

# Plant community responses to experimental warming across the tundra biome

Marilyn D. Walker<sup>a</sup>, C. Henrik Wahren<sup>b</sup>, Robert D. Hollister<sup>c</sup>, Greg H. R. Henry<sup>d,e</sup>, Lorraine E. Ahlquist<sup>f</sup>, Juha M. Alatalo<sup>g</sup>, M. Sydonia Bret-Harte<sup>h</sup>, Monika P. Calef<sup>h</sup>, Terry V. Callaghan<sup>i</sup>, Amy B. Carroll<sup>a</sup>, Howard E. Epstein<sup>j</sup>, Ingibjörg S. Jónsdóttir<sup>k</sup>, Julia A. Klein<sup>l</sup>, Borgþór Magnússon<sup>m</sup>, Ulf Molau<sup>g</sup>, Steven F. Oberbauer<sup>f</sup>, Steven P. Rewa<sup>n</sup>, Clare H. Robinson<sup>o</sup>, Gaius R. Shaver<sup>p</sup>, Katharine N. Suding<sup>q</sup>, Catharine C. Thompson<sup>r</sup>, Anne Tolvanen<sup>s</sup>, Ørjan Totland<sup>t</sup>, P. Lee Turner<sup>u</sup>, Craig E. Tweedie<sup>v</sup>, Patrick J. Webber<sup>w</sup>, and Philip A. Wookey<sup>x</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Boreal Ecology Cooperative Research Unit, U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service Pacific Northwest Research Station, University of Alaska, P.O. Box 756780, Fairbanks, AK 99775-6780; <sup>b</sup>Department of Agricultural Science, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Victoria 3086, Australia; <sup>c</sup>Department of Biology, Grand Valley State University, Allendale, MI 49401; <sup>d</sup>Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada V6T 1Z2; <sup>e</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Florida International University, Miami, FL 33199; <sup>f</sup>Botanical Institute, Göteborg University, P.O. Box 461, SE 405 30 Göteborg, Sweden; <sup>g</sup>Institute of Arctic Biology, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK 99775; <sup>h</sup>Abisko Scientific Research Station, S-98107 Abisko, Sweden; <sup>i</sup>Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904; <sup>j</sup>University Centre in Svalbard, P.O. Box 156, 9171 Longyearbyen, Norway; <sup>k</sup>Natural Resource Ecology Laboratory, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523-1499; <sup>l</sup>Icelandic Institute of Natural History, Hlemmur 3, Box 5320, 125 Reykjavik, Iceland; <sup>m</sup>Department of Forestry, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824; <sup>n</sup>Department of Life Sciences, King's College London, Franklin-Wilkins Building, London SE 1 9NN, United Kingdom; <sup>o</sup>Ecosystems Center, Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, MA 02543; <sup>p</sup>Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697; <sup>q</sup>Olympic National Park, 600 East Park Avenue, Port Angeles, WA 98362; <sup>r</sup>Finnish Forest Research Institute, Muhos Research Station, Kirkkosaarentie 7, 91500 Muhos, Finland; <sup>s</sup>Department of Ecology and Natural Resource Management, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, P.O. Box 5014, N-1432 Ås, Norway; <sup>t</sup>Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309; <sup>u</sup>Department of Biology and the Environmental Science and Engineering Program, University of Texas, 500 University Boulevard, El Paso, TX 79968-0513; <sup>v</sup>Department of Plant Biology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824; and <sup>w</sup>School of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Stirling, FK9 4LA Stirling, Scotland

Edited by F. Stuart Chapin III, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK, and approved December 11, 2005 (received for review April 19, 2005)

**Recent observations of changes in some tundra ecosystems appear to be responses to a warming climate. Several experimental studies have shown that tundra plants and ecosystems can respond strongly to environmental change, including warming; however, most studies were limited to a single location and were of short duration and based on a variety of experimental designs. In addition, comparisons among studies are difficult because a variety of techniques have been used to achieve experimental warming and different measurements have been used to assess responses. We used metaanalysis on plant community measurements from standardized warming experiments at 11 locations across the tundra biome involved in the International Tundra Experiment. The passive warming treatment increased plant-level air temperature by 1–3°C, which is in the range of predicted and observed warming for tundra regions. Responses were rapid and detected in whole plant communities after only two growing seasons. Overall, warming increased height and cover of deciduous shrubs and graminoids, decreased cover of mosses and lichens, and decreased species diversity and evenness. These results predict that warming will cause a decline in biodiversity across a wide variety of tundra, at least in the short term. They also provide rigorous experimental evidence that recently observed increases in shrub cover in many tundra regions are in response to climate warming. These changes have important implications for processes and interactions within tundra ecosystems and between tundra and the atmosphere.**

arctic and alpine ecosystems | biodiversity | climate change | vegetation change

Detecting biotic responses to a changing environment is essential for understanding the consequences of global climate change (1–4). Shifts in the composition and abundance of plant species will have important effects on ecosystem processes, including net primary production and nutrient cycling, and on organisms at all trophic levels (5). Vegetation changes are expected to be large in tundra regions (1, 4, 6) in response to predicted warming, although the variability in tundra vegetation at local and regional scales makes it difficult to predict these changes. Arctic regions have been warming since the mid-1800s (7), but the warming has accelerated in recent decades (1, 7, 8) and is expected to continue throughout this century (1, 4). Model

projections show that the warming could result in the loss of as much as 40% of the current tundra area by the year 2100 as it is replaced by boreal forest (1). Observational studies have found that leaf-out is earlier (9) and shrub cover has increased in areas such as northern Alaska (10). Many observed biotic changes are consistent with expected responses to increasing temperature (11, 12); however, experimental warming provides a direct test of the effect of temperature on plant communities.

Over the past two decades, experimental studies have shown that tundra plants can respond strongly to environmental manipulations, including warming (e.g., refs. 13–16), and there have been a few syntheses of these studies (17–20). However, most of the previous studies were conducted at single sites for relatively short periods using methods unique to the study. The restricted geographic coverage, short duration, and variability in experimental design hinder the general conclusions from syntheses of these studies. These shortcomings were highlighted in the recent synthesis of responses of arctic terrestrial ecosystems to climate change completed for the Arctic Climate Impact Assessment (1), which recommended better coordination of research throughout the Arctic. Here, we report whole plant community results from standardized warming experiments conducted at 11 locations throughout the tundra biome (Fig. 1). The studies are part of the International Tundra Experiment (ITEX), which is a network of arctic and alpine sites throughout the world where experimental and observational studies have been established by using standardized protocols to measure responses of tundra plants and plant communities to increased temperature (16, 17, 21–28). The use of standardized protocols helps to ensure data are comparable among sites and increases the strength and reliability of conclusions based on analyses of the data. In a previous synthesis of short-term plant responses at ITEX sites (17), we found that graminoid and forb species showed the strongest growth responses to experimental warming, and these were greatest in the

Conflict of interest statement: No conflicts declared.

This paper was submitted directly (Track II) to the PNAS office.

Abbreviation: ITEX, International Tundra Experiment.

<sup>e</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: ghenry@geog.ubc.ca.

© 2006 by The National Academy of Sciences of the USA







