NWOGU, N.C. and GOBINA, E. 2013. Advanced membrane design for improved carbon dioxide capture. In *Laudon, M. and Romanowicz, B. (eds.) TechConnect briefs: technical proceedings of the 2013 Clean technology and trade show: energy, renewables, materials, storage and environment, 12-16 May 2013, Washington, USA*. Boston, MA: TechConnect [online], pages 204-207. Available from: http[s://briefs.techconnect.org/wp](https://briefs.techconnect.org/wp-content/volumes/Cleantech2013/pdf/415.pdf)[content/volumes/Cleantech2013/pdf/415.pdf](https://briefs.techconnect.org/wp-content/volumes/Cleantech2013/pdf/415.pdf)

Advanced membrane design for improved carbon dioxide capture.

NWOGU, N.C. and GOBINA, E.

2013

© 2013 TechConnect http://techconnect.org. Reprinted and revised, with permission, from the TechConnect briefs: technical proceedings of the 2013 Clean technology and trade show, pp. 204-207, 12-16 May 2013, Washington, U.S.A.

This document was downloaded from https://openair.rgu.ac.uk

SEE TERMS OF USE IN BOX ABOVE

Advanced Membrane Design for Improved Carbon dioxide Capture

N. C Nwogu* and E. Gobina**

Centre for Process Integration and Membrane Technology, School of Engineering, the Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen AB10 1FR, UK

[n.c.nwogu@rgu.ac.uk*](mailto:n.c.nwogu@rgu.ac.uk), [e.gobina@rgu.ac.uk](mailto:e.gobina@rgu.ac.uk**)**

ABSTRACT

 A nano-structure tubular hybrid inorganic membrane capable of stripping carbon dioxide from flue gas stream was designed and tested at laboratory scale to improve compliance to various environmental regulations to cushion the effect of global warming. Single gas separation experiments using silica modified ceramic membrane was carried out to investigate individual gas permeation behaviors at different pressures and membrane eficiency after a dip coating method. Four gases; Nitrogen (N_2) , Carbon dioxide (CO_2) , Oxygen (O_2) and Methane (CH_4) were used. Plots of flowrate versus pressure were generated. Results show that the gas flow rate increases with pressure drop. However at above a pressure of 4bar, the flow rate of $CO₂$ was much higher than the other gases, indicating dominance of a more selective adsorptive type transport mechanism.

Keywords: ceramic membrane, carbon dioxide capture, permeability, selectivity, gas transport mechanisms

1 INTRODUCTION

About one third of the overall $CO₂$ emission globally comes from energy creation. Its decrease and management is vitally critical and an important factor to ease global warming [1]. The position of $CO₂$ in global warming is a present day ecological concern and need urgent attention in the provision of technologies that will curtail the emission of $CO₂$ [2]. $CO₂$ removal from flue gas stream recorded success with conventional technology like absorption using glycol, amine and methanol under low temperature, process with hot potassium carbonate, reaction with calcium oxide and the use of polymer membrane. These processes employ low temperature resulting in energy losses due to high temperature recuperation as well as cooling of the gas stream [3]. Owing to the burning desire to abate global warming especially at the rate of $CO₂$ emission and its concentration in the atmosphere through flue gases today, inorganic ceramic membrane with distinct characteristics should be a key issue. Cost effective, energy-saving, high chemical resistance, cheap materials are needed in the development of membrane module for manufacturing purposes. Porous inorganic membrane conquers some if not all of the inbuilt limitations. They can tolerate higher temperature and basically limit the connection between selectivity and permeability. If properly designed the pore size and its distribution decide its selectivity while the volume fraction porosity regulates and establishes permeability [3]. Porous inorganic membrane also shows exceptional evidence of physical and chemical properties, including unresponsiveness to sarcastic environment, stability under high temperature, homogeneous pore structure and reasonable fluxes. [4] Some membranes today have been used for decades in $CO₂$ capture, but because the membrane is used for natural gas at very high pressure they are unsuitable for $CO₂$ capture from flue gases. Design and fabrication of porous ceramic membrane consists of several layers of different materials namely: Aluminium oxide (Al₂O₃), Titanium Oxide (TiO₂), Zirconium Oxide (ZrO₂₎, Silicon dioxide $(SiO₂)$, Silicon carbide, Zeolite or a mixture of two materials applied on an underlying porous stainless steel, α -alumina, γ -alumina, zirconium, zeolite supports

[5]. The manner in which gas molecules flow across the membrane referred to as permeation mechanism is a significant fact in membrane technology. This flow generally is influenced by three factors: the gas properties, morphology of the membrane and the material used for membrane design. Consequently a hybrid material made from ceramics membrane has been well thought out by means of Nanotechnology.The technology is eco-friendly, economical and very efficient. Its applicable in all forms of $CO₂$ elimination from other gases and its effectiveness increases comparatively to the $CO₂$ concentration in the flue gas stream feed. Nanotechnology is among the range of technologies paying attention to explore carbon-capturing technology [6]. Membrane separation of gases is a highly complex process and therefore the material used for its preparation should exhibit a long-lasting characteristic, stability and modified in an advanced manner to be adapted to separate specific gases.

However, the innovation is that a so called agent is immobilized in the membrane porous network, thus assisting to attract the $CO₂$, and enhancing its transport across the membrane. This is in complete contrast to the more common and older method of using a filter that separates directly between $CO₂$ and other gases.

2 EXPERMENTAL

 The gas separation experiment was performed using a membrane support and a nano-sructured membrane deposited on a macro porous tubular filter employing a repeat dip-coating technique [6]. The gases used for the gas transport tests included Nitrogen (N_2) , Carbon dioxide $(CO₂)$, Oxygen $(O₂)$ and Methane $(CH₄)$ respectively.

The experiment was carried out to investigate the single gas permeation behaviors of the gases listed above with the permeation done separately and individually.

 Figure 1: Tubular ceramic support (Top) and nanostructured composite (bottom).

Figure 2:Permeation cell

Figure 2 shows the permeation cell set up. The components of the cell includes: 1.Gas cylindre, 2. pressure valve, 3. pressure guage, 4. membrane reactor, 5. retentate, 6. permeate and 7. flow meter respectively.

2.1 Morphology of support

The scanning electron microscopy was used to verify the morphology of the support. The image is shown in figure 3 and 4 respectively.

Figure 3: SEM Membrane cross-section

Figure 4: SEM Membrane Inner surface

3 APPLICABLE THEORY

 The manner in which gas molecules flow across composite membrane comprising of the membrane and the support with respect to the pressure will be determined. In addition, a distinction between viscous and knudson flow mechanisms in porous membrane to determine flaws in the

membrane before and after coating. A schematic illustration of the transport process is shown in figure 5.

Figure 5: Schematic diagram of gas transport through a coated support membrane.

Values of gas permeation rate of the toplayer from figure 5 were calculated using the formula [7]

$$
J_{TL} = \frac{F}{(P_f - P_b)x S}
$$
 (1)

Where F (l/min) is the flowrate through the membrane and S (m²) is the membrane surface area of the flow pathway P_f (bar) and $P_b(bar)$ are absolute feed pressure and borderline pressure between the support and the toplayer. P_b can be calculated from equation (2) below

$$
P_{b} = \frac{-C_{\rm sup} + \left(C_{\rm sup}^2 + 2D_{\rm sup}(C_{\rm sup}P_p + \frac{1}{2}D_{\rm sup}P_p^2 + Z)\right)}{D_{\rm sup}} \tag{2}
$$

where C and D are constants relative to membrane support characteristics and Z given below for gas permeation throuigh the composite membrane.

$$
Z = \left[C_m + \frac{1}{2}D_m \times (P_f + P_p)\right] \times P_f - P_p \tag{3}
$$

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Accordingly, **Figure 6** presents a plot of flow rate against pressure and the trend explains the proportionality for both parameters.

Figure 6: Graph of Flowrate of N2, CO2, O2 & CH4 versus Pressure

Figure 7 shows the plots of the ratio of flow rate of $CO₂$ to that of N_2 in relationship to the ratio of the molecular weight of $CO₂$ to that of $N₂$. The trend confirms that at pressure of 2bar and above more $CO₂$ was obtained from the separation.

Figure 7: Ratio of flowrates and Molecular Weights of $CO₂$ and $N₂$ at different pressures

Figure 8 also demonstrated that at pressure of 3bar and above more of $CO₂$ was separated from $O₂$ while **Figure 9** illustratesthat from 2.4 bars and above more $CO₂$ will be removed from CH₄.

.

Figure 8: Ratio of Flowrates and Molecular Weights of $CO₂$ and $O₂$ at different Pressures

Figure 9: Ratio of Flowrates and Molecular Weights of $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ at different Pressures.

Figure 10 shows that gas flow through the support (sup) increased as the pressure was increased. For the Toplayer, the effect of pressure on the gas permeation was negligible even after a pressure build up.

5 CONCLUSION

Using the combination of Knudsen flow and viscous flow, it has been possible to extract the transport of the thin membrane layer in a composite hybrid system.

**Corresponding Author – Email: [e.gobina@rgu.ac.uk;](mailto:e.gobina@rgu.ac.uk) Tel: +44(0)1224262348: Fax: +44(0)1224262444

Figure 10: Membrane defect determination

As expected, the layer showed only minor dependence of permeance with average pressure suggesting the absence of defects. For the support overall results have shown that both viscous and Knudsen flow can have a significant effect on the single gas transport. The dip coating technique had a significant effect toward achieving a zero level membrane deficiency. However, real flue gas will consist of mixtures and work is currently ongoing to obtain mixed gas transport and selectivity.

REFERENCES

- [1] W.Yave, A. Car, K-V. Peinemann, Nanostructured membrane material designed for carbon dioxideseparation, Journal of Membrane Science 350 124. 2010
- [2] A.Meisen, X. Shuai, Research and development issues in CO< sub> 2 </sub> capture, Energy Conversion and Management 38): S37, S41. (1997
- [3] E.Gobina, 'A membrane apparatus and method separating gases' (US Patent 7297184 B2), May 23, 2006.
- [4] McCool, B. A., Hill, N., DiCarlo, J., & DeSisto, W. J. Synthesis and characterization of mesoporous silica membranes via dip- coating and hydrothermal deposition techniques. *Journal of membrane science*,*218*(1), 55 2003.
- [5] Shekhawat, D., Luebke, D. R., & Pennline, H. W 'A review of carbon dioxide selective membranes'. *US Department ofEnergy*. NETL-1200, 9-11. 2003
- [6] Karkare, M. *Nanotechnology: Fundamentals And Applications*. IK International Pvt Ltd,12,2008.
- [7] Li, Anwu, Hongbin Zhao, Jinghua Gu, and Guoxing Xiong."Preparation of γ-A12O3 composite membrane and examination of membrane defects." *Science in China Series B: Chemistry* 40, no. 1: 31-36, 1997