

# Examination of differential ratings of perceived exertion (dRPE) during bio-banded small-sided games.

BARRETT, S., SHORT, K., LOWTHROPE, A., SWINTON, P., MAUGHAN, P., HAMILTON, A., HUNTER, F. and TOWLSON, C.

2022

© 2022 Barrett et al.

1 Examination of differential ratings of perceived exertion (dRPE) during bio-banded small-sided  
2 games.

3  
4 **Authors:**

5 Steve Barrett <sup>1</sup>, Kieran Short <sup>2</sup>, Alex Lowthorpe <sup>2</sup>, Paul Swinton <sup>3</sup>, Patrick Maughan <sup>3,4</sup> Ally Hamilton  
6 <sup>5</sup>, Frankie Hunter <sup>6</sup>, \*Chris Towlson <sup>2</sup>

7  
8 **Affiliations:**

9 <sup>1</sup> Playermaker, London, UK;

10 <sup>2</sup> Department of Sport, Health and Exercise Science, University of Hull, Hull, UK;

11 <sup>3</sup> School of Health Sciences, Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen, UK;

12 4 – School of Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

13 <sup>5</sup> Hull City AFC, Hull, UK;

14 <sup>6</sup> Middlesbrough Football Club, Middlesbrough, UK

15  
16 **For publication in:**

17 PLoS One

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26 **Corresponding author**

27 Dr Christopher Towlson (c.towlson@hull.ac.uk)

28  
29 **Word count: 4,300**

30 **Number of figures: 0**

31 **Number of tables: 3**

32 **Abstract**

33 The aims of the current study were to investigate the use of dRPE with academy soccer players to: 1)  
34 examine the effect of bio-banded and non-bio-banded maturity groups within SSG on players dRPE; 2)  
35 describe the multivariate relationships between dRPE measures investigating the sources of intra and  
36 inter-individual variation, and the effects of maturation and bio-banding. Using 32 highly trained under  
37 (U) 12 to U14 soccer players (mean (SD) age 12.9 (0.9) years, body mass 46.4 (8.5) kg and stature  
38 158.2 (14.9) cm) academy soccer players from two English professional male soccer academies. Players  
39 were categorised according to somatic maturity status using estimated percentage of adult stature  
40 attainment, with players randomly assigned into teams to play 4v4 SSG. The study used a repeated  
41 measures design, whereby the selected players participated within 6 bio-banded (maturity matched [pre-  
42 PHV Vs pre-PHV and post-PHV vs post PHV] and miss-matched [pre-PHV vs post-PHV] and 6 mixed  
43 maturity SSG at their respective clubs. Using mixed and fixed effect regression models, it was  
44 established hat pre-PHV players exhibited higher dRPE compared with their post-PHV counterparts.  
45 Mixed bio-banded games reported higher dRPE outputs overall. Variation in dRPE measures across a  
46 series of bio-banded games are caused by both between and within sources of variation in relatively  
47 equal amounts. Across a series of bio-banded games, the four dRPE measures do not provide unique  
48 information, and between variation is best expressed by one or two highly correlated components, with  
49 within variation best explained by a single equally loaded component. Using a bio-banding SSG design  
50 study, we have shown that pre-PHV players report higher subjective measures of exertion than post-  
51 PHV players during. Additionally, when evenly mixing players based on measures of maturation, higher  
52 measures of perceived exertion were generally reported.

53

54

55

56

57

## 58 **Introduction**

59 The highly individualized, non-linear relationship between age and the development of maturity-related  
60 anthropometric characteristics (e.g., stature and body-mass) [1, 2] often leads to the over-selection of  
61 early maturing soccer players for academy development programs in comparison to their later maturing  
62 counterparts [3]. This selection bias is attributed to early maturing players who are often characterized  
63 as being temporarily taller and heavier, but not necessarily technically better, in comparison to their  
64 later maturing counterparts [3]. Therefore, soccer match and training formats which can control for the  
65 confounding effect of biological maturation [6-8] are of importance and relevance to player  
66 development practitioners responsible for prescribing suitable training loads and playing environments.

67 The grouping of athletes according to their maturation status, rather than their chronological  
68 age is commonly referred to as bio-banding [6, 7, 9]. The exclusive [10] objective of bio-banding is to  
69 reduce between player maturity-related differences in anthropometric [11] , and physical fitness  
70 characteristics and create a more equitable playing and training environment [12-19]. Bio-banding has  
71 been shown to be an effective strategy to reduce the large within group variation associated with the  
72 early development of maturity-related anthropometric characteristics, such as stature and body-mass [2,  
73 20] which can be directly associated to the maturation process [11]. Typically, bio-banding methods  
74 use one of two popular methods for estimating maturity status [21] , including a maturity offset method  
75 [22-24] (i.e. estimating the number of years from/past the onset of peak height velocity [PHV]), or  
76 estimating the players percentage of final adult status [25]. Bio-banding has become increasingly  
77 popular within academy soccer practices to enhance practitioner understanding of the influence player  
78 maturity status has on player characteristics including psycho-social [12, 14] and technical-tactical [12,  
79 13, 26], and perceived effort [26, 27] considered important by talent practitioners [28, 29] during soccer  
80 match-play. Not only is the application of bio-banding important to key stakeholders, such as parents  
81 or guardians, and coaches responsible for supporting, and (de)selection of players for development  
82 programs [30], but the consideration of player maturation status is of relevance to practitioners who are  
83 responsible for the prescription of training loads and volumes [31]. This concern is evidenced by  
84 academy soccer players who have been categorized as being pre-PHV accumulating greater session

85 ratings of perceived exertion training load (sRPE-TL) (being RPE\*activity duration in minutes) when  
86 competing in small-sided games (SSG) against their more mature (i.e. post-PHV) counterparts, despite  
87 internal measures of training load suggesting no meaningful difference between the groups of players  
88 [12].

89 Training loads within soccer are commonly assessed with different metrics that tend to be  
90 grouped by internal (e.g. heart rate, blood lactate) or external training loads (e.g. total distance covered,  
91 high speed running distances etc.) [32, 33]. Within youth soccer, measurements of external training  
92 loads have focused on time-motion analysis data [34, 35], typically reported from micromechanical  
93 electrical systems (MEMS) devices or more commonly referred to as global positioning systems (GPS)  
94 devices. In contrast, measurement of internal training loads have focused on heart rate and subjective  
95 load data [33]. Rather than providing distinct information, it is likely that measures of internal and  
96 external training load will demonstrate various associations and relate to other constructs such as  
97 intensity and volume of training [36, 37]. In research conducted with academy soccer players, Maughan  
98 et al. (2021) used principal component analysis (PCA) to investigate the underlying structure of the  
99 relationships between external measures of training load and sRPE-TL. Their results identified that  
100 most of the variation within the data could be explained by two components reflecting the training  
101 volume (to which sRPE-T) and training intensity [38]. The authors concluded that multivariate  
102 techniques should be employed to better understand the complex nature of training loads in youth  
103 soccer. Which is of relevance to academy practitioners given that the highly individualized effect of  
104 biological maturation on players anthropometric (i.e. stature and body-mass) development [1, 2] has  
105 been associated with subsequent temporary alterations in soccer players functional movement capacity  
106 [39]. Such alterations may lead to imbalances between strength and flexibility, and temporary  
107 reductions in movement mechanics typically referred to as “adolescent awkwardness” [40] leading to  
108 increased risk of sustaining a non-contact injury [41]. An extension of this conclusion holds that  
109 multivariate techniques may enable more effective monitoring and subsequent management of training  
110 loads in academy soccer players who are undergoing PHV.

111 In addition to delineating between training volume and intensity, it has been suggested that  
112 perceptual measures to quantify the contributions of specific cardiovascular and  
113 neuromuscular/musculoskeletal systems can provide relevant information to monitor and prescribe  
114 training in team sports [42, 43]. Differential ratings of perceived exertion (dRPE) that separate scores  
115 for breathlessness (RPE-B), leg muscle exertion (RPE-L), and technical/cognitive exertion (RPE-T)  
116 [43] may provide a viable alternative to quantify internal loads [44, 45]. To date, there has been no  
117 research investigating the full range of dRPE measures within an academy soccer context. However,  
118 studies conducted using Australian Football League have shown there to be likely small differences  
119 between RPE-L and RPE-T (5.5%), a likely small difference between RPE-L and RPE-B (3.5%) and a  
120 possibly small difference between RPE-B and RPE-T (1.9%) [43]. With combined dRPE training loads  
121 explaining 66–91% of the variance in sRPE training loads within professional rugby union players, and  
122 the strongest associations being exhibited with sRPE-TL being sRPE-L for high-intensity intervals  
123 ( $r = 0.67$ ), sRPE-B for repeated high-intensity efforts ( $r = 0.89$ ) and sRPE-T for Speed ( $r = 0.63$ ) and  
124 Skills ( $r = 0.51$ ) [42]. Additionally, most research investigating dRPE has used only correlation analyses  
125 that are limited in their ability to explore underlying relationship structures, with data comprising more  
126 than two variables. Whilst PCA provides a more effective method to explore structures [36], the method  
127 has limitations when applied to repeated measures data, where many series of measurements are made  
128 across the same variables for a relatively small number of individuals. In contrast, more modern  
129 multivariate techniques, including MultiLevel Simultaneous Component Analysis (MLSCA) can better  
130 investigate both the sources of inter- and intra-individual variability in multivariate data and identify  
131 individuals or groups of individuals that differ with regards to measures of location, variance and  
132 covariance [46]. In the context of team sports, MLSCA can be used to identify whether the variability  
133 in multivariate measurements are primarily caused by differences across individuals, or differences  
134 within individuals due to variation in relevant interventions or independent variables. Additionally,  
135 MLSCA can be used to identify individuals who tend to score highest/lowest or are the most/least  
136 variable on multivariate components described by combinations of the outcome variables [47]. Given  
137 the popularity of bio-banding methods and the increased focus on multivariate statistical techniques,  
138 the aims of the current study were to investigate the use of dRPE with academy soccer players to: 1)

139 examine the effect of bio-banded and non-bio-banded maturity groups within SSG on players dRPE; 2)  
140 describe the multivariate relationships between dRPE measures investigating the sources of intra and  
141 inter-individual variation, and the effects of maturation and bio-banding.

142

### 143 **Methods**

144 Having institutional ethics committee approval (FHS189) and parental/guardian consent, the current  
145 study used previous methods [18] investigated the perceived exertion of academy soccer players during  
146 SSG, when teams were selected based upon their chronological age group or their bio-banding  
147 grouping. Additionally, this study investigated if dRPE measures provide distinct information, and to  
148 establish the associated sources of variation including between and within players.

### 149 **Participants**

150 Thirty-two highly trained under (U) 12 to U14 soccer players (mean (SD) age 12.9 (0.9) years, body  
151 mass 46.4 (8.5) kg and stature 158.2 (14.9) cm) academy soccer players from two English professional  
152 male soccer academies were invited to participate in the study. Players were categorised according to  
153 somatic maturity status using estimated percentage of adult stature attainment EASA [25], with players  
154 randomly assigned into teams to play 4v4, 5 minute SSG. The study used a repeated measures design,  
155 whereby the selected players participated within 6 bio-banded (maturity matched [pre-PHV Vs pre-  
156 PHV and post-PHV vs post PHV] and miss-matched [pre-PHV vs post-PHV] and 6 mixed maturity  
157 SSG at their respective clubs.

158

### 159 *Anthropometric and Maturity measurements*

160 Using previously used methods [3, 5, 8, 20], each player's anthropometric (stature and body-mass)  
161 characteristics were recorded. These measures were used in conjunction with adjusted [48], self-  
162 reported mid-parental stature of both biological parents of the player to provide an estimated percentage  
163 of adult stature (%EASA). This method was selected due to its enhanced ability to correctly identify

164 the onset of PHV in academy soccer players in comparison to maturity offset-based measures [49]. In  
165 accordance with our previous work [12], we defined our bio-banded groupings as ‘*post-PHV*’ ( $\geq 90\%$   
166 EASA) ( $n = 16$ ) and ‘*pre-PHV*’ ( $< 90\%$  EASA) ( $n = 16$ ). Whilst we acknowledge that PHV has been  
167 shown to occur at approximately 86% of estimated adult stature [14] bandings were defined as ‘*post-*  
168 *PHV*’ ( $\geq 90\%$  EASA) and ‘*pre-PHV*’ ( $< 90\%$ ) to allow even distribution of players per category. Given  
169 that previous research has shown bio-banding to have little effect on players within the *circa-PHV*  
170 category [12, 17, 18], only players at either extreme of the maturation continuum were selected to  
171 participate in the study. Players anthropometric data was collated within the month prior to the testing  
172 period, extenuating the influence of biological growth on subsequent accurate maturity bandings. Using  
173 the aforementioned Khamis and Roche (25) method, players were assigned into one of four ‘*bio-*  
174 *banded*’ teams, two teams of four *post-PHV* ( $n = 8$ ) maturing players and two teams of four *pre-PHV*  
175 ( $n = 8$ ) maturing players and contested both maturity-matched and miss-matched SSGs using a ‘*round-*  
176 *robin* format’. On completion of these the *bio-banded* SSGs, players received 20 min passive recovery  
177 before being randomly and independently (i.e. no prior knowledge regarding each player’s somatic  
178 characteristics) allocated to 4 mixed-maturity (comprised of 2 ‘*pre*’ and 2 ‘*post-PHV*’ players) teams to  
179 act a surrogate control measure [12] and repeat the same ‘*round-robin*’ format of SSGs. To permit  
180 statistical comparison, mixed-maturity teams were aggregated in to two ‘*mixed*’ maturity bandings (e.g.  
181 team 1A and 1B were aggregated to form group A) to permit pairwise comparisons.

### 182 *Small-sided games*

183 In both conditions, players performed a standardised, 15-minute club led warm-up and contested 6 *bio-*  
184 *banded* and mixed maturity SSG (total:  $n = 6$ ) on an outdoor 4G surface, The SSG were contested by  
185 teams of four players. This method has been previously used for the intention of talent identification  
186 within UK soccer academy practice [50] and previous *bio-banded* SSG research [12, 17, 18]. In  
187 addition, given the prevalence of the maturation selection bias with UK academy soccer programmes  
188 [3, 5] the sample of players per academy deemed to be *pre-PHV* was finite. Therefore, to permit a  
189 maturity matched (e.g., *Pre-PHV* vs *pre-PHV*; *post-PHV* vs *post-PHV*) SSG format, it was considered  
190 intuitive to implement teams comprised of four players. Matches were contested on a 24 m x 24 m (576



191 m<sup>2</sup>; 72 m<sup>2</sup>per player), with the relative pitch size being consistent throughout the duration of the specific  
192 testing condition. This pitch size was selected as previous research, using a pitch size of 18.3m x 23.0m  
193 (52m<sup>2</sup> per player), highlighted the smaller pitch size as a limiting factor when assessing maturity-related  
194 outputs during bio-banded SSG [12]. Given the paucity of bio-banding research that has used SSG  
195 formats [12, 17, 18], and that the aim of the study was to examine the effect of bio-banding and not the  
196 influence of relative pitch size per se on players dRPE, a pitch which was considered representative of  
197 ‘normal’ playing conditions and familiar to the players was implemented. Each SSG was interspersed  
198 with a three-minute interlude of passive recovery [50]. As per previously used methods [27, 50], two  
199 goals (2 m x 1 m) with no identified goalkeepers were applied, goals were only permitted to be scored  
200 from a position within the attacking half of the pitch, whilst using a multi-ball system to encourage  
201 continuous and flowing match-play. Club staff were reframed from providing any verbal feedback or  
202 encouragement to players throughout the duration of the session. Each team would receive a minimum  
203 of five and a maximum of fifteen minutes of low intensity, active recovery between SSG’s, in which  
204 players performed club specific, standardised technical drills to maintain match readiness and reduce  
205 tedium.

206

### 207 **Differential Ratings of Perceived Exertion (dRPE)**

208 After each bio-banded and mixed SSG, players recorded a gestalt RPE score which was multiplied by  
209 session duration (sRPE-TL), alongside scores for breathlessness (sRPE-B), leg muscle exertion (sRPE-  
210 L), and technical demand (sRPE-T). Scores were recorded individually using a numerically blinded  
211 CR100<sup>®</sup> scale [51] via a custom-built mobile application running on a 7” Android tablet (Iconia One 7  
212 BI-750, Taipei Twaiwan: Acer Inc.) [42]. The CR100<sup>®</sup> scale was chosen over the more commonly used  
213 CR10<sup>®</sup> RPE as the scales finer grading has potential to provide a more sensitive appraisal of exertion  
214 in soccer players [52]. Each player was familiar with the scale and the recommended researcher  
215 instructions for scale administration were used [53]. Specifically, the players were prompted with the  
216 following screen text for each dRPE measurement: *“Using the verbal expressions on the scale below,*  
217 *please rate your (individual dRPE measure) perception of exertion for the match”*. Each dRPE

218 measurement was individually shown on the screen, with a sliding scale to mark the appropriate word/  
219 line to describe their exertion. Players were separated to ensure anonymous scores were provided  
220 without the influence of other players by having two tablets (one per team), with each team forming a  
221 line away from the player completing the form. Players were only included in the analysis of dRPE if  
222 they had completed dRPE post all their SSG.

223

## 224 **Statistical analysis**

225 Potential effects of bio-banding and maturation on dRPE values were first analysed by conducting  
226 mixed effect regression models, with random effects included for individual players to account for the  
227 repeated measures nature of the data, and fixed effects included for bio-banding groupings (pre-PHV  
228 vs post-PHV), game type (bio-banded vs mixed) and the interaction between the two variables. The  
229 extent to which main effects differed from the null value were indexed by  $p$  values calculated using  $t$ -  
230 tests on regression coefficients and Satterthwaite's approximation for degrees of freedom with the  
231 lmerTest library in R [54]. Structure of the relationships between dRPE variables was analysed through  
232 MLSCA which models the data matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  comprising  $i = 1, \dots, I$  columnwise concatenated data blocks,  
233 each consisting of  $K_i$  observations of  $j = 1, \dots, 4$  dRPE variables. The data matrix is split into three parts  
234 including an offset term, a between-part that is used to describe inter-individual variation and a within-  
235 part used to describe intra-individual variation in the multivariate data with

$$236 \quad x_{ijk_i} = x_{ijk_i}^{offset} + x_{ijk_i}^{between} + x_{ijk_i}^{within} = x_{.j} + (x_{ij.} - x_{.j}) + (x_{ijk_i} - x_{ij.})$$

237 where  $x_{.j}$  is the mean score on variable  $j$  computed across all data blocks, and  $x_{ij.}$  is the mean score on  
238 variable  $j$  computed within data block  $i$ .

239 The source of inter-individual variation was computed by performing PCA on the between-part  
240 of the data matrix with subsequent varimax rotation to facilitate interpretation. Selection of the number  
241 of between components was made using the CHull test [55]. Magnitudes of the between-loadings for  
242 each of the components selected were used to interpret the nature of the components and between-scores

243 obtained for each individual after counter rotation. As the between-scores identify systematic  
244 differences between individuals according to the multivariate components, the effects of maturation  
245 status on these variables were investigated with independent t-tests and calculation of Cohen's d.

246 The most general variant to analyse the source of intra-individual variation would be to perform  
247 a separate PCA on the within-part of the data matrix for each individual. However, this is likely to lead  
248 to problems with interpretation and it should often be expected that within component loadings are  
249 similar across individuals [47]. Therefore, the least restrictive variant of MLSCA (MLSCA-P) was  
250 employed, creating a single set of within component loadings but enabling variances and correlations  
251 of the component scores to vary across individuals [56]. Because  $X_i^{between}$  and  $X_i^{within}$  matrices are  
252 mutually orthogonal, the parameters of between and within models can be estimated separately, with  
253 parameters of the within model obtained via singular value decomposition [56]. As with the between  
254 model, magnitudes of the loadings for each of the components selected using the CHull test were used  
255 to interpret the nature of the components and the effects of maturation status on individual variance of  
256 components was investigated with independent t-tests and calculation of Cohen's d.

257

## 258 **Results**

259 Descriptive statistics of dRPE values for pre-PHV and post-PHV players are presented in table 1.  
260 Results from mixed effects models (Table 2) identified that in general, pre-PHV players reported higher  
261 values for perceived exertion, with point estimates indicating relative increases ranging from ~6 to 16  
262 units ( $p < 0.001$  to  $p = 0.121$ ). Main effects were also identified for game type, with players reporting  
263 higher values (~8 to 9 units) across all RPE variables ( $p < 0.001$ ). However, interaction effects between  
264 maturity and game type across all RPE variables ( $p \leq 0.012$ ) identified that increases in RPE values for  
265 mixed games were highest for post-PHV players (Table 2).

266 **Table 1: Means and standard deviations of dRPE values across groups**

<b>Group</b>	<b>sRPE</b> <b>(±sd)</b>	<b>sRPE-B</b> <b>(±sd)</b>	<b>sRPE-L</b> <b>(±sd)</b>	<b>sRPE-T</b> <b>(±sd)</b>
Pre-PHV	51.2 (15.1)	45.7 (15.3)	44.6 (15.9)	51.7 (14.5)
Post-PHV	42.5 (17.0)	44.2 (14.7)	39.5 (15.6)	41.4 (16.1)

267

268 **Table 2: Results of mixed effects regression models of maturation and game type effects on dRPE values**

<b>Variable</b>	<b>sRPE</b> Regression Coefficient	<b>sRPE-B</b> Regression Coefficient	<b>sRPE-L</b> Regression Coefficient	<b>sRPE-T</b> Regression Coefficient
Intercept	35.9 (p<0.001)	38.3 (p<0.001)	33.8 (p<0.001)	35.1 (p<0.001)
Pre-PHV	14.4 (p<0.001)	6.2 (p=0.121)	9.8 (p=0.019)	15.6 (p<0.001)
Mixed games	8.1 (p<0.001)	7.5 (p<0.001)	8.7 (p<0.001)	9.1 (p<0.001)
Interaction (mixed games & pre-PHV)	-6.4 (p<0.001)	-3.8 (p=0.012)	-4.3 (p=0.004)	-6.8 (p<0.001)

269 Intercept reflects the mean value for early maturation players in bio-banded games. Pre-PHV reflects the change in mean from the intercept  
270 for pre-PHV players. Mixed games reflect the change in mean from the intercept for mixed games. Interaction represents the additional  
271 change in mean only for both pre-PHV players in mixed games.

272

273 The MLSCA analysis identified that variation in dRPE measures were explained relatively equally from  
274 between (59.8%) and within (40.2%) sources. CHull tests identified that two components should be  
275 selected for the between model, and a single component for the within model (Table 3). For the between  
276 model, the first component loaded sRPE-B and sRPE-L, with the second component loading sRPE and  
277 sRPE-T (correlation between components equalled 0.82). For the within model, the single component  
278 represented equal weighting across all four RPE measures. Adding another component to the within  
279 model revealed the same structure that was obtained for the between model, with loadings of sRPE-B

280 and sRPE-L, and loadings of sRPE and sRPE-T (correlation between components equalled 0.87). Use  
281 of the MLSCA loadings to generate multivariate perceived exertion values aligned with the univariate  
282 regression models, with the between model identifying greater values from post-PHV players  
283 (component 1:  $d = 0.51, p = 0.094$ ; component 2:  $d = 0.95; p < 0.0015$ ). In contrast, analysis of the within  
284 component variation identified higher mean values for post-PHV players with a medium effect size  
285 ( $d = 0.53; p = 0.085$ ).

286 **Table 3: MLSCA between- and within-model component loadings and percentage of explained variance**

	Between-model				Within-model 1		Within-model 2	
	1 component		2 component		component		component	
	Component 1	Component 1	Component 2		Component 1	Component 1	Component 2	
% of overall variance explained	50.1%		54.9%	% of overall variance explained	27.4%		32.2%	
% of between variance explained	83.6%		91.7%	% of within variance explained	68.0%		80.1%	
sRPE	0.927	0.207	0.765	sRPE	0.804	0.061	0.816	
sRPE-B	0.908	1.018	-0.070	sRPE-B	0.834	0.974	-0.077	
sRPE-L	0.910	0.932	0.018	sRPE-L	0.844	0.813	0.097	
sRPE-T	0.913	-0.094	1.053	sRPE-T	0.816	-0.057	0.949	

287

288

## 289 Discussion

290 The current study examined the effect of bio-banding in academy soccer players within 4v4 SSG's and  
291 the influence on players dRPE. The main findings of the study were; 1) In general, pre-PHV players  
292 exhibited higher dRPE compared with their post-PHV counterparts; 2) Mixed bio-banded games  
293 reported higher dRPE outputs overall; 3) Variation in dRPE measures across a series of bio-banded  
294 games are caused by both between and within sources of variation in relatively equal amounts; 4) Across  
295 a series of bio-banded games, the four dRPE measures do not provide unique information, and between  
296 variation is best expressed by one or two highly correlated components, with within variation best  
297 explained by a single equally loaded component.

298 Bio-banding in soccer is a method for grouping adolescent players to reduce the within group  
299 variations of maturity related anthropometric characteristics [11], which is purported to support the  
300 talent identification and player development pathway [12]. Within the current study, those players  
301 defined as pre-PHV reported 20-50% higher dRPE values across all constructs for both bio-banded and  
302 mixed maturity SSG's. Despite evidence to suggest maturity-related differences in perceptual effort  
303 during SSG match-play exist [11], to date, studies exploring all constructs of dRPE within academy  
304 soccer SSG games based upon maturity status are absent. The findings observed when playing against  
305 post-PHV are like that observed within senior soccer players, when playing against opponents of a  
306 higher rank, with sRPE-T showing large differences (Barrett et al., 2018). Towlson and colleagues  
307 (2021) suggested that players bio-banded as 'pre-PHV' had increased challenges to overcome playing  
308 against post-PHV players, which would suggest an increase in perceived load consistent with the  
309 findings of the current study. Interestingly, during mixed maturity SSG's, both pre-PHV and post-PHV  
310 developers dRPE scores increased. This may be because of accumulated player fatigue, with the players  
311 having competed in 60 minutes of SSG match-play. However, given that the players received at least 5  
312 minutes of passive recovery between games, accompanied by an additional 20 minute of passive  
313 recovery between the bio-banded and mixed maturity categorisation formats, it is unclear if this  
314 elevation in RPE was a direct of result of accumulated fatigue or change in game format. During  
315 analysis of passing networks during SSG's, there is an increased reliance on the post-PHV players

316 during mixed games in comparison to bio-banded games [18]. This subjective reliance was  
317 characterised by post-PHV players becoming more integral to passing networks and team dynamics.  
318 This was assessed via betweenness centrality, a measure of how often a player lies on the shortest path  
319 in the passing network from one player to another, and page rank, a measure of player importance within  
320 team dynamics [18]. These findings, alongside those in the current study provide some context and  
321 consideration for practitioners considering the practical benefits of using bio-banding during talent  
322 identification and development processes. Whilst post-PHV players have been shown to report lower  
323 perceived exertion within SSG's, their involvement appears to be higher than pre-PHV players. Pre--  
324 PHV players report higher values of perceived exertion, however, are possibly more reliant on post-  
325 PHV players [18]. This could further contribute to the over-selection of early maturing players, who  
326 often possess advanced maturity-related anthropometric (primarily enhanced stature) and physical  
327 fitness characteristics for key defensive roles (e.g., central defence and goalkeeper) [4, 5]. Subsequently  
328 homogenising the type of player within the academy system which a senior squad can select from.

329 Ratings of perceived exertion have been used to assist academy practitioners to assess the  
330 internal load ensued by academy players during chronologically [12] and bio-banded [26] match-play.  
331 However, it has been suggested that the one-dimensional approach of overall session ratings of  
332 perceived exertion (sRPE) oversimplifies the self-perception of effort [42] , suggesting the perception  
333 of effort should be differentiated in to specific categories [42]. However, previous research has shown  
334 that different dRPE measures may provide similar information when analysed using multivariate  
335 methods [38]. When using PCA to investigate relationships between objective and subjective load  
336 measures, Maughan et al. [38] found that measures of sRPE, sRPE-L and sRPE-B were heavily loaded  
337 within the first principal component that they suggested represented a measure of total training load.  
338 Further multivariate analysis through exploratory factor analysis, identified four latent factors, one of  
339 which represented subjective load [38]. The authors suggested that univariate correlations, alongside  
340 the relationships found in the multivariate assessments suggested that differentiating subjective  
341 measures of load had limited benefits for the population analysed. Similar findings were obtained in the  
342 present study when analysing the dRPE measures with MLSCA. The analyses identified that both



343 between and within sources were relatively equal (59.8 vs. 40.2%, respectively) in explaining variation  
344 in dRPE values. That is, dRPE values were systematically different across players (e.g. due to factors  
345 such as maturation), but also varied within players across games (e.g. due to factors such as game type).  
346 Statistical tests suggested that a single component model was best to explain within variation, and a two-  
347 component model was best to explain between variation. However, the components for the two-  
348 component were highly correlated ( $r = 0.82$ ). When utilising a two-component model, both the between  
349 and within-models produced a split between sRPE-B and sRPE-L which loaded heavily within the first  
350 component and sRPE and sRPE-T which loaded heavily in the second component. These findings  
351 suggest that when taking subjective measures during SSG in academy soccer players, the individuals  
352 gestalt sRPE provided similar information to a separate subjective measure assessing technical demands  
353 (sRPE-T). Equally these measures may be somewhat distinct to measures of physical exertion regarding  
354 breathlessness (sRPE-B) and leg muscle exertion (sRPE-L). The findings that the four dRPE measures  
355 did not measure distinct constructs and that they were more likely to represent a single weighted  
356 component, or two closely correlated components may be influenced by the data collection environment  
357 and its relative homogeneity (e.g., collection of data across very similar SSG's). The potential for dRPE  
358 values and relationships to be influenced by the type of training has previously been argued (26). Given  
359 the data collected here was from SSG, placing demands on the technical abilities of players, it is  
360 reasonable to expect there to be some relationship between sRPE and sRPE-T. Previous research in  
361 rugby union players found relationships between sRPE and sRPE-T for training categorised as 'skills',  
362 however weaker relationships for 'skill-based conditioning', the category which most resembled SSG  
363 [42]. Collectively, these findings suggest there is a modality effect on the relationship between dRPE  
364 measures, and this is likely to be affected by the participants and the training modality investigated.

365         Despite this study showing early evidence to suggest that maturity related differences in  
366 perceived exertion of players during SSG's and that bio-banding may be a suitable method to control  
367 for this, there are limitations to this study which should be considered. Firstly, data were collected from  
368 two English professional male soccer academies and, as per previous research [26], the findings may  
369 not be generalisable to other clubs, levels, or sports. Given that the adolescent growth spurt in male

370 academy soccer players typically manifests between 9.7-10.7 years to 13.8-15.2 years [57, 58], methods  
371 used for assessing subjective perceptions of exertions were considered appropriate. Previous research  
372 using the Borg CR10 scale highlighted a lack of sensitivity which may influence relationships between  
373 variables. The CR100 scale, which was used in the present study, has been suggested due to its increased  
374 sensitivity in comparison to the CR10 scale [42]. This increase in sensitivity was not evidenced within  
375 this study and may be due to factors such as the cognitive maturity of the players which was not  
376 measured within the present study. Previous research in youth soccer players has endorsed the use of  
377 scales which contain both pictorial and verbal anchors, such as the OMNI scale, to aid players in  
378 differentiating between RPE categories [59]. Further research investigating the use of different scales,  
379 alongside multivariate methods of analysis, may further the understanding of practitioners with regards  
380 to relationships between dRPE measures.

381

## 382 **Conclusion**

383 Using a bio-banding SSG design study, we have shown that pre-PHV players report higher subjective  
384 measures of exertion than post-PHV players during. Additionally, when evenly mixing players based  
385 on measures of maturation, higher measures of perceived exertion were generally reported. Collectively  
386 these findings support previous findings by Towlson and colleagues (2021) and further suggest that the  
387 use of maturity-matched bio-banded formats create a more equitable (physical) playing environment to  
388 supplement academy soccer player development and talent identification pathways. Whilst maturity-  
389 matched bio-banded formats may control the exertion experienced by young players, practitioners  
390 should also consider that exposure to adversity that comes from being exposed to bigger, stronger and  
391 faster players may limit players opportunity demonstrate key psychological behaviours (Towlson et al  
392 2021) which talent practitioners perceive as most important during the talent identification process  
393 (Towlson et al 2019). Mixed formats may also promote an over-reliance on early-developed players,  
394 leading to higher perceived exertion generally across both post-PHV-, and pre-PHV players [18].  
395 Finally, measures of dRPE were shown to have unique relationships, with gestalt sRPE providing  
396 similar information to sRPE-T and measures of sRPE and SRPE-L also showing distinct relationships.

397 Further research may wish to consider whether measures of dRPE within similar populations are  
398 training mode dependent, providing further information to support practitioners aims of enhancing  
399 talent ID pathways. Therefore, the practical applications of this study are twofold: 1) practitioners  
400 should be aware that during SSG match-play pre-PHV players perceive higher levels of exertion than  
401 post-PHV maturation players, additionally mixed bio-banded games result in higher reported dRPE  
402 values overall, therefore player maturation status must be considered by practitioners during SSG team  
403 selection and be influenced by the desired outcome of the intervention. These considerations should  
404 create more optimum training environments, thus supporting player development. 2) Whilst collection  
405 of dRPE measures may provide useful information with regards to the subjective experience of players,  
406 practitioners should be aware that during SSG gestalt sRPE provides similar information to sRPE-T,  
407 and similarly sRPE-B and sRPE-L provide similar information. Depending on the aims of the training  
408 intervention, practitioners may wish to only consider one, or at most two, measures of subjective load.

#### 409 **Acknowledgements**

410 The authors would like to thank all players and coaching staff at the soccer club for their cooperation  
411 and assistance during this study.

#### 412 **Conflicts of interest**

413 We acknowledge that the author SB is employed by the company who provide foot-mounted IMUs  
414 used to collect players' technical performance data. However, such technology was not  
415 utilised within this study and therefore SB's involvement does not alter our adherence to PLOS  
416 ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

417

418

#### 419 **References**

420

- 421 1. Towlson C, Cobley S, Parkin G, Lovell R. When does the influence of maturation on  
422 anthropometric and physical fitness characteristics increase and subside? *Scand J Med Sci Sports*.  
423 2018;28(8):1946-55. doi: 10.1111/sms.13198.

- 424 2. Philippaerts RM, Vaeyens R, Janssens M, Van Renterghem B, Matthys D, Craen R, et al. The  
425 relationship between peak height velocity and physical performance in youth soccer players. *J Sports*  
426 *Sci.* 2006;24(3):221-30. doi: 10.1080/02640410500189371.
- 427 3. Lovell R, Towlson C, Parkin G, Portas M, Vaeyens R, Cobley S. Soccer player characteristics  
428 in English lower-league development programmes: The relationships between relative age, maturation,  
429 anthropometry and physical fitness. *PloS one.* 2015;10(9):e0137238. doi:  
430 10.1371/journal.pone.0137238.
- 431 4. Deprez D, Fransen J, Boone J, Lenoir M, Philippaerts R, Vaeyens R. Characteristics of high-  
432 level youth soccer players: variation by playing position. *J Sports Sci.* 2015;33(3):243-54. doi:  
433 10.1080/02640414.2014.934707.
- 434 5. Towlson C, Cobley S, Midgley AW, Garrett A, Parkin G, Lovell R. Relative age, maturation  
435 and physical biases on position allocation in elite-youth soccer. *Int J Sports Med.* 2017;38(03):201-9.  
436 doi: 10.1055/s-0042-119029.
- 437 6. Cumming SP, Lloyd RS, Oliver JL, Eisenmann JC, Malina RM. Bio-banding in sport:  
438 applications to competition, talent identification, and strength and conditioning of youth athletes.  
439 *Strength Cond J.* 2017;39(2):34-47. doi: 10.1519/SSC.0000000000000281.
- 440 7. Malina RM, Cumming SP, Rogol AD, Coelho-e-Silva MJ, Figueiredo AJ, Konarski JM, et al.  
441 Bio-banding in youth sports: background, concept, and application. *Sports Med.* 2019;49(11):1671-85.  
442 doi: 10.1007/s40279-019-01166-x.
- 443 8. Helsen WF, Thomis M, Starkes JL, Vrijens S, Ooms G, MacMaster C, et al. Leveling the  
444 Playing Field: A New Proposed Method to Address Relative Age-and Maturity-Related Bias in Soccer.  
445 *Front Sports Act Living.* 2021;3:24. doi: 10.3389/fspor.2021.635379.
- 446 9. Harries SK, Lubans DR, Callister R. Resistance training to improve power and sports  
447 performance in adolescent athletes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Sci Med Sport.*  
448 2012;15(6):532-40. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2012.02.005.
- 449 10. Towlson C, MacMaster C, Parr J, Cumming S. One of these things is not like the other: time to  
450 differentiate between relative age and biological maturity selection biases in soccer? *Science and*  
451 *Medicine in Football.* 2021;1-4. doi: 10.1080/24733938.2021.1946133.

- 452 11. MacMaster C, Portas M, Parkin G, Cumming S, Wilcox C, Towlson C. The effect of bio-  
453 banding on the anthropometric, physical fitness and functional movement characteristics of academy  
454 soccer players. PLOS ONE. 2021;16(11):e0260136. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0260136.
- 455 12. Towlson C, MacMaster C, Gonçalves B, Sampaio J, Toner J, MacFarlane N, et al. The effect  
456 of bio-banding on physical and psychological indicators of talent identification in academy soccer  
457 players. Science and Medicine in Football. 2021:1-13. doi: 10.1080/24733938.2020.1862419.
- 458 13. Ludin D, Donath L, Copley S, Romann M. Effect of bio-banding on physiological and  
459 technical-tactical key performance indicators in youth elite soccer. Eur J Sport Sci. 2021:1-9. doi:  
460 10.1080/17461391.2021.1974100. PubMed PMID: 34542017.
- 461 14. Cumming, Brown, Mitchell, Bunce, Hunt, Hedges, et al. Premier League academy soccer  
462 players' experiences of competing in a tournament bio-banded for biological maturation. J Sports Sci.  
463 2018;36(7):757-65. Epub 2017/06/20. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2017.1340656. PubMed PMID:  
464 28628369.
- 465 15. Bradley B, Johnson D, Hill M, McGee D, Kana-Ah A, Sharpin C, et al. Bio-banding in academy  
466 football: player's perceptions of a maturity matched tournament. Ann Hum Biol. 2019;46(5):400-8. doi:  
467 10.1080/03014460.2019.1640284.
- 468 16. Abbott W, Williams S, Brickley G, Smeeton NJ. Effects of Bio-Banding upon Physical and  
469 Technical Performance during Soccer Competition: A Preliminary Analysis. Journal of Sports.  
470 2019;7(8). Epub 2019/08/17. doi: 10.3390/sports7080193. PubMed PMID: 31416230; PubMed Central  
471 PMCID: PMC6722793.
- 472 17. Towlson C, MacMaster C, Gonçalves B, Sampaio J, Toner J, MacFarlane N, et al. The effect  
473 of bio-banding on technical and tactical indicators of talent identification in academy soccer players.  
474 Science and Medicine in Football. 2021. doi: 10.1080/24733938.2021.2013522.
- 475 18. Towlson C, Abt G, Barrett S, Cumming S, Hunter F, Hamilton A, et al. The effect of bio-  
476 banding on academy soccer player passing networks: Implications of relative pitch size. PLOS ONE.  
477 2021;16(12):e0260867. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0260867.
- 478 19. Moran J, Cervera V, Jones B, Hope E, Drury B, Sandercock G. Can discreet performance  
479 banding, as compared to bio-banding, discriminate technical skills in male adolescent soccer players?

480 A preliminary investigation. *Int J Sports Sci Coach*. 2021;17479541211031170. doi:  
481 10.1177/17479541211031170.

482 20. Towlson, Cobley, Parkin, Lovell. When does the influence of maturation on anthropometric  
483 and physical fitness characteristics increase and subside? *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2018;28(8):1946-55.  
484 Epub 2018/04/19. doi: 10.1111/sms.13198. PubMed PMID: 29668045.

485 21. Salter J, Croix MBDS, Hughes JD, Weston M, Towlson C. Monitoring practices of training  
486 load and biological maturity in UK soccer academies. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2021;16(3):395-  
487 406. doi: 10.1123/ijsp.2019-0624.

488 22. Fransen J, Bush S, Woodcock S, Novak A, Deprez D, Baxter-Jones ADG, et al. Improving the  
489 Prediction of Maturity From Anthropometric Variables Using a Maturity Ratio. *Pediatr Exerc Sci*.  
490 2018;30(2):296-307. Epub 2017/06/13. doi: 10.1123/pes.2017-0009. PubMed PMID: 28605273.

491 23. Moore SA, McKay HA, Macdonald H, Nettlefold L, Baxter-Jones AD, Cameron N, et al.  
492 Enhancing a Somatic Maturity Prediction Model. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2015;47(8):1755-64. Epub  
493 2014/11/26. doi: 10.1249/mss.0000000000000588. PubMed PMID: 25423445.

494 24. Mirwald RL, Baxter-Jones AD, Bailey DA, Beunen GP. An assessment of maturity from  
495 anthropometric measurements. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2002;34(4):689-94. Epub 2002/04/05. doi:  
496 10.1097/00005768-200204000-00020. PubMed PMID: 11932580.

497 25. Khamis HJ, Roche AF. Predicting adult stature without using skeletal age: the Khamis-Roche  
498 method. *Pediatrics*. 1994;94(4):504-7. doi: 10.1542/peds.94.4.504.

499 26. Abbott W, Williams S, Brickley G, Smeeton NJ. Effects of bio-banding upon physical and  
500 technical performance during soccer competition: a preliminary analysis. *Sci Period Res Tech Sport*.  
501 2019;7(8):193. doi: 10.3390/sports7080193.

502 27. Towlson C, MacMaster C, Gonçalves B, Sampaio J, Toner J, MacFarlane N, et al. The effect  
503 of bio-banding on physical and psychological indicators of talent identification in academy soccer  
504 players. *Science and Medicine in Football*. 2020:1-13. doi: 10.1080/24733938.2020.1862419.

505 28. Towlson C, Cope E, Perry JL, Court D, Levett N. Practitioners' multi-disciplinary perspectives  
506 of soccer talent according to phase of development and playing position. *Int J Sports Sci Coach*.  
507 2019;14(4):528-40. doi: 10.1177/1747954119845061.

- 508 29. Larkin P, O'Connor D. Talent identification and recruitment in youth soccer: Recruiter's  
509 perceptions of the key attributes for player recruitment. *PLOS one*. 2017;12(4):e0175716. doi:  
510 10.1371/journal.pone.0175716.
- 511 30. Reeves MJ, Enright KJ, Dowling J, Roberts SJ. Stakeholders' understanding and perceptions  
512 of bio-banding in junior-elite football training. *Soccer & Society*. 2018;19(8):1166-82. doi:  
513 10.1080/14660970.2018.1432384.
- 514 31. Towlson C, Salter J, Ade JD, Enright K, Harper LD, Page RM, et al. Maturity-associated  
515 considerations for training load, injury risk, and physical performance in youth soccer: One size does  
516 not fit all. *J Sport Health Sci*. 2021;10(4):403-12. doi: 10.1016/j.jshs.2020.09.003.
- 517 32. Impellizzeri FM, Marcora SM, Coutts AJ. Internal and external training load: 15 years on. *Int*  
518 *J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2019;14(2):270-3. doi: 10.1123/ijsp.2018-0935.
- 519 33. Vanrenterghem J, Nedergaard NJ, Robinson MA, Drust B. Training load monitoring in team  
520 sports: a novel framework separating physiological and biomechanical load-adaptation pathways.  
521 *Sports Med*. 2017;47(11):2135-42. doi: 10.1007/s40279-017-0714-2.
- 522 34. Harley JA, Barnes CA, Portas M, Lovell R, Barrett S, Paul D, et al. Motion analysis of match-  
523 play in elite U12 to U16 age-group soccer players. *J Sports Sci*. 2010;28(13):1391-7. doi:  
524 10.1080/02640414.2010.510142.
- 525 35. Mendez-Villanueva A, Buchheit M, Simpson B, Bourdon P. Match play intensity distribution  
526 in youth soccer. *Int J Sports Med*. 2013;34(02):101-10. doi: 10.1055/s-0032-1306323.
- 527 36. Maughan P, Swinton P, MacFarlane N. Relationships between training load variables in  
528 professional youth football players. *Int J Sports Med*. 2021;42(7):624-9. doi: 10.1055/a-1300-2959.  
529 PubMed PMID: 33260250.
- 530 37. Maughan PC, MacFarlane NG, Swinton PA. The influence of season phase on multivariate load  
531 relationships in professional youth soccer. *J Sports Sci*. 2021:1-6. doi:  
532 10.1080/02640414.2021.1993642.
- 533 38. Maughan PC, MacFarlane NG, Swinton PA. Relationship between subjective and external  
534 training load variables in youth soccer players. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2021:1-7. doi:  
535 10.1123/ijsp.2019-0956. PubMed PMID: 33607628.

- 536 39. Portas MD, Parkin G, Roberts J, Batterham AM. Maturational effect on Functional Movement  
537 Screen™ score in adolescent soccer players. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2016;19(10):854-8. doi:  
538 10.1016/j.jsams.2015.12.001.
- 539 40. Ryan D, McCall A, Fitzpatrick G, Hennessy L, Meyer T, McCunn R. The influence of maturity  
540 status on movement quality among English Premier League academy soccer players. *Sport Perf Sci*  
541 *Rep*. 2018;32:1-3.
- 542 41. van der Sluis A, Elferink-Gemser M, Coelho-e-Silva M, Nijboer J, Brink M, Visscher C. Sport  
543 injuries aligned to peak height velocity in talented pubertal soccer players. *Int J Sports Med*.  
544 2014;35(04):351-5. doi: 10.1055/s-0033-1349874.
- 545 42. McLaren SJ, Smith A, Spears IR, Weston M. A detailed quantification of differential ratings of  
546 perceived exertion during team-sport training. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2017;20(3):290-5. doi:  
547 10.1016/j.jsams.2016.06.011.
- 548 43. Weston M, Siegler J, Bahnert A, McBrien J, Lovell R. The application of differential ratings of  
549 perceived exertion to Australian Football League matches. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2015;18(6):704-8. doi:  
550 10.1016/j.jsams.2014.09.001.
- 551 44. McLaren SJ, Graham M, Spears IR, Weston M. The sensitivity of differential ratings of  
552 perceived exertion as measures of internal load. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2016;11(3):404-6. doi:  
553 10.1123/ijsp.2015-0223.
- 554 45. Los Arcos A, Yanci J, Mendiguchia J, Gorostiaga EM. Rating of muscular and respiratory  
555 perceived exertion in professional soccer players. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2014;28(11):3280-8. doi:  
556 10.1519/JSC.0000000000000540.
- 557 46. Timmerman ME. Multilevel component analysis. *Br J Math Stat Psychol*. 2006;59(2):301-20.  
558 doi: 10.1348/000711005X67599.
- 559 47. Timmerman ME, Ceulemans E, Lichtwarck-Aschoff A, Vansteelandt K. Multilevel  
560 simultaneous component analysis for studying intra-individual variability and inter-individual  
561 differences. *Dynamic process methodology in the social and developmental sciences*: Springer; 2009.  
562 p. 291-318.



- 563 48. Epstein LH, Valoski AM, Kalarchian MA, McCurley J. Do children lose and maintain weight  
564 easier than adults: a comparison of child and parent weight changes from six months to ten years. *Obes*  
565 *Res.* 1995;3(5):411-7. doi: 10.1002/j.1550-8528.1995.tb00170.x.
- 566 49. Parr J, Winwood K, Hodson-Tole E, Deconinck FJ, Parry L, Hill JP, et al. Predicting the timing  
567 of the peak of the pubertal growth spurt in elite male youth soccer players: evaluation of methods. *Ann*  
568 *Hum Biol.* 2020;47(4):400-8. doi: 10.1080/03014460.2020.1782989.
- 569 50. Fenner JS, Iga J, Unnithan V. The evaluation of small-sided games as a talent identification  
570 tool in highly trained prepubertal soccer players. *J Sports Sci.* 2016;34(20):1983-90. doi:  
571 10.1080/02640414.2016.1149602.
- 572 51. Borg E, Borg G, Larsson K, Letzter M, Sundblad BM. An index for breathlessness and leg  
573 fatigue. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2010;20(4):644-50. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0838.2009.00985.x.
- 574 52. Fanchini M, Ferraresi I, Modena R, Schena F, Coutts AJ, Impellizzeri FM. Use of the CR100  
575 scale for session rating of perceived exertion in soccer and its interchangeability with the CR10. *Int J*  
576 *Sports Physiol Perform.* 2016;11(3):388-92. doi: 10.1123/ijsp.2015-0273.
- 577 53. Pageaux B. Perception of effort in exercise science: definition, measurement and perspectives.  
578 *Eur J Sport Sci.* 2016;16(8):885-94. doi: 10.1080/17461391.2016.1188992.
- 579 54. Kuznetsova A, Brockhoff PB, Christensen RH. lmerTest package: tests in linear mixed effects  
580 models. *J Stat Softw.* 2017;82(1):1-26. doi: 10.18637/jss.v082.i13.
- 581 55. Ceulemans E, Timmerman ME, Kiers HA. The CHull procedure for selecting among multilevel  
582 component solutions. *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems.* 2011;106(1):12-20. doi:  
583 10.1016/j.chemolab.2010.08.001.
- 584 56. Ceulemans E, Wilderjans TF, Kiers HA, Timmerman ME. MultiLevel simultaneous  
585 component analysis: A computational shortcut and software package. *Behavior research methods.*  
586 2016;48(3):1008-20. doi: 10.3758/s13428-015-0626-8.
- 587 57. Towlson C, Copley S, Parkin G, Lovell R. When does the influence of maturation on  
588 anthropometric and physical fitness characteristics increase and subside? *Scand J Med Sci Sports.*  
589 2018;28(8):1946-55. Epub 2018/04/19. doi: 10.1111/sms.13198. PubMed PMID: 29668045.

590 58. Philippaerts RM, Vaeyens R, Janssens M, Van Renterghem B, Matthys D, Craen R, et al. The  
591 relationship between peak height velocity and physical performance in youth soccer players. *J Sports*  
592 *Sci.* 2006;24(3):221-30. Epub 2005/12/22. doi: 10.1080/02640410500189371. PubMed PMID:  
593 16368632.

594 59. Rodríguez-Marroyo JA, Antoñan C. Validity of the session rating of perceived exertion for  
595 monitoring exercise demands in youth soccer players. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2015;10(3):404-7.  
596 doi: 10.1123/ijsp.2014-0058.

597