The Yield Potential of Improved Varieties of Coconut

D. V. LIYANAGE †, H. LUNTUNGAN ‡ and T. MANKEY ‡

† Project Manager/Breeder, UNDP/FAO Coconut Development Project,
Indonesia from 1973 to 1981. Present Address: Chairman
Coconut Research Board, Lunuwila, Sri Lanka.

‡ Coconut Breeders, Lembaga Penelitian Tanaman Industry, Bogor and Manado, Indonesia.

ABSTRACT

The analyses of yield data collected from 986 progenies of Indonesian Tall × Indonesian Tall showed their high production capability: 123 nuts/32.29 kg copra per palm/yr. Six of them produced 168 nuts/58.11 kg copra per palm/yr. Two families showed general combining ability and no inbreeding depression. They will be very useful for breeding for high yield of copra.

INTRODUCTION

High-yielding mother palms of Indonesian Tall (IT) (Cocos nucifera, var typica, form typica) have been selected by P. M. L. Tammes from coconut groves in the village gardens near Manado, North Sulawesi Indonesia. He planted their open-pollinated progenies in 1926 and 1927 at the Coconut Experimental Station, Mapanget, North Sulawesi (Tammes, 1958). This constitutes the first generation of palms.

Subsequently, A.F. Innes used the Mapanget population for a crossing programme and planted the progenies between 1957 and 1959 at Kima Atas Experimental Station, near Manado, North Sulawesi—the second generation.

A large number of palms are dead. H. Soedasrip and T. Toar at the Manado Branch of the Lembaga Penelitian Tanaman Industry have collected whatever data available regarding the Kima Atas population of palms and re-constructed the layout of the trials and the numbering of the palms. The results presented in this paper are based on these records. Further, it is a continuation of the series. Coconut Breeding in Indonesia-1 (Liyanage, etal 1986).

The climatic conditions at Kima Atas are satisfactory for coconut cultivation. The soil is fertile being of volcanic origin. The plantation has been neglected over the years until management practices with application of NPK fertilizer were introduced in 1975.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Numbering of palms

The system of numbering of palms adapted by Tammes in the Mapanget population is unique, eg 32 g 11, 83 f IV, etc.

32 g II: represents seedling number g derived from mother palm number 32 and planted in block number 11.

83 f IV: similarly, seedling f from mother 83 planted in block IV.

Material

The Kima Atas population is composed on 11 field trials covering 986 palms (Appendix 1). The trials are as follows:

Trials A I, A II ... 4 half sibs crossed to another half sib and 3 other palms.

"BI ... 3 half sibs crossed to 2 half sibs and another palm.

,, B II, B III .. reciprocal crosses between two half sibs.

,, CI .. 6 half sibs crossed to 3 half sibs and 3 other palms.

,, C II .. 5 half sibs crossed to 2 half sibs and 3 other palms.

" DI, DII ... 4 half sibs crossed to 1 half sib and 3 other palms.

" EI ... 4 palms crossed to other palms.

" FI ... 5 palms crossed to other palms.

Half sibs are taken as open-pollinated progenies of a single palm, eg half sibs of palm no. 1: I c II, I g III, I h III, etc.

Method of recording

Yield records of the 986 palms at Kima Atas were kept from August 1975 to July 1977. Data recorded at each pick were number of bunches, number of nuts and weight of husked-nuts. Six picks were harvested each year at bimonthly intervals. A sample of 5 husked-nuts taken at random from each pick was weighed. The total weight of husked-nuts at each pick per palm was calculated on the basis of the sample weight. Then 100 husked-nuts taken at random from each trial was weighed and turned into copra. The relationship between husked-nuts and weight of copra was 26 per cent. This ratio was used to convert husked-nut weight into copra.

Prior to commencement of yield recording, it was reported that there was considerable theft of nuts at Kima Atas. In order to reduce the error in yield data due to the loss of nuts, the following procedure was adapted.

Prior to the first yield recording, the bunches on the crown of each palm were numbered serially 1, 2, 3 beginning with the oldest bunch and ending with the inflorescence just open. Then the number of fruits/female flowers on each bunch was recorded and was continued at six- monthly intervals.

If there was a loss of nuts or bunches from any palm, an adjustment in the yield data of that palm was made as follows. Say, the bunch marked 10 has been removed. The number of female flowers borne on that bunch is available. The mean percentage of female flowers developed into fruits of the 9th and 11th bunches was calculated and the number of fruits on the 10th bunch was estimated on that basis. The weight of huskednuts was also recorded on a similar basis. Fortunately as loss of nuts was negligible, it was not necessary to apply this formula.

Statistical analyses

The field trials have been planted in a randomized lay:-out, presumably with an equal number of progenies per treatment. However, due to the neglect of the plantation, a number of palms are dead resulting in fewer than four progenies in some treatments. Consequently statistical analyses was done on a full randomization basis. The statistical analyses was restricted to yield of copra, as it is the most important economic character of the palm. Statistical significance is indicated in the following pages as significant at P=0.05, **significant at P=0.01, ***significant at P=0.001, ns=denotes not significant.

RESULTS

The summary of data collected for the two years 1975/76 and 1976/77 is presented in Table 1.

		Per	Palm Per	Year	Calculated	d yield ha/yr
Trial No.	No. of palms	No. of bunches	No. of nuts	Weight of copra(kg)	No. of nuts	Weight of copra(kg)
ΑI	121	15.4	108	29.15	13,284	3,585
A II	118	15.8	124	33.28	15,252	4,093
ВІ	78	15.3	125	35.35	15,375	4,340
BII	59	15.6	111	25.16	13,653	3,095
ВШ	42	16.5	130	34.14	15,990	4,199
C 1	141	16.3	134	33.33	16,482	4,100
CII	73	15.9	125	31.63	15,375	3,890
DΙ	50	16.1	119	32.35	14,637	3,979
DII	62	14.9	114	36.61	14,022	4,503
ΕI	149	16.0	127	30.94	18,161	4,424
FI	93	15.9	124	34.49	15,252	4,242
Population mean	(986)	15.9	123	32.29	15,129	3,972

Table 1. Mean yield of kima atas Trial

(Trial E I has 143 palms per hectare, all the others 123 palms per hectare)

The analysis of variance done for each trial separately with respect to copra production, showed that there were significant differences between treatments only in 5 out of 11 trials. They are:

For definition of Cross A, B etc., see Appendix I.

Generally, the out-crossed progenies are superior to those of sib matings.

When the data of 11 field trials at Kima Atas are regrouped, six families could be distinguished, each having selfed and out-crossed progenies (Table 2). Selfed is taken as crosses between half sibs, and out crossed as crosses between unrelated palms.

eg selfed : I c II × 1 g III out-crossed : I h IV × 2 e II

Family No 1 has originated from palm No. 1 of IT selected by Tammes from the village groves and similarly for the others.

The out-crossed progenies in families 1,2 and 99 have given significantly more copra than the selfed, indicating a loss in vigour due to selfing.

Table 2. Data of kima atas palms on a family basis

						Mean per palm pe	r year		
			No. of	No. of	No. of	Weigh	t of copra		Inbreeding
Fa	mily No.		Progenies	bunches	nuts	kg	t value	CV%	depsession %
1	selfed out-crossed		34 138	16 16		16.43 ± 1.20 10.18 ± 0.60	2.79**	26.5 23.3	12.4
2	Selfed Out-crossed	•••	25 14 0	16 16		28.89 ± 1.34 33.65 ± 0.74	3.11**	23.2 26.0	14.1
32	Selfed Out-crossed	•••	11 57	15 16		36.38 ± 2.27 36.26 ± 1.01	0.02	20.7 21.0	nill
55	Selfed Out-crossed	•••	46 148	16 15		$\begin{array}{c} 3.44 \pm 1.06 \\ 2.62 \pm 0.57 \end{array}$	0.56	21.6 21.4	nill
83	Selfed Out-crossed	•••	49 98	16 15		55.80 ± 1.01 55.46 ± 0.83	0.20	9.17 23.3	nill
99	Selfed Out-crossed	•••	70 147	16 16		$\begin{array}{c} 9.85 \pm 0.83 \\ 4.95 \pm 0.60 \end{array}$	4.90***	23.2 20.7	14.6

Table 3. Yield data of progenies of reciprocal crosses

Trial		No. of	No. of	No. of	Weig	ht of copra	
No.	Cross	progenies	bunches	nuts	kg	t value	CV %
BII	80 b lll x 80 c lla 80 c lla x 80 b lll	27 32	16 14		1.35 ± 1.00 1.70 ± 1.29	1.25	22.3 27.4
B 111	82 f lla x 82 h lla 82 h lla x 82 f lla	25 17	17 18	129 34	.01 ± 1.60 .36 ± 0.94	0.43	22.0 11.0

Table 4. Exceptionally high-yielding palms at kima atas

Block	Palm		Pe	er palm per y	ear	Copra
No.	No.	Cross	Bunches	Nuts	Copra kg	per nut (g)
A II	276	55 e lla x 55 g lla	15	150	55.69	370
Bl	437	83 c lla x 83 f lll	18	173	54.04	310
DI	1,455	31 f IV x 55 g lla	18	180	68.87	380
D II	1,668	32 g ll x 83 g lll	19	148	52.16	350
Dil	1,686	- do -	18	187	52.90	280
Fl	2,379	1205/33 x 1230/33	17	170	64.97	380
		Mean	18	168	58.11	345

There are two field trials covering reciprocal crosses between half sibs (Table 3).

The difference between reciprocal crosses with respect to yield of copra is not significant.

DISCUSSION

Yield potential

The Kima Atas population of palms has given 123 nuts/32, 3 kg copra per palm/yr., equivalent to 15,130 nuts/3,970 kg copra per ha/yr. with a low density of 123 palms per ha. Further, each nut has given 260 g of copra. These figures are generally far above the data for the typica palms reported so far for a large population of palms.

The present tendency is to plant 170 palms per ha. The estimated yield of Kima Atas palms on that basis will be about 18,000 nuts ha/yr, after allowing a 15% drop in the yield of the individual palms owing to the higher density of plants.

A population of 5,700 progenies of Sri Lankan Tall \times Sri Lankan Tall growing at the Seed Garden in Sri Lanka, has given an average yield (5-year period) of 18,000 nuts ha/yr, with a range of 17,500 to 25,000, under rainfed conditions. The variations are related to the rainfall pattern. The block has 165 palms per/ha.

The Indonesian and Sri Lankan examples cited above clearly demonstrate the high yield potential of improved varieties of the typica var of coconuts.

There are six palms amongst the Kima Atas population that have given more than 52 kg copra per palm/yr: (Table 4). They have produced per palm/yr: 18 bunches and 168 nuts /58 kg copra with 345 g copra per nut. The best yielder recorded 180 nuts/69 kg copra per year.

General combining ability

It is possible to assess the general combining ability of a coconut palm by crossing it to a number of unrelated palms and studying the family mean realative to the F 1 population mean.

The Kima Atas population has progenies of half sibs crossed to the other palms, which are distributed over a number of field trials. They are grouped into six families (Table 2). Comparision of the family means (yield of copra) using the 't' test gave the following results:

Family Number	• •	32	83	99	2	55	1
32		_	ns	ns	*	**	***
83		ns		ns	ns	**	***
99		ns	ns	_	ns	**	***
2		*	ns	ns	_	ns	***
55		**	**	**	ns		**
1	• •	***	***	***	***	**	-
Copra per palm (kg) (out-cro	ossed)	36.26	35.46	34.95	33.65	32.62	30.18
Inbreeding depression (%)		nil	nil	14.6	14.6	nil	12.4

Yield of copra per palm/yr is not significant between the three families 32, 83 and 99: 32 has given significantly more copra than 2, 55 and 1; 83 more than 55 and 1. Families 32 and 83 do not show an inbreeding depression (see section 5.3). Further, amongst the best six exceptionally high yielding palms at Kima Atas (Table 4), two of them have been derived by crossing half sibs of 32 and 83. Therefore, the parental palms 32 and 83 could be broadly classified as having general combining ability, broadly because half sibs are involved in the study.

Inbreeding depression

If matings between half sibs are considered to be selfings, then the inbreeding depression could be calculated from the Kima Atas population (Table 2) as follows:

The inbreeding coefficient has varied from 0 to 15%. Inbreeding depression was shown in families 1, 2 and 99, but not in families 32, 55 and 83. It could be concluded that the high yield (copra) in the latter group is not due to heterosis effects, probably to the cumulative effect of additive genes.

The selfed and out-crossed progenies of families 32 and 83 have given 10% more copra than the population, but not 55% considering these factors, families 32 and 83 are composed of valuable genetic material, suitable for breeding for high yield of copra and they should be preserved.

The potential of progenies of 32×83

Fortunately, there are 24 progenies of the cross 32 f 11×83 g III in the Kima Atas population. They have given:

16 bunches per palm/yr 134 nuts ,, 38.95 kg copra ,,

291 g copra per nut

The above production amounts to 16,500 nuts/4,800 kg copra per ha/yr based on the density of 123 palms per ha. It could be assumed that with a larger density of palms, say 170 palms per ha, production will reach 19,500 nuts/5,600 kg copra per ha/yr, allowing a 15% drop in yield per palm due to the higher density.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the contributions made by the following:

A. F. Innes, who planted the field trials.

Staff of the Research Institute for Industrial Crops (LPTI), Indonesia; particularly Messrs Hasman Azis, Ch. P. Corputty, H. Soedasrip, A. Djisbar, T. Toar and Ms S. Sufiani and E. Earmawati.

United Nations Development Programme and the Food and Agriculture Organisation.

REFERENCES

Liyanage, D. V., Mankey, T., Luntungan, H., Djisbar, H. and Sufiani, S., (1986). Coconut breeding in Indonesia—1, Cocos, 4: 1-10.

Tammes, P. N. L. (1958). Review of coconut selection in Indonesia, Euphytica 4: 17-24.

Appendix 1.

Planted July 1957

Trial A I

	Cro	ss					P	alm Nu	mbers				
A B C D	l c II l h IV l c II l g III	x 1 g III x 2 h IVa x 55 g IIa x 36 g IIa		2 51 101 151	3 52 102 152	4 53 104 155	5 54 105 156	6 55 107 158	7 56 108 159	8 57 110 153	9 58	10 59	60
B C D A		x 2 h IVa x 55 g IIa x 36 g IIa x 1 g III	=======================================	61 112 161 11	62 113 164 12	63 114 165 13	64 115 166 14	65 117 167 15	66 118 169 16	67 119 17	68	69 19	70 20
C D A B	l c II l g III l c II l h IV	x 55 g IIa x 36 g IIa x 1 g III x 2 h IVa	- - -	121 171 21 71	122 173 22 72	124 174 23 73	125 176 24 74	126 178 26 75	127 27 76	128 30 78	129	130	
D A B C	l g III l c II l h IV l c II	x 36 g IIa x 1 g III x 2 h IVa x 55 g IIa	_ _ _	182 33 81 132	189 35 82 135	38 83 139	85	86	88	89			
A B C D	l c II l h IV l c II l g III	x i g III x 2 h IVa x 55 gb IIa x 36 g IIa	_ _ _	41 95 144 194	44 99 145	46 146	48 148	50					

Trial A II

Planted 57/58

_														
_	Cross								Po	alm Nu	mbers			
	55 f IVa	x 55	g	IIa		201	202	203	207	208	210			
B	55 e IIa	x 5	5 g	IIa	-	251	252	255	256	259	260	253		
G	55 c IIa				*****	301	303	304	305	306	307	308	309	
D	55 e IIa	x 8	3 f	II	_	351	352	353	354	355	356	357	359	360
В	55 e IIa	x 5		IIa	_	261	262	263	264	269	270			
C	55 c IIa	x 99	ì	IIa	_	311	312	314	315	316	317	318	320	
D	55 e IIa				_	361	364	365	366					
A	55 f IVa	x 5	g	IIa	_	211	215	217					•	
C C	55 c IIa	x 9	9 i	IIa	_	321	322	324	335	326	327	329		
Ď		x 8			_	371	375	376	377	378	380			
A	55 f IVa	x 55	g	IΙa	_	221	222	223	224	225	230			
	55 e IIa				_	271	273	274	276	277	279	280		
– D	55 c IIa	x 8	3 f	II		382	383	385	388	390		*		
	55 f IVa					240								
В	55 c IIa	x 5	Σğ	IIa	_	281	282	283	285	288	290			
C	55 c IIa	x 99	ì	IIa	_	331	333	334	335	336	337	339	340	
<u> —</u>	55 f IVa	x 55	· g	IIa		249	250							
В	55 c IIa	x 55	g	Ιľa	_	295	296	297	299	300				
	55 c IIa	x 99			_	342	343	344	345	346	347	348	349	
D	55 e IIa	x 83	ß	II	_	391	392	393	394	395	396	398	399	400

Planted 57/58 -

Trial B I

	C	ross				<u>-</u>	P	alm Nu	mbers		
A A	83 c II	x 83 g III	_	401	402	405	407	409			
BC	83 f II 83 f IV	x 83 f IV x 55 c II	_	451 501	456 502	457 504	508	509			
_	03 1 11	X 33 C 11			302	301	500	303			
A	83 c II	x 83 g III	-	414	415	417	419				
C	83 f IV	x 55 c III	-	511	513	514	516	517	519		
В	83 f II	x 83 f IV		462	463	470					
— А	83 c II	x 83 g III		421	422	423	424	425	427	429	430
В	83 f II	x 83 f IV	_	472	474	478	480				
C	83 f IV	ж 55 с IIa		521	522	523	524	526	527	529	
B	83 f II	x 83 f IV		481	482	483	485	487	490		
C	83 f IV	x 55 c IIa		533	535	536	537	540			
A	83 c II	x 83 g III		431	432	434	435	436	437	440	
c	83 f IV	x 55 c Iľa		543	544	546	547	548	549		
Ã	83 c II	x 83 g III	_	443	444	445	446	447	450		
В	83 f II	x 83 f IV		491	493	495	499	500			

Planted 57/58

Trial B II

	Cross					P	alm Nu	mbers			
A B	80 b IIIa x 80 c IIa 80 c IIa x 80 b IIIa	=	552 603	554 605	555 606	557 607	558 609	559 610			
	80 b IIIa x 80 c IIa 80 c IIa x 80 b IIIa	=	564 611	565 612	566 613	567 615	568 616	569 617	618	619	620
A B	80 b IIIa x 80 c IIa 80 c IIa x 80 c IIIa	_	572 621	577 622	578 623	579 626	580 628	629	630		
A B	80 b IIIa x 80 c IIa 80 c IIa x 80 c IIIa	_	583 631	586 633	587 634	598 636	638	640			
A B		=	591 642	592 645	595 649	596 650	598	600			

Planted 57/58

Trial B III

	Cn						Paln	n Numb	ers	
A B		x 82 h IIa x 82 f IIa	=	631 710	632 706	633	635	636	638	
A B	82 f IIa 82 h IIa	x 82 h IIa x 82 f IIa		661 714	662 720	663	666	670		
A B		x 82 h IIa x 82 f 1Ia	=	673 726	675 728	676 729	680 730	674		
A B		x 82 h IIa x 82 f IIa	_	682 732	684 736	685 740	687 739	690		
A B		x 82 h IIa x 82 f IIa	=	693 741	696 744	698 746	699 747	750		

Planted 57/58

Trial C I

		Cross						Palm .	Number	s	-	
Ā		x 2 h IVa		851	852	853 903	854	855 908	857 909	858		
B	99 f IVa	x 99 g IIIa x 99 h IIa	_	901 951	902 952	903 954	904 959	960	909	910		
C		x 36 g IIa	_	1001	1008	1009	909	900				
E	99 1 11a,	x 2 d IIIa	_	1054	1055	1005	1058	1060				
F	99 i IIa	x 99 f IVa	_	1101	1102	1106	1108	1109				
_		2 33 1 1 1 4				1100	1100	1103				
E		x 2 d IIIa	-	1061	1063	1068						
D	99 f IIa	ж 36 g IIa	_	1011	1014	1016	1019					
F	99 i IIa	x 99 f 1Va	_	1114	1115	1119	1120					
В	99 f IVa	x 99 g IIIa	_	911	912	913	914	916	917	918	919	920
Ċ	99 f IIa	x 99 h IIa	_	961	962							
A	99 i IIa	x 2 h IVa	_	861	863	864	865	866	867	868	869	
$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$	99 f IIa	x 99 h IIa		972	973	974	975	977	978			
Ă	99 i IIa		_	871	872	873	874	875	876	877	878	880
В		x 99 g IIIa		925	927	930	0	0.0	0.0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0,0	
Ē	99 f IVa	x 2 d IIIa	_	1071	1075	1076	1079					
F	99 i IIa	x 99 f IVa	_	1122	1123	1124	1125	1126	1127	1128	1129	1130
D	99 f IVa	x 36 g IIa	_	1022	1023	1024	1027	1028	1029	1030		
F	99 i IIa	x 99 f IVa		1140		_		-				
Ē		x 2 d IIIa		1083	1085	1086	1087	1089	1090			
ã	99 f IIa		_	1031	1033	1034	1037	1039	1040			
ā	99 f IIa	x 99 h IIa		982	984	986	989					
B	99 f IVa	x 99 g IIIa		934	938							
Ā	99 i IIa	x 2 h IVa	-	881	884	885						
B	99 £ TV	x 99 g IIIa		945	946	947						
F	99 i IIa	x 99 f IVa	_	1148	310	371						
Ċ	99 f IIa	x 99 h IIa		991	993	996	997	998	999	1000		
Ĕ		x 2 d IIIa		1091	1095	1098	1099	1100	555	.000		
Ã	99 i IIa		_	892	894		. 403					
Ď	99 f IIa	x 36 g IIa		1042	1045	1046	1049	1050				
_		00 B 1m										

Planted 57/58

Trial C II

	Cross	-					Palm	Number	r			
A B	2 d IIIa x 2 h IVa 2 c IIa x 2 h IIa		1153 1208	1155 1209	1156 1210	1157	1158	1160				
C	2 d IIIa x 1 h IV 2 h IVa x 99 g IIIa	_	1252 1301	125 4 1302	1256 1304	1258 1306	1259 1308	1260				
Ĕ	2 h IIa x 1 g III	_	1357		1001							
B C D	2 c IIa x 2 h IIa 2 d IIIa x 1 h IV 2 h IVa x 99 g IIIa		1213 1261 1311	1216 1262 1314	1218 1263	1219 1264	1265	1266	1267	1268	1269	
E A	2 h IIa x 1 g III 2 d IIIa x 2 h IVa	_	Pohor 1164	n belaja	ar berb	uah						
CDE	2 d IIIa x 1 h IV 2 h IVa x 99 g IIIa 2 h IIa x 1 g III		1271 1322 1377	1272 1323	1273	1274	1275	1276	1277	1278	1279	1280
A B	2 d IIIa x 2 h IVa 2 c IIa x 2 h IIa	_	1222	1224	1226	1229						
D E	2 h IVa x 99 g IIIa 2 h IIa x 1 g III	_	1333 1381	1335	1336	1337	1339					
A B C	2 d IIIa x 2 h IVa 2 c IIa x 2 h IIa 2 d IIIa x 1 h IV	-	Tak a 1233 1282	ida tar 1235 1285	1286	1287	1288					
EABCD	2 h IIa x l g III 2 d IIIa x 2 h IVa 2 c IIa x 2 h IIa 2 d IIIa x 1 h IV 2 h IVa x 99 g IIIa		1399 1193 1243 1291	1194 1247	1198	1200						

Trial D I

Ci	ross		rs								
A 31 a Ia	x 31 f IV	_	_								
B 31 f IV	x 83 f II		1452	1454	1455	1457	1458	1499			
C 31 a Ia	x lcII	_	1501	1502	1503	1506	1507	1509	1510		
D 31 f IV	x 55 g IIa	_	1552	1553	1555	1556	1557	1558	1559		
B 31 f IV	x 83 f II	_	1461	1462	1463	1364	1365	1466			
C 31 a Ia	x icII	_	1511	1513	1514	1516	1515	1517	1518	1519	1520
D 31 f IV	x 55 g IIa	_	1561	1564	1565	1566	1567	1568	1569	1570	
A 31 a Ia	x 31 f IV	_	1418	1419	1420						
C 31 a Ia	х 1 с П	_	1522	1523	1524	1525					
D 31 f IV	x 55 g IIa	_	1575	1579							
A 31 a Ia	x 31 f IV	_									
B 31 f IV	x 83 f II										

Planted 57/58

Trial D II

	Cr	oss		Palm Numbers									
A	32 g II	x 32 i IV	_	_									
В	32 g II	x 83 g III		1656	1658	1659							
C	32 g II	x 2 h IV	_	1701	1702	1705	1709						
D	32 i IV	x 99 f IIa	_	1751	1753	1754	1755	1758	1759				
— В	32 g II	x 83 g III		1661	1662	1663	1664	1665	1668				
C	32 g II	x 2 h IV	_	1713	1714	1715				,			
	32 i IV	x 99 f IIa	_	1761	1762	1764	1765	1770					
A	32 g II	x 32 i IV	-	1616									
C	32 g II	x 2 h IV	_	_			,						
D	32 i IV	x 99 f IIa		1771	1772	1775							
A	32 g II	x 32 i IV		1621	1624						•		
B	32 g II	x 83 g III	_	1671	1672	1673	1674	1676	1679			•	
D D	32 i IV	x 99 f IIa	_	1781	1787								
A	32 g II	x 32 i IIa	_	1631	1632	1634	1637	1640					
В	32 g II	x 83 g III		1681	1682	1683	1684	1686	1687	1688	1689		
C	32 g II	x 2 h IV	.—	-									
<u> —</u>	32 g II	x 32 i IV	_ :	1646	1648	1650							
B	32 g II	x 83 g III	. —	1691	1692	1694							
C	32 g II	x 2 h IV	· —	-									
	32 i IV	x 99 f IIa	<u> </u>	1791	1794	1796	1799	1800			•		

Planted 57/58

Trial E I

Cross		Patm Numbers									
A 3163 XXXII x 3165 XXXII	_	1802 1815	1805 1816	1807 1817	1808 1818	1809 1821	1810 1822	1811 1823	1812	1814	
B 3181 XXXII x 3183 XXXII	_	1856	1858	1859	1862	1863	1868	1869	1871	1874	
C 3181 XXXII x 1171 XXXIII D 3163 XXXII x 1231 XXXII	_	1908 1960 1978	1909 1963 1979	1912 1964 1980	1916 1965	1923 1966	1924 1971	1972	1973	1975	
E 3183 XXXII x 1922 XXXII		2008 2028	2010	2011	2012	2014	2015	2017	2019	2022	
F 3165 XXXII x 31 a Ia	-	2061 2077	2063 2078	2065 2079	2066 2081	2070 2082	2072	2073	2074	2075	
G 3183 XXXII x 31 a Ia H 3163 XXXII x 32 g II		2120 —	2124	2129	2131	2132					
B 3181 XXXII x 3183 XXXII	_	1878 1894	1879	1880	1881	1886	1888	1889	1890	1891	
C 3181 XXXII x 1171 XXXIII		1931 1944	1932 1948	1934	1935	1938	1939	1940	1941	1943	
D 3163 XXXII x 1231 XXXIII		1983									
F 3165 XXXII x 31 a Ia	_	2086	2087	2088		2091					
G 3183 XXXII x 31 a Ia	-	2138	2143	(2140	hamp	ir robo	h)				
E 3163 XXXII x 32 g II	-										
A 3163 XXXII x 3165 XXXII	_	1824	1826	1829	1831	1833					
E 3183 XXXII x 1922 XXXII		_									

planted 57/58

Trial F I

Cross								Palm Numbers									
A		XXXIII					2323	2324		2332							
B	1205	XXXIII	x 12	30 XX	XIII	_	2366 2378	2369	2371	2372	2373	2374	2375	2376	2377		
C	1231	XXXIII	x 31	63 XXX	XII		2413	2415	2417	2419	2421	2422					
D		XXXIII					2456	2461	2465	2466	2467	2468	2462				
Ē	1922	XXXIII	x 11	71 XX	KIII		2499	2506	2508	2513							
F	628	XXXIII	x 19	90 XXX	III	_	2545	2547	2548	2549	2553						
G		XXXIII					2591	2592	2593	2595							
В	1205	xxxiii	x 12	30 XXX	KIII		2379	2382	2383	2384	2390	2392	~				
С	1231	XXXIII	x 31	53 XXX	ΚΠ		2425	2428	2430	2432	2433	2434	2435	2436	2438		
D		XXXIII					2477	2478	2479								
E		XXXIII					2515 2528	2516	2517	2521	2522	2523	2524	2525	2526		
F		XXXIII			ŒΠ	_	2561	2566	2569								
Ğ	1171	XXXIII	x 32	gII		-	2604	2605							2010		
A	1205	XXXIII	x 12	51 XX2	CIII	_	2334 2347	2336	2337	2338	2339	2340	2341	2345	2346		
_ C	1931	xxxIII	v 316		711		2443	2444	2446	2447							
Ď		XXXIII				_	2484	2485	2489	2490	2491	2402					
E		XXXIII				_	2530	4103	4703	4730	4771	4774					
F		XXXIII				_	_										
Ġ		XXXIII					_										
Ă		XXXIII			ш		_										
В		XXXIII					_										