



Optimizing nitrogen utilization by Ecological Recycling Agriculture (ERA)

P. Seuri¹, T. Rajala¹ and J. Kivelä²

¹MTT Agrifood Research Finland, 50100 Mikkeli, Finland (pentti.seuri@mtt.fi),

²University of Helsinki

Implications

There are always limited resources available for food production, thus resource efficiency is always the key issue. Modern agriculture is using resources like external nutrients (fertilizers) and non-renewable energy in large scale. The high production intensity results in high production per hectare, however, it often results in serious environmental damages.

Organic farming has a greater emphasis on internal and renewable resources than conventional farming. Very often it also results also in lower production intensity and lower production per hectare. A common criticism against organic agriculture is that it has an inefficient use of land and an inefficient use of nutrients and energy per output unit.

In this survey the whole production system is introduced and all the main nutrient flows are presented. The main focus is on nitrogen efficiency.

Background and objectives

Based on the data from the Finnish ERA farms, a farm model was constructed to illustrate the characteristics and fundamentals of ERA agriculture. The main focus is on nutrient flows, especially the nitrogen flow.

The two main ideas behind the ERA concept are:

- 1) a balanced ratio between the number of animals and the area of arable land, i.e. minimum 85% fodder self-sufficiency;
- 2) running the production system with the intensity based on the local renewable resources and the system itself, i.e. biological N-fixation (BNF), crop rotation and nutrient recycling

The data was collected from nine ERA farms in southern Finland for two consecutive years on each farm (2011 and 2012). Data was collected through face to face interviews with the farmers.

The evaluation of nutrient flows is based on the concept of primary nutrients developed by Seuri (Seuri 2002, 2008; Seuri and Kahiluoto 2005). In addition, surface balance was calculated and surface efficiency was defined as the ratio between harvested yield and nutrient inputs to the field. The standard statistics for Finnish agriculture were used for comparison to the model.

Farm model

The main production line is milk in the farm model, the average annual milk yield is 8000 kg/cow. In addition, about 20 % of total crop yield is sold, reflecting the average share of direct human consumption of crop yield in Finland and generally around the Baltic Sea region (Table 1.).

Table 1. Crop rotation, yields (dry matter and nitrogen) and biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) in the farm model.

		legume	non-legume	N-legume	N-non-legume	N-harvested	BNF
		DM kg ha ⁻¹	DM kg ha ⁻¹	%	%	N kg ha ⁻¹	N kg ha ⁻¹
1. Ley	Red clover+timothy	2000	2000	3,5	1,5	100	100
2. Ley	Red clover+timothy	1600	2000	3,5	1,5	86	80
3. Cash crop	Barley/wheat		2200		2	44	20
4. Mixture	Pea+oats	1000	1100	4	2	62	50
5. Undersown	Barley+grassseed		2300		2	46	20

The primary source of nitrogen in the system is biological N-fixation by legumes and the amount of N-fixation determines the maximum yield potential of non-legumes. The value of 5.0 kg BNF/100 kg harvested legume biomass has been used in the model calculations. However, some BNF is not related to harvested yield, i.e. the under sown ley and yield of ley regrowth. Both were estimated to be 20 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ BNF. The average total BNF was 54 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ in the 5-year crop rotation. In addition to BNF, 5 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ N has been added to total external input as atmospheric deposition, i.e. primary nitrogen totals 59 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹.

References

Seuri, P. 2002 Nutrient utilization with and without recycling within farming systems. In: Urban areas – rural areas and recycling – the organic way forward? / eds. Jakob Magid et al. DARCOF Report 3: 175-181.

Seuri, P. 2008. Nitrogen utilization in integrated crop and animal production. In: Cultivating the future based on science: vol. 1 organic crop production / eds. Daniel Neuhofer et al. Bonn: International Society of Organic Agriculture Research p. 142-145.

Seuri, P. & Kahiluoto, H. 2005. Evaluation of nitrogen utilization by means of the concept of primary production balance. In: Shaping sustainable systems: 15th IFOAM organic world congress, 20-23 September 2005 Adelaide, South Australia: congress handbook. p. 92.

All the other harvested crops are used as a fodder on the farm except for the cash crop yield. The amount of nitrogen in manure has been estimated to be 50 % of total nitrogen content in fodder. Thus, from a total harvested N-yield (68 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹) about 9 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ is sold in the form of cash crop and about 30 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ is left on the farm as farmyard manure (FYM). This amount of manure can be spread for one crop in a 5-year crop rotation, i.e. under sown cereal receives FYM (147 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ total N). Evaluation of nutrient efficiency and comparison between the model and Finnish agriculture is presented in Table 2.

Key results and discussion

Table 2. Evaluation of nitrogen flows in the farm model and comparison with Finnish agriculture.

	Model	Average ¹⁾
Primary nitrogen, p (Nkg ha ⁻¹)	59	95 ²⁾
Secondary nitrogen, s (Nkg ha ⁻¹)	30	35
Total N input to the field = p+s	89	130
Circulation factor, c = (p+s)/p	1,5	1,37
N-yield, y (Nkg ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹)	68	75
N surface balance = (p+s)-y, (Nkg ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹)	21	55
N surface efficiency, S = y/(p+s)	0,76	0,58
Primary efficiency, P = y/p = c x S	1,15	0,79

¹⁾ for Finnish agriculture

²⁾ 80 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ N-fertilizers, 5 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ atmospheric deposition, 5 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ BNF, 5 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ imported fodder

The model results in about 30% more efficient nitrogen utilization compared with the Finnish average, i.e. primary nutrient efficiency in the model is 115% versus 79% in Finnish agriculture.

According to the law of diminishing returns, the utilization efficiency decreases when the use of the input increases (production intensity increases). The average nitrogen intensity in Finnish crop production is 130 kg ha⁻¹ whereas in the model it is 89 kg ha⁻¹. However, it is not likely that only a lower nitrogen intensity explains the difference in N surface efficiency (0.76 vs. 0.58). The biggest difference in nitrogen flows between the model and average Finnish agriculture is the primary source of nitrogen: in the model it is BNF, whereas in Finnish agriculture it is nitrogen fertilizers. It is obvious that utilization efficiency of BNF is very high since almost all BNF is related to harvested yield. It can be estimated that the nitrogen efficiency origin from BNF is around 85 % (total BNF is 54 kg ha⁻¹, 8 kg ha⁻¹ has been estimated to be related to non-harvest BNF yield). A rough estimation of nitrogen efficiency origin from FYM is 60% (the weighted average from BNF and FYM results in 76 % surface efficiency when BNF efficiency is 85 % and FYM efficiency is 60 %). The average nitrogen utilization efficiency of FYM has been estimated to be around 20 % and the efficiency of nitrogen fertilizers around 70 % in Finnish agriculture (Seuri, unpublished).

The nitrogen yield level in the model is only 10 % lower than the Finnish average. However, the difference is slightly higher (20 %) if measured in terms of energy (dry matter) content of yield. The difference in cereal yields (dry matter and nitrogen basis) is around 30 %, but ley yields are almost equal to the Finnish average. The proportion of leys in a crop rotation is slightly higher in the model than for the Finnish average. The main difference between the model and the Finnish average is in the protein crops: peas are hardly grown in Finland in conventional farming and rape seed is the main protein crop, but its proportion is even less than the peas in the model.