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In this paper, the stress intensity factor (SIF) formula $F_{\text {ISE }}$ along the crack front of a semi-elliptical surface crack is studied. The exact SIF solution $F_{I S E}$ is used by solving the hypersingular integral equation of the body force method discussed in the previous paper. To obtain the accurate formula, the SIF ratio $F_{I S E} / F_{I E}$ is focused considering the exact solution $F_{I E}$ of an elliptical crack. By applying the least squares method to the ratio $F_{I S E} / F_{I E}$, accurate and convenient formula is proposed. The proposed formulas may provide the accurate SIF distributions for the aspect ratio $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}=1 \sim 4$ better than $0.2 \%$ accuracy.
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## Notations

The notations used in this paper are summarized below.
$v$ : Poisson's ratio ( $=0.3$ )
$F_{\text {ISE }}$ : Dimensionless stress intensity factor (SIF) of a semi-circular surface crack defined by $F_{I S E}(\beta)=K_{I}(\beta) / \sigma_{0} \sqrt{\pi b}$ where $K_{I}(\beta)$ is the SIF of a semi-circular surface crack
$F_{I E}$ : Dimensionless stress intensity factor of an elliptical cracks defined by $F_{I E}(\beta)=K_{I}(\beta) / \sigma_{0} \sqrt{\pi b}$
$F_{\text {ISE }}^{\max }, F_{I S E}^{\min }$ : Maximum and minimum values of dimensionless stress intensity factor for the semi-elliptical surface crack in semi-infinite body
$\beta$ : Eccentric angle of ellipse ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )
$a, b$ : Major and minor radius of a semi-elliptical crack

## 1. Introduction

The stress intensity factor (SIF) of a semi-elliptical surface crack lying perpendicular to the surface in Fig. 1 (a) has been used as a fundamental model of actual defects. ${ }^{1-5}$ Here, the SIF of an elliptical crack $F_{I E}$ in Fig. 1 (b) is also considered ${ }^{6}$ as a reference solution representing an internal defect to clarify the free surface effect. In the previous studies, 7,8 the SIF distributions $F_{\text {ISE }}$ were exactly provided for the semi-elliptical crack for $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}=1,4 / 3$,

2, 4. In this study, the least squares method is applied to the SIF ratio $F_{I S E} / F_{I E}$ Then, a highly accurate calculation formula ${ }^{9}$ is proposed for arbitrary $a / b$.



$$
F_{I S E}=K_{I}(\beta) / \sigma_{0} \sqrt{\pi b}
$$

(a) Semi-elliptical surface crack in a semi-infinite body


$$
F_{I E}=K_{I}(\beta) / \sigma_{0} \sqrt{\pi b}
$$

(b) Elliptical crack in an infinite body

Fig. 1. Semi-elliptical surface crack and elliptical crack

## 2. Convenient formula for the SIF distribution of semi-elliptical surface cracks

Eq. (1) denotes the exact solution for the elliptical crack in Fig.1(b). ${ }^{9}$ Eq. (2.a) is the formula obtained by the least square method useful for $1^{\circ} \leqq \beta \leqq 20^{\circ}$ and $1.0 \leqq a / b \leqq 4.0$. Eq. (2.b) is the obtained formula useful for $10^{\circ} \leqq \beta \leqq 90^{\circ}$ and $1.0 \leqq a / b \leqq 4.0$. The $F_{\text {ISE }}$ value can be obtained by those formulas with less than $0.2 \%$ error for the whole range of $a / b=$ 1.0~4.0.

Fig. 2 illustrates $F_{I S E}(\beta) / F_{I E}(\beta)$ obtained from Eq. (2) and Fig. 3 illustrates $F_{\text {ISE }}(\beta)$ from Eq.(1) and Eq. (2). From Fig. 3, it is seen that the maximum value of $F_{I S E}$ appears at $\beta=3^{\circ}$ when $a / b=1.0 \sim 1.27$ and at $\beta=90^{\circ}$ when $a / b \geq 1.27$. The difference between $F_{\text {ISE }}(\beta)$ and $F_{\text {II }}(\beta)$ becomes larger around $\beta=0$. This is due to the corner point singularity. At the corner point $\beta=0$, the singular index is different from the singular index at $\beta \neq 0$. Therefore, $K_{\text {ISE }}$ behaves in a complicated manner near the corner point $\beta=0$, and finally $K_{I S E} \rightarrow 0$ as $\beta \rightarrow 0 .{ }^{10,11}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{I}=\frac{\sigma}{E(k)}\left(\frac{\pi b}{a}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(a^{2} \sin ^{2} \beta+b^{2} \sin ^{2} \beta\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}=\frac{\sigma}{E(k)}\left(\frac{\pi b}{a}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{a^{2}(a / b)^{2} \tan ^{2} \theta+b^{2}}{1+(a / b)^{2} \tan ^{2} \theta}\right)^{1 / 4} \\
& F_{I E}=\frac{K_{I}}{\sigma \sqrt{\pi b}}=\frac{1}{E(k)}\left(\sin ^{2} \beta+(b / a)^{2} \cos ^{2} \beta\right)^{1 / 4}=\frac{1}{E(k)}\left(\frac{(a / b)^{2} \tan ^{2} \theta+(b / a)^{2}}{1+(a / b)^{2} \tan ^{2} \theta}\right)^{1 / 4} \\
& \text { For } \quad a \geq b, \quad K=\left(1-\frac{b^{2}}{a^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad E(k)=\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left(1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi\right) d \phi \\
& \text { For } \quad a<b, \quad K_{I}=\left(1-\frac{a^{2}}{b^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad E(k)=\frac{b}{a} E\left(k_{I}\right) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

When $1^{\circ} \leqq \beta \leqq 20^{\circ}$ and $1.0 \leqq a / b \leqq 4.0$;

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{I S E} / F_{I E}=1.5713-1.1221(b / a)+1.0408(b / a)^{2}-0.34133(b / a)^{3} \\
& +\left(-0.18718+0.56557(b / a)-0.53103(b / a)^{2}+0.17466(b / a)^{3}\right) \beta \\
& +\left(0.032013-0.085978(b / a)+0.063788(b / a)^{2}-0.015795(b / a)^{3}\right) \beta^{2} \\
& +\left(-0.0026331+0.0054534(b / a)-0.0017899(b / a)^{2}-0.00046795(b / a)^{3}\right) \beta^{3} \\
& +\left(0.00010321-0.00013791(b / a)-8.9406 \times 10^{-5}(b / a)^{2}+0.00010013(b / a)^{3}\right) \beta^{4} \\
& +\left(-1.5484 \times 10^{-6}+8.3237 \times 10^{-7}(b / a)+3.9337 \times 10^{-6}(b / a)^{2}-2.833 \times 10^{-6}(b / a)^{3}\right) \beta^{5} \tag{2.a}
\end{align*}
$$

When $10^{\circ} \leqq \beta \leqq 90^{\circ}$ and $1.0 \leqq a / b \leqq 4.0$;

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{I S E} / F_{I E}=1.1879+0.21553(b / a)-0.3688(b / a)^{2}+0.15787(b / a)^{3} \\
& +\left(-0.00712-0.010195(b / a)+0.018019(b / a)^{2}-0.0081504(b / a)^{3}\right) \beta \\
& +\left(0.00029402-1.8046 \times 10^{-5}(b / a)-0.00025984(b / a)^{2}+0.00014923(b / a)^{3}\right) \beta^{2} \\
& +\left(-5.6483 \times 10^{-6}+4.0129 \times 10^{-6}(b / a)+5.2159 \times 10^{-7}(b / a)^{2}-9.9946 \times 10^{-7}(b / a)^{3}\right) \beta^{3} \\
& +\left(5.1738 \times 10^{-8}-5.46 \times 10^{-8}(b / a)+1.7392 \times 10^{-8}(b / a)^{2}-6.4006 \times 10^{-11}(b / a)^{3}\right) \beta^{4} \\
& +\left(-1.8135 \times 10^{-10}+2.2527 \times 10^{-10}(b / a)-9.5656 \times 10^{-11}(b / a)^{2}+1.1776 \times 10^{-11}(b / a)^{3}\right) \beta^{5} \tag{2.b}
\end{align*}
$$



Fig.2. $F_{I S E} / F_{I E}$ from Eq.(2)


Fig.3. $F_{I S E}$ from Eqs. (1) and (2)

## 3. Under which aspect ratio $\boldsymbol{a} / \boldsymbol{b}$ the SIF becomes nearly constant?

Table 1 shows the maximum and the minimum SIF of $F_{I E}(\beta)$. The average SIF value $F_{I S E}^{\text {ave. }}$ and standard deviation $F_{I S E}^{S D}$ are also indicated. It is seen that when $a / b \cong 1.2$, $F_{\text {ISE }}(\beta) \cong$ constant. The results suggested that the fatigue crack may propagate under the ratio $a / b=1.2$.

|  | a/b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.0 | 1.18 | 1.19 | 1.20 | 1.21 | 1.22 | 1.23 | 4.0 |
| $F_{\text {ISE }}^{\text {max }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.747 \\ \text { at } \beta=3^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.747 \\ \text { at } \beta=3^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.747 \\ \text { at } \beta=3^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.747 \\ & \text { at } \beta=3^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.747 \\ \text { at } \beta=3^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | 0.746 <br> at $\beta=3^{\circ}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.746 \\ \text { at } \beta=3^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.024 \\ \text { at } \beta=90^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ |
| $F_{\text {ISE }}^{\text {min }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.659 \\ \text { at } \beta= \\ 90^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.699 \\ \text { at } \beta= \\ 34^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.699 \\ \text { at } \beta= \\ 32^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.700 \\ \text { at } \beta= \\ 32^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.701 \\ \text { at } \beta= \\ 31^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.702 \\ \text { at } \beta= \\ 30^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.703 \\ \text { at } \beta= \\ 29^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.564 \\ \text { at } \beta= \\ 5^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ |
| $F_{\text {ISE }}^{\text {ave. }}$ | 0.680 | 0.711 | 0.713 | 0.714 | 0.716 | 0.717 | 0.718 | 0.837 |
| $\pm$ SD | $\pm 0.026$ | $\pm 0.012$ | $\pm 0.012$ | $\pm 0.012$ | $\pm 0.012$ | $\pm 0.012$ | $\pm 0.012$ | $\pm 0.158$ |

## 4. Conclusions

In this study, the convenient formula $F_{\text {ISE }}$ along the crack front of the semi-elliptical surface crack was proposed. The conclusions can be summarized in the following way.
(1) To obtain the accurate formula, the SIF ratio $F_{I S E} / F_{I E}$ was focused on the basis of the exact solution of an elliptical crack $F_{I E}$. A convenient SIF formulas was proposed for $a / b$ $=1.0 \sim 4.0$ better than $0.2 \%$ accuracy.
(2) It is found that the maximum value of $F_{I S E}$ appears at $\beta=3^{\circ}$ when $a / b=1.0 \sim 1.27$ and at $\beta=90^{\circ}$ when $a / b \geq 1.27$.
(3) When $a / b \cong 1.2$, the SIF $F_{I S E}(\beta) \cong$ constant. along the crack front. The results suggested that the fatigue crack may propagate under the ratio $a / b=1.2$.
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