Imaging Science in Dentistry 2014; 44: 257-62 http://dx.doi.org/10.5624/isd.2014.44.4.257

Accuracy of linear measurement using cone-beam computed tomography at different reconstruction angles

Sima Nikneshan¹, Shadi Hamidi Aval¹, Neema Bakhshalian², Shahriyar Shahab³, Mahdis Mohammadpour⁴, Soodeh Sarikhani^{5,*}

¹Department of Dental and Maxillofacial Radiology, School of Dentistry, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
²Department of Advanced Periodontology, School of Dentistry, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA
³Department of Dental and Maxillofacial Radiology, School of Dentistry, Shahed University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
⁴Department of Dental and Maxillofacial Radiology, School of Dentistry, Qazvin University of Medical Sciences, Qazvin, Iran

⁵Department of Dental and Maxillofacial Radiology, School of Dentistry, Golestan University of Medical Sciences, Golestan, Iran

ABSTRACT

Purpose: This study was performed to evaluate the effect of changing the orientation of a reconstructed image on the accuracy of linear measurements using cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT).

Materials and Methods: Forty-two titanium pins were inserted in seven dry sheep mandibles. The length of these pins was measured using a digital caliper with readability of 0.01 mm. Mandibles were radiographed using a CBCT device. When the CBCT images were reconstructed, the orientation of slices was adjusted to parallel (i.e., 0°), $+10^{\circ}$, $+12^{\circ}$, -12° , and -10° with respect to the occlusal plane. The length of the pins was measured by three radiologists, and the accuracy of these measurements was reported using descriptive statistics and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA); p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: The differences in radiographic measurements ranged from -0.64 to +0.06 at the orientation of -12° , -0.66 to -0.11 at -10° , -0.51 to +0.19 at 0° , -0.64 to +0.08 at $+10^{\circ}$, and -0.64 to +0.1 at $+12^{\circ}$. The mean absolute values of the errors were greater at negative orientations than at the parallel position or at positive orientations. The observers underestimated most of the variables by 0.5-0.1 mm (83.6%). In the second set of observations, the reproducibility at all orientations was greater than 0.9.

Conclusion: Changing the slice orientation in the range of -12° to $+12^{\circ}$ reduced the accuracy of linear measurements obtained using CBCT. However, the error value was smaller than 0.5 mm and was, therefore, clinically acceptable. (*Imaging Sci Dent 2014; 44: 257-62*)

KEY WORDS: Cone-Beam Computed Tomography; Dental Implants; Dimensional Measurement Accuracy

Introduction

Implant therapy is a common dental treatment in modern dentistry and has become increasingly popular in the past two decades.¹ The quality and quantity of the alveo-

Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology, School of Dentistry, University of Golestan, Naharkhoran Blvd, Gorgan 49178, Iran

lar bone play an important role in treatment planning, selection of the diameter and length of dental implants, and the treatment success rate.² Cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) is a relatively new and unique imaging technique for the maxillofacial region that enables threedimensional (3D) analyses of the soft and hard tissue.³⁻⁶

Linear measurements are routinely used to determine the thickness and the height of the alveolar ridge as part of the presurgical assessment for implant therapy, measure the distance between anatomical landmarks in orthodontics, and estimate the size of pathologic lesions of the

^{*}The study was supported by the School of Dentistry, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Science, Tehran, Iran (Grant No. 8856).

Received November 28, 2013; Revised July 16, 2014; Accepted August 12, 2014 *Correspondence to : Prof. Soodeh Sarikhani

Tel) 98-171-5550700, Fax) 98-171-4422860, E-mail) e_soodeh@yahoo.com

Copyright © 2014 by Korean Academy of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Imaging Science in Dentistry • pISSN 2233-7822 eISSN 2233-7830

jaws.^{7,8} Since CBCT images require image reconstruction, any type of error in the reconstruction process, particularly in the selection of the orientation of the reconstructed image, may result in a change and an inaccuracy in the linear measurements conducted using CBCT. Image slice orientation, thickness, and interslice interval are often determined by the operator (task-specific imaging); therefore, it is critical to achieve a standard image reconstruction orientation protocol to increase the diagnostic value of the system.⁸

The present study evaluates the effect of changing the orientation of the reconstructed CBCT image on the accuracy of linear measurements and preoperative assessments for implant therapy.

Materials and Methods

This study was an assessment of diagnostic accuracy. A total of 42 prefabricated titanium pins of similar length were inserted in seven dry sheep mandibles (Fig. 1). The length of these pins was measured before their insertion in the mandibles by using a digital caliper (Mitutoyo Corp.,



Fig. 1. Titanium pins are inserted in a dried sheep mandible.



Fig. 2. A digital caliper is used to measure the length of the pins prior to insertion in the mandible.

Kawasaki, Japan) with readability of 0.01 mm (Fig. 2). To simulate soft tissue, mandibles were immersed in a water container and radiographed with NewTom VGi® (QR s.r.l., Verona, Italy). Imaging was carried out at a high-resolution field of view of 8 cm \times 12 cm with a voxel size of 0.125 mm and tube voltage of 120 kVp.

For the reconstruction of images by NNT viewer software (QR s.r.l., Verona, Italy), the orientation of slices was adjusted to parallel (i.e., 0°), $+10^{\circ}$, $+12^{\circ}$, -12° , and -10° with respect to the occlusal plane (Fig. 3). These intervals were chosen on the basis of a pilot study on the primary reconstruction of CBCT images conducted by the oral and maxillofacial radiology residents and faculty of our institution; each of these researchers had at least four years of experience with CBCT. This pilot study showed that the range of errors in angulation during image reconstruction was from -12° to $+12^{\circ}$, and hence, five different angles within this range were chosen to evaluate the effect of the angulation error on the measurement outcomes. The images were viewed on a 20-inch Philips monitor (200P, Philips, Guildford, England) with a pixel resolution of 1024×1280 and color depth of 32 bits. Linear measurements of the lengths of pins were made by three oral and maxillofacial radiologists (Fig. 4). The observers



Fig. 3. Scout views show the scans with the reconstruction angle parallel (i.e., at 0°) and at 10° to the occlusal plane.



Fig. 4. Linear measurements are performed using the cross-sectional images of the pins.



Fig. 5. The graph shows the range of differences in measurements performed by three observers for the different angles used to reconstruct the images.

were allowed to control the contrast, sharpness, and brightness of the images as well as the slice thickness and the interslice interval as desired. Each observer measured each length twice with a two-week interval between the measurements. The accuracy of linear measurements obtained by CBCT was reported using descriptive statistics and oneway analysis of variance (ANOVA); p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Moreover, for the calculation of the inter-rater reliability accuracy in this study, a weighted kappa statistical analysis was used.

Results

The difference in radiographic measurements made by the observers ranged from -0.64 to +0.06 at the orientation of -12° , -0.66 to -0.11 at -10° , -0.51 to +0.19 at 0°, -0.64 to +0.08 at $+10^{\circ}$, and -0.64 to +0.1at $+12^{\circ}$ (Fig. 5). Table 1 demonstrates the mean and standard deviation of the differences in measurements at each orientation considered and for each observer between the first and the second observations. The maximum and minimum mean absolute values of the error were 0.34 and 0.20 at the orientation of -12° , 0.37 and $0.27 \text{ at } -10^{\circ}, 0.18 \text{ and } 0.14 \text{ at } 0^{\circ}, 0.31 \text{ and } 0.23 \text{ at } +10^{\circ},$ and 0.31 and 0.29 at $+12^{\circ}$, respectively. The results showed that the mean absolute value of the error was greater at negative orientations than at the parallel position or at positive orientations of the slices with respect to the occlusal plane. The maximum mean absolute value of the error was 0.37 ± 0.13 observed at the orientation of -10° , whereas the minimum mean absolute value of the error was 0.14 ± 0.87 recorded at 0°. The observers underestimated the length of the pins at most of the orientations by 0.5 to 0.1 mm (83.6%). In the second set of observations, taking into account the accuracy subgroups (error range), the reproducibility at all orientations was greater than 0.9. Table 2 shows the p value for the different orientation angles obtained using one-way ANOVA.

| | | Observer 1 | | Observer 2 | | Observer 3 | |
|------|--------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | First observation | Second observation | First observation | Second observation | First observation | Second observation |
| -12° | Min Max Mean | -0.64 -0.01 -0.33±0.15 | $-0.64 \\ -0.06 \\ -0.24 \pm 0.14$ | $-0.64 \\ -0.03 \\ -0.33 \pm 0.14$ | -0.52 0.00 -0.22 ± 0.11 | -0.62 -0.13 -0.34±0.12 | $-0.43 \\ -0.01 \\ -0.20 \pm 0.10$ |
| -10° | Min Max Mean | $-0.66 \\ -0.14 \\ -0.37 \pm 0.13$ | $-0.64 \\ -0.12 \\ -0.29 \pm 0.10$ | $-0.66 \\ -0.11 \\ -0.36 \pm 0.12$ | $-0.61 \\ -0.11 \\ -0.30 \pm 0.12$ | -0.56 0.14 -0.03 ± 0.08 | $-0.46 \\ -0.11 \\ -0.27 \pm 0.08$ |
| 0° | Min Max Mean | -0.51 0.19 -0.12 ± 0.12 | -0.50 0.19 -0.16 ± 0.15 | -0.41 0.09 -0.12 ± 0.12 | -0.36 0.09 -0.15 ± 0.12 | -0.32 0.09 -0.13 ± 0.10 | -0.42 0.09 -0.16 ± 0.13 |
| +10° | Min Max Mean | -0.62 0.04 -0.30 ± 0.15 | $-0.64 \\ -0.01 \\ -0.25 \pm 0.14$ | $-0.64 \\ 0.08 \\ -0.30 \pm 0.17$ | $-0.44 \\ -0.02 \\ -0.23 \pm 0.10$ | $-0.56 \\ -0.02 \\ -0.30 \pm 0.12$ | -0.43 -0.11 -0.27±0.08 |
| +12° | Min Max Mean | -0.64 0.06 -0.21 ± 0.14 | -0.64 0.09 -0.24 ± 0.13 | -0.42 0.06 -0.19 ± 0.09 | -0.43 0.10 -0.22 ± 0.11 | -0.44 0.00 -0.17 ± 0.10 | $-0.46 \\ -0.02 \\ -0.22 \pm 0.09$ |

Table 1. The mean and standard deviation of the differences in measurements at each orientation considered and for each observer between the first and the second observations

Table 2. The p values between the measurements from the orientation angles for each observer

| | | -10° | 0° | +10° | +12° |
|------------|---------------|-------|---------|---------|---------|
| Observer 1 | -12° | 0.740 | < 0.001 | 0.759 | 0.001 |
| | -10° | | < 0.001 | 0.118 | < 0.001 |
| | 0° | | | < 0.001 | 0.151 |
| | +10° | | | | < 0.05 |
| Observer 2 | -12° | 0.733 | < 0.001 | 0.914 | < 0.001 |
| | -10° | | < 0.001 | 0.231 | < 0.001 |
| | 0° | | | < 0.001 | 0.695 |
| | +10° | | | | < 0.001 |
| Observer 3 | -12° | 0.297 | < 0.001 | 0.478 | < 0.001 |
| | -10° | | < 0.001 | 0.918 | < 0.001 |
| | 0° | | | < 0.001 | 0.672 |
| | +10° | | | | < 0.001 |

Discussion

The results of the present study revealed that by changing the slice orientation within the range of -12° to $+12^{\circ}$ with respect to the occlusal plane during image reconstruction, the accuracy of linear measurements using CBCT decreases; nevertheless, these measurements can still be considered highly accurate. In this study, titanium pins were used since titanium has a low atomic number and hence, will cause very small metal artifacts.^{9,10} Furthermore, the observers were allowed to change and adjust the contrast, sharpness, and gamma factor (which is among the features of the NNT viewer software) of the images, and by doing so, they could further minimize the metal artifacts. The highest mean difference between measurements in this study was observed at the orientation of -10° , while the lowest mean difference was recorded at 0° , which implies that during image reconstruction, adjusting the slice orientation to be parallel to the occlusal plane results in reducing the error in the linear measurements.

The mean absolute error value at negative orientations was greater than at the parallel position or at positive orientations with respect to the occlusal plane. The maximum mean of the absolute value of the error was observed at the orientation of -10° , while the minimum mean absolute value of the error was recorded at 0°. However, the differences in the mean errors of measurements between the considered orientations (-12° , -10° , 0°, 10°, and 12°) and the gold standard was less than 1 mm. In 2011, Ganguly et al¹¹ demonstrated that the acceptable measurement error for implant placement was less than 1 mm. Therefore, in our study, the accuracy of measurements at all orientations was considered clinically acceptable.

In a study by Sheikhi et al,¹² the accuracy of linear measurements was compared at normal and at different head positions in a Galileos CBCT system. In their study, distances specified with radiopaque markers on the scans obtained at different head positions were measured. The mean difference between the physical (actual) and the radiographic measurements was found to be 0.45 ± 0.05 mm.

Tomasi et al¹³ evaluated the reliability and the reproducibility of linear measurements in the mandible by using CBCT at two different orientations of 0° and 45° . The mean absolute error value was 0.4 mm, which is almost to the same as the values obtained in our study. Further, Fakhar and Abbaszadeh¹⁴ evaluated the effects of changing the mandibular plane orientation on the accuracy of the linear dimensions of the tomographic images. Analyses showed statistically significant differences between measurements made at the standard position and upon the upward tilt of the mandibular plane. In general, in most cases, the mean absolute error value was 1 mm or less, which is within the acceptable range. However, a downward tilt of the mandibule caused a greater change in measurements than an upward tilt.

Hassan et al¹⁵ evaluated the accuracy of linear measurements performed using 3D CBCT images at two different head positions. The greatest difference in measurements observed between the 3D models and the gold standard was less than 0.5 mm, and no significant difference was found between the linear measurements at the different head positions. Moshiri et al¹⁶ compared the accuracy of linear measurements conducted using CBCT and conventional cephalograms and demonstrated that measurements using CBCT images had a higher accuracy than those using conventional lateral cephalograms. Further, they showed that the head position had no significant effects on the accuracy of linear measurements using CBCT.

Ludlow et al¹⁷ in their study on the NewTom 9000 machine revealed that the accuracy of linear measurements using CBCT was not significantly influenced by the variations in the head orientation while the image was being acquired.

Moshfeghi et al¹⁸ evaluated the linear measurement accuracy of CBCT using NewTom VG on 22 anatomic landmarks in four dry skulls. Fifteen linear measurements were obtained using a digital caliper. The skulls were scanned at two settings: (a) voxel size of 0.3 mm and (b) voxel size of 0.15 mm. Radiographic distance measurements were made using the NNT viewer software in the axial and coronal sections by three observers. A statistical analysis showed high inter- and intra-observer reliability.

In conclusion, changing the slice orientation in the range of -12° to $+12^{\circ}$ reduced the accuracy of linear measurements obtained using CBCT. However, the error values were smaller than 0.5 mm and were, therefore, clinically acceptable.

Acknowledgments

This manuscript has been entirely drawn up from a Master's thesis, which was successfully completed by Dr.

Sarikhani under the supervision of Dr. Nikneshan.

References

- Torres MG, Campos PS, Segundo NP, Navarro M, Crusoé-Rebello I. Accuracy of linear measurements in cone beam computed tomography with different voxel sizes. Implant Dent 2012; 21: 150-5.
- Cavalcanti MG, Rocha SS, Vannier MW. Craniofacial measurements based on 3D-CT volume rendering: implications for clinical applications. Dentomaxillofac Radiol 2004; 33: 170-6.
- Ziegler CM, Woertche R, Brief J, Hassfeld S. Clinical indications for digital volume tomography in oral and maxillofacial surgery. Dentomaxillofac Radiol 2002; 31: 126-30.
- Guerrero ME, Jacobs R, Loubele M, Schutyser F, Suetens P, van Steenberghe D. State-of-the-art on cone beam CT imaging for preoperative planning of implant placement. Clin Oral Investig 2006; 10: 1-7.
- Kau CH, Richmond S, Palomo JM, Hans MG. Three-dimensional cone beam computerized tomography in orthodontics. J Orthod 2005; 32: 282-93.
- Yamamoto K, Ueno K, Seo K, Shinohara D. Development of dento-maxillofacial cone beam X-ray computed tomography system. Orthod Craniofac Res 2003; 6 Suppl 1: 160-2.
- Scarfe WC, Farman AG, Sukovic P. Clinical applications of cone-beam computed tomography in dental practice. J Can Dent Assoc 2006; 72: 75-80.
- Kumar V, Ludlow JB, Mol A, Cevidanes L. Comparison of conventional and cone beam CT synthesized cephalograms. Dentomaxillofac Radiol 2007; 36: 263-9.
- Li T, MacDonald D. Osseo integrated implants. In: MacDonald D. Oral and maxillofacial radiology: a diagnostic approach. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell; 2011. p. 296-8.
- Boas FE, Fleischmann D. CT artifact: cause and reduction techniques. Imaging Med 2012; 4: 229-40.
- Ganguly R, Ruprecht A, Vincent S, Hellstein J, Timmons S, Qian F. Accuracy of linear measurement in the Galileos cone beam computed tomography under simulated clinical conditions. Dentomaxillofac Radiol 2011; 40: 299-305.
- Sheikhi M, Ghorbanizadeh S, Abdinian M, Goroohi H, Badrian H. Accuracy of linear measurements of Galileos cone beam computed tomography in normal and different head positions. Int J Dent 2012; 2012: 214954.
- Tomasi C, Bressan E, Corazza B, Mazzoleni S, Stellini E, Lith A. Reliability and reproducibility of linear mandible measurements with the use of a cone-beam computed tomography and two object inclinations. Dentomaxillofac Radiol 2011; 40: 244-50.
- Bashizadeh Fakhar H, Abbaszadeh A. Effect of mandibular plane angle on image dimensions in linear tomography. J Dent Med 2011; 24: 42-9.
- Hassan B, van der Stelt P, Sanderink G. Accuracy of threedimensional measurements obtained from cone beam computed tomography surface-rendered images for cephalometric analysis: influence of patient scanning position. Eur J Orthod 2009; 31: 129-34.
- 16. Moshiri M, Scarfe WC, Hilgers ML, Scheetz JP, Silveira AM,

Farman AG. Accuracy of linear measurements from imaging plate and lateral cephalometric images derived from conebeam computed tomography. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2004; 132: 550-60.

17. Ludlow JB, Laster WS, See M, Bailey LJ, Hershey HG. Accuracy of measurements of mandibular anatomy in cone beam

computed tomography images. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2007; 103: 534-42.

 Moshfeghi M, Tavakoli MA, Hosseini ET, Hosseini AT, Hosseini IT. Analysis of linear measurement accuracy obtained by cone beam computed tomography (CBCT-NewTom VG). Dent Res J (Isfahan) 2012; 9: S57-62.