

Rev. Inst. Med. Trop. Sao Paulo

2016;58:38

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1678-9946201658038>

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Echinococcus granulosus sensu lato GENOTYPES IN DOMESTIC LIVESTOCK AND HUMANS IN GOLESTAN PROVINCE, IRAN

Mitra SHARBATKHORI(1,2), Asal TANZIFI(3), Sima ROSTAMI(4), Masoomeh ROSTAMI(2) & Majid FASIHI HARANDI(5)

SUMMARY

Cystic echinococcosis (CE) is a globally parasitic zoonosis caused by larval stages of *Echinococcus granulosus*. This study investigated *E. granulosus* genotypes isolated from livestock and humans in the Golestan province, northern Iran, southeast of the Caspian sea, using partial sequencing data of the cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit 1 (*cox1*) and NADH dehydrogenase 1 (*nad1*) mitochondrial genes. Seventy *E. granulosus* isolates were collected from animals in slaughterhouses: 18 isolates from sheep, 40 from cattle, nine from camels, two from buffaloes and one from a goat, along with four human isolates (formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues) from CE patients of provincial hospitals. All isolates were successfully analysed by PCR amplification and sequencing. The sequence analysis found four *E. granulosus* genotypes among the 74 CE isolates: G1 (78.3%), G2 (2.7%), G3 (15%) and G6 (4%). The G1-G3 complex genotype was found in all of the sheep, goat, cattle and buffalo isolates. Among the nine camel isolates, the frequency of G1-G3 and G6 genotypes were 66.7% and 33.3%, respectively. All four human CE isolates belonged to *E. granulosus sensu stricto*. This study reports the first occurrence of the G2 genotype in cattle from Iran and confirms the previously reported G3 genotype in camels in the same country.

KEYWORDS: *Echinococcus granulosus*; Genotyping; *cox1*; *nad1*; Iran.

INTRODUCTION

The larval stage (metacestode) of *Echinococcus granulosus*, the causative agent of cystic echinococcosis (CE), is the source of a globally distributed zoonotic parasitic disease that causes major medical, veterinary and economic losses in endemic countries, including Iran^{1,2}.

The adult stage of the cestode resides in the small intestine of carnivores, being the domestic and wild canids, the definitive hosts. The intermediate host that harbours metacestodes (hydatid cysts) in liver, lungs and other organs can be one of the numerous species of domestic and wild ungulates, including sheep, goats, cattle, buffaloes, camels. Humans may be infected through the accidental intake of parasite eggs in contaminated water or vegetables, or by direct contact with dogs³. CE imposes a considerable economic impact in Iran⁴. A number of studies have estimated the prevalence of CE in Iranian livestock to be between 5.1% and 74.4% in sheep; 3.5% and 38.3% in cattle; 2% and 20% in goats; 11.9% and 70% in buffaloes; and between 25.7% and 59.3% in camels^{5,6}. Human cases of CE are widespread in Iran and are routinely reported from medical centres and hospitals across the country, including approximately 1% of all surgical cases^{6,7}.

In the past 50 years, significant phenotypic and genetic variation has been revealed among *E. granulosus* isolates from different intermediate host species in several geographical areas. This led to the establishment of new species and genotypes. The understanding the *E. granulosus* species and genotypes has had a significant impact on the epidemiology and control strategies for the disease, as well as for future vaccine and drug design^{8,9}. Based mainly on the *E. granulosus* mitochondrial DNA-based studies, it has been shown that *E. granulosus* comprises 10 genotypes (G1-G10), which have been characterized as distinct species, comprising *E. granulosus sensu stricto* (G1, G2 and G3); *E. equinus* (G4); *E. ortleppi* (G5); and the controversial group formed by G6-G10 species that according to some authors should be regarded as one species, and to others such as the three species namely *E. canadensis*, *E. borealis* and *E. intermedius*. The validity of the G9 genotype is not clear⁹⁻¹⁴. Recently, the lion strain has been proposed as another new species and *E. felidis* was settled as a sister taxon of *E. granulosus sensu stricto*¹⁵.

Several molecular studies performed in Iran have shown the occurrence of *E. granulosus sensu stricto* (G1-G3) and *E. canadensis* (G6)¹⁶⁻²². Due to the lack of more accurate data from this region, the present study has investigated the population genetic pattern of

(1) Infectious Diseases Research Center, Golestan University of Medical Sciences. Gorgan, Iran. E-mail: mitra.sharbatkhori@gmail.com

(2) Department of Medical Parasitology and Mycology, School of Medicine, Golestan University of Medical Sciences. Gorgan, Iran. E-mail: rostami@goums.ac.ir

(3) Department of Medical Parasitology and Mycology, School of Medicine, Kerman University of Medical Sciences. Kerman, Iran. E-mail: asal.tanzifi@yahoo.com

(4) Medical Laboratory of Hazrat Ali Hospital, Alborz university of Medical Sciences. Karaj, Iran. E-mail: srostamy1382@gmail.com

(5) Research Center for Hydatid Disease in Iran, Kerman University of Medical Sciences. Kerman, Iran. E-mail: fasihi@kmu.ac.ir

Correspondence to: Majid Fasihi Harandi. Tel: +98-34-33236374. Fax: +98-34-33221676. E-mail: fasihi@kmu.ac.ir

E. granulosus isolated from livestock and humans by sequencing and the phylogenetic analysis of cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit 1 (*cox1*) and NADH dehydrogenase 1 (*nad1*) mitochondrial genes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of samples

The present cross-sectional study was performed from April 2011 to July 2012. Hydatid cysts of liver and lung tissues were collected from sheep, goats, cattle, buffalos and camels, in different slaughterhouses, in Golestan province of northern Iran, southeast of the Caspian sea (Table 1). Cysts that did not contain parasites or calcified cysts were excluded from the study. Molecular techniques were conducted on isolates using clean cyst fluid samples and some whitish germinal layer. Additionally, formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues (FFPT) from patients with histologically confirmed hydatid cysts coming from a private hospital of Gorgan were also evaluated in this study (Table 1). Protoscoleces from individual cysts were aspirated and washed three times with normal saline and preserved at -20 °C until used for the molecular analysis.

DNA Extraction

Isolates underwent four freeze and thaw cycles in liquid nitrogen alternated with a passage in a water bath at 95 °C. Samples were then suspended in 200 µL of tissue lysis buffer and 80 µL of proteinase K and incubated at 56 °C overnight²³. Subsequently, genomic DNA was isolated from the homogenised suspension using a High Pure PCR Template Preparation Kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer's recommended protocol.

Extracting DNA from FFPE tissues of human CE was performed using a QIAamp DNA FFPE Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

A spectrophotometer (NanoDrop® ND-1000, Thermo Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) was used to ensure the quality of DNA extraction. The genomic DNA was kept at -20 °C until amplification.

Individual genomic DNA samples were analysed using amplification of two mitochondrial DNA fragments within *cox1* and *nad1* genes, separately. JB3 (TTTTTTGGGCATCCTGAGGTTTAT) and JB4.5 (TAAAGAAAGAACATAATGAAAAT G) sequences were used as *cox1* forward and reverse primers, and MS1 (AGATTCGTAAGGGGCCTAATA) and MS2 (ACCACTAACTAATTCACCTTTC) sequences were used as *nad1* forward and reverse primers, respectively¹⁸. PCR was carried out in a final volume of 50 µL, including 4 µL (50-100 ng) of genomic DNA,

3.5 mM MgCl₂, 250 µM of dNTPs, 25 pmol of each primer and 2 U of Taq polymerase, under the following conditions: 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 50 °C for 45 s, 72 °C for 35 s, followed by a final extension of 72 °C for 10 min. Negative (no added DNA) and positive controls were included in each PCR experiment. PCR products were analysed by electrophoresis in ethidium bromide-stained 1% agarose gels prepared in TBE buffer (65 mM Tris-HCl, 22.5 mM boric acid, 1.25 mM EDTA, pH 9). The gels were visualized using an UV transilluminator (UVitec, Cambridge, UK).

DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis

A panel of 74 PCR amplicons for each *cox1* and *nad1* gene was purified and subjected to sequencing in two directions, using the same forward and reverse PCR primers.

The electropherogram of each sequence was visually checked and the sequences were compared to each other and with reference sequences using the BioEdit²⁴ and the BLAST softwares available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>. The representative sequences for both *cox1* and *nad1* genes were submitted to GenBank.

Three separate phylogenetic analyses of sequencing data were conducted (i) using: pcox1 data for sequences determined in the present study only, and pcox1 data for *T. saginata* as the outgroup; (ii) pnad1 data for sequences determined in the present study only, and pnad1 data for *T. saginata* as the outgroup; (iii) concatenated pcox1+pnad1 data representing all genetic variations detected in the present study, previously described *E. granulosus* genotypes (G1-G10), *Echinococcus* species along with *T. saginata* as the outgroup. The character-based Bayesian inference method (BI) was used for the analyses. BI was executed using the software MrBayes v.3.1.2 available at <http://mrbayes.csit.fsu.edu/index.php>. Posterior probabilities (pp) were designed for 2,000,000 generations (ngen: 2,000,000; burnin: 20 000) by means of the Monte Carlo Markov Chain method and four simultaneous tree-building chains (nchains:4), with each 100th tree saved (samplefreq:100). The evolutionary distance was determined using the General Time Reversible evolutionary model (nset: 6), allowing for a gamma-shaped variation in mutation rates between codons (rates: g). The TreeviewXv.0.5.0 program²⁵ was used to indicate the consequence tree.

RESULTS

Seventy-four CE isolate fragments of approximately 450 bp and 400 bp long were successfully amplified within *cox1* and *nad1* genes, respectively. The obtained consensus sequences of *cox1* and *nad1* genes were 366 bp and 378 bp, respectively. Fifty-eight (78.3%), 2 (2.7%), 11 (15%) and 3 (4%) isolates belonged to the G1, G2, G3 and G6

Table 1

Echinococcus granulosus genotypes in different hosts identified by mitochondrial *cox1* and *nad1* sequence analysis in Golestan province, northern Iran

Host (No. of isolates)	Sheep (18)	Goat (1)	Cattle (40)	Buffalo (2)	Camel (9)	Human (4)	Total (74)
Genotypes, No. (%)	G1, 18 (100)	G1, 1 (100)	G1, 29 (72.5) G2, 2 (5) G3, 9 (22.5)	G1, 2 (100)	G1, 4 (44.5) G3 2 (22.5) G6 3 (33.3)	G1, 4 (100)	G1, 58 (78.3) G2, 2 (2.7) G3, 11 (15) G6, 3 (4)

genotypes, respectively. All four human CE isolates belonged to the G1 genotype (Table 1). The sequence alignments of the isolates displayed eight characteristic profiles in *cox1* sequences and 5 characteristic profiles in *nad1* sequences. Sequence profiles for *cox1* (designated as Golc1-8) and *nad1* (designated as Goln1-5) were submitted to GenBank, accession numbers KM513626- KM513633 and KM513634- KM513638, respectively. As some *E. granulosus* sequences were the same in different hosts, the equal sequence profile in different hosts was named as sub-numbers and submitted to GenBank with the related accession numbers KT074941- KT074949 and KT074936- KT074940 for *cox1* and *nad1* genes, respectively. For example Golc6 (AN: KM513631), and Golc6-1 (AN: KT074949), have the same *cox1* sequence in cattle and camel hosts, respectively (Table 2).

Separate phylogenetic analyses of *pcox1* and *pnad1* data sets were conducted, and all the combinations of *cox1* and *nad1* sequence types, representing all the 74 isolates in the present study were determined. These analyses revealed a concordance between the genotypic classification of *pcox1* and *pnad1*, inferring the utility of combined *pcox1* and *pnad1* data to access the haplotypic variation among *E. granulosus*. Hence, each pair of *pcox1* and *pnad1* sequence types (e.g. Golc1- Golc8 and Goln1- Goln5) was used to define the “working” haplotypes (see Table 2 and Fig. 1). In all the cases, concatenated reference sequences represented the same isolate (i.e. Golc1 and Goln3 sequences were derived from the same isolate representing the haplotype 2 (H2) in the Table2). A data set representing the concatenated *pcox1*+*pnad1* sequences for all the 15 haplotypes (H1-H15) detected in this study was employed, along with key reference data (comprising concatenated *pcox1*+*pnad1* sequences from previous studies representing all the recognized *Echinococcus* species and *E. granulosus* “genotypes”, with *T. saginata* as the outgroup; see Table 2 and Fig. 1).

Phylogenetic analyses of concatenated data, for the haplotypes 1-15, were performed by using BI, including representative sequence data for all the recognized species of *Echinococcus* and genotypes of *E. granulosus*, as well as *T. saginata* as an outgroup (Table 2 and Fig. 1). A consensus tree has been built and is shown in Figure 1.

Most of the isolates (78.3%) were identified as G1 and were clustered in the G1 reference genotype (accession nos: *cox1*, U50464; *nad1*, AJ237632). Isolates that were identified as G2 (2.7%) were clustered in the G2 reference sequences (accession nos: *cox1*, M64662; *nad1*, AJ237633). Isolates identified as G3 (14.86%) clustered in the G3 reference sequences (accession nos: *cox1*, M64663; *nad1*, AJ237634) and isolates identified as G6 (13.1%) were clustered in the G6 reference sequences (accession nos: *cox1*, M84666; *nad1*, AJ237637) (Fig.1).

H1-H9 represents the G1 genotype, H10 belongs to the G2 genotype, H11-H14 represents the G3 genotype, and H15 belongs to the G6 genotype. A consensus tree based on the phylogenetic analyses of concatenated *cox1* and *nad1* sequences revealed two distinct clusters. One cluster contained a G1-G3 complex (pp = 1.00) and the other cluster contained the G6-G10 complex (pp = 1.00). Fourteen haplotypes (H1-H14) were found in the G1-G3 cluster, and one haplotype was placed in the G6-G10 complex, the *E. canadensis* (Fig. 1).

DISCUSSION

The results of this study showed that the G1 genotype (*E. granulosus*

sensu stricto) was the most prevalent identified genotype among the 74 CE isolates from the Golestan province, northern Iran. The G1 genotype was identified in all of the sheep, goat, buffalo and human isolates. Furthermore, 72.5% of the cattle and approximately half of the camel (44.44%) isolates also belonged to the G1 genotype. Two (5%) and nine (22.5%) of the cattle isolates had the G2 and G3 genotype, respectively. Also, two (22.2%) and three (33.3%) camel isolates showed the G3 and G6 genotypes, respectively.

A recent study performed in this region using the ITS1-RFLP method, has reported the G1 genotype in all the human, sheep and cattle isolates and both, G1 and G6 genotypes, in camel isolates³¹. However, the G1-G3 genotypes cannot be differentiated by the ITS1-RFLP. In another study, all the five cattle and 10 sheep isolates from the Golestan province had the G1 genotype¹⁸. The finding of the G1 genotype as the most prevalent one suggests that the sheep-dog cycle is dominant in CE from the Golestan province. G1 is the most frequent genotype found in humans and livestock throughout the world^{32,33}; however, in some north African countries, including Mauritania and Sudan, G6 is the most common genotype in sheep, cattle, camels and humans^{34,35}.

Although the *E. granulosus* G2 genotype was primarily introduced as a Tasmanian sheep strain, it was later found in other hosts, including goats, cattle, buffalos, camels and humans, from different countries. In Iran, this genotype has been previously reported and dogs are the definitive hosts. To the best of our knowledge, the present study reports the first occurrence of *E. granulosus* G2 genotype in intermediate hosts in this country.

The *E. granulosus* G3 genotype has been previously reported in humans, sheep, goats, cattle and camels in different countries, including Iran^{20,21,36-42}. The present study found this genotype in nine of 40 cattle (22.5%) and two of nine camels (22.2%). However, no sheep, goat, buffalo, or human isolates harboured the G3 genotype in the present study.

Most of the human CE isolates from Iran have been reported as belonging to the G1 genotype. This is consistent with the findings of the present study, as all four human FFPE tissues had the G1 genotype. In a study from the Isfahan province of central Iran, using PCR-RFLP, all 30 human CE isolates were reported as belonging to the G1 genotype⁴³. Another study, using SSCP coupled to partial *cox1* and *nad1* sequencing, found that all 23 human CE originally from central Iran had the G1 genotype¹⁸. A study in the Ardabil province of north western Iran reported seven and two human CE isolates belonging to the G1 and G3 genotypes, respectively²¹. Harandi *et al.*, using a PCR-RFLP method, reported 33 and three human CE isolates as belonging to the G1 and G6 genotypes, respectively¹⁶. The only human CE isolate from the Kerman province of south western Iran showed a G6 genotype²⁰. A recent study reported the G6 genotype in all eight FFPE tissues from cerebral *Echinococcus* cysts in patients from a Tehran hospital. The authors also claimed that the G6 genotype has a higher affinity for the human brain than the G1 genotype⁴⁴. In a study on 125 human isolates conducted by Rostami *et al.* (2015), G1 and G6 genotypes were shown to be present in 54.4 and 40.8% of the isolates, respectively. The G6 genotype is especially prevalent in south-eastern parts of the Iran where humans were slightly more infected by camel strains (G6) than G1 strains (45.8 vs. 41.7%). These data show that humans are quite susceptible to infections by the G6 genotype (*E. canadensis*),

Table 2
E. granulosus haplotypes from Golestan Province, Iran and reference of sequences used for concatenation (*cox1*+*nad1*) and subsequent phylogenetic analyses (see Fig. 1)

<i>E. granulosus sensu lato</i> haplotypes from Golestan	Host	Profile <i>cox1</i> (Accession number)	Profile <i>nad1</i> (Accession number)	Reference
H1	Human sheep cattle	Golc1(KM513626) Golc1-1(KT074941) Goc1-2(KT074942)	Goln1(KM513634) Goln1-1(KT074936) Goln1-3(KT074938)	This study
H2	Human	Golc1(KM513626)	Goln3(KM513636)	This study
H3	Sheep cattle camel	Golc1-1(KT074941) Golc1-2(KT074942) Golc1-3(KT074943)	Goln4(KM513637) Goln4-1(KT074939) Goln4-2(KT074940)	This study
H4	Human sheep cattle	Golc2(KM513627) Golc2-1(KT074944) Golc2-2(KT074945)	Goln1(KM513634) Goln1-1(KT074936) Goln1-3(KT074938)	This study
H5	Cattle	Golc2-2(KT074945)	Goln2(KM513635)	This study
H6	Cattle camel	Golc2-2(KT074945) Golc2-3(KT074946)	Goln4-1(KT074939) Goln4-2(KT074940)	This study
H7	Cattle camel	Golc3(KM513628) Golc3-1(KT074947)	Goln4-1(KT074939) Goln4-2(KT074940)	This study
H8	Goat	Golc4(KM513629)	Goln1-2(KT074937)	This study
H9	Cattle	Golc4-1(KT074948)	Goln4-1(KT074939)	This study
H10	Cattle	Golc5(KM513630)	Goln4-1(KT074939)	This study
H11	Cattle	Golc6(KM513631)	Goln1-3(KT074938)	This study
H12	Cattle camel	Golc6(KM513631) Golc6-1(KT074949)	Goln4-1(KT074939) Goln4-2(KT074940)	This study
H13	Cattle	Golc7(KM513632)	Goln1-3(KT074938)	This study
H14	Cattle	Golc7(KM513632)	Goln4-1(KT074939)	This study
H15	Camel	Golc8(KM513633)	Goln5(KM513638)	This study
<i>E. granulosus sensu lato</i>				
G1	Sheep	M84661	AJ237632	26,27
G2	Sheep	M84662	AJ237633	26,27
G3	Buffalo	M84663	AJ237634	26,27
G4	Horse	M84664	AJ237635	26,27
G5	Cattle	M84665	AJ237636	26,27
G6	Camel	M84666	AJ237637	26,27
G7	Pig	M84667	AJ237638	26,27
G8	Moose	AB235848	AB235848	10
G10	Reindeer	AF525457	AF525297	28
<i>E. felidis</i>	Lion	EF558356	EF558357	15
<i>E. multilocularis1</i>	Human	M84668	AJ237639	26,27
<i>E. multilocularis2</i>	Rodent	M84669	AJ237640	26,27
<i>E. shiquiquis</i>	Pika	AB208064	AB208064	10
<i>E. vogeli</i>	Rodent	M84670	AJ237641	26,27
<i>E. oligarthra</i>	Rodent	M84671	AJ237642	26,27
Outgroup				
<i>Taenia saginata</i>	Cattle	Not available	AJ239106	29,30

2. Moro P, Schantz PM. Echinococcosis: a review. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2009;13:125-33.
3. Eckert J, Gemmell MA, Meslin FX, Pawłowski ZS, editors. WHO/OIE manual on echinococcosis in humans and animals: a public health problem of global concern. Paris: WHO; 2001.
4. Fasihi Harandi M, Budke CM, Rostami S. The monetary burden of cystic echinococcosis in Iran. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2012;6:e1915.
5. Dalimi A, Motamedi G, Hosseini M, Mohammadian B, Malaki H, Ghamari Z, et al. Echinococcosis/hydatidosis in western Iran. *Vet Parasitol.* 2002;105:161-71.
6. Rokni M. Echinococcosis/hydatidosis in Iran. *Iran J Parasitol.* 2009;4:1-16.
7. Sadjidi SM. Present situation of echinococcosis in the Middle East and Arabic North Africa. *Parasitol Int.* 2006;55 Suppl:S197-202.
8. McManus DP. Current status of the genetics and molecular taxonomy of *Echinococcus* species. *Parasitology.* 2013;140:1617-23.
9. Thompson RC. The taxonomy, phylogeny and transmission of *Echinococcus*. *Exp Parasitol.* 2008;119:439-46.
10. Nakao M, McManus DP, Schantz PM, Craig PS, Ito A. A molecular phylogeny of the genus *Echinococcus* inferred from complete mitochondrial genomes. *Parasitology.* 2007;134:713-22.
11. Lavikainen A, Haukisalmi V, Lehtinen MJ, Henttonen H, Oksanen A, Meri S. A phylogeny of members of the family Taeniidae based on the mitochondrial *cox1* and *nad1* gene data. *Parasitology.* 2008;135:1457-67.
12. Saarma U, Jögisalu I, Moks E, Varcasia A, Lavikainen A, Oksanen A, et al. A novel phylogeny for the genus *Echinococcus*, based on nuclear data, challenges relationships based on mitochondrial evidence. *Parasitology.* 2009;136:317-28.
13. Moks E, Jögisalu I, Valdmann H, Saarma U. First report of *Echinococcus granulosus* G8 in Eurasia and a reappraisal of the phylogenetic relationships of 'genotypes' G5-G10. *Parasitology.* 2008;135:647-54.
14. Lymbery AJ, Jenkins EJ, Schurer JM, Thompson RC. *Echinococcus canadensis*, *E. borealis*, and *E. intermedius*. What's in a name. *Trends Parasitol.* 2015;31:23-9.
15. Hüttner M, Nakao M, Wassermann T, Siefert L, Boomker JD, Dinkel A, et al. Genetic characterization and phylogenetic position of *Echinococcus felidis* (Cestoda: Taeniidae) from the African lion. *Int J Parasitol.* 2008;38:861-8.
16. Harandi MF, Hobbs RP, Adams PJ, Mobedi I, Morgan-Ryan UM, Thompson RC. Molecular and morphological characterization of *Echinococcus granulosus* of human and animal origin in Iran. *Parasitology.* 2002;125:367-73.
17. Sharbatkhori M, Fasihi Harandi M, Mirhendi H, Hajjalilo E, Kia E. Sequence analysis of *cox1* and *nad1* genes in *Echinococcus granulosus* G3 genotype in camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) from central Iran. *Parasitol Res.* 2011;108:521-27.
18. Sharbatkhori M, Mirhendi H, Jex AR, Pangasa A, Campbell BE, Kia EB, et al. Genetic categorization of *Echinococcus granulosus* from humans and herbivorous hosts in Iran using an integrated mutation scanning-phylogenetic approach. *Electrophoresis.* 2009;30:2648-55.
19. Sharbatkhori M, Kia EB, Fasihi Harandi M, Jalalizand N, Zahabiun F, Mirhendi H. Comparison of five simple methods for DNA extraction from *Echinococcus granulosus* protoscoleces for PCR amplification of ribosomal DNA. *Iran J Parasitol.* 2009;4:54-60.
20. Hajjalilo E, Fasihi Harandi M, Sharbatkhori M, Mirhendi H, Rostami S. Genetic characterization of *Echinococcus granulosus* in camels, cattle and sheep from the south-east of Iran indicates the presence of the G3 genotype. *J Helminthol.* 2012;86:263-70.
21. Pezeshki A, Akhlaghi L, Sharbatkhori M, Razmjou E, Oormazdi H, Mohebali M, et al. Genotyping of *Echinococcus granulosus* from domestic animals and humans from Ardabil Province, northwest Iran. *J Helminthol.* 2013;87:387-91.
22. Pour A, Hosseini S, Shayan P. Comparative genotyping of *Echinococcus granulosus* infecting buffalo in Iran using *cox1* gene. *Parasitol Res.* 2011;108:1229-34.
23. Kamenetzky L, Canova SG, Guamera EA, Rosenzvit MC. *Echinococcus granulosus*: DNA extraction from germinal layers allows strain determination in fertile and nonfertile hydatid cysts. *Exp Parasitol.* 2000;95:122-7.
24. Hall TA. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symp Ser.* 1999;41:95-8.
25. Page RD. TreeView: an application to display phylogenetic trees on personal computers. *Comput Appl Biosci.* 1996;12:357-8.
26. Bowles J, Blair D, McManus DP. Genetic variants within the genus *Echinococcus* identified by mitochondrial DNA sequencing. *Mol Biochem Parasitol.* 1992;54:165-73.
27. Bowles J, McManus DP. NADH dehydrogenase 1 gene sequences compared for species and strains of the genus *Echinococcus*. *Int J Parasitol.* 1993;23:969-72.
28. Lavikainen A, Lehtinen MJ, Meri T, Hirvela-Koski V, Meri S. Molecular genetic characterization of the Fennoscandian cervid strain, a new genotypic group (G10) of *Echinococcus granulosus*. *Parasitology.* 2003;127:207-15.
29. Bowles J, McManus DP. Molecular variation in *Echinococcus*. *Acta Trop.* 1993;53:291-305.
30. Gasser RB, Zhu X, McManus DP. NADH dehydrogenase subunit I and cytochrome c oxidase subunit I sequences compared for members of the genus *Taenia* (Cestoda). *Int J Parasitol.* 1999;29:1965-70.
31. Gholami S, Sosari M, Fakhari M, Sharif M, Daryani A, Hashemi M, et al. Molecular characterization of *Echinococcus granulosus* from hydatid cysts isolated from human and animals in Golestan province, north of Iran. *Iran J Parasitol.* 2012;7:8-16.
32. Casulli A, Manfredi MT, La Rosa G, Cerbo ARD, Genchi C, Pozio E. *Echinococcus ortleppi* and *E. granulosus* G1, G2 and G3 genotypes in Italian bovines. *Vet Parasitol.* 2008;155:168-72.
33. Yan N, Nie HM, Jiang ZR, Yang AG, Deng SJ, Guo L, et al. Genetic variability of *Echinococcus granulosus* from the Tibetan plateau inferred by mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Vet Parasitol.* 2013;196:179-83.
34. Bardonnet K, Piarroux R, Dia L, Schneegans F, Beurdeley A, Godot V, et al. Combined eco-epidemiological and molecular biology approaches to assess *Echinococcus granulosus* transmission to humans in Mauritania: occurrence of the camel strain and human cystic echinococcosis. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 2002;96:383-6.
35. Omer RA, Dinkel A, Romig T, Mackenstedt U, Elnahas AA, Aradaib IE, et al. A molecular survey of cystic echinococcosis in Sudan. *Vet Parasitol.* 2010;169:340-6.
36. Vural G, Baca AU, Gauci CG, Bagci O, Gicik Y, Lightowlers MW. Variability in the *Echinococcus granulosus* cytochrome C oxidase I mitochondrial gene sequence from livestock in Turkey and a re-appraisal of the G1-3 genotype cluster. *Vet Parasitol.* 2008;154:347-50.
37. Espinoza S, Salas AM, Vargas A, Freire V, Diaz E, Sánchez G, et al. Detection of the G3 genotype of *Echinococcus granulosus* from hydatid cysts of Chilean cattle using *cox1* and *nd1* mitochondrial markers. *Parasitol Res.* 2014;113:139-47.
38. Umhang G, Richomme C, Boucher JM, Hormaz V, Boué F. Prevalence survey and first molecular characterization of *Echinococcus granulosus* in France. *Parasitol Res.* 2013;112:1809-12.

39. Piccoli L, Bazzocchi C, Brunetti E, Mihailescu P, Bandi C, Mastalier B, et al. Molecular characterization of *Echinococcus granulosus* in south-eastern Romania: evidence of G1–G3 and G6–G10 complexes in humans. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2013;19:578–82.
40. Singh BB, Sharma JK, Ghatak S, Sharma R, Bal MS, Tuli A, et al. Molecular epidemiology of Echinococcosis from food producing animals in north India. *Vet Parasitol.* 2012;186:503–6.
41. Busi M, Snabel V, De Liberato C, D'Amelio S. Molecular genotyping of *Echinococcus granulosus* hydatid cysts in Italy reveals the presence of three distinct genotypes. *Parassitologia.* 2004;46 Suppl 1:164.
42. M'rad S, Oudni-M'rad M, Filisetti D, Mekki M, Nouri A, Sayadi T, et al. Molecular identification of *Echinococcus granulosus* in Tunisia: first record of the Buffalo strain (G3) in human and bovine in the country. *Open Vet Sci J.* 2010;4:27–30.
43. Kia EB, Rahimi H, Sharbatkhori M, Talebi A, Fasihi Harandi M, Mirhendi H. Genotype identification of human cystic echinococcosis in Isfahan, central Iran. *Parasitol Res.* 2010;107:757–60.
44. Sadjjadi SM, Mikaeili F, Karamian M, Maraghi S, Sadjjadi FS, Shariat-Torbaghan S, et al. Evidence that the *Echinococcus granulosus* G6 genotype has an affinity for the brain in humans. *Int J Parasitol.* 2013;43:875–7.
45. Rostami S, Shariat Torbaghan S, Dabiri S, Babaei Z, Ali Mohammadi M, Sharbatkhori M, et al. Genetic characterization of *Echinococcus granulosus* from a large number of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue samples of human isolates in Iran. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2015;92:588–94.
46. Nakao M, Lavikainen A, Yanagida T, Ito A. Phylogenetic systematics of the genus *Echinococcus* (Cestoda: Taeniidae). *Int J Parasitol.* 2013;43:1017–29.

Received: 16 March 2015

Accepted: 19 November 2015