

Countries, national alcohol limits and risk behaviours: results from the TEN D by Night project

ROBERTA SILIQUINI⁽¹⁾, FABRIZIO BERT⁽¹⁾, LAMBERTO MANZOLI⁽²⁾ AND TEN-D GROUP

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: this paper re-analysed data from the international cross-sectional TEN-D survey to investigate the association between country or national alcohol limits and risk behaviours.

METHODS: data were collected on subjects aged 16-35 years owning a driving license and attending recreational sites during weekend nights in Belgium/Netherlands, Bulgaria, Italy, Poland and Spain. Each participant was administered a: questionnaire, driving simulation, breath alcohol concentration (BAC) and illegal drugs detection tests. Random-effect regression was used to identify independent predictors of 3 outcomes: high BAC (≥0.5 g/L); negative driving behaviours; self-reported illegal drug consumption.

RESULTS: the survey included 4 534 subjects (mean age 23.1±4.2 years; males 68.3%). Alcohol misuse was highest in Poland (65.1%) and Spain (83.7%), which also showed the highest frequency of negative driving behaviours (39.0%) and illegal drugs consumption (55.6%). Multivariate analysis confirmed country as a predictor of all outcomes, whereas no association was found with national alcohol limits.

CONCLUSIONS: the absence of association between national alcohol limits and alcohol misuse or negative driving behaviours suggests that cultural factors might be predominant in explaining the differences across countries. Our findings are preliminary and further research is needed.

Key words: Risk behaviours; Alcohol; Illegal drugs; International comparison

(1) Department of Public Health, University of Turin, Italy
(2) Section of Epidemiology and Public Health, University
"G. d'Annunzio" of Chieti, Italy

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Roberta Siliquini, Department of Public Health, University of Turin, Via Santena 5 bis, Turin, Italy. Tel: +39-0116705875, Fax: +39-0116705889. e-maill: roberta.siliquini@unito.it DOI: 10.2427/8838

INTRODUCTION

Over 195 000 alcohol-related deaths have been estimated in Europe each year and in similar way illegal drug consumption has been associated with a considerable excess of mortality and of social costs [1]. Accordingly, the European Union included alcohol and drug use reduction among its priorities [2, 3].

This phenomenon hits increasingly younger generations and citizens of developing countries [4, 5], and several studies have evaluated alcohol and drug consumption patterns and frequency among students and young people



in different countries [6-8]. In addition to some major predictors of substance abuse such as male gender, higher socioeconomic status, higher family education and alcohol use by family or peers, these studies suggested that consumption frequency and pattern could be influenced by country-specific factors, such as culture, the availability/access of illegal drugs or alcohol, and community norms penalizing/ punishing substance use [9, 10].

The relative contribution of single countryspecific factors, however, remains uncertain. Also, published studies were often focused on adolescents or college students [6, 9, 11-14], or compared the pattern of consumption among different continents or countries using data provided by national surveys, which adopted different and non-standardized tools and objectives [10, 15-18]. As an example, Kuntsche et al. [12] made a comparison of cannabis use among 31 countries, but only 15-year old students were included; McBride et al. [10] compared the prevalence of substance use between USA and Australia using data from national surveys that were conducted with different instruments and in diverse years.

We are here reporting the results of a re-analysis of the data from the "Ten D (Dark, Dance, Disco, Dose, Drugs, Drive, Danger, Damage, Disability, Death) by Night" field study, with the aim of investigating the frequency and potential country-specific predictors of selected behaviours at risk (large alcohol or illegal drug consumption, and driving after psychoactive substance use) in a large sample of young individuals from six European countries.

METHODS

Study design and population

The methodology of the study protocol has been described in detail previously [19]. In summary, the TEN-D by Night was a multicenter, international, cross-sectional survey, supported by the European Commission Public Health Executive Agency. In six European countries (Italy, Belgium/ Netherlands, Bulgaria, Poland and Spain), data were collected on subjects aged 16-35 years that owned a driving license and attended recreational sites during the weekend nights.

Recreational sites were selected through the official regional lists and on a voluntary basis.

Both at the entry and exit from the recreational sites, young people were requested to:

- compile a structured questionnaire (gathering information on demographic and socio-economic status, consumption of drugs, consumption of alcohol and illegal drugs, driving habits and, the exit only, on alcohol and illegal drug consumption during the stay, intention to drive, and opinion about the intervention);
- participate to a driving simulation [using SimuNomad3 Ecrans (Simucar Driving Simulator website)] to evaluate their reaction time (as a proxy of driving performance, defined as the latency to apply the brake after appearance of a barrier) [20];
- perform a test to assess breath alcohol concentration (using Drager Alcoltest 6510) [21];
- undergo a saliva test on several illegal drug use (cannabis, cocaine, metamphetamine/ MDMA, amphetamine, opiates), using Oratect III Oral Fluid Drug Screen Device [22]. This test was made at the exit from the recreational site only;
- provide a signed informed consent.

Both entrance and exit questionnaires were based upon validated questionnaires [23, 24], which were translated into the national language of each participating country. The survey staff was composed by six investigators at each night and was previously trained.

Alcohol policies

The traffic and alcohol and drug related regulations in each participating countries (at the time of the survey) were retrieved from the official and ministerial websites and from official traffic regulations (Belgium, Bulgaria, Italy, Poland, Spain) [25-29]. All investigators were also requested to supervise the results of the initial search.

Data analysis

The results of the analyses on the predictors on reaction time have been published previously [30]. In brief, we re-analysed the same database but varied the outcome of the analysis and excluded one level of cluster. We previously

ebph

used a mixed regression model with reaction time as the dependent variable and country and subject identification code as cluster levels [30]. In the present analysis, we used random-effect logistic regression with a single cluster level (subject id, as again both entry and exit data were used) to permit the inclusion of country as dummy variable among the covariates of the model, and we fit three separate models with different dependent variables: (1) large consumption of alcohol (dichotomized in breath alcohol concentration ≥ 0.5 g/L or lower); (2) selfreported driving after heavy drinking or having had penalties, car crashes, or license revocation when drunk in the last year (yes or no); (3) selfreported illegal drug use at least once in the last year (yes or no). The main aim of the present analysis was indeed to evaluate the potential association between the above three negative behaviours and country or national alcohol limits. No obvious reference category existed for country, so we arbitrarily selected Italy as it was the country with the lowest prevalence of large alcohol consumption. Belgium and The Netherlands were associated in the analyses since the interventions were performed for both countries by the same staff in an area near the border, where the nightlife is often characterized by the exceeding of the national boundaries.

For model building, we used the same approach previously described: in addition to country and national alcohol limit (in the two models predicting alcohol-related variables), all recorded covariates were included into the model a priori, except for those variables related to past use of alcohol or drug and driving history, which were included only if significant at the 0.10 level. With this criterion, all models were adjusted for age, gender, educational level, living arrangement, occupation and driving license years. A few other covariates were included in some models and they have been detailed in table footnotes. Age and educational level were included as continuous variables rather than ordinal ones based upon the Wald test results. Collinearity, interaction and higher power terms were tested for all covariates, and only those achieving significance were retained. Outlier analysis for all models were based upon studentized residuals and DFITS and Cook's D influence measures. Finally, missing data were less than 5% for all covariates and no missing imputation technique was adopted.

A two-tailed p-value of 0.05 was considered significant for all analyses, which were carried out using Stata 10.1 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA, 2007).

RESULTS

The main characteristics of the sample have been reported for each country in Table 1. Overall, 4 534 subjects compiled the first questionnaire, performed the first alcohol test and had their reaction time measured. Their mean age was 23.1 years (SD=4.2), and it was similar in all countries. In contrast, the proportion of males (68.3% overall) differed substantially across countries, being highest in Italy (82.2%) and lowest in Spain (56.1%). Excluding Belgium/ Netherlands, most participants attended high school and lived with parents.

Alcohol and drug use patterns and perceptions have been reported in Table 2, along with national alcohol limits for driving. Despite all countries showed a high proportion (>80%) of subjects declaring that alcohol use could be very dangerous, the percentage of young people with elevated breath alcohol concentration (≥0.5 g/L) was very high in some countries (83.7% in Spain; 65.1% in Poland). Spanish subjects, in particular, showed the highest frequency of negative driving behaviours (39.0%), of driving after drinking (30.9%), of illegal drug consumption in the last year (55.6%), finally the highest proportion of individuals perceiving a low or medium danger from cannabis use (50.0%). Interestingly, the prevalence of subjects who drove after drinking or had negative driving behaviours was lowest (6.2% and 9.8%, respectively) in the country with the lowest national alcohol limits (Poland; 0.2 g/L).

The results of the three multivariate regression models are reported in Table 3. Even when main confounding factors were controlled for, Polish and Spanish subjects were significantly more likely to show a high breath alcohol level as compared with Italian subjects (both p<0.001). By contrast, when the analysis was adjusted for country, national alcohol limits were not significantly associated with high breath alcohol concentration.

As compared to Italy, self-reported driving after heavy drinking or having had penalties, car crashes, or license revocation when drunk in the last year was more frequent in Spain and



TABLE 1

	CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SAMPLE ACCORDING TO COUNTRY							
	ITALY (N = 874)	BELGIUM / NETHERLANDS (N = 799)	BULGARIA (N = 974)	POLAND (N = 973)	SPAIN (N = 914)			
	%	%	%	%	%			
Male Gender	82.2	66.8	75.8	61.5	56.1			
Age class, years - 16-20 - 21-24 - 25-29 - 30-34	10.8 44.1 27.5 17.6	18.4 53.7 18.7 9.2	31.4 41.5 19.5 7.6	11.2 56.7 25.2 6.9	22.4 53.2 19.9 4.4			
Educational level - None - Middle School - High School - Academic	0.6 18.9 61.2 19.3	19.1 38.1 25.2 17.6	0.0 2.8 74.4 22.8	0.2 3.4 57.1 39.2	1.4 13.0 36.8 48.8			
Living arrangement - Alone - With parents - With friends/ colleagues - With partner - Other	17.8 69.8 2.6 8.7 1.1	16.8 61.2 2.9 18.0 1.1	21.0 52.1 10.1 13.3 3.5	22.4 46.5 13.2 14.0 3.9	6.9 78.3 4.6 7.5 2.7			
Occupation - Student - Employed - Looking for employment	30.7 63.2 6.1	35.5 59.5 5.0	41.6 42.6 15.8	62.3 33.2 4.5	50.4 39.3 10.3			
Driving license years <4 4-10 >10	28.5 52.1 19.4	44.0 46.8 9.2	65.1 30.1 4.8	27.4 61.6 11.0	49.2 44.7 6.1			
Driving frequency - Never - Less than once a week - Several times a week - Every day	2.1 4.3 15.6 78.0	4.2 4.0 12.9 78.9	5.4 22.2 17.7 54.7	13.6 11.1 21.7 53.7	8.3 8.0 15.6 68.1			
Mean age in years (SD)	24.6 (4.3)	23.0 (3.8)	22.5 (4.0)	23.4 (3.5)	22.0 (3.6)			

1 1	J
ebph	

ТΛ	Ы	Π.	2	
IA	БL	с.	2	

ALCOHOL AND ILLEGAL DRUG USE PATTERN AND PERCEPTIONS, AND NATIONAL ALCOHOL LIMITS FOR DRIVING, ACCORDING TO COUNTRY							
	ITALY (N = 874)	BELGIUM / NETHERLANDS (N = 799)	BULGARIA (N = 974)	POLAND (N = 973)	SPAIN (N = 914)		
	%	%	%	%	%		
National alcohol limit, mg/dL	0.5 / 0.2ª	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.5 / 0.3ª		
Breath alcohol concentration ≥0.5 g/L	36.5	41.3	39.0	65.1	83.7		
Negative driving behaviors ^b	28.7	32.8	21.8	9.8	39.0		
Alcohol consumption last month: - Never - Once a month - Once a week - 3-4 Times a week - Every day	5.8 17.1 44.1 23.8 9.4	12.1 23.8 34.5 19.4 10.2	11.0 20.5 31.5 25.1 11.9	5.9 24.4 36.8 26.4 6.5	7.3 25.4 47.8 14.9 4.6		
Driving after drinking in the last month	24.6	29.3	18.6	6.2	30.9		
Perceived danger of alcohol use - Low - Medium - High - Very High	2.0 12.7 35.7 49.6	2.5 13.4 29.8 54.3	1.7 3.9 17.3 77.1	3.0 6.5 16.7 73.8	6.1 10.9 25.7 57-3		
Self-reported illegal drug use, last year	25.9	33.5	21.8	36.2	55.6		
Illegal drug saliva test positive	2.4	10.6	2.4	5.5	5.8		
Perceived danger of cannabis use - Low - Medium - High - Very High	8.7 21.5 26.8 43.0	6.8 16.1 24.4 52.7	5-5 5.8 14.5 74.2	10.5 17.9 21.2 50.4	21.0 29.0 22.8 27.2		
Perceived danger of cocaine use - Low - Medium - High - Very High	4.0 5.9 12.4 77.7	3.5 5.5 11.9 79.1	2.8 2.6 6.0 88.6	5.8 6.3 14.1 73.8	6.8 11.2 17.4 64.6		

^a For those who granted the driving license within three years. ^b Self-reported driving after heavy drinking or having had penalties, car crashes, or license revocation when drunk in the last year (yes or no)

Belgium/Netherlands (both p<0.01), whereas it was less frequent in Poland (adjusted odds ratio=0.23; p<0.001). Again, national alcohol limits did not show a significant relationship with negative driving behaviours when country was included in the multivariate model. Finally, confirming univariate analysis, at multivariate analysis the subjects living in Belgium/Netherlands, Poland and especially Spain showed a significantly higher likelihood of self-reported drug use in the last year, as compared to Italian people (all adjusted p<0.01).



TABLE 3

ASSOCIATION BETWEEN RISK BEHAVIORS AND COUNTRY: RANDOM-EFFECT LOGISTIC REGRESSION								
	CRUDE OR	(95% CI)	Р	ADJUSTED OR	(95% CI)	Р		
BREATH ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION ≥0.5 g/L ^a								
COUNTRY								
ITALY	1			1				
BELGIUM/NETHERLANDS	1.22	(0.95 - 1.57)	0.12	1.43	(1.05 -1.94)	0.021		
BULGARIA	1.11	(0.88 - 1.41)	0.4	1.21	(0.65 - 2.24)	0.5		
POLAND	3.25	(2.59 - 4.06)	<0.001	4.36	(3.24 - 5.87)	<0.001		
SPAIN	8.95	(6.63 - 12.1)	<0.001	13.0	(8.48 - 19.8)	<0.001		
NATIONAL ALCOHOL LIMIT FOR	DRIVERS							
0.5 g/L	1			1				
0.3 g/L	2.16	(1.57 - 2.96)	<0.001	1.15	(0.73 - 1.80)	0.5		
0.2 g/L	1.00	(0.84 - 1.18)	0.9	0.92	(0.52 - 1.64)	0.8		
NEGATIVE DRIVING BEHAVIORS ^b								
COUNTRY						·		
ITALY	1			1				
BELGIUM/NETHERLANDS	1.21	(0.95 - 1.53)	0.12	1.50	(1.12 - 2.03)	0.007		
BULGARIA	0.69	(0.53 - 0.89)	0.004	0.96	(0.60 - 1.53)	0.9		
POLAND	0.27	(0.21 - 0.35)	<0.001	0.23	(0.16 - 0.33)	<0.001		
SPAIN	1.59	(1.30 - 1.94)	<0.001	2.22	(1.65 -2.98)	<0.001		
NATIONAL ALCOHOL LIMIT FOR	DRIVERS							
0.5 g/L	1			1				
0.3 g/L	0.32	(0.21 - 0.49)	<0.001	1.61	(0.95 - 2.72)	0.078		
0.2 g/L	0.92	(0.79 - 1.09)	0.4	0.94	(0.64 - 1.40)	0.8		
SELF-REPORTED DRUG USE (LAST YEAR) ^c								
COUNTRY								
ITALY	1			1				

COUNTRY								
ITALY	1			1				
BELGIUM/NETHERLANDS	1.44	(1.14 - 1.83)	0.003	1.65	(1.28 - 2.13)	<0.001		
BULGARIA	0.80	(0.62 - 1.03)	0.082	1.08	(0.74 - 1.59)	0.7		
POLAND	1.62	(1.32 - 2.00)	<0.001	2.07	(1.62 - 2.63)	<0.001		
SPAIN	3.59	(2.93 - 4.39)	<0.001	4.65	(3.61 - 5.99)	<0.001		
NATIONAL ALCOHOL LIMIT FOR DRIVERS								
0.5 g/L	1			1				
0.3 g/L	1.00	(0.76-1.33)	0.9	0.90	(0.64-1.28)	0.6		
0.2 g/L	0.99	(0.85-1.16)	0.9	0.84	(0.62-1.12)	0.2		

^a Adjusted for age, gender, living arrangement, education, employment, driving license years, perceived danger of alcohol use, alcohol consumption in the last month.

^b Self-reported driving after heavy drinking or having had penalties, car crashes, or license revocation when drunk in the last year (yes or no). Adjusted for age, gender, living arrangement, education, employment, driving license years, driving frequency, alcohol consumption in the last month, illegal drug use in the last year.

^c Adjusted for age, gender, living arrangement, education, employment, driving license years, perceived danger of alcohol.

DISCUSSION

In this large sample of young adults from six European countries, we observed large differences across countries in the frequency of heavy alcohol consumption and negative driving behaviours. When we tried to estimate, for the first time to our knowledge, whether such differences might be related, at least in part, to the national alcohol limits, we found no evidence of an independent association with alcohol use patterns, suggesting that cultural factors might be predominant in explaining the observed differences across countries.

Indeed, this finding supports previous analyses documenting an association between country-specific socio-cultural factors (including ethnic group, social roles and relationships) and psychoactive substances misuse [7, 8, 14, 31]. Also, our results add to existing knowledge [32-34] suggesting that the historical distinction between "wet" and "dry" culture Countries [34] is no longer convincing, as the highest prevalence of alcohol misuse was observed into a Mediterranean country (Spain). Further analyses are needed to reveal whether Spain should be considered just an exception or more Mediterranean countries may are showing consumption habits that are approaching (or even overcoming) those of the Nordic Countries. Notably, although the frequency of alcohol misuse was lowest in Italy, it was comparable to that of people from Belgium/Netherlands.

Illegal drug use frequency also varied largely across countries, with people from Belgium/Netherlands, Poland and Spain being significantly more likely to report drug consumption at least once in the last year, as compared to Italian people (but also Bulgarian, data not shown). It is well known that the national legislation of the Netherlands may clearly favour the use of cannabis, as it has been previously documented [12], whereas the result of Polish and especially Spanish people definitively deserve further investigation of the potential reasons. It is possible that part of the above finding could be explained by the fact that Spain and Poland have a prominent role within Europe as producers of synthetic drugs and "transit-country" for recreational and smuggling drugs from North Africa and Eastern Europe [35]. However, this hypothesis is merely

speculative and requires confirmation from further analyses.

Our study has some strengths compared to other surveys on the same topic. Most studies examining alcohol consumption among young people in the nightlife context used only selfreported measures [36, 37]. The few times when the an objective measure of alcohol level was taken, samples were small or come from very specific settings [36-40]. In contrast, our survey combined self-reported alcohol and drug use with the objective testing, reducing the potential for bias.

This study has also some limitations that must be discussed. First, given the crosssectional design, we were able to document only associations between variables, not the presence of a causal relationship. Second, because the sampling procedure was voluntarily, the results could not be generalized to the whole population of young adults. However, this was the only possible way to collect data from a real life setting. In any case, such a bias may influence the results on alcohol and drug use prevalence and their association with country, therefore our results might only be considered preliminary and should be interpreted with caution, as they require confirmation from studies with a specifically designed multistage sampling technique.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, this large multi-centric field study confirms that large differences exist among European countries in the frequency and pattern of alcohol and illegal drug use. No evidence was found of an influence of the various national limits for driving after drinking on the frequency of alcohol misuse and negative driving behaviours, suggesting that cultural factors may be predominant in explaining the observed differences across countries. Taking into account the limitations of the study, and given that in some countries the alcohol limits have been introduced only recently, our findings must be interpreted with caution and more research is strongly needed on this topic in the next future, in order to assist policy-makers in legislating to prevent alcohol and drug related road accidents.



TEN-D GROUP: Alamar B, Alonso F, Calatayud C, Carena F, Chiadò Piat S, Chiapusso T, Colombo A, Druart A, Duce MT, Esteban C, Izzicupo C, Kalitowicz Ag, Kalitowicz An, Kedzia M, Manassero G, Mollica A, Papalia R, Pudule I, Sànchez L, Siliquini R, Siliquini V, Sroka R, Vankov D, Vanzino S, Villerusa A. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: this work was supported by Public Health Executive Agency – European Commission, [Grant number 2007326]. The authors gratefully acknowledge the young drivers who participated in this study and the students of the University of Turin for their support to the training sessions of the survey staff.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: the Authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- WHO. The World Drug Report, 2010. Available from: http://www.unodc.org/documents/wdr/WDR_2010/ World_Drug_Report_2010_lo-res.pdf [Accessed on 30-01-2012]
- [2] Commission Of The European Communities.
 Communication From The Commission To The Council, The European Parliament, The European Economic And Social Committee And The Committee Of The Regions. An Eu Strategy To Support Member States In Reducing Alcohol Related Harm, 2006. Available from: http:// ec.europa.eu/health/ph_determinants/life_style/alcohol/ documents/alcohol_com_625_en.pdf [Accessed on 30-01-2012]
- [3] European Commission. Report: Alcohol in Europe, 2010. Available from: http://ec.europa.eu/health-eu/doc/alcoholineu_ summary_en.pdf [Accessed on 30-01-2012]
- [4] European Union. Directorate-General for Health and Consumers. First progress report on the implementation of the EU Alcohol Strategy, September 2009. Available from:

http://ec.europa.eu/health/archive/ph_determinants/ life_style/alcohol/documents/alcohol_progress.pdf [Accessed on 30-01-2012]

- [5] WHO. Global Information System on Alcohol and Health (GISAH), 2011. Available from: http://www.who.int/ gho/alcohol/en/ [Accessed on 30-01-2012]
- [6] Karam E, Kypri K, Salamoun M. Alcohol use among college students: an international perspective. Curr Opin Psychiatry 2007; 20: 213-21
- [7] Kerr-Corrêa F, Igami TZ, Hiroce V, Tucci AM. Patterns of alcohol use between genders: a cross-cultural evaluation. J Affect Disord 2007; 102: 265-75
- [8] Ståhlbrandt H, Andersson C, Johnsson KO, et al. Crosscultural patterns in college student drinking and its consequences-a comparison between the USA and Sweden. Alcohol Alcohol 2008; 43: 698-705
- [9] Beyers JM, Toumbourou JW, Catalano RF, et al. A crossnational comparison of risk and protective factors

for adolescent substance use: the United States and Australia. J Adolesc Health 2004; 35: 3-16

- [10] McBride O, Teesson M, Slade T, et al. Further evidence of differences in substance use and dependence between Australia and the United States. Drug Alcohol Depend 2009; 100: 258-64
- [11] Anderson KG, Grunwald I, Bekman N, et al. To drink or not to drink: Motives and expectancies for use and nonuse in adolescence. Addict Behav 2011; 36: 972-79
- [12] Kuntsche E, Simons-Morton B, Fotiou A, et al. Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children Study. Decrease in adolescent cannabis use from 2002 to 2006 and links to evenings out with friends in 31 European and North American countries and regions. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2009; 163: 119-25
- [13] Martens MP, Pedersen ER, Smith AE, et al. Predictors of alcohol-related outcomes in college athletes: the roles of trait urgency and drinking motives. Addict Behav 2011; 36: 456-64
- [14] Quinn PD, Fromme K. Alcohol use and related problems among college students and their noncollege peers: the competing roles of personality and peer influence. J Stud Alcohol Drugs 2011; 72: 622-32
- [15] Allamani A, Voller F, Kubicka L, Bloomfield K. Drinking Cultures and the Position of Women in Nine European Countries. Subst Abus 2000; 21: 231-47
- [16] Bloomfield K, Stockwell T, Gmel G, Rehn N.International comparisons of alcohol consumption. Alcohol Res Health 2003; 27: 95-109
- [17] Mäkelä P, Gmel G, Grittner U, et al. Drinking patterns and their gender differences in Europe. Alcohol Alcohol Suppl 2006; 41: 8-18
- [18] Pomerleau J, McKee M, Rose R, et al. Drinking in the Commonwealth of Independent States–evidence from eight countries. Addiction 2005; 100: 1647-68
- [19] Siliquini R, Piat SC, Alonso F, et al. A European study on alcohol and drug use among young drivers: the TEND by Night study design and methodology. BMC Public Health 2010; 26: 205



- [20] Simucar Driving Simulator website. Available from: http://www.simucar.com/en/simulators/ SimuNomad_3ecrans.html [Accessed on 30-01-2012]
- [21] Drägenwerk AG & Co. KGaA. Alcohol Test website, 2010. Available from: http://www.drager.ru/ST/internet/pdf/Master/En/gt/ Alcodrug/9044871_Alcotest6510_e.pdf [Accessed on
- 30-01-2012] [22] AlcolPro – Drug Test & Alcohol Test Products. Oral Fluid Drug Screen Device website, 2009. http://www. alcopro.com/mm5/merchant.mvc?Screen=PROD&Store_
- Code=A&Product_Code=823B&Categorycode=SALIVA-DRUG-TEST [Accessed on 30-01-2012] [23] Galanti MR, Siliquini R, Cuomo L, et al; EU-DAP study group. Testing anonymous link procedures for follow-up
- of adolescents in a school-based trial: the EU-DAP pilot study. Prev Med 2007; 44: 174-7 [24] Latimer WW, O'Brien MS, McDouall J, et al. Screening
- for "substance abuse" among school-based youth in Mexico using the Problem Oriented Screening Instrument (POSIT) for Teenagers. Subst Use Misuse 2004; 39: 307-29
- [25] Belgium. Règlement Général Sur La Police De La Circulation Routière [Et De L'usage De La Voie Publique]. Available from: www.mobilit.fgov.be/data/ route/regcir/RCRF.pdf [Accessed on 30-01-2012]
- [26] Bulgaria. Traffic Law. http://www.mvr.bg/ NR/rdonlyres/8714091D-3F2D-4C6E-9544-90BA1F8D2C9C/0/04_Law_Trafic_EN.pdf [Accessed on 30-01-2012]
- [27] Italy. Ministero dell'Interno. Dipartimento della Pubblica Sicurezza. Legge 29 Luglio 2010, n.120 recante
 "Disposizioni in materia di sicurezza stradale". Gazzetta Ufficiale n. 175 del 29.7.2010 -SuppL Ordinario n.171
- [28] Poland. Dziennik Ustaw z 20 czerwca 2005 Nr 108 poz. 908. Available from: http://www.infor.pl/dziennik-usta w,rok,2005,nr,108,poz,908,ujednolicony,ustawa-prawoo-ruchu-drogowym%3Csup%3E1%3C-sup%3E.html [Accessed on 30-01-2012]
- [29] Spain. Real Decreto 428/2003, de 21 de Noviembre, por el que se aprueba el reglamento general de circulación,

para la aplicación y desarrollo del texto articulado de la ley sobre tráfico, circulación de vehículos a motor y seguridad vial. Boletín Oficial del Estado 23 de Diciembre 2003. Available from: http://www.boe.es/ boe/dias/2003/12/23/pdfs/A45684-45772.pdf [Accessed on 30-01-2012]

- [30] Siliquini R, Bert F, Alonso F, et al. Correlation between driving-related skill and alcohol use in young-adults from six European countries: the TEN-D by Night Project. BMC Public Health 2011; 11: 526
- [31] Faggiano F, Vigna-Taglianti F, Burkhart G, et al. The effectiveness of a school-based substance abuse prevention program: 18-month follow-up of the EU-Dap cluster randomized controlled trial. Drug Alcohol Depend 2010; 108: 56-64
- [32] Heath DB. International handbook on alcohol and culture, 1995. Westport, CT: Greenwood Press
- [33] Pitman DJ, Raskin-White H. Society, culture and drinking patterns re-examined. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers Center of Alcohol Studies, 1991
- [34] Room R, Ma¨kela¨ K. Typologies of the cultural position of drinking. J Stud Alcohol 2000; 61: 475-83
- [35] Krajewski K. Drugs, market and criminal justice in Poland. Crime, Law and Social Change 2003; 40: 273-93
- [36] Parsons JT, Grov C, Kelly BC. Club drug use and dependence among young adults recruited through timespace sampling. Public Health Rep 2009; 124: 246-54
- [37] Ramo DE, Grov C, Delucchi K, et al. Typology of club drug use among young adults recruited using time-space sampling. Drug Alcohol Depend 2010; 107: 119-27
- [38] Chinet L, Stéphan P, Zobel F, Halfon O. Party drug use in techno nights: a field survey among French-speaking Swiss attendees. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 2007; 86: 284-89
- [39] Grov C, Bux D Jr, Parsons JT, Morgenstern J. Recruiting hard-to-reach drug-using men who have sex with men into an intervention study: lessons learned and implications for applied research. Subst Use Misuse 2009; 44: 1855-71
- [40] Johnson MB, Voas RA, Miller BA, Holder HD. Predicting drug use at electronic music dance events: self-reports and biological measurement. Eval Rev 2009; 33: 211-25

