

Bottom-trapped currents as statistical equilibrium states above topographic anomalies

Antoine Venaille

▶ To cite this version:

Antoine Venaille. Bottom-trapped currents as statistical equilibrium states above topographic anomalies. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, Cambridge University Press (CUP), 2012, 699, pp.500-510. <hal-00674692>

HAL Id: hal-00674692 https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00674692

Submitted on 27 Feb 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Bottom-trapped currents as statistical equilibrium states above topographic anomalies

A. VENAILLE†

Laboratoire de Physique, ENS-Lyon, 69007 France.

(Received 27 February 2012)

Oceanic geostrophic turbulence is mostly forced at the surface, yet strong bottom-trapped flows are commonly observed along topographic anomalies. Here we consider the case of a freely evolving, initially surface-intensified velocity field above a topographic bump, and show that the self-organization into a bottom-trapped current can result from its turbulent dynamics. Using equilibrium statistical mechanics, we explain this phenomenon as the most probable outcome of turbulent stirring. We compute explicitly a class of solutions characterized by a linear relation between potential vorticity and streamfunction, and predict when the bottom intensification is expected. Using direct numerical simulations, we provide an illustration of this phenomenon that agrees qualitatively with theory, although the ergodicity hypothesis is not strictly fulfilled.

1. Introduction

Bottom-intensified flows are commonly observed along topographic anomalies in the ocean. A striking example is given by the Zapiola anticyclone, a strong recirculation about 500 km wide that takes place above a sedimentary bump in the Argentine Basin, where bottom-intensified velocities of order 0.1 m.s^{-1} have been reported from in situ measurements (Saunders & King 1995) and models (de Miranda et al. 1999). This bottom intensification may seem surprising, since the energy is mostly injected at the surface of the oceans (Ferrari & Wunsch 2009). Indeed, the primary source of geostrophic turbulence is mostly baroclinic instability, extracting turbulent energy from the potential energy reservoir set at the basin scale by large scale wind patterns (Gill et al. 1974), and involving surface-intensified unstable modes, see e.g. (Smith 2007; Tulloch et al. 2011; Venaille et al. 2011b). It leads to the following question: how does a turbulent flow driven by surface forcing evolve into a bottom-intensified flow? A first necessary step to tackle this problem is to determine if an initially surface-intensified flow may evolve towards a bottom-intensified current without forcing and dissipation. Here we address this issue in the framework of freely-evolving stratified quasi-geostrophic turbulence, which allows for theoretical analysis with equilibrium statistical mechanics.

The main idea of statistical mechanics is to predict the large scale flow structure as the most probable outcome of turbulent stirring (Miller *et al.* 1992; Robert & Sommeria 1992). The interest of the approach lies in the possibility to compute this large scale flow structure and to study its properties with respect to a few key parameters given by dynamical invariants, see e.g. (Majda & Wang 2006; Bouchet & Venaille 2011). We focus here on a particular class of equilibrium states amenable to analytical treatment, namely, those characterized by a linear relation between potential vorticity (PV) and streamfunction.

† antoine.venaille@ens-lyon.org

A. Venaille

Phenomenological arguments for the formation of bottom-trapped flows were previously given by Dewar (1998) in a forced-dissipated case. We provide here a complementary point of view, built upon previous results of Merryfield (1998), who computed critical states of equilibrium statistical mechanics for truncated dynamics. He observed that some of these states were bottom intensified in the presence of topography. Venaille et al. (2011c) showed how to find the equilibrium states among these critical states, and how they depend on the initial fine-grained enstrophy profile. However, they focused on the role of the beta effect in barotropization processes and did not discuss the effect of bottom topography. Here we show that bottom-trapped currents are actual statistical equilibria in the presence of sufficiently large bottom topography, and we present direct numerical simulations of the free evolution of an initial surface-intensified flow towards these bottom-trapped currents.

2. Equilibrium states of quasi-geostrophic flows

Here we consider an initial value problem for a Boussinesq, continuously stratified quasi-geostrophic fluid. Such flows are stably stratified with a prescribed buoyancy profile N(z) above a topography anomaly $h_b(x, y)$, and are strongly rotating at a rate $f_0/2$ such that $UL/f_0 \ll 1$, where U and L respectively are typical horizontal velocity and length of the flow. At leading order, the flow is at geostrophic balance: horizontal pressure gradients compensate the Coriolis term. The dynamics is then given by quasi-geostrophic equations (see Vallis (2006) section 5.4):

$$\partial_t q + \partial_x \psi \partial_y q - \partial_y \psi \partial_x q = 0 , \qquad (2.1)$$

$$q = \Delta \psi + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{f_0^2}{N^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi \right) + \beta y, \qquad (2.2)$$

with
$$\partial_z \psi|_{z=0} = 0$$
, $\left. \frac{f_0}{N^2} \partial_z \psi \right|_{z=-H} = -h_b$, (2.3)

where ψ is the streamfunction, Δ the horizontal Laplacian, H the ocean depth. We consider Cartesian coordinates, but the earth sphericity is taken into account at lowest order through the term βy , which is due to the meridional variations of the projection of the earth rotation rate on the local vertical axis. This is the beta plane approximation. The lateral buoyancy variations have been neglected in the upper and lower boundary conditions. Quasi-geostrophic approximation neglects topography variations to leading order. This is why the term h_b appears only at the boundary z = -H.

The dynamics (2.1-2.2-2.3) takes place in three dimensions, but is expressed as the advection of a scalar field (the PV q) by a non-divergent horizontal velocity field, as in two-dimensional Euler dynamics. For this reason, the phenomenology of stratified quasi-geostrophic turbulence is similar to two-dimensional turbulence: there is an inverse energy cascade, self-organization at the domain scale, and no dissipative anomaly.

Quasi-geostrophic dynamics develops complex PV filaments at finer and finer scales at each depth z, associated with a forward cascade of enstrophy (Kraichnan & Montgomery 1980). Rather than describing the fine-grained structures, equilibrium statistical theories of two-dimensional turbulent flows, by assuming ergodicity (or at least sufficient mixing in phase space, corresponding to stirring in physical space), predict self-organization of the flow on a coarse-grained level (Robert & Sommeria (1992); Miller *et al.* (1992), MRS hereafter): a "mixing entropy" is maximized by taking into account the constraints,

Bottom-trapped currents as statistical equilibrium states above topographic anomalies 3

namely, all the flow invariants, which are the total energy

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-H}^{0} \mathrm{d}z \; \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \; \left[\left(\nabla \psi \right)^2 + \frac{f_0^2}{N^2} \left(\partial_z \psi \right)^2 \right], \tag{2.4}$$

and the Casimir functionals $C_g(z)[q] = \int_{\mathcal{D}} dx dy \ g(q)$ where g is any continuous function, and \mathcal{D} the domain where the flow takes place. Here we consider the case of a doublyperiodic domain. In the case $g(q) = q^2/2$, C_g is the enstrophy. The output of the theory is a coarse-grained PV field \overline{q} , obtained by locally averaging the fine-grained PV field q.

Let us call $E_0 = \mathcal{E}(q_0)$ and $Z_0(z) = (1/2) \int_{\mathcal{D}} dx dy q_0^2$ the energy and fine-grained enstrophy, respectively, of the initial condition given by $q_0(x, y, z)$. Using a general result of Bouchet (2008), it is shown in Venaille *et al.* (2011*c*), Appendix 1, that in the *low energy limit*, the calculation of MRS equilibrium states amounts to finding the minimizer \overline{q}_{min} of the "total coarse-grained enstrophy"

$$\mathcal{Z}_{cg}^{tot}\left[\overline{q}\right] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-H}^{0} \mathrm{d}z \ \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \ \frac{\overline{q}^{2}}{Z_{0}} \tag{2.5}$$

among all the fields \overline{q} satisfying the energy constraint

$$\mathcal{E}[\overline{q}] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \ f_0 h_b \psi|_{z=-H} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \ \int_{-H}^0 \mathrm{d}z \ (\overline{q} - \beta y) \psi = E_0, \tag{2.6}$$

where Eq. (2.6) is obtained after integrating Eq. (2.4) by parts. Independent of the statistical mechanics arguments, the variational problem (2.5-2.6) can be seen as a generalization to the stratified case of the phenomenological minimum enstrophy principle of Bretherton & Haidvogel (1976). Besides, these states are statistical equilibria of the truncated dynamics (Kraichnan & Montgomery 1980; Salmon *et al.* 1976) in the limit of infinite wave-number cut-off (Carnevale & Frederiksen 1987).

Critical states of the variational problem (2.5-2.6) are computed by introducing Lagrange multiplier[†] β_t associated with the energy constraint, and by solving $\delta Z_{cg}^{total} + \beta_t \delta \mathcal{E} = 0$, where variations of the functionals are taken with respect to \overline{q} , leading to the linear relation $\overline{q} = \beta_t Z_0 \psi$, see also Venaille *et al.* (2011*c*). The next step is to find which of these critical states are actual minimizers of the coarse-grained enstrophy for a given energy.

3. Analytical calculations and simulations

We consider the configuration of Fig. 1-a: the stratification is linear in the bulk (N is constant for -H + h < z < -h), and homogeneous in two layers of thickness $h \ll H$ at the top and at the bottom, where $N = 0^+$. In these upper and lower layers, the stream-function is depth independent, denoted by $\psi^{top}(x, y, t)$ and $\psi^{bot}(x, y, t)$, respectively. In these layers, the dynamics is then fully described by the advection of the vertical average of the PV fields, denoted by $q^{top}(x, y, t)$ and $q^{bot}(x, y, t)$. The interior PV field is denoted by $q^{int}(x, y, z, t)$. For a given field $q^{top}, q^{int}, q^{bot}$, the streamfunction is obtained by inverting the following equations:

$$q^{top} - \beta y = \Delta \psi^{top} - \frac{f_0^2}{hN^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi \Big|_{z=-h} , \qquad (3.1)$$

$$q^{bot} - \beta y - f_0 \frac{h_b}{h} = \Delta \psi^{bot} + \frac{f_0^2}{hN^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi \Big|_{z=h-H} , \qquad (3.2)$$

[†] The inverse temperature β_t should not be confused with the β effect.



FIGURE 1. a) Sketch of the flow configuration. The continuous red line represents the initial finegrained enstrophy profile (here $Z_0^{int} = 0$, as assumed in the Appendix). The dashed blue line represents the density profile, and the dashed-dotted green line represents the streamfunction amplitude shape, which is initially surface-intensified. The thick continuous black line represents bottom topography. b) Vertical slice of the meridional velocity field v of the statistical equilibrium state in the low energy limit.

$$q^{int} - \beta y = \Delta \psi + \frac{f_0^2}{N^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \psi \quad \text{for } -H + h < z < -h , \qquad (3.3)$$

$$\psi^{top} = \psi(x, y, -h), \ \psi^{bot} = \psi(x, y, -H + h).$$
(3.4)

Equations (3.1-3.2) are obtained by averaging Eq. (2.2) in the vertical direction in the upper and the lower layers, respectively, and by using the boundary condition (2.3). In the following, the initial condition is a surface-intensified velocity field induced by a perturbation of the PV field confined in the upper layer:

$$q_0^{top} = \beta y + q_0^{pert} , \quad q_0^{int} = \beta y , \quad q_0^{bot} = \frac{f_0}{h} h_b + \beta y.$$
 (3.5)

It is assumed in the following that $\beta y \ll q_0^{pert} \ll f_0 h_b/h$. The PV fields are therefore associated with fine-grained enstrophies $Z_0^{int} \ll Z_0^{top} \ll Z_0^{bot}$. We compute in the Appendix the coarse-grained enstrophy minimizers of this configuration by solving the variational problem (2.5-2.6). The main result is that for a fixed topography, in the *low energy limit*, the equilibrium streamfunction is a bottom-intensified quasi-geostrophic flow such that bottom streamlines follow contours of topography with positive correlations, see Fig. 1-b. Given the choice of our initial condition, considering the low energy limit for a fixed topography is equivalent to considering a large topography limit for a fixed energy.

We perform simulations of the dynamics by considering a horizontal discretization of 512^2 in a doubly periodic square domain of size $L = 2\pi$, and a vertical discretization with 10 layers of equal depth h = 0.1, using a pseudo-spectral quasi-geostrophic model (Smith & Vallis 2001). The linearly stratified region of Fig. 1 is therefore approximated by 8 layers of depth h. We present results without small scale dissipation, but we checked that adding a weak enstrophy filter or hyperviscosity did not change qualitatively the results.

The perturbation q_0^{pert} of the PV field in the upper layer has random phases in spectral space with a Gaussian power spectrum peaked at wavenumber $K_0 = 5$, with variance $\delta K_0 = 2$, and normalized such that the total energy is equal to one $(E_0 = 1)$, the latter amounting to a rescaling of time. The bottom topography h_b is a Gaussian bump of



Bottom-trapped currents as statistical equilibrium states above topographic anomalies 5

FIGURE 2. a),c),e) PV field at three successive times. In each panel, only layers 1 (top), 5 (middle) and 10 (bottom) are represented. b),d),f) Vertical slices of the meridional velocity fields v taken at the center of the domain (y = 0), and associated with the PV fields given in panels a),c),e), respectively. The bold continuous dark line represents bottom topography. The continuous black contours of panel f) give the structure of the statistical equilibrium state in the low energy (or large topography) limit, corresponding to Fig. 1-b. Contour intervals are the same as those between the different shades.

amplitude H_b and of typical width $\sigma = L/2$. There are three independent parameters:

(i)
$$\frac{L_d}{L} = \frac{NH}{2f_0L}$$
, (ii) $\frac{L_\beta}{L} = \frac{1}{L} \left(\frac{V_0}{\beta}\right)^{1/2}$, (iii) $\frac{L_{h_b}}{L} = \frac{1}{L^{1/2}} \left(\frac{hV_0}{2f_0H_B}\right)^{1/2}$, (3.6)

where $V_0 = (E_0/L^2H)^{1/2}$ is an estimate for the velocity. The first parameter is the ratio between the first baroclinic radius of deformation and the domain scale, taken to be

A. Venaille

 $L_d/L = 1/10$. The second one is the ratio between the Rhines scale and the domain scale. We choose $L_\beta/L = 1/3$. The third one is the ratio of a topographic Rhines scale to the domain scale, taken to be $L_{h_b}/L = 1/10$. We show in the Appendix that the latter choice is consistent with the low energy or large topography limit considered in the previous section. The choices of β and H_b are also consistent with the hypothesis that $Z_0^{int} \ll Z_0^{bot} \ll Z_0^{bot}$. The choice $K_0 = 6$ for the initial condition is motivated by the fact that in the forced-dissipated case, the energy is injected through baroclinic instability with a most unstable mode having a wavelength of a few Rossby wavelength of deformation, see e.g. (Smith 2007; Vallis 2006). We checked that changing this initial horizontal length scale did not change the results.

We see in Fig. 2-b that the initial condition resembles a classical surface quasi-geostrophic mode (Held *et al.* 1995). After a few eddy turnover times, the enstrophy of the upper layer has cascaded towards small scales as shown by numerous filaments in Fig. 2-c. concomitantly with an increase of the horizontal energy length scale. The projection of a surface quasi-geostrophic mode on a Fourier mode of wavenumber K is characterized by a typical e-folding depth of f_0/NK on the vertical. The inverse energy cascade on the horizontal leads therefore to a deeper penetration of the velocity field, shown in Fig. 2-d. When this velocity field reaches the bottom layer, it starts to stir the bottom PV field. This induces a bottom-intensified flow, which then stirs the surface PV field, and so on. The flow reaches a stationary state after a few dozen of eddy turnover times: the $\overline{q} - \psi$ relation in each layer converges towards a well defined functional relation after coarse-graining. The existence of this functional relation implies $\partial_t \bar{q} = 0$, meaning that there are no temporal fluctuations. The corresponding flow is shown in Fig. 2-e, which clearly represents a bottom-intensified anticyclonic flow above the topographic anomaly, qualitatively similar to the one predicted by statistical mechanics in the low energy limit. However, we observe the formation of multiple jets (here 2) around the topographic bump, as in Vallis & Maltrud (1993), which indicates that the "sufficient stirring" hypothesis is not strictly fulfilled in the simulations.

The same evolution from a surface-intensified turbulent field towards a bottom-trapped flow was observed in the absence of a β -effect, except that there remained two small surface-intensified coherent vortices locked above the topography extrema. These vortices are destroyed by radiation of Rossby waves in presence of β , as in Maltrud & Vallis (1991). In a way, small values of β enhance PV stirring, even if they have a negligible effect on the structure of the equilibrium state. However, if β is too large, then $L_{\beta} \ll L_{h_b}$, which leads to zonal jets that prevent the formation of bottom-trapped flow along topographic anomalies.

4. Conclusion

For sufficiently large values of bottom topography, statistical mechanics predicts that an initially surface-intensified velocity field will evolve towards a bottom-intensified flow following contours of topography. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first analytical calculation of statistical equilibrium states for stratified quasi-geostrophic equations above topography, although there have been many works on one or few layer models, see e.g. Majda & Wang (2006), and also, critical states were computed by Merryfield (1998) in the stratified case. We chose to present these calculations in the simplest possible setting in order to give the main physical ideas. We found qualitative agreement between statistical mechanics predictions and a direct numerical simulation of the freely evolving dynamics.

The statistical mechanics approach highlights the crucial role of energy and fine-grained

Bottom-trapped currents as statistical equilibrium states above topographic anomalies 7

enstrophy conservation (among other invariants) while taking into account the turbulent nature of the dynamics. Here we considered the equilibrium states characterized by energy and enstrophy only, which is justified in a low energy limit, and which leads to linear $\overline{q} - \psi$ relations. The next step will be to consider higher order invariants, in order to account for equilibrium states associated with non-linear $\overline{q} - \psi$ relations, see e.g. Bouchet & Simonnet (2009) in the context of Euler equations.

The main shortcoming of the statistical mechanics approach is that it predicts only the final state organization, and not how the system evolves towards this state. In addition, there remain quantitative discrepancies between theory and simulations, because the ergodicity, or "sufficient stirring" hypothesis may not be strictly fulfilled in some cases. Addressing specifically the range of parameters for which the statistical mechanics predictions are accurate, and estimating how the relaxation time towards equilibrium depends on these parameters will be the object of a future work.

These results have important consequences for ocean energetics: topographic anomalies allow transferring surface-intensified eddy kinetic energy into bottom-trapped mean kinetic energy, which would eventually be dissipated in the presence of bottom friction, as for instance in the case of the Zapiola anticyclone (Dewar 1998; Venaille *et al.* 2011*a*). In the case of the Zapiola anticyclone, the dissipation time scale is of the order of a few eddy turnover-time. It is therefore not *a priori* obvious that the results obtained in a freely evolving configuration may apply to this case. However, we consider that it was a necessary first step. One can now build upon these results to address the role of forcing and dissipation in vertical energy transfers above topographic anomalies.

Acknowledgements Most of this work was supported by DoE grant DE-SC0005189, by NOAA grant NA08OAR4320752 and by the contract LORIS (ANR-10-CEXC-010-01). AV warmly thanks F. Bouchet, S. Griffies, I. Held, J. Le Sommer, J. Sommeria and G. Vallis for fruitful discussions and inputs. AV thanks K.S. Smith for sharing his QG code, and J. Laurie and S. Gupta for useful comments on the manuscript. Presentation of the results has been significantly improved thanks to the very detailed comments of an anonymous reviewer.

5. Appendix: calculation of the solution

We compute statistical equilibria for the configuration sketched in Fig. 1 and detailed in section 3, by applying a general method presented in Venaille & Bouchet (2011). Here we consider the limit of small beta effect. The case without topography but including the beta effect is discussed in Venaille *et al.* (2011*c*).

When $\beta \to 0$, only the upper and lower layers are dynamically active. The reason is that the interior fine-grained enstrophy tends to zero. We have seen in section 2 that a solution of the variational problem (2.5-2.6) necessary satisfies $\overline{q} = \beta_t Z_0 \psi$. This gives $\int_{\mathcal{D}} dx dy \ \overline{q}^2/Z_0 \sim Z_0$. We conclude that $\lim_{Z_0 \to 0^+} \int_{\mathcal{D}} dx dy \ \overline{q}^2/Z_0 = 0$. The variational problem (2.5-2.6) amounts therefore to finding the minimizers of

$$\mathcal{Z}_{cg}^{tot}\left[\overline{q}^{top}, \overline{q}^{bot}\right] = \frac{1}{2} \frac{h}{Z_0^{top}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \ \left(\overline{q}^{top}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{h}{Z_0^{bot}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \ \left(\overline{q}^{bot}\right)^2, \tag{5.1}$$

with the constraint

$$\mathcal{E}[\overline{q}^{top}, \overline{q}^{bot}] = -\frac{h}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \ \overline{q}^{top} \psi^{top} - \frac{h}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \ \left(\overline{q}^{bot} - f_0 \frac{h_b}{h}\right) \psi^{bot} = E_0.$$
(5.2)

One could also derive this variational problem directly from the Miller-Robert-Sommeria

A. Venaille

variational problem, using the method presented in the Appendix of Venaille *et al.* (2011c), and assuming that PV levels are zero everywhere except in the the lower and upper homogeneous layers.

In order to solve the variational problem (5.1-5.2), we use the fact that any minimizer $\overline{q}_{\beta_t}^{top}, \overline{q}_{\beta_t}^{bot}$ of the "free energy" $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{Z}_{cg}^{tot} + \beta_t \mathcal{E}$ is a minimizer of the enstrophy \mathcal{Z}_{cg}^{tot} with the energy constraint $E_{\beta_t} = \mathcal{E}\left[\overline{q}_{\beta_t}^{top}, \overline{q}_{\beta_t}^{bot}\right]$, see e.g. (Venaille & Bouchet 2011) and references therein.

The first step is to compute the free energy. For that purpose, it is convenient to consider Fourier modes $\psi = \sum_{k,l} \widehat{\psi}_{k,l} exp(ikx + ily)$ and solve Eq. (3.3-3.4) for each Fourier component:

$$\widehat{\psi}_{k,l}(z) = \widehat{\psi}_{k,l}^{top} \frac{\sinh\left(z + H/\lambda_K\right)}{\sinh\left(H/\lambda_K\right)} - \widehat{\psi}_{k,l}^{bot} \frac{\sinh\left(z/\lambda_K\right)}{\sinh\left(H/\lambda_K\right)} , \quad \lambda_K = \frac{f_0}{NK} , K = \left(k^2 + l^2\right)^{1/2} ,$$
(5.3)

where we considered the limit $h \ll H$ to simplify the expressions. Each Fourier component of the streamfunction is a linear combination of a surface quasi-geostrophic mode and a bottom-trapped quasi-geostrophic mode. Substitution of Eq. (5.3) into the Fourier projections of Eqs. (3.1-3.2) yields

$$\widehat{\overline{q}}_{k,l}^{top} = a_K \widehat{\psi}_{k,l}^{top} + b_K \widehat{\psi}_{k,l}^{bot}, \quad \widehat{\overline{q}}_{k,l}^{bot} = b_K \widehat{\psi}_{k,l}^{top} + a_K \widehat{\psi}_{k,l}^{bot} + \frac{f_0}{h} \left(\widehat{h}_b\right)_{k,l}, \tag{5.4}$$

with
$$a_K = -K^2 \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_K}{h \tanh(H/\lambda_K)} \right), \quad b_K = K^2 \frac{\lambda_K}{h \sinh(H/\lambda_K)}.$$
 (5.5)

In order to compute the free energy $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{Z}_{cg}^{tot} + \beta_t \mathcal{E}$, we first express the enstrophy (5.1) and the energy (5.2) in term of the Fourier components of the streamfunction, potential vorticity fields, bottom topography. We finally use Eq. (5.4) to express the streamfunction in term of the potential vorticity fields and the topography, which yields

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{h}{2} \sum_{k,l} \left(\left(\frac{1}{Z_0^{top}} + \frac{\beta_t a_K}{a_K^2 - b_K^2} \right) \left(\widehat{\overline{q}}_{k,l}^{top} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{Z_0^{bot}} + \frac{\beta_t a_K}{a_K^2 - b_K^2} \right) \left(\widehat{\overline{q}}_{k,l}^{bot} - \frac{f_0}{h} \left(\widehat{h}_b \right)_{k,l} \right)^2 \right) \cdots + \frac{h}{2} \sum_{k,l} \left(\frac{2\beta_t b_K}{a_K^2 - b_K^2} \widehat{\overline{q}}_{k,l}^{top} \left(\widehat{\overline{q}}_{k,l}^{bot} - \frac{f_0}{h} \left(\widehat{h}_b \right)_{k,l} \right) \right).$$
(5.6)

After some manipulations, we find that the quadratic part of \mathcal{F} is positive definite for $\beta_t \in (\beta_t^{min}, +\infty)$, where β_t^{min} is the largest root of $(\beta_t Z_0^{top} - a_1) (\beta_t Z_0^{bot} - a_1) = b_1^2$, implying that there exits a unique minimizer for each $\beta_t \in (\beta_t^{min}, +\infty)$. In addition, there is at least one unstable (negative) direction for \mathcal{F} when $\beta_t \in (-\infty, \beta_t^{min})$, implying that there is no minimizer for this range of parameters.

When it exists, the minimizer \overline{q}_{min} of the functional \mathcal{F} can be explicitly computed for each value of β_t . The first step is to compute the critical points of the functional, which are solutions of $\delta \mathcal{F} = \delta Z_{cg}^{tot} + \beta_t \delta \mathcal{E} = 0$, where variations are taken with respect to the PV fields \overline{q}^{bot} , \overline{q}^{top} . This leads to $\overline{q}^i = \beta_t Z_0^i \psi^i$ with $i \in \{bot, top\}$. Substituting the Fourier projections of these expressions in Eq. (5.4) yields

$$\widehat{\psi}_{k,l}^{top} = \frac{f_0}{h} \frac{b_K}{\left(\beta_t Z_0^{top} - a_K\right) \left(\beta_t Z_0^{bot} - a_K\right) - b_K^2} \left(\widehat{h}_b\right)_{k,l}, \quad \widehat{\psi}_{k,l}^{bot} = \frac{\beta_t Z_0^{top} - a_K}{b_K} \widehat{\psi}_{k,l}^{top}.$$
(5.7)

For a given non-zero topography, the energy tends to zero when $\beta_t \to +\infty$, and tends to infinity when $\beta_t \to \beta_t^{min}$. It is therefore possible to reach any admissible energy by

Bottom-trapped currents as statistical equilibrium states above topographic anomalies 9

varying the inverse temperature between β_t^{min} and $+\infty$. It thus means that we have found all the energy-enstrophy statistical equilibria.

Let us first consider the limit $\beta_t Z_0^{bot} L^2 \to +\infty$. The solution (5.7) tends to

$$\widehat{\psi}_{k,l}^{top} \approx \frac{f_0 b_K \left(\widehat{h}_b\right)_{k,l}}{\left(h \beta_t^2 Z_0^{top} Z_0^{bot}\right)}, \quad \widehat{\psi}_{k,l}^{bot} \approx \frac{f_0 \left(\widehat{h}_b\right)_{k,l}}{h \beta_t Z_0^{bot}}.$$
(5.8)

We see that $\psi^{bot} = f_0 h_b / (h \beta_t Z_0^{bot})$ is along contours of topography, and that the vertical structure of the equilibrium state is dominated by the bottom-trapped quasi-geostrophic mode, since $\psi^{top} \ll \psi^{bot}$. Using the energy expression (2.4), we obtain $E_0 \sim HL^2 (f_0 H_b / Lh \beta_t Z_0^{bot})^2$, and substituting this expression in Eq. (3.6-iii) yields $\beta_t Z_0 L^2 \sim (L/L_{h_b})^2$. These estimates explain a posteriori the term low energy limit or large topography limit when $\beta_t Z_0^{bot} L^2 \to +\infty$, and the fact that this limit corresponds to $L_{h_b} \ll L$.

In the low topography limit or large energy limit, the ratio Z_0^{bot}/Z_0^{top} tends to zero, and we deduce from Eq. (5.7) that $\beta_t \approx \beta_t^{min} \approx (a_1 - b_1^2/a_1)/Z_0^{top}$, which implies that ψ^{top} is dominated by its Fourier component on the horizontal mode K = 1. We also deduce from Eq. (5.7) that $\psi^{bot} \approx -b_1/a_1\psi^{top} \ll \psi^{top}$: the equilibrium state is a surface quasi-geostrophic mode.

We have thus described a class of equilibrium states varying from a surface quasigeostrophic mode condensed in the gravest Laplacian eigenmode (*low topography or large energy limit*) to a bottom-trapped quasi-geostrophic mode following contours of topography (*low energy or large topography limit*). In the framework of MRS theory, only the low energy limit is consistent with the derivation of the quadratic variational problem (5.1-5.2).

Finally, the numerical simulations were performed with ten homogeneous layers, which is a slightly different case from the one studied in this Appendix, with linear interior stratification. However, the present analytical results easily generalize to arbitrary stable interior stratification profiles. We do not expect different qualitative behaviors for the equilibrium states, although the convergence towards equilibrium may depend on stratification properties.

REFERENCES

- BOUCHET, F. 2008 Simpler variational problems for statistical equilibria of the 2d euler equation and other systems with long range interactions. *Physica D Nonlinear Phenomena* 237, 1976–1981.
- BOUCHET, F. & SIMONNET, E. 2009 Random Changes of Flow Topology in Two-Dimensional and Geophysical Turbulence. *Physical Review Letters* **102** (9), 094504.
- BOUCHET, F. & VENAILLE, A. 2011 Statistical mechanics of two-dimensional and geophysical flows. *Physics Reports (in press, arXiv:1110.6245)*.
- BRETHERTON, F. P. & HAIDVOGEL, D. B. 1976 Two-dimensional turbulence above topography. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 78, 129–154.
- CARNEVALE, G. F. & FREDERIKSEN, J. S. 1987 Nonlinear stability and statistical mechanics of flow over topography. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 175, 157–181.
- DE MIRANDA, A. P., BARNIER, B. & DEWAR, W. K. 1999 On the dynamics of the Zapiola Anticyclone. J. Geophys. Res. 104, 21137 – 21150.
- DEWAR, W.K. 1998 Topography and barotropic transport control by bottom friction. J. Mar. Res. 56, 295–328.

- FERRARI, R. & WUNSCH, C. 2009 Ocean Circulation Kinetic Energy: Reservoirs, Sources, and Sinks. Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics 41, 253–282.
- GILL, A. E., GREEN, J. S. A. & SIMMONS, A.J. 1974 Energy partition in the large-scale ocean circulation and the production of mid-ocean eddies. *Deep-Sea Research* **21**, 499–528.
- HELD, I. M., PIERREHUMBERT, R. T., GARNER, S. T. & SWANSON, K. L. 1995 Surface quasi-geostrophic dynamics. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 282, 1–20.
- KRAICHNAN, R. H. & MONTGOMERY, D. 1980 Two-dimensional turbulence. Reports on Progress in Physics 43, 547–619.
- MAJDA, A. & WANG, X. 2006 Nonlinear Dynamics and Statistical Theories for Basic Geophysical Flows.
- MALTRUD, M. & VALLIS, G. K. 1991 Energy spectra and coherent structures in forced twodimensional and geostrophic turbulence. J. Fluid Mech. 228, 321–342.
- MERRYFIELD, W. J. 1998 Effects of stratification on quasi-geostrophic inviscid equilibria. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 354, 345–356.
- MILLER, J., WEICHMAN, P. B. & CROSS, M. C. 1992 Statistical mechanics, Euler's equation, and Jupiter's Red Spot. *Phys. Rev. A* **45**, 2328–2359.
- ROBERT, R. & SOMMERIA, J. 1992 Relaxation towards a statistical equilibrium state in twodimensional perfect fluid dynamics. *Physical Review Letters* 69, 2776–2779.
- SALMON, R., HOLLOWAY, G. & HENDERSHOTT, M. C. 1976 The equilibrium statistical mechanics of simple quasi-geostrophic models. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 75, 691–703.
- SAUNDERS, P. M. & KING, B. A. 1995 Bottom Currents Derived from a Shipborne ADCP on WOCE Cruise A11 in the South Atlantic. *Journal of Physical Oceanography* 25, 329–347.
- SMITH, K. S. 2007 The geography of linear baroclinic instability in Earth's oceans. Journal of Marine Research 65, 655–683.
- SMITH, K. S. & VALLIS, G. K. 2001 The Scales and Equilibration of Midocean Eddies: Freely Evolving Flow. Journal of Physical Oceanography 31, 554–571.
- TULLOCH, R, MARSHALL, J., HILL, C. & SMITH, K.S. 2011 Scales, growth rates and spectral fluxes of baroclinic instability in the ocean. *Journal of Physical Oceanography* 41, 1057– 1076.
- VALLIS, G. K. 2006 Atmospheric and Oceanic Fluid Dynamics.
- VALLIS, G. K. & MALTRUD, M. E. 1993 Generation of Mean Flows and Jets on a Beta Plane and over Topography. Journal of Physical Oceanography 23, 1346–1362.
- VENAILLE, A. & BOUCHET, F. 2011 Solvable phase diagrams and ensemble inequivalence for two-dimensional and geophysical flows. *Journal of Statistical Physics* 143 (2), 346–380.
- VENAILLE, A., LE SOMMER, J., MOLINES, J.M. & BARNIER, B. 2011a Stochastic variability of oceanic flows above topography anomalies. *Geophysical Research Letters* **38** (16611).
- VENAILLE, A., VALLIS, G.K. & SMITH, K.S. 2011b Baroclinic turbulence in the ocean: analysis with primitive equation and quasi-geostrophic simulations. *Journal of Physical Oceanogra*phy 41 (9), 1605–1623.
- VENAILLE, A., VALLIS, G. K. & GRIFFIES, S. 2011c The catalytic role of beta effect in barotropization process. Submitted to Journal of Fluid Mechanics, arXiv:1201.0657.