## **Design Research Society**

## **DRS Digital Library**

**DRS Biennial Conference Series** 

DRS2022: Bilbao

Jun 25th, 9:00 AM

## Schön's Design Inquiry: Reinvigorating the quest for a pragmatist epistemology of practice

Frithjof Wegener Warwick University, UK

Brian Dixon Ulster University, UK

Anna Rylander Eklund Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden

Danielle Lake Elon University, North Carolina, USA

Follow this and additional works at: https://dl.designresearchsociety.org/drs-conference-papers



Part of the Art and Design Commons

#### Citation

Wegener, F., Dixon, B., Eklund, A.R., and Lake, D. (2022) Schön's Design Inquiry: Reinvigorating the quest for a pragmatist epistemology of practice, in Lockton, D., Lenzi, S., Hekkert, P., Oak, A., Sádaba, J., Lloyd, P. (eds.), DRS2022: Bilbao, 25 June - 3 July, Bilbao, Spain. https://doi.org/10.21606/drs.2022.1069

This Miscellaneous is brought to you for free and open access by the DRS Conference Proceedings at DRS Digital Library. It has been accepted for inclusion in DRS Biennial Conference Series by an authorized administrator of DRS Digital Library. For more information, please contact dl@designresearchsociety.org.





## **Editorial:**

# Schön's design inquiry: Reinvigorating the quest for a pragmatist epistemology of practice

Frithjof E. Wegener<sup>a\*</sup>, Brian Dixon b, Anna Rylander Eklund<sup>c</sup>, Danielle Lake<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Warwick University, UK

<sup>b</sup>Ulster University, UK

<sup>c</sup>Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden

<sup>d</sup>Elon University, North Carolina, USA

\*Corresponding author e-mail: Frithjof.Wegener@gmail.com

doi.org/10.21606/drs.2022.1069

Forty years ago, Donald Schön (1983) argued forcefully against technical rationality—the view that practice is merely applied theory—as the foundational perspective for understanding expert professional action. Drawing on the pragmatist philosopher John Dewey's theory of inquiry (1938), his alternative pointed to the possibility of an epistemology of practice, i.e., a theory of how knowing emerges in action. Grounded in a form of what he referred to as 'design inquiry', this epistemology relied on the idea of 'reflection-in-action'—a powerful merging of thought and action, means and ends within the performance a specific task or activity (1983, pp. 68-69). Reflection-in-action, he suggested, "arises momentarily in the midst of a flow of action [then] disappears, giving way to some new event, leaving in its wake, perhaps, a more stable view of the situation" (Schön, 1992, p. 125).

Though now four decades old, Schön's vision still raises questions for the field of design studies today. While reflection-in-action continues to hold conceptual appeal, it remains difficult to research directly and still awaits the formulation of methods/methodologies capable of tracking its instability in the midst of wider flows of activity. Equally, as Schön himself, pointed out in later work (e.g. Schön, 1992; 1995), the epistemology of practice project remains unfinished.

This track invited authors to respond to these latter challenges and develop papers that engage with the classical pragmatists in order to deepen the project that Schön started. There was also the suggestion that contributors might compare Schön's design inquiry with conceptions of design science (e.g., Simon 1969).

We received 11 submissions in total, of which 6 were selected. Surveying the contributions, we were here struck by the deep engagement with the original writings of the pragmatists in evidence, as well as the breath of novel insights and proposals. The final selection highlights



the centrality of the pragmatist perspective to Schön's reflective practice (Schön, 1983; 1992; 1995), with all authors explicitly drawing on and relating their work to classical pragmatist philosophers. Beyond Dewey, reference is made to Charles Peirce (e.g. on abduction and inquiry), George Herbert Mead (e.g. on identity and dialogue), William James (e.g. on process and experience), Mary Parker Follett (on creativity and integration) and Jane Addams (e.g. on practice and experimentation). A number of the track papers also explore the methodological challenges of studying the reflection-in-action inherent in designing. However, our suggestion that contributions might explore design inquiry-science comparisons, received no responses. We believe that this suggests the challenge of working through the complexities of the Schön-design science relationship remains too daunting for many.

Below, we briefly summarize the final track contributions, point to fruitful moments of connection, and thereafter highlight opportunities for future scholarship.

In terms of the general alignment of the contributions we divide the track into two groups. The first group (Chiapello and Bousbaci; Stompff et al.; Hawey) engages more with the epistemological aspects of relating Schön's Design Inquiry (1983) to the wider pragmatist canon and specifically to Dewey's theory of Inquiry (1938).

Here, Chiapello's and Bousbaci's "It's complicated: Dewey, Schön and reflection-in- action" presents a detangled overview of Schön's model of 'reflection on reflection in action' with its various components illustrated and nuances drawn out. What results is a clearer understanding of Schön's contribution as set against his pragmatist roots.

"Touch ground: introducing design inquiry in higher education" by Stompff, Joosten, Prince, Claessens, Geurts, and Köppchen argues Dewey provides an epistemological foundation for design thinking. The authors conclude that reflection-in-action tempts students and coaches to cope in potentially unproductive ways. Instead, they suggest coaches aim to cultivate "a joint practice and a community of learners" in order to ameliorate challenges.

"A Theoretical Model for Studying Design Inquiry in a Real-World Context" by Hawey proposes a five-dimension model for the ethnographic study of design inquiry and 'design-like' inquiry, which allows for the consideration of reflective practice alongside other social and contextual concerns.

The second group of papers (Watson and Dorst; DelSesto; Fjaer Lindland) explores more inclusive methodologies for enacting design inquiry through reflection in action.

"Pragmatism, Design and Public Sector Innovation: Reflections on action" by Watson and Dorst explores the practice a design research center, and its inspiration drawn from pragmatism. The authors highlight the potential for further engagement with pragmatism for public sector innovation by design.

"Remaking the social: Dialogical, creative, and cooperative capacities of thought at Hull House" by DelSesto argues Jane Addams approach to inquiry offers an alternative model to

dominant and extractive research efforts that marginalize and harm communities. This article highlights the need for dialogue across differences for those seeking more inclusive and equitable approaches to design inquiry now.

"Re-framing design and designers: studying design processes through a pragmatist lens" by Lindland argues that cognitivist-inspired linear process models of design thinking fail to capture the core of designer practices and collective moments of creativity as situational and relational. In contrast, she draws on G. H. Mead's work on meaning-making developed through gestural conversations and Dewey's aesthetics to show how social identities contribute to exploring possibilities and limits to what it is.

Reflecting on this final selection, three key insights are drawn out, which in turn point to areas for future research. First, we believe that, together, the contributions demonstrate the continued relevance of Schön's work for the field. What emerges most strongly here is the need for a more critical examination of Schön's Design Inquiry (1983), as well as a deeper engagement with the wider pragmatist paradigm. Links traced to Peirce, James, Parker Follett and Addams enrich the general reflective practice offer and there are still more insights to be gained as we discuss below. Second, following on our call for methodological work for studying design inquiry, the links drawn to its social aspect, in particular to the concept of communities of inquiry stands out, aligning with the "co-" of much current design activity. Third, the paucity of research comparing design inquiry and design science points to the need for more in-depth work, extending the contributions of Dorst (1997), Buchanan (2007), Meng (2009), Schaathun (2022) and others.

Beyond the need to relate design inquiry and design science, we propose that from here, a possible line of investigation might focus in on the related themes of process, practice and the social via pragmatism.

With regard to process, methodological work going forward could explore novel ways to study process by building on the process perspective afforded by pragmatism (e.g. Wegener & Cash, 2020; Amacker and Rylander Eklund, 2022; Wegener & Lorino, 2021). This might draw reference from the work of William James (e.g. 1909).

In relation to practice, pragmatism allows for new approaches to studying designers' sensibility and creative practice (Rylander Eklund et al., 2022). It equally allows for a careful understanding of the potential role of creative practice in knowledge production (Dixon 2020; Dixon and French 2021). There is also more work to be done drawing out the value of Peirce's contributions for design, particularly which regard to abduction as well as his original presentation of inquiry, which predates Dewey's (Peirce 1992).

With regard to the social, as the papers in this sub-theme highlighted, pragmatism brings forth the social nature of design as co-design, with the central concept the community of inquiry (Stompff et al; Hawey). From here, there is the opportunity to extend further and explore the potential value of relating Dewey's democratic vision to the design domain (e.g. in

relation to policy; see Dixon et al. 2022). Equally, beyond Dewey, the work of George Herbert Mead, Jane Addams and Mary Parker Follett holds the potential progress design's social/experimental frameworks. Here, Mead offers a unique perspective on the roles of empathy, dialogue and habits in interaction (Mead, 1934); Addams provides special insight into stakeholder engagement and the potential of collective action (1910, 1902); while Parker Follett's work lastly draws compelling links between creativity, experimentation and power (1924).

Building on the insights of the track contributions, such work would continue to extend and progress the quest for an epistemology of practice as first proposed by Schön. As has been demonstrated, this is an inherently pragmatist project and, accordingly, aims first and primarily towards practical impact and real-world accountability (see e.g., James 1975/1907). The challenge then is to deliver on this requirement; to bring insight to bear in relation to the needs and concerns of the wider design and design studies communities. Thus, it is our hope that the positions and agenda presented here may mark a further, important step towards the fuller integration of knowing in doing in design, whereby by design knowledge can be *known* as a practice

### **Acknowledgements:**

This theme-track and editorial has been a consequence of the ResearchGate community on "pragmatism and design inquiry". We therefore thank the ResearchGate community (https://www.researchgate.net/project/Pragmatism-and-Design-Inquiry) who have encouraged, nurtured and contributed to this theme-track. We also thank the external reviewers of our theme-track submission and paper submissions for their helpful suggestions.

## References

Addams, J. (1961/1910). Twenty years at Hull-House with autobiographical notes. Signet Classics. Addams, J. (1980/1902). Democracy and social ethics. Knowledge Resources.

Amacker, A., and Rylander Eklund, A. (2022). Arts-Based Techniques in Process Research: Learning to See the Forest for the Trees. In B. Simpson & L. Revsbaek (Eds.), Doing Process research in Organizations (s. 39–58). Oxford University Press.

Buchanan, R. (2007). 'Strategies of Design Research: Productive Science and Rhetorical Inquiry'. In M. Ralf (Ed), Design Research Now, pp 55–66. Basel: Birkhäuser.

Dewey, J. (1938) 'Logic The Theory Of Inquiry'. (pp. 1-550). New York: Henry Holt & Company.

Dixon, B. (2020) Dewey and Design: A Pragmatist Perspective for Design Research. Cham: Springer.

Dixon, B. and French, T., (2020). 'Processing the method: Linking Deweyan logic and design-in-research'. Design Studies, 70, p.100962.

Dixon, B., McHattie, L.S. and Broadley, C., (2022). 'The imagination and public participation: a Deweyan perspective on the potential of design innovation and participatory design in policy-making'. CoDesign, 18(1), pp.151-163.

Dorst, K., (1997) 'Describing design: a comparison of paradigms'. Delft: Technische Universiteit Delft.

- Follett, M. P. (1924). 'Creative Experience'. Longmans, Green and co.
- James, W. (1909). 'A Pluralistic Universe'. University of Nebraska Press.
- James, W., (1975) [1907]. The Collected Works of William James: Pragmatism: A new name for an old way of thinking. Edited by F.H. Burkhardt, F. Bowers, I. K. Skrupkelis. Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press.
- Lake, D. (2022). "Jane Addams, Social Design, and Wicked Problems: Designing in, with, and across." In Shields, P., Hamington, M., & Soeters, J. (Eds.) Oxford Handbook of Jane Addams. Oxford University Press.Mead, G. H. (1932). The Philosophy of the Present. New York: Prometheus Books.
- Mead, G. H. (1934). Mind, self and society: From the standpoint of social behaviorist. University of Chicago Press.
- Meng, J. C. S. (2009). Donald Schön, Herbert Simon and The Sciences of the Artificial. Design Studies, 30(1), 60–68. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.destud.2008.09.001
- Rylander, A. (2012) Pragmatism and Design Research an overview. (2) Designfakultetens serie kunskapsammanställningar. Stockholm: KTH.
- Rylander Eklund, A., Navarro Aguiar, U., and Amacker, A. (2022). Design thinking as sensemaking: Developing a pragmatist theory of practice to (re)introduce sensibility. Journal of Product Innovation Management, 39(1), 24–43. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpim.12604
- Peirce, C. S. (1992). The Essential Peirce, Volume 1 (1867-1893). Bloomington, IN Indiana University Press.
- Schaathun, H. G. (2022). Where Schön and Simon agree: The rationality of design. Design Studies, 79, 101090. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.destud.2022.101090
- Schön, D. A. (1983) 'The Reflective Practitioner: How Professionals Think in Action'. New York: Basic Books.
- Schön, D. A. (1992) The theory of inquiry: Dewey's legacy to education. Curriculum inquiry, 22(2), pp. 119-139.
- Schön, D. A. (1995) Knowing-in-Action: The New Scholarship Requires a New Epistemology. Change, 27(6), 26–34. Retrieved from www.jstor.org/stable/40165285.
- Simon, H. (1969) 'The Sciences of the Artificial'. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Vink, J. (2021). Designing for plurality in democracy by building reflexivity: Cross dialogue paper. Annual Meeting of the Society of the Advancement of American Philosophy.
- Wegener, F. E., and Cash, P. (2020) The Future of Design Process Research? Exploring Process Theory and Methodology, in Boess, S., Cheung, M. and Cain, R. (eds.), Synergy DRS International Conference 2020, 11-14 August, Held online. https://doi.org/10.21606/drs.2020.132
- Wegener, F. E., & Lorino, P. (2021). Capturing the Experience of Living Forward from Within the Flow: Fusing 'Withness' Approach & Pragmatist Inquiry . In J. Reinecke, R. Suddaby, A. Langley, & H. Tsoukas (Eds.), Time, Temporality, and History in Process Organization Studies (pp. 138–168). Oxford University Press. http://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198870715.003.0009
- Whipps, J. and D. Lake. (2020) 'Feminist Pragmatism', Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/femapproach-pragmatism.

### About the Authors:

**Frithjof E. Wegener** finalizes his PhD on Pragmatism and Organization Design, studying designing and organizing practices and processes. He published across organization and design studies in Oxford University

Press, Cambridge University Press, AoM, EGOS, PROS, PHILOS, DRS, ICED, and E&PDE.

**Brian Dixon** is Research Director for Art and Design at Ulster University in Belfast. His research explores connections between practice-orientated design research methodologies and philosophy, as well as the potential role of design in the context of policy-making processes.

Anna Rylander Eklund is a researcher at Chalmers University of Technology where she conducts research on design and organizing. She was previously a senior lecturer in Design at the School of Design and Crafts, University of Gothenburg, where she led a series of research projects on design and innovation.

**Danielle Lake** is the Director of Design Thinking and Associate Professor at Elon University. Her scholarship explore the potential and the challenges of relational, place-based design projects for addressing wicked problems, building capacities, and transforming systems. Learn more at http://works.bepress.com/danielle\_lake/