In vitro activity of gentamicin, vancomycin or amikacin combined with EDTA or l-arginine as lock therapy against a wide spectrum of biofilm-forming clinical strains isolated from catheter-related infections.

David Lebeaux, Véronique Leflon-Guibout, Jean-Marc Ghigo, Christophe Beloin

HAL Id: pasteur-01378735

https://hal-pasteur.archives-ouvertes.fr/pasteur-01378735

Submitted on 21 Nov 2016

1 ***In vitro* activity of EDTA or L-arginine in combination with gentamicin,**

2 **vancomycin or amikacin as lock therapy against a wide spectrum of**

3 **biofilm-forming clinical strains isolated from catheter-related infections**

4 David Lebeaux[1,2,*], Véronique Leflon-Guibout[3], Jean-Marc Ghigo[1] and Christophe Beloin[1]

5

6 *[1] Institut Pasteur, Genetics of Biofilms Unit. Department of Microbiology, 28 rue du Dr.*

7 *Roux, 75724 Paris cedex 15 France*

8 *[2] Sorbonne Paris Cité, AP-HP, Hôpital Necker Enfants Malades, Centre d'Infectiologie*

9 *Necker-Pasteur and Institut Imagine, Université Paris Descartes, 149, Rue de Sèvres, 75743*

10 *Paris Cedex 15, France*

11 *[3] Service de Microbiologie, Hôpital Beaujon, AP-HP, Clichy, France*

12

13 **Corresponding author:** Dr David Lebeaux, M.D.; Ph.D., Hôpital Necker Enfants Malades,

14 Centre d'Infectiologie Necker-Pasteur. 149, Rue de Sèvres 75743 PARIS Cedex 15. Tel : +33

15 1 44 38 17 42 Fax : +33 1 44 49 54 40. david.lebeaux@yahoo.fr

16

17 **Short title:** gentamicin-EDTA against Gram-positive and Gram-negative clinical strains

18

19 **Key words:** Biofilm; persisters; aminoglycoside; antibiotic lock therapy, adjuvant strategy, *in*

20 *vitro* model

21

Abstract

Objectives. Treatment of catheter-related bloodstream infections (CRBSI) is hampered by the characteristic tolerance of bacterial biofilms towards antibiotics. Our objective was to study the effect of the combination of antibiotics and the alkaline amino acid L-arginine or the cation chelator EDTA on the bacterial mortality of *in vitro* biofilms formed by an array of clinical strains responsible for CRBSI and representative of epidemiologically relevant bacterial species.

Methods. Among 32 strains described in a previous clinical study, we focused on the most antibiotic-tolerant strains including coagulase-negative staphylococci (n=4), *Staphylococcus aureus* (n=4), *Enterococcus faecalis* (n=2), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (n=4) and Enterobacteriaceae (n=4). We used *in vitro* biofilm model (96-well plate assay) to study biofilm tolerance and we tested various combinations of antibiotics and non-antibiotic adjuvants. Gentamicin, amikacin or vancomycin were combined with disodium EDTA or L-arginine during 24 hours, to reproduce the Antibiotic Lock Therapy (ALT) approach. Mortality of biofilm bacteria was measured by cfu quantification after a vigorous step of pipetting up and down in order to detach all biofilm bacteria from the surface of the wells.

Results. Both of our adjuvant strategies significantly increased the effect of antibiotics against biofilms formed by Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial pathogens. The combination of gentamicin + EDTA was active against all tested strain but one *P. aeruginosa*. The combination of gentamicin + L-arginine was active against most of tested strains with the notable exception of coagulase-negative staphylococci for which no potentiation was observed. We also demonstrated that combination using amikacin + EDTA was active against Gram-negative bacteria and vancomycin + EDTA against Gram-positive bacteria.

45   **Conclusion**. The addition of EDTA enhanced activity of gentamicin, amikacin, and

46   vancomycin against biofilms formed by a wide spectrum of bacterial strains responsible for

47   CRBSI.

48

Introduction

Following an initial report in 1988[1], several studies demonstrated that antibiotic lock therapy (ALT) could be a therapeutic option in case of catheter-related bloodstream infection (CRBSI).[1-3] ALT relies on the instillation of a small volume of highly concentrated antibiotic solution that dwells in the lumen of the catheter for 12 to 72 hours, in order to eradicate biofilm formed on the inner surface of the device.[1,4] Indeed, most of treatment difficulties encountered during CRBSI are related to the presence of high cell density bacterial communities called bacterial biofilms.[5] Biofilms display characteristic properties, including high tolerance towards antimicrobials that is defined by the ability of a subset of bacteria to survive in the presence of high concentration of antibiotics.[6,7]

Recent IDSA guidelines recommend that ALT should be used in case of conservative treatment of uncomplicated long-term intravenous catheter-related bloodstream infections caused by coagulase-negative staphylococci or Enterobacteriaceae.[8] This statement is based on different studies reporting salvage rates ranging from 80 to 90% in these situations.[4] However, other groups reported higher failure rates, even in case of coagulase-negative staphylococci infections.[9,10] Furthermore, infections caused by *Staphylococcus aureus* or *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* are still considered to be at higher risk of treatment failure, despite recent encouraging results, for the latter case.[4,11] Lastly, ALT requires locking the long-term intravenous catheter and thus reduces it's availability for 7 to 14 days. Thus, there is a dire need for more efficient locks in order to improve biofilm eradication and reduce the time during which the catheter is unavailable.

We previously demonstrated that 2 adjuvant strategies could be used to eradicate *in vivo* biofilms formed by various Gram-positive as well as Gram-negative nosocomial pathogens.[12,13] The first strategy relies on the use of EDTA, a cation chelator that destabilizes the biofilm matrix.[14] EDTA has been shown to increase the effect of gentamicin against *in

74 *vitro* biofilms but also to reduce the risk of CRBSI, when associated with minocycline.[15,16]

75 Using a recently developed rat model of totally implantable venous access ports (TIVAPs),

76 we showed that the combination of gentamicin and EDTA led to the quick and long-lasting

77 eradication of biofilms formed *in vivo* by *S. aureus*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Escherichia*

78 *coli* and *P. aeruginosa*.[12,17] We also recently demonstrated that increasing the pH of a

79 gentamicin-based lock solution with the clinically compatible alkaline amino-acid L-arginine

80 led to the eradication of biofilms formed by *S. aureus* and *E. coli*.[13] Indeed, alkaline pH

81 increased the effect of aminoglycosides against planktonic as well as biofilm persister cells,

82 both *in vitro* and *in vivo*.[13] While these results were obtained with laboratory strains, we

83 wondered whether these approaches could also be effective against a wide range of clinical

84 strains responsible for CRBSI. Using clinical strains collected during a previously published

85 prospective study, our main objective was to test *in vitro* the spectrum of action of the

86 combination of gentamicin and EDTA or L-arginine.[18] We also studied other combinations

87 including antibiotics that are commonly used in case of CRBSI caused by gentamicin-

88 resistant strains, such as vancomycin or amikacin.[4,19,20]

89

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions. Between February 2009 and October 2010, we conducted a prospective study in Beaujon Hospital, a tertiary teaching hospital, during which 72 patients were included with a diagnosis of TIVAP-related infection.[18] Bacterial strains were collected and stored at -80°C. For the present study, we decided to focus on patients included with a diagnosis of TIVAP-related BSI, *i.e.* the most relevant clinical indication for ALT (**Supplementary Figure 1**).[4,8] We identified 43 cases of TIVAP-related BSI diagnosed at Beaujon and restricted our study to the most frequent bacterial pathogens responsible for CRBSI: Enterobacteriaceae, coagulase-negative staphylococci, *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa* and *Enterococcus faecalis*.[18] Among our strains, some did not resume growth when bacterial stocks were streaked on blood agar plates. As a result, we recovered 32 strains that have been further studied (**Table 1**).

Gram-positive bacteria (*S. aureus*, coagulase-negative staphylococci, *E. faecalis*) were grown in tryptic soy broth (TSB) supplemented with 0.25% (or 0.5% for *E. faecalis*) glucose (TSB glucose). Gram-negative bacteria (*P. aeruginosa* and Enterobacteriaceae) were grown in Lysogeny Broth (LB).[21] Unless specified, all chemicals and antibiotics were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). EDTA was prepared as follow. Briefly, 0.5M stock solution of disodium EDTA was prepared in water. Then, NaOH was added dropwise in order to reach a pH of ~8. EDTA was used at the final concentration of 30 mg/mL.

Determination of minimal inhibitory concentration Minimal inhibitory concentrations (MIC) were determined by broth microdilution in cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth, as recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI).[22,23] Stationary phase cultures were diluted 1:100 in fresh media and cultured at 37°C with agitation until reaching exponential growth. Then, bacterial inoculum was standardized up to a final concentration of

115 5.10^5 cfu/mL and exposed to serially diluted concentrations of antibiotics. Gentamicin and

116 vancomycin were tested for Gram-positive bacteria. Gentamicin and amikacin were tested for

117 Gram-negative bacteria. MIC was defined as the first well with no visible bacterial growth.

118 The final value was the mean of 3 independent experiments. We used CLSI thresholds to

119 define if a strain was susceptible or resistant towards one of the tested antibiotics (**Table 1**).[23]

120

121 ***In vitro* biofilm formation.** *In vitro* biofilms were grown in triplicate for 24 hours (*S. aureus,*

122 *S. epidermidis, E. faecalis* and Enterobacteriaceae) or 48 hours (*P. aeruginosa*) on UV-

123 sterilized polyvinyl chloride (PVC) 96-well plates (Thermo Scientific, Rochester, NY), as

124 previously described.[13,24] Briefly, stationary phase cultures were diluted up to OD_{600nm} of 0.05

125 in fresh media and 100μL of this inoculum was used in each well. Gram-positive bacterial

126 biofilms were grown in TSB supplemented with 0.25% (or 0.5% for *E. faecalis*) glucose.

127 Gram-negative bacterial biofilms were grown in LB broth. After 24 hours (or 48 hours for *P.*

128 *aeruginosa*), planktonic bacteria were removed by 1X PBS washing and biofilms treated for

129 24 hours using different lock solutions (see below). After 24 hours, each well was washed

130 twice with 1X PBS to remove planktonic bacteria and excess antibiotics and surviving cfu

131 were quantified with a vigorous step of pipetting up and down in order to detach all biofilm

132 bacteria from the surface of the wells. cfu were compared to 24h biofilms and expressed as %

133 of survival.[13,24] For *S. aureus*, coagulase-negative staphylococci and Enterobacteriaceae, we

134 decided to retain only the 4 most tolerant strains (*i.e.* the strains with the highest percentage of

135 bacterial survival) after a 24-h exposure to the bactericidal antibiotic gentamicin at 5 mg/mL,

136 a concentration that is usually recommended as ALT (**Supplementary Figure 2**).[8] Then,

137 biofilms formed by the selected strains were treated using the same procedure with the

138 following combinations: fresh media (control), gentamicin alone (5 mg/mL), EDTA alone (30

139 mg/mL), L-arginine alone (0.4%), gentamicin (5 mg/mL) + EDTA (30 mg/mL)

140   (GEN+EDTA), gentamicin (5 mg/mL) + L-arginine (0.4%) (GEN+L-arg). We also tested

141   amikacin (5 mg/mL, for Gram-negative bacteria) or vancomycin (5 mg/mL, for Gram-

142   positive bacteria) alone or associated with EDTA (30 mg/mL).

143

144   ***Statistical analysis.*** Each experiment was performed at least 3 times. Wilcoxon Mann-

145   Whitney test (included in Graphpad Prism Version 5.04) was used to compare mortality of

146   biofilm bacteria between each type of treatment. Different treatment groups were considered

147   statistically different if p values were lower than 0.05. The combination of an antibiotic and

148   an adjuvant was considered active if biofilm survival was significantly reduced, when

149   compared with antibiotic treatment alone.

150

Results

EDTA-gentamicin lock is active against most tested clinical strains.

We first compared the activity of gentamicin alone or GEN+EDTA locks against *in vitro* biofilm formed in microtiter plate assay by clinical strains responsible for CRBSI. We observed that all tested strains exhibited various degrees of tolerance towards antibiotics with 0.01 to 50% of bacterial survival after a 24-h exposure to 5 mg/mL of gentamicin. Among the 18 tested clinical strains, all but one *P. aeruginosa* strain exhibited a significant reduction of bacterial survival when disodium EDTA was added to gentamicin (**Figure 1 to 5**). The effect was also seen against highly tolerant strains, *i.e.* strains with high % of survival when exposed to high concentration of gentamicin alone. For instance, even if 50% of *S. epidermidis* strain 50 biofilm bacteria survived after gentamicin challenge, the adjunction of EDTA increased bacterial mortality by 3-log (**Figure 2A**). Similar findings were made with highly tolerant strains of *S. aureus* (**Figure 1A**), *E. faecalis* (**Figure 3A**), *P. aeruginosa* (**Figure 4D**) and Enterobacteriaceae (**Figure 5A and D**). Lastly, the effect could also be seen against resistant strains, such as *P. aeruginosa* strain 32.

Taken together, these results demonstrate that the adjunction of disodium EDTA significantly increases the effect of gentamicin against biofilms formed by almost all tested strains of bacterial pathogens responsible for CRBSI, including highly tolerant or resistant bacteria.

The combination of L-arginine and gentamicin is active against all tested pathogens but *S. epidermidis*.

Against *S. aureus*, *E. faecalis,* Enterobacteriaceae and *P. aeruginosa*, GEN+L-arg lock is active against almost all tested strains, with the exception of one strain of *K. pneumoniae* (**Figure 1, 3, 4 and 5**). Conversely, the adjunction of L-arginine to gentamicin did not increase the effect of antibiotic alone against *S. epidermidis* (**Figure 2**). Against *S. aureus* or

176 *P. aeruginosa*, no significant difference could be seen regarding the reduction of biofilm

177 survival comparing GEN+EDTA and GEN+L-arg locks (**Figure 1 and 4**).

178 Taken together, these results demonstrate that even if GEN+L-arg lock is active against *S.*

179 *aureus*, *E. faecalis,* Enterobacteriaceae and *P. aeruginosa,* it does not significantly increase

180 the effect of gentamicin against coagulase-negative staphylococci.

181

182 **Use of EDTA also increases the efficiency of alternative antibiotic locks.**

183 We then tested the effect of vancomycin or amikacin alone or associated with EDTA against

184 our array of clinical strains to compare their activity on antibiotic resistant or susceptible

185 bacteria.[19,20] We focused our study on EDTA, as it exhibited the wider spectrum of action.

186 Against *S. aureus* or *E. faecalis*, gentamicin was associated with higher mortality of biofilm

187 bacteria, as compared with vancomycin in all tested strains but 1 *S. aureus* (**Figure 1 and 3**).

188 Conversely, against *S. epidermidis*, vancomycin was more active than gentamicin in 2 strains,

189 less active in 1 strain and equally active in 1 strain (**Figure 2**). Against Gram-positive

190 bacteria, the adjunction of EDTA increased mortality of biofilm bacteria, in all cases (**Figure**

191 **1 to 3**). However, in the case of *S. aureus*, the effect was related only to the effect of EDTA

192 alone, as demonstrated by the absence of a significant difference between EDTA and

193 VAN+EDTA (**Figure 1**). Conversely, against coagulase-negative staphylococci and *E.*

194 *faecalis*, VAN+EDTA was more active than EDTA alone (**Figure 2 and 3**).

195 Against Gram-negative bacteria, amikacin was as active as gentamicin in 5/8 strains and more

196 active than gentamicin in 3/8 strains (**Figure 4 and 5**). The adjunction of EDTA to amikacin

197 increased the mortality of biofilm bacteria in 50% of cases (2 strains of *P. aeruginosa* and 2

198 strains of Enterobacteriaceae).

199 Taken together, these results demonstrated that the adjunction of EDTA to vancomycin or

200 amikacin increased mortality of biofilm bacteria in a majority of clinical strains.

201

Discussion

The recent identification of gentamicin-based catheter locks (associated with EDTA or L-arginine) leading to fast and long-lasting eradication of biofilms formed by Gram-positive and Gram-negative pathogens suggests that these locks could be successfully used in clinical situations.[12,13] However, these adjuvant strategies were only tested on a limited number of laboratory bacterial strains and testing the efficiency of these locks against a wide and clinically relevant panel of strains responsible for CRBSI constitutes a mandatory preliminary towards potential clinical study. Here, we tested these 2 adjuvant strategies combining EDTA or L-arginine with aminoglycosides against 18 strains collected during a prospective study, specifically designed to study the clinical outcome after CRBSI.[18] We demonstrated that the adjuvant gentamicin + EDTA strategy was effective on a broader spectrum of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial pathogens as compared to the adjuvant gentamicin + L-arginine strategy. Additionally, we showed that efficiency of other aminoglycosides such as amikacin (in Gram-negative bacteria) and vancomycin (in Gram-positive bacteria) are also potentiated by EDTA adjunction.

More specifically, we observed that the adjunction of EDTA significantly increases the effect of gentamicin against all tested strains but one *P. aeruginosa*. However, in this later strain, we also observed a trend toward a higher activity when GEN+EDTA was compared to gentamicin alone (p=0.073). We previously demonstrated that GEN+EDTA used as ALT was amenable to clinical studies as it eradicated biofilms formed by bacterial nosocomial pathogens.[12] Furthermore, another group also reported that GEN+EDTA was a promising combination for biofilm eradication.[15] The potentiation of gentamicin effect is very likely due to the ability of cation chelator to destabilize the biofilm matrix or because of a direct bactericidal effect of EDTA against biofilm bacteria.[14,25] In the present study, the fact that we do not reach biofilm eradication during *in vitro* experiments is very likely due to the short

227 course of lock treatment (24 hours), as compared to *in vivo* experiments (at least 5 days), as

228 well as the presence of the immune system *in vivo* that may favor clearance of biofilm

229 bacteria when weakened by the treatment. To date, no *in vitro*, *in vivo* or clinical data support

230 the use of ALT during only one day. So far, a possible limitation for the use of EDTA is its

231 commercial availability that is restricted to its association with minocycline.

232 We also tested another strategy using L-arginine as an adjuvant to gentamicin in order

233 to increase bacterial persisters' mortality within biofilms.[13] Whereas L-arginine efficiently

234 increased gentamicin activity against most tested bacteria, we did not observe any gentamicin

235 potentiation against *S. epidermidis*. As *S. epidermidis* is a frequent pathogen in case of

236 CRBSI, this limitation is important and should be taken into account before considering any

237 clinical studies. One possible explanation regarding this observation is the frequent carriage

238 of ACME (arginine catabolic mobile element) by coagulase-negative staphylococci. ACME

239 frequently includes *arc,* a gene cluster encoding a complete additional arginine deiminase

240 pathway.[26,27] ACME is found in more than 65% of methicillin-susceptible or resistant *S.*

241 *epidermidis* strains.[26,28] Hence, one can hypothesize that, in *S. epidermidis*, increased arginine

242 metabolism could reduce its adjuvant effect. Indeed, ACME is less frequently found in *S.*

243 *aureus*, as compared with coagulase-negative staphylococci.[26,29]

244 We also observed an important variability between different strains within a single

245 species regarding the effects of antibiotics alone or the magnitude of the synergistic effect.

246 This observation highlights the importance of testing any candidate compound or combination

247 against multiple strains representative of each bacterial species to rule out any strain-specific

248 effect.

249 Three percents of methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* and 11% of methicillin-resistant *S.*

250 *aureus* were found to be gentamicin-resistant in a recent survey of hospital-acquired

251 infections in Texas.[19] In Canadian intensive care units, 8% of *E. coli* and 32% of *P.*

252   *aeruginosa* were gentamicin-resistant.[20] More strikingly, 60% of *S. epidermidis* responsible

253   for bloodstream infections in Germany were gentamicin-resistant.[30] Thus, identifying the

254   most active approach against gentamicin-resistant bacteria is essential. *In vivo*, we previously

255   showed that a 5-day GEN+EDTA ALT procedure allows the eradication of biofilm formed by

256   gentamicin-resistant *S. aureus.*[12] Here, we also noticed that a synergistic effect could be seen

257   *in vitro* between gentamicin and EDTA against gentamicin-resistant or intermediate strains,

258   as shown with *P. aeruginosa* strain 32 and 35 or *S. epidermidis* strain 50. However, even with

259   these strains, GEN+EDTA was still the most active combination. These data suggest that

260   GEN+EDTA ALT could be used in case of gentamicin-resistant strain, even if more

261   experimental data are required to confirm what is the best therapeutic strategy in this

262   situation. Additionally, we wanted to study the efficiency of other clinically relevant

263   combinations, such as AMK+EDTA against Gram-negative bacteria or VAN+EDTA against

264   Gram-positive bacteria. Against Gram-positive bacteria, we noticed that the adjunction of

265   EDTA increased biofilm mortality, in all cases. An effect was also seen against *S. epidermidis*

266   strain 53, despite a high vancomycin MIC, confirming that planktonic bacteria-based

267   antibiotic susceptibility tests do not predict biofilm tolerance towards antibiotics. Against

268   Gram-negative bacteria, a synergy between EDTA and amikacin was noticed in 50% of cases

269   and no antagonism was seen. Such an effect was also observed even in the case of an

270   amikacin-intermediate strain, such as *P. aeruginosa* strain 32. Such locks could potentially be

271   used in case of resistance towards gentamicin.

272   Few studies compared the activity of gentamicin to other drugs against biofilms using

273   a standardized method. Against *S. epidermidis* and *S. aureus*, it has been shown that

274   vancomycin was more active that gentamicin in biofilm setting.[31,32] However, *in vivo*,

275   gentamicin at 40 mg/mL was shown to be more active that vancomycin at 2 mg/mL against *S.*

276   *aureus.*[33] In the present study, gentamicin was more active than vancomycin against *S. aureus*

277 or *E. faecalis* biofilms. The results were less clear-cut in the case of *S. epidermidis*, since

278 vancomycin was more active than gentamicin in 2 strains, less active in 1 strain and equally

279 active in 1 strain. Against Gram-negative bacteria, amikacin was as active as gentamicin in 5

280 out of 8 strains but more active than gentamicin in the remaining 3 strains. However, no other

281 *in vitro* or *in vivo* study compared the activity of gentamicin to amikacin as locks against

282 Gram-negative bacteria.

283 To note, the characteristics of the surface that is used for biofilm formation might

284 influence the phenotype of tolerance towards antibiotics. In our case, the surface of the 96-

285 well PVC plates differs from that of a silicone catheter and might be a limitation of the

286 present study. Other technical limitations are the use of vigorous pipetting up and down for

287 cfu quantification and the assessment of bacterial mortality at a single time point.

288

289 In conclusion, our data demonstrate that EDTA acts synergistically with gentamicin to kill

290 biofilms formed by bacterial strains responsible for CRBSI. A clinical study assessing the

291 potential of GEN+EDTA as a lock therapy is now warranted.

292

293

References

1 Messing B, Peitra-Cohen S, Debure A, et al. Antibiotic-lock technique: a new approach to optimal therapy for catheter-related sepsis in home-parenteral nutrition patients. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 1988; **12:** 185-9.

2 Fernandez-Hidalgo N, Almirante B, Calleja R, et al. Antibiotic-lock therapy for long-term intravascular catheter-related bacteraemia: results of an open, non-comparative study. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2006; **57:** 1172-80.

3 Fortun J, Grill F, Martin-Davila P, et al. Treatment of long-term intravascular catheter-related bacteraemia with antibiotic-lock therapy. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2006; **58:** 816-21.

4 Lebeaux D, Fernandez-Hidalgo N, Chauhan A, et al. Management of infections related to totally implantable venous-access ports: challenges and perspectives. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2014; **14:** 146-59.

5 Costerton JW, Stewart PS, Greenberg EP. Bacterial biofilms: a common cause of persistent infections. *Science* 1999; **284:** 1318-22.

6 Lebeaux D, Ghigo JM, Beloin C. Biofilm-Related Infections: Bridging the Gap between Clinical Management and Fundamental Aspects of Recalcitrance toward Antibiotics. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 2014; **78:** 510-43.

7 Lewis K. Multidrug tolerance of biofilms and persister cells. *Current topics in microbiology and immunology* 2008; **322:** 107-31.

8 Mermel LA, Allon M, Bouza E, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of intravascular catheter-related infection: 2009 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009; **49:** 1-45.

9 Reimund JM, Arondel Y, Finck G, et al. Catheter-related infection in patients on home parenteral nutrition: results of a prospective survey. *Clin Nutr* 2002; **21:** 33-8.

10   Rijnders BJ, Van Wijngaerden E, Vandecasteele SJ, et al. Treatment of long-term intravascular catheter-related bacteraemia with antibiotic lock: randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2005; **55:** 90-4.

11   Funalleras G, Fernandez-Hidalgo N, Borrego A, et al. Effectiveness of antibiotic-lock therapy for long-term catheter-related bacteremia due to Gram-negative bacilli: a prospective observational study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2011; **53:** e129-32.

12   Chauhan A, Lebeaux D, Ghigo JM, et al. Full and broad-spectrum *in vivo* eradication of catheter-associated biofilms using gentamicin-EDTA antibiotic lock therapy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2012; **56:** 6310-8.

13   Lebeaux D, Chauhan A, Letoffe S, et al. pH-Mediated Potentiation of Aminoglycosides Kills Bacterial Persisters and Eradicates In Vivo Biofilms. *J Infect Dis* 2014; **210:** 1357-66.

14   Turakhia MH, Cooksey KE, Characklis WG. Influence of a calcium-specific chelant on biofilm removal. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1983; **46:** 1236-8.

15   Bookstaver PB, Williamson JC, Tucker BK, et al. Activity of novel antibiotic lock solutions in a model against isolates of catheter-related bloodstream infections. *Ann Pharmacother* 2009; **43:** 210-9.

16   Raad II, Fang X, Keutgen XM, et al. The role of chelators in preventing biofilm formation and catheter-related bloodstream infections. *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 2008; **21:** 385-92.

17   Chauhan A, Lebeaux D, Decante B, et al. A rat model of central venous catheter to study establishment of long-term bacterial biofilm and related acute and chronic infections. *PloS one* 2012; **7:** e37281.

18   Lebeaux D, Larroque B, Gellen-Dautremer J, et al. Clinical outcome after a totally implantable venous access port-related infection in cancer patients: a prospective study and review of the literature. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2012; **91:** 309-18.

19   Hulten KG, Kaplan SL, Lamberth LB, et al. Hospital-acquired *Staphylococcus aureus* infections at Texas Children's Hospital, 2001-2007. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2010; **31:** 183-90.

20   Zhanel GG, DeCorby M, Laing N, et al. Antimicrobial-resistant pathogens in intensive care units in Canada: results of the Canadian National Intensive Care Unit (CAN-ICU) study, 2005-2006. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2008; **52:** 1430-7.

21   Bertani G. Lysogeny at mid-twentieth century: P1, P2, and other experimental systems. *Journal of Bacteriology* 2004; **186:** 595-600.

22   Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. *Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria that Grow Aerobically; Approved Standard-Eigth Edition. M07-A8.* CLSI, Wayne, PA, USA, 2009.

23   Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twentieth Informational Supplement. M100-S20.* CLSI, Wayne, PA, USA, 2010.

24   Bernier SP, Lebeaux D, DeFrancesco AS, et al. Starvation, together with the SOS response, mediates high biofilm-specific tolerance to the fluoroquinolone ofloxacin. *PLoS Genet* 2013; **9:** e1003144.

25   Banin E, Brady KM, Greenberg EP. Chelator-induced dispersal and killing of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cells in a biofilm. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2006; **72:** 2064-9.

26   Barbier F, Lebeaux D, Hernandez D, et al. High prevalence of the arginine catabolic mobile element in carriage isolates of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2011; **66:** 29-36.

27   Diep BA, Otto M. The role of virulence determinants in community-associated MRSA pathogenesis. *Trends Microbiol* 2008; **16:** 361-9.

28   Miragaia M, de Lencastre H, Perdreau-Remington F, et al. Genetic diversity of arginine catabolic mobile element in *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. *PloS one* 2009; **4:** e7722.

29   Planet PJ, LaRussa SJ, Dana A, et al. Emergence of the epidemic methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strain USA300 coincides with horizontal transfer of the arginine catabolic mobile element and *speG*-mediated adaptations for survival on skin. *mBio* 2013; **4:** e00889-13.

30   von Eiff C, Reinert RR, Kresken M, et al. Nationwide German multicenter study on prevalence of antibiotic resistance in staphylococcal bloodstream isolates and comparative in vitro activities of quinupristin-dalfopristin. *J Clin Microbiol* 2000; **38:** 2819-23.

31   Curtin J, Cormican M, Fleming G, et al. Linezolid compared with eperezolid, vancomycin, and gentamicin in an *in vitro* model of antimicrobial lock therapy for S*taphylococcus epidermidis* central venous catheter-related biofilm infections. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2003; **47:** 3145-8.

32   Lee JY, Ko KS, Peck KR, et al. *In vitro* evaluation of the antibiotic lock technique (ALT) for the treatment of catheter-related infections caused by staphylococci. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2006; **57:** 1110-5.

33   Fernández-Hidalgo N, Gavaldà J, Almirante B, et al. Evaluation of linezolid, vancomycin, gentamicin and ciprofloxacin in a rabbit model of antibiotic-lock technique for *Staphylococcus aureus* catheter-related infection. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2010; **65:** 525-30.