



Female urinary incontinence, from pregnancy to menopause: a review of epidemiological and pathophysiological findings.

Xavier Fritel, Virginie Ringa, Emeline Quiboeuf, Arnaud Fauconnier

► To cite this version:

Xavier Fritel, Virginie Ringa, Emeline Quiboeuf, Arnaud Fauconnier. Female urinary incontinence, from pregnancy to menopause: a review of epidemiological and pathophysiological findings.: Female urinary incontinence, a review. Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica, Wiley, 2012, 91 (8), pp.901-910. <10.1111/j.1600-0412.2012.01419.x>. <inserm-00728885>

HAL Id: inserm-00728885 http://www.hal.inserm.fr/inserm-00728885

Submitted on 1 May 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Title Page

Female urinary incontinence, from pregnancy to menopause, a review of epidemiologic and pathophysiologic findings

<u>Authors</u> Xavier FRITEL^{1, 2, 3}, MD, PhD, Professor Virginie RINGA^{4, 5, 6}, MD, PhD Emeline QUIBOEUF¹, MSc Arnaud FAUCONNIER^{1, 7}, MD, PhD, Professor

Institution

¹Epidemiological Research Unit on Perinatal Health and Women's and Children's Health, INSERM, UMR S953, UPMC University of Paris 6, Villejuif,

²Departement of Gynecology-Obstetrics and Reproductive Medicine, Poitiers University Hospital, University of Poitiers, Poitiers,

³Multithematic Clinical Research Centre, Poitiers University Hospital, INSERM, CIC-P 802, Poitiers,

⁴Gender, Sexual and Reproductive Health Team, INSERM, CESP Centre for Research in Epidemiology and Population Health, U1018, Villejuif,

⁵French National Institute for Demographic Studies INED U14, Paris,

⁶University Paris-Sud, UMRS 1018, Villejuif,

⁷Departement of Gynecology-Obstetrics, Intercommunal Hospital Center of Poissy-Saint-Germain, University of Versailles-Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines, Poissy, France.

Correspondence

Xavier FRITEL Service de Gynécologie-Obstétrique et Médecine de la Reproduction, CHU de Poitiers, 2 rue de la Milétrie, F-86000 Poitiers, France.

xavier.fritel@univ-poitiers.fr

Word count: abstract: 149; text: 4176 Running head: Female urinary incontinence, a review

Abstract

Hypotheses that might explain urinary incontinence during pregnancy and after childbirth have been examined. Urinary incontinence prevalence reaches a maximum during pregnancy and decreases after childbirth. Cesarean delivery is associated with lower rates of stress incontinence than vaginal delivery. Women delivered by cesarean section differ from women who had a vaginal delivery through pre-existing characteristics associated with the incontinence risk, producing a selection bias. The only randomized trial (intention of vaginal delivery versus elective caesarean section) was not conclusive. The suspected etiological factors consist of congenital elements, obesity, aging, pregnancy and vaginal delivery. They are likely to have an effect at different times and portions of the urethral sphincter complex. Unfortunately there exist no cohorts facilitating description of the natural history of female urinary incontinence. Given the small amount of usable data available, it is not possible to draw any conclusions concerning the possible long-term protective effects of cesarean section. **Abbreviations:** BMI, body mass index; LE, level of evidence; MUI, mixed urinary incontinence; SUI, stress urinary incontinence; UI, urinary incontinence; UUI, urge urinary incontinence.

Key-words: Urinary incontinence, pregnancy, delivery, cesarean, epidemiology.

Conflict of Interest:

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Introduction

Urinary incontinence (UI) is a symptom frequently encountered in women, and it can evolve into a disabling pathology necessitating costly treatment (1-3). Despite its frequent occurrence, many aspects of its pathophysiology remain uncertain. Urinary continence is due to the fact that bladder pressure remains lower than urethral closure pressure, and incontinence may result from bladder or urethral impairment; when closure pressure is lower than bladder pressure, leakage occurs. Three types of incontinence are generally distinguished: stress urinary incontinence (SUI); urge urinary incontinence (UUI), and mixed urinary incontinence (MUI), which associates the first two.

The pathophysiology of UUI has yet to be clearly elucidated. It appears to be connected with poor transmission or processing of information between the bladder and the nervous system (4). The main identified disorders with regard to SUI are impaired urethral support and sphincter deficiency (5). Nobody really knows why, how, and at what precise moment these disorders arise (6).

In a majority of cases urinary incontinence in women is stress-related before the age of 50 and mixed after the age of 50 (7). The time lapse between average age at first childbirth and average age at the time of SUI surgery ranges from 20 to 30 years; this wide range underscores the difficulty of establishing a causal relation between childbirth and SUI. And yet, the obstetrical trauma hypothesis according to which vaginal childbirth results in irreversible traumatic lesions to the urinary continence system is generally accepted. Some practitioners even favor caesarean section as a way of preventing urinary incontinence (8). Our objective in this review of the literature is to examine the arguments supporting the traumatic obstetrical theory and, if need be, to put forward alternative hypotheses that might more adequately explain the occurrence of urinary incontinence in women.

Methods

The studies included in our manuscript originate from two sources. The first is a review of available epidemiologic or clinical studies in which urinary incontinence was the main outcome of interest and obstetrical factors (related to pregnancy or delivery) were analyzed as explanatory factors. We have included a review of all cohorts as regards urinary incontinence during first pregnancy and postpartum (22 studies). The second part consists of a panel of selected studies on the pathophysiology of urinary incontinence associated with anatomical, hormonal, or physiological changes during pregnancy and after childbirth. Selection of these studies was not based on a systematic search; they are rather cited to illustrate some underlying pathophysiological mechanisms that may explain the epidemiological evidence.

The level of evidence [LE] is indicated for each study through the standard model developed by the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine (www.cebm.net): LE1, randomized comparative studies; LE2, cohort studies; LE3, case-control studies; LE4, case-series.

We wish to emphasize that most available information on the connection between childbirth and middle-aged UI came from cross-sectional studies that could not determine the onset of urinary symptoms. Only three teams have published results on longitudinal follow-up of women studied for more than two years subsequent to childbirth (9-11).

Results

Urge urinary incontinence, pregnancy and delivery

UUI prevalence in young women is quite low (7% at 30 years of age in EPINCONT, see below); it is higher in older women (13% at 50 years of age and 19% at 70) [LE3b] (7). During pregnancy, its prevalence increases as the term approaches (12% at end of pregnancy) [LE2b] (12). In the first stages of postpartum, UUI prevalence is lower in cases of cesarean section [LE2a] (13). However, in the long term after childbirth, cross-sectional and longitudinal observational studies have not shown any association between parity and UUI, or mode of delivery and UUI [LE2a] (13-17). Given the lack of connection between UUI and pregnancy or delivery, the remaining review will be essentially devoted to SUI.

Association between obstetrical trauma due to vaginal delivery and stress urinary incontinence

SUI prevalence is higher in older women, and reaches a maximum level at approximately the age of 50 (27% in EPINCONT) [LE3b] (7,18). In a majority of studies on the subject less SUI prevalence following cesarean section has been found in comparison with vaginal childbirth [LE2a] (11,13,15,19). EPINCONT is a large-scale cross-sectional survey that compared SUI prevalence in 669 women aged from 20 to 64 years having delivered only by cesarean section and 11 299 women who had only vaginal deliveries (15). SUI prevalence was higher in the vaginal childbirth group (OR 2.4; IC95% 1.7-3.2) [LE3b]. Leijonhufvud cross-checked the Swedish birth registry from 1973 to 1982 with the records of surgical interventions from 1973 to 2005 (20), and his study shows a heightened likelihood of SUI surgery in cases of vaginal childbirth (compared with cases of cesarean childbirth) [LE3b]. The randomized trial by Hannah, who compared planned cesarean births with planned vaginal delivery for breech

presentation at term (21), showed lower SUI prevalence in the planned cesarean group three months later (4.5 vs. 7.3%) [LE1b]. The risk of postnatal UI was aggravated when the second stage of labor was prolonged, and lessened in cesarean childbirth, especially when the section was carried out prior to labor or at the onset of labor [LE2b] (22). SUI prevalence 12 years after childbirth was 55% in the event of only vaginal deliveries as opposed to 40% in the event of only cesarean deliveries [LE2b] (10). These observations suggest a causal link between the trauma of vaginal childbirth and SUI.

Obstetrical trauma

A childbirth-related pelvi-perineal trauma may be immediately observed by simple clinical examination or using complementary postnatal tests (23). Perineal tears frequently occur, particularly on the occasion of a first childbirth, in which a 1.8% to 16% prevalence of third-degree perineal tear has been reported [LE2b] (24,25). After vaginal childbirth, investigations have shown increased pudendal nerve terminal latency, widening of the levator hiatus, perineal descent, and increased urethral mobility at stress [LE2b] (26,27). Dietz found a reduction of reflex pelvic floor activation on coughing that is more marked after vaginal delivery (28). Twenty per cent of primiparas show levator ani lesions at MRI after vaginal childbirth, and the lesions are associated with an increased risk of SUI (72% with SUI in cases of MRI-evidenced lesion vs. 45%) in this cross-sectional study of 160 primiparas examined 9-12 months subsequent to vaginal delivery [LE3b] (29). Dietz and Lanzarone objectified similar levator ani lesions through ultrasonography in 36% of primiparas having undergone vaginal childbirth and examined 2-6 months subsequent to delivery (30). In their work, no link between levator ani lesions and SUI was reported [LE3b].

Vaginal delivery may consequently entail the creation of irreversible lesions that could be aggravated by aging and subsequent childbirth, finally leading to urinary incontinence. Such is the theory put forward by DeLancey, who strongly suggested that an obstetrical trauma paved the way to urinary incontinence, which can occur immediately if the trauma is severe or later in cases where the trauma is partially repaired (31).

Shortcomings of the trauma paradigm

Several observations call the predictions given by the trauma model into question: SUI prevalence is maximal not postpartum, but rather in the prenatal stage (Figure 1), during which 30% to 50% of pregnant women have reported SUI [LE2b] (22,32-35). Such findings mean that the pathology (SUI) may actually precede exposure (delivery) and suggest that mechanisms other than obstetrical traumatism are involved prior to delivery in the genesis of SUI.

A follow-up of 305 primiparas carried out by Viktrup (32) shows a remission of incontinence over the first 12 months of postpartum, with SUI prevalence being divided by 6 as it decreased from 19% in the immediate postpartum period to 6% three months later and to 3% after one year [LE2b]. A comparable drop in SUI prevalence following delivery and over the initial months postpartum has been observed by others in the past (33-36) or by contemporary authors identified in our bibliographic review (Figure 1), which recapitulates all published longitudinal studies pertaining to primiparous women (22,37-57). These observations tend to suggest a deleterious, yet reversible, effect of pregnancy on urinary continence.

Partially reversible obstetrical trauma

In a majority of cases, perineal exploration undertaken at some time after childbirth show that perineal measurements are quite similar to those reported before childbirth or improved in comparison with measurements undertaken just after delivery. In the study published by Sultan (26), the terminal latency of the pudendal nerve had reverted to normal at six months postpartum in eight of the 12 women for whom it had increased at six months postpartum [LE2b]. Snooks showed that the terminal latency of the pudendal nerve had returned to normal at eight weeks postpartum, as had perineal descent after five years [LE2b] (58,59). In the work carried out by Toozs-Hobson (27) no difference was observed as regards bladder neck mobility or levator hiatus between prenatal dimensions and the dimensions reported six months after vaginal childbirth [LE2b]. Later postpartum, between 3-6 months and 2-3 years, Shek and Dietz did not observe any improvement in levator hiatus dimension (60) [LE2b]. However, in this study, two women (of 12) recovered from levator avulsion, and bladder neck mobility showed improvement for 53 women delivered vaginally with no further births (60) [LE2b]. These observations tend to suggest that several obstetrical lesions of the pelvic floor may spontaneously heal during the months following delivery. In that case, vaginal childbirth would account for largely reversible lesions of the urinary continence system.

Such findings may help to elucidate observations showing that the apparent protective effect of a cesarean is attenuated in time. In the randomized trial performed by Hannah (21,61), the protective effect with regard to SUI observed at three months postpartum in the planned cesarean group (RR = 0.6; IC95%: 0.4-0.9) diminished and was no longer significant 24 months subsequent to childbirth (RR = 0.8; 0.6-1.1) [LE1b]. Over the same time interval, the fraction of SUI risk attributable to vaginal delivery was halved, decreasing from 38% at three months to 18% at 24 months [LE1b]. Over the long term, after the age of 50, according to the EPINCONT (15) as well as GAZEL studies (62), there exists no significant difference in SUI prevalence between women having undergone vaginal childbirth and women having undergone cesarean childbirth, even though association with parity remains [LE3b]. In the cohort followed by MacArthur and his colleagues for up to 12 years following childbirth (10), 40% of the women having delivered only by cesarean section reported urinary incontinence [LE2b]. These observations suggest that parity, or pregnancy itself, may contribute to the occurrence of UI independently of the mode of delivery (19,32,63).

Possible selection bias

Moreover, the apparent protective effect of cesarean reported in observational studies, including studies based on registers, may be explained in part by a selection bias. Prospective studies showed that prior to childbirth, women who are going to have a vaginal delivery present higher urethral mobility (27,64) and levator hiatus (27), than those who are going to have cesarean delivery [LE2b]. It may be the case that some particular tissue or muscle characteristics entail both a higher risk of cesarean birth and a lower risk of SUI. In other words, the mode of delivery could be a consequence of pelvic tissue quality rather than a cause, and it is likewise possible that cesarean delivery selects women at little risk of developing SUI. This type of preliminary difference between women delivering by cesarean as opposed to vaginal childbirth does not substantiate observational studies attributing a protective effect to caesarean section. The existence of this kind of selection bias in the EPINCONT cross-sectional study (15) is suggested by the fact that on average, the women delivering by cesarean were four years younger than those delivering vaginally (36 vs. 40 years), had higher BMI (26.2 versus 25.4 kg/m²) as well as lower parity (1.7 versus 2.2), and had more recently given birth (8 vs. 12 years) to children of lower weight (3396 vs. 3542g). Since higher age is a cesarean section risk factor in low-risk nulliparas [LE3b] (65), the opposite difference would have been expected.

As a result, the obstetrical trauma theory does not suffice as a stand-alone explanation of stress urinary incontinence occurring either at the end of pregnancy or with aging. The 241-woman cohort followed by Viktrup over 12 years (11,12,38) underscores the highly diversified histories of urinary incontinence in women and consequently suggests comparably diversified etiologies. In the women presenting with SUI 12 years after initial childbirth, SUI appeared for the first time during pregnancy in 39% of cases, just after delivery in 15%, and after the postpartum period in 46% of cases [LE2b]. Subsequent to an initial remission during the first year of postpartum, (3% one year after delivery), SUI prevalence increased over the years following the initial childbirth (30% at 5 years and 42% at 12 years) [LE2b].

It is equally necessary to explain why SUI occurring transitorily during pregnancy constitutes a risk factor for later appearance of SUI [LE2b] (9,11,19).

Individual susceptibility

The hypothesis of a congenital factor may help to elucidate SUI observed in young or nulliparous women and the similarity frequently observed among members of the same family (66-69). Buchsbaum (69) indeed showed striking SUI concordance among sisters, regardless of parity [LE3b]. In EPINCONT (70), SUI prevalence is higher in the daughters of mothers with declared UI (OR 1.3; IC95% 1.2-1.4) [LE3b]. A case-control survey revealed three times more cases of SUI in a first-degree relative when the woman in question suffered from SUI [LE3b] (71). Dietz et al found a correlation for bladder neck mobility (r= 0.61) in nulliparous identical female human twins [LE3b] (72) The authors estimate that 59% of the variance in bladder neck mobility in nulliparas may be explained by recessive genes, while the rest of the variance would be due to individual environmental factors. Pregnancy may act as a presenting

symptom of underlying individual SUI-related fragility of partially congenital origin. King and Freeman (73) have shown that prior to delivery, primiparas who later suffer from postnatal SUI already present higher urethral mobility than those who will remain continent (7.1 vs. 4.8 mm) [LE2b]. Postpartum, resolution of the physiological, hormonal and anatomical modifications specific to pregnancy may explain the SUI remission observed over the months following delivery. At a later time, the effects of aging could provoke an additional impairment of continence mechanisms, that is to say, a new alteration leading to a SUI relapse in women with individual susceptibility.

Aging

In women as in men, aging is accompanied by a gradual rarefaction of the striated muscle cells in the urethral sphincter [LE3b] (74). Pelvic floor strength is reduced and levator hiatus is increased in older women [LE3b] (75). Urethral closure pressure likewise declines in older women [LE3b] (6,76). Pelvic floor denervation is greater in older women [LE3b] whether or not they once bore children (77). SUI prevalence regularly increases with age [LE3b] in parous and nulliparous women (15). With time, the aging effect is likely to dilute the risk attributable to obstetrical factors; little by little, any causal factor connected with the obstetrical trauma is prone to dwindle. DeLancey (29) showed the existence of an association between SUI and MRI levator ani lesion in women of an average age of 30 who were examined 9–12 months postpartum [LE3b]; no comparable link was found in a case-control study conducted by the same team in women of an average age of 48 [LE3b] (5). In a population of women consulting an urogynecological unit, mean age 55, levator ani lesion was negatively associated with stress UI [LE3b] (78).

Individual susceptibility and aging do not suffice to explain why the SUI risk is greater in women who have given birth, even by cesarean section, than in nulliparas. In EPINCONT (15), the age-adjusted risk with regard to all types of UI, of SUI, and of mixed UI was in fact greater in women having delivered by cesarean section than in childless women: OR = 1.5(1.2–1.9), 1.4 (1.0-2.0) and 1.7 (1.2-2.5), respectively [LE3b]. A heightened risk for women having given birth by cesarean section in comparison with nulliparas has also been found in other studies [LE3b] (62,79,80). Regardless of the mode of delivery, it is consequently highly likely that pregnancy has a specific impact on continence mechanisms, with long-term clinical effects that may be connected with mechanical or metabolic phenomena. Between the prenatal and postpartum stages, the observed volume of the urethral sphincter decreased by 8.2% in the women delivering vaginally and by 10.6% in those giving birth by cesarean section [LE2b] (27). A 10 cm H₂0 drop in urethral closure pressure is likewise observed following childbirth, and it remains similar, whether delivery be vaginal or by cesarean [LE2b] (81). Tetzschner (82) highlighted the lengthening of pudendal nerve terminal latency 12 weeks after childbirth, and the figure is similar in women having benefited from spontaneous vaginal delivery and in those having undergone a cesarean section [LE3b]. Weidner (83) discovered an alteration of urethral sphincter electromyography (EMG) in pregnant nulliparas as compared with non-pregnant nulliparas of the same age, and this alteration was still present six months after delivery [LE3b]. Dietz (84) observed increased urethral mobility in pregnant as opposed to non-pregnant nulliparas [LE3b].

Weight gain may partially explain the increased SUI prevalence during pregnancy, postpartum remission and SUI relapse with age. In EPINCONT (85), a high BMI was associated with each type of UI [LE3b]. A high BMI is also associated with the UI specific to pregnancy [LE3b] (37). Postpartum weight loss is associated with remission of UI [LE2b]

(39). Apart from this kind of mechanical effect, a metabolic effect of pregnancy cannot be ruled out. Indeed, pregnancy entails numerous hormonal or metabolic modifications that may be involved in UI occurrence. Estrogens are known to modify collagen synthesis in the tendons [LE2c] (86), and collagen metabolism is modified in the event of SUI [LE3b] (87). Estrogen administration after menopause favours the occurrence of SUI [LE1b] (88). Several metabolic disorders observed in the metabolic syndrome (enlarged waist, high triglyceride level, low HDL cholesterol, arterial hypertension, hyperglycemia) are encountered during pregnancy and are likewise associated with urinary disorders in the mature adult. In a population of 984 women aged over 40 years, Kim et al (89) discovered an association between the presence of at least three elements of the metabolic syndrome and SUI [LE3b]. Brown et al (90) underlined an association between SUI and diabetes or raised fasting glycemia levels in 1461 women [LE3b].

The metabolic and hormonal modifications connected with pregnancy may both explain some of the urinary symptoms observed during pregnancy and entail long-term consequences. Indeed, the long-term metabolic impact of pregnancy is known in the context of other chronic pathologies, such as diabetes: the number of previous pregnancies increases the risk of abnormal glycemia in non-pregnant women [LE3b] (91). In a manner analogous to UI, the prevalence of diabetes is raised during pregnancy, and there is an association between the diabetes of pregnancy and the diabetes of maturity.

Discussion

SUI prevalence is maximal at the end of pregnancy, it diminishes postpartum and is lower in cases of cesarean delivery. SUI prevalence increases with age and reaches a maximal level around the age of 50. After 50, SUI prevalence is similar in women delivered only by cesarean and women delivered vaginally. Several pathophysiological mechanisms are likely to be involved to explain these epidemiological observations.

Urethral mobility increases during pregnancy (84). It would be interesting to learn if a higher mobility is associated with a higher risk of SUI during pregnancy. There exists a link between heightened prenatal urethral mobility and postnatal SUI (73), and pregnant women with a high prenatal urethral mobility are more likely to deliver vaginally (27,64). Higher urethral mobility may be provoked by a number of physiological, metabolic or hormonal modifications induced by pregnancy. Hormones such as estrogens or relaxin are involved in collagen metabolism (86). In postmenopausal women, estrogen therapy increases the risk of SUI (88), and a higher level of serum relaxin has been associated with SUI during pregnancy (92). Pregnancy also modifies carbohydrate metabolism and diabetes is associated with a heightened UI risk (90). Unfortunately, studies on this subject are lacking. Van Geelen did not find a correlation between closure pressure changes and female hormones changes during pregnancy (81).

Urethral closure pressure and sphincter volume diminish after childbirth (27,81). Urethral closure pressure is lower in primiparous stress incontinent women than in primiparous continent women (6). Urethral electromyography is altered in stress incontinent women (93). The pathophysiological mechanism of this alteration of the urethral sphincter is not well-known, and it may be related to pregnancy itself. The Childbirth and Pelvic Symptoms study has shown an alteration of urethral electromyographic parameters during pregnancy that persists six months after delivery (83). The diminution of closure pressure and sphincter

volume observed following childbirth is similar in women delivered by cesarean section and in women delivered vaginally, and it persist six months after delivery (27,81).

The pelvic floor function may be altered during vaginal delivery by muscle injuries as avulsion, widening levator hiatus, diminution of reflex pelvic floor activation on coughing, or increased urethral mobility at stress (27-30). The relation between these lesions and *de novo* postnatal SUI remains uncertain. DeLancey observed an association between postnatal SUI and urethral mobility (6). On the other hand, the same team did not observe any association between major levator ani injury and postnatal UI or SUI (94). Similarly, Shek did not find any association between de novo postnatal SUI and levator avulsion occurrence, or increased urethral mobility (95). Some obstetrical alterations of the pelvic floor may be reversible, while others are not. As regards women having vaginally delivered, measurement of the levator hiatus and bladder neck mobility carried out 6 months postpartum yields figures similar to those of prenatal measurements (27). For postpartum levator ani avulsions, Shek and Dietz observed a recovery in two cases (out of 12) (60).

Postpartum remission of SUI (Figure 1) may be explained by partial healing (31), or as a result of compensation that might restore continence despite persistence of the lesions. The compensation may be due to the pelvic floor muscles, which assume a urethral support role, and it may be favored by pelvic floor training. Since muscle force diminishes as one grows older, SUI may recur as the years pass (Figure 2). If the obstetrical trauma hypothesis were the only explanation, then the protective effect of a planned cesarean delivery would in all likelihood be observed at any age, the incidence of SUI (appearing after childbirth) would be closely associated with the mode of delivery, and SUI prevalence would necessarily be similar in nulliparas and in women having given birth by cesarean section.

According to the reversible trauma hypothesis with a proper effect of pregnancy, postnatal remission of SUI (Figure 1) would find its explanation in the resolution of hormonal and

metabolic changes associated with pregnancy and the spontaneous healing of the traumatic lesions due to vaginal childbirth. Concerning the relapse associated with aging (Figure 2), this would be provoked by tissue aging and be aggravated in case of multiple exposures to pregnancy. If the reversibility hypothesis were altogether accurate, then the cesarean section protective effect would disappear as time passes, and SUI at a mature age would be more closely connected with the number of pregnancies than with the mode of delivery.

Conclusion

At different stages of women's lives, at least five etiological factors can be considered to help explain SUI occurrence: a congenital factor, obesity, aging, pregnancy and vaginal delivery. At different moments, these factors are likely to affect different parts of the urethral sphincter complex. Some, such as aging, are continuous; others, like pregnancy, are occasional; still others, such as weight gain, are reversible. This is why risk factors are significant during certain phases of women's lives, and not during others. At present we do not have a sufficient number of cohorts to allow us to describe the complete natural history of SUI in women or to distinguish age-associated risk from the risk linked with pregnancy and the risk associated with delivery. Childbirth is followed by remission of SUI, and the main indicators measuring pelvic floor quality show improvement postpartum. Cesarean section is associated with a lower rate of postpartum SUI and less frequent recourse to remote SUI surgery. However, it is difficult to postulate this association as causal. In fact, women undergoing cesarean section differ from women undergoing vaginal childbirth with regard to several prenatal characteristics connected with the UI risk. Moreover the one available randomized trial (planned vaginal delivery vs. planned cesarean for breech delivery at full term) is not conclusive.

Further studies still need to be elaborated so as to better understand the respective impacts of pregnancy and obstetrical trauma on urinary continence.

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Prof. Peter Dietz for his critique and intensive review of this work, both of which have greatly contributed to its improvement.

References

- 1. Wilson L, Brown JS, Shin GP, KO, Subak LL. Annual Direct Cost of Urinary Incontinence. Obstet Gynecol 2001; 98:398-406
- 2. Ho M, Kuteesa W, Short A, Eastwood A, Moore K. Personal and treatment costs of childbirth related incontinence. Neurourol Urodyn 2006;25:513-4
- 3. Lecomte D. Rapport 2003 : Aides techniques aux personnes handicapées : Situation actuelle, données économiques, propositions de classification et de prise en charge [Technical aids for persons with disabilities: Current status, economic data, proposals for classification and support]. http://archives.handicap.gouv.fr/point_presse/rapports/lecomte/part2.pdf
- 4. De Groat WC. The urothelium in overactive bladder: passive bystander or active participant? Urology 2004;64:7-11.
- 5. DeLancey JOL, Trowbridge ER, Miller JM, Morggan DM, Guire K, Fenner DE, et al. Stress urinary incontinence: Relative importance of urethral support and urethral closure pressure. J Urol 2008;179:2286–90
- 6. DeLancey JOL. Why do women have stress urinary incontinence? Neurourol Urodyn 2010;29:S13-7.
- 7. Hannestad YS, Rorveit G, Sandvik H, Hunskaar S. A community-based epidemiological survey of female urinary incontinence: The Norvegian EPINCONT Study. J Clin Epidemiol 2000;53:1150-7.
- 8. Al-Mufti R, McCarthy A, Fisk NM. Obstetricians' personal choice and mode of delivery. Lancet 1996;347:544.
- 9. Dolan LM, Hosker GL, Mallette VT, Allen RE, Smith ARB. Stress incontinence and pelvic floor neurophysiology 15 years after the first delivery. BJOG 2003;110:1107–14.
- MacArthur C, Glazener C, Lancashire R, Herbison P, Wilson D, on behalf of the ProLong study group. Exclusive caesarean section delivery and subsequent urinary and faecal incontinence: a 12-year longitudinal study. BJOG 2011;118:1001–7.
- 11. Viktrup L, Rortveit G, Lose G. Risk of stress urinary incontinence twelve years after the first pregnancy and delivery. Obstet Gynecol 2006;108:248–54.
- 12. Viktrup L. The risk of lower urinary tract symptoms five years after the first delivery. Neurourol Urodyn 2002;21:2-29.
- 13. Press JZ, Klein MC, Kaczorowski J, Liston RM, von Dadelszen P. Does cesarean section reduce postpartum urinary incontinence? A systematic review Birth 2007;34:228–37.
- 14. Rortveit G, Hannestad YS, Daltveit AK, Hunskaar S. Age- and type-dependent effects of parity on urinary incontinence : The Norwegian EPINCONT Study. Obstet Gynecol 2001;98:1004-10.
- 15. Rortveit G, Daltveit AK, Hannestad YS, Hunskaar S. Urinary incontinence after vaginal delivery or cesarean section. N Engl J Med 2003;348:900–7.
- 16. Hirsch AG, Minassian VA, Dilley A, Sartorius J, Stewart WF. Parity is not associated with urgency with or without urinary incontinence. Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct 2010;21:1095-102
- 17. Foldspang A, Mommsen S, Lam GW, Elving L. Parity as a correlate of adult female urinary incontinence prevalence. J Epidemiol Community Health 1992;46:595-600.
- 18. MacLennan A, Taylor AW, Wilson DH, Wilson D. The prevalence of pelvic floor disorders and their relationship to gender, age, parity and mode of delivery. BJOG 2000;107:1460-70
- 19. Fritel X, Fauconnier A, Levet C, Bénifla JL. Stress urinary incontinence four years after the first delivery: a retrospective cohort study. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2004;83:941–5.
- 20. Leijonhufvud A, Lundholm C, Cnattingius S, Granath F, Andolf E, Altman D. Risks of stress urinary incontinence and pelvic organ prolapse surgery on relation to mode of childbirth. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2011;204:70.e1-6.
- 21. Hannah ME, Hannah WJ, Hodnett ED, Chalmers B, Kung R, Willan A, et al; Term Breech Trial 3-Month Follow-up Collaborative Group. Outcomes at 3 months after planned cesarean vs planned

vaginal delivery for breech presentation at term: the international randomized Term Breech Trial. JAMA 2002;287:1822–31.

- 22. Brown SJ, Gartland D, Donath S, MacArthur C. Effects of prolonged second stage, method of birth, timing of cesarean section and other obstetric risk factors on postnatal urinary incontinence: an Australian nulliparous cohort study. BJOG 2011;118:991–1000.
- 23. Ashton-Miller JA, DeLancey JOL. On the biomechanics of vaginal birth and common sequelae. Annu Rev Biomed Eng 2009;11:163–76.
- 24. Anthony S, Buitendijk SE, Zondervan KT, van Rijssel EJC, Verkerk PH. Episiotomies and the occurrence of severe perineal lacerations. BJOG 1994;101:1064-7.
- 25. Lowder JL, Burrows LJ, Krohn MA, Weber AM. Risk factors for primary and subsequent anal sphincter lacerations: a comparison of cohorts by parity and prior mode of delivery. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2007;196;344.e1-344.e5.
- 26. Sultan AH, Kamm MA, Hudson CN. Pudendal nerve damage during labour: prospective study before and after childbirth. BJOG 1994;101:22–8.
- 27. Toozs-Hobson P, Balmforth J, Cardozo L, Khullar V, Athanasiou S. The effect of mode of delivery on pelvic floor functional anatomy. Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct 2008;19:407–16.
- 28. Dietz H, Bond V, Shek K. Does childbirth alter the reflex pelvic floor response to sudden increases in intra-abdominal pressure? Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2011;DOI: 10.1002/uog.10083.
- 29. DeLancey JO, Kearney R, Chou Q, Speights S, Binno S. The appearance of levator ani muscle abnormalities in magnetic resonance images after vaginal delivery. Obstet Gynecol 2003;101:46-53.
- 30. Dietz HP, Lanzarone V. Levator trauma after vaginal delivery. Obstet Gynecol 2005;106:707-12.
- 31. DeLancey JOL, Kane Low L, Miller JM, Patel DA, Tumbarello JA. Graphic integration of causal factors of pelvic floor disorders: an integrated life span model. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2008;199:610.e1-610.e5.
- 32. Viktrup L, Lose G, Rolff M, Boarfoed K. The symptom of stress incontinence caused by pregnancy or delivery in primiparas. Obstet Gynecol 1992;79:945–9.
- 33. Francis WJA. The onset of stress incontinence. J Obstet Gynaecol Br Emp 1960;67:899-903.
- 34. Stanton SL, Kerr-Wilson R, Harris VG. The incidence of urological symptoms in normal pregnancy. BJOG 1980; 87:897-900.
- 35. Dimpfl T, Hesse U, Schüssler B. Incidence and cause of postpartum urinary stress incontinence. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 1992;43:29-33.
- 36. Buchan W traduit par Duplanil JD. Médecine domestique ou traité complet des moyens de se conserver en santé, de guérir & de prévenir les maladies, par le régime et les remèdes simples [Domestic medicine: or a treatise on the prevention and cure of diseases by regimen and simple medicines]. Paris: Froullé, 1783.
- 37. Solans-Domènech M, Sánchez E, Espuña-Pons M; Pelvic Floor Research Group (Grup de Recerca del Sòl Pelvià; GRESP). Urinary and anal incontinence during pregnancy and postpartum: incidence, severity, and risk factors. Obstet Gynecol 2010;115:618-28.
- 38. Viktrup L, Lose G. Lower urinary tract symptoms 5 years after the first delivery. Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct 2000;11:336-40.
- 39. Wesnes SL, Hunskaar S, Bo K, Rortveit G. Urinary incontinence and weight change during pregnancy and postpartum: a cohort study. Am J Epidemiol 2010;172:1034-44.
- 40. Chaliha C, Kalia V, Stanton SL, Monga A, Sultan AH. Antenatal prediction of postpartum urinary and fecal incontinence. Obstet Gynecol 1999;94:689-94.
- 41. Huebner M, Antolic A, Tunn R. The impact of pregnancy and vaginal delivery on urinary incontinence. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2010;110:249-251.
- 42. Borello-France D, Burgio KL, Richter HE, Zyczynski H, Fitzgerald MP, Whitehead W, et al. Fecal and urinary incontinence in primiparous women. Obstet Gynecol 2006;108:863-72.

- 43. Ko P-C, Liang C-C, Chang S-D, Lee J-T, Chao A-S, Cheng P-J. A randomized controlled trial of antenatal pelvic floor exercises to prevent and treat urinary incontinence. Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct 2011;22:17-22.
- 44. Mason L, Roe B, Wong H, Davies J, Bamber J. The role of antenatal pelvic floor muscle exercises in prevention of postpartum stress incontinence: a randomised controlled trial. J Clin Nurs 2010;19:2777-86.
- 45. Tincello DG, Adams EJ, Richmond DH. Antenatal screening for postpartum urinary incontinence in nulliparous women: a pilot study. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2002;101:70-3.
- 46. Arya LA, Jackson ND, Myers DL, Verma A. Risk of new-onset urinary incontinence after forceps and vacuum delivery in primiparous women. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2001;185:1318-24.
- 47. Eason E, Labrecque M, Marcoux S, Mondor M. Effects of carrying a pregnancy and of method of delivery on urinary incontinence: a prospective cohort study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2004;4:4.
- 48. Dolan LM, Walsh D, Hamilton S, Marshall K, Thompson K, Ashe RG. A study of quality of life in primigravidae with urinary incontinence. Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct 2004;15:160-4.
- 49. Groutz A, Rimon E, Peled S, Gold R, Pauzner D, Lessing JB, Gordon D. Cesarean section: does it really prevent the development of postpartum stress urinary incontinence? A prospective study of 363 women one year after their first delivery. Neurourol Urodyn 2004;23:2-6.
- 50. Meyer S, Hohlfeld P, Achtari C, Russolo A, De Grandi P. Birth trauma: short and long term effects of forceps delivery compared with spontaneous delivery on various pelvic floor parameters. BJOG 2000;107:1360-5.
- 51. Hantoushzadeh S, Javadian P, Shariat M, Salmanian B, Ghazizadeh S, Aghssa M. Stress urinary incontinence: pre-pregnancy history and effects of mode of delivery on its postpartum persistency. Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct 2010;22:651–5.
- 52. van Brummen HJ, Bruinse HW, van de Pol G, Heintz APM, van der Vaart CH. The effect of vaginal and cesarean delivery on lower urinary tract symptoms: what makes the difference? Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct 2007;18:133-9.
- 53. Ekström A, Altman D, Wiklund I, Larsson C, Andolf E. Planned cesarean section versus planned vaginal delivery: comparison of lower urinary tract symptoms. Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct 2008;19:459-65.
- 54. Sartore A, Maso G, Guaschino S. Induction of labor with prostaglandins and transient stress urinary incontinence in the puerperium. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2006;93:250-1.
- 55. Liang C-C, Tseng L-H, Horng S-G, Lin I-wen, Chang S-D. Correlation of pelvic organ prolapse quantification system scores with obstetric parameters and lower urinary tract symptoms in primiparae postpartum. Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct 2007;18:537-41.
- 56. Yang X, Zhang HX, Yu HY, Gao XL, Yang HX, Dong Y. The prevalence of fecal incontinence and urinary incontinence in primiparous postpartum Chinese women. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2010;152:214-7.
- 57. Zhu L, Bian X-ming, Long Y, Lang J-he. Role of different childbirth strategies on pelvic organ prolapse and stress urinary incontinence: a prospective study. Chin Med J 2008;121:213-5.
- 58. Snooks SJ, Swash M, Setchell M, Henry MM. Injury to innervation of pelvic floor sphincter musculature in childbirth. Lancet 1984;324:546-50.
- 59. Snooks SJ, Swash M, Mathers SE, Henry MM. Effect of vaginal delivery on the pelvic floor: a 5-year follow-up. Br J Surg 1990;77:1358–60.
- 60 Shek K, Dietz H. Does Levator Trauma heal? Int Urogynecol J 2011;22:S12-13
- 61. Hannah ME, Whyte H, Hannah WJ, Hewson S, Amankwah K, Cheng M, et al; Term Breech Trial Collaborative Group. Maternal outcomes at 2 years after planned cesarean section versus planned vaginal birth for breech presentation at term: the international randomized Term Breech Trial. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2004;191:917–27.

- 62. Fritel X, Ringa V, Varnoux N, Fauconnier A, Piault S, Bréart G. Mode of delivery and severe stress incontinence. A cross-sectional study among 2625 perimenopausal women. BJOG 2005;112:1646–51.
- 63. Iosif S. Stress incontinence during pregnancy and in puerperium. Int J Gynecol Obstet 1981;19:13-20.
- 64. Dietz HP, Moore KH, Steensma AB. Antenatal pelvic organ mobility is associated with delivery mode. Aus N Z J Obstet Gynaecol 2003;43:70-4.
- 65. Roman H, Blondel B, Bréart G, Goffinet F. Do risk factors for elective cesarean section differ from those of cesarean section during labor in low risk pregnancies? J Perinat Med 2008;36:297–305.
- 66. Wolin LH. Stress incontinence in young, healthy nulliparous female subjects. J Urol 1969;101:545–9.
- 67. Buchsbaum GM, Chin M, Glantz C, Guzick D. Prevalence of urinary incontinence and associated risk factors in a cohort of nuns. Obstet Gynecol 2002;100:226–9.
- 68. Harris RL, Cundiff GW, Coates KW Bump RC. Urinary incontinence and pelvic organ prolapse in nulliparous women. Obstet Gynecol 1998;92:951–4.
- 69. Buchsbaum GM, Duecy EE, Kerr LA, Huang LS, Guzick DS. Urinary incontinence in nulliparous women and their parous sisters. Obstet Gynecol 2005;106:1253–8.
- 70. Hannestad YS, Lie RT, Rortveit G, Hunskaar S. Familial risk of urinary incontinence in women: population based cross sectional study. BMJ 2004;329:889-91.
- 71. Mushkat Y, Bukovsky I, Langer R. Female urinary stress incontinence--does it have familial prevalence? Am J Obstet Gynecol 1996;174:617-9.
- 72. Dietz HP, Hansell NK, Grace ME, Eldrige AM, Clarke B, Martin NG. Bladder neck mobility is a heritable trait. BJOG 2005;112:334-9.
- 73. King JK, Freeman RM. Is antenatal bladder neck mobility a risk factor for postpartum stress incontinence? BJOG 1998;105:1300-7.
- 74. Strasser H, Tiefenthaler M, Steinlechner M, Bartsch G, Konwalinka G. Urinary incontinence in the elderly and age-dependent apoptosis of rhabdosphincter cells. Lancet 1999;354:918–9.
- 75. Weemhoff M, Shek KL, Dietz HP. Effects of age on levator function and morphometry of the levator hiatus in women with pelvic floor disorders. Int Urogynecol J 2010;21:1137-42..
- 76. Trowbridge ER, Wei JT, Fenner DE, Ashton-Miller JA, JOL DeLancey. Effects of aging on lower urinary tract and pelvic floor function in nulliparous women. Obstet Gynecol 2007;109:715-20.
- 77. Smith ARB, Hosker GL, Warell DW. The role of partial denervation of the pelvic floor in the aetiology of genitourinary prolapse and stress incontinence of urine, A neurophysiological study. BJOG 1989;96:24–8.
- 78 Dietz H, Kirby A, Shek K, Bedwell P. Does avulsion of the puborectalis muscle affect bladder function? Int Urogynecol J 2009;20:967-72
- 79. Parazzini F, Chiaffarino F, Lavezzari M, Giambanco V. Risk factors for stress, urge or mixed urinary incontinence in Italy. BJOG 2003;110:927-33.
- 80. Lan Z, Jinghe L, Chunyan L, Shaomei H, Jianshi H, Xingming L. The epidemiological study of women with urinary incontinence and risk factors for stress urinary incontinence in China. Menopause 2009;16:831-6.
- 81. Van Geelen JM, Lemmens WAJG, Eskes TKAB, Martin CB. The urethral pressure profile in pregnancy and after delivery in healthy nulliparous women. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1982;114:636-49.
- 82. Tetzschner T, Sørensen M, Jønsson L, Lose G, Christiansen J. Delivery and pudendal nerve function. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 1997;76:324-331.
- 83. Weidner AC, South MMT, Sanders DB, Stinnett SS. Change in urethral sphincter neuromuscular function during pregnancy persists after delivery. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2009;210:529.e1-6.
- 84. Dietz HP, Eldridge A, Grace M, Clarke B. Does pregnancy affect pelvic organ mobility? Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol 2004;44:517-20.

- 85. Hannestad YS, Rortveit G, Daltveit AK, Hunskaar S. Are smoking and other lifestyle factors associated with female urinary incontinence? The Norwegian EPINCONT study. BJOG 2003;110:247-54.
- 86. Hansen M, Koskinen SO, Petersen SG, Doessing S, Frystyk J, Flyvbjerg A, et al. Ethinyl oestradiol administration in women suppresses synthesis of collagen in tendon in response to exercise. J Physiol 2008;586:3005–16.
- 87. Falconer C, Ekman G, Malmström A, Ulmsten U. Decreased collagen synthesis in stress-incontinent women. Obstet Gynecol 1994;84:583–6.
- 88. Hendrix SL, Cochrane BB, Nygaard IE, Handa VL, Barnabei VM, Iglesia C, et al. Effects of Estrogen With and Without Progestin on Urinary Incontinence. JAMA 2005;293:935–48.
- 89. Kim YH, Kim JJ, Kim SM, Choi Y, Jeon MJ. Association between metabolic syndrome and pelvic floor dysfunction in middle-aged to older Korean women. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2011;205:71.e1-8.
- 90. Brown JS, Vittinghoff E, Lin F, Nyberg LM, Kusek JW, Kanaya AM. Prevalence and risk factors for urinary incontinence in women with type 2 diabetes and impaired fasting glucose: findings from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2001-2002. Diabetes Care 2006;29:1307-12.
- 91. McDonald SD, Yusuf S, Sheridan P, Anand SS, Gerstein HC; Diabetes Reduction Assessment with Ramipril and Rosiglitazone Medication Trial Investigators. Dysglycemia and a history of reproductive risk factors. Diabetes Care 2008;31:1635–8.
- 92. Kristansson P, Samuelsson E, von Schoultz B, Svärdsudd K. Reproductive hormones and stress urinary incontinence in preg-nancy. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2001;80:1125–30.
- 93. Kenton K, Mueller E, Brubaker L. Continent women have better urethral neuromuscular function than those with stress incontinence. Int Urogynecol J 2011;22:1479-84.
- 94. Heilbrun ME, Nygaard IE, Lockhart ME, Richter HE, Brown MB, Kenton KS, et al. Correlation between levator ani muscle injuries on magnetic resonance imaging and fecal incontinence, pelvic organ prolapse, and urinary incontinence in primiparous women. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2010;202:488.e1-6.
- 95. Shek KL, Dietz HP, Kirby A. The effect of childbirth on urethral mobility: a prospective observationnal study. J Urol 2010;184:629-34.

Legends of figures

Figure 1

Urinary incontinence (continuous line), and stress urinary incontinence (broken line) prevalence (with 95% confidence interval) during first pregnancy and postpartum in longitudinal studies (22,37-57).

Figure 2

Theoretical prevalence of stress urinary incontinence (SUI) in women in accordance with the number of pregnancies, types of deliveries, and age.

The large black line represents SUI prevalence of stress urinary incontinence in nulliparas. The double black line its course during the first pregnancy and afterwards, and the double gray line its course during the second pregnancy and afterwards in women delivered vaginally. The continuous line depicts SUI in accordance with the hypothesis of a reversible obstetrical trauma, and the broken line in accordance with the hypothesis of an irreversible traumatism. Women with cesarean deliveries, thin black line, are located somewhere between nulliparas (large black line) and primiparas (continuous double line) according to the theoretical model of pregnancy-specific effect.





Figure 2

