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## Highlights

- High physical activity (PA) is associated with better muscle strength and power
- Low sedentary behavior (SB) is associated with better muscle strength and power
- PA/SB are stronger associated with lower body muscle strength and power than upper
- Strongest associations were found for PA/SB with chair stand test performance
- Confounding does not affect the association of PA/SB with muscle strength/power


#### Abstract

Background: Engaging in physical activity (PA) and avoiding sedentary behavior (SB) are important for healthy ageing with benefits including the mitigation of disability and mortality. Whether benefits extend to key determinants of disability and mortality, namely muscle strength and muscle power, is unclear.


#### Abstract

Aims: This systematic review aimed to describe the association of objective measures of PA and SB with measures of skeletal muscle strength and muscle power in community-dwelling older adults.


Methods: Six databases were searched from their inception to June $21^{\text {st }}, 2020$ for articles reporting associations between objectively measured PA and SB and upper body or lower body muscle strength or muscle power in community dwelling adults aged 60 years and older. An overview of associations was visualized by effect direction heat maps, standardized effect sizes were estimated with albatross plots and summarized in box plots. Articles reporting adjusted standardized regression coefficients $(\beta)$ were included in meta-analyses. Results: A total of 112 articles were included representing 43,796 individuals (range: 21 to 3,726 per article) with a mean or median age from 61.0-88.0 years (mean $56.4 \%$ female). Higher PA measures and lower SB were associated with better upper body muscle strength (hand grip strength), upper body muscle power (arm curl), lower body muscle strength, and lower body muscle power (chair stand test). Median standardized effect sizes were consistently larger for measures of PA and SB with lower compared to upper body muscle strength and muscle power. The meta-analyses of adjusted $\beta$ coefficients confirmed the associations between total PA (TPA), moderate-to-vigorous PA (MVPA) and light PA (LPA) with hand grip strength ( $\beta=0.041, \beta=0.057$, and $\beta=0.070$, respectively, all $p \leq 0.001$ ), and TPA and MVPA with chair stand test ( $\beta=0.199$ and $\beta=0.211$, respectively, all $p \leq 0.001$ ).

Conclusions: Higher PA and lower SB are associated with greater skeletal muscle strength and muscle power, particularly with the chair stand test.
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## 1. Introduction

Low physical activity (PA) and high sedentary behavior (SB) present a global health challenge and they are particularly important in older adult populations as PA declines and SB increases with increasing age (Arnardottir et al., 2013; Ortlieb et al., 2014; Reid and Fielding, 2012). PA is defined as any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscle that requires energy expenditure (Caspersen et al., 1985), while SB is defined as periods of waking activity that produce little or no energy expenditure (Tremblay, 2012; Tremblay et al., 2017). Both PA and SB can be most accurately captured by objective devices such as accelerometers or pedometers, which can capture the incidental, unstructured, and lightintensity movement characterizing the majority of PA in older adults that can otherwise be subject to significant bias when self-reported (Amagasa et al., 2017; Lee and Shiroma, 2014; Lohne-Seiler et al., 2014). PA and SB are closely related but distinct behaviors (van der Ploeg and Hillsdon, 2017) that are each independent determinants of adverse outcomes such as morbidity, disability, poor quality of life, and mortality (Cunningham et al., 2020; Fornias et al., 2014; Rojer et al., 2020; Tak et al., 2013; Vagetti et al., 2014). The degree to which objectively measured habitual PA and SB are associated with other determinants of these adverse outcomes, namely skeletal muscle strength and muscle power (Katzmarzyk and Craig, 2002; Rantanen, 2003), has remained to be unexplored by a systematic review.

Skeletal muscle strength (the amount of force a muscle can produce with a single maximal effort) and muscle power (the ability to exert maximal force in a short time) (Beaudart et al., 2019) decline with chronological age (Beenakker et al., 2010; ChodzkoZajko et al., 2009; Reid et al., 2014) and are not only functionally important (Wang et al., 2020) but are also key determinants of adverse outcomes such as morbidity, disability, poor quality of life, and mortality (Ling et al., 2010; Meskers et al., 2019; Taekema et al., 2010). Muscle strength and muscle power may therefore play a role in the relationship between
$\mathrm{PA} / \mathrm{SB}$ and adverse outcomes. Establishing and quantifying the association between PA and SB with muscle strength and muscle power is thus a priority for informing potential lifestyle guidelines, interventions and, ultimately, mitigating poor health outcomes.

The aim of this systematic review was to describe and quantify the associations of objectively measured PA and SB with muscle strength and muscle power in communitydwelling older adults.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1 Information sources and search

The protocol for this review was registered in the PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic review (registration number: CRD42018103910). PubMed, EMBASE, the Cochrane Library (via Wiley), CINAHL, PsycINFO, and SPORTDiscus (via EBSCO) were systematically searched according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) statement (Moher et al., 2009) by two independent assessors (AR and RO) to identify articles published from inception to June $21^{\text {st }}, 2020$ investigating PA and SB in older adults. The full search strategy is presented in Appendix A and included the keywords: 'active or inactive lifestyle’; 'motor activity'; 'people over 60 years of age'. Articles investigating PA and SB in relation to muscle strength and muscle power were organized and managed using EndNote (Version X8.2 Clarivate Analytics, Philadelphia, USA) and Rayyan (Ouzzani et al., 2016).

### 2.2 Eligibility criteria

Articles were considered eligible using the following criteria: 1) English language original article in full text, 2) observational or experimental design, 3) mean or median age of the study population $\geq 60$ years old, 4) study population consisting of community-dwelling individuals (exclusively institutionalized populations were excluded), 5) objective PA/SB measured with an instrument (accelerometer or pedometer), 6) skeletal muscle strength or muscle power reported, 7) the association of objective $\mathrm{PA} / \mathrm{SB}$ measures and muscle strength/muscle power was reported, 8) associations were reported in control group or using baseline data of intervention studies.

### 2.3 Article selection

The title and abstract of articles were assessed by two independent reviewers (KR and EvdR), for potential eligibility. The subsequent full text screening was performed in duplicate by two
independent reviewers (KR and LD or AR). Disagreement was resolved by an additional reviewer (AM). The references of all included articles as well as relevant systematic reviews (Cunningham et al., 2020; Mañas et al., 2017; Osthoff et al., 2013) were screened for additional articles.

### 2.4 Data extraction

Data were extracted in duplicate independently by two reviewers (KR and LD or AR): first author; year of publication; number of participants; study population characteristics; country(s); study design; follow-up period (if applicable); mean age; sex; accelerometer or pedometer device for objective assessment of $\mathrm{PA} / \mathrm{SB}$; wearing location of device; minimum wearing duration to constitute a valid day; number of valid days assessed; number of valid days required for inclusion in analysis; mean device wear time; measures used to assess $\mathrm{PA} / \mathrm{SB}$ and their definitions; mean (standard deviation (SD)) or median [interquartile range] capacity recorded as upper body or lower body and muscle strength or muscle power; measures used to assess muscle strength/muscle power and their definitions; mean (SD) or median [IQR] muscle strength/muscle power; analysis used to study association(s); adjustment model(s); effect size(s) and significance.

### 2.5 Study quality \& risk of bias

Study quality and risk of bias of the included articles were independently assessed by two reviewers (KR and LD or AR) using the nine-point Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) adapted for cross-sectional studies and longitudinal studies as presented in Appendix B (Wells et al., 2000; Wells GA et al., 2012). Articles were assessed by the following domains: 1. selection (representativeness of cohort and ascertainment of exposure), 2. comparability (adjustments), 3. outcome (assessment of outcome measure). Additional outcome criteria assessed for longitudinal studies were duration of follow up period and adequacy of participant retention
after follow-up period. High quality versus low quality of articles was defined as $\geq$ or $<4 / 7$ stars for cross-sectional studies and $\geq$ or $<5 / 9$ stars for longitudinal studies, respectively.

### 2.6 Statistical analysis and data visualization

Associations between measures of PA/SB and upper body muscle strength, upper body muscle power, lower body muscle strength and lower body muscle power were reported in tables and synthesized in the following ways in accordance with Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) (Liberati et al., 2009) and Synthesis Without Meta-Analysis (SWiM) guidelines (Campbell et al., 2020): 1. an overview of all associations was qualitatively visualized in effect direction heat maps (Thomson and Thomas, 2013), 2. albatross plots provided visualization and quantification of estimated effect sizes (Harrison et al., 2017), and 3. meta-analyses were performed to obtain a pooled estimate of exclusively adjusted associations. Main PA/SB measures and units were continuous steps (\#/day), activity counts (\#/day), and PA (total PA (TPA), moderate-tovigorous PA (MVPA), and light PA (LPA)) and SB duration in (all units of time/day). Intensity-based accelerometer measures and PA and SB frequency and accumulation (bouts) were included in supplementary tables. If PA/SB measures were reported in different units or as categorical variables, these were used instead. When more than one statistical test was used, the following hierarchy was applied for reporting each association for all methods of synthesis: 1. adjusted linear regressions, 2. adjusted logistic regressions (for articles reporting ordinal determinants, p -trend was used and if not, p -values comparing relatively best versus worst categories of PA were used), 3. partial correlations, 4. unadjusted regression/Pearson's correlations 5. Spearman's or Biserial correlations 6. ANOVA or ANCOVA 7. Mann UWhitney, t -test, or chi-squared. Isotemporal substitution models were not included. Data were reported based on the following order of adjustment models: 1. age and sex, 2. age and sex + additional factors, 3. age or sex + additional factors, 4. neither age nor sex, only other factors
5. unadjusted. The direction of effect was defined as positive when higher PA and lower SB were associated with better muscle strength or muscle power and negative when associations indicated worse muscle strength or muscle power. Positive and negative effect directions were represented by an upwards or downwards triangle in effect direction heat maps and points on the right side (positive effect) or left side (negative effect) of albatross plots. If p values were not reported, they were calculated using the following methods: for linear regression analyses, the upper and lower limits of the $95 \%$ confidence interval (CI) and regression coefficient were used to calculate the standard error (SE) [(upper limit of CIlower limit of CI )/3.92], which was used to calculate the absolute value of the z -statistic (regression coefficient/SE), and finally the calculated p-value (p(calc))=exp (-0.717 (z) (0.416 ( $\left.\mathrm{z}^{2}\right)$ ) (Altman and Bland, 2011). For Pearson's, partial, Spearman's and point-biserial correlations, the sample size (n) and coefficients (Rs) (including, Pearson's R, partial R, Spearman's Rho, and point-biserial $R(\mathrm{Rpb})$ ) were used to calculate the $t$-statistic using the following formula: $t$-statistic $=\mathrm{R} \sqrt{ }[(n-2) /(1-\mathrm{R})]$. The absolute value of the t -statistic and degrees freedom ( $\mathrm{n}-2$ ) were compared to the 2 -tailed Student's t -distribution using Microsoft Excel to obtain the p -value. If $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ was reported, the square root was calculated and treated as a correlation to calculate the p -value. P -values that were reported as $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ or $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ and could not be calculated using the above methods were conservatively estimated as $\mathrm{p} \geq 0.25$ (when reported as non-significant) or $0.01<\mathrm{p}<0.05$ (when reported as significant) to be included in the effect direction heat maps and were not included in albatross plots. The following color scheme was used in the effect direction heat maps: $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ (darkest blue filled triangle), $0.001 \leq \mathrm{p}<0.01$ (blue filled triangle), $0.01<\mathrm{p}<0.05$ (light blue filled triangle), $0.05<\mathrm{p}<0.1$ (light grey empty triangle), $0.1<\mathrm{p}<0.25$ (grey empty triangle), and $\mathrm{p} \geq 0.25$ (dark grey empty triangle). Albatross plots were created using Stata Statistical Software: Release 16.0 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, Texas, United States) to assess the approximate
magnitude of associations as a function of sample size against two-sided p-values stratified by the observed direction of the effect. Contour lines were superimposed on the plot to evaluate the hypothetical effect sizes, designated as standardized regression coefficients ( $\beta \mathrm{s}$ ) and were derived from the following equation: $N=\left(1-\beta^{2} / \beta^{2}\right) Z_{p}$ (where $Z_{p}$ is the $z$ value for the associated 2-sided p-value) (Harrison et al., 2017). Albatross plots were made for each association between PA/SB measures and outcomes if reported in at least four studies. Albatross plots were visually interpreted for scatter of $\beta$ coefficients relative to three displayed contour lines and $\beta$ coefficients were summarized in box plots that were made using Plotly (Plotly Technologies Inc., Montreal, Québec, Canada). Articles were included in the meta-analyses if the associations between PA or SB measures and hand grip strength or chair stand test were expressed as adjusted (order of adjustment models as given above) standardized regression coefficients ( $\beta$ ) and their $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ or SE or when these could be calculated. PA/SB measures for meta-analyses were grouped into total PA (TPA), moderate-to-vigorous PA (MVPA) duration, and light PA (LPA) duration. TPA included TPA duration, inverse SB duration, steps per day and number of breaks in sedentary behavior (BST). $\beta$ coefficients were inversed for outcomes where a lower score indicated better performance. Adjusted unstandardized regression coefficients (B) were converted to $\beta$ coefficients using the following formulas:
$\beta=\frac{S D_{x}}{S D_{y}} B \quad$ and $\quad \operatorname{SE}(\beta)=\frac{S D_{x}}{S D_{y}} \operatorname{SE}(B)$

Where $\mathrm{SD}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{SD}_{\mathrm{y}}$ are the standard deviations of $\mathrm{PA}(\mathrm{x})$ and hand grip strength or chair stand test (y), respectively (Nieminen et al., 2013). If SDs were not reported, they were calculated from the SE (or $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ) using the following formula: $\mathrm{SD}=\sqrt{ } \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{SE})$ (Cochrane Handb. Syst. Rev. Interv., 2019). If SE (B) was not reported, it was calculated from the 95\% CI of B using the previously mentioned formula. Correlation data from articles that did not
perform a linear regression analysis, but reported all intercorrelations between $\mathrm{PA} / \mathrm{SB}$, hand grip strength or chair stand test, and age and/or sex Pearson's r (i.e. correlation matrices) and their calculated SE were used to calculate the age and/or sex adjusted $\beta$ (SE $\beta$ ) using the following formulas:

SE of correlations: $\operatorname{SE}(\mathrm{r})=\sqrt{\frac{1-r^{2}}{n-2}}$

One covariate model: $\beta_{x_{1}, x_{2}}=\frac{r_{y x_{1}}-r_{y x_{2}} r_{x_{1} x_{2}}}{1-r_{x_{1}}^{2} x_{2}}$ and $\operatorname{SE}\left(\beta_{x_{1}, x_{2}}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{SE}\left(r_{y x_{1}}\right)-S E\left(r_{y x_{2}}\right) S E\left(r_{x_{1} x_{2}}\right)}{1-S E\left(r_{x_{1} x_{2}}\right)}$

Two covariate model: $\beta_{x_{1} \cdot x_{2} x_{3}}=\frac{\left(1-r_{x_{2} x_{3}}^{2}\right) r_{y x_{1}}+\left(r_{x_{1} x_{3}} r_{x_{2} x_{3}}-r_{x_{1} x_{2}}\right) r_{y x_{2}}+\left(r_{x_{1} x_{2}} r_{2} x_{2} x_{3}-r_{x_{1} x_{3}} r_{y x_{3}}\right.}{1-r_{x_{1} x_{2}}-r_{1}^{2} x_{3} x_{3}-r_{x_{2} x_{3}}+2 r_{x_{1} x_{2} x_{2} x_{1} x_{3} r_{x_{2}} x_{3}}}$ and $\operatorname{SE}\left(\beta_{x_{1} \cdot x_{2} x_{3}}\right) \frac{\left(1-S E\left(r_{x_{2}}^{2} x_{3}\right) S E\left(r_{y x_{1}}\right)+\left(S E\left(r_{x_{1} x_{3}}\right) S E\left(r_{x_{2} x_{3}}\right)-S E\left(r_{x_{1} x_{2}}\right)\right) r_{y x_{2}}+\left(S E\left(r_{x_{1} x_{2}}\right) S E\left(r_{x_{2} x_{3}}\right)-S E\left(r_{x_{1} x_{3}}\right)\right) S E\left(r_{y x_{3}}\right)\right.}{1-S E\left(r_{x_{1}}^{1} x_{2}\right)-S E\left(r_{x_{1}} x_{3}\right)-S E\left(r_{x_{2}}^{2} x_{3}\right)+2 S E\left(r_{x_{1} x_{2}}\right) S E\left(r_{x_{1} x_{3}}\right) S E\left(r_{x_{2} x_{3}}\right)}$

Where r is Pearson's correlation coefficient, n is the sample size, $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ is the PA/SB variable (independent variable), $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ is age or sex in the one-covariate model and $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ are age and sex in the two-covariate model (independent variables being held constant for adjustment), and y is hand grip strength or chair stand test (dependent variable) (Cohen et al., 2003; Fernández-Castilla et al., 2019). All formulas and required data were entered manually and calculations were performed using Microsoft Excel (Version 16.16.22). A random-effects model was used due to heterogeneity between studies and results were visualized by forest plots. Heterogeneity was assessed using $\mathrm{I}^{2}$ statistics; an $\mathrm{I}^{2}$ value above $25 \%$ was considered as low, above $50 \%$ as moderate and above $75 \%$ as high heterogeneity. Funnel plots, depicting $\beta$ coefficient against SE, were used for visual evaluation and Egger's regression test for statistical detection of publication bias ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ indicating publication bias) (Egger et al., 1997). Meta-analyses were performed in Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA) software (Biostat, Englewood, New Jersey, United States).

## 3. Results

### 3.1 Search results and study characteristics

A total of 18,086 articles were identified and 9,660 were left after removal of duplicates. Full texts were assessed of 1,017 articles and 112 articles were included (Figure 1); all extracted data are provided in tables in Appendix C (Tables C1-5), which are synthesized in figures 2-4 and in figures in Appendix D (Figures D1-8). Included articles represent a total of 43,796 individuals (range across articles: 21 to 3,726 ) with an average of $56.4 \%$ female and the study populations' mean or median age ranged from 61.0 to 88.0 years. Sixty-two articles reported exclusively on community dwelling older adults or community-based samples from the general population. Other articles included community dwelling populations selected for specific disease (or health conditions) and included chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder $(\mathrm{n}=14)$, osteoarthritis $(\mathrm{n}=6)$, diabetes $(\mathrm{n}=3)$, limited mobility $(\mathrm{n}=3)$, any chronic disease $(\mathrm{n}=1)$, $\operatorname{HIV}(\mathrm{n}=1)$, interstitial lung disease $(\mathrm{n}=1)$, peripheral artery disease $(\mathrm{n}=1)$, global cognitive impairment $(\mathrm{n}=1)$, aortic stenosis $(\mathrm{n}=1)$, stroke $(\mathrm{n}=1)$, chronic idiopathic axonal polyneuropathy ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ), and polio ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ). All articles reported cross-sectional associations except for four reporting longitudinal associations (Demeyer et al., 2019; Scott et al., 2011; Semanik et al., 2015; Yuki et al., 2019) (Table C1). According to the NOS scale, 81 out of 112 articles were high quality (Table C 2 ).

### 3.2 Measures of physical activity and sedentary behavior

PA and SB were measured by use of an accelerometer in 92 of articles, while in 20 articles a pedometer was used. PA was expressed as number of steps (or walking duration), number of activity counts, TPA duration (or standing + walking duration, time on feet, and nonsedentary time), MVPA duration (or vigorous PA and moderate PA duration, individually), and SB was expressed as SB duration (or lying, sitting, basal activity, and inactive time). Intensity-based accelerometer measures were number of vector magnitude units (VMU), total
volume (metabolic equivalent tasks/hour), energy-expenditure (EE) (or physical activity level (PAL) (EE/sleeping metabolic rate)), and intensity gradient (intensity vs. time). Measures of PA and SB frequency and accumulation (bouts) were reported as number and duration of PA bouts, number of breaks in SB (BST), number of breaks per sedentary hour (SB break rate), number and duration of SB bouts, and number and duration of long SB bouts (Table C3).

### 3.3 Associations of PA and SB with muscle strength and muscle power

Table C4 describes muscle strength and muscle power measurement; Table C5 provides all associations, which are visualized by effect direction heat maps in Figure 2, Figure D1 and Figure D2; Figure 3 summarizes $\beta \mathrm{s}$ (median [IQR]) obtained from the albatross plots in Figure D3-7; and meta-analyses of adjusted $\beta$ s are presented in Figure 4 with corresponding funnel plots in Figure D8.

### 3.3.1 Upper body muscle strength

Hand grip strength was reported in 41 articles. Higher TPA (median [IQR], $\beta=0.100$ [0.090$0.116]$ ), MVPA ( $\beta=0.081$ [0.059-0.125]), activity counts ( $\beta=0.082$ [0.077-0.110]), LPA $(\beta=0.066[0.024-0.109])$, steps $(\beta=0.070[-0.013-0.156])$, and lower $S B \beta=0.066[0.044-$ 0.092]) were associated with higher hand grip strength (Figure 3 and Figure D3). However, the association of steps and hand grip strength was inconsistent in direction of effect and significance (Figure 2). Positive associations were confirmed in the pooled meta-analysis of adjusted $\beta \mathrm{s}$ for the associations of TPA and hand grip strength including 10 articles representing 6,995 individuals ( $\beta=0.041,95 \%$ CI: $0.017-0.065, \mathrm{p}=0.001, \mathrm{I}^{2}=52.2$ ); MVPA and hand grip strength including four articles representing 2,983 individuals $(\beta=0.070,95 \%$ CI: $0.036-0.104, \mathrm{p}=0.000, \mathrm{I}^{2}=0.0$ ); and LPA and hand grip strength including four articles representing 3,215 individuals ( $\beta=0.057,95 \%$ CI: $0.024-0.090, \mathrm{p}=0.001, \mathrm{I}^{2}=0.0$ ) (Figure 4). Intensity-based accelerometer measures of PA were inconsistently associated with hand grip strength (Figure D1) and measures of PA and SB frequency and accumulation (bouts) were
not associated with hand grip strength (Figure D2). All PA/SB measures were associated with greater shoulder press strength; steps and activity counts were not associated with chest press strength (Figure 2).

### 3.3.2 Upper body muscle power

The number of arm curls completed within 30 seconds was reported in nine articles.
Associations between higher steps and lower SB with arm curl were positive and significant, while associations of MVPA with arm curl were positive ( $\beta=0.077$ [0.069-0.170]) but only significant in one out of four associations (Figure 2 and Figure 3). Activity counts were not associated with chest press power (Figure 2).

### 3.3.3 Lower body muscle strength

Knee extension strength was reported in 24 articles, leg press strength in seven, leg strength in six, knee flexion strength in four, knee extension torque in three, hip strength in one, toe grasping strength in one, and calf strength in one. Higher steps ( $\beta=0.244$ [0.118-0.316]), MVPA $(\beta=0.206[0.175-0.386])$, TPA $(\beta=0.193$ [0.160-0.250]), activity counts $(\beta=0.207$ [0.046-0.263]), and LPA ( $\beta=0.105$ [0.040-0.234]) were associated with better lower body strength (Figure 3 and Figure D5). While the positive direction of effect of lower SB with better lower body muscle strength was consistent for all associations ( $\beta=0.140$ [0.033$0.205]$ ), results were only statistically significant in one of nine associations (Figure 2, Figure 3, and Figure D5). Intensity-based accelerometer measures, EE and VMU, were positively associated with lower body muscle strength, while MET was not (Figure D1)

### 3.3.4 Lower body muscle power

Chair stand tests were reported in 51 articles. Higher PA and lower SB were consistently associated with better chair stand test performance (Figure 2 and Figure D1), with the exception of measures of PA and SB frequency and accumulation (Figure D2). The largest effect size was identified for steps ( $\beta=0.277[0.254,0.348]$ ) with chair stand test and followed
respectively by activity counts ( $\beta=0.225$ [0.167-0.291]), MVPA $(\beta=0.239$ [0.145-0.326]), LPA ( $\beta=0.173$ [0.0078-0.228]), and SB ( $\beta=0.169$ [0.072-0.275]) (Figure 3 and Figure D6). Pooled adjusted $\beta s$ of TPA and MVPA with chair stand test included ten articles representing 3,495individuals and five articles representing 2,486 individuals, respectively and both TPA $\left(\beta=0.199,95 \%\right.$ CI: $\left.0.132-0.266, p=0.000, \mathrm{I}^{2}=61.21\right)$ and MVPA $(\beta=0.211,95 \%$ CI: $0.103-$ $0.319, \mathrm{p}=0.000, \mathrm{I}^{2}=80.00$ ) were significantly associated with better chair stand test performance (Figure 3). Leg press power at varying percentages of an individual's 1RM and/or peak power was reported in five articles, and leg extensor power (Nottingham Power Rig), jumping power, the calf raise test (\# of calf raises/30s), and the squat jump test were each reported in one article. Associations between PA and SB with these lower body muscle power measures were not consistently significant (Figure 2, Figure D1, Figure D2). The median magnitude of effect for MVPA and lower body muscle power ( $\beta=0.220$ [0.1250.269 ]) was consistent with that of chair stand test (Figure 3 and Figure D7).

### 3.3.5 Longitudinal associations

Seven articles reported longitudinal associations. Neither baseline nor change in PA was associated with changes with hand grip strength in two articles: non-significant associations were found between steps, MVPA, and SB, and change in steps with change in hand grip strength after 2.6 years in a COPD population (Demeyer et al., 2019) and non-significant associations were found between steps, LPA, and MVPA with development of low hand grip strength after 4.2 years in a community dwelling population (Yuki et al., 2019). Bidirectional positive associations of PA and lower body muscle strength were identified in three articles: a highly significant association was found between steps and change in leg strength over 2.6 years in females ( $B=1.06,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.31,1.31$ ) but not males $(B=-0.28,95 \% \mathrm{CI}:-1.27,0.72$ ) in a general population (Scott et al., 2011); a highly significant association was found between change in lower extremity strength after 4 years and the course of change in steps
over four different time points spanning a total follow-up of 4 years ( $B=-1782,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : -$3348,-217$ ) in a population with chronic idiopathic axonal polyneuropathy (van Oeijen et al., 2020); KES was associated with change after 1 year in MET and VMU ( $B=-0.001$ (SE=6.00E-4) and $\mathrm{B}=-0.005$ ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.002$ ), respectively), but not with steps or MVPA in a COPD population (Boutou et al., 2019). Two articles, including participants from the Osteoarthritis Initiative, showed a highly significant association between SB and change in chair stand test after 2 years ( $B=-0.58,95 \%$ CI: $-0.92,-0.24$ ) (Semanik et al., 2015) in 1,659 participants but not for meeting guidelines for MVPA and change in chair stand test after 4 years 687 participants (Hopkins, 2019).

### 3.3.6 Influence of population

Stratification of the associations of $\mathrm{PA} / \mathrm{SB}$ and muscle strength and muscle power by population showed similar distributions of effect directions, $p$-values, and $\beta$ coefficients (Figures 2-4 and Figure D1-7).

### 3.3.7 Publication bias in meta-analyses

Funnel plots were visually evaluated and did not show asymmetry, indicating no evidence for the presence of publication bias in meta-analyses, except for a positive skew in the metaanalysis of TPA and hand grip strength. Egger's regression tests confirmed that no evidence for publication bias (all $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) was present, except of the TPA and hand grip strength metaanalysis ( $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ ) (Figure D8).

## 4. Discussion

This systematic review highlights the association between higher PA and lower SB with greater skeletal muscle strength and muscle power. Specifically, strongest associations were with lower body muscle strength and muscle power, and evidence was most consistent for the performance of the chair stand test. The associations were independent of the population studied. Meta-analyses of adjusted associations confirmed these results for hand grip strength and chair stand test. Longitudinal findings indicated bidirectional associations between PA and SB with lower body muscle strength and SB with chair stand test, but, contrastingly, a lack thereof with hand grip strength. These findings were in line with crosssectional results, which identified larger effect sizes and more frequently significant associations for lower body muscle strength and muscle power than hand grip strength.

Higher PA and lower SB, using various objective measures, were associated with greater muscle strength and muscle power. MVPA was the most often reported measure and often positively associated with muscle strength and muscle power, which was an anticipated finding as MVPA is a strong determinant and predictor of health outcomes (Adelnia et al., 2019; Hupin et al., 2015; Menai et al., 2017). The positive association of activity counts with muscle strength and muscle power is in accordance with our findings for MVPA, as higher activity counts reflect higher intensity. Additional positive associations identified for LPA and negative associations for SB with muscle strength and muscle power are important in light of the substantial amount of time older adults spend in these two behaviors (Amagasa et al., 2017; Arnardottir et al., 2017; Harvey et al., 2015). However, the relatively strongest effect sizes for all outcomes were with steps and TPA, suggesting that all levels of physical activity can contribute to the positive associations with muscle strength and muscle power.

Evidence for the association of higher PA and lower SB with greater hand grip strength was present for all measures, except for PA and SB bout measures, and was most
consistent for MVPA and activity counts. Hand grip strength is the most often used measure of muscle strength in clinical practice because of its practical advantages and clinical relevance (Beaudart et al., 2019; Reijnierse et al., 2017) and was also the most often reported measure in this review. Clear positive associations of MVPA and activity counts with hand grip strength can likely be explained by the incorporation for upper body muscle strength in high intensity PA. However, previous studies have cautioned the use of hand grip strength as a proxy for overall muscle strength and highlighted the need for lower body muscle strength measures (e.g. knee extension strength) as part of geriatric assessments (Yeung et al., 2018), which is in accordance with the present findings.

PA and SB were most associated with lower body muscle strength and muscle power measures, particularly, the performance of the chair stand test, which is a highly relevant finding given lower body muscle power, compared to muscle strength, is more important for activities of daily living (Foldvari et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2020) and thus the ability to remain living independently (Luppa et al., 2010; Mlinac and Feng, 2016). Muscle power is most affected by ageing with an annual decline of approximately $3 \%$ compared to muscle strength and muscle mass with annual decline of approximately $2 \%$ and $1 \%$, respectively (Reid et al., 2014). Furthermore, lower body muscle strength and muscle power decline faster during ageing compared to upper body measures (Hughes et al., 2001). This supports our longitudinal findings that, bidirectionally, PA and SB are associated with lower body muscle strength. However, we identified inconsistent longitudinal results for chair stand test: in 1,659 participants from the Osteoarthritis Initiative, there was a highly significant association between SB and change in chair stand test over 2 years which persisted after additional adjustment for MVPA (Semanik et al., 2015); on the other hand, in 687 participants from the same cohort, meeting MVPA guidelines was not associated with better chair stand test at 4 years follow-up (Hopkins, 2019). While there were substantial differences in loss to follow
up in these two articles ( $13 \%$ vs. $64 \%$, respectively), results may reiterate the distinction between PA and SB and indicate that, independent of MVPA, sedentary behavior is a stronger determinant of future muscle power than MVPA. This is an important finding given the increasing evidence of the distinct and deleterious effects of SB on future health status. This highlights the importance to design interventions to prevent or slow the decline in lower body muscle strength and power over time with consideration of differences between PA and SB.

Increasing habitual PA has well-established benefits to health (Bravata et al., 2007; Füzéki et al., 2017; Haider et al., 2019). However, inconclusive results on the ability of exercise interventions (structured PA) to improve muscle strength or muscle power have been reported (Clemson et al., 2012; Haider et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2014). Interventions to increase habitual PA in older adults typically include aerobic, balance and strength components. When these multicomponent interventions include resistance exercises, greater increases in muscle strength and muscle power are found (Ferreira et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2014). This is in line with the evidence that progressive resistance exercise training is very effective at increasing muscle strength and muscle power in older adults (Chodzko-Zajko et al., 2009; Guizelini et al., 2018; Straight et al., 2016). However, integrating exercise into lifestyle post-intervention remains a challenge and subsequently improvements in PA are often not sustained (McEwan et al., 2020; Sansano-Nadal et al., 2019). Behavioral change interventions that are complimentary to PA and SB behaviors in daily life, including strength activities such as squatting, lunging and wall sitting, may be more suitable than structured exercise interventions for long-term and sustainable increases in PA and maintenance of muscle strength and muscle power. These behavioral change interventions have been proven feasible in middle aged individuals (Schwenk et al., 2019; Taraldsen et al., 2019) and effective in
improving PA, muscle strength, and reducing the number of falls in older individuals (Clemson et al., 2012, 2010).

### 4.1 Strengths and limitations

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first systematic review summarizing the associations between objective measures of PA and SB with skeletal muscle strength and muscle power in older adults. The primary strength of this review is the broad array of PA, SB , muscle strength and muscle power measures included which led to a high number of articles included. The use of exclusively objective measures of PA and SB represents a strength of this review as questionnaires may not capture unstructured PA or LPA (i.e. shuffling) (Amagasa et al., 2017; Manns et al., 2012) and older adults are susceptible to overreport PA and under-report SB (Colbert et al., 2011; Dyrstad et al., 2014; Van Cauwenberg et al., 2014). However, it is important to acknowledge that objective measures of PA and SB are limited in their capacity to measure the mode or type of PA or SB including resistance loading during activities, which presents a limitation. Furthermore, the inclusion of diverse and disease populations strengthens the generalizability of our results, but a limitation was our inclusion of only English-language articles. We identified considerable heterogeneity in study design, measures of PA/SB and muscle strength and muscle power and their definitions and statistical analyses used to present the associations. This posed methodological challenges to comparing and synthesizing our results. Nonetheless, we were able to show standardized effect estimates in albatross plots for all associations. This also enhanced the synthesis by avoiding reliance on p-values which are heavily driven by sample size regardless of the magnitude of true underlying effects (Sullivan and Feinn, 2012). Furthermore, we performed a meta-analysis for included articles reporting adjusted standardized regression data that confirmed our overall results.

### 4.2 Implications

There is both a clinical and public health urgency to identify the degree to which PA and SB can affect health (Taylor, 2014). Given the consequences of low muscle strength and muscle power including increased risk of falls, disability, and mortality and the subsequent public health burden of their high prevalence worldwide (Borges et al., 2020; Manini and Clark, 2012; Mitchell et al., 2012), the current study has significant implications for policy making. This systematic review quantifies the relative impact of higher duration, intensity, and frequency of PA and lower SB on muscle strength and muscle power, and thus provides a foundation to inform interventions; absolute quantification is a priority for future lifestyle guidelines and the management of modifiable risk factors.

## 5. Conclusion

Higher PA and lower SB are associated with greater skeletal muscle strength and muscle power in older adults, particularly with the chair stand test. Future research should investigate habitual resistance exercise components, while increasing PA and decreasing SB, and seek to identify specific thresholds as actionable targets to maintain and improve skeletal muscle strength and muscle power.
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## Appendix B: Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS)

## Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS): adapted for cross-sectional and longitudinal studies

The NOS was adapted for cross-sectional and longitudinal studies, respectively, using the identical methods as the with the addition of two outcome criteria regarding follow-up for longitudinal studies. For cross-sectional studies (maximum score of 7 stars) a score greater than or equal to 4 is classified as high and less than 4 as low. For longitudinal studies (maximum score of 9 stars) a score greater than or equal to 5 is classified as high and a score less than 5 is classified as low quality.

Selection (max. 3 stars)

1. Representativeness of the sample: community-dwelling older adults
a. Truly representative of sample population. Age, gender distribution, country, and kind of population is reported *
b. Not representative based on factors mentioned above
c. No description
2. Ascertainment of exposure: physical activity (PA)/sedentary behavior (SB)
a. Ascertainment of all physical activity measures reported is clearly and described by name of device, location, and clear cut-off points are reported when appropriate *
b. Methodological criteria of PA/SB data were clearly described and all of the following information: total wear time and assessment of valid days (mandatory hours/day and number of valid days) *
c. No description

Comparability (max. 3 stars)
3. Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis
a. The study controls for the most important factors, age and sex, for at least one association *
b. The study adjusted for other or additional factor, e.g. level of education, comorbidities, accelerometer wear time, physical activity for at least one association *
c. No controlling for any factors
d. No description
4. Statistical test: method of quantifying relationship of $\mathrm{PA} / \mathrm{SB}$ and muscle strength/ power
a. The statistical test used to analyze the data is clearly described and appropriate and the measurement of the association is presented clearly including effect size with confidence intervals, p -value (unless $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ), or standard error for at least one association *
b. The statistical test is not appropriate or incomplete
c. No description

Outcome (max. 1 star for cross-sectional studies, 3 stars for longitudinal studies)
5. Assessment of outcome measure: muscle strength/muscle power
a. Clear description of an established method for assessing muscle strength/muscle power with measurement device reported (if applicable) for all measures *
b. No description
-------- The following are additional criteria assessed for longitudinal studies only --------
6. Was follow-up long enough for outcome to occur?
a. Yes $\geq 3$ months *
b. No $<3$ months
c. Not reported
7. Adequacy of follow-up of cohorts
a. Complete follow up with all subjects accounted for or small number lost (<20 \%) *
b. Large number lost ( $\geq 20 \%$ )
c. Not reported

Note Quality was assessed for all articles as described regardless if hypothesized exposureoutcome were reversed (meaning if exposure was muscle strength/muscle power and outcome was PA/SB
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Figure 1 Flowchart of the article selection process


Figure 2 Effect direction heat maps of the associations between physical activity and sedentary behavior with upper (A, B, C, D) and lower body (E, F, G) muscle strength and muscle power

D. UB MP - other


F. LB MP - other

Alcazar 2016 [Leg pres]] n-695 Hartley 2017 [Jump] n-242
Morie 2010 [Leg press] n-82 ${ }^{4}$ Ashe 2003 [Leg press] n-78
Edholm 2018 [squat jump] n-60 Perkin 2018 [Leg prezs] n-48 Hernandez 2016 [5090 1RM] n-44 ${ }^{4}$ Hernandez 2016 [70\% 1RM] n-44 ${ }^{4}$ Puthoff 2008 [Leg press peak] $n-30^{d}$ Puthoff 2003 [Leg press 4090 1RM] $n-30^{4}$ Puthoff 2003 [Leg press 909 1RM] $n-30^{4}$ Andre 2013 ( $\&$ Andre 2016) [Calf raise] $n-25$ Chastin 2012 [Leg extension] (M) n-16

|  | Legend <br> Effect direction and p-value <br> +/-=positive/negative effect direction (higher PA/lower SB associated with better ( + ) or worse (.) muscle atrength (power), $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}=$ not reported PA/SB <br> Counts=activity counts, TP A= total phytical activity, MVPA= moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, LPA = light phyaical activity, $\mathrm{SB}=$ zedentary behavior Muscle strength/power UB=upper body, LIS=lower body, MS=muscle atrength, $\mathrm{MP}=$ muscle power Articles ${ }^{4}=$ population selected for divease, Underline=loogitudinal derign, (sub-groupt): $F$-femaic, M-male, $\psi$--with or without disoace, Ob-oberityryears, $P G$-acercise, $N P G$-mon-exercise, 2.FG-low functioning group, HPG-high functioning group [Muscle strength/power specific measures] KZS: knee extension strength, |
| :---: | :---: |



Figure 3 Effect sizes of physical activity and sedentary behavior with muscle strength and muscle power derived from albatross plots, expressed as standardized regression coefficients ( $\beta$ )


Figure 4 Forest plots and meta-analysis of adjusted standardized regression coefficients ( $\beta$ ) and $95 \%$ CI of the associations between of physical activity measures with hand grip strength (A, B, C) and chair stand test (D, E), respectively ${ }^{\text {ab Bann }} 2015$ reported approximate gender distribution and sample sizes were subsequently estimated for males and females from the total population, respectively
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Rowlands 2018 reported determinant and outcome driven sample size as a range and the median was subsequently used as the estimate for sample size


Figure D1 Effect direction heat maps of the associations between intensity-based accelerometer measures of physical activity with upper body (A, B) and lower body (C, D, E) measures of muscle strength and muscle power


Figure D2 Effect direction heat maps of the associations between physical activity and sedentary behavior frequency and accumulation with upper (A, B) and lower body (C, D) measures of muscle strength and muscle power


Figure D3 Albatross plots depicting the magnitude of the association (contours lines represent standardized regression coefficients ( $\beta$ )) of higher physical activity measures (A, B, C, D, E) or lower sedentary behavior (F) with hand grip strength (upper body muscle strength)




E. Light physical activity



Figure D4 Albatross plots depicting the magnitude of the association (contours lines represent standardized regression coefficients ( $\beta$ )) of higher moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (A) with arm curl (upper body muscle power)


Figure D5 Albatross plots depicting the magnitude of the association (contours lines represent standardized regression coefficients ( $\beta$ )) of higher physical activity measures (A, B, C, D, E) or lower sedentary behavior (F) with lower body muscle strength


Figure D6 Albatross plots depicting the magnitude of the association (contours lines represent standardized regression coefficients ( $\beta$ )) of higher physical activity measures (A, B, C, D) or lower sedentary behavior (E) with chair stand test (lower body muscle power)

c. Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity

E. Sedentary behavio

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\hline-----\quad \beta= \pm 0.10 \\
\text { disease population }
\end{array} \begin{aligned}
& -\quad-\quad \begin{array}{l}
\beta= \pm 0.20 \\
\text { general population }
\end{array} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure D7 Albatross plots depicting the magnitude of the association (contours lines represent standardized regression coefficients ( $\beta$ ) ) of higher moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (A) with lower body muscle power


Figure D8 Funnel plots of standard error by standardized regression coefficient ( $\beta$ ) for the associations of physical activity measures with hand grip strength (A, B, C) and chair stand test (D, E), respectively

## A. Total physical activity and hand grip strength (Egger's test: $p=0.000$ )


B. Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity and hand grip strength (Egger's test: $\mathrm{p}=0.489$ )

C. Light physical activity and hand grip strength (Egger's test: $p=0.162$ )

D. Total physical activity and chair stand test (Egger's test: $p=0.010$ )

E. Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity and chair stand test (Egger's test: $p=0.064$ )


## Appendices

Appendix A: Full search strategy
Appendix A Full search strategy (June 21, 2020)

## PubMed

| \# | Query | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#14 | \#10 AND \#13 | 5.729 |
| \#13 | \#11 OR \#12 | 2.085.084 |
| \#12 | "Motor Activity"[Mesh:NoExp] OR "Exercise"[Mesh] OR "Sports"[Mesh] OR "Physical Exertion"[Mesh] OR "Early Ambulation"[Mesh] OR "Exercise Therapy"[Mesh] OR "Exercise Movement Techniques"[Mesh] OR "Locomotion"[Mesh] OR "Motor Activit*" $[$ tiab] OR "Physical Activit*" $[$ tiab] OR "Locomotor Activit*"[tiab] OR "Exercis*"[tiab] OR "Physical Exercis*"[tiab] OR "Isometric Exercis*"[tiab] OR "Aerobic Exercis*"[tiab] OR "training"[tiab] OR "stretching"[tiab] OR "Physical Condition*" $[$ tiab] OR "Physical fitness" $[$ tiab] OR "Physical endurance" $[$ tiab] OR "movement therap*" $[$ tiab] OR "fitness training"[tiab] OR "Plyometric"[tiab] OR "Stretch-Shortening"[tiab] OR "Weight-Lifting"[tiab] OR "WeightBearing"[tiab] OR "running"[tiab] OR "jogging" $[t i a b]$ OR "walk*"[tiab] OR "bicycle"[tiab] OR "cycle"[tiab] OR "bicycling"[tiab] OR "cycling"[tiab] OR "rowing"[tiab] OR "swim*"[tiab] OR "ambulation"[tiab] OR "mobil*"[tiab] OR "pilates"[tiab] OR "yoga"[tiab] | 2.061.636 |
| \#11 | "Sedentary Behavior"[Mesh] OR "sedent*"[tiab] OR "sitting’"[tiab] OR "physical inactivit*"[tiab] | 61.174 |
| \#10 | \#3 OR \#5 OR \#9 | 10.790 |
| \#9 | \#1 AND \#8 | 4.320 |
| \#8 | \#6 AND \#7 | 19.226 |
| \#7 | "Monitoring, Physiologic"[Mesh:NoExp] OR "Monitoring, Ambulatory"[Mesh:NoExp] OR "monitoring"[tiab] | 528.186 |
| \#6 | "Heart Rate"[Mesh:NoExp] OR "cardiac rate*"[tiab] OR "heart rate*" [tiab] OR "pulse rate*"[tiab] OR "cardiac frequency" $[t i a b]$ OR "heart frequency" $[t i a b]$ | 246.877 |
| \#5 | \#1 AND \#4 | 868 |
| \#4 | "pedomet*"[tiab] | 2.755 |
| \#3 | \#1 AND \#2 | 5.977 |
| \#2 | "Accelerometry"[Mesh] OR "Acceleromet*"[tiab] OR "actigra*"[tiab] | 23.701 |

("Aged"[Mesh] OR "Aged, 80 and over"[Mesh] OR "Frail Elderly"[Mesh] OR "Geriatrics"[Mesh] OR "Geriatric Psychiatry"[Mesh] OR "Geriatric Nursing"[Mesh] OR "Geriatric Dentistry"[Mesh] OR "Dental Care for Aged"[Mesh] OR "Health Services for the Aged"[Mesh]) OR ("elder*" $[t w]$ OR "eldest" $[t w]$ OR "frail*" $[t w]$ OR "geriatri*" $[t w]$ OR "old age*" $[\mathrm{tw}]$ OR "oldest old*" $[\mathrm{tw}]$ OR "senior*" $[\mathrm{tw}]$ OR "senium" $[\mathrm{tw}]$ OR "very old*" ${ }^{[t w]}$ OR "septuagenarian*" tw ] OR "octagenarian*" $[t w]$ OR "octogenarian*" $[t w]$ OR "nonagenarian*" $[t w]$ OR "centarian*" $[t w]$ OR "centenarian*" $[t w]$ OR "supercentenarian*"[tw] OR "older people" $[t w]$ OR "older subject*" $[t w]$ OR "older patient*" $[t w]$ OR "older age*" $[t w]$ OR "older adult*" $[\mathrm{tw}]$ OR "older man" $[\mathrm{tw}]$ OR "older men" $[\mathrm{tw}]$ OR "older male" $[\mathrm{tw}]$ OR "older woman" $[\mathrm{tw}]$ OR "older women" $[t w]$ OR "older female" $[t w]$ OR "older population*" $[t w]$ OR "older person*"[tw])

## Embase.com

| \# | Query | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#15 | \#10 AND \#14 | 6.801 |
| \#14 | \#11 OR \#12 OR \#13 | 2.695 .910 |
| \#13 | ((motor NEXT/1 activit*):ab,ti,kw) OR ((physical NEXT/1 activit*):ab,ti,kw) OR locomot*:ab,ti,kw OR exercis*: ab,ti,kw OR training:ab,ti,kw OR stretching:ab,ti,kw OR ((physical NEXT/1 condition*):ab,ti,kw) OR 'physical fitness':ab,ti,kw OR 'physical endurance':ab,ti,kw OR ((movement NEXT/1 therap*):ab,ti,kw) OR plyometric:ab,ti,kw OR 'stretch shortening':ab,ti,kw OR 'weight lifting':ab,ti,kw OR 'weight bearing':ab,ti,kw OR running:ab,ti,kw OR jogging:ab,ti,kw OR walk*:ab,ti,kw OR bicycle:ab,ti,kw OR cycle:ab,ti,kw OR bicycling:ab,ti,kw OR cycling:ab,ti,kw OR rowing:ab,ti,kw OR swim*:ab,ti,kw OR ambulation:ab,ti,kw OR mobil*:ab,ti,kw OR pilates:ab,ti,kw OR yoga:ab,ti,kw | 2.314.193 |
| \#12 | 'motor activity'/de OR 'exercise'/exp OR 'sport'/exp OR 'mobilization'/exp OR 'kinesiotherapy'/exp OR 'physical activity'/exp OR 'fitness'/exp OR 'locomotion'/exp | 951.571 |
| \#11 | 'sedentary lifestyle'/exp OR 'sitting'/exp OR 'physical inactivity'/exp OR sedent*:ab,ti,kw OR sitting:ab,ti,kw OR ((physical NEXT/1 inactivit*):ab,ti,kw) | 91.488 |
| \#10 | \#3 OR \#5 OR \#9 | 12.541 |
| \#9 | \#1 AND \#8 | 4.407 |
| \#8 | \#6 AND \#7 | 25.596 |
| \#7 | 'physiologic monitoring'/exp OR 'ambulatory monitoring'/exp OR monitoring:ab,ti, kw | 709.204 |
| \#6 | 'heart rate'/de OR 'heart rate variability'/de OR 'resting heart rate'/de OR 'cardiac rate':ab,ti,kw OR 'heart rate':ab,ti,kw OR 'pulse rate':ab,ti,kw OR 'cardiac frequency':ab,ti,kw OR 'heart frequency':ab,ti,kw | 318.213 |
| \#5 | \#1 AND \#4 | 1.097 |


| \#4 | 'pedometer'/exp OR 'pedometry'/exp OR pedomet*:ab,ti,kw | 4.154 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#3 | \#1 AND \#2 | 7.844 |
| \#2 | 'accelerometry'/exp OR 'accelerometer'/exp OR 'actimetry'/exp OR 'actigraph'/exp OR acceleromet*:ab,ti OR actigra*:ab,ti | 36.929 |
| \#1 | 'aged'/exp OR 'geriatrics'/exp OR 'elderly care'/exp OR elder*:de,ab,ti OR eldest:de,ab,ti OR frail*:de,ab,ti OR geriatri*:de,ab,ti OR ((old NEXT/1 age*):de,ab,ti) OR ((oldest NEXT/1 old*):de,ab,ti) OR senior*:de,ab,ti OR senium:de,ab,ti OR ((very NEXT/1 old*):de,ab,ti) OR septuagenarian*:de,ab,ti OR octagenarian*:de,ab,ti OR octogenarian*:de,ab,ti OR nonagenarian*:de,ab,ti OR centarian*:de,ab,ti OR centenarian*:de,ab,ti OR supercentenarian*:de,ab,ti OR 'older people':de,ab,ti OR ((older NEXT/1 subject*):de,ab,ti) OR ((older NEXT/1 patient*): de, ab,ti) OR ((older NEXT/1 age*):de, ab,ti) OR ((older NEXT/1 adult*):de, ab,ti) OR 'older man':de,ab,ti OR 'older men':de,ab,ti OR 'older male':de,ab,ti OR 'older woman':de,ab,ti OR 'older women':de,ab,ti OR 'older female':de,ab,ti OR ((older NEXT/1 population*):de,ab,ti) OR ((older NEXT/1 person*):de,ab,ti) | 3.432.221 |

The Cochrane Library (via Wiley)

| \# | Query | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#14 | \#10 and \#13 | 920 |
| \#13 | \#11 or \#12 | 238.188 |
| \#12 | ((motor NEXT activit*) or (physical NEXT activit*) or locomot* or exercis* or training or stretching or (physical NEXT condition*) or (physical NEXT fitness) or (physical NEXT endurance) or (movement NEXT therap*) or plyometric or (stretch NEXT shortening) or (weight NEXT lifting) or (weight NEXT bearing) or running or jogging or walk* or bicycle or cycle or bicycling or cycling or rowing or swim* or ambulation or mobil* or pilates or yoga):ti,ab,kw | 233.754 |
| \#11 | (Sedent* or sitting or (physical NEXT inactivit*)):ti,ab,kw | 14.465 |
| \#10 | \#3 or \#5 or \#9 | 1.334 |
| \#9 | \#1 and \#8 | 406 |
| \#8 | \#6 and \#7 | 6.983 |
| \#7 | monitoring:ti,ab,kw | 59.019 |
| \#6 | ((cardiac NEXT rate):ab,ti,kw or (heart NEXT rate):ab,ti,kw or (pulse NEXT rate):ab,ti,kw or (cardiac NEXT frequency): ab,ti,kw or (heart NEXT frequency)):ti,ab,kw | 59.143 |
| \#5 | \#1 and \#4 | 247 |


| \#4 | pedomet*:ti,ab,kw | 1.712 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#3 | \#1 and \#2 | 780 |
| \#2 | (acceleromet* or actigra*):ti,ab,kw | 5.965 |
| \#1 | (elder* or eldest or frai** or geriatri* or (old NEXT age*) or (oldest NEXT old*) or senior* or senium or (very NEXT old*) or septuagenarian* or octagenarian* or octogenarian* or nonagenarian* or centarian* or centenarian* or supercentenarian* or (older NEXT people) or (older NEXT subject*) or (older NEXT patient*) or (older NEXT age*) or (older NEXT adult*) or (older NEXT man) or (older NEXT men) or (older NEXT male) or (older NEXT woman) or (older NEXT women) or (older NEXT female) or (older NEXT population*) or (older NEXT person*)):ti,ab,kw | 76.361 |

## CINAHL (via EBSCO)

| \# | Query | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S14 | S10 AND S13 | 2,995 |
| S13 | S11 OR S12 | 592,088 |
| S12 | ( (MH "Motor Activity") OR (MH "Exercise+") OR (MH "Sports+") OR (MH "Early Ambulation") OR (MH "Therapeutic Exercise+") OR (MH "Locomotion+") ) OR TI ( ("motor activit*" OR "physical activit*" OR locomot* OR exercis* OR training OR stretching OR "physical condition*" OR "physical fitness" OR "physical endurance" OR "movement therap*" OR plyometric OR "stretch shortening" OR "weight lifting" OR "weight bearing" OR running OR jogging OR walk* OR bicycle OR cycle OR bicycling OR cycling OR rowing OR swim* OR ambulation OR mobil* OR pilates OR yoga) ) OR AB ( ("motor activit*" OR "physical activit*" OR locomot* OR exercis* OR training OR stretching OR "physical condition*" OR "physical fitness" OR "physical endurance" OR "movement therap*" OR plyometric OR "stretch shortening" OR "weight lifting" OR "weight bearing" OR running OR jogging OR walk* OR bicycle OR cycle OR bicycling OR cycling OR rowing OR swim* OR ambulation OR mobil* OR pilates OR yoga) ) | 582,203 |
| S11 | ( (MH "Life Style, Sedentary") OR (MH "Sitting") ) OR TI ( (sedent* OR sitting OR "physical inactivit*") ) OR AB ( (sedent* OR sitting OR "physical inactivit*") ) | 26,571 |
| S10 | S3 OR S5 OR S9 | 4,531 |
| S9 | S1 AND S8 | 1,003 |
| S8 | S6 AND S7 | 4,480 |
| S7 | (MH "Monitoring, Physiologic") OR TI monitoring OR AB monitoring | 111,399 |
| S6 | (MH "Heart Rate") OR TI ( ("cardiac rate" or "heart rate" or "pulse rate" or "cardiac frequency" or "heart frequency") ) OR AB ( ("cardiac rate" or "heart rate" or "pulse rate" or "cardiac frequency" or "heart frequency") ) | 47,141 |
| S5 | S1 AND S4 | 643 |
| S4 | (MH "Pedometers") OR TI pedomet* OR AB pedomet* | 2,279 |
| S3 | S1 AND S2 | 3,047 |


| S2 | ( (MH "Accelerometry+") OR (MH "Accelerometers") OR (MH "Actigraphy")) OR TI ( (acceleromet* or actigra*) ) OR AB ( (acceleromet* or actigra*) ) | 11,526 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1 | MH "Aged+" OR MH "Aged, 80 and Over" OR MH "Frail Elderly" OR MH "Geriatrics" OR MH "Geriatric Psychiatry" OR MH "Gerontologic Nursing+" OR MH "Gerontologic Care" OR MH "Health Services for the Aged" OR TI (elder* OR eldest OR frail* OR geriatri* OR "old age*" OR "oldest old*" OR senior* OR senium OR "very old*" OR septuagenarian* OR octagenarian* OR octogenarian* OR nonagenarian* OR centarian* OR centenarian* OR supercentenarian* OR "older people" OR "older subject*" OR "older patient*" OR "older age*" OR "older adult*" OR "older man" OR "older men" OR "older male" OR "older woman" OR "older women" OR "older female" OR "older population*" OR "older person*") OR AB (elder* OR eldest OR frail* OR geriatri* OR "old age*" OR "oldest old*" OR senior* OR senium OR "very old*" OR septuagenarian* OR octagenarian* OR octogenarian* OR nonagenarian* OR centarian* OR centenarian* OR supercentenarian* OR "older people" OR "older subject*" OR "older patient*" OR "older age*" OR "older adult*" OR "older man" OR "older men" OR "older male" OR "older woman" OR "older women" OR "older female" OR "older population*" OR "older person*") | 919,735 |

## APA PsychINFO (via EBSCO)

| \# | Query | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S17 | S13 AND S16 | 1,097 |
| S16 | S14 OR S15 | 527,097 |
| S15 | (DE "Physical Activity" OR (DE "Exercise" OR DE "Aerobic Exercise" OR DE "Weightlifting" OR DE "Yoga") OR DE "Physical Fitness" OR (DE "Sports" OR DE "Baseball" OR DE "Basketball" OR DE "Football" OR DE "Judo" OR DE "Martial Arts" OR DE "Soccer" OR DE "Swimming" OR DE "Tennis" OR DE "Weightlifting") OR DE "Locomotion" AND \#DE "Training" OR DE "Athletic Training" OR DE "Locomotion" ) OR TI ( ("motor activit*" OR "physical activit*" OR locomot* OR exercis* OR training OR stretching OR "physical condition*" OR "physical fitness" OR "physical endurance" OR "movement therap*" OR plyometric OR "stretch shortening" OR "weight lifting" OR "weight bearing" OR running OR jogging OR walk* OR bicycle OR cycle OR bicycling OR cycling OR rowing OR swim* OR ambulation OR mobil* OR pilates OR yoga) ) OR AB ( ("motor activit*" OR "physical activit*" OR locomot* OR exercis* OR training OR stretching OR "physical condition*" OR "physical fitness" OR "physical endurance" OR "movement therap*" OR plyometric OR "stretch shortening" OR "weight lifting" OR "weight bearing" OR running OR jogging OR walk* OR bicycle OR cycle OR bicycling OR cycling OR rowing OR swim* OR ambulation OR mobil* OR pilates OR yoga) ) | 522,065 |
| S14 | TI (sedent* OR sitting OR "physical inactivit*") OR AB (sedent* OR sitting OR "physical inactivit*") | 13,285 |
| S13 | S6 OR S8 OR S12 | 1,802 |
| S12 | S4 AND S11 | 131 |
| S11 | S9 AND S10 | 1,175 |
| S10 | DE "Monitoring" OR TI monitoring OR AB monitoring | 58,460 |
| S9 | DE "Heart Rate" OR TI ("cardiac rate" or "heart rate" or "pulse rate" or "cardiac frequency" or "heart frequency") OR AB ("cardiac rate" or "heart rate" or "pulse rate" or "cardiac frequency" or "heart frequency") | 28,295 |


| S8 | S4 AND S7 | 246 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S7 | TI pedomet* OR AB pedomet* | 860 |
| S6 | S4 AND S5 | 1,478 |
| S5 | (DE "Actigraphy") OR TI (acceleromet* OR actigra*) OR AB (acceleromet* OR actigra*) | 6,322 |
| S4 | S1 OR S2 OR S3 | 401,336 |
| S3 | TI (elder* OR eldest OR frail* OR geriatri* OR "old age*" OR "oldest old*" OR senior* OR senium OR "very old*" OR septuagenarian* OR octagenarian* OR octogenarian* OR nonagenarian* OR centarian* OR centenarian* OR supercentenarian* OR "older people" OR "older subject*" OR "older patient*" OR "older age*" OR "older adult*" OR "older man" OR "older men" OR "older male" OR "older woman" OR "older women" OR "older female" OR "older population*" OR "older person*") OR AB (elder* OR eldest OR frail* OR geriatri* OR "old age*" OR "oldest old*" OR senior* OR senium OR "very old*" OR septuagenarian* OR octagenarian* OR octogenarian* OR nonagenarian* OR centarian* OR centenarian* OR supercentenarian* OR "older people" OR "older subject*" OR "older patient*" OR "older age*" OR "older adult*" OR "older man" OR "older men" OR "older male" OR "older woman" OR "older women" OR "older female" OR "older population*" OR "older person*") | 174,582 |
| S2 | DE "Geriatrics" | 12,654 |
| S1 | Limiters - Age Groups: Aged (65 yrs \& older) | 325,601 |

SPORTDiscus (via EBSCO)

| \# | Query | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S16 | S12 AND S15 | 544 |
| S15 | S13 OR S14 | 513,139 |
| S14 | DE "PHYSICAL activity" OR (DE "EXERCISE" OR DE "ABDOMINAL exercises" OR DE "AEROBIC exercises" OR DE "ANAEROBIC exercises" OR DE "AQUATIC exercises" OR DE "ARM exercises" OR DE "BACK exercises" OR DE "BREATHING exercises" OR DE "BREEMA" OR DE "BUTTOCKS exercises" OR DE "CALISTHENICS" OR DE "CHAIR exercises" OR DE "CHEST exercises" OR DE "CIRCUIT training" OR DE "COMPOUND exercises" OR DE "DO-in" OR DE "EXERCISE -- Immunological aspects" OR DE "EXERCISE adherence" OR DE "EXERCISE for children" OR DE "EXERCISE for girls" OR DE "EXERCISE for men" OR DE "EXERCISE for middle-aged persons" OR DE "EXERCISE for older people" OR DE "EXERCISE for people with disabilities" OR DE "EXERCISE for women" OR DE "EXERCISE for youth" OR DE "EXERCISE therapy" OR DE "EXERCISE video games" OR DE "FACIAL exercises" OR DE "FALUN gong exercises" OR DE "FOOT exercises" OR DE "GYMNASTICS" OR DE "HAND exercises" OR DE "HATHA yoga" OR DE "HIP exercises" OR DE "ISOKINETIC exercise" OR DE "ISOLATION exercises" OR DE "ISOMETRIC exercise" OR DE "ISOTONIC exercise" OR DE "KNEE exercises" OR DE "LEG exercises" OR DE "LIANGONG" OR DE "METABOLIC equivalent" OR DE "MULAN quan" OR DE "MUSCLE strength" OR DE "PILATES method" OR DE "PLYOMETRICS" OR DE "QI gong" OR DE "REDUCING exercises" OR DE "RUNNING" OR DE "RUNNING -- Social aspects" OR DE "SCHOOLS -- Exercises \& recreations" OR DE "SEXUAL exercises" OR DE "SHOULDER exercises" OR DE "STRENGTH training" OR DE "STRESS management exercises" OR DE "TAI chi" | 503,410 |


|  |
| :--- | :--- |


| S6 | DE "PULSE (Heart beat)" OR DE "HEART beat" OR TI ("cardiac rate" or "heart rate" or "pulse rate" or "cardiac frequency" <br> or "heart frequency") OR AB ("cardiac rate" or "heart rate" or "pulse rate" or "cardiac frequency" or "heart frequency") | $\mathbf{3 0 , 4 9 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{S 5}$ | S1 AND S4 |  |

## Appendix C: Tables (Table C1-5)

Appendix D: Figures (Figure D1-8)

Table C1 Characteristics of articles assessing the association of physical activity and sedentary behavior with muscle strength and muscle power in older adults

| Author year | Cohort | Country | Population selection ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sample size (N) | Age in years mean (SD) | F \% | PA/SB measures | Muscle strength/muscle power measures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abe 2015 | N/A | JP | - | 57 | 66.3 (6.8) | 100 | Steps, MVPA, LPA-to-MPA | KES, toe grasping strength |
| Abe 2012 | N/A | JP | Healthy | 48 | 65.7 (6.4) | 100 | Steps, VPA, MPA, LPA, EE | KES, knee flexion strength |
| Aggio 2016 | BRHS | GB | - | 1286 (Nonsarcopenia: 1033; <br> Sarcopenia: 183; Severe sarcopenia: 70) | Non-sarcopenia: 7.6 <br> (4.1); Sarcopenia: <br> 79.7 (4.7); Severe <br> sarcopenia: 83.1 <br> (5.2) | 0.0 | MVPA, LPA, SB, BST | HGS |
| Alcazar 2018 | N/A | ES | - | 31 | 75.8 (4.7) | 54.8 | MVPA, SB | Leg press strength, leg press power |
| Alzahrani 2012 | N/A | N/R | After stroke | 42 | 70 (10) | 31.0 | Activity counts, TPA, MVPA | KES |
| Andersson 2013 | N/A | SE | COPD | 72 | 65 (7) | 61.1 | EE (PAL) | KES |
| Andre 2018 | N/A | PT | Healthy | 29 | 73.2 (6.6) | 50.0 | MVPA | Calf raise |
| Andre 2016 | N/A | PT | Healthy | 28 | 73.9 (7.7) | 56.1 | MVPA | Calf raise |
| Aoyagi 2009 | Nakanojo | JP |  | 170 | 72.7 (4.6) | 55.3 | Steps, TPA | HGS, knee extension torque |
| Ashe 2008 | N/A | N/R |  | 73 | 68.8 (3) | 100 | Activity counts, MVPA | KES, leg press power |
| Ashe 2007 | N/A | N/R | Chronic disease | 200 | 74.4 (5.7) | 65.0 | Steps | HGS, KES |
| Aubertin- <br> Leheudre 2017 | LIFE | US | Mobility limited and sedentary | 1453 (Nonobese nondynapenic: 402; Non-obese dynapenic: 381; Obese nondynapenic: 414; Obese dynapenic: 256) | 78.8 (5.3) | 66.0 | Steps, activity counts, TPA | HGS |


| Author year | Cohort | Country | Population selection ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sample size (N) | Age in years mean (SD) | F \% | PA/SB measures | Muscle strength/muscle power measures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balducci 2017 | N/A | IT | Diabetes | 300 | 61.6 (9.9) | 38.7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MVPA, LPA, } \\ & \text { SB } \end{aligned}$ | Shoulder press strength, leg press strength |
| Bann 2015 | LIFE | US | Mobility limited and sedentary | $\begin{aligned} & 1130(M: \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R} ; \\ & F: \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M: 79.3(5.3) ; F: 78.5 \\ & (5.3) \end{aligned}$ | N/R $\sim 67$ | TPA, LPA, SB | HGS |
| Barbat-Artigas 2012 | N/A | CN | Postmenopausal | 57 (Sedentary: <br> 19; Moderate <br> active: 20; <br> Active: 18) | 61 (5) | 100 | Steps, TPA | HGS, KES, 20s CST |
| Bartlett 2020 | N/A | N/R | Healthy | 50 | Sedentary: 63.4 (4.4); <br> Active: 67.0 (6.0) | Sedentary: <br> 52; Active: <br> 56 | Steps | HGS |
| Bassey 1988 | N/A | GB | - | 125 | M: 71 (4); F: 72 (4) | 53.6 | Steps | Calf strength |
| Bogucka 2018 | N/A | PL | Postmenopausal | 46 (Dynapenic: <br> 10; Non- <br> dynapenic: 36) | 71.4 (5.6) | 100 | Steps | HGS |
| Bollaert 2017 | N/A | US | MS and HC | $\begin{aligned} & 80(M S: 40 \text {; } \\ & H C: 40) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M S: 65.3 \text { (4.3); HC: } \\ & 66.5 \text { (6.7) } \end{aligned}$ | 62.5 | MVPA, LPA, <br> SB, PA bouts, <br> SB bouts, long <br> SB bouts | 5x CST |
| Boutou 2019 | PROactive | GB, NL, GR, BE | COPD | 157 | 67.2 (7.8) | 24.2 | $\Delta$ Steps, <br> $\triangle \mathrm{MVPA}$, <br> $\triangle \mathrm{MET}, \triangle \mathrm{VMU}$ | KES |
| Carrasco <br> Poyatos 2016 | N/A | ES | - | 42 (MPA group: 19; VPA group: 15) | 70.1 (4.5) | 100 | VPA, MVPA, MPA | HGS |
| Chastin 2012 | N/A | GB | Healthy | 30 | $\begin{aligned} & F: 79.3 \text { (3.4); M: } 79.0 \\ & \text { (3.6) } \end{aligned}$ | 46.7 | SB, SB break rate | Leg extension power |
| Chmelo 2013 | IDEA | US | OA, high BMI, and sedentary | 160 | 66 (6) | 69.0 | Steps, MVPA <br> LPA, EE | KES |
| Cooper 2015 | MRC NSHD | GB | - | 1727 | 63.3 \{60.3-64.9\} | 51.5 | MVPA, SB, EE | HGS, 10x CST |
| Davis 2014 | OPAL | GB | - | 217 | 78.1 (5.8) | 50.2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MVPA, SB, } \\ & \text { BST } \end{aligned}$ | 5x CST |


| Author year | Cohort | Country | Population selection ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sample size (N) | Age in years mean (SD) | F \% | PA/SB measures | Muscle strength/muscle power measures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| De Melo 2010 | N/A | CN | - | 60 | 77 (7.3) | 75.0 | Steps | 30s CST |
| De Melo 2014 | N/A | CN | - | 60 | 77 (7.3) | 75.0 | Steps | Arm curl, 30s CST |
| Demeyer 2018 | PAC-COPD | ES | COPD | 114 | 70 (8) | N/R | $\Delta$ Steps, steps, MVPA | $\Delta \mathrm{HGS}$ |
| Distefano 2018 | N/A | US | - | 29 (Active: 10; <br> Sedentary: 19) | Active: 67.5 (2.7); <br> Sedentary: 70.7 (4.7) | Active: 20.0; Sedentary: 42.1 | Steps | KES, 5x CST |
| Dogra 2017 | N/A | CN | - | 1157 | 64 (95\% CI: 64-64) | 46.6 | BST, long SB bouts | HGS |
| Dohrn 2020 | SNAC-K | SE | - | 656 | 73.3 (9.0) | 64.0 | Steps | 5x CST |
| Dos Santos 2019 | N/A | BR | - | 375 | 70 (7) | 69.6 | MVPA | HGS |
| Duncan 2016 | N/A | GB | - | 201 | 66.1 (7.7) | 59.7 | Steps | Arm curl, 30s CST |
| Edholm 2019 | N/A | SE | - | 60 | 67.5 (15) | 100 | Activity counts, MVPA | Squat jump test |
| Foong 2016 | TASOAC | AU | - | 636 | 66 (7) | 50.8 | Activity counts, VPA, MPA, LPA, SB | KES, leg strength |
| Gennuso 2016 | N/A | US |  | $\begin{aligned} & 44(M: 16 ; F \text { : } \\ & 28) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M: } 71 \text { [69-74]; F: } 70 \\ & \text { [67-78] } \end{aligned}$ | 63.6 | SB, BST, SB break rate, SB bouts, long SB bouts | 5x CST |
| Gerdhem 2008 | OPRA | SE | $\geq 80$ years | 57 | 80.1 (0.1) | 100 | Activity counts, MVPA | KES, Knee flexion strength |
| Hall 2016 | MURDOCK | US | - | $\begin{aligned} & 775 \text { (60-69y: } \\ & \text { 196, 70-79y: } \\ & \text { 198, 80-90+y: } \\ & 92 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | 62.1 (SD N/R) (60- <br> 69y: 64.8, 70-79y: <br> 73.6, 80-90+y: 83.6) | $\begin{aligned} & 53.2(60- \\ & \text { 69y: } 50.5 \text {, } \\ & 70-79 y: \\ & 49.5,80- \\ & 90+y: 64.1) \end{aligned}$ | Steps, MVPA, SB | 30s CST |
| Harada 2017 | NCGG | JP | Global cognitive impairment | 192 | 76.2 (4.1) | 44.7 | Steps | 5x CST |
| Hartley 2017 | COSHIBA | GB | - | 242 | 76.4 (2.6) | 100 | Activity counts | Jump strength, 5x CST, jump power |
| Hasegawa 2018 | N/A | JP | - | 50 | 77.8 (5.3) | 74.0 | Steps | 30s CST |


| Author year | Cohort | Country | Population selection ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sample size (N) | Age in years mean (SD) | F \% | PA/SB measures | Muscle strength/muscle power measures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hernandes 2013 | N/A | BR | +/- exercise lifestyle | 238 (Exercise: 134; Nonexercise: 104) | Exercise: 68 [64-71]; Non-exercise: 68 [6471] | Exercise: 39.1; Nonexercise: 69.3 | Steps | HGS, 30s CST |
| Hernandez 2016 | N/A | ES | COPD <br> (moderatesevere) | 44 | 70.3 (6.7) | 0.0 | TPA, MPA, <br> LPA, SB | Quadriceps power at $50 \%$ and $70 \%$ 1RM, respectively |
| Hopkins 2019 | OAI | US | OA | 687 | Inactive: 65.7 (0.44); <br> Active: 61.3 (0.48) | Inactive: <br> 69.8; Active <br> 44.3 | MVPA | $\Delta 5 \mathrm{x}$ CST |
| Iijima 2017 | N/A | JP | OA | 207 (Basal activity: 58; Limited activity: 79; Low Active: 45; Physically active: 25) | Basal activity: 76.4 (8.89); Limited activity: 73.4 (6.83); Low Active: 70.0 (6.48); Physically active: 70.4 (6.00) | 71.5 | Steps | 5x CST |
| Ikenaga 2014 | N/A | JP | - | 178 | 73.7 (2.6) | 0.0 | Steps, MPA, <br> LPA, SB | HGS, KES |
| Iwakura 2016 | N/A | N/R | COPD | 22 | 71.6 (6.9) | 0.0 | Steps | 5x CST |
| Jantunen 2016 | Helsinki Birth | FI |  | 695 | 70.7 (2.7) | 54.5 | MET | Arm curl, 30s CST |
| Jeong 2019 | N/A | KR | - | 52 | 60.3 (5.6) | 90.4 | Steps | Hip strength, KES |
| Johnson 2016 | TASOAC | AU | - | 188 | 64.0 (7.3) | 53.7 | VPA, MPA, LPA, SB | Leg strength |
| Kawagoshi 2013 | N/A | JP | COPD | 26 | 77 (6) | 0.0 | Steps, TPA, <br> LPA, SB | KES |
| Keevil 2015 | EPIC- <br> Norfolk | GB | - | $\begin{aligned} & 3726 \text { (M: 1674; } \\ & F: 2052) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M: } 69.8 \text { (7.6); } F: 68.0 \\ & (7.5) \end{aligned}$ | 55.1 | MVPA, SB | HGS, CST |
| Kim 2015a | N/A | JP | - | 207 | 83.5 (2.6) | 55.5 | Activity counts | HGS, KES |
| Kim 2015b | N/A | JP | $-$ | 101 | 81.4 (2.8) | 100 | Activity counts, MVPA, LPA, SB, long SB bouts | 5x CST |
| Lai 2020 | N/A | TW | Independent walking | 122 | 69.9 (5.0) | 71.3 | MVPA | 5x CST |


| Author year | Cohort | Country | Population selection ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sample size (N) | Age in years mean (SD) | F \% | PA/SB measures | Muscle strength/muscle power measures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | without assistive device |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lee 2015 | OAI | US | Knee OA | 1168 | 66 (N/R) | 55.0 | SB | 5x CST |
| Lerma 2018 |  | US | - | 91 | 70.7 (10.2) | 60.0 | MVPA, LPA, SB | 5x CST |
| Liao 2018 | N/A | JP | - | 281 | 74.5 (5.2) | 38.1 | SB, SB break rate, long SB bouts | HGS |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lohne-Seiler } \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | 2 N/R cohorts | NO | - | $\begin{aligned} & 161(M: 76 ; F: \\ & 85) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M: } 72.3 \text { (4.8); F: } 73.2 \\ & (5.4) \end{aligned}$ | 52.8 | Steps | HGS |
| Mador 2011 | N/A | US | COPD | 28 | 71.9 (7.7) | N/R | VMU | KES |
| Master 2018 | OAI | US | Knee OA | 1925 | 65.1 (9.1) | 55.0 | Steps | 5x CST |
| Matkovic 2020 | N/A | HR | COPD | 111 | 67.7 (7.8) | 31.5 | Steps | HGS, 30s CST |
| McDermott 2002 | N/A | US | +/- PAD | 346 | 71.2 (8.3) | 41.6 | Accelerations | 5x CST |
| McGregor 2018 | CHMS | CN | - | 1454 | 69.3 (0.3) | 52.4 | MVPA, LPA, SB | HGS |
| Meier 2020 | PAAS | US | - | 304 | 72.8 (5.8) | 58.2 | Steps | HGS, chest press strength, leg press strength |
| Monteiro 2019 | N/A | PT | Caucasian | 60 | 67.7 (5.3) | 100 | Activity counts | Arm curl, KES, knee flexion strength, 30s CST |
| Morie 2010 | N/A | US | Mobility limited \& low testosterone | 82 | 74.1 (5.3) | 0.0 | Activity counts | Chest press strength, chest press power, leg press strength, leg press power |
| Nagai 2018 | N/A | JP | - | 886 | 73.6 (7.0) | 70.0 | MVPA, LPA, SB | HGS |
| Nawrocka 2017 | N/A | PL | - | 61 (Not meeting PA guidelines: 39; Meeting PA guidelines: 22) | 66.2 (4.4) | 100 | MVPA | Arm curl |


| Author year | Cohort | Country | Population selection ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sample size (N) | Age in years mean (SD) | F \% | PA/SB measures | Muscle strength/muscle power measures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nawrocka 2019 | N/A | PL | - | $\begin{aligned} & 213 \text { (Not } \\ & \text { meeting PA } \\ & \text { guidelines: } 108 ; \\ & \text { Meeting PA } \\ & \text { guidelines: 105) } \end{aligned}$ | N/R | 100 | MVPA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HGS, Arm curl, 30s } \\ & \text { CST } \end{aligned}$ |
| Nicolai 2010 | N/A | GB | - | 44 | 80.8 (4.1) | N/R | Steps (walking), <br> TPA (standing) | 5x CST |
| Ofei-Doodoo 2016 | N/A | US | Sedentary | 101 | 75.0 (7.2) | 100 | MVPA | Arm curl, 30s CST |
| Orwoll 2019 | MrOS | US | - | 2741 (No falls: <br> 1777; One fall: <br> 327: $\geq$ Two <br> falls: 63) | 78.8 (5) | 0.0 | MVPA, LPA | 5x CST |
| Osuka 2015 | N/A | JP | - | 802 | 72.5 (5.9) | 76.7 | MVPA, LPA | 5x CST |
| Park 2018 | N/A | KR | - | 22 | 71.5 (3.3) | 0.0 | Steps | HGS, 30s CST |
| Perkin 2018 | N/A | GB | Healthy | 50 | 69 (4) | 46 | MVPA, SB, EE | Leg press strength, leg press power |
| Pitta 2005 | N/A | BE | COPD | 50 | 77.3 (7.0) | 28 | Steps (walking), <br> TPA (standing) | HGS, knee extension torque |
| Puthoff 2008 | N/A | N/R | Mildmoderate functional limitations | 30 | 77.3 (7.0) | 83.3 | Steps | Leg press strength, leg press power |
| Rapp 2012 | ActiFE Ulm | DE | - | 1271 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M: } 76.0 \text { (6.46); } \\ & F: 75.1 \text { (6.58) } \end{aligned}$ | 43.6 | Steps (walking) | HGS, 5x CST |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rausch-Osthoff } \\ & 2014 \end{aligned}$ | N/A | CH | COPD | 27 | 62.3 (5.7) | 40.7 | Steps, EE, EE (PAL), MET | KES |
| Rava 2018 | N/A | EE | - | 81 | 73.1 (5.3) | 100 | VPA, MVPA, MPA, LPA, SB | 5x CST |
| Reid 2018 | N/A | AU | - | 123 | 70.9 (4.2) | 63 | SB, BST | KES, leg press <br> strength, 30s CST |
| Rojer 2017 | Grey Power | NL | - | 80 | 74.4 [72.4-78.0] | 60.0 | Steps, TPA, SB, PA bouts, SB bouts | HGS |


| Author year | Cohort | Country | Population selection ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sample size (N) | Age in years mean (SD) | F \% | PA/SB measures | Muscle strength/muscle power measures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rosenberg 2015 | N/A | US | Retirement communities | 307 | 83.6 (6.4) | 72.3 | SB | 5x CST |
| Rowlands 2018 | CODEC | GB | Type II diabetes | 295 | 63.2 (9.7) | 39.7 | MVPA, accelerations, intensity gradient, PA bouts | HGS, 60s CST |
| Safeek 2018 | N/A | US | HIV | 21 | 66.1 (6.3) | 33.3 | Steps, MVPA, <br> LPA, SB, EE | HGS, 30s CST |
| Sanchez-sanchez $2019$ | TSHA | ES | - | 512 | 78.1 (5.7) | 54.3 | Activity counts, MVPA, LPA, SB | HGS |
| Santos 2012 | N/A | PT | - | 312 | 74.3 (6.6) | 62.5 | MVPA, SB | Arm curl, 30s CST |
| Sardinha 2015 | N/A | PT | - | 215 | 73.3 (5.9) | 59.5 | BST | Arm curl, 30s CST |
| Scott 2020 | Healthy Ageing Initiative | SE | - | $\begin{aligned} & 3334 \text { (Non- } \\ & \text { sarcopenic: } \\ & 3273 ; \\ & \text { Sarcopenic: } 61 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | Non-sarcopenic: 70.01 (0.10); <br> Sarcopenic: 70.02 (0.13) | Nonsarcopenic: 50.5; Sarcopenic: 57.4 | MVPA, LPA, SB | HGS |
| Scott 2011 | TASOAC | AU |  | 697 | 61.9 (7.2) | 49.5 | Steps | Leg strength |
| Scott 2009 | TASOAC | AU | - | 982 | 62 (7) | 51 | Steps | Leg strength |
| Semanik 2015 | OAI | US | OA | 1659 | 64.8 (9.0) | 54.7 | SB | 5x CST |
| Silva 2019 | N/A | PT | Physically independent | 83 | 72.14 (5.61) | 67.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MVPA, LPA, } \\ & \text { SB } \end{aligned}$ | Arm curl, 30s CST |
| Spartano 2019 | FOS | US | - | 1352 | 68.6 (7.5) | 54.0 | Steps, MVPA, SB | HGS, 5x CST |
| Tang 2015 | N/A | US | Severe Aortic Stenosis | 51 | 88 [85-90] | 63 | Activity counts | HGS |
| Trayers 2014 | OPAL | GB | - | 240 | 78 (6) | 48 | Steps, counts, MVPA | 5x CST |
| Van Gestel 2012 | N/A | SE | COPD | 70 | 62.4 (7.4) | 30.0 | Steps | HGS, 60s CST |
| Van Lummel 2016 | N/A | NL | - | 57 | 84.0 (11.0) | 82.5 | TPA, PA bouts, SB bouts | 5x CST |


| Author year | Cohort | Country | Population selection ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sample size (N) | Age in years mean (SD) | F \% | PA/SB measures | Muscle strength/muscle power measures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Van Oeijen 2020 | N/A | NL | CIAP | 92 | 65 (13.75) | 27.2 | Steps | Lower extremity strength |
| Van Sloten 2011 | N/A | NL | Diabetes | 100 | 64.5 (9.4) | 31.0 | Steps | HGS |
| Walker 2008 | N/A | N/R | COPD | 23 | 66 (9) | 47.8 | TPA | KES |
| Ward 2014 | N/A | N/R | - | 156 | 68.9 (6.7) | 45.5 | Activity counts, MVPA | 30s CST |
| Waschki 2012 | N/A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GB \& } \\ & \text { NL } \end{aligned}$ | COPD | 104 | 64.6 (7.2) | 39.2 | Steps, EE (PAL) | KES |
| Watz 2008 | N/A | DE | COPD | 170 | 64.0 (6.6) | 24.7 | Steps, EE (PAL) | HGS |
| Westbury 2018 | HSS | GB | - | $\begin{aligned} & 131(M: 32 ; \mathrm{F}: \\ & 99) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M: } 78.6(2.7) ; F: 78.9 \\ & (2.3) \end{aligned}$ | 75.6 | TPA, MVPA, accelerations | HGS |
| Wickerson 2013 | N/A | CN | Interstitial lung disease | 24 | 62 [53-65] | 41.7 | Steps, MVPA | Knee extension torque |
| Winberg 2015 | N/A | SE | Polio history | 77 | 67 (6) | 45.5 | Steps | KES, knee flexion strength |
| Yamada 2011 | N/A | JP | - | 629 (Non-frail: <br> 515; Frail: 114) | Non-frail: 77.0 (7.2); <br> Frail: 76.1 (7.5) | 67.5 | Steps | 5x CST |
| Yasunaga 2017 | N/A | JP | - | 287 | 74.4 (5.2) | 37.3 | MVPA, LPA, SB | HGS |
| Yoshida 2010 | N/A | JP | Day care center attendees | 147 | 82.8 (4.3) | 100 | Steps, TPA, <br> MPA, LPA | HGS, KES |
| Yuki 2019 | NILS-LSA | JP | - | 401 | 71.1 (4.3) | 44.4 | Steps, LPA, <br> MVPA | HGS |

Age in years is presented as mean (standard deviation) or otherwise median [interquartile range] or mean \{range\}. Gender distribution is presented as the percentage of females within the study population. Subgroups are presented in italics with their sample size ( N ) and any other reported information in parentheses.
${ }^{\text {aPPoplation }}$ selection refers to any specific for criteria for selection other than sex (e.g. disease or demographic characteristic), studies with no selection were selected from a community-based sample or the general population left blank with a dash.
$\mathrm{N}=$ sample size, $\mathrm{M}=$ male, $\mathrm{F}=$ female, $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}=$ not reported, $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}=$ not applicable, $\mathrm{BRHS}=$ British Regional Heart Study, LIFE=Lifestyle Interventions and Independence for Elders, IDEA=Intensive Diet and Exercise for Arthritis, MRC NSHD=Medical Research Council National Survey of Health and Development, OPAL=Older People and Active Living, PAC-COPD=Phenotype Characterization and Course of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disorder, CIAP=chronic idiopathic axonal polyneuropathy,
TASOAC=Tasmanian Older Adult Cohort, OPRA=Osteoporosis Prospective Risk Assessment study, MURDOCK=The Measurement to Understand the Reclassification of Disease Of Cabarrus/Kannapolis, NCGG=National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology-Study, COSHIBA=Cohort of Skeletal Health in Bristol and Avon, EPIC-
Northfolk=European Prospective Investigation into Cancer in Northfolk, OAI=Osteoarthritis Initiative, CHMS=Canadian Health Measure Survey, MrOS=The Osteoporotic

Fractures in Men Study, ActiFE Ulm=Activity and Function in the Elderly in Ulm, CODEC=Chronotype of Patients with Type 2 Diabetes and Effect on Glycaemic Control, TSHA=Toledo Study of Healthy Aging, FOS=Framingham Offspring Study, HSS=Hertford Sarcopenia Study, NILS-LSA=National Institute for Longevity SciencesLongitudinal Study of Aging, PAAS= Physical Activity and Aging Study, SNAC-K=National study on Aging and Care in Kungsholmen, JP=Japan, GB=Great Britain, $\mathrm{ES}=$ Spain, $\mathrm{PT}=$ Portugal, US=United States, $\mathrm{IT}=\mathrm{Italy}, \mathrm{CN}=$ Canada, $\mathrm{PL}=$ Poland, $\mathrm{BR}=$ Brazil, $\mathrm{SE}=$ Sweden, $\mathrm{FI}=\mathrm{Finland}$, $\mathrm{AU}=$ Australia, $\mathrm{NO}=\mathrm{Norway}, \mathrm{DE=Germany}$,
$\mathrm{CH}=$ Switzerland, $\mathrm{EE}=$ Estonia, $\mathrm{NL}=$ Netherlands, $\mathrm{HR}=$ Croatia, $\mathrm{TW}=$ Tawain, $\mathrm{MS}=$ multiple sclerosis, $\mathrm{HC}=$ healthy controls, $\mathrm{OA}=$ osteoarthritis, $\mathrm{BMI}=$ body mass index, COPD=chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder, $\mathrm{PAD}=$ peripheral artery disease, $\mathrm{N}=$ sample size, $\mathrm{M}=$ male, $\mathrm{F}=$ female,
TPA=total physical activity, MPA=moderate physical activity, VPA=vigorous physical activity, MVPA=moderate to vigorous physical activity, LPA=light physical activity, $\mathrm{SB}=$ sedentary behavior, $\mathrm{EE}=$ energy expenditure, $\mathrm{PAL}=$ physical activity units, $\mathrm{BST}=$ breaks in sedentary time, $\Delta=$ change, MET=metabolic equivalent of tasks, $\mathrm{VMU}=\mathrm{vector}$ magnitude units, HGS=hand grip strength, KES=knee extension strength, CST=chair stand test, $s=$ seconds, $x=$ times (repetitions), 1RM=one repetition maximum

Table C2 Assessment of methodological quality of included articles based on the adapted
Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS)

| Author year | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Sel } \\ \text { Q1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ection } \\ & \mathbf{Q 2} \mathbf{2}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}} \end{aligned}$ | Compar Q3 ${ }_{\text {a,b }}$ | bility Q4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Outcome } \\ \text { Q5 } \mathbf{Q 6}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathbf{Q 7}^{\mathbf{L}} \end{gathered}$ | Score Quality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abe 2015 | * | * | Q |  | * | 5/7 high |
| Abe 2012 | * | * | * - |  | * | 4/7 high |
| Aggio 2016 | * | * * | * * | * | * | 7/7 high |
| Alcazar 2018 | * | * - | - |  | * | 3/7 low |
| Alzahrani 2012 | - | * - | - - | * | * | 3/7 low |
| Andersson 2013 | * | - - | * * | * | * | 5/7 high |
| Andre 2018 | * | * - | - - | * | * | 4/7 high |
| Andre 2016 | * | * - | - - | * | * | 4/7 high |
| Aoyagi 2009 | * | * - | * - | - | * | 4/7 high |
| Ashe 2008 |  | * * | - - | * | * | 4/7 high |
| Ashe 2007 | - | - - | - - | - | * | 1/7 low |
| Aubertin-Leheudre 2017 | * | - - | - - | * | - | 2/7 low |
| Balducci 2017 |  | * - | - - |  | - | 1/7 low |
| Bann 2015 | * | * - | * * | * | * | 6/7 high |
| Barbat-Artigas 2012 | * | * - | - - | - | * | 3/7 low |
| Bartlett 2020 | - | - - | - - | * | - | 1/7 low |
| Bassey 1988 | * | - - | - - | - | * | 2/7 low |
| Bogucka 2018 | * | - - | - - | * | * | 3/7 low |
| Bollaert 2017 | * | - - | - * | * | - | 3/7 low |
| Boutou 2019 | * | * - | - * | * | * | 5/9 high |
| Carrasco Poyatos 2016 | - | - * | - - | * | * | 3/7 low |
| Chastin 2012 | * | * - | - - | * | - | 3/7 low |
| Chmelo 2013 | * | * - | - - | * | - | 3/7 low |
| Cooper 2015 | * | * * | * * | * | * | 7/7 high |
| Davis 2014 | * | * * | * * | * | * | 7/7 high |
| De Melo 2010 | * | - - | - * | * | * | 4/7 high |
| De Melo 2014 | * | - - | * * | * | * | 5/7 high |

Table C2 Continued

| Author year |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ection } \\ & \mathbf{Q 2} \mathbf{2 a b}^{\mathbf{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Compar Q3a,b | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { bility } \\ \text { Q4 } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { itcome } \\ & \mathbf{Q 6}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathbf{Q 7}^{\mathrm{L}} \end{aligned}$ | Score Quality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demeyer 2018 | * | * * | - - |  | - | * - | 4/9 low |
| Distefano 2018 | * | * - | * |  | * |  | 5/7 high |
| Dogra 2017 | * | * - | * * | * | * |  | 6/7 high |
| Dohrn 2020 | * | * * | * * | * | * |  | 7/7 high |
| Dos Santos 2019 | * | * - |  | * | * |  | 4/7 high |
| Duncan 2016 | * | * - | - - | * | * |  | 4/7 high |
| Edholm 2019 | * | * * | - * | * | * |  | 6/7 high |
| Foong 2016 | * | * - |  | * | * |  | $4 / 7$ high |
| Gennuso 2016 | * | * * | * * | - | - |  | 5/7 high |
| Gerdhem 2008 | * | * | - - | * | * |  | 5/7 high |
| Hall 2016 | * | - * | - - | * | * |  | 4/7 high |
| Harada 2017 | * | * - | - - | * | * |  | 4/7 high |
| Hartley 2017 |  | * - | * - | * | * |  | 5/7 high |
| Hasegawa 2018 | * | * - | * - | * | * |  | 5/7 high |
| Hernandes 2013 | * | * - | - - | - | * |  | 3/7 low |
| Hernandez 2016 | * | * - | - * | * | * |  | 5/7 high |
| Hopkins 2019 | * | - - | * * | - | * | * - | 5/9 high |
| Iijima 2017 | * | - - | * * | * | * |  | 5/7 high |
| Ikenaga 2014 | * | - - | - * | * | - |  | 3/7 low |
| Iwakura 2016 | * | * - | - - | - | * |  | 3/7 low |
| Jantunen 2016 | * | * * | * * | * | * |  | $7 / 7$ high |
| Jeong 2019 | * | * - | - - |  | * |  | 3/7 low |
| Johnson 2016 | * | * * | - - | - | * |  | 4/7 high |
| Kawagoshi 2013 | * | * * | - - | - | * |  | 4/7 high |
| Keevil 2015 | * | * * | * * | * | * |  | 7/7 high |
| Kim 2015a | * | * - | * - | * | * |  | 5/7 high |
| Kim 2015b | * | * * | * * | * | * |  | 7/7 high |
| Lai 2020 | * | * * | * * | * | * |  | $7 / 7$ high |
| Lee 2015 | * | * * | * * | * | * |  | $7 / 7$ high |

Table C2 Continued

| Author year | Selection |  | Comparability |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Outcome } \\ \text { Q5 } \mathbf{Q 6}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathbf{Q 7} 7^{1} \end{gathered}$ | Score Quality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q1 | Q2a,b | Q3a,b | Q4 |  |  |
| Lerma 2018 | * | * - | * * | * | * | 6/7 high |
| Liao 2018 | * | * * | * * | * | * | 7/7 high |
| Lohne-Seiler 2016 | * | * * | * * | * | * | $7 / 7$ high |
| Mador 2011 | * | - * | - - | * | * | 4/7 high |
| Master 2018 | * | * - | * * | * | * | 6/7 high |
| Matkovic 2020 | * | - - | - - | * | * | 3/7 low |
| McDermott 2002 | * | * - | - - | * | * | 4/7 high |
| McGregor 2018 | * | * - | * * | * | - | 5/7 high |
| Meier 2020 | * | - - | * * | * | * | 5/7 high |
| Monteiro 2019 | * | * - | - - | * | * | 4/7 high |
| Morie 2010 | * | * - | - - | - | * | 3/7 low |
| Nagai 2018 | * | * * | - - | - | * | 4/7 high |
| Nawrocka 2017 | * | * - | - - | * | * | 4/7 high |
| Nawrocka 2019 | - | * - | - - | * | * | 3/7 low |
| Nicolai 2010 | - | * - | - - | - | * | 2/7 low |
| Ofei-Doodoo 2016 | * | - - | - - | * | * | 3/7 low |
| Orwoll 2019 | * | * - | - - | * | * | 4/7 high |
| Osuka 2015 | * | * * | * * | * | * | $7 / 7$ high |
| Park 2018 | * | * - | - - | - | - | $2 / 7$ low |
| Perkin 2018 | * | * - | - - | - | * | 3/7 low |
| Pitta 2005 | * | * - | - - | * | * | 4/7 high |
| Puthoff 2008 | - | * * | - - | - | - | 2/7 low |
| Rapp 2012 | * | * * | * - | * | * | 6/7 high |
| Rausch-Osthoff 2014 | * | * - | - - | * | * | 4/7 high |
| Rava 2018 | * | * - | * * | - | * | 5/7 high |
| Reid 2018 | * | - - | * * | * | * | 5/7 high |
| Rojer 2017 | * | * * | * * | * | * | $7 / 7$ high |
| Rosenberg 2015 | * | * * | * * | * | * | 7/7 high |
| Rowlands 2018 | * | * * | * * | * | - | 6/7 high |

Table C2 Continued

| Author year | Selection |  | Comparability |  | Outcome |  |  | Score Quality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q1 | Q2a,b | Q3a,b | Q4 |  |  |  |  |
| Safeek 2018 | * | * * | - - | - | * |  |  | 4/7 high |
| Sanchez-sanchez 2019 | * | * * | * * |  | * |  |  | 7/7 high |
| Santos 2012 | * | * * | * * | * | * |  |  | 7/7 high |
| Sardinha 2015 | * | * * | * * | - | * |  |  | 6/7 high |
| Scott 2020 | * | * * | - * | * | * |  |  | 6/7 high |
| Scott 2011 | * | * * | - * | * | * | * | * | 8/9 high |
| Scott 2009 | * | * * | - - | * | * |  |  | 5/7 high |
| Semanik 2015 | * | * * | * * | * | * | * | * | 9/9 high |
| Silva 2019 | * | * * | - - | * | * |  |  | 5/7 high |
| Spartano 2019 |  | * | * * | * | * |  |  | $7 / 7$ high |
| Tang 2015 | * | * - | - * | * | * |  |  | 5/7 high |
| Trayers 2014 | * | - - | * * | * | * |  |  | 5/7 high |
| Van Gestel 2012 | * | * - | - * | * | * |  |  | 5/7 high |
| Van Lummel 2016 | * | * - | - - | * | * |  |  | 4/7 high |
| Van Oeijen 2020 | * | - - | - - | * | - | * | - | 3/9 low |
| Van Sloten 2011 | * | * - | - - | * | - |  |  | 3/7 low |
| Walker 2008 | - | * - | - - | * | * |  |  | 3/7 low |
| Ward 2014 | * | * - | * * | * | * |  |  | 6/7 high |
| Waschki 2012 | * | * * | * * | * | * |  |  | 7/7 high |
| Watz 2008 | * | * - | - * | - | - |  |  | 3/7 low |
| Westbury 2018 | * | * - | * * | * | * |  |  | 6/7 high |
| Wickerson 2013 | * | * - | - - | * | * |  |  | 4/7 high |
| Winberg 2015 | * | * - | * * | - | * |  |  | 5/7 high |
| Yamada 2011 | * | * - | * * | - | * |  |  | 5/7 high |
| Yasunaga 2017 | * | * * | * * | * | * |  |  | $7 / 7$ high |
| Yoshida 2010 | * | - - | - - | - | * |  |  | 2/7 low |
| Yuki 2019 | * | * - | * * | - | - |  | * | 6/9 high |

$\mathrm{Q}=$ questions, L=questions applicable to longitudinal studies only, quality was assessed using a cut-off for high quality of $\geq 4 / 7$ for crosssectional studies and $\geq 5 / 9$ for longitudinal studies, and otherwise articles were classified low quality
*represents point awarded, - (dash) represents no point awarded, blank represents N/A, underlined articles are longitudinal design Q1:*Age, gender distribution, country, and kind of population is reported
Q2: : *Ascertainment of all physical activity measures reported is clearly and described by name of device, location, and clear cut-off points are reported when appropriate, Q2b:*Methodological criteria of PA/SB data were clearly described and all of the following information: total wear time and assessment of valid days (mandatory hours/day and number of valid days) (2 possible * for Q2)
Q3a:*The study controls for the most important factors, age and sex, for at least one association, $\mathbf{Q 3}_{\mathrm{b}}$ : $*$ The study adjusted for other or additional factor, e.g. level of education, comorbidities, accelerometer wear time, physical activity for at least one association (2 possible * for Q3)
Q4:*The statistical test used to analyze the data is clearly described and appropriate and the measurement of the association is presented clearly including effect size with confidence intervals, $p$-value (unless $p<0.001$ ), or standard error for at least one association
Q5:*Clear description of an established method for assessing muscle strength/muscle power with measurement device reported (if applicable)
for all measures
Q6 ${ }^{\text {L }}: *$ Follow-up $\geq 3$ months (applicable for longitudinal studies only)
Q7 ${ }^{\text {L }: * C o m p l e t e ~ f o l l o w ~ u p ~ w i t h ~ a l l ~ s u b j e c t s ~ a c c o u n t e d ~ f o r ~ o r ~ s m a l l ~ n u m b e r ~ l o s t ~(~}<20 \%$ ) months (applicable for longitudinal studies only)

Table C3 Ascertainment and measurement characteristics of objectively measured physical activity and sedentary behavior

| Author year | Device and wearing protocol |  |  | Assessment of valid days |  |  | Physical activity and sedentary behavior |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A/ Name } \\ & \mathbf{P} \end{aligned}$ | Worn on | \# days worn | Defined as minimum (h/day) | \# valid days required | Wear time mean (SD) (min/day) | Reported measure(s) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Units | Cut off values/definition | Mean (SD) |
| Abe 2015 | Lifecorder | Hip | 30 | N/R | 30 | N/R | Steps | \#/day | Device detected | 7974 (3041) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MVPA | Min/day | $\geq 3 \mathrm{MET}$ | 23.7 (17.1) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | LPA (LPA-MPA) | Min/day | <3-6 MET | 82.2 (29.1) |
| Abe 2012 | A $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lifecorder } \\ & \text { EX }\end{aligned}$ | Hip | 30 | N/R | 30 | N/R | Steps | \#/day | Device detected | 7996 (3180) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VPA | Min/day | >6 MET | 1.6 (1.6) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MVPA (MPA) | Min/day | 3-6 MET | 22.5 (16.8) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | LPA | Min/day | <3 MET | 59.4 (20.8) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EE | Kcal/day | Device detected | 181 (85) |
| Aggio 2016 | A Actigraph GT3X | Hip | 7 | 10 | 3 | N/R | MVPA | Min/day | >1040 CPM | Non-sarcopenia: 42.1, (95\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CI: 40.1, 44.0); Sarcopenia: <br> 37.9 ( $95 \%$ CI. $32.8,43.1$ ). |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37.9 (95\% CI: 32.8, 43.1); <br> Severe sarcopenia: 19.8 (95\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CI: 14.4, 25.1) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | LPA | Min/day | 100-1040 CPM | Non-sarcopenia: 201.9 (95\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CI: 198.1, 205.6); Sarcopenia: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 196.4 (95\% CI:187.1, 205.7); |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Severe sarcopenia: 169.2 (95\% CI: 152.5, 185.9) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SB | Min/day | $<100$ CPM | Non-sarcopenia: 610.9 (95\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CI: 606.0, 615.7); Sarcopenia: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 614.1 (95\% CI: 602.1, 626.1); |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Severe sarcopenia: 650.6 (95\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CI: 632.0, 669.2) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | BST | \#/h | N/R | Non-sarcopenia: 7.3 (95\% CI: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.2, 7.4); Sarcopenia: 7.3 (95\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CI: 7.0, 7.6); Severe |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | sarcopenia: 6.6 (95\% CI: 6.0, |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1) |
| Alcazar 2018A | A Acti | Hip | 7 | 8 | 4 | N/R | MVPA | \% time/day | $\geq 1952$ CPM | N/R |
|  | Trainer |  |  |  |  |  | SB | \% time/day | $<100$ CPM | N/R |

Table C3 Continued

| Author year | Device and wearing protocol |  |  |  | Assessment of valid days |  |  | Physical activity and sedentary behavior |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A/ Name } \\ & \mathbf{P} \end{aligned}$ |  | Worn \# dayson worn |  | Defined as minimum (h/day) | \# valid days required | Wear time mean (SD) (min/day) | Reported measure(s) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Units | Cut off values/definition | Mean (SD) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alzahrani } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |  | IDEEA | Waist | 2 | N/R | N/R | $10.8(1.3)$ <br> h/day | Activity counts | \#/day | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total \# of steps + stairs } \\ & + \text { sit to stands } \end{aligned}$ | 5656 (4091) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TPA (On feet) | Min/day | Total duration of walking + stairs + standing + sit to stands | 230 (115) |
| Anderson $2013$ |  | ActiReg | Waist, thigh, and chest |  | N/R | N/R | N/R | EE (PAL) | None | Calculated as EE from ActiReg/resting metabolic rate from indirect calorimetry | 1.47 (0.19 |
| Andre 2018 |  | Actigraph GT1M | Hip | 5 | $10 \mathrm{~h} /$ day or 3000 <br> activity counts |  | N/R | MVPA | Min/day | $\geq 1952$ CPM | 35.3 (28.8) |
| Andre 2016 |  | Actigraph GT1M | Hip | 7 | $10 \mathrm{~h} /$ day or 3000 activity counts | 4 | N/R | MVPA (less vs. more active) | Dichotomous min/day | $<\mathrm{vs} . \geq 30 \mathrm{~min} /$ day | 31.83 (28.3) |
| Aoyagi 2009 |  | Kenz <br> Lifecoder | Waist | 1 year | N/R | N/R | N/R | Steps <br> TPA | \# /day <br> Min/day | Device detected >3 MET | $\begin{aligned} & 6574 \text { (2715) } \\ & 17.3 \text { (11.9) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Ashe 2008 |  | Actigraph GT1M | waist | N/R | 10 | $4^{\text {a }}$ | 6 (1) days | Activity counts MVPA | \#/day Min/day | Device detected $>574$ CPM | $\begin{aligned} & 244384 \text { (116423) } \\ & 156 \text { (90) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Ashe 2007 |  | New <br> Lifestyles <br> Digiwalker | N/R | 3 | N/R | N/R | N/R | Steps <br> Steps (high vs. low) | \#/day <br> Dichotomous <br> \#/day | Device detected < or > 7500 steps/day | 6078 (4031) |
| Aubertin- <br> Leheudre $2017$ |  | Actigraph GT3X | Hip | N/R | 10 | 3 | N/R | Steps | \#/day | Device detected | Non-obese non-dynapenic: 2938 (1573); Non-obese dynapenic: 2703 (1703); Obese non-dynapenic: 2622 (1327); Obese dynapenic: 2406 (1199) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Activity counts | \#/day | Device detected | Non-obese non-dynapenic: 95617 (49660); Non-obese dynapenic: 84046 (51892); |
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| Author year | Device and wearing protocol |  |  | Assessment of valid days |  |  | Physical activity and sedentary behavior |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A/ Name } \\ & \mathbf{P} \end{aligned}$ | Worn on | \# days worn | Defined as minimum (h/day) | \# valid days required | Wear time mean (SD) (min/day) | Reported measure(s) ${ }^{a}$ | Units | Cut off values/definition | Mean (SD) |
| Bollaert <br> 2017 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A Actigraph } \\ & \text { GT3X } \end{aligned}$ |  | 7 | N/R | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & M S: 797.8 \\ & (97.8) \\ & H C: 851.8 \\ & (79.3) \end{aligned}$ | MVPA | \% wear time | $\geq 1723$ CPM | MS: 1.5 (0.02); HC: 4.2 (0.03) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | LPA | \% wear time | 1722-100 CPM | $\begin{aligned} & M S: 30.6 \text { (0.09); HC: } 33.0 \\ & (0.07) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SB | \% wear time | $<100 \mathrm{CPM}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS: } 67.9 \text { (0.09); HC: } 62.8 \\ & (0.08) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PA bouts | \#/day | $>2 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{PA}$ | MS: 12.4 (4.9); HC: 13.4 (3.7) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PA bouts | Min/bout/day | $>2 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{PA}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS: } 45.9 \text { (29.5); HC: } 43.4 \\ & (28.2) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SB bouts | \#/day | $>2$ min SB | MS: 15.2 (3.2); HC: 15.7 (3.1) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SB bouts | Min/bout/day | $>2 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{SB}$ | MS: 24.5 (7.3); HC: 22.9 (3.9) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Long SB bouts | \#/day | $>30 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{SB}$ | MS: 5.9 (1.4); HC: 5.5 (1.9) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Long SB bouts | Min/bout/day | $>30 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{SB}$ | MS: 51.4 (8.2); HC: 47.8 (6.0) |
| Boutou 2019 A | A Actigraphy GT3X and Dynaport MiniMod (concurrent) | Hip and back | $14$$\text { FU: } 7$ | 10 |  | N/R | Actigraph measures: <br> $\Delta$ Steps \#/day |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Device detected |  |  | Baseline: 4284 (3533); 6month FU: 3594 (3212); 12month FU: 3533 (2930) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\triangle \mathrm{MVPA}$ | Ratio \#/day | Ratio of moderate to. vigorous PA | Baseline: 8.8 (18.8); 6-month FU: 7.4 (17.4); 12-month FU: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vectorial sum of activity counts in three orthogonal directions | Baseline: 374902.4 (265269); <br> 6-month FU: 330420 (223152); <br> 12-month FU: 336240 <br> (214432) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Dynaport measures: $\Delta$ Steps | \#/day | Device detected | Baseline: 4690 (3708); 6month FU: 4264 (3378); 12month FU: 4359 (3425) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\Delta$ Steps (Walking) | Min/day | Device detected | Baseline: 59.1 (34.9); 6-month FU: 53.2 (34.4); 12-month FU: 56.9 (38.7) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\triangle \mathrm{MET}$ | G | Metabolic equivalents | Baseline: 0.183 (0); 6-month FU: 0.183 (0); 12-month FU: 0.181 (0) |

Table C3 Continued

| Author year | Device and wearing protocol |  |  |  | Assessment of valid days |  |  | Physical activity and sedentary behavior |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Name | Worn on | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# days } \\ & \text { worn } \end{aligned}$ | Defined as minimum (h/day) | \# valid days required | Wear time mean (SD) (min/day) | Reported measure(s) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Units | Cut off values/definition | Mean (SD) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Carrasco A } \\ & \text { Poyatos } 2016 \end{aligned}$ |  | Actigraph GT3X | Wrist | 7 | 10 | 5 | N/R | $\triangle \mathrm{VMU}$ MVPA | \#/day | Vectorial sum of activity counts in three orthogonal directions | Baseline: 286039.6 (237721);6-month FU: 265253.2(218109); 12-month FU:259447.4 (199472)MPA group: 20.6 (1.6); VPAgroup: 22.6 (1.1) |
|  |  | MVPA |  |  |  |  |  | CPM | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 500 \mathrm{CPM} \\ & \text { (Subgroups - MPA } \\ & \text { group: } 500-760 \mathrm{CPM} \text {; } \\ & \text { VPA group: }>760 \mathrm{CPM} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Chastin 2012A |  |  | ActivPAL | Thigh |  | N/R | N/R | N/R | SB | H/day | Device detected (sitting posture) | $F: 16.8$ (1.6); M: 17.7 (1.8) |
| Chmelo 2013A |  | Kenz <br> Lifecorder | N/R |  |  |  |  | SB break rate | \#/sedentary h | N/R | F: 3.3 (0.4); M: 2.6 (0.8) |
|  |  | Waist |  |  | N/R | N/R | Steps | \#/day | Device detected | 6209 (2554) |  |
|  |  | MVPA |  |  |  |  | Min/day | $\geq 3$ MET | 10.6 (8.9) |  |
|  |  | LPA |  |  |  |  | Min/day | <3 | 131 (39) |  |
| Cooper 2015 A |  |  |  |  |  |  | Acitheart | EE | Kcal/day | Device detected | 237 (124) |
|  |  | Chest | 7 | 6 h per quadrant of day | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.03[4.8- \\ & 5.2] \end{aligned}$ |  | MVPA | Min/day | $\geq 3 \mathrm{MET}$ | M: 90.5 (64.9); F: 79.9 (54.9) |
|  |  | SB |  |  |  |  |  | H/day | <1.5 MET | M: 17.4 (2.2); F: 17.3 (2.0) |  |
|  |  | EE |  |  |  |  |  | Kj/kg/day | Device detected | M: 38.1 (15.7); F: 34.2 (13.3) |  |
| Davis 2014 A | A |  | ActiGraph GT1M | Waist |  | 10 | 5 | $14.4 \text { (1.4) }$ <br> h/day | MVPA | Min/h | >1951 CPM | 0.9 (1.3) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SB | Min/h | 0-99 CPM | 42.8 (6.1) |
|  |  | BST |  |  |  |  |  |  | \#/h | Any transition from SB | 5.0 (1.0) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { De Melo } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ |  | StepsCount SC-01 |  | 3 | N/R | N/R | N/R | Steps | \#/day | Device detected | 5289 (4029) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { De Melo } \\ & 2014 \end{aligned}$ |  | StepCount SC-01 |  | 3 | N/R | N/R | N/R | Steps (medium vs. high) | Categorical <br> \#/day | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 3000-6500 \text { vs. } \geq 6500 \\ & \text { steps/day } \end{aligned}$ | 5289 (4029) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underline{\text { Demeyer }} \\ & \underline{2018} \end{aligned}$ |  | SenseWear <br> Pro <br> Armband | Arm | 7 | $70 \%$ of waking hours 8am10 pm | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 89(9) \% \text { of } \\ & \text { day; } 6(1) \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta$ Steps (persistently active decline | Categorical \#/day | Active at follow-up and baseline, declined at |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | persistently inactive) |  | follow-up from baseline, inactive at follow-up and baseline |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Steps | \#/day | Device detected |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MVPA | Min/day | >3 MET |  |
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| Author year | Device and wearing protocol |  |  |  | Assessment of valid days |  |  | Physical activity and sedentary behavior |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\mathbf{P}}{ }$ | Name | Worn on | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# \# days } \\ & \text { worn } \end{aligned}$ | Defined as minimum (h/day) | \# valid days required | Wear time mean (SD) (min/day) | Reported measure(s) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Units | Cut off values/definition | Mean (SD) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Hasegawa } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | P | Misfit Shine 2 | Hip | 7 | N/R | N/R | N/R | Steps | \#/day | Device detected | 6500 (3200) |
| Hernandes $2013$ | P | Yamax <br> SW-200 <br> Digiwalker | Waist | 7 | 12 | 8 | N/R | Steps | \#/day | Device detected | Exercise: 8314 [5971-10060]; Non-exercise: 6250 [43468207] |
| Hernandez $2016$ | A | Actigraph GT3X+ | Hip | 8 | 8 | 5 | N/R | Steps <br> TPA <br> MVPA (MPA) <br> LPA <br> SB | \#/day <br> Min/day <br> Min/day <br> Min/day <br> Min/day | Device detected Device detected 1952-5724 CPM 100-1951 CPM $<100 \mathrm{CPM}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8105.9(3851.2) \\ & \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R} \\ & 39.1(33.9) \\ & 227.2(89.9) \\ & 578.6(86.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\frac{\text { Hopkins }}{\underline{2019}}$ | A | Actigraph GT1M | N/R | 7 | 10 | 4 | N/R | MVPA (Meeting vs. not meeting guidelines) | Dichotomous min/day | $\begin{aligned} & \geq \text { or }<150 \mathrm{~min} \text { MVPA } \\ & (>2020 \mathrm{CPM}) \end{aligned}$ | N/R |
| Iijima 2017 | P | N/R | Leg | 14 | N/R |  | N/R | Steps | \#/day | Device detected <br> (Subgroups - Basal activity: <2500 steps; Limited activity: 25004999 steps; Low active: 5000-7499 steps; Physically active: $\geq 7500$ steps) | Basal activity: 1711 (591); <br> Limited activity: 3718 (754); <br> Low active: 5808 (701); <br> Physically active: 9858 (2132) |
| Ikenaga |  | ACCtri | N/R | 10 | 300 | 4 | N/R | Steps | \#/day | Device detected | 6523 (3797) |
| 2014 | Actimarker |  |  |  | steps/day or |  |  | MPA | Min/day | 3.0-5.9 MET | 34.3 (27.0) |
|  | EW4800 x2 (concurrent) |  |  |  | $10 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{day}$ |  |  | LPA | Min/day | 1.1-2.9 MET | 563.5 (125.4) |
|  |  | (concurrent) |  |  | of activity |  |  | SB | Min/day | <1.1 MET | 842.1 (129.8) |
| Iwakura | A | Lifecorder | Waist | N/R | N/R | 5 (Mon- |  | Steps | \#/day | Device detected | 4546 (2992) |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  | Fri) |  | MVPA | Min/day | >3 MET | 13.9 (14.0) |
| Jantunen $2016$ | A | Sense Wear Pro 3 | Arm | 10 | 10 | 4 (Mon- <br> Fri) +1 <br> (Sat-Sun) | 1436.8 (6.0) | MET | H/day | Device detected | 1779.6 (298.5) |
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| Author year Device and wearing protocol |  |  |  |  | Assessment of valid days |  |  | Physical activity and sedentary behavior |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Name | Worn on | \# days worn | Defined as minimum (h/day) | \# valid days required | Wear time mean (SD) (min/day) | Reported measure(s) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Units | Cut off values/definition | Mean (SD) |
| Lai 2020 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Actigraph } \\ & \text { wGT3X-BT } \end{aligned}$ | Waist | 7 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { (incl. } 1 \\ & \text { Sat-Sun) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.4 \text { (SD } \\ & \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}) \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{day} \end{aligned}$ | MVPA (Meeting vs. not meeting guidelines) | Dichotomous min/day | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 30 \mathrm{~min} / \text { day MVPA } \\ & (>2020 \mathrm{CPM}) \end{aligned}$ | 24.6 (23.2) |
| Lee 2015 |  | Actigraph GT1M | Hip | 7 | 10 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 14.8 \text { (SD } \\ & \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}) \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{day} \end{aligned}$ | SB | H/day | <100 CPM | 9.8 (1.5) |
| Lerma 2018 |  | Actigraph GT3X | Hip | 7 | N/R | N/R | 844.8 (75.8) | MVPA LPA SB | Min/day Min/day Min/day | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 1952 \mathrm{CPM} \\ & 100-1951 \mathrm{CPM} \\ & <100 \mathrm{CPM} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.0 \text { (20.9) } \\ & 283.1 \text { (73.3) } \\ & 536 \text { (75.7) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Liao 2018 |  | Active Style Pro HJA-350IT | Hip | 7 | 10 | 4 (incl. 1 <br> Sat-Sun) | 900.9 (86.4) | SB <br> Break rate | Min/day \#/sedentary h | < 1.5 METs <br> Non-SB bout b/t two SB bouts | $\begin{aligned} & 524.9 \text { (111.7) } \\ & 37.6(2.9) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Long SB bouts Long SB bouts | \#/day <br> Min/day | \# $\geq 30 \mathrm{~min}$ SB bouts Duration $\geq 30 \mathrm{~min}$ SB bouts | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4(1.9) \\ & 233.0(118.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| Lohne-Seiler $2016$ |  | ActiGraph GT1M |  | 7 | 10 | 1 | 6.6 (1.4) <br> days; 14.0 <br> (1.2) h/day | Steps | \#/day | Device detected | N/R |
| Mador 2011 |  | Actigraph <br> GT1M | N/R | 7 | 10 | 4 | $12.7(2.1)$ <br> h/day | VMU | \#/min/day | Device detected | 116.5 (62.7) |
| Master 2018 |  | Actigraph GT1M |  | 7 | 10 | 4 | N/R | Steps | \#/day | Device detected | 6166 (2924) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Matkovic } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ |  | StepWatch Activity Monitor | Ankle | 7 | 8 | N/R | N/R | Steps | \#/day | Device detected | 8059 (4757) |
| McDermott $2002$ | A | Caltrac | Waist | 7 | N/R | N/R | N/R | Accelerations (standardized) | \#/day | Device detected normalized for age, sex height and weight | 897.5 (533.4) |
| McGregor 2018 |  | Actical | Hip | 7 | 10 | 4 | N/R | MVPA LPA SB | Log-ratio Log-ratio Log-ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 1535 \mathrm{CPM} \\ & 100-1534 \mathrm{CPM} \\ & <100 \mathrm{CPM} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ |
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| Author year | Device and wearing protocol |  |  |  | Assessment of valid days |  |  | Physical activity and sedentary behavior |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Name | Worn on | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# days } \\ & \text { worn } \end{aligned}$ | Defined as minimum (h/day) | \# valid days required | Wear time mean (SD) (min/day) | Reported measure(s) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Units | Cut off values/definition | Mean (SD) |
| Meier 2020 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Omoron } \\ & \text { HJ-321 } \end{aligned}$ | Waist | 7 | N/R | N/R | N/R | Steps Steps (high, medium, low) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#/day } \\ & \text { \#/day } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Device detected } \\ & \geq 5000,2500-4999 \text {, } \\ & <2500 \end{aligned}$ | 4943 (2632) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Monteiro } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ |  | Actigraph GT1M | Hip | 7 | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { (Mon- } \\ & \text { Fri) } \end{aligned}$ | N/R | Activity counts (terciles) | \#/min/day | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T1: } \leq 507.75 \text { CPM, T2: } \\ & \text { 507.75-752.08 CPM, } \\ & \text { T3: } \geq 752.08 \text { CPM } \end{aligned}$ | N/R |
| Morie 2010 | A | Actigraph | Hip | 7 | N/R | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.6(0.09) \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | Activity counts | ${ }_{5}^{\# / m i n} / \text { day x } 10^{-}$ | Device detected | 12.2 (7.0) |
| Nagai 2018 | A | Actiband | Wrist | 14 | 10 | 4 | 1015 (74) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MVPA } \\ & \text { LPA } \\ & \text { SB } \end{aligned}$ | Min/day Min/day Min/day | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 3 \mathrm{MET} \\ & 1.5-2.9 \mathrm{MET} \\ & <1.5 \mathrm{MET} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42(34) \\ & 463(150) \\ & 510(170) \end{aligned}$ |
| Nawrocka $2017$ |  | Actigraph GT3X | Waist | 7 | 10 | N/R | N/R | MVPA (Meeting vs. not meeting guidelines) | Dichotomous min/day | $\geq 150 \mathrm{~min}$ MPA (20205998 CPM) or $\geq 75 \mathrm{~min}$ VPA ( $>599 \mathrm{CPM}$ ) or equivalent combination of MVPA | N/R |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nawrocka } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ |  | Actigraph GT3X | Waist | 7 | 10 | N/R | N/R | MVPA (Meeting vs. not meeting guidelines) | Dichotomous min/day | $\geq 150 \mathrm{~min}$ MPA (20205998 CPM) or $\geq 75 \mathrm{~min}$ VPA ( $>599 \mathrm{CPM}$ ) or equivalent combination of MVPA | N/R |
| Nicolai 2010 |  | Physiolog <br> BioAGM | Chest | 7 | N/R | N/R | N/R | Steps (Walking) <br> TPA (Time on feet) | Min/day Min/day | $\geq 3$ consecutive steps Upright standing < 3 steps + walking | $\begin{aligned} & 1.45(0.07) \\ & 5.01(0.18) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ofei-Doodoo } \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ |  | Kenz <br> Lifecorder | Waist | 14 | N/R | N/R | N/R | MVPA | Min/day | Accelerometer intensity 4-6 (corresponds to 4-6 MET) | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 30: 00 \min M V P A: 49: 42 \\ & \{31: 24-2: 17: 07\} ; 20: 00-29: 59 \\ & \min M V P A: 25: 16\{20: 00- \\ & \text { 29:59\}; 10:00-19:59 min } \\ & \text { MVPA: } 14: 51\{10: 18-19: 43\} ; \\ & 0: 00-9: 59 \min \text { MVPA: } 3: 33 \\ & \{0: 02-9: 58\} \end{aligned}$ |
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| Author year | Device and wearing protocol |  |  |  | Assessment of valid days |  |  | Physical activity and sedentary behavior |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A/ Name |  | Worn on | wor | Defined as minimum (h/day) | \# valid days required | Wear time mean (SD) (min/day) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Reported } \\ & \text { measure(s) } \end{aligned}$ | Units | Cut off values/definition | Mean (SD) |
| Orwoll 2019 | A | SenseWear <br> Pro <br> Armband | Arm | 7 | N/R | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \% \text { of } \\ & \text { time }+1 \\ & \text { (Sat-Sun) } \end{aligned}$ | N/R | TPA ( $\geq L P A$ ) | Min/day | $\geq 1.51 \mathrm{MET}$ | No falls: 160.8 (88.2); One fall: 156.4 (89.9); >Two falls: 141.9 (89.1) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MVPA ( $\geq$ MPA $)$ | Min/day | $\geq 3$ MET | No falls: 90.0 (61.5); One fall: 88.0 (62.0); $\geq$ Two falls: 77.8 (60.6) |
| Osuka 2015 | A | Kenz <br> Lifecorder | Hip | 7 | 10 | 5 | 875.3 (92.4) | )MVPA | Min/day | $\geq 3.6$ MET | 17.6 (15.3) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | LPA | Min/day | 1.8-2.9 MET | 57.1 (22.7) |
| Park 2018 |  | Active style <br> Pro HJA- 350IT | Waist | $14$ | N/R | $\begin{aligned} & >3 \text { (Mon- } \\ & \text { Fri) }+1 \\ & \text { (Sat-Sun) } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}$ | Steps | \#/day | Device detected | 7567.5 (3316.8) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TPA | Min/day | $\geq 0.9 \mathrm{MET}$ | 807.3 (69.5) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VPA | Min/day | $\geq 6.0 \mathrm{MET}$ | 0.4 (1.6) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MVPA | Min/day | $\geq 3.0 \mathrm{MET}$ | 65.9 (29.7) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MPA | Min/day | 3-5.9 MET | 65.4 (29.7) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | LPA | Min/day | 1.5-2.9 MET | 354.1 (71.7) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SB | Min/day | 0.9-1.5 MET | 388.9 (81.3) |
| Perkin 2018 | A | Actiheart | Chest | 6 | N/R | N/R | N/R | MVPA | Min/day | $\geq 3.2 \mathrm{MET}$ | 103 (49) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SB | Min/day | $\leq 1.5 \mathrm{MET}$ | 1058 (112) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EE (PAL) | None | EE/basal metabolic rate | 1.59 (0.17) |
| Pitta 2005 | A | DynaPort Activity Monitor | Waist 5 and leg sensor |  | 12 | 2 | N/R | Steps (Walking) | Min/day | Device detected | 44 (26) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TPA (Standing) | Min/day | Device detected (not incl. walking) | 191 (99) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Puthoff 2008 <br> Rapp 2012 |  | AMP 331 | Ankle | 6 | 8 | 6 | N/R | Steps | \#/day | Device detected | 6384.4 (2370.8) |
|  | A | ActivPAL | Thigh | 7 | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & >3 \text { (Mon- } \\ & \text { Fri) }+1 \\ & \text { Sun } \end{aligned}$ | N/R | Steps (Walking) | Min/day | Device detected | $\begin{aligned} & M: 104.8 \text { (41.0); } F: 103.0 \\ & (39.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| RauschOsthoff 2014 |  | SenseWear <br> Pro <br> Armband | Arm | 7 | N/R | N/R | N/R | Steps | \#/day | Device detected | 4097 (2325) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EE | Kcal/day | Device detected | 2222 (467) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EE (PAL) | None | Total EE/sleep EE | 1.44 (0.16) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MET | Kcal/h/kg | Device detected | 30.3 (4.7) |
| Rava 2018 |  | Actigraph | Hip | 7 | 10 | 4 | N/R | VPA | Min/day | $\geq 5725$ CPM | 1.5 (6.1) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MVPA | Min/day | $\geq 1954$ CPM | 56.2 (29.6) |
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| Author year | Device and wearing protocol |  |  | Assessment of valid days |  |  | Physical activity and sedentary behavior |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NameWorn <br> on | \# days worn | Defined as minimum (h/day) | \# valid days required | Wear time mean (SD) (min/day) | Reported measure(s) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Units | Cut off values/definition | Mean (SD) |
| Ward 2014 |  | Actigraph Hip single-axis | 7 | 10 | 5 | N/R | Activity counts | \#/min/day | Device detected | $\begin{aligned} & \hline F: 2473.03(111.50 ; M: 319.23 \\ & (131.0) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MVPA | Min/week | >3 MET | $\begin{aligned} & F: 79.56 \text { (96.82); M: } 95.13 \\ & (91.90) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Waschki } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | A | SenseWear Arm Armband | 8 | 22 | 5 | Maastricht: <br> 142h 17 <br> min <br> Liverpool: <br> 141h 1 min ; <br> London: <br> 142h 24 <br> min | Steps$\mathrm{EE}(P A L)$ | \#/day | EE/sleeping metabolic rate (device detected) | 4725 (3212) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  | 1.45 (0.20) |
| Watz 2008 | A | SenseWear Arm | 5-6 | 22.5 | 5 | N/R | Steps | \#/day | Device detected | 5882 (3684) |
|  |  | Armband |  |  |  |  | EE (PAL) | None | EE/sleeping metabolic rate (device detected) | 1.50 (0.28) |
| Westbury 2018 |  | GENEActiv Wrist | 7 | N/R | 7 | N/R | TPA | Min/day | $\geq 40 \mathrm{mg}$-force | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M: } 137.8 \text { [81.7-217.2]); F: } \\ & 186.0[122.1-240.4] \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MVPA | Min/day | $\geq 100 \mathrm{mg}$-force | $\begin{aligned} & M: 14.3[1.8-30.2] ; F: 9.5[2.1- \\ & 18.6] \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Accelerations | Mg-force | Device detected | M: 23.9 (7.6); F: 25.5 (6.8) |
| Wickerson |  | Actigraph Hip | 7 | 8 | N/R | 4.5 (1.6) | Steps, | \#/day | Device detected | 2736 (1612) |
| 2013 |  | GT3X |  |  |  | h/day; 6.6 <br> (1.0) days | MVPA (MPA) | Min/day | 3-6 MET | 3.6 [1.5-7.7] |
| Winberg 2015 |  | Yamax SW Lower 200 back |  | N/R | N/R | N/R | Steps | \#/day | Device detected | 6270 (3120) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yamada } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |  | Yamax Leg | 14 | N/R | N/R | N/R | Steps | \#/day | Device detected | Non-frail: 4414.4 (2726.3); |
|  |  | Walker EX- $510$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yasunaga |  | Active style Waist <br> Pro HJA- <br> 350IT |  | 10 | 4 (incl. 1 | 901.1 | MVPA | Min/day | $\geq 3 \mathrm{MET}$ | 50.2 (33.5) |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  | Sat-Sun) | (87.5); 7.2 | LPA | Min/day | $>1.5-<3 \mathrm{MET}$ | 328.7 (101.4) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SB | Min/day | $\leq 1.5 \mathrm{MET}$ | 522.7 (113.4) |
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Mean (standard deviation (SD)) of wear time and physical activity/sedentary behavior are presented unless otherwise reported as median [interquartile range], or mean
\{range\}. Subgroups for stratified results are presented in italics. Underlined articles have a longitudinal design.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reported measures of PA and SB were classified as either steps, activity counts, TPA, MVPA, LPA, SB, PA bouts, SB bouts, long SB bouts, BST, SB break rate, accelerations, VMU, intensity gradient, EE; further details of reported measures are provided in parentheses and italic font when measures were originally described otherwise but were classified as one into one of the aforementioned categories.
$A=$ accelerometer, $\mathrm{p}=$ pedometer, $\mathrm{PA}=$ physical activity, $\mathrm{SB}=$ sedentary behavior, $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}=$ not reported, TPA=total physical activity, MPA=moderate physical activity, VPA=vigorous physical activity, MVPA=moderate to vigorous physical activity, LPA=light physical activity, $\mathrm{SB}=$ sedentary behavior, $\mathrm{EE}=$ energy expenditure, PAL=physical activity units, BST=breaks in sedentary time, $\Delta=$ change, MET=metabolic equivalent of tasks, VMU=vector magnitude units, min=minutes, h=hours, CPM=counts per minutes, \#=number, mg-force=miligrams-force (force of earth gravity acting on one milligram), Mon=Monday, Fri=Friday, Sat=Saturday, Sun=Sunday, vs=versus (compared to), MIDEEA=Intelligent Device for Energy Expenditure and Activity, HFG=high functioning group, LFG=low functioning group

Table C4 Ascertainment and measurement characteristics of measures of upper body and lower body muscle strength and muscle power

| Author year | Device/equipment | Definition and protocol | Measure type | Reported measure(s) | Units | Mean (SD) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abe 2015 | Dynamometer | MVC isometric KES, 2-3 attempts, max/weight used for analysis | LB MS | KES/weight | Kg/nm | 105 (25) |
|  |  | Max toe grasping strength, 3 attempts for each | LB MS | Toe grasping/weight | Kg/kg | 13.4 (3.5) |
|  | Toe-Grasp T.K.K. 3361 Dynamometer | foot, max of each foot averaged used |  |  |  |  |
| Abe 2012 | Bidoex System 3 <br> Dynamometer | MVC isometric strength of knee flexors and extensors, 2-3 attempts, max used for analysis | LB MS | KES | Nm | 105 (25) |
|  |  |  | LB MS | Knee flexion strength | Nm | 45 (9) |
| Aggio 2016 | Jamar Hydraulic Hand Dynamometer | HGS, 3 attempts for each hand, max used | UB MS | HGS | Kg | Non-sarcopenia: 32.3 (9.9); <br> Sarcopenia: 28.7 (10.1); <br> Severe sarcopenia: 22.2 (6.1) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alcazar 2018 | Leg press E | Leg press 1RM, progressive reps increasing by 10 kg , force-velocity evaluation to determine max force (strength) and max power for analysis | LB MS | Leg press strength | N | N/R |
|  |  |  | LB MP | Leg press power | W | N/R |
|  |  |  | LB MP | Leg press power/weight | W/kg | N/R |
| Alzahrani 2012 | Handheld DynamometerMVC KES, 2 attempts, max used for analysis N/R |  | LB MS | KES | N | 116 (52) |
| Anderson 2013 | Steve Strong <br> Dynamometer | MVC isometric KES strength, 3 attempts, recordedLB MS in N , max used and converted into kg |  | KES | Kg | 31.3 (11.2) |
| Andre 2018 | N/A | Calf raise (heel rise) senior test, \# of calf raises (heel rises) in 30 s, high: $\geq 38$ and low: $<38$ | LB MP | Calf raise (High vs. low) | \#/30s | 37.8 (13.4) |
| Andre 2016 | N/A | Calf raise (heel rise) senior test, \# of calf raises (heel rises) in 30s | LB MP | Calf raise | \#/30s | 31.79 (7.01) |
| Aoyagi 2009 | Smedley Dynamometer <br> ES-100 <br> $\mu$ Tas Dynamometer <br> MF-01 | HGS, 2 attempts with dominant hand, max used for analysis Isometric knee extension torque, 2 attempts, max used for analysis | UB MS | HGS | N | 262 (83) |
|  |  |  | LB MS | Knee extension torque | Nm/kg | 1.34 (0.37) |
| Ashe 2008 | Keiser Air-pressured Digital Resistance Leg Press Machine | 1RM KES, progressive reps increasing by $10 \%$, max used for analysis Bilateral leg extension, reps at $40 \%, 50 \%, 60 \%$, $70 \%, 80 \%$, and $90 \%$ of individual's 1 RM, max power used for analysis | LB MS | Leg press strength | Kg | 325 (66) |
|  |  |  | LB MP | Leg press power | W | 656 (193) |
| Ashe 2007 | Jamar JLW <br> Dynamometer <br> Nicolas MMT 11560 <br> handheld Dynamometer | HGS, 3 attempts with left hand, mean used KES, 3 attempts with left leg, mean normalized to weight used for analysis | UB MS | HGS | Kg | 24.2 (10.9) |
|  |  |  | LB MS | KES | Kg | 18.2 (7.3) |

Table C4 Continued


Table C4 Continued

| Author year | Device/equipment | Definition and protocol | Measure type | Reported measure(s) | Units | Mean (SD) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cooper 2015 | Nottingham Electric Dynamometer | HGS, 3 attempts with each hand, max used | UB MS | HGS | Kg | M: 46.4 (11.5); F: 27.0 (7.5) |
|  | N/A | Time to complete 10 chair stands | LB MP | 10x CST | \#/min | M: 26.2 (7.3); F: 24.9 (7.3) |
| Davis, 2014 | N/A | Time to complete 5 chair stands, $>16.70 \mathrm{~s}=0$ points $13.70-16.69 \mathrm{~s}=1$ point, $11.20-13.69 \mathrm{~s}=3$ points, $<11.19 \mathrm{~s}=4$ points | ,LB MP | 5x CST (0-4) | Points | 2.7 (1.3) |
| De Melo 2010 | N/A | \# chair stands completed in 30s | LB MP | 30s CST | \#/30s | 19.4 (5.4) |
| De Melo 2014 | N/A | \# of full flexion and extension of the elbow without moving the shoulder (arm curls) using dumbbells ( $F: 5$ pounds, $M: 8$ pounds) completed in 30s | UB MP | Arm Curl | \#/30s | 15.2 (3.7) |
|  | N/A | \# chair stands completed in 30s | LB MP | 30s CST | \#/30s | 10.4 (5.4) |
| Demeyer 2018 | N/R | $\Delta$ HGS, non-dominant hand, measured at baseline and after 2.6 (SD: 0.6) years | UB MS | $\Delta$ HGS | N | Baseline: 295 (87); Follow up: 272 (84); Decline per year: 7.84 (23) |
| Distefano 2018 | Standard weight stack | 1RM KES, left leg, progressive reps increasing by $10 \%$, max used. | LB MS | KES | Kg | Active: 35.6 (2.5); Sedentary: $31.9 \text { (1.7) }$ |
|  | N/A | Time to complete 5 chair stands | LB MP | 5x CST | S | N/R |
| Dogra, 2017 | Smedley Dynamometer | HGS, two attempts with each hand, sum of max from each hand used | UB MS | HGS | Kg | 64 (95\% CI: 62, 66) |
| Dohrn 2020 | N/A | Ability to complete 5 chair stands | LB MP | 5x CST (able vs. nonable) | None | N/R |
| Dos Santos 2019 | Camry EH101 Digital Dynamometer | HGS, two attempts with dominant hand, max from each hand used, $M$ : > or < $30 \mathrm{~kg}, F$ : > or < 20 kg | UB MS | HGS (low vs. high) | Kg | N/R |
| Duncan 2016 | N/A | \# of full flexion and extension of the elbow (arm curls) with dumbbells $F: 5$ pounds and $M: 8$ pounds completed in 30s | UB MP | Arm curl | \#/30s | Low: 13.7 (SE=0.61; <br> Medium: 15.8 ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.43$ ); <br> High: 18.4 (0.41) |
|  | N/A | \# chair stands completed in 30s | LB MP | 30s CST | \#/30s | Low: 13.3 ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.81$ ); <br> Medium: 14.4 ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.52$ ); <br> High: 16.9 ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.51$ ). |
| Edholm 2019 | Kistler 9281 Force Platform | Concentric phase of jump on to force platform, 3 attempts, max used | LB MS | Squat jump test | N/kg | 8.4 (1.8) |
| Foong 2016 | 100 kg Pocket Balance | MVC isometric KES, dominant leg | LB MS | KES | Kg | M: 39.3 (8.1); F: 28.2 (9.1) |
|  | Dynamometer | MVC leg strength lifting a bar, both legs (simultaneously) | LB MS | Leg strength | Kg | $\begin{aligned} & M: 129.0(39.5) ; F: 56.4 \\ & (27.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| Gennuso 2016 | Dynamometer $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}$ | N/R | UB MS | HGS | N/R | N/R |
|  | N/A | Time to complete 5 chair stands | LB MP | 5x CST (0-4) | Points |  |


| Author year | Device/equipment | Definition and protocol | Measure type | Reported measure(s) | Units | Mean (SD) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & M: 2.5[1.0-3.5] ; F: 2.5[1.5- \\ & 3.0] \end{aligned}$ |
| Gerdhem 2008 | Bidoex Computerized | Isometric KES, three attempts, max used | LB MS | KES | NmS | 246 (71) |
|  | Dynamometer 4.5.0. | Isometric knee flexion strength, three attempts, max used | LB MS | Knee flexion strength | NmS | 117 (37) |
| Hall 2016 | N/A | \# chair stands completed in 30s | LB MP | 30s CST | \#/30s | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60-69:15.8 (4.5); 70-79: } 14.1 \\ & (4.9) ; 80-90+: 10.9(4.8) \end{aligned}$ |
| Harada 2017 | N/A | Time to complete 5 chair stands | LB MP | 5x CST | S | 7.7 (2.2) |
| Hartley 2018 | Jamar Dynamometer | HGS, 3 attempts with each hand, max used | UB MS | HGS | Kg | 21.8 (4.9) |
|  | Mechanography Ground | One legged jump strength, 3 attempts, max used | LB MS | Jump strength | KiloN | 1.3 (0.2) |
|  | Reaction Force Platform | Two legged jump power, three 3, maxed used | LB MP | Jump power | KiloW | 1.4 (0.3) |
|  | N/A | Time to complete 5 chair stands | LB MP | 5x CST | S | 12.9 (4.2) |
| Hasegawa 2018 | N/A | \# chair stands completed in 30s | LB MP | 30s CST | \#/30s | 15.4 (4.3) |
| Hernandes 2013 | Takei Dynamometer | HGS, 2 attempts with each hand, max used | UB MS | HGS | KgF | Exercise: 27 [23-33]; Nonexercise: 25 [22-34] |
|  | N/A | \# chair stands completed in 30s | LB MP | 30s CST | \#/30s | Exercise: 13 [12-15]; Nonexercise: 12 [10-13] |
| Hernandez, 2016 | Bilateral Leg Press | 1RM leg press KES, 4-5 attempts, max used | LB MS | KES | Kg | 195.8 (76.8) |
|  | Technogym | Quadriceps power at $50 \%$ and $70 \%$ of individual's | LB MP | Quad power 50\% | W | 576.4 (250.4) |
|  |  | 1RM, 2 attempts, max used | LB MP | Quad power 70\% | W | 571.3 (245.9) |
| Hopkins 2019 | N/A | Time to complete 5 chair stands | LB MP | 5x CST | S | N/R |
| Iijima 2017 | N/A | Time to complete 5 chair stands | LB MP | 5x CST | S | Basal activity: 10.5 (3.42); <br> Limited activity: 9.06 (2.33); <br> Low active: 8.55 (2.86); <br> Physically active: 7.90 (1.74) |
| Ikenaga 2014 | Smedley Dynamometer TKK5401 GRIP-D | HGS, 2 attempts with both hands, max used | UB MS | HGS | Kg | 35.4 (5.3) |
|  | Dynamometer <br> TKK5717 \& TKK5710 | HGS, 2 attempts, max used | LB MS | KES | Nm/kg | 2.35 (0.54) |
| Iwakura 2016 | N/A | Time to complete 5 chair stands | LB MP | 5x CST | S | 11.05 (3.19) |
| Jantunen 2016 | N/A | \# of full flexion and extension of the elbow (arm curls) with dumbbells $F$ : 5 pounds and $M$ : 8 pounds completed in 30s | UB MP | Arm Curl | \#/30s | 16.0 (3.5) |
|  | N/A | \# chair stands completed in 30s | LB MP | 30s CST | \#/30s | 11.5 (2.3) |
| Jeong 2019 | Lafayette Instrument Handheld Dynamomete | Isometric KES, 2 attempts with most OA symptomatic knee, 2 attempts, mean used divided by weight | LB MS | KES | N/kg | 2.8 (0.8) |


| Author year | Device/equipment | Definition and protocol | Measure type | Reported measure(s) | Units | Mean (SD) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Isometric hip abductor strength, 2 attempts on side of most OA symptomatic knee, mean used divided by weight | LB MS | Hip strength | N/kg | 0.7 (0.3) |
| Johnson 2016 | TTM Muscular Meter Dynamometer | Isometric hip extensor and quadricep strength, 2 attempts in both legs (simultaneously), max used | LB MS | Leg strength | Kg | 97.58 (51.13) |
| Kawagoshi 2013 | Hydromusculator GT160 | Isometric extension and contraction of quadriceps femoris | LB MS | KES | N/R | N/R |
| Keevil 2015 | Smedley Dynamometer | HGS, 2 attempts with each hand, max used | UB MS | HGS | Kg | N/R |
|  | N/A | Time to complete 5 chair stands | UB MS | 5x CST | \#/min | N/R |
| Kim 2015a | Smedley Dynamometer | HGS, 2 attempts with each hand, max used | UB MS | HGS | Kg | 23.4 (7.5) |
|  | $\mu$ Tas Dynamometer F-1 ANIMA | Isometric KES, 2 attempts with dominant leg, max/weight used | LB MS | KES | N/kg | 1.15 (0.33) |
| Kim 2015b | N/A | Time to complete 5 chair stands | LB MP | 5x CST | S | 8.9 (2.1) |
| Lai 2020 | N/A | Time to complete 5 chair stands, $M$ : > or $\langle 6.95$ s, $F$ : > or <6.88s | LB MP | 5x CST (high vs. low) | S | N/R |
| Lee 2015 | N/A | Time to complete 5 chair stands | LB MP | 5x CST | \#/min | N/R |
| Lerma 2018 | N/A | Time to complete 5 chair stands | LB MP | 5x CST | S | 15.2 (4.8) |
| Liao 2018 | Jamar Dynamometer | HGS, 2 attempts with one hand, max used | UB MS | HGS | Kg | 27.4 (8.4) |
| Lohne-Seiler 2016 | Hydraulic <br> Dynamometer | HGS, 3 attempts with dominant hand, max used | UB MS | HGS (adjusted for age, sex, test center) | Kg | 33.5 (95\% CI: 32.3, 34.8) |
| Mador 2011 | HF Star | Quadriceps strength dynamic contractions against hydraulic resistance, 2 sets of 3 contractions at highest resistance, max used | LB MS | KES | Kg | 48.03 (12.29) |
| Matkovic 2020 | KERN MAP 80K1 <br> Handheld Dynamometer | HGS, 3 attempts with each hand, max used | UB MS | HGS | Kg | Right hand: 30.7 (10.1); Left hand: 29.1 (9.2) |
|  | N/A | \# chair stands completed in 30s | LB MP | 30s CST | \#/30s | 11 (3) |
| Master 2018 | N/A | Time to complete 5 chair stands | LB MP | 5x CST | S | 10.5 (2.9) |
| McDermott 2002 | N/A | Time to complete 5 chair stands | LB MP | 5x CST | S | N/R |
| McGregor 2018 | Hand Dynamometer | HGS, 2 attempts, max used | UB MS | HGS | Kg | N/R |
| Meier 2020 | Jamar Plus+ Digital | HGS, 3 attempts with each hand, max used | UB MS | HGS | Kg | 29.9 (10.3) |
|  | Dynamometer N/R | 1 RM chest press, progressive reps increasing in weight, max used | UB MS | Chest press strength | Lbs | 75.2 (37.2) |
|  | N/R | 1RM leg press, progressive reps increasing in weight, max used | LB MS | Leg press strength | Lbs | 183.9 (78.0) |


| Author year | Device/equipment | Definition and protocol | Measure type | Reported measure(s) | Units | Mean (SD) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monteiro 2019 | N/A | \# of full flexion and extension of the elbow (arm curls) with dumbbells $F: 5$ pounds and $M: 8$ pounds completed in 30s | UB MP | Arm curl | \#/30s | T1: 25.8 (9.75); T2: 30.50 (8.88); T3: 32.60 (8.36) |
|  | Bidoex System 2 (custom) | Isokinetic KES, measured at $180^{\circ} / \mathrm{sec}$, five attempts, max used | LB MS | KES | Nm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T1: } 57.65 \text { (15.36); T2: } 65.10 \\ & (15.24) ; T 3: 69.93(17.51) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Isokinetic knee flexion strength, measured at |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bidoex System 2 (custom) | $180^{\circ} / \mathrm{sec}$, five attempts, max used \# chair stands completed in 30 s | LB MS | Knee flexion strength | Nm | $\begin{aligned} & T 1: 33.39 \text { (11.38) T2: } 36.54 \\ & \text { (12.24); T3: } 42.02 \text { (9.23) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | N/A |  | LB MP | 30s CT | \#/30s | $\begin{aligned} & T 1: 20.55(5.73) ; T 2: 21.75 \\ & (7.33) ; T 3: 25.10(5.93) \end{aligned}$ |
| Morie 2010 | Jamar Dynamometer | HGS, 3 attempts with each hand, max used | UB MS | HGS | Kg | N/R |
|  | Keiser A420 Pneumatic | Chest and leg press 1RM determined, 2 trials, max | UB MS | Chest press strength | N | N/R |
|  | Resistance Machine | used and power at varying \% of 1RM for chest | UB MP | Chest press power | W | N/R |
|  |  | press and leg press assessed, max power used for | LB MS | Leg press strength | N | N/R |
|  |  | analysis | LB MP | Leg press power | W | N/R |
| Nagai 2018 | Smedley Dynamometer GRIP-A | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{M}:>$ or $<26 \mathrm{~kg}$ and $F$ : > or $<18 \mathrm{~kg}$ | UB MS | HGS (weak vs. not weak) | Kg | 26.7 (7.6) |
| Nawrocka 2017 | N/A | \# of full flexion and extension of the elbow (arm curls) with dumbbells $F: 5$ pounds and $M: 8$ pounds completed in 30s | UB MP | Arm curl | \#/30s | N/R |
|  | N/A | \# chair stands completed in 30s | LB MP | 30s CSTs | \#/30s | N/R |
| Nawrocka 2019 | Jamar Dynamometer | HGS, two attempts, max used | UB MS | HGS | Kg | Not meeting PA guidelines: 22.87 (5.05); Meeting PA guidelines: 24.99 (5.60) |
|  | N/A | curls) with dumbbells $F$ : 5 pounds and $M: 8$ <br> pounds completed in 30s <br> \# chair stands completed in 30s | UB MP | Arm curl | \#/30s | Not meeting PA guidelines: 16.04 (4.03); Meeting PA guidelines: 17.87 (3.76) |
|  | N/A |  | LB MP | 30s CST | \#/30s | Not meeting PA guidelines: 14.36 (3.27); Meeting PA guidelines: 14.92 (3.59) |
| Nicolai 2010 | N/A | Time to complete 5 chair stands | LB MP | 5x CST | S | Unadjusted |
|  |  |  |  |  | S | Unadjusted |
| Ofei-Doodoo 2016 | N/A | \# of full flexion and extension of the elbow (arm curls) with dumbbells $F: 5$ pounds and $M: 8$ pounds completed in 30s | UB MP | Arm curl | \#/30s | N/R |
|  | N/A | \# chair stands completed in 30s | LB MP | 30s CST | \#/30s | N/R |


| Author year | Device/equipment | Definition and protocol | Measure type | Reported measure(s) | Units | Mean (SD) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orwoll 2019 | N/A | Time to complete 5 chair stands | LB MP | 5x CST | S | No falls: 11.2 (3.2); One falls: 11.6 (3.3); $\geq$ Two falls: 12.3 (4.4) |
| Osuka 2015 | N/A | Time to complete 5 chair stands | LB MP | 5x CST | S | N/R |
| Park 2018 | Dynamometer N/R | HGS, two attempts with each hand, max/weight x 100 used | UB MS | HGS | \% | 52.0 (7.8) |
|  | N/A | \# chair stands completed in 30s, 2 attempts, max used | LB MS | 30s CST | \#/30s | 20.7 (4.2) |
| Perkin 2018 | Keijzer A420 | Leg press 1 RM, force-velocity evaluation to determine max force (strength) and max power | LB MS | Leg press strength | N | N/R |
|  |  |  | LB MP | Leg press power | W | N/R |
| Pitta 2005 | Jamar Dynamometer | Isometric HGS, 3 attempts with each hand, sum of max on each hand used, \% predictive | UB MS | HGS | \% pred | 92 (24) |
|  | Cybex Norm Jamar Dynamometers | Isometric knee extension torque, \% predictive (pred) | LB MS | Knee extension torque | \% pred | 56 (10) |
| Puthoff 2008 | Keiser 420 Leg Press | Leg press 1RM, peak power, power at $40 \%$ of 1RM, and power at $90 \%$ of 1 RM assessed, 3 attempts, max result for each used | LB MS | Leg press strength | N/kg | 15.5 (4.0) |
|  |  |  | LB MP | Leg press power peak | W/kg | 7.6 (2.7) |
|  |  |  | LB MP | Leg press power 40\% | W/kg | 7.1 (2.7) |
|  |  |  | LB MP | Leg press power 90\% | W/kg | 5.7 (2.4) |
| Rapp 2012 | Jamar Dynamometer | HGS, two attempts in each hand, mean of each hand calculated and max used <br> Time to complete 5 chair stands | UB MS | HGS | Kg | M: 38.8 (9.40); F: 23.7 (6.56) |
|  | N/A |  | LB MP | 5x CST | S | M: 11.1 (3.42); F: 11.6 (3.73) |
| Rausch-Osthoff | Strain Gauge connected to Interface Series SM S-Type Load Cell and Nexus-10 device | MVC isometric KES, left leg, 3 attempts mean used | LB MS | KES | Nm | 14.5 (5.2) |
| Rava, 2018 | N/A | Time to complete 5 chair stands | LB MP | 5x CST | S | 9.6 (2.0) |
| Reid 2018 | Lord's Strap Assembly | 1 RM KES, 2 attempts with each leg, max used | LB MS | KES | Kg | 25.2 (11.2) |
|  | 1RM Bilateral Leg PressN/R |  | LB MS | Leg press strength | Kg | 128/7 (51.2) |
|  | N/A | \# chair stands completed in 30s, | LB MP | 30s CT | \#/30s | 12.3 (2.4) |
| Rojer 2017 | Jamar Dynamometer | HGS, 3 attempts with each hand, max used | UB MS | HGS | Kg | 31.5 (9.5) |
| Rosenberg 2015 | N/A | Time to complete 5 chair stands | LB MP | 5x CST | S | 13.0 (3.4) |
| Rowlands 2018 | N/R | HGS, 3 attempts with each hand, max used | UB MS | HGS |  | 28.5 (10.1) |
|  | N/A | \# chair stands completed in 30s, 2 attempts, max | LB MP | 60s CST |  | 22.1 (7.8) |


| Author year | Device/equipment | Definition and protocol | Measure type | Reported measure(s) | Units | Mean (SD) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Safeek 2018 | Jamar Dynamometer | HGS, 2 attempts with dominant hand, max used | UB MS | HGS | Kg | $\begin{aligned} & M: 38.00[9.75] ; F: 25.00 \\ & {[2.50]} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | N/A | \# chair stands completed in 30s, 2 attempts, max used | LB MP | 30s CST | \#/30s | 14.00 [6.00] |
| Sanchez-sanchez $2019$ | Jamar Dynamometer | HGS, 3 attempts with each hand, max used | UB MS | HGS | Kg | 22.26 (8.21) |
| Santos 2012 | N/A | \# of full flexion and extension of the elbow (arm curls) with dumbbells $F$ : 5 pounds and $M: 8$ pounds completed in 30s | UB MP | Arm Curl | \#/30s | 16.3 (5.3) |
|  | N/A | \# chair stands completed in 30s | LB MP | 30s CST | \#/30s | 13.7 (4.7) |
| Sardinha 2015 | N/A | \# of full flexion and extension of the elbow (arm curls) with dumbbells F : 5 pounds and $\mathrm{M}: 8$ pounds completed in 30s | UB MP | Arm Curl | \#/30s | 16.9 (5.2) |
|  | N/A | \# chair stands completed in 30s | LB MP | 30s CST | \#/30s | 14.4 (4.5) |
| Scott 2020 | Patterson Medical Jama Dynamometer | rHGS, 2 attempts, max used | UB MS | HGS | Kg | Non-sarcopenic: 34.7 (10.6); Sarcopenic: 16.5 (5.8) |
| Scott 2011 | TTM Muscular Meter Dynamometer | Isometric hip extensor and quadricep strength, 2 attempts in both legs (simultaneously), max used | LB MS | Leg strength | Kg | 96.2 (49.4) |
| Scott 2009 | TTM Muscular Meter Dynamometer | Isometric hip extensor and quadricep strength, 2 attempts in both legs (simultaneously), max used | LB MS | Leg strength | Kg | Sedentary: 84.3 (47.5); Low active: 4.4 (47.3); Somewhat active: 88.3 (48.8); Active: 99.4 (48.5); Highly active: $102.7 \text { (51.1) }$ |
| Semanik 2015 | N/A | Time to complete 5 chair stands | LB MP | 5x CST | \#/min | 30.6 (11.2) |
| Silva 2019 | N/A | \# of full flexion and extension of the elbow (arm curls) with dumbbells $F$ : 5 pounds and $M$ : 8 pounds completed in 30s | UB MP | Arm Curl | \#/30s | 20.07 (6.69) |
|  | N/A | \# chair stands completed in 30s | LB MP | 30s CST | \#/30s | 15.04 (5.06) |
| Spartano 2019 | Jamar Dynamometer | HGS, 3 attempts with each hand, max used | UB MS | HGS | Kg | M: 39.1 (8.7); F: 23.3 (5.7) |
|  | N/A | Time to complete 5 chair stands | LB MP | 5x CST | S | 9.9 (2.6) |
| Tang 2015 | Jamar Dynamometer | Isometric HGS, 3 attempts with each hand, mean used | UB MS | HGS | Kg | 16.3 [11.3-20.2] |
| Trayers | N/A | Time to complete 5 chair stands | LB MP | 5x CST (0-4) | Points | N/R |
| Van Gestel 2012 | Bremshey Hand Dynamometer | Dominant hand | UB MS | HGS | Kg | 37.3 (10.2) |
|  | N/A | \# chair stands completed in 60s | LB MP | 60s CST | \#/60s | 20 (6) |

Table C4 Continued

| Author year | Device/equipment | Definition and protocol | Measure type | Reported measure(s) | Units | Mean (SD) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Van Lummel 2016 | N/A | Time to complete 4.5 chair stands (ending seated) | LB MP | 5x CST | S | 14.9 (6.6) |
| Van Oeijen 2020 | MicroFET Hand-held Dynamometer | "Make" test of the hip flexors, hip abductors, knee extensors and ankle dorsal flexors, N/R | LB MS | Lower extremity strength | Z-score | $\begin{aligned} & \text { s Baseline: -1.00 (1.15); FU: } \\ & 1.36 \text { (1.06) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Van Sloten 2011 | Jamar Dynamometer | HGS, 3 attempts with each hand, max used, sex specific $20^{\text {th }}$ percentiles used as cut off points for presence of low HGS | LB MS | HGS | Kg | M: 43.4 (9.87); F: 26.1 (4.9) |
| Walker 2008 | Transducer and MacLab max used Bridge Amplifier |  | LB MS | KES | N | 315 (106) |
| Ward 2014 | N/A | \# chair stands completed in 30s | LB MP | 30s CST | \#/30s | $\begin{aligned} & F: 15.72 \text { (4.13); M: } 17.51 \\ & (5.89) \end{aligned}$ |
| Waschiki 2012 | Strain Gauge <br> Dynamometer | MVC isometric quadriceps strength, mean used | LB MS | KES | Kg | 32.0 (13.2) |
| Watz 2008 | Handgrip dynamometer ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}$ ) | N/R | LB MS | HGS | Kg | 35.3 (9.6) |
| Westbury 2018 | Jamar hydraulic Dynamometer | HGS, 3 attempts with each hand, max used | UB MS | HGS | Kg | M: 34.8 (6.5); F: 20.7 (5.6) |
| Wickerson 2013 | Isokinetic Dynamometer | rIsometric quadriceps torque | LB MS | Knee extension torque | Nm | 120 (36) |
| Winberg 2015 | Biodex Multi- Joint <br> System 3 PRO <br> Dynamometer | MVC knee extension and knee flexion strength, both legs (less affected leg and more affected leg by polio), peak torques used | LB MS | KES | Nm | Less affected leg: 104 (43); <br> More affected leg: 69 (43) |
|  |  |  | LB MS | Knee flexion strength | Nm | Less affected leg: 59 (25); <br> More affected leg: 36 (24) |
| Yamada 2011 | N/A | Time to complete 5 chair stands | LB MP | 5x CST | S | Non-frail: 8.9 (3.6); Frail: $17.6(8.5)$ |
| Yasunaga 2017 | Smedley Dynamometer HGS, 1 attempt with dominant hand TKK5041 |  | UB MS | HGS | Kg | 27.4 (8.3) |
| Yoshida 2010 | Smedley Dynamometer | HGS, 2 attempts with each hand, mean calculated and max used <br> Isometric KES, two attempts with each leg, max of each leg added and multiplied by leg length converted into torque and divided by weight | UB MS | HGS | Kg | HFG: 17.9 (4.0); LFG: 15.1 (4.0) |
|  |  |  | LB MS | KES | Nm/kg | $\begin{aligned} & H F G: 2.10 \text { ( } 0.69 \text { ); LFG: } 2.61 \\ & (0.87) \end{aligned}$ |
| Yuki 2019 | N/R | HGS, $M$ : > or < $26 \mathrm{~kg} F$ : > or < 18 kg | UB MS | HGS (+/-weakness) | Kg | N/R |

${ }^{2}$ Mean (standard deviation (SD)) of muscle strength and muscle power are presented unless reported as median [interquartile range], or mean \{range\}. Subgroups for stratified results are presented in italics.
$\mathrm{UB}=$ upper body, $\mathrm{LB}=$ lower body, MS=muscle power, MP=muscle strength, HGS=hand grip strength, KES=knee extension strength, KET=knee extension torque, $\mathrm{CST}=\mathrm{chair}$ stand test, $\mathrm{s}=$ seconds, $\mathrm{x}=$ times (repetitions), \#=number, quad=quadriceps, $\mathrm{kg}=$ kilogram, $\mathrm{N}=$ newton, $\mathrm{Nm}=$ newton-meter, $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{watt}$, KgF=kilogram-force, KiloW=kilowatt, KiloN=kilonewton, MVC=maximum voluntary contraction, $1 \mathrm{RM}=$ one repetition maximum, max=maximum, $/=$ divided by or per, $\Delta=$ change, $\%$ pred $=\%$ predictive, $+/-$ $=$ with or without, $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}=$ not applicable, $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}=$ not reported, $\mathrm{M}=$ male, $\mathrm{F}=$ female, $\mathrm{HFG}=$ high functioning group, $\mathrm{LFG}=$ low functioning group, $\mathrm{OA}=\mathrm{osteoarthritis}$. articles have a longitudinal design

Table C5 Associations between physical activity and sedentary behavior with muscle strength and muscle power in older adults

| Author year | Physical activity and sedentary behavior |  | Muscle strength and muscle power |  | Adjustment | Effect size (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | p-value used for analyses ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reported measure(s) | Units | Reported measure(s) | Units |  |  |  |
| Abe 2015 | Steps | \#/day | KES/weight | Kg/Nm | Age | Partial R=0.242 (p>0.05) | "Abe 2012" |
|  | MVPA | Min/day | KES/weight | $\mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{Nm}$ | Age | Partial R=0.233 ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) | "Abe 2012" |
|  | LPA (LPA-MPA) | Min/day | KES/weight | $\mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{Nm}$ | Age | Partial R=0.217 $(\mathrm{p}>0.05)$ | "Abe 2012" |
|  | Steps | \#/day | Toe grasping/weight | Kg/kg | Age | Partial $\mathrm{R}=0.283$ ( $0.01>\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) | $0.01>\mathrm{p}<0.05$ |
|  | MVPA | Min/day | Toe grasping/weight | Kg/kg | Age | Partial $\mathrm{R}=0.228$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.881$ |
|  | LPA (LPA-MPA) | Min/day | Toe grasping/weight | Kg/kg | Age | Partial $\mathrm{R}=0.290$ ( $0.01>\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) | $0.01>\mathrm{p}<0.05$ |
| Abe 2012 | Steps | \#/day | KES | Nm | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.351 \quad(\mathrm{p}=0.015)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.015$ |
|  | VPA | Min/day | KES | Nm | Age, sex, height, weight | Partial R=0.184 ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) |  |
|  | MVPA (MPA) | Min/day | KES | Nm | Age, sex, height, weight | Partial R=0.197 ( $\gg 0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.180$ |
|  | LPA | Min/day | KES | Nm | Age, sex, height, weight | Partial $\mathrm{R}=0.155$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.293$ |
|  | EE | Kcal/day | KES | Nm | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.421$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.004$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.004$ |
|  | Steps | \#/day | Knee flexion strength | Nm | Age, sex, height, weight | Partial R=0.369 ( $\mathrm{p}=0.014$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.014$ |
|  | VPA | Min/day | Knee flexion strength | Nm | Age, sex, height, weight | Partial $\mathrm{R}=0.236$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) |  |
|  | MPA | Min/day | Knee flexion strength | Nm | Age, sex, height, weight | Partial R=0.438 ( $\mathrm{p}=0.003$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.003$ |
|  | LPA | Min/day | Knee flexion strength | Nm | Age, sex, height, weight | Partial R=0.089 ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.547$ |
|  | EE | Kcal/day | Knee flexion strength | Nm | Age, sex, height, weight | Partial $\mathrm{R}=0.409(\mathrm{p}=0.006$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.006$ |
| Aggio 2016 | MVPA | Sqrt(min/day) | HGS | Kg | Age, waist circumference | $\mathrm{B}=0.58(0.34,0.82)$ | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
|  | LPA | Min/day | HGS | Kg | Age, waist circumference | $\mathrm{B}=0.21(-0.06,0.48)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.125$ |
|  | SB | $30 \mathrm{~min} /$ day | HGS | Kg | Age, waist circumference | $\mathrm{B}=-0.20$ (-0.41, 0.01) | $\mathrm{p}=0.062$ |
|  | BST | \#/h | HGS | Kg | Age, waist circumference | $\mathrm{B}=0.14(-0.14,0.42)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.329$ |
| Alcazar 2018 | MVPA | \% wear time | Leg press strength | N | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.41$ (p<0.05) | p (calc) $=0.021$ |
|  | SB | \% wear time | Leg press strength | N | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{p}>0.05)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
|  | MVPA | \% wear time | Leg press power | W/kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.59(\mathrm{p}<0.01)$ | p (calc) $<0.001$ |
|  | SB | \% wear time | Leg press power | W/kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{p}>0.05)$ | $\mathrm{p}>0.25$ |
| Alzahrani 2012 | Activity counts | \#/day | KES | N | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.03(\mathrm{p}=0.85)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.85$ |
|  | TPA | Min/day | KES | N | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.18(\mathrm{p}=0.25)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.25$ |
| Andersson 2013 | EE (PAL) | None | KES | Kg | Age, sex, gait speed + others | $\mathrm{B}=0.004$ (0.000, 0.008) | $\mathrm{p}=0.242$ |
| Andre 2018 | MVPA | Min/day | Calf raise (high vs. low) | \#/30s | Unadjusted | *Cohen's d=0.97 ( $\mathrm{p}=0.04$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.04$ |
| Andre 2016 | MVPA (high vs. low) | Min/day | Calf raise | \#/30s | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.639$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.001$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.001$ |
| Aoyagi 2009 | Steps | \#/day | HGS | N | Age, sex | Partial R=0.12 (p>0.05) | p (calc) $=0.119$ |
|  | TPA | Min/day | HGS | N | Age, sex | Partial R=0.12 ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.119$ |


| Author year | Physical activity and sedentary behavior |  | Muscle strength and muscle power |  | Adjustment | Effect size (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | p-value used for analyses ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reported measure(s) | Units | Reported measure(s) | Units |  |  |  |
| Ashe 2008 | Steps | \#/day | Knee extension torque | Nm/kg | Age, sex | Partial R=0.20 (p<0.05) | p (calc) $=0.009$ |
|  | TPA | Min/day | Knee extension torque | Nm/kg | Age, sex | Partial $\mathrm{R}=0.21$ ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.005$ |
|  | Activity counts | \#/day | Leg press strength | Kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.284(\mathrm{p}=0.025)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.025$ |
|  | MVPA | Min/day | Leg press strength | Kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.174(\mathrm{p}=0.175)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.175$ |
|  | Activity counts | \#/day | Leg press power | W | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.373(\mathrm{p}=0.003)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.003$ |
| Ashe 2007 | MVPA | Min/day | Leg press power | W | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.260$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.041$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.041$ |
|  | Steps | \#/day | HGS | Kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.22$ (p<0.01) | p (calc) $=0.002$ |
|  | Steps (high vs. low) | \#/day | HGS | Kg | Unadjusted | * $\mathrm{OR}=2.04$ (0.86, 4.79) |  |
|  | Steps | \#/day | KES | Kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.31$ (p<0.001) | p<0.001 |
| Aubertin-Leheudre 2017 | Steps | \#/day | HGS (dynapenic vs. nondynapenic) | Kg | Unadjusted | Non-obese: T=N/R (+) ( $\mathrm{p}=0.07$ ) Obese: T=N/R (+) ( $\mathrm{p}=0.056$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{p}=0.07 \\ & \mathrm{p}=0.056 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Activity counts | \#/day | HGS (dynapenic vs. nondynapenic) | Kg | Unadjusted | Non-obese: $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}(+) \mathrm{p}=0.0008$ ) <br> Obese: T=N/R (+) ( $\mathrm{p}=0.021$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{p}=0.0008 \\ & \mathrm{p}=0.021 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | TPA | Min/day | HGS (dynapenic vs. nondynapenic) | Kg | Unadjusted | Non-obese: $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}(+)(\mathrm{p}=0.005)$ Obese: $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}(+)(\mathrm{p}=0.029)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{p}=0.005 \\ & \mathrm{p}=0.029 \end{aligned}$ |
| Balducci 2017 | MVPA | Min/day | Shoulder press strength | Nm | Unadjusted | Rho $=0.397$ ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
|  | LPA | H/day | Shoulder press strength | Nm | Unadjusted | Rho $=0.281$ ( $\ll 0.001$ ) | p<0.001 |
|  | SB | H/day | Shoulder press strength | Nm | Unadjusted | Rho $=-0.235$ ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) | p<0.001 |
|  | MVPA | Min/day | Leg press strength | Nm | Unadjusted | Rho $=0.412$ ( $\ll 0.001$ ) | p<0.001 |
|  | LPA | H/day | Leg press strength | Nm | Unadjusted | Rho $=0.341$ ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
|  | SB | H/day | Leg press strength | Nm | Unadjusted | Rho $=-0.299$ ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) | p<0.001 |
| Bann 2015 | TPA | H/day | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, wear time | $\mathrm{B}=0.06(-0.03,0.16)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.191$ |
|  | Higher LPA | H/day | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, wear time | $\mathrm{B}=2.41$ (0.16, 4.66) |  |
|  | LPA (Lower LPA) | H/day | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, wear time | $\mathrm{B}=0.06(-0.42,0.54)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.809$ |
|  | SB | H/day | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, wear time | $\mathrm{B}=-0.13(-0.55,0.28)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.527$ |
| Barbat-Artigas | Steps | \#/day | HGS | Kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{p}>0.05)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
| 2012 | TPA | Min/day | HGS | Kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{p}>0.05)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
|  | Steps | \#/day | KES | N | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{p}>0.05)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
|  | TPA | Min/day | KES | N | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{p}>0.05)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
|  | Steps | \#/day | 20s CST | \#/20s | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{p}>0.05)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
|  | TPA | Min/day | 20s CST | \#/20s | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{p}>0.05)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
| Bartlett 2020 | Steps (active vs. sedentary) | \#/day | HGS | Kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}(+)(\mathrm{p}=0.69)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.69$ |


| Author year | Physical activity and sedentary behavior |  | Muscle strength and muscle power |  | Adjustment | Effect size (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | p-value used for analyses ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reported measure(s) | Units | Reported measure(s) | Units |  |  |  |
| Bassey 1988 | Steps (step score) | \#/day x 10^3 | Calf strength | N | Unadjusted | F: Pearson's $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{p}>0.05)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | M: Pearson's $\mathrm{R}=0.30(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ | p (calc) $=0.025$ |
| Bogucka 2018 | Steps | \#/day | HGS | Kg | Unadjusted | Dynapenic: $\mathrm{R}=-0.12(\mathrm{p}=0.74)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.74$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Non-dynapenic: $\mathrm{R}=0.16(\mathrm{p}=0.34)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.34$ |
| Bollaert 2017 | MVPA | \% wear time | 5x CST (0-4) | Points | MS, SB, long SB bouts | $\mathrm{B}=9.07(\mathrm{SE}=5.14) \beta=0.18$ | p (calc) $=0.077$ |
|  | LPA | \% wear time | 5x CST (0-4) | Points | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.40$ ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) | p (calc)<0.001 |
|  | SB | \% wear time | 5x CST (0-4) | Points | MS, MVPA, long SB bouts | $B=-2.98(S E=1.46) \beta=-0.20 \mathrm{~s}$ | p (calc) $=0.041$ |
|  | PA bouts | \#/day | 5x CST (0-4) | Points | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.34(\mathrm{p}<0.01)$ | p (calc) $=0.002$ |
|  | PA bouts | Min/bout/day | 5x CST (0-4) | Points | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.15$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.01$ ) | p (calc) $=0.184$ |
|  | SB bouts | \#day | 5x CST (0-4) | Points | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=-0.01$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.01$ ) | p (calc) $=0.930$ |
|  | SB bouts | Min/bout/day | 5x CST (0-4) | Points | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=-0.33$ (p<0.01) | p (calc) $=0.003$ |
|  | Long SB bouts | \#/day | 5x CST (0-4) | Points | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=-0.32$ ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) | p (calc) $=0.004$ |
|  | Long SB bouts | Min/bout/day | 5x CST (0-4) | Points | MS, MVPA, SB | $\mathrm{B}=-0.04$ ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.02$ ) $\beta=-0.25$ | p (calc) $=0.045$ |
| Boutou 2019 | Actigraph measures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\Delta$ Steps | \#/day | KES | Kg | Age, 6MWD, climate + others | * $\mathrm{B}=-1.00 \mathrm{E}-4(-0.004,0.005)$ |  |
|  | $\triangle \mathrm{MVPA}$ | Ratio | KES | Kg | Age, 6MWD, climate + others | * $\mathrm{B}=-0.004(-0.016,0.009)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.535$ |
|  | $\Delta \mathrm{VMU}$ | \#/day | KES | Kg | Age, 6MWD, climate + others | * $\mathrm{B}=-0.003(-0.007,0.001)$ |  |
|  | Dynaport measures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\Delta$ Steps | \#/day | KES | Kg | Age, 6MWD, climate + others | *B=-2.10E-4 (-0.005, 0.005) | $\mathrm{p}=0.932$ |
|  | $\Delta$ Steps (Walking) | Min/day | KES | Kg | Age, 6MWD, climate + others | *B=0.002 (-0.003, 0.067) |  |
|  | $\triangle \mathrm{MET}$ | G | KES | Kg | Age, 6MWD, climate + others | * $\mathrm{B}=-0.001$ (SE=6.00E-4) | $\mathrm{p}=0.036$ |
|  | $\triangle \mathrm{VMU}$ | \#/day | KES | Kg | Age, 6MWD, climate + others | * $\mathrm{B}=-0.005(\mathrm{SE}=0.002)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.03$ |
| 2016 <br> Chastin 2012 | MVPA | CPM | HGS | Kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.42(\mathrm{p}=0.01)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.01$ |
|  | SB | H/day | Leg extension power | N/R | Unadjusted | M: $\mathrm{R}=0.739$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.003$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.003$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $F: \mathrm{R}=0.151(\mathrm{p}=0.678)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.678$ |
|  | SB break rate | \#/sedentary h | Leg extension power | N/R | Unadjusted | $M: \mathrm{R}=-0.683(\mathrm{p}=0.07)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.07$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $F: \mathrm{R}=-0.158(\mathrm{p}=0.663)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.663$ |
| Chmelo 2013 | Steps | \#/day | KES | N | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.13(\mathrm{p}=0.15)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.15$ |
|  | MVPA | Min/day | KES | N | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.09(\mathrm{p}=0.33)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.33$ |
|  | LPA | Min/day | KES | N | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=-0.04$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.66$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.66$ |
|  | EE | Kcal/day | KES | N | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.23$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.01$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.01$ |
| Cooper 2015 | MVPA | SDs | HGS | Kg | Sex | $\beta=0.638$ (0.166, 1.110) | $p($ calc $)=0.008$ |
|  | SB | SDs | HGS | Kg | Sex | $\beta=-0.588$ (-1.062, -0.115) | p (calc) $=0.015$ |


| Author year | Physical activity and sedentary behavior |  | Muscle strength and muscle power |  | Adjustment | Effect size (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | p-value used for analyses ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reported measure(s) | Units | Reported measure(s) | Units |  |  |  |
| Davis, 2014 | EE | SDs | HGS | Kg | Sex | $\beta=0.632(0.158,1.105)$ | p (calc) $=0.009$ |
|  | MVPA | SDs | 10x CST | \#/min | Sex | $\beta=0.670$ (0.321, 1.018) | p (calc)<0.001 |
|  | SB | SDs | 10x CST | \#/min | Sex | $\beta=-0.550(-0.898,-0.201)$ | p (calc) $=0.002$ |
|  | EE | SDs | 10x CST | \#/min | Sex | $\beta=0.943$ ( $0.594,1.292)$ | p (calc)<0.001 |
|  | MVPA | $\log (\mathrm{min} / \mathrm{h})$ | 5x CST (0-4) | Points | Age, sex, BMI, edu | $\mathrm{B}=0.851(0.429,1.272)$ | p<0.001 |
|  | SB | Min/h | 5x CST (0-4) | Points | Age, sex, BMI, edu, MVPA | $\mathrm{B}=-0.042(-0.073,-0.011)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.009$ |
|  | BST | \#/h | 5x CST (0-4) | Points | Age, sex, BMI, edu, MVPA, SB | $\mathrm{B}=0.334(0.178,0.490)$ | p<0.001 |
| De Melo 2010 | Steps | \#/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Age, self-rate health, income | *RR=1.04 (1.00, 1.07) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { "De Melo } \\ & 2014 " \end{aligned}$ |
| De Melo 2014 | Steps (medium vs. low) | \#/day | Arm Curl | \#/30s | Age, sex, morbidities | * $\mathrm{OR}=1.01$ (0.77-1.32) |  |
|  | Steps (high vs. low) | \#/day | Arm Curl | \#/30s | Age, sex, morbidities | *OR=1.35 (1.00-1.82) | $\mathrm{p}=0.04$ |
|  | Steps (medium vs. low) | \#/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Age, sex, morbidities | * $\mathrm{OR}=1.00$ (0.82-1.18) |  |
|  | Steps (high vs. low) | \#/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Age, sex, morbidities | * $\mathrm{OR}=1.61$ (1.17-2.21) | $\mathrm{p}=0.004$ |
| Demeyer 2018 | $\Delta$ Steps (persistently active vs. decline) | \#/day | $\triangle$ HGS | N | Baseline HGS | $\operatorname{EMM}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})(\mathrm{p}$-trend=0.48) |  |
|  | $\Delta$ Steps (persistently active vs. inactive) | \#/day | $\Delta \mathrm{HGS}$ | N | Baseline HGS | $\operatorname{EMM}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})(\mathrm{p}$-trend=0.39) |  |
|  | Steps (active, somewhat active, inactive, very inactive) | \#/day | $\Delta \mathrm{HGS}$ | N | Baseline HGS | $\operatorname{EMM}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})(\mathrm{p}$-trend=0.84) | $\mathrm{p}=0.84$ |
|  | MVPA (quartiles) | Min/day | $\Delta$ HGS | N | Baseline HGS | EMM ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}$ ) (p-trend $=0.32$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.32$ |
|  | SB (quartiles) | Min/day | $\Delta \mathrm{HGS}$ |  | Baseline HGS | EMM ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}$ ) (p-trend $=0.24$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.24$ |
| Distefano 2018 | Steps | \#/day | KES | Kg/kg | Age, sex | Partial $\mathrm{R}=0.294(\mathrm{p}=0.154)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.154$ |
|  | Steps | \#/day | 5x CST | S | Age, sex | Partial $\mathrm{R}=-0.301(\mathrm{p}=0.153)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.153$ |
| Dogra 2017 | BST | \#/day | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, BMI, edu, + others | $\beta=0.068$ (-0.011, 0.147) | $\mathrm{p}=0.09$ |
|  | Long SB bouts | \% time/day | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, BMI, edu, + others | $\beta=-0.064(-0.148,0.021)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.13$ |
| Dohrn 2020 | SB | Min/day | 5x CST (able vs. nonable) | None | Age, sex, BMI, edu, + others | OR=39.5 (p<0.05) | $0.01<\mathrm{p}<0.05$ |


| Author year | Physical activity and sedentary behavior |  | Muscle strength and muscle power |  | Adjustment | Effect size (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | p-value used for analyses ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reported measure(s) | Units | Reported measure(s) | Units |  |  |  |
|  | SB break rate | \#/sedentary H | 5x CST (able vs. nonable) | None | Age, sex, BMI, edu, + others | OR=0.9 (p>0.05) | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
|  | SB bouts | Min/all SB bouts | 5x CST (able vs. nonable) | None | Age, sex, BMI, edu, + others | $\mathrm{OR}=4.8(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ | $0.01<\mathrm{p}<0.05$ |
|  | Long SB bouts | Min | 5x CST (able vs. nonable) | None | Age, sex, BMI, edu, + others | $\mathrm{OR}=11.8(\mathrm{p}>0.05)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
| Dos Santos 2019 | MVPA (sufficient vs. insufficient) | Min/day | HGS (high vs. low) | Kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{OR}=3.03$ (1.38, 6.63) | $\mathrm{p}=0.004$ |
| Duncan 2016 | Steps (high, medium, low) | \#/day | Arm curl | \#/30s | Age | Partial $\eta^{2}=0.168(\mathrm{p}=0.001)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.001$ |
|  | Steps (high, medium, low) | \#/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Age | Partial $\eta^{2}=0.095(\mathrm{p}=0.001)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.001$ |
| Edholm 2019 | Activity counts | \#/min/day | Squat jump test | N/kg | Fat mass, self-reported past PA | ANOVA (+) (p<0.001) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
|  | MVPA | Min/day | Squat jump test | N/kg | Fat mass, self-reported past PA | ANOVA (+) ( $\mathrm{p}=0.081$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.081$ |
| Foong 2016 | Activity counts | \#/10000 | KES | Kg | Age residuals, sex | $\beta=0.17$ (0.12, 0.22) | p<0.001 |
|  | VPA | $10 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{day}$ | KES | Kg | Age residuals, sex | $\beta=2.7(1.0,4.5)$ |  |
|  | MVPA (MPA) | $10 \mathrm{~min} /$ day | KES | Kg | Age residuals, sex | $\beta=0.6(0.3,0.8)$ | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
|  | LPA | $10 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{day}$ | KES | Kg | Age residuals, sex | $\beta=0.1(0.02,0.20)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.019$ |
|  | SB | $10 \mathrm{~min} /$ day | KES | Kg | Age residuals, sex | $\beta=-0.03$ (-0.1, 0.04) | $\mathrm{p}=0.415$ |
|  | Activity counts | \#/10000 | Leg strength | Kg | Age residuals, sex | $\beta=0.65$ (0.46, 0.83) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
|  | VPA | $10 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{day}$ | Leg strength | Kg | Age residuals, sex | $\beta=7.5(0.9,14.1)$ |  |
|  | MVPA (MPA) | $10 \mathrm{~min} /$ day | Leg strength | Kg | Age residuals, sex | $\beta=1.6(0.6,2.7)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.002$ |
|  | LPA | $10 \mathrm{~min} /$ day | Leg strength | Kg | Age residuals, sex | $\beta=0.4(0.1,0.8)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.023$ |
|  | SB | $10 \mathrm{~min} /$ day | Leg strength | Kg | Age residuals, sex | $\beta=-0.1(-0.4,0.2)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.438$ |
| Gennuso 2016 | SB | H/day | HGS | N/R | Age, sex, wear time, MVPA | $\beta=N / R(p>0.05)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
|  | BST | \#/day | HGS | N/R | Age, sex, wear time, MVPA | $\beta=N / R(p>0.05)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
|  | SB break rate | \#/sedentary h | HGS | N/R | Age, sex, wear time, MVPA | $\beta=N / R(p>0.05)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
|  | SB bouts | Min/day | HGS | N/R | Age, sex, wear time, MVPA | $\beta=N / R(p>0.05)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
|  | Long SB bouts | H/day | HGS | N/R | Age, sex, wear time, MVPA | $\beta=N / R(p>0.05)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
|  | $\geq 40 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{SB}$ bouts | H/day | HGS | N/R | Age, sex, wear time, MVPA | $\beta=N / R(p>0.05)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
|  | $\geq 60 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{SB}$ bouts | H/day | HGS | N/R | Age, sex, wear time, MVPA | $\beta=N / R(p>0.05)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
|  | SB | H/day | 5x CST (0-4) | Points | Age, sex, wear time, MVPA | $\beta=-0.21$ (SE=0.11) | p (calc) $=0.056$ |
|  | BST | \#/day | 5x CST (0-4) | Points | Age, wear time, MVPA | M: $\beta=0.06$ ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.02$ ) | $0.01<\mathrm{p} \leq 0.05$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $F: \beta=0.006(\mathrm{SE}=0.02)$ | p (calc) $=0.777$ |


| Author year | Physical activity and sedentary behavior |  | Muscle strength and muscle power |  | Adjustment | Effect size (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | p-value used for analyses ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reported measure(s) | Units | Reported measure(s) | Units |  |  |  |
| Gerdhem 2008 | SB break rate | \#/sedentary h | 5x CST (0-4) | Points | Age, wear time, MVPA | M: $\beta=0.60$ (SE=0.19) | $0.001<\mathrm{p} \leq 0.01$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $F: \beta=0.04$ ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.12$ ) | p (calc) $=0.752$ |
|  | SB bouts | Min/day | 5x CST (0-4) | Points | Age, sex, wear time, MVPA | $\beta=-0.10$ (SE=0.03) | $0.001<\mathrm{p}<0.01$ |
|  | Long SB bouts | H/day | 5x CST (0-4) | Points | Age, sex, wear time, MVPA | $\beta=-0.18$ (SE=0.08) | $0.001<\mathrm{p}<0.01$ |
|  | $\geq 40 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{SB}$ bouts | H/day | 5x CST (0-4) | Points | Age, sex, wear time, MVPA | $\beta=-0.23$ (SE=0.09) |  |
|  | $\geq 60 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{SB}$ bouts | H/day | 5x CST (0-4) | Points | Age, sex, wear time, MVPA | $\beta=-0.29$ ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.09$ ) |  |
|  | Activity counts | \#/min/day | KES | NmS | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.19$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.209$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.209$ |
|  | MVPA | Min/day | KES | NmS | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.21$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.160$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.160$ |
|  | Activity counts | \#/min/day | Knee flexion strength | NmS | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.09$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.564$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.564$ |
|  | MVPA | Min/day | Knee flexion strength | NmS | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.15$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.307$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.307$ |
| Hall 2016 | Steps | \#/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | 60-69y: $\mathrm{R}=0.563$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ ) | p(calc)<0.001 |
|  | Steps | \#/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | 70-79y: $\mathrm{R}=0.353$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.001$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.001$ |
|  | Steps | \#/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | $80-90+y$ : $\mathrm{R}=0.451(\mathrm{p}=0.021)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.021$ |
|  | MVPA | Min/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | 60-69y: $\mathrm{R}=0.367$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ ) | p (calc)<0.001 |
|  | MVPA | Min/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | 70-79y: $\mathrm{R}=0.192$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.030$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.030$ |
|  | MVPA | Min/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | $80-90+y$ : $\mathrm{R}=0.281(\mathrm{p}=0.068)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.068$ |
|  | SB | \% time/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | 60-69y: $\mathrm{R}=-0.359(\mathrm{p}=0.000)$ | $p$ (calc) $=0.001$ |
|  | SB | \% time/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | 70-79y: $\mathrm{R}=-0.197(\mathrm{p}=0.026$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.026$ |
|  | SB | \% time/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | $80-90+y$ : $\mathrm{R}=-0.291$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.059$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.059$ |
| Harada 2017 | Steps | \#/day | 5x CST | S | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=-0.25$ ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
| Hartley 2018 | Activity counts (low) | \#/impact/day | HGS | Kg | Age | *Low $\beta=1.09$ (0.97, 1.23) | $\mathrm{p}=0.14$ |
|  | Activity counts (med) | \#/impact/day | HGS | Kg | Age | *Medium $\beta=1.15$ (0.97, 1.37) |  |
|  | Activity counts (high) | \#/impact/day | HGS | Kg | Age | *High $\beta=1.14$ (0.95, 1.36) |  |
|  | Activity counts (low) | \#/impact/day | Jump strength | kN | Age | *Low $\beta=1.05$ (0.90, 1.22) | $\mathrm{p}=0.53$ |
|  | Activity counts (medium) | \#/impact/day | Jump strength | kN | Age | *Medium $\beta=1.18$ (0.95, 1.47) |  |
|  | Activity counts (high) | \#/impact/day | Jump strength | kN | Age | *High $\beta=1.26$ (1.00, 1.57) |  |
|  | Activity counts (low) | \#/impact/day | Jump power | kW | Age | *Low $\beta=0.97$ ( $0.83,1.13$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.71$ |


| Author year | Physical activity and sedentary behavior |  | Muscle strength and muscle power |  | Adjustment | Effect size (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | p-value used for analyses ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reported measure(s) | Units | Reported measure(s) | Units |  |  |  |
|  | Activity counts (medium) | \#/impact/day | Jump power | kW | Age | *Medium $\beta=1.14$ (0.91, 1.42) |  |
|  | Activity counts (high) | \#/impact/day | Jump power | kW | Age | *High $\beta=1.08$ (0.86, 1.36) |  |
|  | Activity counts (low) | \#/impact/day | 5x CST | S | Age | *Low $\beta=0.80$ ( $0.70,0.91$ ) | p (calc)<0.001 |
|  | Activity counts (medium) | \#/impact/day | 5x CST | S | Age | *Medium $\beta=0.69$ (0.57, 0.83 ) |  |
|  | Activity counts (high) | \#/impact/day | 5x CST | S | Age | *High $\beta=0.83$ (0.68, 1.00) |  |
| Hasegawa 2018 | Steps | \#/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Age, sex | * $\beta=0.20$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.17$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.17$ |
| Hernandes 2013 | Steps | \#/day | HGS | KgF | Unadjusted | Non-exercise: Rho $=-0.10(\mathrm{p}>0.05)$ <br> Exercise: Rho=-0.11 (p>0.05) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{p}(\text { calc })=0.206 \\ & \mathrm{p}(\text { calc })=0.312 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Steps | \#/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | Non-exercise: Rho=0.30 ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) <br> Exercise: Rho=0.28 (p<0.05) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{p}(\text { calc })=0.001 \\ & \mathrm{p}(\text { calc })<0.001 \end{aligned}$ |
| Hernandez 2016 | TPA | Min/day | KES | Kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.30$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.07$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.07$ |
|  | LPA | Min/day | KES | Kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.27$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.11$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.11$ |
|  | SB | Min/day | KES | Kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=-0.16$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.35$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.35$ |
|  | Steps | \#/day | Quad power 50\% 1RM | W | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.30$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.07$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.07$ |
|  | TPA | Min/day | Quad power 50\% 1RM | W | BMI | * $\mathrm{B}=0.30(0.19,0.42) \beta=0.76$ | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
|  | MVPA (MPA) | Min/day | Quad power 50\% 1RM | W | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.12$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.48$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.48$ |
|  | LPA | Min/day | Quad power 50\% 1RM | W | BMI | * $\mathrm{B}=0.25$ (0.13, 0.36) $\beta=0.69$ | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
|  | SB | Min/day | Quad power 50\% 1RM | W | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=-0.13(\mathrm{p}=0.44)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.44$ |
|  | TPA | Min/day | Quad power 70\% 1RM | W | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.37$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.027$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.027$ |
|  | LPA | Min/day | Quad power 70\% 1RM | W | BMI | * $\mathrm{B}=0.23$ (0.10, 0.35) $\beta=0.62$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.001$ |
|  | SB | Min/day | Quad power 70\% 1RM | W | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.14$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.41$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.41$ |
| Hopkins 2019 | MVPA (Meeting vs. not meeting guidelines) | Min/day | 45x CST | S | Age, sex, race, BMI + others | $\mathrm{B}=-0.093$ (p>0.05) | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
| Iijima 2017 | Steps | 1000/day | 5x CST (quartiles: <br> Q1=worst performance) | S | Age, sex, BMI, OA grade | Ordinal logistic regression $\mathrm{OR}=1.22$ $(1.10,1.36)$ | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
| Ikenaga 2014 | Steps | \#/day | HGS | Kg | Age, BMI, \% body fat | ANCOVA (p-trend=0.160) | $\mathrm{p}=0.160$ |
|  | MVPA (MPA) | Min/day | HGS | Kg | Age, BMI, \% body fat | ANCOVA (p-trend=0.195) | $\mathrm{p}=0.195$ |
|  | LPA | Min/day | HGS | Kg | Age, BMI, \% body fat | ANCOVA (p-trend=0.707) | $\mathrm{p}=0.707$ |


| Author year | Physical activity and sedentary behavior |  | Muscle strength and muscle power |  | Adjustment | Effect size (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | p-value used for analyses ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reported measure(s) | Units | Reported measure(s) | Units |  |  |  |
|  | SB | Min/day | HGS | Kg | Age, BMI, \% body fat | ANCOVA (p-trend=0.869) | $\mathrm{p}=0.869$ |
|  | Steps | \#/day | KES | Nm/kg | Age, BMI, \% body fat | Partial $\mathrm{R}=0.167$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.028$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.028$ |
|  | MVPA (MPA) | Min/day | KES | Nm/kg | Age, BMI, \% body fat | Partial $\mathrm{R}=0.208(\mathrm{p}<0.01)$ | p (calc) $=0.005$ |
|  | LPA | Min/day | KES | Nm/kg | Age, BMI, \% body fat | Partial R=N/R ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
|  | SB | Min/day | KES | Nm/kg | Age, BMI, \% body fat | Partial $\mathrm{R}=-0.147$ (0.053) | $\mathrm{p}=0.053$ |
| Iwakura 2016 | Steps | 1000/day | 5x CST | S | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=-0.299$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.176$ |
|  | MVPA | Min/day | 5x CST | S | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=-0.384(\mathrm{p}>0.05)$ | p (calc) $=0.078$ |
| Jantunen 2016 | MET | H/day | Arm curl | \#/30s | Age, sex | $\beta=0.02(0.02,0.04)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.021$ |
|  | MET | H/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Age, sex | $\beta=0.06$ (0.05, 0.07) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
| Jeong 2019 | Steps | \#/day | KES | N/kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.09(\mathrm{p}=0.53)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.53$ |
|  | Steps | \#/day | Hip strength | N/kg | Adjustment N/R | $\beta=0.40, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.16$ ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) | p (calc) $=0.003$ |
| Johnson 2016 | VPA | Min/day | Leg strength | Kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.184(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ |  |
|  | MVPA (MPA) | Min/day | Leg strength | Kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.276$ (p<0.01) | p (calc)<0.001 |
|  | LPA | Min/day | Leg strength | Kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.120$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.101$ |
|  | SB | Min/day | Leg strength | Kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=-0.024$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.743$ |
| Kawagoshi 2013 |  | Min/day |  |  | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.46$ ( $0.01<\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.200$ |
|  | Standing (only) | Min/day | KES | N/R | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.26$ ( $\gg 0.05$ ) |  |
|  | MVPA (Fast walking) | Min/day | KES | N/R | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.60$ ( $0.01<\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.001$ |
|  | LPA (Slow Walking) | Min/day | KES | N/R | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.33$ (p>0.05) | p (calc) $=0.100$ |
|  | SB (Sitting) | Min/day | KES | N/R | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=-0.24$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.237$ |
|  | Lying | Min/day | KES | N/R | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=-0.17$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) |  |
| Keevil 2015 | MVPA (quartiles: Q1=least MVPA) | Min/day | HGS | Kg | Age, wear time | $\begin{aligned} & F: \text { Q2vs.Q1 B=1.18 }(0.56,1.79), \\ & \text { Q3vs.Q1 B=0.92 }(0.28,1.55), \\ & \text { Q4vs.Q1 B=2.02 }(1.36,2.68)(p- \\ & \text { trend<0.001) } \end{aligned}$ | p<0.001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M: Q2vs.Q1 B=0.88 }(-0.09,1.85) \text {, } \\ & \text { Q3vs.Q1 } B=1.83(0.82,2.83), \\ & \text { Q4vs.Q1 } B=1.26(0.22,2.30),(p- \\ & \text { trend<0.001) } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
|  | SB (quartiles: Q1=most SB) | H/day | HGS | Kg | Age, wear time | $\begin{aligned} & F: \text { Q2vs.Q1 B=0.00 }(-0.62,0.62), \\ & \text { Q3vs.Q1 B=0.69 }(0.05,1.34), \\ & \text { Q4vs.Q1 } B=0.83(0.11,1.56)(p- \\ & \text { trend }<0.001) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |



| Author year | Physical activity and sedentary behavior |  | Muscle strength and muscle power |  | Adjustment | Effect size (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | p-value used for analyses ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reported measure(s) | Units | Reported measure(s) | Units |  |  |  |
| Lerma 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Q} 1=\mathrm{B}=3.43(\mathrm{SE}=0.98) \text {, (mean of } \\ & \text { Q2-Q4 vs Q1 } \mathrm{p}=0.0016 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | MVPA | 60min/day | 5x CST | \% s | Age, sex | $e^{\beta}=-4.433(-7.21,-1.650)$ | p (calc) $=0.001$ |
|  | LPA | 60min/day | 5x CST | \% s | Age, sex | $e^{\beta}=-0.622(-1.349,0.104)$ | p (calc) $=0.093$ |
| Liao 2018 | SB | 60min/day | 5x CST | \% s | Age, sex | $\mathrm{e}^{\beta}=0.092(-0.602,0.786)$ | p (calc) $=0.807$ |
|  | SB | Min/day | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, MVPA + others | $\beta=-0.083(-0.199,0.034)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.165$ |
|  | SB break rate | \#/sedentary h | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, MVPA, SB + others | $\beta=0.004(-0.115,0.124)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.944$ |
|  | Long SB bouts | \#/day | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, MVPA, SB + others | $\beta=0.053(-0.132,0.237)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.575$ |
|  | Long SB bouts | Min/day | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, MVPA, SB + others | $\beta=-0.060(-0.159,0.039)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.237$ |
| Lohne-Seiler 2016 | Steps | 1000/day | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, wear time, test center | $\mathrm{B}=-1.33$ (SE=0.24) (-0.61, 0.34) | $\mathrm{p}=0.6$ |
| Mador 2011 | VMU | \#/min/day | KES | Kg | Unadjusted | * $\mathrm{R}=0.50$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.013$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.013$ |
| Master 2018 | Steps | \#/day | 5x CST | S | Age, sex, morbidities + others | $\mathrm{B}=-130(-178,-83)$ | p (calc)<0.001 |
| Matkovic 2020 | Steps (<5000/day) | \#/day | HGS | Kg | Unadjusted | *AUC=0.596 (0.491, 0.702) | $\mathrm{p}=0.082$ |
|  | Steps (<5000/day) | \#/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | *AUC=0.676 (0.576, 0.776) | $\mathrm{p}=0.001$ |
| McDermott 2002 | Accelerations | \#/day | 5x CST | S | Unadjusted | $\begin{aligned} & +P A D: * \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{NR})(+)(\mathrm{p} \text {-trend } \\ & <0.0001) \end{aligned}$ | p<0.001 |
|  | Accelerations | \#/day | 5x CST | S | Unadjusted | $\begin{aligned} & -P A D: * \mathrm{~B}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}(+)(\mathrm{p}-\text { trend } \\ & <0.0001) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
| McGregor 2018 | MVPA | Log-ratio | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, morbidity + others | $\gamma=-0.599(\mathrm{p}=0.213)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.213$ |
|  | LPA | Log-ratio | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, morbidity + others | $\gamma=2.979(\mathrm{p}=0.028)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.028$ |
|  | SB | Log-ratio | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, morbidity + others | $\gamma=0.003(\mathrm{p}=0.677)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.677$ |
| Meier 2020 | Steps | 1000/day | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, BMI, edu + others | $\mathrm{B}=0.01(\mathrm{SE}=0.16), \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.58$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.53$ |
|  | Steps (high, medium, low) | \#/day | Chest press strength | Lbs | Unadjusted | ANOVA (+) $(\mathrm{p}=0.15)(+)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.15$ |
|  | Steps (high, medium, low) | \#/day | Leg press strength | Lbs | Unadjusted | ANOVA (+) ( $\mathrm{p}=0.17$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.17$ |
| Monteiro 2019 | Activity counts (terciles) | \#/min/day | Arm curl | \#/30s | Unadjusted | ANOVA (+) ( $\mathrm{p}=0.058$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.058$ |
|  | Activity counts (terciles) | \#/min/day | KES | Nm | Unadjusted | ANOVA (+) ( $\mathrm{p}=0.060$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.060$ |
|  | Activity counts (terciles) | \#/min/day | Knee flexion strength | Nm | Unadjusted | ANOVA ( + ) ( $\mathrm{p}=0.051$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.051$ |
|  |  | \#/min/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | ANOVA (+) ( $\mathrm{p}=0.073$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.073$ |



| Author year | Physical activity and sedentary behavior |  | Muscle strength and muscle power |  | Adjustment | Effect size (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | p-value used for analyses ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reported measure(s) | Units | Reported measure(s) | Units |  |  |  |
| Orwoll 2019 | MVPA (MPA) | Min/day | 5x CST | S | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=-0.2(\mathrm{p}<0.001)$ | p<0.001 |
|  | LPA | Min/day | 5x CST | S | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=-0.2$ (p<0.001) | p<0.001 |
| Osuka 2015 | MVPA | Min/day | 5x CST (low vs. high) | S | Unadjusted | *Mann-Whitney U (+) (p<0.001) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
|  | LPA | Min/day | 5x CST | S | Age, sex, BMI + others | $\beta=-0.07(p=0.047)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.047$ |
| Park 2018 | Steps | \#/day | HGS/weight | \% | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.07$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.757$ |
|  | TPA | Min/day | HGS/weight | \% | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.10$ ( $\gg 0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.658$ |
|  | VPA | Min/day | HGS/weight | \% | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.21$ ( $\gg 0.05$ ) |  |
|  | MVPA | Min/day | HGS/weight | \% | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=-0.06$ ( $\mathrm{>}>0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.790$ |
|  | MPA | Min/day | HGS/weight | \% | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=-0.07(\mathrm{p}>0.05)$ |  |
|  | LPA | Min/day | HGS/weight | \% | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.20$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.372$ |
|  | SB | Min/day | HGS/weight | \% | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=-0.08$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.723$ |
|  | Steps | \#/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.36$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.100$ |
|  | TPA | Min/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.25$ ( $\gg 0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.262$ |
|  | VPA | Min/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.05$ ( $\gg 0.05$ ) |  |
|  | MVPA | Min/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.29$ ( $\gg 0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.190$ |
|  | MPA | Min/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.29$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) |  |
|  | LPA | Min/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.04(\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.860$ |
|  | SB | Min/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.06$ ( $\gg 0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.791$ |
| Perkin 2018 | MVPA | Min/day | Leg press strength | N | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{p}>0.05)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
|  | SB | Min/day | Leg press strength | N | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{p}>0.05$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
|  | EE (PAL) | None | Leg press strength | N | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}^{2}=-0.03(\mathrm{p}>0.05)$ | p (calc) $=0.230$ |
|  | MVPA | Min/day | Leg press power | W | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{p}>0.05)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
|  | SB | Min/day | Leg press power | W | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{p}>0.05)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
|  | EE (PAL) | None | Leg press power | W | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}^{2}=-0.03(\mathrm{p}>0.05)$ | p (calc) $=0.230$ |
| Pitta 2005 | Steps (Walking) | Min/day | HGS | \%pred | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.44$ ( $0.001<\mathrm{p}<0.01)$ | $0.001<\mathrm{p}<0.01$ |
|  | TPA (Standing) | Min/day | HGS | \%pred | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.28(0.01<\mathrm{p} \leq 0.5)$ | $0.01<\mathrm{p} \leq 0.5$ |
|  | Steps (Walking) | Min/day | KES | \%pred | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.45$ ( $0.001<\mathrm{p}<0.01)$ | $0.001<\mathrm{p} \leq 0.1$ |
|  | TPA (Standing) | Min/day | KES | \%pred | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.20$ (p>0.5) | p (calc) $=0.164$ |
| Puthoff 2008 | Steps | \#/day | Leg press strength | N/kg | Unadjusted | *B=184.15 (SE=107.86) $\beta=0.31$ | p (calc) $=0.087$ |
|  | Steps | \#/day | Leg press power (peak) | W/kg | Unadjusted | * $\mathrm{B}=340.99$ ( $\mathrm{SE}=152.08$ ) $\beta=0.40$ | p (calc) $=0.024$ |
|  | Steps | \#/day | Leg press power (40\%) | W/kg | Unadjusted | * $\mathrm{B}=237.41$ (SE=160.68) $\beta=0.29$ | p (calc) $=0.140$ |
|  | Steps | \#/day | Leg press power (90\%) | W/kg | Unadjusted | * $\mathrm{B}=351.73$ (SE=175.81) $\beta=0.36$ | p (calc) $=0.045$ |


| Author year | Physical activity and sedentary behavior |  | Muscle strength and muscle power |  | Adjustment | Effect size (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | p-value used for analyses ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reported measure(s) | Units | Reported measure(s) | Units |  |  |  |
| $\overline{\text { Rapp } 2012}$ | Steps (Walking) | Min/day | HGS | Kg | Unadjusted | *M 65-74y: $\mathrm{B}=-0.2(-0.7,0.3)$ | p (calc) $=0.441$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | *M 75-90y: $\mathrm{B}=-0.05(-0.5,0.4)$ | p (calc) $=0.839$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | *F 65-74y: $\mathrm{B}=0.3(-0.4,0.9)$ | p (calc) $=0.372$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | *F 75-90y: $\mathrm{B}=1.5(0.7,2.3)$ | p (calc)<0.001 |
|  | Steps (Walking) | Min/day | 5x CST | S | Unadjusted | $* M: \beta=-2.4(-3.3,-1.6)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\text { calc })<0.001$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $* F: \beta=-3.2(-4.0,-2.4)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\text { calc })<0.001$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rausch-Osthof } \\ & 2014 \end{aligned}$ | Steps | \#/day | KES | Nm | Unadjusted | * $\beta=-0.085$ (-0.567, 0.387) | $\mathrm{p}=0.699$ |
|  | EE | Kcal/day | KES | Nm | Unadjusted | * $\beta=0.274(-0.171,0.749)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.206$ |
|  | EE (PAL) | None | KES | Nm | Unadjusted | * $\beta=0.092(-0.345,0.516)$ |  |
|  | MET | Kcal/day/kg | KES | Nm | Unadjusted | * $\beta=0.100(-0.371,0.582)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.650$ |
| Rava 2018 | VPA | Min/day | 5x CST | S | Age, BMI | $\mathrm{R}=-0.06$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.00625$ ) |  |
|  | MVPA | Min/day | 5x CST | S | Age, BMI | $\mathrm{R}=-0.27(\mathrm{p}>0.00625)$ | p (calc) $=0.015$ |
|  | MPA | Min/day | 5x CST | S | Age, BMI | $\mathrm{R}=-0.26$ ( $\gg 0.00625$ ) |  |
|  | LPA | Min/day | 5x CST | S | Age, BMI | $\mathrm{R}=-0.12$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.00625$ ) | p (calc) $=0.286$ |
|  | SB | Min/day | 5x CST | S | Age, BMI | $\mathrm{R}=0.05$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.00625$ ) | p (calc) $=0.658$ |
| Reid 2018 | SB | \#/day | KES | Kg | Age, sex | $\mathrm{RR}=1.02$ (0.93, 1.12) | $\mathrm{p}(\text { calc })=0.689$ |
|  | BST | 10/day | KES | Kg | Age, sex | $\mathrm{RR}=0.94(0.82,1.07)$ | p (calc) $=0.368$ |
|  | SB | \#/day | Leg press strength | Kg | Age, sex | $\mathrm{B}=1.61(-2.33,5.56)$ | p (calc) $=0.432$ |
|  | BST | 10/day | Leg press strength | Kg | Age, sex | $\mathrm{B}=-6.32(-11.95,-0.69)$ | p (calc) $=0.028$ |
|  | SB | \#/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Age, sex | $\mathrm{B}=-0.28(-0.51,-0.04)$ | p (calc) $=0.019$ |
|  | BST | 10/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Age, sex | $\mathrm{B}=0.10(-0.24,0.45)$ | p (calc) $=0.259$ |
| Rojer 2017 | Steps | 1000/day | HGS (Z-score) | SD | Age, sex | $\mathrm{B}=0.052(\mathrm{SE}=0.038)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.173$ |
|  | TPA | Min/day | HGS (Z-score) | SD | Age, sex | $\mathrm{B}=0.002(\mathrm{SE}=0.001)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.279$ |
|  | SB | H/day | HGS (Z-score) | SD | Age, sex | $\mathrm{B}=-0.091$ ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.081$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.267$ |
|  | PA bouts | 100/day | HGS (Z-score) | SD | Age, sex | $\mathrm{B}=0.027(\mathrm{SE}=0.022)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.231$ |
|  | PA bouts | S/bout/day | HGS (Z-score) | SD | Age, sex | $\mathrm{B}=-0.023(\mathrm{SE}=0.043)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.594$ |
|  | SB bouts | 100/day | HGS (Z-score) | SD | Age, sex | $\mathrm{B}=0.219(\mathrm{SE}=0.243)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.370$ |
|  | SB bouts | H/bout/day | HGS (Z-score) | SD | Age, sex | $\mathrm{B}=-0.041(\mathrm{SE}=0.035)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.254$ |
| Rosenberg 2015 | SB | H/day | 5x CST | S | Age, sex, MVPA + others | $\mathrm{B}=1.02(\mathrm{SE}=0.21)$ | p<0.001 |
| Rowlands 2018 | MVPA | Min/day | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, body fat + others | $\mathrm{B}=0.02(-0.02,0.06)$ | p (calc) $=0.332$ |
|  | Accelerations | Mg -force | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, body fat + others | $\mathrm{B}=0.09(-0.04,0.23)$ | p (calc) $=0.193$ |
|  | Intensity gradient | N/R | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, body fat + others | $\mathrm{B}=4.44$ (0.60, 8.27) | p (calc)<0.001 |
|  | PA bouts | Min/day | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, body fat + others | $\mathrm{B}=-0.01(-0.07,0.05)$ | p (calc) $=0.757$ |
|  | MVPA | Min/day | 60s CST | \#/60s | Age, sex, body fat + others | $\mathrm{B}=0.06$ (0.02, 0.09) | p (calc)<0.001 |


| Author year | Physical activity and sedentary behavior |  | Muscle strength and muscle power |  | Adjustment | Effect size (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | p-value used for analyses ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reported measure(s) | Units | Reported measure(s) | Units |  |  |  |
| Safeek 2018 | Accelerations | Mg-force | 60s CST | \#/60s | Age, sex, body fat + others | $\mathrm{B}=0.25$ (0.11, 0.40) | p (calc) $=0.007$ |
|  | Intensity gradient | N/R | 60s CST | \#/60s | Age, sex, body fat + others | $\mathrm{B}=8.83$ (5.83, 11.83) | p (calc)<0.001 |
|  | PA bouts | Min/day | 60s CST | \#/60s | Age, sex, body fat + others | $\mathrm{B}=0.07(-0.02,0.16)$ | p (calc) $=0.127$ |
|  | Steps | \#/day | HGS | Kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=-0.02(\mathrm{p}>0.05)$ | p (calc) $=0.931$ |
|  | MVPA | Min/day | HGS | Kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=-0.20(\mathrm{p}>0.05)$ | p (calc) $=0.385$ |
|  | LPA | H/day | HGS | Kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.15$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.516$ |
|  | SB | H/day | HGS | Kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.15$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.516$ |
|  | EE | Kcal/day | HGS | Kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.12$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.604$ |
|  | Steps | \#/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.30$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.186$ |
|  | MVPA | Min/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.16$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.488$ |
|  | LPA | H/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.24$ (p>0.05) | p (calc) $=0.295$ |
|  | SB | H/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=-0.25$ (p>0.05) | p (calc) $=0.274$ |
|  | EE | Kcal/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.16$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.488$ |
| Sanchez-sanchez | Activity counts | SDs (\#/day) | HGS | Kg | Age residuals, sex + others | $\mathrm{B}=0.857$ (0.312, 1.402) | $0.001<\mathrm{p}<0.01$ |
| 2019 | MVPA | H/day | HGS | Kg | Age residuals, sex + others | $\mathrm{B}=0.933$ (0.246, 1.620) | $0.001<\mathrm{p}<0.01$ |
|  | LPA | H/day | HGS | Kg | Age residuals, sex + others | $\mathrm{B}=0.428(0.051,0.805)$ | p (calc) $=0.026$ |
|  | SB | H/day | HGS | Kg | Age residuals, sex + others | $\mathrm{B}=-0.467(-0.807,-0.128)$ | p (calc) $=0.007$ |
| Santos 2012 | MVPA | Min/day | Arm curl | \#/30s | Age, sex, register time | $\mathrm{B}=0.016$ (-0.007, 0.039) | p (calc) $=0.173$ |
|  | SB | Min/day | Arm curl | \#/30s | Age, sex, register time | $\mathrm{B}=-0.010$ (-0.016, -0.004) | p (calc)<0.001 |
|  | MVPA | Min/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Age, sex, register time | $\mathrm{B}=0.035$ ( $0.014,0.055$ ) | p (calc)<0.001 |
|  | SB | Min/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Age, sex, register time | $\mathrm{B}=-0.013(-0.018,-0.008)$ | p (calc)<0.001 |
| Sardinha 2015 | BST | \#/day | Arm curl | \#/30s | Age, sex, BMI, SB + others | $\beta=0.180$ ( $0.039,0.322$ ) | p (calc) $=0.013$ |
|  | BST | \#/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Age, sex, BMI, SB + others | $\beta=0.181(0.045,0.318)$ | p (calc) $=0.797$ |
| Scott 2020 | MVPA | H/day | HGS (low vs. high) | Kg | Sex, BMI, LPA, SB + others | $\mathrm{OR}=0.80(0.71,0.91)$ | p (calc)<0.001 |
|  | LPA | H/day | HGS (low vs. high) | Kg | Sex, BMI, MVPA, SB + others | $\mathrm{OR}=0.99(0.96,1.02)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\text { calc })=0.526$ |
|  | SB | H/day | HGS (low vs. high) | Kg | Sex, BMI, MVPA, LPA + others | OR=1.00 (0.98,1.02) | p (calc) $=1$ |
| $\underline{\text { Scott } 2011}$ | Steps (baseline) | \#/day x $10^{3}$ | $\Delta$ Leg strength | Kg | Age, weight, CVD + others | M: $\mathrm{B}=-0.28(-1.27,0.72)$ | p (calc) $=0.593$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $F: \mathrm{B}=1.06$ (0.31, 1.31) | p (calc)<0.001 |
|  | Steps (habitual) | \#/day x $10^{3}$ | $\Delta$ Leg strength | Kg | Age, weight, CVD + others | M: $\mathrm{B}=-0.21(-1.24,0.82)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $F: \mathrm{B}=1.37(0.57,2.17)$ |  |
| Scott 2009 | Steps | \#/day | Leg strength | Kg | Age | $M: \mathrm{B}=0.86$ (-0.02, 1.74) | $\mathrm{p}=0.056$ |
|  | Steps | \#/day | Leg strength | Kg | Age | $F: \mathrm{B}=071(0.13,1.29)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.016$ |


| Author year | Physical activity and sedentary behavior |  | Muscle strength and muscle power |  | Adjustment | Effect size (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | p-value used for analyses ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reported measure(s) | Units | Reported measure(s) | Units |  |  |  |
| Semanik 2015 | SB | H/day | 45x CST | \#/min | Age, sex, baseline CST + others | $\mathrm{B}=-0.58(-0.92,-0.24)$ | p<0.001 |
| Silva 2019 | MVPA | Min/day | Arm curl | \#/30s | Unadjusted | Rho=0.243 ( $\mathrm{p}=0.027$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.027$ |
|  | LPA | Min/day | Arm curl | \#/30s | Unadjusted | Rho $=-0.069(\mathrm{p}=0.538)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.538$ |
|  | SB | Min/day | Arm curl | \#/30s | Unadjusted | Rho $=0.124$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.264$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.264$ |
|  | MVPA | Min/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | Rho $=0.163$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.142$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.142$ |
|  | LPA | Min/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | Rho $=-0.083$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.458$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.458$ |
|  | SB | Min/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Unadjusted | Rho=0.167 ( $\mathrm{p}=0.131$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.131$ |
| Spartano 2019 | Steps | 1000/day | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, wear time + others | $M$ : $\mathrm{B}=-0.16$ ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.09$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.077$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F; B=0.09 ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.06$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.125$ |
|  | MVPA | Log(min/day) | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, wear time + others | M: $\mathrm{B}=0.058(\mathrm{SE}=0.34)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.090$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $F: \mathrm{B}=0.64(\mathrm{SE}=0.19)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.0008$ |
|  | SB | \% wear time | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, wear time + others | M: $\mathrm{B}=0.09$ ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.05$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.088$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $F: \mathrm{B}=-0.05(\mathrm{SE}=0.04)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.133$ |
|  | Steps | 1000/day | 5x CST | Log(s) | Age, sex, wear time + others | $\mathrm{B}=-0.010$ ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.002$ ) | p<0.0001 |
|  | MVPA | Log(min/day) | 5x CST | Log(s) | Age, sex, wear time + others | $\mathrm{B}=-0.057(\mathrm{SE}=0.006)$ | p<0.0001 |
|  | SB | \% wear time | 5x CST | Log(s) | Age, sex, wear time + others | $\mathrm{B}=0.006$ ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.001$ ) | p<0.0001 |
| Tang 2015 | Activity counts | \#/day | HGS | Kg | SPPB score, 6 min walk test | *B=23022 (-41988, -4055) | $\mathrm{p}=0.02$ |
| Trayers 2014 | Steps (low vs. high) | \#/day | 5x CST (0-4) | Points | Age, sex | *OR=7.2 (3.8, 13.6) | p<0.001 |
|  | Activity counts (low vs. high) | \#/day | 5x CST (0-4) | Points | Age, sex | *OR=5.8 (3.2, 10.8) | p<0.001 |
|  | MVPA (low vs. high) | Min/day | 5x CST (0-4) | Points | Age, sex | *OR=7.8 (4.0, 15.0) | $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ |
| Van Gestel 2012 | Steps | \#/day | HGS | Kg | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.21(-0.03,-0.42)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.19$ |
|  | Steps | \#/day | 60s CST | \#/60s | BMI, partial pressure $\mathrm{O}^{2}, \mathrm{FEV}_{1}$ | *B=155.38 (SE=73.15) $\beta=0.28$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.041$ |
| Van Lummel 2016 | TPA (standing) | Min/day | 5x CST (fast vs. slow) | S | Unadjusted | *Mann-Whitney U (+) ( $\mathrm{p}=0.230$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.230$ |
|  | PA bouts | \#/day | 5x CST (fast vs. slow) | S | Unadjusted | *Mann-Whitney U (+) ( $\mathrm{p}=0.218$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.218$ |
|  | SB bouts | Min/bout/day | 5x CST (fast vs. slow) | S | Unadjusted | *Mann-Whitney U (-) (p=0.042) | $\mathrm{p}=0.042$ |
| Van Oeijen 2020 | $\Delta$ Steps | \#/day | $\Delta$ Lower extremity muscle strength | e Z-score | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{B}=676.279(\mathrm{SE}=186.151)$ | $\mathrm{p}<0.000$ |
| Van Sloten 2011 | Steps | \#/day | HGS (low vs. high) | Kg | Age, sex, BMI, neuropathy, PAD | * $\mathrm{B}=-1782(-3348,-217)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{calc})=0.025$ |


| Author year | Physical activity and sedentary behavior |  | Muscle strength and muscle power |  | Adjustment | Effect size (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | p-value used for analyses ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reported measure(s) | Units | Reported measure(s) | Units |  |  |  |
| Walker 2008 | TPA | \% time/day | KES | N | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.4(0.06,0.55)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.023$ |
| Ward 2014 | Activity counts | \#/min/day | 30s CST | \#/30s | Age, sex, morbidities, body fat | $\beta=0.002(-0.006, ~ 0.009)$ | $\mathrm{p}($ calc $)=0.614$ |
|  | MVPA | Min/week | 30s CST | \#/30s | Age, sex, morbidities | Partial $\mathrm{R}=0.147$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) | $\mathrm{p}($ calc $)=0.067$ |
| Waschiki 2012 | Steps | \#/day | KES | Kg | Age, sex, BMI, study site | $\beta=0.298(p=0.022)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.022$ |
|  | EE (PAL) | None | KES | Kg | Age, sex, BMI, study site | $\beta=0.350(p=0.007)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.007$ |
| Watz 2008 | Steps | \#/day | HGS | Kg | Edu, smoking, alcohol + others | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}$ ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
|  | EE (PAL) | None | HGS | Kg | Edu, smoking, alcohol + others | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{p}>0.05)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
| Westbury 2018 | TPA | Min/day | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, height + others | $\beta=0.16$ (-0.03, 0.34) | $\mathrm{p}=0.09$ |
|  | MVPA | Min/day | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, height + others | $\beta=0.11(-0.09,0.31)$ | $\mathrm{p}=0.27$ |
|  | Accelerations | Mg -force | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, height + others | $\beta=0.12$ (-0.07, 0.30) | $\mathrm{p}=0.23$ |
| Wickerson 2013 | Steps | \#/day | Knee extension torque | Nm | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.51$ ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) | $\mathrm{p}($ calc $)=0.011$ |
|  | MVPA | Min/day | Knee extension torque | Nm | Unadjusted | $\mathrm{R}=0.36$ ( $\mathrm{p}=0.08$ ) | $\mathrm{p}=0.08$ |
| Winberg 2015 | Steps | \#/day | KES | Nm | Age, sex, BMI | * $\mathrm{B}=19$ ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ), $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.18$ | p (calc)<0.001 |
|  | Steps | \#/day | Knee flexion strength | Nm | Age, sex, BMI | * $\mathrm{B}=39(\mathrm{p}<0.01), \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.19$ | p (calc)<0.001 |
| Yamada 2011 | Steps | \#/day | 5x CST | S | Age, sex, gait speed + others | $\beta=-0.147(p<0.01)$ | p (calc)<0.001 |
| Yasunaga 2017 | MVPA | 10min/day | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, morbidities + others | $B=0.092(-0.135,0.318)$ | p (calc) $=0.434$ |
|  | LPA | 10min/day | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, morbidities + others | $\mathrm{B}=0.058$ (-0.024, 0.141) | $\mathrm{p}($ calc $)=0.169$ |
|  | SB | 10min/day | HGS | Kg | Age, sex, morbidities + others | $\mathrm{B}=-0.056(-0.130,0.017)$ | $\mathrm{p}($ calc $)=0.136$ |
| Yoshida 2010 | Steps | \#/day | HGS | Kg | Unadjusted | HFG: Rho=0.137 (p>.05) | $\mathrm{p}($ calc $)=0.301$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | LFG: Rho=0.142 (p>.05) | $\mathrm{p}($ calc $)=0.187$ |
|  | TPA | Min/day | HGS | Kg | Unadjusted | HFG: Rho=-0.091 (p>.05) | p (calc) $=0.493$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | LFG: Rho=0.102 (p>.05) | p (calc) $=0.344$ |
|  | MVPA (MPA) | Min/day | HGS | Kg | Unadjusted | HFG: $\mathrm{Rho}=0.206$ (p>.05) | p (calc) $=0.118$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | LFG: Rho=0.146 (p>.05) | p (calc) $=0.175$ |
|  | LPA | Min/day | HGS | Kg | Unadjusted | HFG: Rho=-0.176 (p>.05) | $\mathrm{p}($ calc $)=0.182$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | LFG: Rho $=0.076$ ( $\mathrm{p}>.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.482$ |
|  | Steps | \#/day | KES | Nm | Unadjusted | $H F G: \text { Rho }=0.277(\mathrm{p}<.05)$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{calc})=0.034$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $L F G: \text { Rho }=-0.018(\mathrm{p}>.05)$ | p (calc) $=0.868$ |
|  | TPA | Min/day | KES | Nm | Unadjusted | HFG: Rho=-0.159 (p>.05) | $\mathrm{p}(\text { calc })=0.229$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | LFG: Rho=-0.034 ( $\mathrm{p}>.05$ ) | $\mathrm{p}($ calc $)=0.753$ |
|  | MVPA (MPA) | Min/day | KES | Nm | Unadjusted | HFG: Rho=0.475 (p<.01) | p (calc) $<0.001$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | LFG: Rho=0.055 ( $\mathrm{p}>.05$ ) | p (calc) $=0.677$ |
|  | LPA | Min/day | KES | Nm | Unadjusted | HFG: Rho=0.028 (p>.05) | p (calc) $=0.833$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | LFG: Rho=-0.045 (p>.05) | $\mathrm{p}($ calc $)=0.611$ |

Table C5 Continued

| Author year | Physical activity and sedentary behavior |  | Muscle strength and muscle power |  | Adjustment | Effect size (95\% CI) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | p-value used for analyses ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reported measure(s) | Units | Reported measure(s) | Units |  |  |  |
| Yuki 2019 | Steps | \#/day | HGS (weakness vs. no weakness) | Kg | Age, sex | *OR=N/R (p>0.05) | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
|  | LPA | Min/day | HGS weakness vs. no weakness) | Kg | Age, sex | *OR=N/R (p>0.05) | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |
|  | MVPA | Min/day | HGS weakness vs. no weakness) | Kg | Age, sex | *OR=N/R (p>0.05) | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R})>0.25$ |

[^1]
[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {i }}$ Faculty of Health Sciences and Sport, University of Stirling, Scotland, United Kingdom

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ If effect sizes were not reported, when possible, the direction of effect was determined as either positive ( + ) when higher PA and lower SB was associated with better muscle strength/power or as negative (-) when associated with worse muscle strength/power. *Stars before effect size coefficient represent the use of muscle strength or muscle power as an independent variable and PA or SB as the dependent variable, all other associations presented describe PA and SB as independent variable and muscle strength and power as the dependent variable.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{p}$-values of associations included in analyses (effect direction heat map and albatross plots) are presented as reported in article, calculated as p (calc) using formulas described in methods, or estimated conservatively as $p(N / R)$ when $p$-value was not reported and could not be calculated (estimation described in methods). Associations with a blank space for p-value were not included as exposure-outcome associations were only represented once per article. If two articles reported the same exposure-outcome (PA/SB muscle strength/power) association in the same population, adjusted data was used based on hierarchy of adjustment models or when adjustment models were the same, the data from the article with a larger sample sized was used and indicated by "author year". Underlined articles have a longitudinal design.
    TPA=total physical activity, MPA=moderate physical activity, VPA=vigorous physical activity, MVPA=moderate to vigorous physical activity, LPA=light physical activity, $\mathrm{SB}=$ sedentary behavior, $\mathrm{EE}=$ energy expenditure, $\mathrm{PAL}=$ physical activity units, $\mathrm{BST}=$ breaks in sedentary time, $\Delta=\mathrm{change}$, MET=metabolic equivalent of tasks, VMU=vector magnitude units, min=minutes, h=hours, $\mathrm{CPM}=$ counts per minutes, \#=number, mg-force=miligrams-force (force of earth gravity acting on one milligram), $\mathrm{SD=standard}$ deviation, $\log =\log$ transformed, $\mathrm{e}=$ natural $\log$, Partial $\mathrm{R}=$ partial correlation, $\mathrm{R}=$ Pearson's correlation, $\mathrm{Rho}=$ Spearman's correlation, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{pb}}=\mathrm{point}$ biserial correlation, $B=$ unstandardized regression coefficient (unstandardized beta), $\beta=$ standardized regression coefficient (standardized beta), $R R=$ relative risk, $O R=o d d s$ ratio, Partial $\eta^{2}=$ partial eta squared, ANOVA=analysis of variance, EMM=estimated marginal means, $T=t-t e s t(t-s t a t i s t i c), ~ Q=q u a r t i l e, ~ p-t r e n d=p$ for trend, HGS= hand grip strength, KES=knee extension strength, $\mathrm{KET}=$ knee extension torque, $\mathrm{CST}=\mathrm{chair}$ stand test, $\mathrm{s}=$ seconds, $\mathrm{x}=$ times (repetitions), \#=number, quad=quadriceps, $\mathrm{kg}=\mathrm{kilogram} \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{newton},, \mathrm{Nm}=\mathrm{newton}-$ meter, $\mathrm{W}=$ watt, $\mathrm{KgF}=$ kilogram-force, KiloW=kilowatt, $\mathrm{KiloN}=$ kilonewton, MVC=maximum voluntary contraction, $1 \mathrm{RM}=$ one repetition maximum, Lbs=pounds, $\max =$ maximum, $/=$ divided by or per, $\Delta=$ change, $\%$ pred $=\%$ predictive, $+/-=$ with or without, $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}=$ not applicable, $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R}=$ not reported, $\mathrm{M}=$ male, $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{female}, \mathrm{HFG}=$ high functioning group, LFG=low functioning group, $\mathrm{BMI}=$ body mass index, $\mathrm{OA}=$ osteoarthritis, $\mathrm{O}^{2}=$ oxygen, $\mathrm{FEV}=$ forced expirator volume in one second in percent of predicted, + others=adjusted for other potential confounders

