



Exploring and explaining participation in local opposition: brown coal mining in Horní Jiřetín

Filip Černoč, Lukáš Lehotský, Petr Ocelík, Jan Osička

**MASARYK
UNIVERSITY
PRESS**

Exploring and explaining participation in local opposition: brown coal mining in Horní Jiřetín

Filip Černocho, Lukáš Lehotský, Petr Ocelík, Jan Osička

Masaryk University Press

Brno 2019



CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0

Reviewed by Jiří Martinec

ISBN 978-80-210-9501-4

<https://doi.org/10.5817/CZ.MUNI.M210-9501-2019>

Table of Contents

Preface.....	10
Acknowledgement.....	11
1. Introduction.....	12
2. The Czech coal mining sector, its issues, and perspectives.....	14
2.1 The history of the Czech coal-mining sector.....	14
2.1.1 From WWII to the Velvet Revolution.....	14
2.1.2 Coal in a liberalized economy.....	15
2.2 The impact of mining on the local population.....	16
2.3 The Territorial Limits on Brown-coal Mining in the North Bohemian Basin.....	17
2.3.1 Conflict over the Limits.....	17
2.3.2 Interests and their representatives.....	18
2.3.2.1 The pro-mining camp.....	18
2.3.2.2 Opponents of mining.....	19
2.3.2.3 The position of the relevant governmental bureaucracy.....	19
2.3.2.4 Political parties and the government.....	20
2.3.3 Internal factors affecting the future of the Limits.....	20
2.3.3.1 The emphasis on nuclear energy.....	21
2.3.3.2 The role of coal in heating.....	21
2.3.3.3 The price of resuming mining at the Czechoslovak Army Mine.....	21
2.3.3.4 The strength of the opposition.....	22
2.4 A regional context – the situation in Germany and Poland.....	22
3. The depiction of coal mining in the media.....	24
3.1.1 Media articles.....	25
3.1.2 Media content.....	28
4. Local Opposition in Relational Perspective.....	35
4.1 Local Opposition: The NIMBY Syndrome and Beyond.....	36
4.2 The Relational Approach: Discursive and Social Structure Layers.....	37
4.3 Social Networks Analysis.....	38
4.4 Discursive and Social Structure Layers of Local Opposition.....	42
4.4.1 Local Opposition and Collective Action Frames.....	42
4.4.2 Local Opposition and Social Networks.....	43
4.5 Anti-Fossil Narratives as Collective Action Frames: A Case Study.....	44
4.5.1 Research Design.....	44
4.5.2 Findings.....	44

4.6 Social Networks as Micro-mobilization Structures: A Case Study	48
4.6.1 Research design.....	48
4.6.2 Findings.....	49
5. Conclusion	51
6. Literature	55

List of Tables

Table 1 – Industrialization in Bohemia (1850–1910) and Czechoslovakia (1950–2000, without Slovakia after 1993) (Kuskova, Gingrich, & Krausmann, 2008).....	14
Table 2 – Reserves at the most impacted mining sites (Melichar, Vojtěch, & Ščasný, 2012).....	17
Table 3 – Hard coal and lignite/brown coal production (IEA, 2017).....	22
Table 4 – Coal power plant construction in Poland (Caldecott et al., 2017).....	23
Table 5 – Corpus statistics: basic annual statistics at the level of articles.....	26
Table 6 – Topics, article frequencies, and general categories.....	29
Table 7 – Topic model results: frequencies aggregated at the level of categories.....	30
Table 8 – Adjacency matrix.....	39
Table 9 – A summary of frames and framing functions.....	47
Table 10 – Long-term Cooperation Network Structural Properties.....	49

List of Figures

Figure 1 – Brown coal production in the Czech Republic, million tons (Zavoral, 2019).....	15
Figure 2 – Mining limits, mines, and affected towns (Česká televize, 2015).....	18
Figure 3 – Corpus statistics: number of articles per year.....	26
Figure 4 – Corpus statistics: article lengths in corpus (outliers are omitted from the visualization).....	27
Figure 5 – Annual media coverage according to absolute frequency.....	27
Table 6 – Topics, article frequencies, and general categories.....	29
Figure 6 – Tagged articles: “the economy,” “the environment,” and the “Limits”.....	31
Figure 7 – Tagged articles: the “economy” and “environment” general categories, as well as the more specific “environment_ecology” topic.....	32
Figure 8 – Tagged articles: “energy” category, “environment” category, “energy_nuclear” topic and “environment_ecology” topic.....	33
Figure 9 – Tagged articles: temporal variation of frequency in categories and selected topics.....	34
Figure 10 – Visualization of adjacency matrix.....	39
Figure 11 – Star configuration.....	41
Figure 12 – Structural similarity (Hanneman & Riddle, 2005).....	41

Preface

This book summarizes a three-year research project on local opposition to coal mining in the Northwestern part of the Czech Republic. The research focused on the relational dimensions of the opposition movement and the political context in which the movement operates. Funding for this project was awarded by the Czech Science Foundation to Masaryk University for the years 2017–2019 (project No. 17-08554Y). The research yielded five peer-reviewed articles that introduced the historical and political context of coal mining in the country (Černochoch & Lehotský, 2019), explored the media discourse around coal in the Czech Republic (Lehotský, 2018; Lehotský, Černochoch, Osička, & Ocelík, 2019) and around its future in the wider Central European region (Osička et al., 2020), and explored the opposition network attributes (Ocelík, Lehotský, & Černochoch, n.d.) as well as the perspectives and discursive positions of the opposition's representatives (Černochoch et al., 2019). The following text recapitulates and expands on these articles.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Mikuláš Černík, Tomáš Diviák, Luisa Fabing, Bohumil Frantál, Tomáš Chabada, Dimitris Christopoulos, Jörg Kemmerzell, Michèle Knodt, Juraj Medzihorský, Jiří Navrátil, Aneta Pastorová, Elena Pavan, Tomáš Vlček, and Maksymilian Zoll for their comments and insights, as well as participants of the Research Seminar at Masaryk University and the 2019 workshop on the EU's climate and energy policy. We would also like to thank COST for funding and organizing the workshop, under COST Action CA17119 and its Working Group 2. The authors also wish to thank the reviewers and editors who provided valuable comments on manuscripts of the presented articles, as well as to Colin Kimbrell and Matt Rees who provided language editing services. Importantly, the authors are also grateful to all the respondents for kindly participating in the study. Finally, the authors would like to thank the Czech Science Foundation for supporting this research and the Department of International Relations and European Studies at FSS MUNI for cultivating an inspiring work environment.

1. Introduction

The ever-growing complexity of modern societies has been accompanied by increasing conflicting interests of different social actors. The phenomenon of local opposition, in which local communities oppose local development proposals of various kinds, starting with toxic storages and ending with shopping malls and parks, represents one example of such conflicts. In this book, we present the results of a three-year research project on local opposition towards coal mining in the Northwestern part of the Czech Republic. There are three main reasons why this research is so relevant at this time. First, there is a substantive dimension of the issue. Better public planning policies – i.e. policies that are more capable of adequately accommodating interested parties and eventually resolving the conflict – depend on better understandings of the phenomenon. Second, there is a normative dimension. Civic participation and deliberation of public issues are cornerstones of liberal democratic societies. Studying this phenomenon can show us what the limits and possibilities of public engagement are in a given context. The autonomy of the academic sphere and independent funding of research are crucial for avoiding conflicts of interests in such an important issue. In this respect, we argue that academic research has an irreplaceable role. Third, there is a theoretical or research-oriented dimension. Research on local opposition is embedded within a broader research agenda concerning the research of collective action more generally (Siegel, 2009), and in our case also research of framing processes (Futrell, 2003), social networks (D. B. Tindall, Cormier, & Diani, 2012), and social movements (Diani & McAdam, 2003).

Local opposition as a complex phenomenon can be studied from various perspectives – be it a stakeholder analysis, local community engagement, environmental justice, or collective and individual participation. In the research presented in this book, we have narrowed down the focus to individual participation in local opposition. In other words, we looked for the factors behind individual decisions to become engaged in collective action, specifically local opposition, and to sustain this commitment over time (Della Porta & Diani, 2006). We argue that a better account of individual participation is crucial for a fuller explanation of the emergence and persistence of local opposition. Socio-geographically, the research focuses on the case of Horní Jiřetín, a town in the Ústí nad Labem region of Czech Republic, which is considered one of the most important instances of local opposition in the Czech Republic in public discourse and has been extensively discussed at the executive level (see Mládek, 2015; Sobotka, 2015; Zeman, 2015). In addition to the completion of the Temelín Nuclear Power Plant (NPP) and the Dukovany NPP, the question of modifying/expanding the “Territorial Limits” of brown-coal mining is arguably the most important energy issue in the Czech Republic (Osička, Zapletalová, Černocho, & Vlček, 2019).

Our approach towards the issue diverges from the rational-choice-inspired “Not In My Back Yard” (NIMBY) perspective on local opposition which had held sway until recently. Building on current discussion (Burningham, Barnett, & Thrush, 2006; Devine-Wright, 2007, 2013; Futrell, 2003; D. B. Tindall et al., 2012), we bring in structural (or more precisely microstructural) and discursive sources of explanation. As such, the ambition of this book is to explore the factors that drive individual participation in local opposition as well as to explain the interactions of these factors and their contributions to civic participation (and consequently emergence of local opposition). Furthermore, we seek to show to what extent these structural and discursive factors contribute to participation in the given case.

The specific main research questions derived from the highlighted objectives of the research are as following:

- 1) What individual, discursive, and structural characteristics are associated with individual participation in local opposition?
- 2) What individual, discursive, and structural characteristics contribute to individual participation in local opposition?
- 3) What is the political context in which this local opposition operates?

The book is structured in the following manner. In Section 2, we present the historical development of the coal mining industry in Czechoslovakia and the Czech Republic, and discuss the main political issues associated with it. Section 3 completes the contextual overview by introducing the media discourse on coal in the country. Section 4 introduces the theoretical and analytical background of the relational approach and presents the results of a social network analysis and a frame analysis of the opposition. Finally, Section 5 summarizes the findings of the research and raises some policy implications.

2. The Czech coal mining sector, its issues, and perspectives

The goal of this section is to provide a short overview of the history of the Czech brown-coal mining sector. We focus on two specific issues. First, we examine how intense industrial activities in the Northern Bohemia region affected, and continue to affect, the socioeconomic position of local residents. Secondly, we give a great deal of attention to the issue of the “Territorial Limits” for coal mining, which were established in 1991 to prevent any further destruction of this beleaguered area. In this section, we use an expanded and updated version of the article “Czech Republic can’t decide its position over coal” (Černocho & Lehotský, 2019)¹.

2.1 The history of the Czech coal-mining sector

Two important periods are presented in this section: the era of the Socialist regime, when coal mining in the region reached its height; and the era of modern Czech Republic, characterized by a slow phasing out of coal mining. Based on this introduction, the section moves on to a discussion of the position of local communities with an emphasis on social and environmental justice.

2.1.1 From WWII to the Velvet Revolution

While the first half of the 20th Century witnessed a gradual transformation of the Czech lands from an agrarian to an industrial state, accelerated by the experiences of the two world wars, it was the Communists’ emphasis on extensive industrialization, a preference for heavy industry, the redistribution of production in the Council for Mutual Economic Assistance (COMECON), as well as stable population growth that drove an unprecedented surge in coal consumption in Czechoslovakia (see Table 1).

Table 1 – Industrialization in Bohemia (1850–1910) and Czechoslovakia (1950–2000, without Slovakia after 1993) (Kuskova, Gingrich, & Krausmann, 2008)

Indicator	Unit	1850	1880	1910	1950	1985	2000
Population density ^a	[cap/km ²]	92	111	135	95	121	123
GDP/cap ^b	[USD/cap]	1,079	1,334	1,990	3,501	8,367	8,630
Domestic energy consumption per capita	[GJ/cap]	39	59	78	121	283	207
Share of biomass in domestic energy consumption	[%]	94	64	51	39	20	18
Agricultural population ^c	[%]	59	49	38	20	12	8
Coal extraction ^a	[t/cap]	0.1	1.3	2.9	3.5	8.3	4.6
Iron production ^d	[kg/cap]	8	13	81	252	975	635

Coal production surged with low-quality brown coal replacing hard coal as a primary energy source. Until the 1980s, the energy consumption of the country increased at a steady rate of 3 % per year, accompanied by costs the toll of increased pollution and the sacrifice of agricultural land for mining (Kuskova et al., 2008).

Vlček et al. distinguished among four periods of the development of the coal sector in Czechoslovakia after the Second World War. During the first period of 1945–1950, the disorganized and underfinanced sector

¹ Text is used with the kind permission of the Coal International journal.

was nationalized by the 'Decree of the President concerning the Nationalization of Mines and some Industrial Enterprises' No. 100/1945 together with other mining and energy companies, iron and steel works, and foundries; altogether, 2,462 companies were brought under state control (Vlček, Jirušek, & Skládanková, 2012).

The second period of 1950–1960 was typified by an emphasis on rapid and extensive industrialization, with the increasing demand for energy covered almost exclusively by coal. Modern coal power plants were also built in this era, including Hodonín, Poříčí II, Opatovice I, Tisová, and Mělník.


Not even seemingly endless coal deposits of the Czechoslovakia were able to satisfy the needs of the economy, and thus diversification processes were launched in the third period, of 1960–1980. Crude oil and its products as well as natural gas supplemented coal in industrial and chemical processes, transportation, and district and household heating. As a result, coal was redirected to the power sector, to industrial heating, and to the production of coke. The relative lack of energy also incentivized some rationing of consumption, with increasing emphasis on energy efficiency and savings. The commissioning of the Tisová, Tušimice I and II, Pruněřov I and II, Ledvice, Počeradý I and II, Mělník II and III, Dětmárovice, and Chvalětice plants also closed out the era of new coal-fired power plant construction in the country. Since then, the only coal-fired plant to complement this ensemble was the rather small Ledvice power plant, commissioned in 2017.

In the first half of the 1980s, during the fourth period of 1980–1990, coal production in the Czechoslovakia peaked. The rest of the decade, however, witnessed a slow decline, which would only accelerate again in the 1990s. The decreasing quality of coal from gradually depleted deposits was compensated by new nuclear sources, while the experiences of oil crises in 1973–1974 and again in 1979 spurred energy efficiency in industry.

2.1.2 Coal in a liberalized economy

Over the course of transformation of the Czech economy since the Velvet Revolution, the coal sector has changed dramatically. The previous emphasis on (unprofitable) heavy industry was abandoned; market principles called for the efficient use of fuels and other means of production, and society started to question the environmentally terrifying way that resources were extracted in the country. As a result, brown-coal production dropped dramatically between 1989 to 2000; from 88.9 million tons to 51.0 million tons, respectively (Zavoral, 2019). The inevitable restructuring of the sector was accompanied by significant financial involvement of the state in the form of social programs for superfluous workers.

Figure 1 – Brown coal production in the Czech Republic, million tons (Zavoral, 2019)



In line with the general emphasis on the privatization of state-owned capital, the mining industry's largest enterprises, specifically Mostecká uhelná (now Sev.en), Sokolovská uhelná, and Severočeské doly, also passed into private ownership. However, the extremely controversial way this transformation took place immediately compromised the reputation of these (newly private) companies, and even their employees came to distrust both the state and the owners (Černochoch, 2019).

The privatization of Mostecká uhelná is an especially concerning case. During a series of unclear financial transactions between undisclosed investors, the government involuntarily lost control over this Czech brown coal company to high-ranking members of its former management. The following court cases, which extended all the way to Switzerland and its local courts (since money from the transactions was deposited there) have continued even today, with limited chances for a transparent and final resolution. The situation of the Sokolovská uhelná is only slightly better. Although the government was able to defend its ownership in the 1990s, Sokolovská uhelná was sold in 2004 to the management of the company for the widely criticized price of 2.6 billion Czech crowns. For comparison, at the same time, an American company (Independent Power) offered over 6 billion crowns, and a Slovak company (Penta) even offered over 7 billion crowns; both companies were, surprisingly and without detailed justification, eliminated by the selection commission from the tender. The last of the enterprises, Severočeské doly, was expected to be sold during an open tender, which had attracted the previously mentioned Penta, as well as The Appian Group and J&T. After the decision of the government to cancel the tender, the mines were ultimately sold directly to the state-owned utility, ČEZ (Černochoch, 2019).

Privatized companies faced multiple challenges in the new century. Gradually suppressed by the combination of national and EU climate and environmental policies, exposure to growing skepticism from society, and depletion of reserves, especially due to the Territorial Limits on Mining (see following sections), owners have fought for every piece of the energy market to survive. Revised scenarios of the 2015 State Energy Concept have projected coal to decrease in importance, with its share decreasing from 52.4 % of Czech energy-resource consumption to a mere 11–17 % in 2040 (VIček et al., 2019). Unless major changes in the country's energy strategy emerge, such as the complete rescinding of the Territorial Limits on the Bílina or the Czechoslovak Army (ČSA) mine, the illustrious days of coal domination will gradually come to a close.

2.2 The impact of mining on the local population

From the perspective of Northern Bohemia, the abundance of this precious mineral has always been both a blessing and a curse. During the Industrial Revolution, coal provided the region with income and jobs, however poor, dangerous, and thankless. With the growing industrialization of the Austrian-Hungarian Empire, this area became one of the pillars of its economy. It was the post-WWII Socialist regime, however, that really changed the situation of the local people *en masse* and interconnected their future with the future of coal mining.

Everything became subordinated to the ever-increasing and uninterrupted flow of coal to industry, with Czechoslovakia seen as the “forge of the socialist camp” (Říha et al., 2011). In line with the emphasis of the communist ideology on “the common worker,” workers in industry were provided with above-standard wages, work-related privileges, early pensions, and social appreciation. But there was also a price to pay. Over 100 municipalities were swallowed up to make room for the continuous mining; over 90,000 people were relocated (Frantál & Nováková, 2014). Even the royal city of Most needed to move. The social fabric of the area was compromised due to the lack of diversity in working opportunities, education, culture, with the consequence of an above-average concentration of people with only a basic education, uneducated people, and ethnic minorities, as well as above-average levels of alcoholism, crime, homelessness, and other negative social issues (Frantál & Nováková, 2014).

The exclusive focus on the coal industry compromised the ability of the region to overcome the structural changes that came after the Velvet Revolution. The drop in production was accompanied with the loss of prestige of the mining professions (and heavy industry as a whole), the flow of money to the local economy subsided, and the underdeveloped educational and business infrastructure was unable to provide a future for the locals. Despite the commissioning of the Temelín Nuclear Power Plant, the production of coal-produced electricity for the Czech Republic's extremely lucrative electricity-export market has continued, a callous form of landscape commodification and social and environmental injustice. In an analysis of the impact of coal production on these trends in affected areas Frantál and Nováková, using data from 2011, identified a significant association between

coal energy production and various population vitality and health indicators, including higher rates of abortions, higher infant mortality, and lower male life expectancy. When they turned to economic indicators, they also found lower employment levels (Frantál & Nováková, 2014). It is obvious that the benefits of coal mining for local people, such as higher earnings for mining workers and higher pensions, have been more than offset by the impact on the regional environment and the society.

2.3 The Territorial Limits on Brown-coal Mining in the North Bohemian Basin

Massive industrialization powered by brown coal wrought large-scale environmental damage in the region of Northern Bohemia. In 1991, the Czech government passed Resolution 444, which introduced the Territorial Limits on Opencast Mining of Brown Coal in Northern Bohemia (henceforth “The Limits”); these were boundaries intended to restrict mining activities and facilitate a coal phase-out. The idea was that the energy industry, provided with about two decades of expected mining, would undergo a coal phase-out by the time the Limits were reached.

Table 2 – Reserves at the most impacted mining sites (Melichar, Vojtěch, & Ščasný, 2012)

Mine	Reserves outside of the Limits (MT)	Company	Estimated phase-out with/without the Limits
Czechoslovak Army	773	Sev.en	2023/beyond 2050
Bílina	100	Severočeské doly	2021/2049

Multiple structural factors, however, undermined these expectations, ultimately turning the Limits into a political battlefield for years to come. The Limits were only established in the form of a governmental resolution, and since the reserves were never legally designated inaccessible by a stronger form of legislation, any new government could repeal them at any time. The wording of these resolutions was also not clear enough, allowing for an interpretation that the Limits would be valid for 15 years after their introduction. With nine hundred million metric tons outside of the Limits at the ČSA mine alone, this coal represents a high-quality, easily accessible, and extremely lucrative asset, located in an area in which all the necessary infrastructure for further mining operations is still available. Since privatization of the industry had yet to come, immediately after the Velvet Revolution the state initiated costly investments in the modernization, desulfurization, and denitrification of the power plants, strengthening the path dependency of the sector. Finally, the Limits were established in a country with a government that had emphasized the security benefits of utilizing domestic sources, and the importance of coal for affordable prices for heat and electricity.

2.3.1 Conflict over the Limits

Considering the above-mentioned factors, conflict about the Limits was virtually unavoidable. Even at the beginning of the 1990s, the coal industry started to emphasize the importance of the restricted brown-coal sources for stable and accessible supplies of electricity and heat. This in turn mobilized opposition against any further mining in the area; ever since, these two conflicting camps have been locked in a dispute about the future of brown coal in the country.

The first serious debate on the governmental level was opened by the Ministry of Industry and Trade (MIT), which included a requirement for a “rational reassessment of the brown-coal mining Limits” in the State Energy Policy of 2004 (Ministry of Industry and Trade, 2004b), but which nevertheless had no direct impact on the legal force of the resolution. The Limits were back on the agenda in 2007–2008, with the MIT proposing their partial rescission at the Bílina mine. The government rejected the proposal, and upheld the status quo by issuing a new governmental resolution in 2008. The decree reaffirmed the Limits at the ČSA mine, while only slightly adjusting the Limit line of the Bílina mine (Government of the Czech Republic, 2008). The subsequent government also pledged to preserve the status quo. However, in 2011, the MIT attempted to update the State Energy Policy of 2004 in such way that revoking the Limits would have been necessary. The pretext was the expected shortage of domestic coal for heat generation (Sacher, 2011). This was in contrast to a previous pledge by the government, and was rejected after the plan was leaked to the public (Greenpeace, 2011).

The decision to deal with the Limits was reopened during the caretaker government of Jiří Rusnok at the wishes of a significant opponent of those Limits: Czech President Miloš Zeman, who cited social reasons and

the importance of the country's energy independence (Czech Press Agency, 2013; Kopecký, 2013). Rusnok's motion did not receive any vote of support in the Chamber of Deputies, since his caretaker government had no political mandate. On top of that, the government was in a lame-duck session at that time, as it had already lost a vote of confidence in the Czech Chamber of Deputies.

The question was brought up again during the government of Bohuslav Sobotka. Ultimately, there was a decision at the end of 2015 overruling the Limits on the Bílina Mine. The surrounding towns agreed with the decision. In exchange, the new Limit was promised to stay at least 500 meters away from populated areas, minimizing the most visible adverse effects, such as noise and dust (Bachorík & Valášková, 2015). Moreover, the decision kept the Limits on the ČSA mine in place, protecting the town of Horní Jiřetín from an ill fate.


2.3.2 Interests and their representatives

The following pages outline the involved stakeholders and their positions on the Limits, in an effort to illustrate the complexity of this issue and its divisive impact on various parts of Czech society.

2.3.2.1 The pro-mining camp

Heading up the side of the opponents of the Limits is, predictably, the Sev.en Corporation (originally Mostecká uhelná, later Czech Coal, since 2013 Sev.en). Sev.en is the owner of the ČSA mine, where the Limits are expected to be reached in 2024, and the Vršany Mine (see Figure 2). The company has openly called for the lifting of the Limits, and has actively negotiated with the residents of Horní Jiřetín and Černice (the villages directly threatened by mining beyond the Limits at the ČSA mine) about compensation for their possible relocation. The second company involved is Severočeské doly, which is owned by ČEZ, a primarily state-owned power utility; it operates another relevant mine, Bílina (again, see Figure 2). Severočeské doly adopted a significantly more restrained position. While acknowledging the essential role of the brown coal behind the Limits for the stability of the domestic energy sector, the company has never actively engaged in activities aimed at rescinding the Limits, at least publicly.

Figure 2 – Mining limits, mines, and affected towns (Česká televize, 2015)



As the excavators came closer to the Limits, the pro-mining camp increased in size and enlisted representatives of heating plants and the umbrella organization that coordinates their activities, the Association for District

Heating (Teplárenské sdružení ČR). Emphasizing the extreme costs of rebuilding of the system to accommodate alternative fuels, its representatives succeeded in creating a publicly accepted narrative of brown coal as the only available source of affordable heat in the Czech Republic.

2.3.2.2 Opponents of mining

Opposition to further mining has been built on both environmental concerns and an attachment to the local area (Černoch et al., 2019). While the activities of numerous nongovernmental organizations, such as Greenpeace or Friends of the Earth, have been driven by the general environmental agenda of local coal-related pollution and coal's contribution to climate change, the most intense resistance has come from the communities in the area. The symbol of this local opposition is the town of Horní Jiřetín. It is in the immediate proximity of the Czechoslovak Army Mine, located on top of the reserves, and lies in the direction of the envisaged expansion of mining activities. If the Limits on the mine were revoked, the town would be razed immediately at the beginning of resumed mining. Unsurprisingly, the local population has always been against the expansion of mining. A local referendum on the expansion of mining activities took place in 2005, with a voter turnout of 75 %; 96 % of the people were against expansion (Občanské sdružení Kořeny, n.d.). Grassroots organizations working against the expansion of coal mining emerged and became active in the local opposition to mining activities. Among the most prominent was Kořeny, a regional organization that has been active in neighboring Litvínov since 2007 (Černík, 2015).

2.3.2.3 The position of the relevant governmental bureaucracy

A significant factor driving the uncertainty surrounding the Territorial Limits concerns the fact that the policies governing coal mining and coal consumption are split among several agencies and regulators, which sometimes leads to incompatibility, overlap, and contradiction. The main policy documents at the national level guiding the particular steps are generally drafted either by the Ministry of Industry and Trade, unswervingly supporting the interests of the industry, or by the Ministry of the Environment (MoE), which generally exerts less vociferous effort to prevent any changes of the Limits.

The MIT is responsible for drafting the country's energy policy, as well as national policy on the utilization of raw minerals. The first conceptual document it issued on the topic, the State Energy Policy, had already stressed the existential importance of energy security. The exploitation of domestic coal resources played a pivotal role in this, as coal is the only abundant domestic source of energy. The 2004 version stressed that exploitation of coal was a high priority (Ministry of Industry and Trade, 2004b). This was echoed in the update of 2010, which called for the "removal of non-systemic administrative barriers to coal exploration, including the Territorial Limits" (Ministry of Industry and Trade, 2010). However, the 2010 update was not approved, and thus never entered into force (Vlček et al., 2019). The 2015 version of the Policy (the "State Energy Concept 2015") was the first to propose a slow and gradual phasing out of coal. However, the document was written in an ambiguous fashion; it also stated that coal was irreplaceable "due to security and economic reasons," and also failed to provide or suggest any specific steps about how to achieve this envisaged reduction in the utilization of coal (Ministry of Industry and Trade, 2015). The Raw Minerals Policy, also prepared by the MIT, called for maintaining the current legal status of the coal reserves located outside the Limits, considering them strategic reserves, and explicitly connected the utilization of coal to the "fulfillment of State Energy Policy and Nuclear Energy Action Plan" (Ministry of Industry and Trade, 2017).

Directly opposing the rescission of the Limits have been the policy documents from the MoE, explicitly designed to reduce emissions of carbon and other pollutants. The most notable of these are the Policy of Climate Protection and the Policy of Air Protection (Ministry of Environment, 2016, 2017), with the single largest source of these pollutants being the combustion of coal. The State Environmental Policy has claimed that it is necessary "to decrease the share of fossil fuels in the production of electricity and heat" and "to increase the efficiency of existing energy sources" (Ministry of Environment, 2016).

This results in competing policy positions, which then translate into individual (and sometimes contradictory) policies. One example of this has been a large-scale, nationwide government program to provide subsidies for the modernization of domestic heating sources. The goal of the program was to combat air pollution generated by the combustion of coal and firewood in areas where no central heating is available, because – and regulators found that safe emission levels had constantly been exceeded (Ministry of Industry and Trade, 2015). Peculiarly, the first call for applications included subsidies for new coal-fired boilers, which were listed among the technologies eligible for the subsidy (Ministry of Environment, 2015). This move supposedly helped with municipal air

quality, but locked in demand for graded coal, which had already been in short supply on the domestic market at the time (VUPEK - ECONOMY s.r.o., 2015). Moreover, such support created a precedent that essentially shut down debate on the option of municipalities to regulate the use of coal in domestic heating.

The same mechanism has operated on a large-scale level. One example of this is the introduction of a new supercritical coal-fired unit at the Ledvice Power Plant in 2014. The unit was built by ČEZ; it has 660 MW of installed capacity and sits right at the edge of the Bílina Mine, operated and fully owned by ČEZ subsidiary Severočeské doly (ČEZ, n.d.). Investments in new plants, as well as state-sponsored support for small-scale coal consumption, created a fuel lock-in. This in turn created pressure to rescind the Limits, which ultimately resulted in the Bílina decision at the end of 2015. Therefore, while the MoE has required a reduction in the share of coal in the energy mix, the state-owned ČEZ has nevertheless procured a new coal-fired unit, and the government subsequently rescinded the Limits to fuel it.

2.3.2.4 Political parties and the government

One of the most important factors that has influenced the question of the Limits has been the inscrutable position of various political parties. This has manifested itself in a lack of resolve to push for a final (irreversible) settlement of the issue, or a roadmap towards one. ANO 2011, currently the strongest party in the Chamber of Deputies and the leader of the governing coalition since 2018, has consistently fought against rescinding the Limits since its establishment. However, it did not block the modification of the Limits at the Bílina Mine in 2015, when it was in the government with the Czech Social Democratic Party (ČSSD) and the Christian and Democratic Union (KDU-ČSL). The Czech Social Democratic Party sponsored the 2015 rescission, despite its previous promises not to (e.g. in its 2010 election platform). The KDU-ČSL even claimed it was in favor of permanent legal measures that would ensure the end of mining. Other parties, like the conservative, pro-business Civic Democratic Party (ODS) or Tradition, Responsibility, Prosperity 09 (TOP 09) have not expressed strong opinions on the issue either. Moreover, there are internal splits present (notably inside the Czech Social Democratic Party) that further hinder the parties' clear positioning. The issue is highly divisive and would many political costs regardless of the direction of the decision. On one side, rescission at the most lucrative ČSA site would require accepting the destruction of homes in Horní Jiřetín and ignoring the stance and the interests of the local inhabitants, who vehemently oppose rescinding the Limits. On the other hand, strengthening the Limits through legislation is traditionally associated with the questionable future of the employees of the mining companies, and is treated with great caution. Since there are few political benefits, political parties tend to avoid taking positions.

There are only two parties within the whole political spectrum – the Green Party and the Communist Party of Bohemia and Moravia (KSČM) – that have strongly supported a final resolution of the Limits' status. The Green Party argues for keeping Limits in place, for legally recognizing them, and changing the legal status of the coal reserves located outside of the Limits. The Czech Communist Party is, on the contrary, a strong proponent of fully rescission of the Limits and the maximum utilization of coal located in Northern Bohemia. Neither of the two parties hold much real power, and even though they are present in the debate, they are not able to affect the direction of the policy too much.

This lack of clarity means the question of rescinding or maintaining the Limits is always contingent on the particular power position and the negotiation clout of parties forming the national government. Thus, ANO 2011 and KDU-ČSL supported rescinding the Limits in 2015, while the “pro-business” ODS kept the Limits in place in 2008 due to a coalition with the Green Party at the time.

As a result of this party division, governments through the years have never really taken any clear stance, with their representatives lacking the will and courage to risk the political consequences of either decision. The significant exceptions to this rule were the three caretaker governments, which actively pushed the issue of continuous mining: the government of Josef Tošovský in 1998, that of Jan Fischer in 2009, and that of Jiří Rusnok in 2013.

2.3.3 Internal factors affecting the future of the Limits

In this section, we discuss those factors at the level of the Czech Republic that would likely affect the future maintenance or rescission of the Limits. We focus on construction of new nuclear plants as a possible substitution for existing coal-fired power plants, on the development in the heating sector, on the financial issues connected to functioning of the ČSA mine, and on the dynamics of the anti-mining camp.

2.3.3.1 The emphasis on nuclear energy

According to the government's narrative, the future of the coal Limits seems to be inseparably linked to the fate of new sources of nuclear power in the Czech Republic. As the Raw Minerals Policy explicitly notes (Ministry of Industry and Trade, 2017), in the future "new nuclear reactors should replace a significant share of existing thermal power plants." Any delay in their construction may thus result in "the utilization of the strategic reserves of coal at the ČSA Mine." This narrative builds on the first imperative of the Ministry of Industry and Trade, which has equated energy security with self-sufficiency, and proponents of nuclear investment have habitually repeated the same line.

And indeed, the delay, or even absence, of any new nuclear-power source is not an implausible scenario. In 2009, in line with the long-term preferences of its main shareholder – the Ministry of Industry and Trade – ČEZ opened up bidding for the construction of two new reactors at the existing nuclear plant at Temelín, with an option for three additional blocks at other facilities. After years of struggle, the tender was canceled in 2014, supposedly due to questionable profitability and hesitation on the part of the government to financially support this investment (Černochoch & Zapletalová, 2015). In light of these developments, the company accepted the reality of the European power market, with its low wholesale prices of electricity, surplus capacity, and expected price volatility, all of which would benefit more flexible generators.

At the end of 2018, the debate gained new momentum, with Prime Minister Andrej Babiš publicly announcing his support for new reactors (Czech Press Agency, 2018); he repeated the move in October 2019, when he claimed it was necessary to develop the new energy resources "...since energy security is our priority" (oEnergetice, 2019). It would nevertheless be premature to accept this move as a conclusive sign of the government's will to support the investment, considering the troubled history of the 2014 tender. In any case, it is obvious that new nuclear plants would considerably decrease the pressure to rescind of the Limits, and vice versa.

2.3.3.2 The role of coal in heating

Despite the progressive decline of the share of solid fuels in energy production, the process has nonetheless been very gradual. The district heating industry and the power industry are still to a large extent reliant on old coal-fired technology, and thus on cheap supplies of coal. When coal was abundant, this was not an issue. However, the competition for coal supplies will increase over time. Potential price hikes, as well as higher price tags on carbon, are likely to put more pressure on companies that depend on reliable coal supplies.

Moreover, there is natural imbalance between the heating and the power industries, with the power industry being much more coal-hungry. In 2017, brown coal generated 42 % of Czech electricity, as well as 42 % of its heat (Energy Regulatory Office, 2018a, 2018b). However, the absolute volume of coal used in power plants is much larger than that used in district heating plants. Available data from 2013 show that power plants consumed 27.62 million metric tons, while 7.77 million metric tons were burned in heating plants that year (VUPEK - ECONOMY s.r.o., 2015).

At the same time, the heating industry is much more limited in its ability to curb production in the case of price hikes. Although the power industry consumes most of the available coal, a great deal of it is used for profit only – exported and sold on European markets in the form of electricity. The heating industry is much more vulnerable, as any shutdown would immediately affect its customers – an inflexibility which is not present in the power industry. Moreover, price hikes incentivize individual customers of heating companies to disconnect from the central heating systems and opt for individual heating systems instead, making the system less efficient, and thus again increasing the price for district heat. At the same time, investment that would allow for fuel switching in heating companies are contingent on economies of scale, which are profoundly threatened by price volatility. The coalition supporting the Limits' rescission, including the government, recognized this, and used the heating industry as a pretext and one of the main arguments for rescission of the Limits at Bílina in 2015 (Ocelík et al., 2019).

Future attempts to rescind the Limits will likely depend on the position of the heating industry on the issue, and of the state to guarantee that coal supplies would remain available to the heating industry; this could be achieved by making it the primary and preferred recipient, even at the expense of the interests of power generation. Such a move is, however, likely to be met with distaste from the power industry.

2.3.3.3 The price of resuming mining at the Czechoslovak Army Mine

The cost of resuming mining operations at the ČSA site is becoming higher and higher as time passes, and thus less likely. Sev.en (formerly Czech Coal) has already reached the Limits on excavation of the overburden, and is proceeding towards the Limits on coal mining itself, which it will reach around year 2023. The overburden

excavators were mothballed at the end of 2016, and have been sitting idle since then (Czech Press Agency, 2016). Rescinding the Limits would not mean an immediate restart of mining in practice, but would only allow the company to start with all the required steps, such as buying out the land and houses in Horní Jiřetín, going through the necessary permitting procedures, such as environmental impact assessments, etc. The company made an estimate regarding the duration of these steps and claimed it would take four to five years (Průcha, 2015). The company would only be allowed to restart mining of the overburden after getting all necessary permits, and it would take a few additional years to unearth new coal reserves. Even if the Limits were rescinded immediately (at the end of 2019), the coal from ČSA would likely run out sooner than mining of overburden would start. Then, the company would have to invest its own resources, which would significantly diminish its income (compare (Pšenička & Mařík, 2012). Czech Coal (Sev.en) was well aware of this fact, and tried to push the government into a decision very early on, with little success. Sev.en could still potentially weather the costs of resumption, since it also operates the Vršany Mine, but as time progresses, the costs would become more and more comparable to starting a mine from scratch at a new site. Moreover, all this would only be possible if irrevocable reclamation steps, such as flooding, could be avoided at ČSA at any cost.

2.3.3.4 The strength of the opposition

The future of the Limits will also be contingent on the strength of the local opposition, which has been trying to provide arguments to maintain the mining Limits. The nationwide narrative portrays the opposition as motivated by “not-in-my-backyard” thinking, and opposition is thought to be based on individual attempts to extract personal gains – a gross misunderstanding of the situation. Recent research has shown that opposition to coal mining is based on entirely different grounds. Among these, the desire to preserve threatened communities (such as Horní Jiřetín) from the destruction wrought by mining has been shown to play an crucial role (Frantál, 2016). Along with that, environmental concerns have played a large role in opposition to further mining of coal, both at the national and the international level. Such profound misunderstanding of the coalmining opposition, present throughout the history of the conflict over the expansion of ČSA, is likely to continue over time. The local population in turn interprets this as further proof of the arrogance of those in power and their ignorance or disregard of the interests of the local population. Further disenchantment stemming from a lack of representation and voice on the local level is likely to mobilize a strong opposition movement, which will be present whenever any hint of change with respect to the Limits emerges.

2.4 A regional context – the situation in Germany and Poland

With the EU committing itself to decarbonization, coal's days seem to be numbered in Europe (Marcacci, 2018). Countries such as Austria, Spain, Denmark, Luxembourg, and the UK have already reduced their coal consumption; in countries where coal still plays a significant role, the situation is more nuanced, as illustrated by the example of Poland, Germany, and the Czech Republic – these three countries alone are responsible for more than half – 57 % – of the EU's total coal consumption (see Table 3). Although they are confronted with climate-related demands from the EU as well as from some of their own populations, coal-restricting policies in these countries are hindered by the fundamental role this fuel plays in the energy mix, their energy security, and the local economy of producing regions. Having already presented the case of the Czech Republic, we may now focus on these other two countries. A brief introduction of their cases should provide us with some context for the development in the Czech Republic itself.

Table 3 – Hard coal and lignite/brown coal production (IEA, 2017)

Country	Hard coal			Lignite/brown coal		
	2015	2016*	Growth	2015	2016*	Growth
Poland	72.7	70.7	-3 %	63.1	60.2	-5 %
Germany	6.6	4.1	-39 %	178.1	171.5	-4 %
Czech Republic	8.7	6.9	-20 %	38.1	38.5	1 %

* Data for 2016 estimated

Poland seems to be continuing along its traditional energy path. The medium-term consumption of coal will be sustained by a stream of newly constructed coal units (see Table 4), and the government has also been active in restructuring the coal-dependent energy sector to face stringent EU environmental regulation and the uncertain economics regarding this fuel. “Coal is the foundation of our energy sector, and we cannot and do not want to abandon it,” emphasized Polish Prime Minister Mateusz Morawiecki in 2017, acknowledging the role coal plays in the energy security of the country and its very identity. In recent months, however, Polish representatives have hesitatingly admitted the possibility of a systemic reduction of coal in the energy mix.

Table 4 – Coal power plant construction in Poland (Caldecott et al., 2017)

Location	Fuel type	Operator	Installed power (MW)	Commissioning date
Kozienice	Hard coal	Energa	1,075	Commissioned in 2017
Jaworzno	Hard coal	Tauron	910	2019
Opole	Hard coal	PGE	1,800	2019
Turów	Lignite	PGE	450	2019
Czeczott	Hard coal	Kompania Węglowa	1,000	2018
Kędzierzyn-Koźle	Hard coal/gas	Zakłady Azotowe Kędzierzyn	165	2019
Ostrołęka C	Hard coal	Energa, Enea	1,000	–

A more radical position has been taken, at least verbally, by Germany. Its self-asserted position as a climate champion has been challenged by the unsatisfactory effect of the Energiewende on the domestic emission of greenhouse gases, with low-carbon electricity from renewable sources only offsetting the low-carbon electricity from decommissioned nuclear power plants, and with coal remaining as the stable cornerstone of the energy sector (Schalk, 2018). To move forward, a multi-stakeholder Coal Commission was established in 2018 to draft a transparent plan and data for phasing out both hard coal and brown coal. Acknowledging the significant opposition of the industry, as well as labor unions and politicians in coal-dependent regions such as Lusatia, and parts of North-Rhine Westphalia (Appun, 2018), and taking into the consideration the concerns about the reliability of energy production, the country seems headed towards a compromise. In this view, coal needs to go, but gradually, with generous compensation and social programs to limit the negative impacts on coal-dependent communities (Amelang et al., 2018).

3. The depiction of coal mining in the media

In this section, we assess how the issue has been presented to the public by the use of a media analysis. The section summarizes results of the research conducted by Lehotský (2018) and results as discussed in the preprint of the article by Lehotský et al. (2019)². We conduct a content analysis of Czech print media between 1996 and 2016. The media analysis results serve as a rough approximation of the discursive representation of coal mining. For that reason, conducting a media content analysis complements the analysis of the historic development of coal mining in the country, and thus further aids in our understanding of the Czech Republic's coal mining policy. Our main focus on media is driven by the fact that the media are often an important source of information for the public (including policymakers), and they cultivate particular narratives about coal. Of course, media provide only a partial picture of the overall system. Of course, there are also other channels through which information flows – from interpersonal networks to social media. Nevertheless, we still find media to be a very appropriate source for the overall nation-wide discourse on the coal mining. The theoretical framework of agenda setting has proven that media outlets retain their influence on the public. For that reason, we are interested in what themes are most pertinent when coal mining is discussed in the media domain.

We built our argument on the very broad theoretical claim that language is important in the way shared meaning is generated and maintained, as well as changed (Davies & Harré, 1990; Fairclough, 1993). Kellner has pointed out that:

“language and communication are integrally embedded in power in an existing social system, they serve interests of domination and manipulation as much as enlightenment and understanding, and they are subject to historically contingent and specific constraints and biases. Hence, on this view, language in contemporary society is functionalized and rationalized; its meanings and uses are socially constructed to serve social interests, including legitimation and domination; and thus, it is never pure and philosophical, universal and transcendent of social conditions... [L]anguage is thus integrally related to power and is the instrument of particular social interests that construct discourses, conventions, and practices, while embedding language and communication in untruth and domination...” (Kellner, 2014, p. 29)

Actors “use words, images, and symbols to strategically craft policy narratives to resonate with the public, relevant stakeholders, and governmental decision makers, with the aim of producing a winning coalition” (Shanahan, Jones, & McBeth, 2011). Different actors, who have different and often conflicting interests, try to affect the way stories are told, focusing on certain aspects of the issue and highlighting certain ideas (Hansen, 2010, p. 31). Fierke called such use of language “strategic” (Fierke, 2002). If certain narratives dominate, they may even establish themselves as a benchmark for the evaluation and comparison of raw facts (Miller & Riechert, 2013; Schmidt, Ivanova, & Schäfer, 2013).

It is media that serve as the arena where language is used to form narratives. Media organizations as actors affect “which ideas get the news coverage and which don't” (Hansen, 2010). This process might be entirely unintended, yet, through its mechanism of operation, certain narratives become more visible, while others become less pronounced or even virtually invisible (Boykoff, 2008). For this reason, media “play a crucial role in framing the scientific, economic, social, and political dimensions through giving voice to some viewpoints while

² The sections of the text are following the manuscript version of the article before the submission to journal (pre-print).

suppressing others, and legitimating certain truth-claims as reasonable and credible” (A. Anderson, 2009). It is in this way that clashes over the meaning of issues unfold live in the public, showing powerful actors, their positions, alliances, and the like (see Leifeld, 2016). Narratives published in media find their respective audiences. This is how perceptions, imagery, and understanding of these topics are constructed and legitimized (Steffek, 2009). The subsequent public support lends legitimacy in furthering actors’ position and legitimizing their interests (Cox & Pezzullo, 2016) in an ever-evolving intersubjective cycle. As such, we cannot separate the media from the exercise of power and power struggles – “controversies and debates are not just “surface phenomena” of political processes but are rather an integral part of power structures and exchange relations” (Schneider & Ollmann, 2013).

More specifically, the theoretical approach of agenda setting is very useful for understanding the mechanism of media impact on consumers through the accessibility of messages (Scheufele & Tewksbury, 2007). This theory claims that media coverage is composed of various issues (“objects”) to be covered. Consumers of media messages tend to see objects’ importance according to the amount of coverage of those objects. The more an object is covered, the more importance it is given by media consumers, creating higher “salience” of the object (see M. E. McCombs, 2004). In the original research of McCombs and Shaw, they hypothesized that media outlets were capable of changing preferences of voters and thus indirectly shaping the outcomes of elections. Consumers can remember and the objects which have been covered the most (M. E. McCombs & Shaw, 1972). Through this mechanism, the theory conceived media as agents who set the agenda and generated salience of objects through the amount of focus given to those objects. Many research undertakings corroborated this proposition of a causal mechanism – both in real-life and experimental settings (M. E. McCombs, 2004). In a later theoretical development, the agenda-setting theory became more nuanced. It was acknowledged that objects in the agenda are not black boxes, but consist of more sub-objects or “attributes”. The salience of attributes may be also increased or decreased in a same agenda-setting mechanism as the salience of objects. The agenda-setting of an object is called “first-order”, while agenda setting at the level of the attributes of an object is called “second-order” (M. E. McCombs, 2004). The theoretical discussion over agenda setting also focuses on the agency of the media in agenda creation. While the original agenda-setting instances do not specifically address the agency of media in the agenda-setting process, Weaver and Elliott (1985) claimed that media had active agency in choosing and presenting topics. In similar research, Elder and Cobb (1984) described this process as “agenda-building.” The media not only decide (potentially unknowingly, or based on unstated biases) what importance should be given to issues by selectively choosing strength of coverage, but make decisions about the issues themselves instead (Weaver & Elliott, 1985).

The goal of this section is to analyze what McCombs called the “content analytic” part of the agenda-setting process (M. McCombs, 2005). In line with Kiousis (2004), we followed the occurrence and frequency of themes appearing in news – a measure of what and how often a particular theme appears (Kiousis, 2004). The section investigates the second-order agenda setting on coal mining – the salience of individual attributes, from which the media composes the object of “coal mining” as such.

3.1.1 Media articles

This section delves into the corpus of all media articles in the Czech press, which were retrieved via full-text search of national print media. Any article containing the phrase “coal mining” published in national print between January 1, 1996, and December 31, 2016 was included. The size of population forming this corpus is 6,122 articles. The lowest annual number of articles is 163 in 1996; the highest number is 462 in 2006. The number of documents and their lengths are included in Table 5. The number of media texts varied greatly over time, with low coverage during the 1990s, and the highest spike in 2006. The coverage development between 1996 and 2016 is depicted in Figure 3. We also visualize the basic variation of article lengths in a per-year boxplot chart in Figure 4. The original corpus of all articles contained 2,994,603 words.

Table 5 – Corpus statistics: basic annual statistics at the level of articles

Year	Articles	Words	Min. length	Max. length	Average length	Median length
1996	163	33,311	13	1,133	204.36	133
1997	172	32,938	27	911	191.5	145.5
1998	207	42,217	16	1,253	203.95	149
1999	244	83,152	18	29,556	340.79	167.5
2000	332	82,490	8	4,987	248.46	173.5
2001	218	51,054	24	1,804	234.19	171.5
2002	272	67,123	10	4,615	246.78	173
2003	252	58,202	18	1,392	230.96	179.5
2004	315	68,426	10	1,421	217.23	168
2005	310	70,696	16	1,157	228.05	195
2006	462	112,415	6	2,310	243.85	184
2007	367	80,170	13	1,925	219.64	187
2008	315	80,806	19	1,630	257.34	194
2009	313	78,481	8	1,264	250.74	196
2010	386	112,157	14	1,975	290.56	219
2011	347	91,167	17	2,225	263.49	218
2012	331	82,196	12	1,391	248.33	211
2013	298	84,891	4	1,770	284.87	217
2014	256	75,486	10	1,449	294.87	225
2015	299	82,469	8	1,957	276.74	216
2016	262	74,942	15	2,060	286.04	227

Figure 3 – Corpus statistics: number of articles per year






Figure 4 – Corpus statistics: article lengths in corpus (outliers are omitted from the visualization)



The corpus may be described from the perspective of individual media outlets. The corpus contains articles from both what may be considered “quality” newspapers, as well as tabloids. The dailies Právo, Hospodářské noviny, Mladá fronta Dnes, Lidové noviny, Haló noviny, Blesk, Sport, Metro, and Aha! are still being published at the time of writing of the book. Slovo, ZN Zemské noviny, Telegraf, Super, Impuls, Práce, and Šíp have been discontinued (Anopress IT, n.d.). However, approximately 90 % of the articles forming the corpus were published in Právo, Hospodářské noviny, Mladá fronta Dnes, Lidové noviny, or Haló noviny, with quality papers Mladá fronta Dnes, Hospodářské noviny and Právo being the first three. Mladá fronta Dnes surpassed any other daily in its coverage by a large margin, publishing far more articles per year than any other daily (35 % of the whole corpus). For more detail, see Figure 5.

Figure 5 – Annual media coverage according to absolute frequency



The goal of content analysis is to uncover meanings and ideas through systematic processing, categorization and interpretation of any communications. This requires extracting observations from the text data (Krippendorff, 2013; Neuendorf, 2017). In order to acquire the attributes of coal mining and their salience, we relied on automated classification of texts. This approach is useful for the exploration of unknown categories that may be contained in the data sets. The categorization algorithm is based on finding regularities and patterns (latent structures) that cannot be observed in just a few documents, but may emerge from large corpuses (Grimmer & Stewart, 2013). We chose the most explored and well-known approach, called topic modeling, and its most widely used model, called Latent Dirichlet Allocation (D. M. Blei, Ng, & Jordan, 2003). It is a fully unsupervised algorithm, which allows word clusters to be discovered. These clusters are called topics, and can be interpreted according to their specific content (D. Blei, Carin, & Dunson, 2010). Topics are useful, since they may be interpreted as issues, events, or themes contained in text data. They emerge based on the occurrence of particular words in articles. These topics that emerge thus mirror the discursive terrain of texts, summarizing the overall content of any given text corpus (Jacobi, van Atteveldt, & Welbers, 2016). Another advantage is that they can be paired with document metadata, such as date, publication, or author.

In this particular instance, we used 50-word clusters, which could provide us with enough granularity, while at the same time providing a sufficient amount of generalization. The most probable words belonging to each cluster were read and interpreted. We then looked for similarities among the word clusters, and grouped these into more general “categories.” After that, we found the most prominent topic in each article (i.e. we assigned a primary “general theme” to the article) and counted the frequencies of articles covering each specific topic. If there were two equally appropriate topics for an article, we classified the article under both topics. Finally, we paired the topic information with the publication year and thus obtained the frequency of topics over time.

3.1.2 Media content

We can start by discussing the results of the word-cluster analysis. The most basic insight – that of which topics actually appear in the corpus – are presented in Table 6, which lists all 50 topics by name, ordered by the frequency of a given topic appearing in an article. The two most frequent topics emphasize the importance of the Territorial Limits. In other words, the two topics related to the Limits were the most frequent topics in the corpus. A topic that was somewhat similar in nature (“mining_frenstat”) occupies third place. It concerns media coverage of a never-implemented project for an underground hard-coal mine in the town of Frenštát in the Beskids. Local opposition to the mining activity prevented the mine from breaking ground. In sum, all three of the first three topics focus on limits on preventing mining. Other major topics captured particular issues – specifically the reclamation of mining territories, miners’ strikes for better working conditions, or tumultuous development regarding the OKD Company. It is also apparent that there were many topics that were only tangentially related to coal mining activities – most notably, these included topics around cultural landmarks and tourism.

Table 6 – Topics, article frequencies, and general categories

Topic	Frequency	Theme
limits_general_decision	319	limits
limits_horni_jiretin	255	limits
mining_frenstat	244	mining
energy_sources	235	energy
politics_elections	234	politics
economy_okd	229	economy
culture_landmarks	217	other
social_miners_strikes	197	other
environment_reclamation	194	environment
city	193	other
culture_general	191	other
energy_nuclear	189	energy
economy_profit	184	economy
mining_kladno	178	mining
economy_investment_eph	172	economy
economy_mus_sd	162	economy
tourism	149	other
energy_heat_prices	148	energy
politics_government_state_energy_policy	139	politics
mining_opposition_activism	138	mining
social_employment	128	other
politics_government_members_operations	128	politics
environment_reclamation_subsidies	127	environment
residual_topic 5	126	residual
politics_ir_world	122	politics
environment_ecology	120	environment
politics_world_war_2	120	politics
mining_general_activity	119	mining
politics_region_usti	113	politics
mining_tragedies	111	mining
culture_vitkovice	107	other
mining_law	103	mining
transport	95	other
economy_production	85	economy
residual_topic 2	85	residual
legal_illegal_activities	77	other
politics_ir_eu	77	politics
economy_regional_growth	76	economy
residual_topic 3	74	residual
city_most_region	68	other
economy_company	65	economy
residual_topic 6	50	residual
economy_subsidies	47	economy
politics_state_general	46	politics
economy_general	40	economy
research_academy_science	40	other
czech_german_relations	37	other
residual_topic 4	13	residual
residual_topic 7	11	residual
residual_topic 1	8	residual

After we aggregated the frequencies from Table 6 into categories, the overall structure of the content of the media articles in the corpus becomes more apparent. Table 7 presents these aggregated results. The issue of Limits becomes less apparent relative to other categories. The importance of economic and political topics becomes very apparent. Not only is there a high number of topics related to the economy, but there are many articles among these topics – altogether, “the economy” is the second-most prevalent general category in the corpus. This also holds true for the articles belonging to topics subsumed under the category of “politics.” These topics generally captured the decision-making process related to coalmining activities, or politics in general (ranging from local to international). Topics capturing the Territorial Limits, energy, and the environment were all approximately similar in their frequency. All three categories were far less frequent than the categories containing economy or politics-focused articles. The “environment” category was the least frequent non-residual category in the corpus. This is true despite the fact that the “environment” category covered both the reclamation of mining territories and the environmental impact of mining. The category “other” is the most prevalent. This is not surprising, as many unrelated but nevertheless important topics fell under category.

Table 7 – Topic model results: frequencies aggregated at the level of categories

Category	Absolute frequency	Relative frequency
other	1,499	23.48 %
economy	1,060	16.60 %
politics	979	15.33 %
mining	893	13.99 %
limits	574	8.99 %
energy	572	8.96 %
environment	441	6.91 %
residual	367	5.75 %
Total	6,385	100.00 %

It is possible to disaggregate the frequencies of articles and categories displayed in Table 6, and reshape them to depict the development of these categories over time. To do so, we used time-series charts, which could display the development of categories, as well as a summarizing boxplot, which could also show the variation of a theme’s coverage by year. The frequencies in all charts are visualized as a relative share of given category on the overall number of articles published in each according year in order to control for the higher overall coverage per year.


The frequency of articles belonging to categories of “the economy,” “Limits” and “the environment” is depicted in Figure 6. The results clearly show that economic and political categories dominate the narratives about coal mining. There has been a noticeable focus on the economic performance of specific companies. Economic coverage was particularly important around the times when tumultuous developments in the coal sector were taking place. We can see more coverage at the end of the 1990s and the early 2000s, around the time that brown and black coal companies were taken over by questionable groups, and when the state sold the remaining shares of OKD and MUS to their respective majority shareholders. Another spike is visible from around the time when OKD started to encounter troubles, and was considering a significant decrease in mining activity and worker layoffs between 2013 and 2014. The focus on economic performance of companies and several associated topics suggest that coal mining is predominantly understood as an economic question.

It is clearly visible that the mining Limits attracted a lot of coverage during periods when decision-makers were debating the question. The conflict over the right to continue mining was well reflected in the media. This issue was and is very polarizing, driving a coalition of regional actors and environmental organizations against the mining companies and the Establishment. This means that in addition to from the clear cleavages, there were also easily identifiable actors with clear positions. Moreover, the destruction of settlements itself warranted media interest. Hence, it would not be surprising if the issue had always been interesting for journalists. 2015 was a point of culmination. That was the year the Ministry of Industry and Trade revoked the Limits at Bílina mine. Before the Ministry overturned the 1991 decision, there had been an escalation of pressure on all sides. Mining companies pursued a maximalist option, including the razing of Horní Jiřetín (see the background section, specifically Figure 2). Local representatives of the surrounding towns fought the decision vigorously together with environmental

organizations, attempting to preserve the status quo. Despite political support from the Establishment, they outsourced expert opinions to external academic and research institutions to achieve higher legitimacy. The media followed this development closely, resulting in the very high coverage of 2015.

The discussion of economy-related topics, as well as the Territorial Limits, share some basic characteristics. They are grounded in journalistic norms, especially their novelty and their shocking nature, which drive the interest of newspapers' readership. Moreover, these issues contain a conflict with clearly delineated sides. As such, it is easy to construct narratives that correspond to the specific media genre; this also follows standard journalistic norms (McQuail, 2010). Berglez suggested that this could be understood as staying within the media logic – attempting to present an issue as a digestible and comprehensible media product, which is then served to the audience (Berglez, 2011).

Figure 6 – Tagged articles: “the economy,” “the environment,” and the “Limits”



A comparison of economic and environmental topics is visible in Figure 7. Unlike Limits-related topics, economic and environmental topics do not suggest any particular pattern. The frequency of economic articles seems to decrease until around 2012, but after that, an increase follows. Environmental articles seem to occur without any particular fluctuation. The figure also clearly depicts the difference in coverage, showing the less frequent occurrence of environmentally-oriented articles. For comparison, the figure also visualizes the frequency of the topic on environmental impacts (topic “environment_ecology” in Table 6). This topic is depicted in bright green. The discrepancy between the overall frequency of environmental topics and the frequency of the topic “environment_ecology” suggests that environmental coverage is predominantly related to direct mitigation of adverse local impacts, specifically the reclamation of mined-out areas. Topics that address the emissions of greenhouse gases from mining, the impact of (coal) emissions on global warming, or similar discussions simply do not emerge. This neglect of the climate change issue is consistent over time. The frequency of the whole environmental category does not increase over time, even at times when important international events (e.g. international summits on climate change mitigation) had taken place. This is true despite the fact that the utilization of coal is a significant source of the Czech CO₂ emissions as well as other pollutants, as pointed out in the introduction.

The absence of discussion about the question of climate change is striking. It contradicts other studies on climate change representation in the media. Jari Lyytimäki has shown that news is mainstreaming the climate change issue. “Mainstreaming” means that the question is being included in many seemingly unrelated domains,

which are consequently framed as climate-related (Lyytimäki, 2011). Angela Oels pointed out that even questions of hard security have been framed as related to climate change. She calls this process the “climatization” of security (Oels, 2012). Moreover, comparative research has shown that media coverage of climate change is loosely proportional to pressure on mitigation efforts (Schmidt et al., 2013). However, this does not seem to be the case of coal mining reporting in the Czech Republic. On the contrary, results show that discussion on coal mining is largely decoupled from the discussion on climate change. The media approach this issue as if coal extraction was a separate domain, having no impact on climate change at all. In line with Olausson’s reasoning (Olausson, 2009), we may hypothesize that this is caused by the discrepancy between the local and national perspectives in which the media usually operate, and the global long-term effects of climate change. The uncertainty over the causes of climate change results in an adaptation of issues as if they were purely national, governed within boundaries of national islands, or in their partial omission (Olausson, 2009). Boykoff and Boykoff argued that journalistic norms, such as the drive for fairness, objectivity, and balance, as well as the requirement for novelty, introduce bias into the media coverage of climate change. They claim that, due to the requirement for balance, climate skeptics are overrepresented in the media discourse in spite of their insignificant position in the scientific and expert discourse (Boykoff & Boykoff, 2004, 2007). In the case of coal mining, we may speculate newspaper editors may perhaps see the inclusion of environmental themes as imbalanced coverage. This question is warranted by some observations that the Czech public discourse is increasingly wary of the environmental movement, and “environmentalism” is perceived as a stigma (see Krajhanzl, 2016).

Figure 7 – Tagged articles: the “economy” and “environment” general categories, as well as the more specific “environment_ ecology” topic





Figure 8 depicts the frequency of articles falling under energy-related topics compared to environmentally-oriented articles. The black line demonstrates all energy-related topics subsumed under the “energy” category. The gold line highlights a single topic – that of nuclear power. There is a surge of energy topics around the year 2000. This might have been caused by the nuclear-related topic. We depicted the topic in the figure, and this observation seems to be corroborated – the “nuclear” topic constitutes a large share of the “energy” category in the year 2000. This might suggest that the upsurge was caused by the discussion of the NPP located at Temelín, which became operational that year. The commissioning of the two blocks of the plant was highly controversial at the time, causing strong negative response from both local environmental groups and Austria, which rallied against the nuclear power plant. Austria had even threatened it would prevent the Czech accession


to the EU if the plant were to become operational (Hloušek, Sychra, & Kořan, 2004). However, there was no spike in the media coverage of the nuclear topic around the time when the Fukushima disaster happened, nor later, when the tender on the Temelín expansion was being negotiated and later broken off by the Czech government; however, there was a surge in the frequency of energy-related articles around 2010 and 2011. This suggests that despite the importance of the issue of viability of nuclear power (Osička & Černocho, 2017), the salience of the nuclear issue became lower within the context of coal mining. It is useful to point out that unlike the discussion on climate change, discussions of energy issues or nuclear power plants is connected to coal mining in the media.

Figure 8 – Tagged articles: “energy” category, “environment” category, “energy_nuclear” topic and “environment_ecology” topic



The variation of coverage in categories over time is captured in the boxplot chart in Figure 9. Spikes in the coverage of the Limits in 2005 and 2015 are clearly visible – these appear as outliers, far exceeding the average coverage. The same can be said in the case of the “energy” category in 2000 and 2011. Spikes in the coverage of nuclear issues are visible in 1999 and 2000 (Temelín NPP). In the case of the purely environmental topic of “ecology,” spikes appear in 2001 and 2002. However, these spikes are misleading, as these outliers are caused by extremely low coverage in the previous figures.

Figure 9 – Tagged articles: temporal variation of frequency in categories and selected topics



It is very interesting that the security framing of energy did not emerge from the data. The missing dimension of energy security in the media agenda contradicts the fact that the State Energy Policy stresses the security dimension. A strong appeal to security appears in the document, which cites the maximization of energy independence among the driving principles of its energy policy (Viček & Černoč, 2013). Since coal is the only commodity widely available in the country, this in turn means the preservation of coalmining activities (Ministry of Industry and Trade, 2015), or as the Raw Minerals Policy suggests, safeguarding the future capability to mine coal domestically (Ministry of Industry and Trade, 2017). In addition to that, the security of the supply of commodities (such as coal or gas) appears very often in the narratives of Czech representatives, as well as within the wider region (Osička, Lehotský, Zapletalová, Černoč, & Dančák, 2018).

4. Local Opposition in Relational Perspective

The development of modern societies is conditioned by ever growing complexity of infrastructure and land-use developments that unequally impact various stakeholders. This generates webs of collaborative as well as conflictual interactions among the stakeholders who struggle to preserve their interests across scales and jurisdictions. The opposition to land-use projects is an integral part of these contested processes where diverse actors, especially local communities and more broadly self-mobilized citizens, oppose local developments of various types, ranging from repositories of nuclear waste, through coal mining sites, to parks (Lake, 1987). The instances of local opposition in energy field have become highly visible at least since the beginnings of 1960s when an anti-nuclear social movement in the United States emerged (Daubert & Moran, 1985). Such contentions actions are, of course, not limited only to particular energy sources and particular types of energy infrastructures but can be observed across them (Ansolabehere & Konisky, 2009). The ongoing energy transition documents that local opposition frequently contests not only fossil fuels projects but also the “safe and clean” renewable energy sources (see Devine-Wright, 2011; Fröhlingsdorf, 2011).

Local opposition thus soon became a burgeoning research area especially in field of environmental sociology (see Devine-Wright, 2005; Ocelík, Osička, Zapletalová, Černochoch, & Dančák, 2017) where it has been initially understood as a set of protectionist attitudes among local residents toward, often socially beneficial, site-specific projects (Burningham et al., 2006), a description labeled as the so called “not-in-my-backyard” (NIMBY) syndrome or framework. An influential definition of the NIMBY was coined by Michael Dear: “*NIMBY refers to the protectionist attitudes of and oppositional tactics adopted by community groups facing an unwelcome development in their neighborhood.*” (Dear, 1992).

Nevertheless, such view suffers from significant analytical, normative, as well as practical limitations and has been shown as empirically unsupported (Bell, Gray, & Haggett, 2005; Burningham et al., 2006; Devine-Wright, 2007; Gibson, 2005; Wolsink, 2000). Better understanding of the phenomena is thus required in order to effectively engage with all three dimensions. More specifically, it is argued that the NIMBY framework is *analytically* insufficient since it does not account for social as well as discourse structures which are considered crucial for mobilization and participation processes (see Diani, 2003a). Second, it places a *normative* burden on the opposition actors by *a priori* assumptions of the public vs. private (local) interest’s distinction and the agency based on a self-interested instrumental rationality. Third, resulting distorted image of local opposition does not allow to design policies that would facilitate efficient and legitimate negotiations of the stakeholders and, eventually, *practical* implementation of the project. All these reasons make the study of local opposition relevant not only to policy making, but also to a broader process of stakeholder management.

This section is organized as follows. It starts with discussion on the concept of local opposition that juxtaposes the NIMBY framework and the *relational approach* with a focus on the *social structure* and *discourse structure* layers of the local opposition. Special emphasis is paid to providing a comprehensive description of the core assumptions and concepts of Social Network Analysis (section 4.2.1). The section summarizes and contextualizes findings from the case studies based on the articles *Beyond our Backyard: Social Networks, Differential Participation, and (Local) Opposition to Coal Mining* (Ocelík et al., n.d.) in section 4.2 and *Anti-fossil frames: Examining narratives of the opposition to brown coal mining in the Czech Republic* (Černochoch et al., 2019) in section 4.3.

4.1 Local Opposition: The NIMBY Syndrome and Beyond

The beginnings of systematic examination of local opposition are closely linked to the NIMBY framework. It assumes a situation in which local residents accept a project or technology in general but they tend to oppose it when a particular project is to be sited in their proximity (Černocho et al., 2019). Another variant of this reasoning replaces the local residents' general acceptance of a project or technology *with general public acceptance* which is, however, opposed by the residents (van der Horst, 2007). Bell et al. (2005) elaborate this distinction through concepts of a *social gap*, the former, and *individual gap*, the latter (Bell et al., 2005).

The two types of explanations are provided here: *collective action problem* and *limited participation problem* (Bell et al., 2005; Černocho et al., 2019). A *collective action problem* refers to a situation where individual-based incentives prevent cooperation on socially optimal outcome (Olson, 1971). In line with the argument, the local opposition results from individually rational calculations where expected individual costs exceed expected individual benefits which leads to a collective (social) loss. Free-riding is a specific case of such problem where individual consumers are not willing to share the costs of the supplied commodity (for discussion, see Feldman & Turner, 2014). Following this reasoning, although the coal mining expansion might be socially desirable outcome that produces public good, local residents, as rational individuals, are incentivized to free-ride.

The *limited participation problem* assumes that local opposition is driven by a dysfunctional decision-making process. Bell et al. (2005) further differentiate two specific path-ways. First, *democratic deficit* pathway assumes that whereas majority of a public supports the project, the decision-making is targeted and blocked by an organized minority of highly motivated opponents. Second, *qualified agreement* pathway then assumes that it is difficult to reach a majority acceptance if reservations and modifications that address potential harmful impacts of the project are not considered and included (Bell et al., 2005). Besides, Schively (2007) differentiates the NIMBYs based on their impacts into two types. First type is defined by concerns about living quality and property value impacts, second type refers to concerns related to environmental and health impacts (Schively, 2007). Although Bell et al. (2005) formulate these pathways as alternative explanations to collective action problem, they admit that these arguments can be used also as tactical maneuvers to cover opponents' self-interested motivations. Likewise Wolsink (2000) argues that the NIMBY results from a combination of "positive attitudes", such as a perceived visual quality of the project, and egoistic incentives (for a comprehensive overview, see Ocelík, 2015).

A third approach, contrary to the previous ones emphasizes *affective drivers* of local opposition and explicitly involves normative reasoning. Badera and Kocoń (2015), for instance, argue that local elites use concept of *moral panic* as a mobilization tool. A moral panic unreasonably and exaggeratedly portrays particular events or social actors as threats to societal values and interests (Soin, 2011 in Badera & Kocoń, 2015). This consequently leads to major socioeconomic and even political losses (Badera & Kocoń, 2015).

The abovementioned can be summarized into two characteristics that are common to most, if not all, of the NIMBY approaches: a largely implicit *normative appeal* that delegitimizes local opposition and assumption of a *homogeneity of independent individuals* (Černocho et al., 2019). The former refers to the "descriptions" of the local opposition that range from freeriding rational actors or a self-interested minority that pushes forward its interests at the expense of "silence majority", through ignorant and irrational individuals to over-emotional and anti-progressive forces that sometimes threaten not only local developments but even democratic governance as such (Burningham et al., 2006; Černocho et al., 2019; Gibson, 2005; Ocelík et al., 2017; Schively, 2007). Thus, the NIMBY can be effectively used to delegitimize and stigmatize local opposition. In response, Schively (2007) interestingly points at the potential re-framing of the NIMBY label which makes a connection to participatory and inclusive models of governance (see Ocelík et al., 2017) where engagement of self-organized citizens, local communities, or marginalized groups empowers them against the competing interests of more powerful actors (see Gibson, 2005). Gibson (2005) then criticizes a deep-rooted practice where project investors are typically depicted as "reasonable" actors promoting "public interest" and, at the same time, argues that emotional articulation of local opposition's arguments does not prevent them to represent the public interest.

Despite the conceptual vagueness of the NIMBY framework, it is possible to identify an underlying idea that defines the nature of its agency. More specifically, the different NIMBY explanations rest on assumption of a *homogeneity of independent individuals* who follow a *singular logic of action*. As argued by Černocho et al. (Černocho et al., 2019), in a narrow sense of rational choice theory, it corresponds with the *utilitarian* notion of *homo economicus* where actors' actions result from their cost-benefit calculations. Besides, there can be other, non-utilitarian, types of incentives that guide actors' actions including *cognitive-based*, such as a lack of

knowledge (Bell et al., 2005) and distorted perception of risks (Kraft & Clary, 1991), and *affective-based*, such as irrational fear and moral panic (Badera & Kocoń, 2015), incentives (Černocho et al., 2019). Importantly, the NIMBY framework neglects actors' interdependencies in terms of their embeddedness both within the social network structures (Ocelík et al., n.d.) and prevailing frames (see Ocelík et al., 2017) of the contested projects which, in effect, challenges also *spatial* homogeneity of the local opposition.

The NIMBY framework criticism stipulated emergence of wide variety of approaches challenging its core assumptions or/and focusing on omitted factors that are hypothesized to influence local opposition and public acceptance dynamics. In this context, Devine-Wright offers a comprehensive overview of the debate where he recognizes (1) *sociodemographic*, (2) *sociopsychological*, and (3) *contextual* types of factors (Devine-Wright, 2007). The first two types, sociodemographic and sociopsychological factors, are based on explanations where participation is driven by *individually-held* attitudes. As for the former, these include age, gender, education, and socioeconomic status. In general, sociodemographic characteristics are considered to be important drivers of protest participation, with young to middle-aged men being the most prone to participate (Van Stekelenburg, Klandermans, & van Dijk, 2009). As for the latter, participation is assumed to be driven by *degree of awareness and understanding* (how much individuals know about the project), *political beliefs* (traditional right-left socioeconomic cleavage), *environmental beliefs and concern*, *place attachment* (a positive emotional link to a familiar location), and *perceived fairness* of the decision-making process and *level of trust* among stakeholders (Devine-Wright, 2007).

Devine-Wright (2007) argues that empirical studies typically indicate complex interactions among several of the factors and a substantial case context-dependence of the results. This contradicts a single logic of the NIMBY explanations and, more importantly, points at the *contextual factors* which further gain on relevance if the so-called *value-action gap* (Blake, 1999), i.e. a situation where actors' actions do not correlate with their values, is considered. Contextual factors then include *technological factors* that reflect nature and type of contested technologies or projects, *institutional factors* ranging from formal ownership structures to less formalized or informal cost distribution, mobilization and participation processes, and *spatial factors* that reflect regional and local specifics as well as include the "NIMBY variable" or spatial proximity (Devine-Wright, 2007). In similar fashion, Kemp (Burningham et al., 2006) stresses that interplay of contextual factors promotes particular forms of reasoning and participation in local opposition.

4.2 The Relational Approach: Discursive and Social Structure Layers

This is a departure point for the two presented case studies (sections 4.3 and 4.4) that further explore importance of relational structures, specifically frames and social networks. Before that, meta-theoretical framework grounded in *social constructivism* and *relational perspective* is introduced.

The *social constructivism* posits that social world primarily consist of and is driven by immaterial objects – ideas, and as such rests on idealist ontology. The societies are being structured through processes of social construction where social and institutional facts, such as gender roles or political institutions, emerge, reproduce, change, and decline based on shared (intersubjective) understandings. These structures shape actors' identities that allow them to orient themselves and interact with others, while are being, at the same time, instantiated as emergent products of actors' routinized interactions (Searle, 1997). In this perspective, the social reality is intelligible to us through durable patterns of different types of interactions such as *social ties* or *conversations* that constitute the central staff of social life (Tilly & Tarrow, 2007).

The importance of *mutually constitutive relations* between the actors and structure is further underlined by the *relational perspective* (Emirbayer, 1997). Such perspective seeks processual understanding in which outcome, actors, and relations are endogenous (Abbott, 2007). In other words, it refuses methodological individualism which assumes that social reality results from multiplicity of interactions of, more or less, independent actors with particular fixed properties. Relation perspective, in contrast, argues that individual actions of the actors are enabled only within a particular transactional context, i.e. structure of relations that is constitutive to social reality (Emirbayer, 1997). For instance, power is not understood as an individually-held attribute of specific actor, but rather as *position* within a specific configuration of relations that actor may take (for more, see Ocelík, 2015). The focus is further on *discursive* and *social structure* layers. The former forms around broad topics through institutionalized uses of language by multiplicity of actors (Davies & Harré, 1990), the latter emerges from localized and, more or less, stable actors' interactions (Lusher, Koskinen, & Robins, 2012).

As Fischer and Forester argue, the discursive layer is made of conversations of diverse actors that struggle to set “*the criteria of social classification, the boundaries of problem categories, the intersubjective interpretation of common experiences, the conceptual framing of problems, and the definitions of ideas that guide the ways people create the shared meanings which motivate them to act.*” (Fischer et al., 1993) Hence, dominant discourses define what is considered to be true and accordingly (de)legitimize related institutional arrangements (Hajer, 1997). Social actors thus often use language *strategically* to (re)frame particular issues in a way that promotes their interests and thereby compete to establish a dominant discourse that would close the controversy in their favor (Černoch et al., 2019). Frames are then understood as share “*interpretative schemata*” used by actors to “*simplify and condense the ‘world out there’*” (Snow & Benford, 1992) by highlighting particular parts of reality while suppressing others. Framing is thus essential also for mobilization and participation processes, where “*collective action frames*” provide “*sets of beliefs ... that inspire and legitimate the activities and campaigns*” (Benford & Snow, 2000). Since actors, typically, cannot establish a dominant discourse on their own, they tend to form coalitions around a particular shared set of ideas (Hajer, 1993). Hajer (1993) coined a concept of *discourse coalition* defined as a “*group of actors who share a social construct*”. These assumptions are further explored in the section 4.2.3.

The social structure layer consists of patterns of various kinds of relations, such as professional or friendship relationships, affective ties or organizational affiliations, among various actors (Wellman & Berkowitz, 1997) – or, in other words, it is constituted by different types of social networks. In this context, Lusher et al. (2012) formulate assumptions underlying social networks formation. First, social networks *emerge through actors’ local interactions* such as information exchange among particular individuals. Second, network ties are organized by endogenous and exogeneous mechanisms. The former refers to *network-self organization* processes where likelihood of the presence (or absence) of the tie might be solely dependent on presence (or absence) of other ties. For instance, reciprocity mechanism assumes that if actor A sends information to actor B it creates an incentive for actor B to send information to actor A regardless of the actors’ individual attributes. The latter refers to *external processes* which influence tie formation within the network. For instance, homophily mechanism assumes that there is an increased likelihood of the tie formation between a pair of actors who share particular property (such as political affiliation). Third, the abovementioned allows to assume that social networks typically emerge through *multiple operating processes* – for example, reciprocity and homophily can reinforce each other. Fourth, the *observed patterns*, such as number of reciprocated ties, within the networks can be seen as evidence of these ongoing processes. Five, *social networks are structured, yet stochastic*; meaning that randomness is involved in all tie formation processes (Lusher et al., 2012).

In the context of collective action, social networks can be seen as complex structures that facilitate and/or constrain the mobilization and participation processes of various actors engaged in (local) opposition (Ocelík et al., n.d.). Thus, in line with the relational perspective, the network properties, i.e. specific patterns of relationships (such as clustering of the network), are considered to influence actors’ actions typically more than their individual attributes (such as age or gender). These assumptions are further explored in the following section.

4.3 Social Networks Analysis

Social network analysis (SNA) is a methodological framework (Wasserman & Faust, 1994) which rests on a meta-theoretical assumption that social world is relationally organized (see Wellman & Berkowitz, 1997). Social reality emerges from multiplicity of relations among mutually unreducible phenomena (*relata*) (Schneider, 2015). Thus, examination of relational mechanisms and their effects in particular empirical contexts where they operate constitutes the core of SNA research (Knoke & Yang, 2008). Knoke and Yang (2008) formulate three foundational assumptions of SNA. *First*, observed behavior is shaped *primarily* by structural relations. Network self-organization, such as triadic closure (*a friend-of-my-friend-is-my-friend*), thus typically overweight importance of the actors’ individual attributes such as gender or ideology. *Second*, network structures influence perceptions, beliefs, and actions through multiple mechanisms that are socially constructed by interactions among actors. Actors are thus being influenced through their embeddedness within the network structures – or, in other words, by changes in others’ actions. *Third*, structural relations evolve over time through actors’ interactions and networks thus cannot be considered as static. The triadic closure is an instance of such processes where an actor closes the triangles by linking its neighbors’ connections. This mechanism is assumed to produce cohesive subgroups which enhance social trust and control (Knoke & Yang, 2008). Network structures can be approached

by formalized as well as interpretative research strategies (Domínguez & Hollstein, 2014). As Carrington (2014) argues, social network analysis is “neither quantitative nor qualitative, nor a combination of the two, rather it is structural” (in the abovementioned sense). This section focuses on quantitative SNA.

Social network is operationally defined as a structure that consists of (at least one) set of nodes (social actors) and (at least one) set of relations among the nodes (Wasserman & Faust, 1994). A graph is mathematical representation of the network. There are various types of networks whose definitions differ based on properties of ties (orientation, weight, and valence), number of sets of nodes, number of sets of ties, constraints that define how ties can be defined, and other parameters.


This section covers basic concepts and measures for *undirected* and *directed binary unipartite networks*; i.e. networks that consist of a one set of nodes and one set of unweighted ties. A common way how to mathematically conceptualize unipartite networks is using *adjacency matrices* (see Table 8). The rows and columns of the adjacency matrix represent nodes and a cell value in row *i* and column *j* represents a tie from node *i* to node *j*. For unweighted networks represented by matrix *M* holds that $m_{ij} = 1$, if a tie from node *i* to node *j* is present, and $m_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. Typically, self-loops or reflexive ties (ties from nodes to themselves) are not allowed; for instance, reflexive cooperation ties are not meaningful. In this case, all diagonal values are by definition set to a zero. For undirected networks, a matrix upper triangle and lower triangle are identical, the matrix is thus symmetric. By convention, rows represent outgoing (or sending) ties, whereas columns represent incoming (or receiving ties) in directed networks (2018).

The matrices as used for network visual representations (see Figure 10 as visualization of Table 8) as well as calculation of network measures (Borgatti et al., 2018). Network visualizations can be useful, although rather complementary, tools for exploration of a network structure. Nevertheless, visualizations might be also misleading especially for larger and more complex networks. In general, it is thus not advised to make interpretations only based on them.

Table 8 – Adjacency matrix

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
A	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
B	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1
C	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
D	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
E	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0
F	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
G	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
H	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

Figure 10 – Visualization of adjacency matrix



A network topology (network shape) is described by two main analytical categories: *connectivity* and *centrality*. The move along a tie between two nodes is called a step. A walk is any sequence of steps. A path is a sequence of steps where each node and each tie can occur just once. A shortest path (or geodesic) is a path with a least number of steps. An undirect tie is called an edge, a directed tie is called an arc. (Wasserman & Faust, 1994).

Connectivity measures describe interconnectedness of the whole networks. Fundamental measure of connectivity (or cohesion) is network *density*. Density is the number of observed ties divided by a maximum number of ties possible in a network of such size (given by number of nodes). The possible number of ties is given by a total number of node pairs in the network defined by $n \times (n - 1)$, where n represents the number of nodes, for ordered pairs (directed networks), respectively $n \times (n - 1) / 2$ for unordered pairs (undirected networks). For more details see Wasserman and Faust (1994).


Connectedness (sometimes called Krackhardt's connectedness) is defined as the proportion of pairs of nodes that can reach one other by any number of steps – thus, they have to be directly (1 step) or indirectly (2 and more steps) connected (Krackhardt, 1994). As Borgatti et al. (2018) show, 1 minus connectedness complementary represents network fragmentation which can be used to evaluate changes in the network after an intervention or external event.

Average local clustering coefficient is a popular measure of clustering in the network. It firstly measures embeddedness of individual nodes by calculating the density of their neighborhood (adjacent nodes). The average value for all nodes in the network is then a whole network measure (Watts & Strogatz, 1998). Reciprocity is defined as the proportion of reciprocated ties (typically multiplied by two since reciprocated tie consists of two arcs) to the number of reciprocated and non-reciprocated (asymmetrical) ties. Likewise, triadic closure or transitivity is measured as the proportion of the closed triangles to the number of closed and opened triangles. Nevertheless, there are different measurements of reciprocity and transitivity, for more information see Wasserman & Faust (1994).

Centrality measures are local measures that focus on position of individual nodes within a network. *Centralization* measures are global measures that describe whole network properties based on distribution of individual centrality measures' scores (Wasserman & Faust, 1994). The concept of centrality builds on an idea that some nodes are due to their position more important for the overall connectivity of a network than the others. Freeman (Freeman, 1978) codified three basic measures of centrality: *degree*, *closeness*, and *betweenness*. Interpretations of centrality scores, same as other network measures, depend on nature of the network ties. *Degree* centrality is defined simply as the number of ties that a node has. For undirected network represented by a matrix M , it is sum of row or column values. For directed network, indegree and outdegree centrality scores are distinguished. The former is equal to a sum of column values, the latter to a sum of row values of the underlying matrix. *Closeness* centrality measures average shortest distance of a given node to all other nodes. More specifically, it is calculated as the reciprocal of the sum of the shortest paths between the node and all other nodes in the network. Closeness is typically interpreted as a potential for efficient diffusion. *Betweenness* centrality measures how many times a given node lies upon a shortest path between any other two nodes. This number is then divided by a total number of shortest paths between all other nodes. Betweenness is typically interpreted as a potential for brokerage and flow control within the network. For directed networks, closeness and betweenness centrality are applied to their symmetrized matrices, i.e. underlying connected graphs. All three centrality measures are typically normalized by accounting for a size of the network which allows for comparisons across different networks (for more details see Wasserman & Faust, 1994).


Centralization measures the proportion of the observed variance of the centrality scores to a maximum possible variance of the scores within the network (for more details see Wasserman & Faust, 1994). High centralization, i.e. a situation where a few nodes have high centrality scores, is typically interpreted as indication of hierarchy and vice versa. A star configuration is an ideal type of such network that exhibits maximum possible variance in centrality scores (see Figure 11) and its degree, closeness, as well as betweenness centralization thus equals 1 (theoretical maximum). Another way how to explore network centralization is to plot centrality distributions – especially degree distribution is used as an indication of particular network properties. For instance, free-scale networks are extremely centralized since their degree distribution follows a power law (Barabási & Albert, 1999).

Figure 11 – Star configuration



Positional analysis then looks for similarities between actors based on their position and offers various methods for their classification. It rests on idea that nodes which are connected to others through same patterns of ties are *structurally similar* and that such actors exhibit similarities in their behavior (see Burt, 1976). Note that this is different from the cohesion-based measures that identify groups of *mutually* interconnected nodes. There are different ways how to measure structural similarity (see Borgatti et al., 2018); one of the most used measures is Euclidean distance. *Euclidean distance* of a pair of nodes is given as the root square of the sum of the squared distances between them (Wasserman & Faust, 1994); if its value is a zero, then it means that the nodes are connected exactly the same way to the rest of the network or, in other words, they are *structurally equivalent*. This is the case of the pairs {E, F} and {H, I} in Figure 12. B and D are in *structurally automorphic* position since switching them would not change distribution of distances in the network. Lastly, nodes {A}, {B, C, D}, and {E, F, G, H, I} are similarly tied to the rest of the network and are thus in *structurally regular* position (Hanneman & Riddle, 2005).

Figure 12 – Structural similarity (Hanneman & Riddle, 2005)



4.4 Discursive and Social Structure Layers of Local Opposition

As argued above, local opposition can be seen as relational phenomenon where actors actions are interdependent since they take into account how much others contributed to a collective action (Oliver, Marwell, & Teixeira, 1985). This is consistent with understanding of collective action as “*collectivities of individuals working together to realize a common goal usually through relatively noninstitutionalized means*” (D. Tindall, Robinson, & Stoddart, 2014). Frames (Benford & Snow, 2000) as well as inter-personal and inter-organizational networks then can be seen as structures that enable or constrain of the collective identities and that dis/incentivize mobilization and participation (Della Porta, Diani, & Tindall, 2015; Diani & McAdam, 2003).

4.4.1 Local Opposition and Collective Action Frames

At the discursive layer, opposition is seen as a product of rather complex interactions, where language and discourse plays an important (even crucial) role (Hajer, 1995). The opposition is to a large extent formed by the shared narratives, as well as ongoing “struggles over meanings, identities and interests” (Della Porta & Piazza, 2007). It is the particular aspects of narratives, which matter the most. Here, we turn to concept of frames and framing, introduced by Erving Goffman. Frames are constructed interpretive regimes, upon which one distinguishes actions of others. He terms such interpretive regime a “primary framework”, which “allows its user to locate, perceive, identify, and label a seemingly infinite number of concrete occurrences defined in its terms”. Primary frameworks may be natural and social. While natural frameworks are products of brute facts, social frameworks are given and negotiated culturally. This framing is occurring mostly unintentionally (Goffman, 1974). Even though Goffman provides general sociological theory of framing, he points out that framing may be well present in the domain of verbal expression and framing mechanism may be traced via textual or verbal sources (Goffman, 1974). Tuchman cites Goffman, pointing to the fact that frames are important as they provide a scheme in otherwise fragmentary items of information or experience (Tuchman, 1978). Frames help to “make sense of new experiences, relating them to familiar assumptions about the way the world works” (Cox & Pezzullo, 2016). Gamson and Modigliani perceive frames as “organizing principles”. They contain specific symbols, which suggest “positions in shorthand” (Gamson & Modigliani, 1989).

One of the most often cited definitions of framing comes from Entman, who attempted to define framing in the media research and offer a more conceptually clear representation of framing (Entman, 1993). According to him, “[t]o frame is to select some aspects of a perceived reality and make them more salient in a communicating text, in such a way as to promote a particular problem definition, causal interpretation, moral evaluation, and/or treatment recommendation” (Entman, 1993). This means actors use language in a well calculated and strategic way in order to swing and shape the issue at hand in line with interests actors hold (Snow & Benford, 1992).

Research of role of frames was utilized in various types of actors, who communicate their positions. Apart from the media domain (Entman, 2007; Scheufele & Tewksbury, 2007), framing was utilized also in research of policy formation and policy actors (see van Hulst & Yanow, 2014) and in research of social movements (Snow & Benford, 1988). The last domain is of high relevance for our research. For example, Usher investigated how local groups in United Kingdom frame their own opposition to coal mining (Usher, 2013), showing a competing frames over the impact of coal extraction on the local community. Lis and Stankewicz showed how dominant players successfully framed opposition to shale gas mining as incompetent, which warranted exclusion of the opposition from the policy process (Lis & Stankewicz, 2017).

Frames in social movements provide simplifications which allow construction of meaning – “people come to embrace a particular version of reality” (Futrell, 2003) through frames. The activity is pertinent to groups as such, within which “collective framing processes” lead to frame construction (Futrell, 2003). From the social movement perspective, frames act as “sets of beliefs... that inspire and legitimate the activities and campaigns” (Benford & Snow, 2000) and thus act as means of mobilization of groups, which embrace particular framing of the situation. Snow and Benford argue that frames fulfill diagnostic, prognostic, and motivational functions (Snow & Benford, 1988).

The “diagnostic” function is manifested as the definition of the problem. Causal agents who created the problem are identified, as well as blame and responsibility for the problem is attributed. The “prognostic” function is manifested when members of social movements propose solutions to the identified problem. Strategies, tactics and targets are specified and suggested, distinguishing which actions are legitimate and desired and which actions should be avoided. The “motivational” function of the frame is manifested through justifications of necessity of an action, providing impetus for participation (Benford & Snow, 2000; Snow & Benford, 1988).

4.4.2 Local Opposition and Social Networks

Although the relevance of social networks is well-recognized in literatures on collective action and, especially, on social movements (see Diani & McAdam, 2003), there is not much of such research in the analysis of local opposition. The role and effects of social networks are here considered rather as perspective research avenues (see Devine-Wright, 2005; Toke, Breukers, & Wolsink, 2008). Nevertheless, the mere acknowledgement of social networks relevance is, of course, not sufficient, the social movements literature, as well as other research fields, thus soon started to ask not whether networks matter, but how they matter (Diani, 2003a; Passy & Giugni, 2001). Ocelík et al. (n.d.) identify at least four general understandings of the social networks importance for local opposition dynamics. More specifically, social networks (1) as *micro-mobilization* structures, (2) sources of *social capital and trust*, (3) conduits for *information and ideas diffusion*, and structures mediating (4) *access to resources*.

First, social network structures have been found instrumental for the *supply-side of participation* since they provide information exchange infrastructure through which actors can learn about the actions and intentions of the others (Klandermans, 2007). In this context, social networks are expected to decrease transaction and organizational costs, dissuade freeriding through increased levels of peer pressure and social control, and thus reduce individual participation costs in collective action (Mitchell & Carson, 1986). Kitts (2000) as well as Ocelík et al. (n.d.) show that network self-organization effects, such as activity, have been stronger predictors of participation in local opposition than sociodemographic and socio-psychological drivers, such as environmental concern or place attachment, measured at the individual level. Networks can also substitute or parallelize formal institutions of political participation, such as local governance structures, if there is a lack of trust to such structures or elites that control them (Shemtov, 2003). Likewise, pre-existing social networks can facilitate mobilization against the planning and siting processes negatively impacting local communities. Anderson (2013) documents how a network of local opposition was formed in response to a land-use that was threatening rural community.

Second, it has been shown that *social capital* is drawn from resources embedded in structure of social networks (Burt, 1992; Granovetter, 1973; Lin, 2017). In line with this reasoning, Devine-Wright (Devine-Wright, 2005) assumes that local social networks, such as kinship and friendship relationships, might substantially affect public acceptance of the siting processes. Further, it was documented that interpersonal network structures could facilitate trust-building not only among local communities, but also between the communities and locally-based siting staff (Venables, Pidgeon, Simmons, Henwood, & Parkhill, 2009). Networks, nevertheless, can be treated also at the outcome variables that are impacted by external events. Unwanted land-use processes thus might disrupt or recompose local networks and, in results, negatively affect levels of social capital and trust within the local communities (Devine-Wright, 2009; Nadaï, 2007).

Third, social networks function as conduits that *enable or constrain flows of information and ideas* (Valente, 1996). Such diffusion processes are expected to substantially affect actors' attitudinal and perception characteristics. Cash (2001), for instance, shows that networks facilitate common problem perceptions which consequently enhances decision-making. Toke et al. (2008) thus consider social networks as "an important institutional variable" that influences the planning and siting processes. Their study of wind power plant sittings shown that negative attitudes alone are not sufficient to hamper the siting process since it is more important how these attitudes are distributed within networks at the decision-making level (Toke et al., 2008). In addition, local networks have been found to facilitate diffusion of media contents which in turn influence affects and affective evaluations of the planning and siting (Stern, 2008).

Fourth, social networks provide *access to various resources*. Besides a general social capital, this can include access to decision-making, organizational memberships, as well as to spatially or otherwise distant social groups. Mitchell and Carson (1986) shown how events related to planning and siting processes, such as public hearings, mediated access to decision-making actors. As shown by Granovetter (1973), social networks tend to span across local social circles through the so called *weak ties* which facilitate transfer of non-redundant information and resources. In similar fashion, networks that emerged in local communities often reach outside their boundaries and provide access also to organizations that operate at different spatial and juridical scales (Bebbington et al., 2008; Keck & Sikkink, 1998). It is contented that such *brokerage opportunities* have a potential to contribute to nurturing leaders and shaping discourses, nevertheless, presence of actors with diverging goals might cause fragmentation of the network.

4.5 Anti-Fossil Narratives as Collective Action Frames: A Case Study

This section summarizes findings of the second case study as presented in Černoč et al. (2019)³. It focuses on *prevailing frames* employed by (local) opposition in relation to the rescission of the Territorial Limits.

4.5.1 Research Design

The frame research was based on the results of interviews with people participating in the opposition movement. We collected 39 semi-structured interviews with individuals who participated in some of the opposition activities (Seidman, 2013). Interviews took place in 2017 and 2018. Each interview lasted between 45 and 90 minutes. Participants were asked open-ended questions regarding their stance on the topic. In cases of ambiguity, interviewers asked additional supplementary questions. The interviewing team consisted of two to three interviewers, with one interviewee at a time.

The sample consisted of local activists living in the proximity of mining localities, activists who lived outside of the mining localities, members of professional organizations that deal with the issue, public officials, and members of the opposition who were no longer participating in the opposition at the time of the interview. The sample was non-random due to the nature of the social movement at hand. First, this was given by the interest in the relational aspect of the research. Second, the population of the opposition activists is not organized in formal organizations and is rather based on interpersonal ties due to the ongoing nature of the conflict, and the nature of the activities by some members of the opposition (Cohen & Arieli, 2011). Thus, sampling through memberships in organizations was not possible. Third, the size of the population is rather small. Initial contacts were chosen based on an analysis of public sources, mostly media articles. The list was validated with two experts knowledgeable in the local opposition to coal mining. During interviews, each interviewee could nominate up to seven other respondents, who the research team subsequently contacted.

The interviews were not recorded. This was warranted by the ongoing nature of the conflict and sensitivity to the protection of the identity of respondents. Responses to questions were captured as field notes instead, both during the interview and immediately after the interview. In cases where interviewees stressed or repeated certain utterances, direct quotations were written down. The nature of the data limits the choice of methods of frame reconstruction (Matthes & Kohring, 2008). More rigorous approaches, such as the coding of individual utterances, were thus of limited utility in the frame analysis process. The research team repeatedly reviewed field notes and quotations, and identified preliminary frames. Along with the frame distinction, the utterances were categorized according to the framing function in line with theories and methodologies described in the previous section. After the preliminary frame identification, the research team critically examined the frames and if necessary, made adjustments. Field notes were reexamined in order to validate the identified frames (van Gorp, 2010). The process of frame identification was thus purely qualitative and interpretive. This is common in frame analysis, especially in cases, where more rigorous methods are simply not available due to data collection restrictions (Coleman & Dysart, 2005; Hanson, 1995).

4.5.2 Findings

The research identified three distinctive frames. These represent three consistent narratives used by members of the local opposition, though not all members of the opposition fall strictly into a single frame. In some cases, individuals combined perspectives from more than one frame. Nevertheless, each of the frames presented below contains all three frame functions – diagnostic, prognostic, and motivational.

The “Local Impact” Frame

The first frame is built around the role of local people in the coalmining domain. It emphasizes the burdens created by coal mining, which have to be borne by the inhabitants of the municipalities next to coalmining sites. This frame stresses that the benefits of coal mining do not outweigh the negative impact of mining activity on health, the local environment, the quality of life, and the overall landscape of mining localities. In addition, grievances are growing stronger due to the privatized benefits of coal mining, which are not returned to the community, but cashed in by private individuals instead.

³ The text in this section is based on the manuscript version of the article before the submission to journal (pre-print).

Perception of the drawbacks of coal mining is at the center of the diagnostic function of the frame. The negative effect of coal mining and coal consumption on the health of the local population comes to the fore. Even though mining and power generation companies claim no significant impact and even improvement regarding these factors over time, the frame's proponents claim the health impact is ever-present, relying on information from local medical staff and health authorities. Interviewees dismissed the notion of the benefit of industrial production in the region. They see the destruction of the area as a pressing problem, with huge pits that spoil the otherwise beautiful land, as well as dust and omnipresent noise. Moreover, interviewees feel that these problems are invisible to the wider Czech society – the hidden side of the cheap energy generated from coal.

There is a strong perception of a lack of enough power to decide the situation at the regional level. It is the central government that ultimately decides the fate of people in the region through its decisions on the Territorial Limits. The government's politicians are seen as dismissive or at best indifferent to pledges from municipal politicians. Mining companies are well aware of this, and focus their energies on lobbying the central government, with no interest of returning finances to the region as a whole. The most tangible example of this is the establishment of the "unemployment" narrative in the national context. Representatives using this frame called this narrative into question. First there is a long history of the ignorance of regional employment issues at the national level, where massive layoffs in the textile industry (and other industries) in the locality went unnoticed. Second, the risk of unemployment is much lower according to this frame, as there are only a very few, highly specialized jobs in the mining companies. Most employees are support staff of engineers and technicians, who could find jobs in other firms in the region. This is an example of the aggressive campaign of mining companies to steer public opinion, which has been successful at the national level, but not at the local one.

In order to close the gap between the national and the local level, representatives of this frame primarily call for preservation of the Limits. They see the 2015 revision as unfortunate, but it spared Horní Jiřetín from destruction and upheld Limits at the Czechoslovak Army Mine. This arrangement should be kept in place. Any future attempts to undermine the status quo, from companies or from politicians, should be rejected. Ideally, the coal reserves under Horní Jiřetín should be designated by law to be inaccessible. Time is also playing a role, as the processes of decommissioning the Czechoslovak Army Mine need to start, and thus make additional mining less and less likely. The respondents argued that affected municipalities should overcome the numbness created by the insecurity over the future and return to normalcy. It is crucial to develop municipal activities to achieve this. People living in the region as well as visitors should see that there is a vital community being built in towns once designated to be razed. For the respondents, all of this would be possible if there was courageous and well-informed leadership from local politicians. They stress that their skills, motivation, and cooperation with other actors have been and will be key to successful mobilization when needed.

The motivational function is almost absent in this frame. This is given by the fact that the prognostic function and proposed solutions are mainly seen as the preservation of the post-2015 status quo. The only aspect which is motivational is the call for vigilance and preparedness to mobilize should the status quo be disrupted. In practice, people should avoid taking steps that might bring the issue back onto the national agenda, but at the same time, they must also resist any low-level attempts from mining companies (e.g. house buy-outs).

The "Low-carbon Transition" Frame

This frame addresses coal mining predominantly from an environmental perspective. It stresses the nexus between coal mining and coal consumption, and argues that a decrease in the production of electricity and heat from coal would allow for a decrease in the volume of coal mining, rendering all expansions unnecessary and allowing for a gradual phase-out; for this, the reserves located within the Limits are more than sufficient. The motivation for the phase-out is based on the environmental burden of coal.

The diagnostic function starts in the country's communist history, which created a strong path dependency on coal. The recklessness of mining in the 1980s is an important vantage point for representatives of this frame. They remember high levels of sulfur emissions as yellow fog, which rose up during periods of temperature inversions. Though air quality has improved a lot since then, representatives of this frame do not see current levels as satisfactory. There are two types of culprits. The first is mining itself. Mining activity is seen as damaging to the local environment. Even when the mines are decommissioned, the reclaimed areas are essentially unusable swathes of land, which cannot be inhabited, but at the same time have lesser ecological value compared to unaffected areas.

The second culprit is the operation of old and inefficient power plants, which are located close to major coal mines. These are a major source of Czech CO₂ emissions and other pollutants. Respondents in this frame emphasize the inefficiency of these plants, most of which were commissioned in the 1980s and reach a thermal efficiency of approximately 30 %. The lifetimes of these plants have been extended, and this contributes to the country's high per-capita CO₂ emissions. The divestment and sale of the old power plants from the state-owned power company, ČEZ, to wholly private companies is seen as a huge problem and obstacle in the path to decarbonization. Together with the rescission of the Limits in 2015, they are seen as the symbol of the negligence of the climate change issue within national institutions.

The prognostic function of this frame is strong. Most solutions have the same starting point – coal is an obsolete source of power and should be replaced as soon as possible. First, the Limits should be protected and the reserves under Horní Jiřetín should be made permanently inaccessible. However, most of this frame's proponents focus on the downstream side of coal. Power and heat generation should switch to other technologies and decarbonize. The improvements from filtering or other “clean coal” technologies are dismissed as measures which reinforce the path dependency and thus exacerbate the problem instead of alleviating it. Even though coal and the energy produced from it are cheap, this is only due to a lack of proper carbon pricing and the pricing of the coal extraction itself. The state sets very low prices for coal extraction, thus allowing huge profits for private companies. If coal was priced realistically, it would not be lucrative, and private companies would steer away from it. Instead, the private companies that own the power plants produce far more electricity than needed for domestic consumption, and the export it to neighboring countries, reaping huge profits at the expense of the local and global environment. If exports were halted, the need for coal would diminish significantly. In addition to that, reductions in energy consumption through savings and efficiency, as well as a more ambitious implementation of renewable sources, are other solutions that might allow a phasing out of coal.

The motivational function calls for sustained and active participation, both at the national and local scale, through campaigns and increased awareness about climate change and the need for cleaner energy production. Interviewees see established environmental NGOs as capable and experienced actors to run these campaigns, due to their professionalism and expertise. Along with that, any and all sorts of legal means should be explored in order to create or maintain the pressure on the relevant stakeholders. If all means of campaigning fail, they do not rule out direct action, but they do see it as a “last resort.”

The “Radical Change” Frame

This frame is based on a more radical approach towards the mitigation of climate change when compared to the previous one. The frame also applies universalist perspectives and sees the local struggle against coal as part of a wider movement against climate change. The proponents of this frame demand deep and broad reconstruction of the existing economic and social order and its approach to energy and consumption.

For this frame, coal production and consumption are deeply interlinked. They are the source of the damage to both the local and global environment. However, the production of energy from coal is not seen as a primary cause of environmental degradation – it is simply one of the most visible and manifest flaws of the existing economic system, which is based on a neoliberal economic model. Primarily, this means maintaining economic growth as such, which in turn mandates the utilization of natural resources in the way they are currently used; the decision to rescind the Limits in 2015 or the maintenance of inefficient power plants are only symptoms of wider systemic flaws. Nature as such is not seen as a resource that can be exploited unimpeded by humankind. Instead, the preservation of the global ecosystem is seen as more important than economic development. “Sustainable” development is not possible, as it preserves the existing economic structure and its orientation to economic growth, albeit in a less outrageous manner. Coal extraction and consumption as such is a source of many injustices, ranging from local to global ones. At the local level, the negative impacts of coal mining and consumption are borne by local population, while the benefits are privatized by billionaires. Coal is also a source of social injustice observed in the locality, manifested through a lack of access to affordable housing or education. At the global level, the damage caused by climate change is borne by people of the poor Global South, while the benefits of energy and economic development are enjoyed in the developed world.

In order to deal with this situation, direct action not only warranted, but necessary. Direct action should be limited to acts of nonviolent civil disobedience, which are targeted against coal businesses. The standard operation of coalmining and coal consumption facilities or their infrastructure should be disrupted, bringing attention to the issue. Individual actions are seen primarily as symbolic, but if they are performed in a sufficient number,

they might instigate change. The ultimate goal of these actions is an immediate halt to mining activities, as well as a halt to coal consumption. The end of coal as such is not the ultimate end-goal, but merely an initial necessary step in a broader campaign against climate change.

The motivational function of the frame is based on a broader appeal to climate justice and the fair distribution of resources across societies in general, combined with the need to stop the environmentally disastrous behavior of companies. There is a strong moral appeal, where representatives of this frame brave the state's law enforcement in order to fight against climate change. Their appeal is wider and combines calls for climate justice with rejection of traditional social hierarchies and biases, including gender, class, or race.

Discussion and Policy Implications

The three frames are summarized in Table 9. The "local impact" frame highlights the importance of the locality to the respondents' well-being. The destruction of local landscapes is seen as subordinate to the quality of life, regardless of economic benefits. This is what Devine-Wright calls "place attachment" (Devine-Wright, 2007). If paired with a lack of economic benefits returning to the locality, mining activities are seen as untenable and harmful, and need to be terminated. Local communities are seen as the protectors of the countryside against "intruders" from outside (Usher, 2013). The other two frames are motivated primarily by environmental concerns and call for action to draw more attention to the climate change dimension of the issue – an aspect the local impact frame is much less concerned about (Ščasný, Zvěřinová, Czajkowski, Kyselá, & Zagórska, 2017). The "low-carbon transition" frame and the "radical change" frame, however, perceive different causes of the problem. The former is in line with the environmental modernism discourse (Hajer, 1995; Van Der Heijden, 1999), where conflict lies between status-quo actors who seek to maintain the existing form of energy production, and a progressive coalition seeking the shift to modern energy sources. The second frame is in line with the green radicalism discourse, which is explicitly ideological and calls for a change in the system that allowed the emergence of these status-quo actors in the first place. Such a system needs to be disrupted, and ultimately, the goal is to replace it (Dryzek, 2005; Usher, 2013). The tactics of the two groups differ correspondingly. The proponents of the "local impact" frame prefer formal means of protest. The proponents of the "low-carbon transition" frame prefer formal means of protest as well, and prefer using them to achieve their goals. Direct action is limited to cases where other means have been exhausted. In contrast, the proponents of the "radical change" frame prefer direct action over formal means, attempting to disrupt the status quo (Adams & Shriver, 2016; Mazák, Diviák, & Mazák, 2017; Melucci, 1980). The scope of goals is heterogeneous as well. The "local impact" frame is focused narrowly on the end of coal mining in the area and preservation of the Limits, the "low-carbon transition" frame sees the end of coal mining as a part of larger campaign for cleaner energy production, and the "radical change" frame sees the struggle against coal as a part of a struggle for sociopolitical change at large.

Table 9 – A summary of frames and framing functions

Frame	Diagnostic function	Prognostic function	Motivational function
Local Impact	Environmental and health impacts coupled with distrust of the state	Community involvement backed by local leaders and ENGOs	Community-building and a reactive approach to immediate threats
Low-Carbon Transition	Local and global impacts as a legacy of the communist regime	Ecomodernization toward a decarbonized economy	Sustained engagement through media and education campaigns coupled with advocacy and expertise
Radical Change	Climate injustices result from the functioning of the capitalist economy	Inclusive participation in nonviolent civil disobedience	Movement-building to engage and mobilize the public

Thus, it is apparent that the opposition is, at least at the discursive level, driven by distinct complex narratives that stem from actors' deeper ideological orientations (Kang & Jang, 2013; Ocelík et al., 2017; Usher, 2013) This especially applies to the second and third frames, which are intentionally constructed on an ideological foundation, enabling activists to go beyond the "language of struggle" by outlining strategies for change (Bradley, 2012).

However, all frames share the overarching goal of the cessation of coal mining in Northern Bohemia, and share a distrust of the central institutions and formal processes that have been put in place. Since the central institutions are distant and tend to follow the interests of large companies over interests of citizens in specific areas (Della Porta & Piazza, 2007), members of the opposition are incentivized to engage in extra-institutional political participation (Dalton, Van Sickle, & Weldon, 2010). Differences in tactics and goals are set aside, and when applicable, pragmatic and often trans-local partnerships are fostered across organizations and groups (Brown & Spiegel, 2017).

4.6 Social Networks as Micro-mobilization Structures: A Case Study

This section summarizes findings of the second case study as presented in Ocelík et al. (n.d.)⁴. It focuses on *inter-personal long-term cooperation network* of (local) opposition to rescission of the coal mining limits. As discussed in section 4.2.4, social networks are considered as important conduits that enable and/or constrain participation in collective action (Diani & McAdam, 2003; D. B. Tindall, 2008). We follow this reasoning and focus on the role of social networks as *micro-mobilization structures* (Kitts, 1999) with an emphasis on individual *differential participation* (Passy & Giugni, 2001). In other words, we are interested why some opposition members participate more than others.

4.6.1 Research design

There were two main research objectives:

- 1) to describe the opposition network and
- 2) to identify mechanisms that contribute to differential participation in the opposition.

More specifically, we explored influence of particular network mechanisms on *differential participation*, an outcome variable of the study, which is understood as *an intensity of involvement in collective action through specific activities* (Passy & Giugni, 2001). Due to modeling requirements, the differential participation variable was binarized into the *low-participation* and *high-participation* values. Besides, we controlled for standard sociodemographic, such as age or socioeconomic status, and sociopsychological, such as environmental concern of place attachment, individual attributes (Devine-Wright, 2007).

As for the network mechanisms, we focused on *attribute-based activity* measured at the individual level simply as a node degree. Node degree can be considered as a potential for communication activity that is hypothesized, through increased information flow and interaction opportunities, to have a positive effect on differential participation (Kitts, 1999; D. B. Tindall, 2008). Considering the above, we tested following hypothesis:

H1: *There is a tendency of actors with more long-term cooperation ties to others to be engaged in high-level participation (activity mechanism)*

Social contagion (Teo & Loosemore, 2011) was the second examined network mechanism which builds on assumption that actors are being influenced, both intentionally and unintentionally, through their embeddedness within the network structure (Knoke & Yang, 2008). This, in result, can affect also their individual attributes – such as differential participation. Our focus was on *simple contagion* that assumes a single source of influence (Centola & Macy, 2007). An adjacent actor in the connected dyad defined by the outcome attribute (a high-level participation) is considered as evidence of this process (Daraganova & Robins, 2012). In this context, the long-term cooperation is considered a strong tie (Granovetter, 1973) or social bond which assumes increased commitment and peer-pressure (Baldassarri & Diani, 2007). It is thus expected that the effect of contagion on differential participation is positive. Considering the above, we tested the following hypothesis:

H2: *The probability of high-level participation is higher if adjacent long-term cooperation network partners have a high-level participation status (contagion mechanism)*

We controlled for individual-level attributes hypothesized to influence differential participation. More specifically, age, education, gender, and household income as standard *socioeconomic variables* (Gillham, 2008; Van Stekelenburg et al., 2009). Besides, we included three sociopsychological variables. *Environmental concern*, a variable measured by *new ecological paradigm* scale that differentiates between the ecocentric and anthropocentric attitudes (Dunlap, Van Liere, Mertig, & Jones, 2000). *Perceived efficacy*, a variable that captures perception of having an impact through participation activities (Klandermans, 1984). *Place attachment*, a variable that represents a positive emotional connection to the location (Devine-Wright, 2009). And finally, *spatial proximity* of respondents to the mining areas which was included as a measure of the proximity-based NIMBY syndrome (van der Horst, 2007).

We used structured face-to-face interviews based on the survey questionnaire that included also an open-ended section to obtain qualitative inputs from respondents. The data collection was done between April 2017 and February 2018. We used a snowball sampling technique which is adequate for hard-to-reach or hidden populations

4 The text in this section is based on the manuscript version of the article before the submission to journal (pre-print).

(Goodman, 1961). Each respondent nominated 0 to 7 persons with whom the respondent engages in long-term cooperation. The resulting network consists of 39 respondents who have been classified into three categories based on their organizational affiliations: (1) “locals” (n = 21) – opposition members who live in the locality, (2) “professionals” (n = 12) – opposition members whose participation cannot be separated from their occupational tasks, and (3) “grassroots” (n = 6) – non-resident opposition members who participated in nation-wide climate campaigns.

We applied autologistic actor attribute models (ALAAMs) to data (Daraganova & Robins, 2012). The ALAAMs allows to predict an outcome individual-level attribute (a high-level participation) while accounting both for network interdependencies, represented by specific network mechanisms, as well as for standard individual-level variables (Bryant et al., 2017). Moreover, it is argued that ALAAMs are especially useful for snowball sampled data which, by definition, include observation dependencies (Kashima, Wilson, Lusher, Pearson, & Pearson, 2013). Based on the theoretical expectations, we specified three models including different sets of control variables, specifically: (1) *relational*, (2) *socio-psychological*, and (3) *sociodemographic* models, to predict the *high-level participation attribute*. All three models included *attribute density* term which describes occurrence of the outcome attribute (high-level participation) and provides a baseline for interpretation of other effects (Kashima et al., 2013) as well as both network mechanisms represented by the *attribute-based activity* (H1) and *attribute-based contagion* (H2) terms. The *relational* model includes only the network terms. The *socio-psychological* model includes the network terms as well as socio-psychological variables (environmental concern, place attachment, and perceived efficacy) and the NIMBY variable (spatial proximity). The *sociodemographic* model includes the network terms, sociodemographic variables (age, education, gender, and household income), and the NIMBY variable.

4.6.2 Findings

To meet the first research objective, we explored structural properties of the *long-term cooperation network* as described in Table 10. It has density 0.16 at a node count of 39, 120 present edges, and average degree of 6.15. There are no isolates, only a connected dyad separated from the rest of the network. The values of Louvain modularity (0.37) and average clustering coefficient (0.66) indicate a pronounced segmentation of the network. The degree centralization of the network is relatively high (0.52) reflecting presence of two outliers identified as nodes with degree (> 15.5) which is 1.5times higher than the degree centrality upper quartile value; one of the outliers has a high-level participation status. The betweenness centralization is comparatively lower (0.37) with three outlier nodes whose normalized betweenness is higher than 0.073; two out of the three outliers have high-level participation status.

Table 10 – Long-term Cooperation Network Structural Properties

Network property	Long-term cooperation
Node count	39
Edge count	120
Mean degree	6.15
Degree standard deviation	4.72
Density	0.16
Connectedness	0.90
Degree centralization	0.52
Betweenness centralization	0.37
Average clustering coefficient	0.66
Louvain modularity	0.37

If we compare average degree and average betweenness of all 20 high-level participation opposition members to the overall network average, the differences are following. The average degree for high-level participation opposition members are slightly higher (7.1 compared to 6.15) whereas their average normalized betweenness is about 25 % higher (0.037 compared to 0.029). It can be argued that the high-level participants are important for the overall network connectivity and facilitate *bridging* between cohesive segments of the network and, at the same time, function as *leaders* in their well-connected social circles (see Diani, 2003b). The heterogeneous composition of the high-level participation opposition members supports this finding. The group consist of 11 local community members, 3 grassroots activists, and 6 professionals working for various environmental

non-governmental organizations. Considering qualitative insights from interviews and field work, we expect that the organizational heterogeneity reflects intentional division of labor and differentiated protest repertoire. Overall, the long-term cooperation network resembles a *polycentric structure* characterized by multiple and sometimes competing centers of influence (Gerlach, 1999).

To meet the second research objective, we fitted three autologistic actor attribute models to the *long-term cooperation network*. Remarkably, there were no significant effects of the individual control variables with the exception of the *perceived efficacy* in the sociopsychological model which take on high values for 18 out of 20 high-level participants. We argue that this might be related to a general distrust to political elites and institution that are not able and/or willing to resolve the conflict (see Ocelík et al., 2017), protest tactics thus can be seen as a more efficient way how to make a difference than the “standard political participation”. Importantly, the proximity-based NIMBY variable was insignificant in all model specifications. The individual-level variables, in general, exhibited low variance since most opposition members were highly educated middle-aged men with ecocentric environmental attitudes, strong place attachment, and high perceived participation efficacy (see Frantál, 2016). These findings document that individual attributes are *not* sufficient to explain differences in the individual participation within the local opposition. For a more detailed summary of the models see Ocelík et al. (n.d.).

The results for network terms have been consistent across all three models. The *attribute-based activity* was the only other (besides the perceived efficacy) significant effect. Its strength slightly increased in the sociodemographic model indicating a positive interaction effect. *Attribute-based contagion* effect was, to the contrary, insignificant in all three models. This shows that high-level participation opposition members have not been influenced through long-term cooperation ties to other high-level participants. These findings indicate that social networks function as *micro-mobilization structures* through increasing the incentives and opportunities to participate rather than through peer-pressure and norm diffusion processes (see Kitts, 1999; Passy & Giugni, 2001; D. B. Tindall, 2008). We concluded that these results provide a robust support to the *activity hypothesis* (H1) and do not support the *contagion hypothesis* (H2).

We argue that the results have two general *policy implications*. First, relevance of *underlying network structure* of local opposition for individual participation makes a case that any future communications and negotiations should consider the opposition’ informal organization. Besides, identification of central actors who “hold network together” can be used for facilitation of policy learning (Heikkila & Gerlak, 2013; Howlett, Mukherjee, & Koppenjan, 2017) and compromise-seeking. Second, *organizational, ideological, as well as spatial heterogeneity of the opposition* (Černoč et al., 2019) calls for a more inclusive and participation-oriented approaches to planning and siting processes that would reflect relational embeddedness of the opposition, as this case study shown, as well as its discursive contexts (Černoč et al., 2019).

5. Conclusion

The analysis presented here deals with the opposition movement against the expansion of coal mining in the Czech Republic. It focuses mainly on the relational and individual factors behind the high-level participation on the movement, and on the sociopolitical context in which the opposition movement is embedded. Throughout the research, we examined the nature of the connections among the opposition members and their individual socioeconomic characteristics, opinions and attitudes. We interpreted the resulting findings through the lenses of the existing policies and public debates defining the coal question in the Czech Republic.

Our findings show that the opposition network is a complex structure, consisting of a relatively homogenous group of people. The individual attributes of the opposition members suggest that it is highly educated middle-aged men (Van Stekelenburg et al., 2009) who hold ecocentric views about the environment, who are deeply attached to the affected locations emotionally, and who are strongly convinced that their engagement in the opposition has led or will lead to policy change (see Passy, 2001).

With regard to other individual attributes, with the exception of perceived efficacy, we did not find any significant impact of sociodemographic or sociopsychological variables on high-level participation on the opposition. Moreover, the participants showed a similar level of attachment to the affected localities regardless of their domicile, suggesting that the residency variable is of fairly limited importance in explaining their participation (Badera & Kocoń, 2014, 2015). The network structure, on the other hand, is far from being homogenous. In fact, it includes multiple centers of influence. These centers may be of a temporary nature, and their approach and actions vis-à-vis the issue in question may be convergent or divergent. The network dynamics appear to be driven far more by actor activity than by the mechanism of contagion. In other words, the results suggest that high-level participation is not triggered by the directly connected members of the movement. Instead, the sheer amount of active connections with other opposition members appears to be the most important predictor of high-level participation. This is in line with the existing research, which suggests that multiple network connections increase the incentives and opportunities to participate (see D. B. Tindall, 2008) as well as strengthen cohesion inside the movement (see Kitts, 1999; Passy & Giugni, 2001).

Future policies should particularly take into account (1) the interdependency of individual participation in the opposition, and (2) its heterogeneous composition. With regard to the former, the opposition network can be characterized by interdependent collective action driven primarily by actor activity, i.e. the degree to which the actor is connected with the others. Future communications and negotiations should therefore take into account the informal organization of the opposition network. Such communication should be directed primarily to opposition members who facilitate collective learning (see Heikkilä and Gerlak, 2013; Howlett, Mukherjee and Koppenjan, 2017). More specifically, these include the “coordinators” of the network, i.e. the people who bridge the otherwise separated or weakly connected clusters of the opposition, and the network’s “gatekeepers” and “representatives,” i.e. those who link it with stakeholders outside the opposition. This also inevitably compromises the efficiency of campaigns targeted to the “average joe” or the median citizen.

With regard to the heterogeneity of the opposition, it is important to acknowledge the complex geographical, organizational, and ideological structure of the network. The geographical composition of the opposition is rather diverse. Both “typical members” and high-level participants consist of a comparable amount of residents and non-residents (see Della Porta & Piazza, 2008; Della Porta & Rucht, 2002). In terms of the organizational structure, the opposition consists of municipal representatives, local civic associations, and professional NGOs, as well as a radical grassroots movement. This translates into divergent approaches towards the preferred course of action – ranging from petitions to direct action – as well as to ideological plurality. The distinctive streams of

opposition differ in their ideological positions, especially in their perspectives on democracy (be it direct or representative), ecological modernization, and systemic change. These differences give rise to three distinctive frames within the opposition.

The first frame focuses on the local impact of coal. It emphasizes aesthetic value of the local environment and the way of life of the local communities. In line with the concept of place attachment (Devine-Wright, 2007), this frame prioritizes the local context over the national one. National policy concerns, such as the competitiveness of coal-dependent industries or energy self-sufficiency, do not outweigh the local interest in preserving the local environment and local settlements. This reasoning is further developed through its connection with a specific perspective on national governance. In this perspective, a distrust of state institutions dominates. These institutions are deemed to be aloof from local communities and uninterested in improving their living conditions. In the conflict between the coal industry and the coalmining opposition, opposition members in this frame believe that the institutions side with the industry, which renders them unfit to draft sound policies and, in a wider sense, play the role of a trustworthy partner for the local community and the opposition (Botetzagias & Karamichas, 2009; Della Porta & Piazza, 2007; Özen, 2009). In the social environment defined by this “crisis of representation,” extra-institutional forms of political participation, such as building an opposition movement (Della Porta & Rucht, 2002; Usher, 2013), are seen as legitimate and efficient means to counter the envisaged expansion of coal mining.

The second frame builds on Environmental Modernism (Hajer, 1995; Van Der Heijden, 1999). It puts the climate change problem to the fore and is much less concerned with local conditions. This frame positions the opposition movement as an important building block in the struggle between the status-quo actors (with vested interests in the continuation and further expansion of coal mining) and a progressive coalition (campaigning for a gradual phase-out of coal). In this perspective, local opposition movements complement efforts at the national and international level focused on increasing the legitimacy of the anti-fossil coalition and influencing the public debate and policy process (comp. Della Porta & Tarrow, 2005, pp. 5–6). The Czech context, both according to our results and the interviewed opposition representatives, is unique for the very little emphasis the climate problem receives in the public discussion. While the negative effects of coal mining and use on the local environment are widely acknowledged, the climate change issue is neglected, even by the citizens of the coal regions. Here, it is the environmental NGOs active nationwide who have introduced the issue into the opposition’s agenda. With regards to legitimate means of introducing the desired change, this frame views direct action as a last resort option, as it may prove disruptive for the cohesion of the coalition, and thus counterproductive.

The third discursive stream is very much climate-centered. Unlike the second stream, it does not see a gradual coal phase-out happening within the context of the contemporary socioeconomic system as an efficient means of tackling the problem. Instead, it envisages a more radical solution. The climate crisis is closely associated with global capitalism, and can only be mitigated by changing the system (Dryzek, 2005; Usher, 2013). The conflict over the future of coal mining is seen as yet another battleground between the status-quo “capitalist” forces and their challengers. Similarly, the entanglement of the marginalized local communities and (trans)national radical climate and environmental movements is understood as a basis for igniting changes beyond the scope of energy policy. Naturally, direct action and civil disobedience emerge as the main tools for bringing about these changes (Adams & Shriver, 2016; Novák, 2013).

These differences clearly stem from the actors’ deeper ideological orientations (Černoch et al., 2019; Litmanen, 1996; Ocelík et al., 2017). Notions of economic utility or a cost-benefit approach towards the issue are, on the other hand, barely present in the overall discourse of the opposition. The primacy of ideological motivation and its diversity enables the opposition movement to mobilize different concerned social groups and increases the capacity of collective action, while rendering it largely nonresponsive to the material aspects of various policies, such as the (re)distribution of costs and benefits.

In terms of the wider political context in which the opposition operates, we identified three factors which shaped the country’s coal policy in the affected area, and four factors which are likely to determine its future. With regards to the historical factors, the first is the ambiguity with which the dominant political parties have approached the issue. The parties which have mainly governed the country since 1991 have not managed to end the debate of mining coal beyond the established Limits once for all. They have either remained undecided (The Civic Democratic Party, ODS) or internally split on the issue (Czech Social Democratic Party, ČSSD), or have changed their positions over time (Action of Dissatisfied Citizens, ANO; Christian Democratic Party, KDU-ČSL). Strong opinions on the issue have only been held by parties with marginal influence on the country’s politics:

the Communists (KSČM), who have been in favor of maximum utilization of the domestic coal reserves, and the Greens (SZ) who have campaigned for writing off the reserves beyond the Limits permanently.

Second, there have been major disagreements and conflicts inside the coal industry itself, which have compromised the pressure the industry has exerted on decision-making institutions. These conflicts mostly concerned the price of coal collected by the mining companies from electricity and heat producers, and frequent changes in ownership of the mines and associated facilities.

Third, the country's coal policies have not been aligned with each other. Most notably, the recent strategic documents issued by various state agencies pursue mutually exclusive goals. The legally binding State Energy Policy Update of 2015 (Ministry of Industry and Trade, 2015) calls only for a slow decrease in the use of coal, while another document by the same ministry, the Raw Minerals Policy, generally leaves the question of coal open (Ministry of Industry and Trade, 2017). In contrast, the documents prepared by the Ministry of the Environment – the “Policy of Climate Protection” and the “Policy of Air Protection” (Ministry of Environment, 2016, 2017) – call for much stricter measures, leading to a faster decline in the use of coal. Similarly, the country's policies fail to navigate between climate, energy, and social policy in a coherent and predictable way (Ocelík et al., 2019). This results in unintended lock-ins which prolong the time period for which coal will be required. Examples of such lock-ins include the subsidized refurbishment of household boilers (coal-firing units were also eligible for the funding) and the procurement of a new coal-fired power plant, Ledvice, by the partially state-owned ČEZ, which led to partial expansion of mining at the Bílina mine.

We have also identified four factors in particular that will influence the future of coal mining and use in the country. First, the troubled expansion of nuclear power could bring the country back towards greater use of fossil fuels, including coal, if it eventually fails and no new nuclear units are installed. Second, the capability of the heating industry to switch from coal to an alternative source of energy will be crucial. The price of heat in the country's district heating system is a very sensitive issue from a socioeconomic and political standpoint. So far, in many regions the relatively low price of heat was only possible due to low prices of lignite, making it an important component of the country's social welfare policy. Third, mining operations at the largest mine – the Czechoslovak Army Mine, are approaching the Territorial Limits. If mining is to continue beyond them, the decision to do so would need to be undertaken soon, before current operations end – and with them, the revenues needed to prepare the new areas for mining. Fourth, the strength of the opposition movement and public opinion on the issue certainly will affect the results of the political process, especially considered the ambivalence with which the main political forces in the country approach the issue.

Apart from past and current policies, the political context in which the opposition movement operates is affected by the public discourse. In our research, we focused mostly on the media discourse, which we approached as a proxy for public discourse in general (McQuail, 2010). We studied the Czech media discourse using a theoretical lens of second-order agenda setting (M. McCombs, 2005) and therefore focused on the attributes (such as categories or issues) that constitute “coal mining” in the media, as well as on the salience of these attributes in the media discourse. We conducted two separate inquiries into the media discourse: the first utilized quantitative, computer-assisted content analysis of all newspaper articles about coal mining published between 1996 and 2016, while the other offered qualitative insights into articles about the future of coal published six months before and six months after the Paris Conference (December 2015). The second inquiry also opened up a comparative perspective, presenting the same analysis for the other two important coal countries in Central Europe: Germany and Poland.

Our results show that the Czech media discourse around coal is fairly narrow. It is mainly concerned with the topic of mining itself, with particular attention to the issue of the Territorial Limits. After 2011, the issue of the declining price of hard coal and the subsequent economic problems of the country's sole producer of the commodity – the OKD Company, also received some attention. Interestingly, other important issues typically associated with coal were barely reflected or missing altogether. One of them was the energy security perspective. Despite the strong emphasis on the security of supply in the country's official energy policy (Osička & Černoch, 2017) and a general preference for utilizing domestic sources of energy with the aim of minimizing the dependence on energy imports (Ministry of Industry and Trade, 2004a, 2015), this aspect barely made it into the news. The results of the qualitative analysis showed a few traces of security narratives, especially patterns of meaning associated with the role of coal-fired power plants in the stable operation of the national grid, and the lack of functionally comparable alternatives to these plants, but overall, the presence of these security narratives is rather scarce.

The biggest surprise came from the generally low salience of environmental topics and the near absence of the climate issue in the discourse. Throughout the past two decades, only the issue of environmental reclamation of the areas where mining took place gained some attention. Only in recent years has the issue of the impact of coal mining on the local environment gained traction and become the chief concern related to coal mining in a typical line of argumentation in the analyzed media. The climate issue, however, is missing entirely from the discourse. The results indicate that the media fail to acknowledge the inevitable link between coal production and its use, and treat coal mining as if it was a separate industrial activity with separate environmental effects. Especially in the case of brown coal, where there is very little international trade with this commodity and what is produced is typically consumed locally (Starý et al., 2017), such an approach appears rather surprising. It is, nevertheless, a shared conclusion from both of our media analyses that the production of greenhouse gases and the climate issue is simply not reflected in the discourse. It is noteworthy that out of 362 investigated articles about the future of coal published around the conclusion of the Paris Agreement, only six of them somehow reflected the results of the conference; only two of them made the conference itself the primary focus. These findings widely echo the general observation by Healy and Barry, who have pointed out that while the issue of greenhouse gas emissions is discussed intensively in (international) climate negotiations, approaches seeking to specifically limit and end fossil fuel extraction are largely marginalized (Healy & Barry, 2017).

By presenting the coal question as a dilemma between inflicting damage to either the local environment or to the local economy and the well-being of the local community, the media has shown a notable concern for the economic condition of the coalmining companies. Especially around the time of the demise of OKD and during the government negotiations about the future of the mining Limits, the media associated the socioeconomic development of the coal regions with the prosperity of the mining companies. Along these lines, it is important to acknowledge that the high salience of the companies' prosperity makes it seem like a crucial issue for the discourse. In such a discursive environment, the economic problems of the companies will be generally perceived more cautiously by the public and policymakers. This will incentivize the latter to undertake extraordinary measures to tackle this salient problem. Such measures may include direct or indirect subsidies, or allowing the continuation of mining.

Interpreted through the lens of the agenda-setting theory, we can conclude that the Czech media co-create and cultivate a discursive environment in which the question of coal mining and coal use is reduced to a dilemma between the local environment and local employment. With public discourse conditioned in such a way, it is hardly surprising that climate protection policies would be more difficult to implement (the salience of the climate issue is chronically low) and, on the other hand, policies aimed at keeping the coalmining companies alive and well would be more likely to gain traction among policymakers and would be more easily accepted by the public.

Such policies will, however, intensify the response of the opposition movement. The clash between pro-coal industries and the opposition, mediated by the undecided establishment and uninterested press can be expected to heat up once the excavators approach the established Limits and as national discussion about the future of coal intensifies. In such a context, future policy planning could benefit from putting more emphasis on defusing the situation. Specifically, a shift from technocratic, elite-based policy formulation towards a more participatory and engaging approach (see Durdovic, 2016) could be a more efficient solution in negotiating compromises between the opposition and non-opposition stakeholders. Our results also suggest that the future interaction between the opposition and decision-makers could benefit from a more credible acknowledgement of the living conditions near the mines, the close connection between coal mining (and use) and climate change, and the potential of technological alternatives to cheap coal in electricity generation and heat production.

6. Literature

- Abbott, A. (2007). Mechanisms and Relations x A Note to the Reader, 2. <https://doi.org/10.2383/24750>
- Adams, A. E., & Shriver, T. E. (2016). Challenging Extractive Industries: How Political Context and Targets Influence Tactical Choice. *Sociological Perspectives*, 59(4), 892–909. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0731121416641683>
- Amelang, S., Appunn, K., Egenter, S., Sherman, L., Wehrmann, B., & Wettengel, J. (2018). Commission watch – Managing Germany's coal phase-out. Retrieved November 27, 2018, from <https://www.cleanenergywire.org/news/commission-watch-managing-germanys-coal-phase-out>
- Anderson, A. (2009). Media, Politics and Climate Change: Towards a New Research Agenda. *Sociology Compass*, 3(2), 166–182. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9020.2008.00188.x>
- Anderson, C. (2013). The networked minority: How a small group prevailed in a local windfarm conflict. *Energy Policy*, 58, 97–108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2013.02.048>
- Anopress IT. (n.d.). Sledovaná média. Retrieved January 25, 2017, from <http://www.anopress.cz/sledovana-media>
- Ansolabehere, S., & Konisky, D. M. (2009). Public Attitudes Toward Construction of New Power Plants. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 73(3), 566–577. <https://doi.org/10.1093/poq/nfp041>
- Appun, K. (2018). Germany's three lignite mining regions. Retrieved November 27, 2018, from <https://www.cleanenergywire.org/factsheets/germanys-three-lignite-mining-regions>
- Bachorík, J., & Valášková, L. (2015). Vláda rozhodla. Těžební limity v lomu Bílina budou prolomeny, u lomu ČSA dál platí. Retrieved March 19, 2018, from https://www.irozhlas.cz/zpravy-domov/vlada-rozhodla-tezebni-limity-v-lomu-bilina-budou-prolomeny-u-lomu-csa-dal-plati_201510191321_mkopp
- Badera, J., & Kocoń, P. (2014). Local community opinions regarding the socio-environmental aspects of lignite surface mining: Experiences from central Poland. *Energy Policy*, 66, 507–516. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2013.11.048>
- Badera, J., & Kocoń, P. (2015). Moral panic related to mineral development projects – Examples from Poland. *Resources Policy*, 45, 29–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2015.03.009>
- Baldassarri, D., & Diani, M. (2007). The Integrative Power of Civic Networks. *American Journal of Sociology*, 113(3), 735–780. <https://doi.org/10.1086/521839>
- Barabási, A.-L., & Albert, R. (1999). Emergence of Scaling in Random Networks. *Science*, 286(5439), 509–512. Retrieved from <http://science.sciencemag.org/content/286/5439/509.abstract>
- Bebbington, A., Humphreys Bebbington, D., Bury, J., Lingan, J., Muñoz, J. P., & Scurrah, M. (2008). Mining and Social Movements: Struggles Over Livelihood and Rural Territorial Development in the Andes. *World Development*, 36(12), 2888–2905. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2007.11.016>
- Bell, D., Gray, T., & Haggett, C. (2005). The 'Social Gap' in Wind Farm Siting Decisions: Explanations and Policy Responses. *Environmental Politics*, 14(4), 460–477. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644010500175833>
- Benford, R. D., & Snow, D. A. (2000). Framing Processes and Social Movements: An Overview and Assessment. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 26(1), 611–639. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.soc.26.1.611>
- Berglez, P. (2011). Inside, outside, and beyond media logic: journalistic creativity in climate reporting. *Media, Culture & Society*, 33(3), 449–465. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443710394903>
- Blake, J. (1999). Overcoming the “value-action gap” in environmental policy: Tensions between national policy and local experience. *Local Environment*, 4(3), 257–278. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13549839908725599>

- Blei, D., Carin, L., & Dunson, D. (2010). Probabilistic Topic Models. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 27–55. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MSP.2010.938079>
- Blei, D. M., Ng, A. Y., & Jordan, M. I. (2003). Latent dirichlet allocation. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 3(Jan), 993–1022.
- Borgatti, S. P., Everett, M. G., & Johnson, J. C. (2018). *Analyzing Social Networks – 2nd edition*. SAGE publications.
- Botetzagias, I., & Karamichas, J. (2009). Grassroots mobilisations against waste disposal sites in Greece. *Environmental Politics*, 18(6), 939–959. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644010903345702>
- Boykoff, M. T. (2008). The cultural politics of climate change discourse in UK tabloids. *Political Geography*, 27(5), 549–569. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2008.05.002>
- Boykoff, M. T., & Boykoff, J. M. (2004). Balance as bias: global warming and the US prestige press. *Global Environmental Change*, 14(2), 125–136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2003.10.001>
- Boykoff, M. T., & Boykoff, J. M. (2007). Climate change and journalistic norms: A case-study of US mass-media coverage. *Geoforum*, 38(6), 1190–1204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2007.01.008>
- Bradley, Q. (2012). A ‘Performative’ Social Movement: The Emergence of Collective Contentions within Collaborative Governance. *Space and Polity*, 16(2), 215–232. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562576.2012.721504>
- Brown, B., & Spiegel, S. J. (2017). Resisting coal: Hydrocarbon politics and assemblages of protest in the UK and Indonesia. *Geoforum*, 85, 101–111. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2017.07.015>
- Bryant, R. A., Gallagher, H. C., Gibbs, L., Pattison, P., MacDougall, C., Harms, L., ... Lusher, D. (2017). Mental Health and Social Networks After Disaster. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 174(3), 277–285. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2016.15111403>
- Burningham, K., Barnett, J., & Thrush, D. (2006). The limitations of the NIMBY concept for understanding public engagement with renewable energy technologies: a literature review. Retrieved August 29, 2018, from https://geography.exeter.ac.uk/beyond_nimbyism/deliverables/bn_wp1_3.pdf
- Burt, R. S. (1976). Positions in Networks. *Social Forces*, 55(1), 93. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2577097>
- Burt, R. S. (1992). Structural Holes: The Social Structure of Competition.
- Caldecott, B., Tulloch, D. J., Bouveret, G., Pfeiffer, A., Kruitwagen, L., McDaniels, J., & Dericks, G. (2017). The Fate of European Coal-Fired Power Stations Planned in the Mid-2000s: Insights for Policymakers, Companies, and Investors Considering New Coal. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3019030>
- Carrington, P. J. (2014). Social Network Research. In S. Dominguez & B. Hollstein (Eds.), *Mixed Methods Social Networks Research* (pp. 35–64). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139227193.004>
- Cash, D. W. (2001). “In Order to Aid in Diffusing Useful and Practical Information”: Agricultural Extension and Boundary Organizations. *Science, Technology, & Human Values*, 26(4), 431–453. <https://doi.org/10.1177/016224390102600403>
- Centola, D., & Macy, M. (2007). Complex Contagions and the Weakness of Long Ties. *American Journal of Sociology*, 113(3), 702–734. <https://doi.org/10.1086/521848>
- Černík, M. (2015). *Spor o těžební limity hnědého uhlí v Podkrušnohoří a úloha o.s. Kořeny z hlediska politické ekologie*. Masarykova univerzita, Fakulta sociálních studií, Brno. Retrieved from <https://is.muni.cz/th/f73uf/>
- Černoch, F. (2019). The History of the Czech Energy Sector. In T. Vlček (Ed.), *The Energy Sector and Energy Policy of the Czech Republic*. Brno: Masaryk University Press.
- Černoch, F., & Lehotský, L. (2019). Czech Republic can’t decide its position over coal. *Coal International*.
- Černoch, F., Lehotský, L., Ocelík, P., Osička, J., Vencourová, Ž., & Vencourová. (2019). Anti-fossil frames: Examining narratives of the opposition to brown coal mining in the Czech Republic. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 54, 140–149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2019.04.011>
- Černoch, F., & Zapletalová, V. (2015). Hinkley point C: A new chance for nuclear power plant construction in central Europe? *Energy Policy*, 83, 165–168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2015.04.002>
- Česká televize. (2015). Proti prolomení limitů protestovali lidé v desítkách českých měst. Retrieved September 23, 2018, from <https://ct24.ceskatelevize.cz/domaci/1535414-proti-prolomeni-limitu-protestovali-lide-v-desitkach-ceskych-mest>
- ČEZ. (n.d.). Ledvice | Uhelné elektrárny.
- Cohen, N., & Arieli, T. (2011). Field research in conflict environments: Methodological challenges and snowball sampling. *Journal of Peace Research*, 48(4), 423–435. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022343311405698>

- Coleman, C.-L., & Dysart, E. V. (2005). Framing of Kennewick Man against the Backdrop of a Scientific and Cultural Controversy. *Science Communication*, 27(1), 3–26. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1075547005278609>
- Cox, J. R., & Pezzullo, P. C. (2016). *Environmental communication and the public sphere* (4 edition). Los Angeles: Sage.
- Czech Press Agency. (2013). Rusnokova úřednická vláda uvažuje o prolomení limitů těžby na Mostecku. Retrieved March 19, 2018, from <https://www.novinky.cz/domaci/319931-rusnokova-urednicka-vlada-uvazuje-o-prolomeni-limitu-tezby-na-mostecku.html>
- Czech Press Agency. (2016). Horníci vytěžili poslední kubík skryvky na lomu ČSA, dál už to nejde. Retrieved March 5, 2018, from https://mostecky.denik.cz/zpravy_region/hornici-vytezili-posledni-kubik-skryvky-na-lomu-csa-dal-uz-to-nejde-20161226.html
- Czech Press Agency. (2018). Dukovany by měl dostavět ČEZ, řekl Babiš. Akcie firmy klesají a její šéf mluví o vytěsnění minoritních akcionářů. Retrieved November 23, 2018, from <https://byznys.ihned.cz/c1-66352860-dukovany-by-mel-dostavet-cez-rekl-babis-je-presvedcen-ze-investice-je-efektivni-a-navratna>
- Dalton, R., Van Sickle, A., & Weldon, S. (2010). The Individual–Institutional Nexus of Protest Behaviour. *British Journal of Political Science*, 40(01), 51–73. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S000712340999038X>
- Daraganova, G., & Robins, G. (2012). Autologistic Actor Attribute Models. In D. Lusher, J. Koskinen, & G. Robins (Eds.), *Exponential Random Graph Models for Social Networks* (pp. 102–114). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511894701.011>
- Daubert, V. L., & Moran, S. E. (1985). *Origins, goals, and tactics of the U.S. anti-nuclear protests movement*.
- Davies, B., & Harré, R. (1990). Positioning: The Discursive Production of Selves. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 20(1), 43–63. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5914.1990.tb00174.x>
- Dear, M. (1992). Understanding and Overcoming the NIMBY Syndrome. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 58(3), 288–300. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01944369208975808>
- Della Porta, D., & Diani, M. (2006). *Social movements: an introduction*. Blackwell.
- Della Porta, D., Diani, M., & Tindall, D. B. (2015). Networks as Constraints and Opportunities. *The Oxford Handbook of Social Movements*, (May 2018), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199678402.013.34>
- Della Porta, D., & Piazza, G. (2007). Local contention, global framing: The protest campaigns against the TAV in Val di Susa and the bridge on the Messina Straits. *Environmental Politics*, 16(5), 864–882. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644010701634257>
- Della Porta, D., & Piazza, G. (2008). *Voices of the Valley, Voices of the Straits: How Protest Creates Communities* (1st ed.). New York: Berghahn Books.
- Della Porta, D., & Rucht, D. (2002). The Dynamics of Environmental Campaigns. *Mobilization: An International Quarterly*, 7(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.17813/maiq.7.1.a2p473545718n577>
- Della Porta, D., & Tarrow, S. G. (2005). *Transnational Protest and Global Activism* (1st ed.). Oxford: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.
- Devine-Wright, P. (2005). Beyond NIMBYism: towards an integrated framework for understanding public perceptions of wind energy. *Wind Energy*, 8(2), 125–139. <https://doi.org/10.1002/we.124>
- Devine-Wright, P. (2007). *Reconsidering public attitudes and public acceptance of renewable energy technologies: a critical review*. Manchester. Retrieved from http://geography.exeter.ac.uk/beyond_nimbyism/deliverables/bn_wp1_4.pdf
- Devine-Wright, P. (2009). Rethinking NIMBYism: The role of place attachment and place identity in explaining place-protective action. *Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology*, 19(6), 426–441. <https://doi.org/10.1002/casp.1004>
- Devine-Wright, P. (2011). Place attachment and public acceptance of renewable energy: A tidal energy case study. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 31(4), 336–343. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2011.07.001>
- Devine-Wright, P. (2013). Explaining “NIMBY” Objections to a Power Line. *Environment and Behavior*, 45(6), 761–781. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013916512440435>
- Diani, M. (2003a). Introduction: Social Movements, Contentious Actions, and Social Networks: ‘From Metaphor to Substance’? In M. Diani & D. McAdam (Eds.), *Social Movements and Networks: Relational Approaches to Collective Action* (pp. 1–18). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/0199251789.003.0001>
- Diani, M. (2003b). “Leaders” Or Brokers? Positions and Influence in Social Movement Networks. *Social Movements and Networks: Relational Approaches to Collective Action*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/0199251789.003.0005>

- Diani, M., & McAdam, D. (2003). *Social Movements and Networks*. Oxford University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/0199251789.001.0001>
- Domínguez, S., & Hollstein, B. (2014). *Mixed Methods Social Networks Research*. (S. Domínguez & B. Hollstein, Eds.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139227193>
- Dryzek, J. S. (2005). *The Politics of the Earth: Environmental Discourses* (2nd ed.). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Dunlap, R. E., Van Liere, K. D., Mertig, A. G., & Jones, R. E. (2000). New Trends in Measuring Environmental Attitudes: Measuring Endorsement of the New Ecological Paradigm: A Revised NEP Scale. *Journal of Social Issues*, 56(3), 425–442. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-4537.00176>
- Durdovic, M. (2016). *A Guide to Communication and Participation in Decision-Making on Siting a Deep Geological Repository The Case of the Czech Republic Research design, data collection and analysis done in collaboration with*. Prague.
- Elder, C. D., & Cobb, R. W. (1984). AGENDA-BUILDING AND THE POLITICS OF AGING. *Policy Studies Journal*, 13(1), 115–129. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-0072.1984.tb01704.x>
- Emirbayer, M. (1997). Manifesto for a Relational Sociology. *American Journal of Sociology*, 103(2), 281–317. <https://doi.org/10.1086/231209>
- Energy Regulatory Office. (2018a). *Roční zpráva o provozu ES ČR 2017*. Prague. Retrieved from http://www.ero.cz/documents/10540/462820/Rocni_zprava_provoz_ES_2017.pdf/521bff99-fdcf-4c86-8922-3a346af0bb88
- Energy Regulatory Office. (2018b). *Roční zpráva o provozu teplárenských soustav ČR 2017*. Prague. Retrieved from http://www.ero.cz/documents/10540/4340114/Zprava_o_provozu_TS_2017.pdf/bc0b9051-b752-43e6-8671-1888bd9030f0
- Entman, R. M. (1993). Framing: Toward Clarification of a Fractured Paradigm. *Journal of Communication*, 43(4), 51–58. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01304.x>
- Entman, R. M. (2007). Framing Bias: Media in the Distribution of Power. *Journal of Communication*, 57(1), 163–173. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2006.00336.x>
- Fairclough, N. (1993). *Discourse and Social Change*. Wiley.
- Feldman, S., & Turner, D. (2014). Why Not NIMBY? *Ethics, Policy and Environment*, 17(1), 105–115. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21550085.2014.885405>
- Fierke, K. M. (2002). Links Across the Abyss: Language and Logic in International Relations. *International Studies Quarterly*, 46(3), 331–354. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2478.00236>
- Fischer, F., Forester, J., Hajer, M., Hoppe, R., Jennings, B., Throgmorton, J. A., ... Schön, D. (1993). *The Argumentative Turn in Policy Analysis and Planning*. (F. Fischer & J. Forester, Eds.). Durham: Duke University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822381815>
- Frantál, B. (2016). Living on coal: Mined-out identity, community displacement and forming of anti-coal resistance in the Most region, Czech Republic. *Resources Policy*, 49, 385–393. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2016.07.011>
- Frantál, B., & Nováková, E. (2014). A Curse of Coal? Exploring Unintended Regional Consequences of Coal Energy in The Czech Republic. *Moravian Geographical Reports*, 22(2), 55–65. <https://doi.org/10.2478/mgr-2014-0012>
- Freeman, L. C. (1978). Centrality in social networks conceptual clarification. *Social Networks*, 1(3), 215–239. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733\(78\)90021-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733(78)90021-7)
- Fröhlingsdorf, M. (2011). Electrical Resistance: NIMBY Protests Threaten Germany's Energy Revolution. *Spiegel Online*.
- Futrell, R. (2003). Framing Processes, Cognitive Liberation, and NIMBY Protest in the U.S. Chemical-Weapons Disposal Conflict. *Sociological Inquiry*, 73(3), 359–386. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-682X.00061>
- Gamson, W. A., & Modigliani, A. (1989). Media Discourse and Public Opinion on Nuclear Power: A Constructionist Approach. *American Journal of Sociology*, 95(1), 1–37. <https://doi.org/10.1086/229213>
- Gerlach, L. P. (1999). The Structure of Social Movements: Environmental Activism and Its Opponents. In J. Freeman & V. Johnson (Eds.), *Waves of protest : social movements since the sixties* (p. 381). Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.
- Gibson, T. A. (2005). NIMBY and the Civic Good. *City and Community*, 4(4), 381–401. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6040.2005.00144.x>
- Gillham, P. F. (2008). Participation in the environmental movement: Analysis of the European union. *International Sociology*, 23(1), 67–93. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0268580907084386>

- Goffman, E. E. (1974). *Frame analysis: an essay on the organization of experience*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Goodman, L. A. (1961). Snowball Sampling. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 32(1), 148–170. <https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177705148>
- Government of the Czech Republic. USNESENÍ VLÁDY ČESKÉ REPUBLIKY ze dne 10. září 2008 č. 1176 k územně ekologickým limitům těžby hnědého uhlí v severočeské hnědouhelné pánvi (2008). Prague. Retrieved from <https://apps.odok.cz/attachment/-/down/KORN97ANG74L>
- Granovetter, M. S. (1973). The Strength of Weak Ties. *American Journal of Sociology*, 78(6), 1360–1380. <https://doi.org/10.1086/225469>
- Greenpeace. (2011). Kocourek s pokusem o prolomení limitů u vlády neuspěl. Retrieved March 17, 2018, from <http://www.greenpeace.org/czech/cz/press/Kocourek-s-pokusem-o-prolomeni-limitu-u-vlady-neuspel/>
- Grimmer, J., & Stewart, B. M. (2013). Text as Data: The Promise and Pitfalls of Automatic Content Analysis Methods for Political Texts. *Political Analysis*, 21(03), 267–297. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pan/mps028>
- Hajer, M. (1993). Discourse Coalitions and the Institutionalization of Practice. In *The Argumentative Turn in Policy Analysis and Planning* (pp. 43–76). Duke University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822381815-003>
- Hajer, M. (1995). *The Politics of Environmental Discourse: Ecological Modernization and the Policy Process*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hajer, M. (1997). *The Politics of Environmental Discourse*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/019829333X.001.0001>
- Hanneman, R. A., & Riddle, M. (2005). Introduction to Social Network Methods.
- Hansen, A. (2010). *Environment, Media and Communication* (First publ). London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203860014>
- Hanson, E. C. (1995). Framing the world news: The Times of India in changing times. *Political Communication*, 12(4), 371–393. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.1995.9963085>
- Healy, N., & Barry, J. (2017). Politicizing energy justice and energy system transitions: Fossil fuel divestment and a “just transition.” *Energy Policy*, 108, 451–459. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENPOL.2017.06.014>
- Heikkila, T., & Gerlak, A. K. (2013). Building a Conceptual Approach to Collective Learning: Lessons for Public Policy Scholars. *Policy Studies Journal*, 41(3), 484–512. <https://doi.org/10.1111/psj.12026>
- Hloušek, V., Sychra, Z., & Kořan, M. (2004). *Rakousko v evropské a středoevropské politice* (1. vyd). Brno: Masarykova univerzita v Brně.
- Howlett, M., Mukherjee, I., & Koppenjan, J. (2017). Policy learning and policy networks in theory and practice: the role of policy brokers in the Indonesian biodiesel policy network. *Policy and Society*, 36(2), 233–250. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14494035.2017.1321230>
- IEA. (2017). *Coal 2017: Analysis and Forecast to 2022*. Paris. Retrieved from https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/energy/market-report-series-coal-2017_coal_mar-2017-en
- Jacobi, C., van Atteveldt, W., & Welbers, K. (2016). Quantitative analysis of large amounts of journalistic texts using topic modelling. *Digital Journalism*, 4(1), 89–106. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2015.1093271>
- Kang, M., & Jang, J. (2013). NIMBY or NIABY? Who defines a policy problem and why: Analysis of framing in radioactive waste disposal facility placement in South Korea. *Asia Pacific Viewpoint*, 54(1), 49–60. <https://doi.org/10.1111/apv.12007>
- Kashima, Y., Wilson, S., Lusher, D., Pearson, L. J., & Pearson, C. (2013). The acquisition of perceived descriptive norms as social category learning in social networks. *Social Networks*, 35(4), 711–719. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOCNET.2013.06.002>
- Keck, M. E., & Sikkink, K. (1998). *Activists Beyond Borders: Advocacy Networks in International Politics*. Cornell University Press.
- Kellner, D. (2014). Habermas, the Public Sphere, and Democracy. In D. Boros & J. M. Glass (Eds.), *Re-Imagining Public Space* (pp. 19–43). New York: Palgrave Macmillan US. <https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137373331>
- Kiousis, S. (2004). Explicating Media Salience: A Factor Analysis of New York Times Issue Coverage During the 2000 U.S. Presidential Election. *Journal of Communication*, 54(1), 71–87. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2004.tb02614.x>
- Kitts, J. A. (1999). Not in our Backyard: Solidarity, Social Networks, and the Ecology of Environmental Mobilization. *Sociological Inquiry*, 69(4), 551–574. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-682X.1999.tb00886.x>

- Kitts, J. A. (2000). Mobilizing in Black Boxes: Social Networks and Participation in Social Movement Organizations. *Mobilization: An International Journal*, 5(2), 241–257.
- Klandermans, B. (1984). Mobilization and Participation: Social-Psychological Expansions of Resource Mobilization Theory. *American Sociological Review*, 49(5), 583. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2095417>
- Klandermans, B. (2007). The Demand and Supply of Participation: Social-Psychological Correlates of Participation in Social Movements. In *The Blackwell Companion to Social Movements* (pp. 360–379). Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishing Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470999103.ch16>
- Knoke, D., & Yang, S. (2008). *Social Network Analysis* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781412985864>
- Kopecký, J. (2013). Zeman podpořil prolomení limitů těžby hnědého uhlí na severu Čech. Retrieved March 19, 2018, from https://zpravy.idnes.cz/zeman-podporil-prolomeni-limitu-tezby-hnedeho-uhli-fhn-/domaci.aspx?c=A131024_133207_domaci_kop
- Krackhardt, D. (1994). Graph Theoretical Dimensions of Informal Organizations. In K. M. Carley & M. J. Prietula (Eds.), *Computational Organization Theory* (pp. 89–111). Lawrence Erlbaum and Associate.
- Kraft, M. E., & Clary, B. B. (1991). Citizen Participation and the Nimby Syndrome: Public Response to Radioactive Waste Disposal. *Political Research Quarterly*, 44(2), 299–328. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1065912991044400204>
- Krajhanzl, J. (2016). Nádechy českého ekohnutí po 25 letech. In *Krajinou environmentálních studií II: Populárně-naučné texty vyučujících a studentů* (1st ed., pp. 149–158). Brno: Masaryk University Press.
- Krippendorff, K. (2013). *Content analysis* (3rd ed.). Los Angeles: SAGE.
- Kuskova, P., Gingrich, S., & Krausmann, F. (2008). Long term changes in social metabolism and land use in Czechoslovakia, 1830–2000: An energy transition under changing political regimes. *Ecological Economics*, 68(1–2), 394–407. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2008.04.006>
- Lake, R. W. (1987). *Resolving locational conflict*. Center for Urban Policy Research.
- Lehotský, L. (2018). *Coal Mining and Climate Change in the Czech Republic: Two Cases of Media Narratives*. Masaryk University, Faculty of Social Studies, Brno. Retrieved from <https://is.muni.cz/th/hm81t/>
- Lehotský, L., Černocho, F., Osička, J., & Ocelík, P. (2019). When climate change is missing: Media discourse on coal mining in the Czech Republic. *Energy Policy*, 129, 774–786. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2019.02.065>
- Leifeld, P. (2016). *Policy Debates as Dynamic Networks: German Pension Politics and Privatization Discourse* (Vol. 29). Frankfurt/New York: Campus Verlag/University of Chicago Press.
- Lin, Nan. (2017). Building a Network Theory of Social Capital. In Nancy Lin, K. Cook, & R. S. Burt (Eds.), *Social Capital: Networks and Embedded Resources* (1st ed., pp. 3–28). New York: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315129457-1>
- Lis, A., & Stankiewicz, P. (2017). Framing Shale Gas for Policy-Making in Poland. *Journal of Environmental Policy & Planning*, 19(1), 53–71. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1523908X.2016.1143355>
- Litmanen, T. (1996). Environmental conflict as a social construction: Nuclear waste conflicts in Finland. *Society & Natural Resources*, 9(5), 523–535. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08941929609380991>
- Lusher, D., Koskinen, J., & Robins, G. (2012). *Exponential Random Graph Models for Social Networks*. (D. Lusher, J. Koskinen, & G. Robins, Eds.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511894701>
- Lyytimäki, J. (2011). Mainstreaming climate policy: the role of media coverage in Finland. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, 16(6), 649–661. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-011-9286-x>
- Marcacci, S. (2018). Uneconomic coal could be squeezed out of European Union power markets by 2030. Retrieved November 27, 2018, from <http://energypost.eu/uneconomic-coal-could-be-squeezed-out-of-european-union-power-markets-by-2030/>
- Matthes, J., & Kohring, M. (2008). The Content Analysis of Media Frames: Toward Improving Reliability and Validity. *Journal of Communication*, 58(2), 258–279. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2008.00384.x>
- Mazák, J., Diviák, T., & Mazák, J. (2017). Transactional activism without transactions: network perspective on anti-corruption activism in the Czech Republic. *Social Movement Studies*, 17(2), 203–218. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14742837.2017.1404904>
- McCombs, M. (2005). A Look at Agenda-setting: past, present and future. *Journalism Studies*, 6(4), 543–557. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616700500250438>
- McCombs, M. E. (2004). *Setting the Agenda: the mass media and public opinion* (1st pub.). Cambridge: Polity Press.

- McCombs, M. E., & Shaw, D. L. (1972). The Agenda-Setting Function of Mass Media. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 36(2), 176. <https://doi.org/10.1086/267990>
- McQuail, D. (2010). *McQuail's Mass Communication Theory*. Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications.
- Melichar, J., Vojtěch, M., & Ščasný, M. (2012). *Externí náklady prolomení limitů těžby na Mostecku: Případ velkolomů Československé armády a Bílina*. Praha: Centrum pro otázky životního prostředí UK v Praze.
- Melucci, A. (1980). The new social movements: A theoretical approach. *Social Science Information*, 19(2), 199–226. <https://doi.org/10.1177/053901848001900201>
- Miller, M. M., & Riechert, B. P. (2013). Interest group strategies and journalistic norms: News media framing of environmental issues. In B. Adam, S. Allan, & C. Carter (Eds.), *Environmental Risks and the Media* (1st ed.). New York: Taylor & Francis.
- Ministry of Environment. (2015). Kotlíkové dotace – 1. výzva.
- Ministry of Environment. (2016). Státní politika životního prostředí České republiky 2012–2020. Retrieved March 21, 2018, from [https://www.mzp.cz/C1257458002F0DC7/cz/statni_politika_zivotniho_prostredi/\\$FILE/SOPSPZ-Aktualizace_SPZP_2012-2020-20161123.pdf](https://www.mzp.cz/C1257458002F0DC7/cz/statni_politika_zivotniho_prostredi/$FILE/SOPSPZ-Aktualizace_SPZP_2012-2020-20161123.pdf)
- Ministry of Environment. (2017). Politika ochrany klimatu v ČR. Retrieved March 21, 2018, from [https://www.mzp.cz/C1257458002F0DC7/cz/politika_ochrany_klimatu_2017/\\$FILE/OEOK-POK-20170329.pdf](https://www.mzp.cz/C1257458002F0DC7/cz/politika_ochrany_klimatu_2017/$FILE/OEOK-POK-20170329.pdf)
- Ministry of Industry and Trade. (2004a). State Energy Policy of the Czech Republic. Prague.
- Ministry of Industry and Trade. (2004b). *Státní energetická koncepce České republiky*. Prague. Retrieved from <https://www.mpo.cz/assets/dokumenty/26650/46323/556503/priloha003.doc>
- Ministry of Industry and Trade. (2010). *Aktualizace státní energetické koncepce České republiky*. Prague. Retrieved from <https://www.mpo.cz/assets/dokumenty/26650/46323/556503/priloha003.doc>
- Ministry of Industry and Trade. (2015). State Energy Policy Update. Prague: Ministry of Industry and Trade.
- Ministry of Industry and Trade. (2017). Surovinová politika České republiky v oblasti nerostných surovin a jejich zdrojů. Retrieved June 16, 2017, from https://www.mpo.cz/assets/cz/stavebnictvi-a-suroviny/surovinova-politika/statni-surovinova-politika-nerostne-suroviny-v-cr/2017/4/170228--Material_surovinova_politika-upraveny-po-pripom-VP--.pdf
- Mitchell, R. C., & Carson, R. T. (1986). Property Rights, Protest, and the Siting of Hazardous Waste Facilities. *American Economic Review*, 76(2), 285–290.
- Mládek, J. (2015). Mládek k prolomení těžebních limitů v severních Čechách. Česká televize.
- Nadař, A. (2007). “Planning”, “siting” and the local acceptance of wind power: Some lessons from the French case. *Energy Policy*, 35(5), 2715–2726. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2006.12.003>
- Neuendorf, K. A. (2017). *The content analysis guidebook* (Second ed.). Los Angeles: Sage.
- Novák, A. (2013). Česká environmentální přímá akce v mezinárodním kontextu. *Mezinárodní Vztahy*, (3), 81–103.
- Občanské sdružení Kořeny. (n.d.). VÝSLEDKY MÍSTNÍCH REFEREND: Přes 95 % z hlasujících obyvatel Horního Jiřetína a Litvínova nechce postup velkolomu za limity těžby. Retrieved November 19, 2017, from <http://www.koreny.cz/referendum/>
- Ocelík, P. (2015). *Analýza diskursivních sítí: případ lokální opozice vůči hlubinnému úložišti radioaktivních odpadů v České republice*.
- Ocelík, P., Lehotský, L., & Černocho, F. (n.d.). Beyond our backyard: Social networks, differential participation, and the (local) opposition to coal mining. *Manuscript Submitted for Publication*.
- Ocelík, P., Osička, J., Zapletalová, V., Černocho, F., & Dančák, B. (2017). Local opposition and acceptance of a deep geological repository of radioactive waste in the Czech Republic: A frame analysis. *Energy Policy*, 105, 458–466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2017.03.025>
- Ocelík, P., Svobodová, K., Hendrychová, M., Lehotský, L., Everingham, J.-A., Ali, S., ... Lechner, A. (2019). A contested transition toward a coal-free future: Advocacy coalitions and coal policy in the Czech Republic. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 58, 101283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2019.101283>
- Oels, A. (2012). From ‘Securitization’ of Climate Change to ‘Climatization’ of the Security Field: Comparing Three Theoretical Perspectives BT - Climate Change, Human Security and Violent Conflict: Challenges for Societal Stability. In J. Scheffran, M. Brzoska, H. G. Brauch, P. M. Link, & J. Schilling (Eds.) (pp. 185–205). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-28626-1_9
- oEnergetice. (2019). Babiš: ČR musí stavět jaderné bloky, i kdyby měla porušit evropské právo.
- Olausson, U. (2009). Global warming—global responsibility? Media frames of collective action and scientific certainty. *Public Understanding of Science*, 18(4), 421–436. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662507081242>

- Oliver, P., Marwell, G., & Teixeira, R. (1985). A Theory of the Critical Mass. I. Interdependence, Group Heterogeneity, and the Production of Collective Action. *American Journal of Sociology*, 91(3), 522–556. <https://doi.org/10.1086/228313>
- Olson, M. (1971). *The logic of collective action; public goods and the theory of groups*. Harvard University Press.
- Osička, J., & Černoch, F. (2017). Anatomy of a black sheep: The roots of the Czech Republic's pro-nuclear energy policy. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 27, 9–13. Retrieved from <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2214629617300476>
- Osička, J., Kemmerzell, J., Zoll, M., Lehotský, L., Černoch, F., & Knodt, M. (2020). What's next for the European coal heartland? Exploring the future of coal as presented in German, Polish and Czech press. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 61, 101316. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2019.101316>
- Osička, J., Lehotský, L., Zapletalová, V., Černoch, F., & Dančák, B. (2018). Natural gas market integration in the Visegrad 4 region: An example to follow or to avoid? *Energy Policy*, 112(January), 184–197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2017.10.018>
- Osička, J., Zapletalová, V., Černoch, F., & Vlček, T. (2019). Energy Governance in the Czech Republic. In *Handbook of Energy Governance in Europe* (pp. 1–30). Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-73526-9_6-1
- Özen, H. (2009). Located locally, disseminated nationally: the Bergama movement. *Environmental Politics*, 18(3), 408–423. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644010902823766>
- Passy, F. (2001). Socialization, Connection, and The Structure/Agency Gap: A Specification of The Impact of Networks on Participation in Social Movements. *Mobilization*, 6(2), 173–192.
- Passy, F., & Giugni, M. (2001). Social Networks and Individual Perceptions: Explaining Differential Participation in Social Movements. *Sociological Forum*, 16(1), 123–153. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1007613403970>
- Průcha, J. (2015). Prolomit limity za pět let znamená problém. Retrieved March 5, 2018, from <http://iuhli.cz/prolomit-limity-za-pet-let-znamen-a-problem/>
- Pšenička, J., & Mařík, M. (2012). Bez zisku vydržíme i deset let. Retrieved November 23, 2018, from <https://ekonom.ihned.cz/c1-55121610-bez-zisku-vydrzime-i-deset-let>
- Říha, M., Stoklasa, J., Lafarová, M., Dejmal, I., Marek, J., Pakosta, P., & Beránek, K. (2011). *The environmental mining limits in the North Bohemian Lignite Region*. Prague: Společnost pro krajinu. Retrieved from <https://frontiers-of-solitude.org/ing-arch-martin-riha-and-collective-the-environmental-mining-limits-in-the-north-bohemian-lignite>
- Sacher, T. (2011). Kocourkovy limity: Ministr průmyslu zachraňuje teplo tak, že je všem horko. Retrieved March 17, 2018, from <https://www.respekt.cz/tydenik/2011/13/kocourkovy-limity?issuelid=3801>
- Ščasný, M., Zvěřinová, I., Czajkowski, M., Kyselá, E., & Zagórska, K. (2017). Public acceptability of climate change mitigation policies: a discrete choice experiment. *Climate Policy*, 17(sup1), S111–S130. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2016.1248888>
- Schalk, C. (2018). Energiewende enters a new phase – how is it performing? Retrieved November 27, 2018, from <https://energypost.eu/energiewende-enters-a-new-phase-how-is-it-performing/>
- Scheufele, D. A., & Tewksbury, D. (2007). Framing, Agenda Setting, and Priming: The Evolution of Three Media Effects Models. *Journal of Communication*, 57(1), 9–20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0021-9916.2007.00326.x>
- Schively, C. (2007). Understanding the NIMBY and LULU Phenomena: Reassessing Our Knowledge Base and Informing Future Research. *Journal of Planning Literature*, 21(3), 255–266. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0885412206295845>
- Schmidt, A., Ivanova, A., & Schäfer, M. S. (2013). Media attention for climate change around the world: A comparative analysis of newspaper coverage in 27 countries. *Global Environmental Change*, 23(5), 1233–1248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2013.07.020>
- Schneider, V. (2015). Relationalism in Political Theory and Research: The Challenge of Networked Politics and Policy-Making. *Przegląd Politologiczny*, (4), 191. <https://doi.org/10.14746/pp.2015.20.4.14>
- Schneider, V., & Ollmann, J. K. (2013). Punctuations and Displacements in Policy Discourse: The Climate Change Issue in Germany 2007-2010. In S. Silvern & S. B. T. Young (Eds.), *Environmental Change and Sustainability* (pp. 157–183). Rijeka: InTech. <https://doi.org/10.5772/54302>
- Searle, J. (1997). *The construction of social reality*. (1, Ed.). New York: Free Press.
- Seidman, I. (2013). *Interviewing as Qualitative Research* (4th ed.). New York: Teachers College Press.

- Shanahan, E. A., Jones, M. D., & McBeth, M. K. (2011). Policy Narratives and Policy Processes. *Policy Studies Journal*, 39(3), 535–561. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-0072.2011.00420.x>
- Shemtov, R. (2003). Social Networks and Sustained Activism in Local NIMBY Campaigns. *Sociological Forum*, 18(2), 215–244. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024087529268>
- Siegel, D. A. (2009). Social Networks and Collective Action. *American Journal of Political Science*, 53(1), 122–138. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2008.00361.x>
- Snow, D. A., & Benford, R. D. (1988). Ideology, Frame Resonance, and Participant Mobilization. In B. Klandermans, H. Kriesi, & S. G. Tarrow (Eds.), *From Structure to Action: Social Movement Participation Across Cultures* (pp. 197–217). Greenwich: JAI Press.
- Snow, D. A., & Benford, R. D. (1992). Master Frames and Cycles of Protest. In A. D. Morris & C. McClurg Mueller (Eds.), *Frontiers in Social Movement Theory* (pp. 133–155). New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Sobotka, B. (2015). Předseda vlády se setkal se starostkou Litvínova a starostou Horního Jiřetína nad tématem těžebních limitů. ČSSD.
- Soin, M. (2011). Facts, values, and moral panics. *Studia Socjologiczne*, 201(2), 147–163.
- Starý, J., Sitenický, I., Mašek, D., Hodková, T., Vaněček, M., Novák, J., & Kavina, P. (2017). *Mineral Commodity Summaries of the Czech Republic 2017*. Prague. Retrieved from <http://www.geology.cz/extranet-eng/publications/online/mineral-commodity-summaries/mineral-commodity-summaries-2017.pdf>
- Steffek, J. (2009). Discursive legitimation in environmental governance. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 11(5), 313–318. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2009.04.003>
- Stern, M. J. (2008). How locality, frequency of communication and internet usage affect modes of communication within core social networks. *Information, Communication & Society*, 11(5), 591–616. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13691180802126778>
- Teo, M. M., & Loosemore, M. (2011). Community-based protest against construction projects: a case study of movement continuity. *Construction Management and Economics*, 29(2), 131–144. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01446193.2010.535545>
- Tilly, C., & Tarrow, S. G. (2007). *Contentious politics*. Oxford University Press.
- Tindall, D. B. (2008). Social Networks, Identification and Participation in an Environmental Movement: Low-medium Cost Activism within the British Columbia Wilderness Preservation Movement*. *Canadian Review of Sociology/Revue Canadienne de Sociologie*, 39(4), 413–452. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-618X.2002.tb00628.x>
- Tindall, D. B., Cormier, J., & Diani, M. (2012). Network social capital as an outcome of social movement mobilization: Using the position generator as an indicator of social network diversity. *Social Networks*, 34(4), 387–395. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOCNET.2011.12.007>
- Tindall, D., Robinson, J., & Stoddart, M. (2014). Social Network Centrality, Movement Identification, and the Participation of Individuals in a Social Movement: The Case of the Canadian Environmental Movement. In M. Dehmer & Emmert-Streib. F. (Eds.), *Quantitative Graph Theory*. (pp. 407–423). CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/b17645-15>
- Toke, D., Breukers, S., & Wolsink, M. (2008). Wind power deployment outcomes: How can we account for the differences? *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 12(4), 1129–1147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2006.10.021>
- Tuchman, G. (1978). *Making news: a study in the construction of reality*. New York: Free Press.
- Usher, M. (2013). Defending and transcending local identity through environmental discourse. *Environmental Politics*, 22(5), 811–831. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644016.2013.765685>
- Valente, T. W. (1996). Social network thresholds in the diffusion of innovations. *Social Networks*, 18(1), 69–89. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733\(95\)00256-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733(95)00256-1)
- Van Der Heijden, H. (1999). Environmental movements, ecological modernisation and political opportunity structures. *Environmental Politics*, 8(1), 199–221. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644019908414444>
- van der Horst, D. (2007). NIMBY or not? Exploring the relevance of location and the politics of voiced opinions in renewable energy siting controversies. *Energy Policy*, 35(5), 2705–2714. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2006.12.012>
- van Gorp, B. (2010). Strategies to Take Subjectivity Out of Framing Analysis. In P. D'Angelo & J. A. Kuypers (Eds.), *Doing News Framing Analysis: Empirical and Theoretical Perspectives* (1st ed., pp. 84–109). New York: Routledge.

- van Hulst, M., & Yanow, D. (2014). From Policy “Frames” to “Framing”: Theorizing a More Dynamic, Political Approach. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 46(1), 92–112. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074014533142>
- Van Stekelenburg, J., Klandermans, B., & van Dijk, W. W. Context Matters: Explaining How and Why Mobilizing Context Influences Motivational Dynamics, 65 *Journal of Social Issues* § (2009). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd (10.1111). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2009.01626.x>
- Venables, D., Pidgeon, N., Simmons, P., Henwood, K., & Parkhill, K. (2009). Living with nuclear power: A q-method study of local community perceptions. *Risk Analysis*, 29(8), 1089–1104. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.2009.01259.x>
- Viček, T., & Černochoch, F. (2013). *The Energy Sector and Energy Policy of the Czech Republic*. Brno: Masaryk University Press. <https://doi.org/10.5817/CZ.MUNI.M210-6523-2013>
- Viček, T., Černochoch, F., Zapletalová, V., Trmalová, E., Červinková, J., Brhlíková, P., ... Prokopová, G. (2019). *The Energy Sector and Energy Policy of the Czech Republic*. (T. Viček, Ed.), *The Energy Sector and Energy Policy of the Czech Republic*. Brno: Masaryk University Press. <https://doi.org/10.5817/CZ.MUNI.M210-9352-2019>
- Viček, T., Jirušek, M., & Skládanková, T. (2012). Atlantic and Continental Approaches to Energy Security. Retrieved from <http://www.summer-school.cz/>
- VUPEK - ECONOMY s.r.o. (2015). Dlouhodobá prognóza trhu s hnědým uhlím. Retrieved October 30, 2018, from https://www.mpo.cz/assets/cz/ministr-a-ministerstvo/tiskove-informace/2015/6/Dlouhodobá_prognóza_trhu_HU_15_6.pdf
- Wasserman, S., & Faust, K. (1994). *Social Network Analysis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511815478>
- Watts, D. J., & Strogatz, S. H. (1998). Collective dynamics of ‘small-world’ networks. *Nature*, 393(6684), 440–442. <https://doi.org/10.1038/30918>
- Weaver, D., & Elliott, S. N. (1985). Who Sets the Agenda for the Media? a Study of Local Agenda-Building. *Journalism Quarterly*, 62(1), 87–94. <https://doi.org/10.1177/107769908506200113>
- Wellman, B., & Berkowitz, S. D. (1997). *Social structures: a network approach*. JAI Press.
- Wolsink, M. (2000). Wind power and the NIMBY-myth: institutional capacity and the limited significance of public support. *Renewable Energy*, 21(1), 49–64. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-1481\(99\)00130-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-1481(99)00130-5)
- Zavoral, L. (2019). Těžba uhlí v Česku od revoluce klesla o desítky procent. Retrieved November 10, 2019, from <https://www.ceskenoviny.cz/zpravy/tezba-uhli-v-cesku-od-revoluce-klesla-o-desitky-procent/1785998>
- Zeman, M. (2015). Z projevu prezidenta republiky při besedě se zaměstnanci dolu ČSA. Pražský hrad.

Filip Černoch et al.

Exploring and explaining participation in local opposition: brown coal mining in Horní Jiřetín

Authors: Filip Černoch, Lukáš Lehotský, Petr Ocelík, Jan Osička

Reviewed by Jiří Martinec

Design and Typography by Michal Ivanec, www.behance.net/michalivanec

Published by Masaryk University Press
Žerotínovo nám. 617/9, 601 77 Brno, Czech Republic
2019

www.muni.cz
www.mve.fss.muni.cz
www.energy.fss.muni.cz

ISBN 978-80-210-9501-4
<https://doi.org/10.5817/CZ.MUNI.M210-9501-2019>

MUNI
PRESS

MUNI
FSS

MUNI
PRESS