

Brito, LC, Peçanha, T, Fecchio, RY, Rezende, RA, Sousa, P, Da Silva-Júnior, N, Abreu, A, Silva, G, Mion-Junior, D, Halliwill, JR and Forjaz, CLM (2019) Morning versus Evening Aerobic Training Effects on Blood Pressure in Treated Hypertension. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, 51 (4). pp. 653-662. ISSN 0195-9131

**Downloaded from:** https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/629962/

Version: Accepted Version

Publisher: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.00000000001852

Please cite the published version

https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk

Morning vs Evening Aerobic Training Effects on Blood Pressure in Treated Hypertension

Time of Day of the Exercise in Hypertension

LEANDRO BRITO<sup>1</sup>; TIAGO PEÇANHA<sup>1</sup>; RAFAEL FECCHIO<sup>1</sup>; RAFAEL REZENDE<sup>2</sup>; PATRÍCIA SOUSA<sup>1</sup>; NATAN SILVA-JÚNIOR<sup>1</sup>; ANDREA ABREU<sup>3</sup>; GIOVÂNIO SILVA<sup>3</sup>; DÉCIO MION-JUNIOR<sup>3</sup>; JOHN HALLIWILL<sup>4</sup>; CLAUDIA FORJAZ,<sup>1</sup>

1 – Exercise Hemodynamic Laboratory, School of Physical Education and Sport, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil;

2 – Department of Nephrology, Medical School, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil;

3 – Hypertension Unit, General Hospital, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, São Paulo,Brazil.

4 - Department of Human Physiology, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR, USA.

Author of Correspondence: Cláudia Lúcia de Moraes Forjaz, PhD Av. Prof. Mello Moraes, 65 – Butantã – São Paulo - ZIPCODE: 05508-030 Phone/FAX: +55+11 30913136 E-mail: cforjaz@usp.br

### ABSTRACT

Introduction: The acute blood pressure (BP) decrease is greater after evening than morning exercise, suggesting that evening training may have a greater hypotensive effect. **Objective:** To compare the hypotensive effect of aerobic training performed in the morning versus evening in treated hypertensives. Methods: Fifty treated hypertensive men were randomly allocated to 3 groups: morning training (MT); evening training (ET); and control (C). Training groups cycled for 45min at moderate-intensity (progressing from the heart rate of the anaerobic threshold to 10% below the heart rate of the respiratory compensation point), while C stretched for 30 min. Interventions were conducted 3 times/week for 10 weeks. Clinic and ambulatory BP, hemodynamic, and autonomic mechanisms were evalubate fore and after the interventions. Clinic assessments were performed in the morning (7-9a.m.) and evening (6-8p.m.). Between-within ANOVAs were used (P≤0.05). Results: Only ET decreased clinic systolic BP differently from C and MT (morning assessment -5±6 mmHg and evening assessment -8±7 mmHg, P<0.05). Only ET reduced 24h and asleep diastolic BP differently from C and MT (-3±5 and -3±4 mmHg, respectively, P<0.05). Systemic vascular resistance (SVR) decreased from C only in ET (P=0.03). Vasomotor sympathetic modulation decreased (P=0.001) and baroreflex sensitivity (P<0.02) increased from C in both training groups with greater changes in ET than MT. Conclusions: In treated hypertensive men, aerobic training performed in the evening decreased clinic and ambulatory BP, due to reductions in SVR and vasomotor sympathetic modulation. Aerobic training conducted at both times of day increases baroreflex sensitivity, but with greater after ET.

Key words: Circadian rhythm, physical activity, hypertension, ambulatory blood pressure, vascular resistance, baroreflex control.

### 1 Introduction

2 Hypertension is estimated to affect 1 billion persons worldwide and is considered one 3 of the most important cardiovascular risk factors, being responsible for 8 million deaths 4 per year, mainly of them due cardiovascular causes, such as stroke, myocardium 5 infarction or sudden death (1). Although anti-hypertensive medications may control blood pressure (BP) (2), low physical activity is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular 6 7 morbidity and mortality even in treated hypertensives (3). Thus, the increase of physical activity levels, mainly by performing aerobic training, is recommended as 8 а 9 complementary therapy to medication in hypertension (4, 5).

10 The hypotensive effect of aerobic training has been extensively reported in literature. A classical meta-analysis (6) that included 26 randomized controlled trials with hypertensives 11 12 concluded that aerobic training decreases systolic BP by -8 (-11 to -6) mmHg and diastolic BP by -5 (-7 to -3) mmHg, with the greater reductions obtained with training sessions 13 conducted 2-3 times per week, lasting 30-45 min and with moderate intensity. However, 14 15 despite this well-known chronic hypotensive effect (Evidence category A) (7), BP reductions after aerobic training vary across studies, and some factors, such as higher 16 initial BP, moderate to high training intensities, and concomitant diet-induced weight-17 loss, have been identified as promoters of greater BP decrease (6, 8). Further, up to 25% 18 19 of hypertensives appear to be non-responders to exercise, and don't demonstrate BP 20 decreases, due to genetic characteristic and/or other unrecognized factors (9). For these 21 reasons, other factors that potentiate the hypotensive effect of aerobic training must be investigated. 22

Along these lines, the time of day at which aerobic training is performed may
influence BP reductions after training. The BP decrease that occurs after a single session
of aerobic exercise has been shown to be greater when exercise was performed in the evening
than in the morning (10, 11). In addition, acute and chronic hypotensive effects of aerobic

highly correlated (12, 13), consistent with the concept that the chronic effects of training may
result from the sum of its acute effects (12-14). This suggests a novel concept, that aerobic
training performed in the evening might potentiate chronic BP reductions. In further support
of this concept, the greater BP decrease observed after an acute session of evening aerobic
exercise has been attributed to a greater decrease in systemic vascular resistance (SVR) (10),
which is also the main mechanism responsible for BP reductions after aerobic training (i.e. a
decrease in SVR explains the training-induced decrease in BP) (15).

8 Despite this background suggesting a better benefit of evening aerobic training for 9 hypertensives, to the best of our knowledge, no previous study has compared the hypotensive effects of aerobic training performed at different times of day. Thus, this study was designed 10 11 to compare the effects of 10 weeks of aerobic training, performed in the morning versus in the 12 evening, on clinic and ambulatory BPs as well as on hemodynamic and autonomic mechanisms. The hypothesis was that clinic and ambulatory BP would decrease after training performed at 13 both times of day due to a decrease in SVR, but these reductions would be greater after the 14 15 evening than the morning training.

16

### 17 Methods

### 18 Study participants

The subjects were recruited through advertisements at the university campus and social media as well as in hypertension awareness campaigns conducted at different regions of the city of São Paulo, Brazil. To participate, subjects needed to be men, aging between 30 and 65 years, and with resting systolic and diastolic BPs lower than 160 and 105 mmHg, respectively, while receiving anti-hypertensive drugs for at least 4 months. Exclusion criteria included: i) participation in regular exercise more than once a week; ii) presence of secondary hypertension and/or target-organ damage; iii) presence of morningness or eveningness chronotypes (i.e. 1 scores <42 or >58, respectively, in the Horne and Ostberg's questionnaire ) (16); iv) presence of obesity stage 2 or greater (i.e. body mass index - BMI  $\ge$  35 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) (17); v) presence of other 2 3 cardiovascular disease besides hypertension; vi) insulin use; vi) use of medications that directly 4 affect cardiac autonomic modulation assessment. such as beta-blockers and nondihydropyridine calcium channel blockers; vii) presence of any cardiovascular abnormality 5 6 in resting or exercise electrocardiograms (ECG); and viii) unavailability for participating either in the morning or evening training. In addition, if doses and/or type of anti-hypertensive drugs 7 8 changed during the study, the subject was excluded.

9 This study followed the principles in the Declaration of Helsinki, has been approved by 10 the Research Ethical Committee of the School of Physical Education and Sport of the 11 University of São Paulo (n° 966.072), and was registered at the Brazilian Clinical Trials 12 (www.ensaiosclinicos.gov.br - RBR-7q7pz7).

13

### 14 **Preliminary exams**

Subjects who agreed to participate signed the informed consent and underwent 15 preliminary exams to verify whether they fulfill the study criteria. Medical history was 16 17 investigated in a detailed interview with a physician. Resting auscultatory BP was measured three times after 5 min of seated rest with a mercury sphygmomanometer (Uniteq, São Paulo, 18 19 Brazil). This procedure was repeated in two visits, and mean BP of the six measures was 20 calculated (4, 5). Body weight and height were measured (Filizola S.A, Personal, Campo Grande, Brazil), and BMI calculated. Chronotype status was confirmed with the Horne and 21 Ostberg's questionnaire (16). Presence of target-organ damage and possibility of secondary 22 23 hypertension were assessed through a detailed screening, including blood and urine analyses (5). ECG at rest and during maximal exercise test were analyzed for exclusion of cardiac 24 abnormalities (18). 25

1

## 2 Study design and experimental protocol

3 This was a randomized controlled trial designed to compare the effects of morning 4 and evening aerobic training on clinic and ambulatory BP, as the primary outcomes, 5 and on hemodynamic and autonomic mechanisms, as the secondary outcomes. For that the subjects were randomly allocated to one of three groups: morning training (MT: 7-9 a.m.), 6 evening training (ET: 6-8 p.m.) or control group (C). Half of the subjects from the C 7 group were randomly assigned to participate in the control intervention at 7-9 a.m. and the 8 9 other half at 6-8 p.m. to assure the circadian exposition. These specific time periods were chosen because they overlap the morning increase (7-9.a.m.) and the evening decrease 10 (6-8.p.m.) of BP (19). In addition, acute post-exercise hypotension has been reported to be 11 12 different between exercises conducted at these specific time periods (10). Thus, these aspects suggest that the times chosen are more likely to reveal any possible effect of time of day on 13 training responses. 14

15 Randomization for the groups was conducted by chance, employing a blocking method. Every three subjects who entered the study drew a number from a bag to determine 16 17 their groups: MT, ET or C. Then, the subject who took the C group did a new raffle to be allocated in either the morning or evening to perform the control intervention. In the 18 19 next three subjects and omization block, the subject sorted for the C group performed the 20 control intervention in the other time of day. This process was supervised by a blinded 21 researcher. Interventions in all groups (MT, ET and C) occurred 3 times per week for 10 22 weeks, and were supervised by a bachelor in physical education. After this period, all 23 subjects were reevaluated (complete flowchart can be seen in supplementary files S1).

Initial and final evaluations were composed by: 1) two maximal
 cardiopulmonary exercise tests conducted in a random order and separated by at least 3 days:
 one in the morning (7-9.a.m.) and one in the evening (8-10.p.m.); and 2) two resting
 cardiovascular evaluations

conducted in the same day, the first in the morning (7-9.a.m.) and the second in the evening (6 8.p.m.), and at least 3 days after the last maximal exercise test. Final evaluations were initiated
 3-4 days after the last training session.

Before all evaluations, the subjects were instructed to avoid physical efforts and alcoholic beverages for the previous 24 h, and to take their anti-hypertensive medication as usual. Laboratory temperature was kept between 20-22°C and the windows were uncovered assuring luminosity as a time-clue for circadian adjustments (20).

8 For the maximal cardiopulmonary exercise tests, subjects were instructed to have a light 9 meal two hours before. The tests were conducted on a cycle ergometer, initiating with a 3-min 10 warm up at 30 W, followed by 15 W increases every minute until the subjects were unable to 11 maintain 60 rpm. ECG and VO<sub>2</sub> were continuously measured and BP was measured every 2 12 min.

For the resting cardiovascular assessments, subjects were instructed to arrive at the 13 laboratory after an overnight fast for the evaluation at 7-9a.m., and with at least 4 h fasting for 14 the evaluation at 6-8p.m. Thirty minutes before the assessments, the subjects received a 15 standardized meal (two cereal bars: approximately 148 Kcal, with 84% carbohydrate, 8% 16 protein and 7% fat each; and 50 ml of juice: approximately 27 Kcal with 100% carbohydrate). 17 Then, they rested in the seated position for a total of 40 min. ECG, beat-by-beat BP and breath-18 19 by-breath respiratory signals were registered from minutes 10 to 20 for autonomic evaluation. 20 Auscultatory BP, HR, and cardiac output (CO) were measured in triplicate between minutes 20 and 40. In addition, after the evening assessments, an ambulatory BP monitor was positioned 21 on the subjts' non -dominant arm and was removed after 24 hours of recording. 22

23

### 24 Intervention

1 The training groups (MT and ET) performed progressive aerobic training only on cycle ergometer (CEFISE, Biotec 2100, Campinas, Brazil). Over the first four weeks of training, 2 3 exercise duration increased from 30 to 45 min. From the fifth week, intensity increased 4 progressively every two weeks from the HR at the anaerobic threshold to the HR 10% below the respiratory compensation point. This training protocol has shown to decrease BP 5 6 and improve cardiovascular autonomic regulation in hypertensives (21). Training intensity was set up based on the maximal cardiopulmonary exercise test executed at the same time 7 8 of day as the training sessions. During the training sessions, HR was continuously 9 monitored (Polar A3tm, Kempele, Finland), and workload was adjusted to achieve the target HR. The subjects from the C group performed stretching exercises for 30 minutes. All 10 subjects were instructed to keep the same routine throughout the study, and not to 11 12 participate in any other regular exercise program.

13

### 14 Measurements

During the maximal cardiopulmonary exercise tests, ECG was continuously registered (EMG System do Brazil, EMG, 030110/00B, São Paulo, Brazil) and auscultatory BP was measured with a mercury column (Uniteq, São Paulo, Brazil). Oxygen uptake (VO<sub>2</sub>) was measured by a metabolic cart (CPX Ultima, Med Graphics, Minnesota, USA), and analyzed in averages of 30s. Anaerobic threshold and respiratory compensation point were determined in accordance with Skinner & McLellan's criteria (22) by two different evaluators, and a third one was consulted to solve discrepancies.

During resting cardiovascular evaluations, the same experienced researcher, who was
not blinded to the study group, made all auscultatory BP measurements using a mercury column
(Uniteq, São Paulo, Brazil). ECG (EMG System do Brazil, EMG 030110/00B, São Paulo,
Brazil) was continuously obtained and HR registered. CO was estimated by the indirect Fick

method of CO<sub>2</sub> rebreathing technique (23) using a metabolic cart (CPX Ultima, Medical
Graphics Corporation, Minnesota, USA) as previously reported (10). Stroke volume (SV) and
SVR were calculated: SV=CO/HR and SVR=Mean BP/CO. The coefficients of variation of
these measures in our laboratory are 8.9% for CO, 12.7% for SVR, and 11.1% for SV. As BP,
HR, and CO were assessed in triplicate, the averages of these values were used for analyses.

Cardiovascular autonomic modulation was assessed by the spectral analysis of HR and 6 variability. R-R intervals measured by ECG, beat-by-beat BP obtained by 7 BP photoplethysmography (Finometer, Finapres Medical System, Arnhem, Netherlands), and 8 9 breath-by-breath respiratory signal assessed by a thoracic piezoelectric belt (UFI, Pneumotrace2, Morro Bay, USA) were continuously registered for 10 min through a data 10 acquisition system (Windaq, Dataq Instruments, Akron, Ohio, USA) with a sampling rate of 11 12 500 Hz per channel. These signals were exported to the software for analysis (Heart Scope II, v. 1.3.0.1, A.M.P.S. LLC, New York, USA). HR and BP variability was assessed by 13 autoregressive analysis carried out in stationary segments of 300 beats. Cardiac 14 15 sympathovagal balance was represented by the ratio between low and high frequency components of the HR variability (LF/HF) and vasomotor sympathetic modulation by the total 16 17 variance of systolic BP variability (TV<sub>SBP</sub>). The oscillatory components of the time series were modeled by the Levinson-Durbin recursion and the model order was chosen according 18 to Akaike's criterion (24), as previously described by the Task Force (25). Cardiac 19 20 spontaneously baroreflex sensitivity (cBRS) was assessed using the sequence technique as 21 previously describe (26).

Ambulatory BP was recorded every 15 min for 24 hours through an oscillometric device
(Spacelabs 90207, Spacelabs, Inc., Redmond, Washington, USA). All subjects were instructed
to avoid exercise and to keep similar daily routines during both initial and final evaluations.
Only records with more than 85% of successful measures were analyzed. Data were averaged
across time to provide the following measures: 24-hour (all measurements); awake (all

measurements taken while the subjects reported to be awaked); and asleep (all measurements
 taken while the subjects reported to be sleeping).

3

as

### 4 Statistical analysis

5 Considering a medium effect size (ES) (Cohen's f of 0.30) for clinic systolic BP, a 6 power of 0.80 and an  $\alpha$  of 0.05 for a between -within ANOVA with 3 groups, the minimal 7 sample size required for this study was 30 subjects (i.e. 10 per group) (G\*Power v. 3.1.9.2, 8 Universität Kiel, Germany). For the other variables, posteriori power analyses were 9 conducted, and a power above 0.80 was also found for almost all analyses (22 analyses); 10 except for asleep and 24h systolic BP ( $\beta$ =0.75 or 0.57, respectively), awake diastolic BP ( $\beta$ 11 =0.65), diastolic BP measured at the morning evaluation ( $\beta$ =0.77), and HR, diastolic BP and 12 TV<sub>SBP</sub> measured at the evening evaluation  $\beta$ =0.77, 0.39 and 0.05, respectively).

As this study intended to compare morning and evening training efficacy and not efficiency, only data from the subjects who complete at least 75% of the intervention sessions were analyzed (i.e. non-intention to treat analysis).

16 The normality of data for each group was checked by Shapiro-Wilk test (IBM SPSS 17 for windows, Illinois, USA). When a normal distribution was not observed, data were natural 18 log-transformed (ln) and normality was achieved. The homogeneity among the groups was 19 tested by Levene's test (IBM SPSS for windows, Illinois, USA).

Data analysis was separately applied for each assessment period (7-9.a.m. and
6-8.pm.). A two-way between-within ANOVA (3 x 2) was employed, considering group (MT,
ET, and C) as the between factor and study phase (initial vs. final) as the within factor. Posthoc comparisons were made using the Newman-Keuls test (Statsoft, Statistic for windows,
USA). ANCOVAs analyses considering initial values as covariate were also employed but as
results were similar to the ANOVAs, they were not shown. For all analyses, P≤0.05 was set

significant and data are shown as mean±standard deviation. As a complementary analysis for
 clinic BP, the effects sizes (ES) between the groups responses at final evaluation (Final values)
 we re alcutted using the Ghen's d, and we re tassifie d as small (ES≤0.49), medium (ES 0.50 0.79) or la rge (ES≥0.80) (27).

5

### 6 **RESULTS**

A total of 210 subjects were interviewed, and 88 signed the consent to participate and underwent the preliminary exams. Twenty-one did not fulfill the study criteria, and an additional 11 dropped out during the initial evaluation. Therefore, 56 subjects were randomized into the groups (MT=18, ET=18, C=20). During the interventions, 3 subjects from each training groups dropped out. Therefore, 50 subjects (MT=15, ET=15 and C=20) finished the study and had their data analyzed (Complete flowchart in supplementary files – Fig. S1).

13

### 14 Subjects' characteristics

The groups were well matched for age, anthropometrics, rest BP, chronotype, comorbidity, and anti-hypertensive drugs (Table 1). Adherence to training sessions was high and similar among the groups (MT =  $95.3\pm4.3$ , ET =  $96.9\pm4.4$ , and C =  $95.7\pm4.2\%$ , p =0.43).

Exercise intensity was similar throughout the MT and ET (first training session - MT = 19 103.7 $\pm$ 5.4 vs. ET = 102.6 $\pm$ 4.9 % of HR of anaerobic threshold, P=0.87; and last training session - MT = 90.4 $\pm$ 3.3 vs. ET = 89.2 $\pm$ 6.2 % of HR of respiratory compensation point, P=0.63). Absolute mean values of HR and workload throughout the training sessions were also similar between MT and ET (MT = 117 $\pm$ 9 vs. ET = 124 $\pm$ 15 bpm, P=0.20 and MT = 61 $\pm$ 15 vs. ET = 57 $\pm$ 13 Watts, P=0.32).

Weight did not change in neither group throughout the study (MT = 88.0±12.3 vs. 87.1
±12.0; ET = 89.1±14.9 vs. 89.4±14.3; and C = 88.2±15.9 vs. 88.4±15.7 kg, P=0.23).

VO<sub>2</sub>peak increased significant and similarly after the MT and ET at both, morning and evening tests, and it did not change after the C (morning tests: MT =  $21.4\pm3.2$  vs.  $23.1\pm3.4$ ; ET =  $21.4\pm3.4$  vs.  $23.0\pm4.6$ ; and C =  $21.1\pm4.3$  vs.  $21.0\pm4.0$ , ml.kg<sup>-1</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup>, P = 0.05; and evening tests: MT =  $22.2\pm3.2$  vs.  $24.5\pm3.9$ ; ET  $21.0\pm4.1$  vs.  $23.3\pm3.8$ ; C =  $21.7\pm4.3$  vs.  $21.7\pm2.9$  ml.kg.min<sup>-1</sup> , P = 0.03).

6

### 7 Clinic and ambulatory blood pressure

8 All results of clinic BP are shown in the Figure 1. For the morning evaluation, systolic 9 BP decreased after MT and ET, and did not change after C. Only the decrease after ET was different from C and greater than MT. The ES for ET vs. C was medium (-0.63, CI = -1.03 to 10 11 +0.07). For the evening evaluation, systolic BP decreased only after the ET, and this decrease 12 was significantly different than MT and C. The ESs for ET vs. C and ET vs. MT were medium (-0.50, CI = -1.17 to +0.19; and -0.61, CI = -1.33 to +0.13, respectively). At both, morning and 13 evening evaluations, diastolic BP decreased only after ET, but these reductions were not 14 15 different from C. The ESs for ET vs. C at both, morning and evening evaluations were small (-0.36, CI = -1.04 to +0.34 and -0.29, CI = -0.97 to +0.41, respectively).16

Ambulatory systolic BP did not change in any of the three groups whether presented as 24-hour, awake or asleep averages. Likewise, awake diastolic BP also did not change. In contrast, 24-hour and asleep diastolic BPs decreased only after ET, and these decreases were significantly different from MT and C (Figure 2).

21

### 22 Hemodynamics and cardiovascular autonomic

All hemodynamic and autonomic variables are presented in the table 2 where significance levels are also shown. For morning evaluations (7-9a.m.), mean BP decreased only after ET, and this reduction was greater than after C and MT. CO did not change in any group, while SVR decreased after ET and MT, but only the decrease after ET was different from C. SV increased only after ET, and the response was different from after C, while HR decreased similarly after MT and ET, with both being different from after C. LF/HF measured after ET and MT were lower than after C.  $TV_{SBP}$  increased after C and decreased only after ET, with responses after MT being different from and C, and responses after ET different from C and MT. cBRS increased after MT and ET, with both increases being different from after C, and the increase after ET greater than MT.

8 For the evening evaluations (6-8p.m), mean BP decreased only after ET, and this 9 response was different than after C and MT. CO did not change in all groups, while SVR 10 diminished only after ET and this response was different than after C and MT. SV increased 11 only after ET and this response was different than after C, while HR decreased after MT and 12 ET but only HR response after ET was different from after C. LF/HF and TV<sub>SBP</sub> did not change 13 in any group. cBRS measured after ET was higher than after MT and C.

14

### 15 **DISCUSSION**

The main findings of the present study were that, in treated hypertensive men, only
aerobic training performed in the evening produced clinic and ambulatory hypotensive effects.
In addition, this hypotensive effect of evening exercise was accompanied by reductions in SVR
and TV<sub>SBP</sub> and increases in cBRS.

After MT, only systolic BP assessed in the morning evaluation decreased significantly
in comparison with the initial values. However, this response was not different from after C,
indicating that this decrease in BP cannot be considered as a real hypotensive effect of MT.
Additionally, the ES of this comparison was really small (-0.09 vs. C, Figure 1, panel b).
Finally, as can be seen in figure 3 (panels a and b), only 53 and 20% of the subjects
responded to MT with a decrease in SBP above 4.7 mmHg (i.e. the minimal detectable change calculated

1 based on resting BP measurements) for morning and evening evaluations, respectively. On 2 the other hand, clinic systolic BP decrease observed after ET in both evaluations, morning and evening, were significantly different from C and also from MT, showing a real 3 4 hypotensive effect of the ET. In addition, decrease of systolic BP after ET presented medium ES (-0.63 vs. C, Figure 1, panel b), and individual data shown in Figure 3 demonstrate 5 that most of the subjects (60% for evening assessment) decreased systolic BP above the 6 7 minimal detected change after ET. Interestingly, only ET produced a significant decrease in 24-hour and asleep diastolic BP, and these responses differed from C and MT, also 8 9 showing a real ambulatory hypotensive effect of ET. Based on these results, the present study showed that only ET decreased clinic and ambulatory BP in treated hypertensive men. 10 The systolic/diastolic clinic BP decreases observed after ET were -5±6/-3±3 in 11

the morning evaluations and -8±7/-4±3 mmHg in the evening evaluations. In addition, the magnitude of 24-hour and asleep diastolic BP decreases observed in the present study were -3±5 and -3±4 mmHg, respectively. These magnitudes might seem small at first, but they are within those reported in previous meta-analysis for aerobic training in hypertensives [i.e. clinic systolic/diastolic BP decreases of -8 (-11 to -6)/-5 (-7 to -3) mmHg, 24-hour diastolic BP decrease of -3 (-4 to -2) mmHg, and asleep diastolic BP decrease of -2 (-2 to -1) mmHg] (6, 8).

An intriguing result of the present study was the absence of BP reduction after MT. 19 Few studies in literature reported the time of day at which training was conducted. In our 20 literature search, only two studies reported the exact time of day of training, in one of them 21 the subjects trained in the morning (28) and in the other, part of the subjects trained in the 22 morning and the other part in the evening (29). Both studies did not report BP decrease after 23 training, showing previous evidence of the lack of hypotensive effect with training in the 24 morning. The reasons for the absence of hypotensive effect after MT are not clear, but a 25 possible explanation may be the use of anti-hypertensive drugs. Previous study with animals found no additional decrease

1 in BP when aerobic exercise was combined with anti-hypertensive treatment in comparison with medication treatment alone (30). In addition, the hypotensive effects of training are 2 3 supposed to be lower when BP levels are lower (6, 8). Anti-hypertensive drugs present their 4 greatest activity until ~3 hours after their ingestion (31). In the present study, all subjects took medications in the morning and only approximately 50% of them also took medications in the 5 6 evening. Thus, all subjects in the MT went to training sessions under the greatest activity of anti-hypertensives, while only some subjects were under this greatest effect at the ET. Then, it 7 8 is possible to suppose that the concomitant action of medication might have blunted the 9 hypotensive effect of aerobic training when training sessions were performed in the morning. However, these are only hypotheses that should be tested with an appropriate study design. 10

The absence of decrease in clinic diastolic BP and in awake BP might also be 11 12 unexpected. However, the effects of aerobic training on clinic diastolic BP is usually lower 13 when compared to systolic BP since many studies included in meta-analysis have not reported any diastolic hypotensive effect of aerobic training (6, 8). Concerning, awake BP, the same 14 15 rational regarding the use of anti-hypertensives might be employed since all subjects were under anti-hypertensive effects during the daytime; which might have mitigated the 16 hypotensive effect of both training regimens. Actually, some previous studies with treated 17 hypertensives also did not find reduction in ambulatory BP in treated hypertensives (32). 18

19 Regarding hemodynamic and autonomic results, as expected, at both time evaluations 20 (morning and evening), BP reductions induced by evening training were accompanied by a 21 reduction in SVR (15). Among the possible mechanisms for reduced SVR, a reduction in 22 sympathetic activity after aerobic training has been reported (33). In accordance, the current 23 study observed a decrease in vasomotor sympathetic modulation, assessed by  $TV_{SBP}$  (34). The 24 new contribution of the present study was to show that these effects, i.e. the decrease of SVR 25 and sympathetic vasomotor modulation as well as the increase of cBRS were more evident after the aerobic training performed in the evening. It is interesting to note that a previous
study reported that autonomic changes precede BP decrease induced by training in
hypertensives rats (35), which may explain why MT have not produce a decrease in BP
despite its effects on autonomic variables.

As expected, both trainings did not change CO, but decreased HR (15) which 5 was accompanied by a slightly change in LF/HF, especially in morning assessments. 6 Previous studies (36, 37) have also observed HR decrease after training accompanied by 7 small changes in LF/HF, and explanations for not observing greater effects on HR 8 9 variability is mainly the fact that this assessment reflects cardiac autonomic modulation and not activity (25). In addition, the presence of anti-hypertensive treatment is also a 10 possible explanation since some anti-hypertensives drugs, widely used in the current sample, 11 12 such as angiotensin receptor type I blockers (47% of the subjects) and angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibitors (40% of the subjects), may have a chronic effect on HR 13 variability (38) that might have masked the effect of training. It is interesting to observe that 14 15 HR decrease was accompanied by an increase in cBRS, as previously reported (33). However, the novelty of the current study was to show that this effect on cBRS is more 16 17 evident when training is conducted in the evening than in the morning. In addition, as cBRS was increased while HR decreased in the presence of BP maintenance after MT or 18 19 decrease after ET, these results suggest that baroreflex set point was changed by training, 20 especially after ET, which should be investigated in the future.

As clinical perspective, the greater hypotensive effect induced by ET may have clinical importance, since a decrease of 3 mmHg in clinic systolic BP has been associated with an 8% lower risk for stroke and 5% for coronary heart disease mortality (39). In addition, ambulatory BP and especially asleep BP are related to end-target damage and cardiovascular risk in hypertension (40). Thus, by decreasing asleep BP, ET may reduce hypertension consequences. Nevertheless, it is also interesting to highlight that cBRS is independently associated with mortality (41). Thus, as ET and MT increased cBRS, training at both times of day may have
benefits on cardiovascular risk. The present results extend previous knowledge by showing that
the clinical benefits of aerobic training in treated hypertensive men are especially greater when
training is conducted in the evening. Therefore, training at this time of day may be especially
recommended for those hypertensives who need a more intensive treatment, such as those with
higher cardiovascular risk, resistant hypertension or non-dipper hypertension.

7 Although the present study has not revealed a significant hypotensive effect of MT, 8 some variables related to BP control (such as HR, LF/HF, lnVT<sub>SBP</sub> and cBRS) improved in the 9 MT, suggesting that training at this time of day might also bring cardiovascular benefits. In addition, a non-significant decrease in BP in comparison to C was observed suggesting that 10 MT may decrease BP with a greater stimulus. As discussed before, experimental data suggest 11 that autonomic adaptations precede the hypotensive effects of aerobic training (35); thus, a 12 longer period of training may lead to a significant reduction of BP after MT. In addition, a 13 14 greater weekly frequency and/or a higher intensity or duration may produce a quicker adaptation leading to BP reduction after MT. These hypotheses should be tested in the future. 15

We acknowledge that we limited our investigation to sedentary middle-aged men, 16 17 excluded extreme chronotypes and limit training to 10 weeks. Thus, it is necessary caution when generalizing the results to other conditions to life-long exercisers, extreme 18 morningness or eveningness chronotypes, other aged groups or women. There is evidence 19 20 that BP responses to training (42) vary between genders. As this is the first study investigating time of day influence on BP, only men were studied and future studies should 21 22 investigate women. Another potential confounder might be the anti-hypertensive medication use since some drugs are more likely to impact on exercise responses than others. Along 23 this line, subjects receiving beta-blocker and non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers 24 did not participate in the study due to the direct effect of these drugs on HR variability 25 assessed by spectral analysis. We are unable

1 to say how subjects using these medications would have responded to either morning or 2 evening training. Evaluators not blinded to interventions may be considered a limitation; 3 however, the same highly experienced evaluator performed all measurements, which 4 minimizes the limitation (43). VO<sub>2</sub> peak was measured with cycle ergometer tests and greater values may be achieved with treadmill tests. However, as training was conducted exclusively 5 6 with cycling, testing with this ergometer is better to establish exercise intensity and to reveal the effects of training. Despite exercise and diet may change body composition, 7 8 and consequently, decrease BP, diet was not controlled but the subjects were instructed to 9 keep their eating habits. As their weight did not change, alterations in body composition are unlikely to have impacted the results. Subjects' physical activity was not monitored, but 10 11 they were instructed to keep their daily routines throughout the study. Training exclusively in 12 the morning or the evening was applied. As time of day has influenced training responses, future studies should investigate whether these effects are also different with training 13 schedules combining training at different times of day (within the same day or in different 14 15 days of the week). Finally, power analyses revealed low power (<0.50) for DBP and TV<sub>SBP</sub> evaluated at evening, increasing the chance of type II error for these variables. 16 17 However, these variables did not reveal significant differences from C.

In conclusion, in treated hypertensive men, aerobic training performed in the evening decreased clinic and ambulatory BP, due to reductions in vasomotor sympathetic modulation and systemic vascular resistance. In addition, aerobic training conducted at both, morning and evening, increased baroreflex sensitivity with a greater effect after evening training.

22

### 23 Abbreviation list

24 MT - morning training group

**ET** - evening training group

- **C** control group
- **BP** blood pressure
- HR heart rate
- **SVR** systemic vascular resistance
- **CO** cardiac output
- $\mathbf{6}$  **SV** stroke volume
- 7 LF/HF low to high frequency ratio of the HR variability
- **TV**<sub>SBP</sub> total variance of systolic BP variability
- **cBRS** cardiac spontaneous baroreflex sensitivity

### 11 Acknowledgments

The authors thank to Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP 2014/21676-6), Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq 304003/2014-0) and Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento Pessoal de Nivel Superior - Brasil (CAPES- financial code 001) for financial support. The authors also thank all volunteers for participating.

### **Conflicts of interest**

19 There is no conflict of interest, this study had no endorsement by ACSM. All data are original,

and none of them were fabricated or manipulated.

### **REFERENCES**

Lawes CM, Vander Hoorn S, Rodgers A, International Society of H. Global burden of
 blood-pressure-related disease, 2001. *Lancet*. 2008;371(9623):1513-8.

- Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation Study I, Yusuf S, Sleight P et al. Effects of an
   angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor, ramipril, on cardiovascular events in high risk patients. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2000;342(3):145-53.
- Ratnaparkhe V, Bhangale A. Left Ventricular Diastolic Dysfunction in Primary
   Hypertension and its Relation with Leisure Time Physical Activity. *The Journal of the Association of Physicians of India*. 2015;63(7):20-4.
- 7 4. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR et al. Seventh report of the Joint National
  8 Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood
  9 Pressure. *Hypertension*. 2003;42(6):1206-52.
- 10 5. Malachias MVB, Paulo Cesar Veiga Jardim PCVJ, Almeida FA, Lima EJ, Feitosa GS.
- 7th Brazilian Guideline of Arterial Hypertension: Chapter 7 Pharmacological
   Treatment. *Arquivos brasileiros de cardiologia*. 2016;107(3 Suppl 3):35-43.
- Cornelissen VA, Smart NA. Exercise training for blood pressure: a systematic review
  and meta-analysis. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2013;2(1):e004473.
- Pescatello LS, Franklin BA, Fagard R, Farquhar WB, Kelley GA, Ray CA. American
   College of Sports Medicine position stand. Exercise and hypertension. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2004;36(3):533-53.
- Sosner P, Guiraud T, Gremeaux V, Arvisais D, Herpin D, Bosquet L. The ambulatory
   hypotensive effect of aerobic training: a reappraisal through a meta-analysis of selected
   moderators. *Scandinavian journal of medicine & science in sports*. 2017;27(3):327-41.
- 9. Hagberg JM, Park JJ, Brown MD. The role of exercise training in the treatment of
  hypertension: an update. *Sports medicine*. 2000;30(3):193-206.
- de Brito LC, Rezende RA, da Silva Junior ND et al. Post-Exercise Hypotension and Its
  Mechanisms Differ after Morning and Evening Exercise: A Randomized Crossover
  Study. *PloS one*. 2015;10(7):e0132458.

1	11.	Park S, Jastremski CA, Wallace JP. Time of day for exercise on blood pressure
2		reduction in dipping and nondipping hypertension. J Hum Hypertens. 2005;19(8):597-
3		605.
4	12.	Gkaliagkousi E, Gavriilaki E, Douma S. Effects of acute and chronic exercise in
5		patients with essential hypertension: benefits and risks. American journal of
6		hypertension. 2015;28(4):429-39.
7	13.	Liu S, Goodman J, Nolan R, Lacombe S, Thomas SG. Blood pressure responses to
8		acute and chronic exercise are related in prehypertension. Med Sci Sports Exerc.
9		2012;44(9):1644-52.
10	14.	da Nobrega AC. The subacute effects of exercise: concept, characteristics, and clinical
11		implications. Exercise and sport sciences reviews. 2005;33(2):84-7.
12	15.	Cornelissen VA, Fagard RH. Effects of endurance training on blood pressure, blood
13		pressure-regulating mechanisms, and cardiovascular risk factors. Hypertension.
14		2005;46(4):667-75.
15	16.	Horne JA, Ostberg O. A self-assessment questionnaire to determine morningness-
16		eveningness in human circadian rhythms. Int J Chronobiol. 1976;4(2):97-110.
17	17.	National Institutes of Health. The Practical Guide Identification, Evaluation, and
18		Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults. NIH Publication. 2000;(00_4084):1-
19		75.
20	18.	Sociedade Brasileira de Cardiologia S. III Diretrizes da Sociedade Brasileira de
21		Cardiologia Sobre Teste Ergométrico Arquivos brasileiros de cardiologia. 2010;95(5
22		supl. 1):1-26.
23	19.	Portaluppi FS, M. H. Circadians rhythms and environmental determinants of blood
24		pressure regulation in normal and hypertensive conditions. In: H Press. editor. Blood
25		Pressure Monitoring in Cardiovascular Medicine and Therapeutics.

- 1 . Totowa: Humana Press; 2007, pp. 133-56.
- 2 20. Golombek DA, Rosenstein RE. Physiology of circadian entrainment. *Physiological reviews*. 2010;90(3):1063-102.
- Pitsavos C, Chrysohoou C, Koutroumbi M et al. The impact of moderate aerobic
  physical training on left ventricular mass, exercise capacity and blood pressure response
  during treadmill testing in borderline and mildly hypertensive males. *Hellenic journal of cardiology : HJC = Hellenike kardiologike epitheorese*. 2011;52(1):6-14.
- 8 22. Skinner JS, McLellan TM. The transition from aerobic to anaerobic metabolism.
  9 *Research quarterly for exercise and sport*. 1980;51(1):234-48.
- Collier CR. Determination of mixed venous CO2 tensions by rebreathing. *Journal of applied physiology*. 1956;9(1):25-9.
- Malliani A, Pagani M, Lombardi F, Cerutti S. Cardiovascular neural regulation
  explored in the frequency domain. *Circulation*. 1991;84(2):482-92.
- Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and the North American Society of
  Pacing and Electrophysiology. Heart rate variability: standards of measurement,
  physiological interpretation and clinical use. Task Force of the European Society of
  Cardiology and the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology. *Circulation*. 1996;93(5):1043-65.
- Parati G, Di Rienzo M, Bertinieri G et al. Evaluation of the baroreceptor-heart rate
  reflex by 24-hour intra-arterial blood pressure monitoring in humans. *Hypertension*.
  1988;12(2):214-22.
- 22 27. Cohen J. CHAPTER 1 The Concepts of Power Analysis. In. *Statistical Power Analysis* 23 *for the Behavioral Sciences (Revised Edition)*: Academic Press; 1977, pp. 1-17.
- 24 28. Dalleck LC, Allen BA, Hanson BA, Borresen EC, Erickson ME, De Lap SL. Dose25 response relationship between moderate-intensity exercise duration and coronary heart

disease risk factors in postmenopausal women. Journal of women's health.
 2009;18(1):105-13.

# 3 29. Finucane FM, Sharp SJ, Purslow LR et al. The effects of aerobic exercise on metabolic 4 risk, insulin sensitivity and intrahepatic lipid in healthy older people from the 5 Hertfordshire Cohort Study: a randomised controlled trial. *Diabetologia*. 6 2010;53(4):624-31.

- 7 30. Azevedo LF, Brum PC, Mattos KC et al. Effects of losartan combined with exercise
  8 training in spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Brazilian journal of medical and biological*9 *research = Revista brasileira de pesquisas medicas e biologicas*. 2003;36(11):159510 603.
- Tanigawara Y, Yoshihara K, Kuramoto K, Arakawa K. Comparative
   pharmacodynamics of olmesartan and azelnidipine in patients with hypertension: a
   population pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic analysis. *Drug metabolism and pharmacokinetics*. 2009;24(4):376-88.
- Guimaraes GV, Ciolac EG, Carvalho VO, D'Avila VM, Bortolotto LA, Bocchi EA.
  Effects of continuous vs. interval exercise training on blood pressure and arterial
  stiffness in treated hypertension. *Hypertension research : official journal of the Japanese Society of Hypertension*. 2010;33(6):627-32.
- 19 33. Laterza MC, de Matos LD, Trombetta IC et al. Exercise training restores baroreflex
  20 sensitivity in never-treated hypertensive patients. *Hypertension*. 2007;49(6):1298-306.
- 34. Izdebska E, Cybulska I, Izdebskir J, Makowiecka-Ciesla M, Trzebski A. Effects of
  moderate physical training on blood pressure variability and hemodynamic pattern in
  mildly hypertensive subjects. *Journal of physiology and pharmacology : an official journal of the Polish Physiological Society*. 2004;55(4):713-24.

1	35.	Masson GS, Costa TS, Yshii L et al. Time-dependent effects of training on
2		cardiovascular control in spontaneously hypertensive rats: role for brain oxidative stress
3		and inflammation and baroreflex sensitivity. PloS one. 2014;9(5):e94927.
4	36.	Hua LP, Brown CA, Hains SJ, Godwin M, Parlow JL. Effects of low-intensity exercise
5		conditioning on blood pressure, heart rate, and autonomic modulation of heart rate in
6		men and women with hypertension. Biological research for nursing. 2009;11(2):129-
7		43.
8	37.	Pattyn N, Beckers PJ, Cornelissen VA et al. The effect of aerobic interval training and
9		continuous training on exercise capacity and its determinants. Acta cardiologica.
10		2017;72(3):328-40.
11	38.	Shehab A, Elnour AA, Struthers AD. A randomised, controlled, double-blind, cross-
12		over pilot study assessing the effects of spironolactone, losartan and their combination
13		on heart rate variability and QT dispersion in patients with chronic heart failure.
14		Cardiovascular journal of Africa. 2008;19(6):292-6.
15	39.	Stamler R. Implications of the INTERSALT study. Hypertension. 1991;17(1
16		Suppl):I16-20.
17	40.	Hughes JW, Kobayashi I, Deichert NT. Ethnic differences in sleep quality accompany
18		ethnic differences in night-time blood pressure dipping. American journal of
19		hypertension. 2007;20(10):1104-10.
20	41.	La Rovere MT, Bigger JT, Jr., Marcus FI, Mortara A, Schwartz PJ. Baroreflex
21		sensitivity and heart-rate variability in prediction of total cardiac mortality after
22		myocardial infarction. ATRAMI (Autonomic Tone and Reflexes After Myocardial
23		Infarction) Investigators. Lancet. 1998;351(9101):478-84.
24	42.	Collier SR, Frechette V, Sandberg K et al. Sex differences in resting hemodynamics
25		and arterial stiffness following 4 weeks of resistance versus aerobic exercise training in

1		individuals with pre-hypertension to stage 1 hypertension. Biology of sex diffe								
2		2011;2(1):9.								
3	43.	Mancia G, Zanchetti A. One hundred years of auscultatory blood pressure:								
4		commemorating N. S. Korotkoff. Journal of hypertension. 2005;23(1):1-2.								
5										
6										
7										

Supplemental Digital Content Requirements

Figure S1. Study flowchart: Enrollment, Randomization, Interventions and Follow-up.

### **Figures legends**

# Figure 1. Clinic blood pressure assessed at the initial and the final evaluations in the

three groups: aerobic training in the morning (MT =  $\Delta$  –), aerobic training in the evening

 $(ET = \blacktriangle$ 

-) and control (C =  $\Box$  ----): Morning assessments (7-9a.m) - Panel a - systolic blood pressure

(SBP); b – Effect size and confidence interval between groups of SBP; c - diastolic blood pressure (DBP); d – Effect size and confidence interval between groups of DB**Evening** assessments (6-8p.m.) - Panel e - SBP; f – Effect size and confidence interval between groups SBP; g - DBP; h – Effect size and confidence interval between groups of DBP. \* different from initial evaluation in the same group (P $\leq$ 0.05); # different from the C group at the same evaluation (P $\leq$ 0.05); † different from MT at the same evaluation (P $\leq$ 0.05).

### Figure 2. Ambulatory blood pressure at the Initial and the Final Evaluations in the

**Three Groups:** aerobic training in the morning (MT =  $\Delta$  –), aerobic training in

the evening (ET =  $\blacktriangle$ 

-) and control (C =  $\Box$  ----): Panel a – 24 hour systolic blood pressure (24 h SBP); b - 24 hour

diastolic blood pressure (24 h DBP); c – Awake SBP; d – Awake DBP; e – Asleep SBP; and f – Asleep DBP. Data = mean  $\pm$  standard deviation .\* different from initial evaluation in the same group (P $\leq$ 0.05); # different from the C groupat the same evaluation (P $\leq$ 0.05); † different from MT at the same evaluation (P $\leq$ 0.05).

**Figure 3. Individual data of clinic systolic blood pressure (SBP) responses in the Morning Training (MT), Evening Training (ET), and Control (C) groups:** Dashed line (-----) as representative of minimal detectable change of SBP (-4.7 mmHg). Panel a – effect after MT evaluated at 7-9a.m.; b – effect after MT evaluated at 6-8p.m.; c – effect after ET evaluated at 7-9a.m.; d – effect after ET evaluated at 6-8p.m.; e - effect after C evaluated at 7-9a.m.; and f – effect after C evaluated at 6-8p.m.

Variables	MT	ET	С	
Ν	15	15	20	
Age (years)	51±8	49±8	50±9	
Height (m)	$1.72 \pm 0.06$	$1.70 \pm 0.10$	$1.71 \pm 0.06$	
Weight (kg)	87.4±12.1	89.5±14.9	88.2±15.9	
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	29.6±3.1	30.7±3.3	29.9±4.3	
Chronotype (score)	52±6	56±3	53±4	
Hemodynamics				
Resting systolic BP (mmHg)	135±9	132±6	133±12	
Resting diastolic BP (mmHg)	92±7	89±5	88±8	
Type of anti-hypertensive therapy				
One – no. (%)	11(73)	10(67)	14(70)	
Two or more – no. (%)	4(27)	5(33)	6(30)	
Anti-hypertensive drugs				
Angiotensin II receptor blockers – no. (%)	8(53)	7(47)	9(45)	
Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors – no. (%)	6(40)	7(47)	7(35)	
Dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers – no. (%)	4(27)	3(20)	4(20)	
Diuretics – no. (%)	4(27)	5(33)	3(15)	

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the three groups: aerobic training in the morning (MT), aerobic training in the evening (ET) and control (C).

Values are mean±standard deviation; body-mass index (the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters);

	7-9.am.					6-8.p.m.					
	Group	Initial	Final	р	р	р	Initial	Final	р	р	р
				(group)	(phase)	(interaction)			(group)	(phase)	(interaction)
MBP (mmHg)	MT	104±8	102±8	0.77	0.008	0.05	104±8	104±7	0.60	0.04	< 0.001
	ET	103±7	99±6*#†				107±7	100±9*#†			
	С	102±7	103±6				103±7	$104 \pm 8$			
CO (l/min)	MT	4.4±0.7	4.4±0.6	0.59	0.57	0.79	4.7±1.1	4.8±1.0	0.67	0.57	0.51
	ET	4.6±0.6	4.7±0.5				4.8±1.1	4.9±1.0			
	С	4.6±0.8	4.5±0.8				4.7±0.8	4.6±0.9			
SVR (U)	MT	24±4	22±2*	0.81	0.003	0.03	23±5	23±5	0.45	0.17	0.03
	ET	23±3	21±3*#				23±5	20±4*#†			
	С	23±4	23±4				23±4	24±4			
SV (ml)	MT	62±15	65±12	0.65	0.13	0.04	62±15	65±16	0.57	0.05	0.02
	ET	64±9	68±11*#				63±14	70±18*#			
	С	64±12	62±11				63±12	61±13			
HR (bpm)	MT	74±7	71±7*#	0.18	0.02	0.002	77±7	74±8#	0.59	0.16	0.007
	ET	73±8	69±9*#				76±10	71±9*#			
	С	75±10	77±9				77±8	79±10			
ln LF/HF <sub>R-R</sub>	MT	0.9±1.1	0.7±1.0#	0.58	0.54	0.004	0.7±1.2	$0.6 \pm 1.2$	0.16	0.66	0.36
	ET	0.7±1.1	0.4±1.0#				0.4±1.0	0.0±1.2			
	С	0.7±0.9	1.2±0.9				0.8±1.3	1.0±0.9			
$\ln TV_{SBP}$	MT	3.2±0.8	3.2±0.6#	0.15	0.72	0.001	3.4±0.8	3.2±1.0	0.35	0.73	0.43
	ET	3.0±0.6	2.7±0.6*#†				3.1±0.9	3.1±0.6			
	С	3.1±0.6	3.5±0.7*				3.4±0.6	3.4±0.6			
ln cBRS	MT	1.4±0.5	1.8±0.5*#	0.04	0.03	0.002	1.4±0.6	1.5±0.4	0.18	0.86	0.02
(ms/mmHg)	ET	$1.7 \pm 0.4$	2.1±0.5*#†				1.6±0.7	1.9±0.4#†			
	С	1.6±0.4	1.4±0.5				1.6±0.6	1.4±0.5			

Table 2. Hemodynamic and cardiovascular autonomic variables evaluated in the morning (7-9.a.m.) and in the evening (6-8.p.m.) at the initial and the final evaluation in the three groups: Aerobic training in the morning (MT); Aerobic training in the evening (ET); and control (C).

MBP mean blood pressure, CO cardiac output, SVR systemic vascular resistance, SV stroke volume, GR hear rate, TV total variance, LF/HF low to high frequency ratio of RR-interval variability, cBRS cardiac baroreflex sensitivity. Values are mean±standard deviation;

\* different from initial evaluation in the same group (P $\leq$ 0.05); # different from the C group at the same evaluation (P $\leq$ 0.05); † different from MT at the same evaluation (P $\leq$ 0.05).

**Evaluations between 7-9a.m.** 









С

Effect of evening training evaluated at 7-9.a.m.



e

Effect of control group evaluated at 7-9.a.m.



**Individual data** 6 of 20 (30%) were responsive

b





d





f

Effect of control group evaluated at 6-8.p.m.



Individual data 3 of 20 (15%) were responsive