The Software Comprehensive Meta-Analysis Needs to Be Upgraded Further: Letter to the Editors

Khatereh Anbari ⁽¹⁾, Seyyed Amir Yasin Ahmadi ⁽²⁾

Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Lorestan University of Medical Sciences, Khorramabad, Iran
 Student Research Committee, Lorestan University of Medical Sciences, Khorramabad, Iran

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Seyyed Amir Yasin Ahmadi, Student Research Committee, Lorestan University of Medical Sciences, Khorramabad, Iran. Email: yasin_ahmadi73@yahoo.com

KEYWORDS: meta-analysis; odds ratio; biostatistics

DOI: 10.2427/12522

Accepted on May 6, 2017

Dear editor,

The software comprehensive meta-analysis (CMA) is a good and easy to use software for researchers in order to perform their meta-analyses. This, is the beneficial point of this software in comparison to the others. But there are still some criticisms made us to write this letter.

Chi-square is a highly using statistical test in medical studies. Since a meta-analysis has 2 favours (positive and negative studies), the chi-square used in meta-analyses is always 2 by 2. Hence its low degree of freedom intend us to use Yate's correction. Other than the Yate's correction, some studies imported in a meta-analysis run into very low expected amounts for their contingency tables requiring us to use Fisher's exact test. Nevertheless, the software does not cover Yate's correction and Fisher's exact test even the latest version (https://www.meta-analysis.com/pages/new_v3.php). Thus we were supposed to use for our previous meta-analyses [1, 2] the effect size format "chi-squared and total sample size" (figure 1) instead of "exposed and unexposed cases and controls" (figure 2). Figures 3 and 4 show that the formats "unmatched groups, retrospective" perform the analysis of chi-square without Yate's correction, and the worse, without any error for studies requiring Fisher's exact test. In another forest plot (figure 5) we compared a hypothetical study with a sample size of 100 in two conditions of with and without Yate's correction (studies B and C) with the significance level of a 100-popuated study which is at significance level 0.05 (study A).

In spite of the high importance of Fisher's exact test [3], there is no format in CMA for calculation of effect size through it. Thus researchers are supposed to use the format "P value and sample size" in such cases (figure 6). This p value should be calculated through another software like SPSS. The new feature of CMA version 2 was that we could analyse studies having different statistical analyses in one meta-graph. Therefore in some cases needed both chi-square and Fisher's exact test, we can perform different formats. We suggest the options of Yate's correction and Fisher's exact test for the future versions of CMA.



FIGURE 1. Effect size formats of the software.

5	Insert columns for effect size data	83				
Click	k on the icons to select the data entry format					
	 Two groups or correlation Dichotomous (number of events) Unmatched groups, prospective (e.g., controlled trials, cohort studies) E vents and sample size in each group Non-events and sample size in each group E vents and non-events in each group E vent rate and sample size in each group Chi-squared and total sample size Matched groups, prospective (e.g., crossover trials or pre-post designs) Unmatched groups, retrospective (e.g., case control studies) Continuous (means) Correlation Rates (events by person years) Survival (time to event) 					
		~				
Drill down in the listing to select a data entry format.						
	Tell me more Cancel < <u>B</u> ack <u>N</u> ext >	Finish				

FIGURE 2. Effect size formats of the software.

-								
5	Insert columns for effect size data		×					
Cli	ck on the icons to select the data entry format							
 Two groups or correlation Dichotomous (number of events) Unmatched groups, prospective (e.g., controlled trials, cohort studies) Matched groups, prospective (e.g., crossover trials or pre-post designs) Unmatched groups, retrospective (e.g., case control studies) Exposed and unexposed for cases and controls Exposed and total for cases and controls Proportion exposed and total for cases and controls Computed effect sizes Continuous (means) Correlation Rates (events by person years) 								
	Survival (time to event)	~						
D	Drill down in the listing to select a data entry format.							
	Tell me more Cancel < Back Next >	Finish						

₹							Compreh	ensive me	ta
<u>F</u> i	<u>File Edit</u> Format <u>View</u> Insert Identify <u>T</u> ools Computational options Analyses <u>H</u> elp								
Run analyses \rightarrow $\%$ $\square \cong$ $\stackrel{\sim}{\boxplus}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{\boxplus}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{\blacksquare}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{\blacksquare}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{\boxplus}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{\blacksquare}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{\blacksquare}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{=}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{=}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{=}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{=}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{\Rightarrow}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{\leftrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\to}$									
	Study name	Data format	Chi Squared	Total N	Effect direction	Odds ratio	Log odds ratio	Std Err	
	1 A	Case control 2x2 (Exp/Total)				1/714	0/539	0/332	
	2 B	Case control 2x2 (Exp/Total)				0/778	0/251-	0/318	
	3 C	Chi-squared for 2x2	2/160	100	Positive	1/714	0/539	0/372	
	4								
	5								
	0								

FIGURE 3. Studies A and C are the same; but Data formats are different.





Meta Analysis

FIGURE 5. Although using Yate's correction does not impact on odds ratio, but the format "chi-squared and total sample size" requires a computed chi-square (effect size) computed by another software in which we used Yate's correction. So the different odds ratios in this figure is due to under-estimation of the software. Of course such under-estimated odds ratio are more reliable and a kind of statistical correction.

Study name	Statistics for each study				_		Odds ratio and 95%			CI
	Odds ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value					
Α	2/089	1/000	4/363	1/960	0/050			H	F	
В	2/068	0/990	4/318	1/934	0/053				F	
С	2/667	1/090	6/524	2/149	0/032					
	2/214	1/411	3/472	3/461	0/001			_ ◀	▶	
						0/01	0/1	1	10	100
						I	Favours	A F	avours	в

Meta Analysis

FIGURE 6. Although the format "P value and sample size for correlation" is usually for parametric tests, but odds ratio can be estimated automatically.

5	Insert columns for effect size data	8
Clic	ck on the icons to select the data entry format	
	 Two groups or correlation Dichotomous (number of events) Continuous (means) Correlation Correlation and sample size Correlation and standard error Correlation and variance Fisher's Z and sample size Fisher's Z and standard error Survival time to events 	
Dr	rill down in the listing to select a data entry format.	
	Tell me more Cancel < Back Next > Finish	

References:

- Ghanadi K, Shayanrad B, Ahmadi SAY, Shahsavar F, Eliasy H. Colorectal cancer and the KIR genes in the human genome: A meta-analysis. Genomics Data. 2016;10:118-26.
- 2. Shahsavar F, Mapar S, Ahmadi SAY. Multiple sclerosis is accompanied by lack of KIR2DS1 gene: A meta-analysis. Genomics Data. 2016;10:75-8.
- 3. Hämäläinen W. New upper bounds for tight and fast approximation of Fisher's exact test in dependency rule mining. Computational Statistics & Data Analysis. 2016;93:469-82.

