹ Department of Precision Medicine, Section of Rheumatology, University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli",
 Naples, Italy
- ² Institute of Biostatistics and Clinical Epidemiology, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate member
 of Freie Universitaet Berlin, Humboldt-Universitaet zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin, Germany
- ³ Department of Experimental and Clinical Medicine, University of Florence and Department of Geriatric
 Medicine, Division of Rheumatology and Scleroderma Unit AOUC
- ⁴ Department of Rheumatology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
- ⁵ Department of Rheumatology, Cochin Hospital, University of Paris Descartes, Paris, France
- ⁶ Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Campus Kerckhoff, Justus-Liebig University
 Giessen, Bad Nauheim, Germany
- ⁷Klinik für Rheumatologie und Klinische Immunologie, Universitätsklinikum Schleswig-Holstein, Campus
 Lübeck
- ⁸Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Charité Universitaetsmedizin Berlin, Corporate
- member of Freie Universitaet Berlin, Humboldt-Universitaet zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin,
 Germany
- ⁹Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary
- ¹⁰Department of Rheumatology, University College London, Royal Free Hospital, London, UK
- 30 ¹¹ Department of Rheumatology, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland
- 31 ¹² NIHR Leeds Biomedical Research Centre, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust and Leeds Institute of
- 32 Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
- ¹³ Institute of Rheumatology, Russian Academy of Medical Science, Moscow, Russia
- ¹⁴ Department of Internal Medicine and Rheumatology, Cantacuzino Hospital, Carol Davila University of
 Medicine and Pharmacy, Bucharest, Romania
- 36 ¹⁵Department of Internal Medicine II, University Hospital Tübingen, Germany
- ¹⁶ Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, St. Josef Hospital, Wuppertal, Germany
- 38 ¹⁷ Rheumatology Unit, University of Cagliari, Italy

2	University, Moscow, Russian Federation
3	¹⁹ Klinikum Eilbek, Hamburger Zentrum für Kinder-und Jugendrheumatologie, Hamburg, Germany
4 5	²⁰ Clinical Medicine, Department of Clinical and Molecular Sciences, Marche Polytechnic University, Riuniti Hospital, Ancona, Italy
6	²¹ Endokrinologikum Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany
7	²² Clinica Rheumatologie, University of Medicine & Pharmacy 'Iuliu Hatieganu', Cluj-Napoca, Romania
8	
9	Address for correspondence:
10 11	Gabriele Valentini, Professor of Rheumatology. Department of Precision Medicine, University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli", via Sergio Pansini 5, 80131 Naples, Italy. E-mail:

¹⁸Department of Rheumatology, Tareev Clinic of Internal Diseases, Sechenov First Moscow State Medical

- 12 gabriele.valentini@unicampania.it

1 ABSTRACT

2 **Objectives**

To investigate the influence of vasodilator drugs on the occurrence of features dependingon myocardial ischemia/fibrosis

- 5 (ventricular arrhythmias, Q waves, cardiac blocks, pacemaker implantation, left ventricular
- 6 ejection fraction -LVEF-<55% and/or congestive heart failure and sudden cardiac death) in
- 7 Systemic Sclerosis (SSc).

8 Methods

- 9 Six hundred and 1 SSc patients were enrolled from December 1st, 2012 to November 30th,
- 10 2015 and had a second visit 0.5-4 years apart. 153 received no vasodilators; 448 received
- 11 vasodilator therapy, (i.e. Calcium Channel Blockers and/or Angiotensin Converting
- 12 Enzyme inhibitors or Angiotensin II receptor blockers or combinations of them), 89 of them
- being also treated with either endothelin receptor antagonists or PDE5 inhibitors or
- 14 prostanoids. Associations between the occurrence of myocardial disease manifestations
- and any demographic, disease and therapeutic aspect were investigated by Cox
- regression analysis. A Cox frailty survival model with centre of enrollment as a random
- 17 effect was performed.

18 Results

- During 914 patient/follow-up years, 12 ventricular arrhythmias, 5 Q waves, 40 cardiac
- 20 blocks, 6 pacemaker implantations, 19 reduced LVEF and/or CHF occurred. In multivariate
- 21 Cox regression analysis, vasodilator therapy was associated with a lower incidence of
- ventricular arrhythmias (p=0.03); low dose acetylsalycilic acid (ASA) with a lower
- incidence of cardiac blocks and/or Q waves and/or pacemaker implantation (p=0.02),
- 24 active disease with a higher incidence of LVEF<55% and/or CHF and cardiac blocks
- and/or Q waves and/or pacemaker implantation (p=0.05).

26 Conclusions

- 27 The present study might suggest a preventative effect on the occurrence of distinct
- myocardial manifestations by vasodilator therapy and low dose ASA.
- 29
- 30 **Keywords:** primary myocardial disease in scleroderma, preventative role of vasodilator 31 therapy.

1 INTRODUCTION

- 2 Myocardial disease occurring in patients with Systemic Sclerosis (SSc) is classically
- 3 subdivided into primary and secondary, depending the absence or, respectively,
- 4 coexistence of pulmonary and/or renal involvement.[1-3]

Primary myocardial disease is morphologically characterized by vasculopathy of small arteries and biventricular patchy myocardial fibrosis which presents a strong association with contraction band necrosis, suggesting the implication of ischemia-reperfusion events i.e. a myocardial Raynaud's phenomenon (RP).[4] In this regard, short term trials and retrospective observational studies have underlined a beneficial effect of calcium channel blockers (CCB), angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEinh) on cardiac

11 vascularization and function.[5-11]

By now, the role of vasodilator agents in the prevention of primary myocardial disease in 12 SSc has not yet been clarified. In order to define the management of SSc, a project named 13 DeSScipher (To decipher the optimal treatment of SSc) was submitted to and funded by 14 the European Community (FP7- HEALTH n°305495). Here, we report the results of the 15 subproject devoted to investigate the influence of vasodilator drugs on the occurrence of 16 primary myocardial complications, specifically those associated with a poor prognosis i.e. 17 ventricular arrhythmias, Q waves , cardiac blocks , pacemaker implantation , reduced left 18 19 ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), congestive heart failure (CHF) and sudden cardiac death.[1-3,12-14] 20

21

22 METHODS

23 Patients and study design

- 24 Patients fulfilling the ACR/EULAR criteria for SSc,[15] consecutively admitted to 20
- 25 DeSScipher-EUSTAR centres from December 1st, 2012 to November 30th, 2015, were
- 26 enrolled, according to local ethical requirements.
- 27 Patients with the following characteristics were excluded: significant pulmonary
- parenchymal (forced vital capacity and/or diffusing lung capacity for CO < 70%) or
- vascular involvement (estimated systolic pulmonary arterial pressure > 40 mmHg),
- 30 intestinal involvement (malabsorption syndrome or paralytic ileus or renal involvement
- 31 (serum creatinine level >1.2 mg/dl and/or dialysis or previous scleroderma renal crisis) or

any sign/symptom/ electrocardiographic (ECG) finding of myocardial disease, basal
 pulmonary rales and/or leg edema indicative of congestive heart failure.

Patients enrolled in the study were investigated according to the DeSScipher protocol, 3 shared by all participating centres. In particular, they were assessed for the items listed in 4 5 the European Scleroderma Trials and Research group (EUSTAR) protocol,[16] including European Scleroderma Study Group (EScSG) activity criteria.[17] Moreover, as far as 6 myocardial disease is concerned, each patient was examined at baseline by means of 7 medical history, clinical examination, ECG, Holter ECG and B-mode echocardiography at 8 9 baseline, and was reassessed every 3 months with respect to medical history, clinical examination, and ECG, and every 6 months by Holter ECG and B-mode echocardiography 10 until the end of each follow-up-year. According to local policies, patients had to undergo 11 either standard vasodilator therapy i.e. CCB such as nifedipine up to 60 mg/gd or 12 comparable doses of other drugs of the same class and/or ACEinh such as captopril up to 13 100 mg/qd, or no vasodilator therapy. Two hundred and 50 patients per arm had to be 14 15 enrolled. Despite the strictly defined entry criteria, 2 major protocol deviations occurred. As far as treatment is concerned, some patients with baseline myocardial disease were 16 enrolled. As far as treatment is concerned, 63 patients undergoing AgIIrb±CCB treatment 17 were enrolled. Because of the influence on the same pathophysiologic pathway, they were 18 considered in the same class of ACEinh and included in the arm of those treated with CCB 19 20 and/or ACEinh, with the whole group being referred to as standard vasodilator therapy. Moreover, some patients treated with targeted vasodilator drugs (i.e. prostanoids or 21 endothelin receptor antagonists or phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors), were enrolled. 22 Out of them, those undergoing standard vasodilator therapy were included in the same 23 24 arm which was referred to as vasodilator therapy; those treated with targeted vasodilator drugs only were excluded because of the intermittent drug regimen in most of them. The 25 26 role of other features potentially influencing the occurrence of cardiac disease during follow-up was also investigated i.e. diffuse subset, disease activity, digital ulcers, 27 traditional risk factors such as sex, cigarette smoking, systemic arterial hypertension, 28 hypercholesterolemia and drugs including ongoing corticosteroids ± immunosuppressive 29 therapy and low dose acetylsalycilic acid (ASA) (≤325 mg daily).[1-3,18-21] 30

31 Follow-up and outcome measures

The new occurrence of ventricular arrhythmias as manifestations indicative of myocardial ischemia, that of Q waves and/or cardiac blocks and/or pacemaker implantation as

- 1 manifestations indicative of myocardial fibrosis or a therapeutic intervention promoted by it,
- 2 and that of LVEF<55% and/or CHF, as manifestations of evolved disease, were
- 3 investigated.[1-4]

4 Finally, the incidence of withdrawal from treatment was used as safety endpoint.

5 Statistical analysis

StataMP 13, IBM SPSS 24.0 and MedCalc 11.3 for Windows software were used for 6 statistical analyses. Continuous data were expressed as means and standard deviations 7 (SD) and compared by t student test. The predictivity of myocardial disease occurrence by 8 9 each distinct feature was assessed by Cox proportional hazard regression models. The 10 number of covariates to be included in the multivariate model was defined by using a ratio of cases per covariate in the size of 10.[24] Moreover, in order to address the potential 11 influence of different therapeutic strategies by clinician from different centres, we carried 12 out a Cox frailty survival model with centre of enrollment as random effect. [25] Statistical 13 14 significance was set at P < 0.05.

```
15
```

16 **RESULTS**

17 Patients

From December 1st, 2012 to November 30th, 2015, a total of 654 SSc patients, with a
mean age of 56±13 years a disease duration from the first non-RP manifestation ranging
from 0.5 to 61 years (mean 10±9 SD), were enrolled in the study and followed-up for at
least six months.

22 One hundred and 53 patients did not undergo any vasodilator; 448 were prescribed 23 vasodilators including 89 treated with either prostanoids and/or endothelin receptor 24 antagonists and/or phosphodiesterase inhibitors. The 43 patients treated only with 25 targeted vasodilators were excluded.

- Table 1 shows the demographic, clinical, serological and therapeutic features as assessed at enrollment and during follow-up as far as the drug regimen is concerned, in the remaining 601 patients subdivided according to the therapeutic subgroup. Given the presence of missed items, the prevalence of each feature has been calculated among patients in whom it had been underlined. Hypercholesterolemia was noticed in few
- 31 patients; no data were available for statin use.

1 With respect to patients undergoing no vasodilators, those treated with vasodilator therapy

- 2 resulted to be more frequently aged ≥50 years (p=0.005), affected by systemic arterial
- 3 hypertension (p<0.001) and to be undergoing in a greater percentage corticosteroids
- 4 ±immunosuppressors (p<0.001) and low dose ASA (p<0.001) i.e. they presented a
- 5 greater prevalence of disease features potentially associated with a worse cardiovascular
- 6 outcome.
- 7
- 8
- 9

Table 1. Demographic, clinical, serological and therapeutic features of the 601

SSc patients subdivided according to the treatment subgroup

FEATURES	No vasodilators	Vasodilator therapy	Р
	(n=153)	(n=448)	
Female Sex	134/153 (87%)	395/448 (88%)	0.88
Age (mean±SD) years	55±14	57±13	0.21
Age ≥ 50 years	95/153 (62%)	332/448 (74%)	0.005
Early disease	53/145 (36%)	148/428 (35%)	0.69
Clinical subset			
Limited cutaneous	124 (81%)	348 (78%)	0.42
Diffuse cutaneous	29 (19%)	100 (22%)	0.42
Serological subset			
Antinuclear antibodies (ANA)	134/137 (98%)	400/410 (98%)	0.99
positive			
Anti-centromere (ACA) positive	64/137 (47%)	163/410 (42%)	0.16
Anti-Scl-70 positive	39/130 (30%)	136/388 (35%)	0.33
Further aspects			
Baseline Myocardial	18/123 (15%)	56/353 (16%)	0.27
Disease			
Digital ulcers (ever)	50/149 (33%)	168/437 (38%)	0.33
Tendon friction rubs	7/148 (5%)	20/432 (5%)	0.99
Arthritis	18/153 (12%)	52/442 (12%)	0.99
EScSG activity index≥3	13/153 (8%)	41/448 (9%)	0.87
Systemic arterial	0/153	139/448 (31%)	<0.001

Hypertension			
Cigarette smoking ever	39/127 (31%)	88/350 (25%)	0.24
Hypercholesterolemia	0/7	0/23	-
Ongoing corticosteroids ±			
immunosuppressors	44/145 (30%)	215/408 (53%)	<0.001
Ongoing low dose acetylsalicylic			
acid	28/146 (19%)	205/377 (54%)	<0.001

1

2 Occurrence of myocardial disease features during follow-up

3 During 914 follow-up patient/years, ventricular arrhythmias developed in 12 patients; Q waves developed in 5, cardiac blocks in 40, a Pacemaker was implanted in 6; 15 4 developed a LVEF<55% and/or a CHF. No patient underwent a sudden cardiac death. 5 6 In univariate analysis, vasodilator therapy resulted to be associated with a nearly 7 significant occurrence of ventricular arrhythmias (7/285 events (2%) occurring during 709 patient/years as compared to 5/97 (5%) during 206 patient/years in those not treated with 8 any vasodilator) (HR 0.33 95%CI 0.10-104; p=0.060); low dose ASA with a reduced 9 incidence of Q waves and/or cardiac blocks and/or pacemaker implantation (17/161 events 10 (10%) occurring during 434 patient/years as compared to 29/182 (16%) during 383 11 patient/years in those not treated with ASA) (HR 0.41 95%CI 1.98-16.56; p=0.004). On the 12 contrary, male sex (HR 5.73; 95%Cl 1.98-16.56; p=0.002) and a EScSG activity index \geq 3 13 at the enrollment into the study (HR=4.83; 95%CI 1.52-15.34;p=0.008) were found to 14 predict the development of a LVEF<55% and/or CHF. 15 In order to perform the multivariate Cox regression analysis, five covariates were selected 16 because of their potential value in influencing the occurrence of cardiac events over time. 17 Several tentatives were performed by selecting, according to the number of the events 18 occurred, all the 5 covariates were considered for cardiac blocks and/or Q waves and/or 19 pacemaker implantation; 2 covariates for ventricular arrhytmias; 2 covariates for 20 21 LVEF<55% and or CHF. Table 2 shows the results of this approach: vasodilator therapy resulted to be associated with a lower incidence of ventricular arrhythmias (HR 0.28; 95% 22 CI 0.09-0.90; p=0.03); low dose ASA with a lower incidence of cardiac blocks and/or Q 23 waves and/or pacemaker implantation (HR 0.46; 95% CI 0.24-0.87; p=0.02); a EScSG 24 activity index≥3 with a higher occurrence of a LVEF<55% and/or CHF (HR 3.71; 95% CI 25 1.02-13.42;p= 0.05) and cardiac blocks and/or Q waves and/or pacemaker implantation 26

1 (HR 2.15; 95% CI 1.00-4.63; p=0.05). Moreover, an unfavourable role of male sex

- 2 emerged.
- 3 Finally, since therapeutic strategies can differ among distinct centres, a Cox frailty survival
- 4 model with center of enrollment as random effect, was performed (Table 3). The
- 5 associations of vasodilators, low dose ASA and an EScSG activity index≥3 were
- 6 confirmed.
- 7

8

9

Table 2. Associations detected for each outcome measure by multivaria		
regression analysis		

COVARIATES	Cardiac Blocks and/or Q waves and/or Pacemaker Implantation n.events=49*	Ventricular Arrhytmias n. events=12	LVEF≤ 55% and/or CHF n.events=19
	HR; 95%Cl; p	HR: 95%Cl; p	HR: 95%Cl; p
Male sex		-	5.70: 2.20-18.9; <0.001
Age≥50			-
EScSG activity index ≥3	2.15; 1.00-4.63; 0.05	•	3.71; 1.02- 13.42; 0.05
Low dose ASA	0.46; 0.24-0.87; 0.02	-	
Vasodilators		0.28; 0.09-0.90; 0.03	-
*Two patients de	eveloped 2 events (1 Cardia Cardiac Block an	c Block and Pacemaker Im d/or Q wave)	plantation; 1

10 11

12 13

14

15

Table 3. Associations detected for each outcome measure by Cox frailty

analysis

COVARIATES	Cardiac Blocks and/or Q waves and/or Pacemaker Implantation	Ventricular Arrhytmias	LVEF≤ 50% and/or CHF
	n.events=49* HR; 95%Cl; p	n. events=12 HR; 95%Cl; p	n.events=19 HR; 95%Cl; p

EScSG activity index ≥3	2.12; 0.98-4.57; 0.06	-	3.79; 1.04-13.82; 0.04
Low dose ASA	0.53; 0.26-1.08; 0.08	-	-
Vasodilators	-	0.32; 0.10-1.02; 0.05	-

* Two patients developed 2 events (1 Cardiac Block and Pacemaker Implantation; 1 Cardiac Block and/or Q wave)

2 3 4

1

5 Withdrawal from vasodilator therapy and low dose ASA

Ninety-three out of the 448 patients undergoing vasodilator therapy withdrew from
treatment: 15 treated with CCB alone, 3 treated with ACEi or AnglIrb alone, none with
CCB + ACEi or AnglIrb reaching an incidence of 2.1/100 patient-years; 31 treated with
endothelin receptor antagonists, 19 treated with phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors and
25 treated with prostanoids reaching an incidence of 32/100 patient-years. Moreover, 16 of
the 230 patients undergoing ASA withdrew from treatment reaching an incidence rate of
3/100 patient-years.

13

14 DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first observational, prospective, long term study 15 to investigate the association between vasodilator therapy and the occurrence of disease 16 manifestations probably or potentially related to myocardial ischemia (ventricular 17 arrhythmias), fibrosis (Q waves and/or cardiac blocks and/or pacemaker implantation) or 18 both (reduced LVEF, congestive heart failure and sudden cardiac death). Actually, as far 19 as the influence of vasodilator therapy on myocardial disease is concerned, Kazzam et 20 21 al.[27] only investigated diastolic and systolic function in 22 SSc patients receiving captopril treatment (1.3 mg/ kg/ daily) for 11-15 months. These authors found an increase 22 in LVEF and a decrease in isovolumic relaxation time, indicating an improved left 23 ventricular filling, but did not consider any of the features assessed in our study. 24 In order to address the aim of the study, we also investigated the association between the 25 occurrence of the investigated manifestations and demographic, disease and different 26 therapeutic aspects potentially involved in SSc cardiac disease.[1-3,18-23] After excluding 27 any bias deriving from potential differences in the treatment policies among the distinct 28

29 centres involved in the study, vasodilators were found to be associated with a lower

incidence of ventricular arrhythmias, low dose ASA with a nearly significant, lower
 incidence of cardiac blocks and/or Q waves and/or pacemaker implantation; active
 disease, as defined by a EScSG activity index ≥3 at enrollment with a higher incidence of
 a reduced LVEF and/or CHF.

5 We underwent our prospective study because of the commonly shared opinion on the implication of ischemia/reperfusion events in the induction of myocardial fibrosis in SSc,[1-6 4] as well as the evidence emerged by short term trials and retrospective observational 7 studies suggesting a beneficial effect of vasodilators on cardiac vascularization and 8 9 function in the disease.[5-11] We could not confirm the retrospectively detected association between vasodilators use and a preserved LVEF,[10] neither we detected any 10 association between vasodilators and a reduced incidence of cardiac blocks and/or Q 11 waves and/or pacemaker implantation, which are distinct manifestations of myocardial 12 fibrosis or of a therapeutic intervention promoted by its consequences.[12] Nevertheless, 13 we pointed out an association between vasodilators and a lower incidence of ventricular 14 15 arrhythmias, which likely depend on ischemic processes.[13,14] This result deserves to be underlined since ventricular arrhythmias have long been known to be associated with a 16 poor prognosis in SSc.[13-14,21] 17

18 Investigating different aspects potentially associated with the incidence of cardiac events,

we happened to point out an unexpected protective role of low dose ASA and an

20 unfavourable prognostic role of the EScSG activity index.

Low dose ASA is currently prescribed to patients with a high risk of coronary artery 21 disease.[23] Moreover, it has been recently reported to be associated with a decrease in 22 the occurrence of major cardiovascular events (i.e. myocardial infarction and stroke) in 23 patients with systemic lupus erythematosus[27-28] and rheumatoid arthritis.[29] It might, 24 therefore, be hypothesized that the associations detected between the reduction in the 25 occurrence of distinct cardiac events and low dose ASA do not depend on a potential 26 protective effect on small intramyocardial coronary artery disease. Nevertheless, platelet 27 activation has been reported to play a role of both vascular and fibrotic manifestations of 28 SSc.[30] Moreover, markers of platelet activation have long been known to be responsive 29 to antiplatelet therapy.[31] 30 As far as EScSG activity index, Nevskaya et al. [19] have recently reported a predictive role 31

of the severity heart disease accrual by its adjusted mean over 3 years. Our results seem

to indicate that even a single evaluation might have a prognostic meaning. This result

prospects that achieving a EScSG activity index≥3 might be a target at least in clinical
 practice.

In the original design of our study, we had envisaged 3 treatment arms i.e. CCB, ACEinh,
CCB +ACEinh. Actually, we had not considered the possibility of a SSc patient who is not
prescribed any vasodilator drug. This does not appear to be the case, our data on
prospectively enrolled patients from 20 EUSTAR centres confirming those reported by the
German SSc network highlighting the high percentage of SSc patients who do not receive

- 8 any vasoactive therapy.[32]
- 9 The observational nature of the study does not allow to prospect any cause/effect
- 10 relationship. Well designed Randomised Controlled Trials (RCTs) are needed to either
- 11 support or refuse any therapeutic role of vasodilators and low dose ASA in the prevention
- of myocardial disease in SSc patients. In addition, the variable, non-standardised length of
- 13 follow-up represents a limitation, that, however, appears to be balanced by the long
- cumulative duration of follow-up (914 patient/years) and its median time (2.4 years).
- 15 Vascular disease has long been considered a pathological hallmark of SSc.[33] The low
- incidence of withdrawls from vasodilator therapy and low dose ASA in our study, even if
- 17 waiting for the results of properly designed RCTs, might suggest to consider adding low
- dose ASA and a vasodilator agent to the therapeutic strategy of any SSc patients. In that
- regard, given the apparent protective role of CCB for SRC on one side,[34] and the
- 20 increased risk of death associated with previous exposure to ACEinh in patients
- developing a SRC,[35] it appears advisable to start with a CCB and to add an ACEinh in
- 22 patients with diastolic dysfunction for the known effect of the latter on ventricular filling.[26]

In conclusion, our prospective, observational study suggests a protective role of
 vasodilators and low dose ASA on distinct manifestations of SSc myocardial disease and
 prospects the opportunity to conduct well designed RCTs on both therapeutic strategies.

26

Acknowledgements: Funded by the European Community FP7 program (DeSScipher
 FP7- HEALTH n°305495), and European Scleroderma Trials and Research group
 (EUSTAR)

Contributors: Study conception and design: GV, UML, CPD, FDG, GR, LC, MMC, OD,
UAW, YA. Acquisition of data: AR, SF, RI, VM, SG, BM, JA, IHT, MF, ES, VL, SN, VKJ, GA,
LPA, AMG, CM, JH, TS, AV, SM, IF, AG, BKL,SR. Analysis and interpretation of data: GV,
DH, AR, SF. Revising the article: GV, BM, IHT, LC, CPD, UAW, YA, UML.

Funding: European Community FP7 program (DeSScipher FP7- HEALTH n°305495)

1 Competing interests: none

- 2 **Ethics approval:** All contributing EUSTAR centres have obtained approval from their
- 3 respective local ethics committee for including patients data in the EUSTAR database and
- 4 patients have provided an informed consent according to local ethical requirements.
- 5

6 Key messages:

7 What is already known about this subject?

- 8 Short term studies have underlined a beneficial effect of calcium channel blockers (CCB)
- and other vasodilators including angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEinh) on
 cardiac vascularization and function in Systemic Sclerosis (SSc).
- However, the role of vasodilative agents in the prevention of primary myocardial diseasehas not yet been defined.

13 What does this study add?

- 14 -This is the first observational, long term study to investigate the association between
- vasodilators use and the occurrence of disease manifestations probably or potentially
 related to myocardial fibrosis.
- Associations between vasodilators and low dose ASA use and a decrease in the
- 18 incidence of distinct manifestations have emerged.
- 19 How might this impact on clinical practice?
- 20 -Our study could prompt clinicians to consider adding a vasodilator agent and low dose
- ASA to the therapeutic strategy of any SSc patient.
- 22

23 **References**

- 1. Kahan A, Allanore Y. Primary myocardial involvement in systemic sclerosis.
- 25 *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2006;45(Suppl.4):14-7
- 26 2 Kahan A, Coghlan G, McLaughlin V. Cardiac Complications of Systemic
 27 sclerosis. *Rheumatology* 2009;48:iii45-iii48
- 3 Parks JL, Taylor MH, Parks LP et al. Systemic Sclerosis and the Heart. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 2014;40:87-102
- 4 Follansbee WP, Miller TR, Curtiss EI et al. A controlled clinicopathologic study of
- myocardial fibrosis in systemic sclerosis (scleroderma). *J Rheumatol* 1990;17:656 62
- 5 Kahan A, Devaux JY, Amor B et al. Nifedipine and thallium-201 myocardial
 perfusion in progressive systemic sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 1986;314:1397-402

systemic sclerosis. J Rheumatol 1988;15:1395-400 2 7 Kahan A, Devaux JY, Amor B, et al. Pharmacodynamic effect of nicardipine on left 3 ventricular function in systemic sclerosis. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 1990;15:249-53 4 8 Kahan A, Devaux JY, Amor B, et al. The effect of captopril on thallium 201 5 myocardial perfusion in systemic sclerosis. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1990;47:483-9 6 9 Duboc D, Kahan A, Maziere B, et al. The effect of nifedipine on myocardial 7 perfusion and metabolism in systemic sclerosis. A positron emission tomographic 8 study. Arthritis Rheum 1991;34:198-203 9 10 Allanore Y, Meune C, Vonk MC et al. Prevalence and factors associated with left 10 ventricular dysfunction in the EULAR Scleroderma Trial and Research group 11 (EUSTAR) database of patients with systemic sclerosis. Ann Rheum Dis 12 2010;69:218-21 13 14 11 Lee SW, Choi EY, Jung SY et al. E/E' ratio is more sensitive than E/A ratio for detection of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in patients with systemic sclerosis. 15 Clin Exp Rheumatol 2010;28(Suppl58):S12-7 16 17 12 Follansbee WP, Curtiss EI, Rahko PS, et al. The electrocardiogram in systemic sclerosis (scleroderma). Study of 102 consecutive cases with functional correlations 18 and review of the literature. Am J Med 1985;79:183-9 19 13 Kostis JB, Seibold JR, Turkevich D et al. Prognostic importance of cardiac 20 arrhythmias in systemic sclerosis. Am J Med 1988;84:1007-15 21 14 Vacca A, Meune C, Gordon J et al. Scleroderma Clinical Trial Consortium 22 Cardiac Subcommittee. Cardiac arrhythmias and conduction defects in systemic 23 sclerosis. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2014; 53:1172-7 24 15 Van den Hoogen F, Khanna D, Fransen J et al. 2013 classification criteria for 25 systemic sclerosis: an American College of Rheumatology/ European League 26 Against Rheumatism collaborative initiative. Arthritis Rheum 2013;65:2737-47. 27 16 Walker UA, Tyndall A, Czirják L et al. Clinical risk assessment of organ 28 manifestations in systemic sclerosis: a report from the EULAR Scleroderma Trials 29 and Research group database. Ann Rheum Dis 2007;66:754-63 30

6 Kahan A, Devaux JY, Amor B et al. Nicardipine improves myocardial perfusion in

- 17 Valentini G, Bencivelli W, Bombardieri S et al. European Scleroderma Study
 Group to define disease activity criteria for systemic sclerosis. III. Assessment of the
 construct validity of the preliminary activity criteria. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2003;62:901–
 903
- 18 Steen VD, Medsger TA Jr. Severe organ involvement in systemic sclerosis with
 diffuse scleroderma. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000;43:2437-44
- 19 Nevskaya T, Baron M, Pope JE; Canadian Scleroderma Research Group.
 Predictive value of European Scleroderma Group Activity Index in an early
 scleroderma cohort. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2017;56:1111-1122
- 20 Mihai C, Landewé R, van der Heijde D et al. Digital ulcers predict a worse
 disease course in patients with systemic sclerosis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2016;75: 681686
- 21 Tyndall AJ1, Bannert B, Vonk M et al. Causes and risk factors for death in
 systemic sclerosis: a study from the EULAR Scleroderma Trials and Research
 (EUSTAR) database. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010;69:1809-15
- 22 Elhai M, Meune C, Boubaya M et al. Mapping and predicting mortality from
 systemic sclerosis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2017;76:1897-1905
- 23 Piepoli MF, Hoes AW, Agewall S, et al. 2016 European guidelines on
 cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice: The sixth joint task force of
 the European Society of Cardiology and other societies on cardiovascular disease
 prevention in clinical practice (constituted by representatives of 10 societies and by
 invited experts): Developed with the special contribution of the European
 association for cardiovascular prevention & rehabilitation (EACPR). Eur Heart J.
 2016;37:2315–2381
- 24 Lydersen S. Statistical review: frequently given comments. . *Ann Rheum Dis*26 2015;74: 323–325
- 25 Karagrigoriou A. Frailty Models in Survival Analysis. *Journal of Applied Statistics* 2011;38:2988-2989
- 26 Kazzam E, Caidhal K, Hilgren R, et al. Non-invasive evaluation of long-term
 effects of captopril in systemic sclerosis. *J Intern Med* 1991;230: 203-12

- 27 Iudici M, Fasano S, Gabriele Falcone L et al. Low-dose aspirin as primary
 prophylaxis for cardiovascular events in systemic lupus erythematosus: a long-term
 retrospective cohort study. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2016; 55:1623-30
- 28 Fasano S, Pierro L, Pantano I et al.Longterm Hydroxychloroquine Therapy and
 Low-dose Aspirin May Have an Additive Effectiveness in the Primary Prevention of
 Cardiovascular Events in Patients with Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. *J Rheumatol* 2017; 44: 1032-1038
- 29 Iacono D, Fasano S, Pantano I et al. Low-Dose Aspirin as Primary Prophylaxis
 for Cardiovascular Events in Rheumatoid Arthritis: An Italian Multicentre
 Retrospective Study. *Cardiol Res Pract* 2019: 2748035
- 30 Ntelis K, Solomou EE, Sakkas L et al. The role of platelets in autoimmunity,
 vasculopathy, and fibrosis: Implications for systemic sclerosis. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2017;47:409-417
- 31 Kahaleh MB, Osborn I, LeRoy EC. Elevated Levels of Circulating Platelet
 Aggregates and Beta-Thromboglobulin in Scleroderma. Ann Intern Med.
 1982;96:610–613.
- 32 Moinzadeh P, Riemekasten G, Siegert E et al. German Network for Systemic
 Scleroderma. Vasoactive Therapy in Systemic Sclerosis: Real-life Therapeutic
 Practice in More Than 3000 Patients. *J Rheumatol* 2016; 43:66-74
- 33 Matucci-Cerinic, M, Kahaleh, B, Wigley, FM. Evidence that systemic sclerosis is
 a vascular disease [review]. Arthritis Rheum 2013; 65: 1953–62
- 22 34 Montanelli G, Beretta L, Santaniello A et al. Effect of dihydropyridine calcium
- channel blockers and glucocorticoids on the prevention and development of
- scleroderma renal crisis in an Italian case series. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*
- 25 2013;31(Suppl 76):135-9
- 35 Hudson M, Baron M, Tatibouet S, et al. Exposure to ACE inhibitors prior to the
 onset of scleroderma renal crisis-Results from the International Scleroderma Renal
 Crisis Survey. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2014;43:666-72