

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *Bioorg Med Chem.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 July 15.

Published in final edited form as:

Bioorg Med Chem. 2015 July 15; 23(14): 3948–3956. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2014.12.033.

# Potency enhancement of the $\kappa$ -opioid receptor antagonist probe ML140 through sulfonamide constraint utilizing a tetrahydroisoquinoline motif

Kevin J. Frankowski<sup>a</sup>, Stephen R. Slauson<sup>a</sup>, Kimberly M. Lovell<sup>b</sup>, Angela M. Phillips<sup>b</sup>, John M. Streicher<sup>b</sup>, Lei Zhou<sup>b</sup>, David A. Whipple<sup>a</sup>, Frank J. Schoenen<sup>a</sup>, Thomas E. Prisinzano<sup>a</sup>, Laura M. Bohn<sup>b,\*</sup>, and Jeffrey Aubé<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>University of Kansas Specialized Chemistry Center, 2034 Becker Drive, Lawrence, KS 66047-3761, USA

<sup>b</sup>Departments of Molecular Therapeutics and Neuroscience, The Scripps Research Institute, 130 Scripps Way, #2A2, Jupiter, FL 33458, USA

# Abstract

Optimization of the sulfonamide-based kappa opioid receptor (KOR) antagonist probe molecule ML140 through constraint of the sulfonamide nitrogen within a tetrahydroisoquinoline moiety afforded a marked increase in potency. This strategy, when combined with additional structure-activity relationship exploration, has led to a compound only six-fold less potent than norBNI, a widely utilized KOR antagonist tool compound, but significantly more synthetically accessible. The new optimized probe is suitably potent for use as an in vivo tool to investigate the therapeutic potential of KOR antagonists.

# Keywords

Kappa Opioid Receptor; Antagonist; Molecular constraint; Potency enhancement; Tetrahydroisoquinoline

# 1. Introduction

Activation of the kappa opioid receptor (KOR) by endogenous neuropeptides, primarily dynorphin, initiates complex signaling cascades. The downstream effects of KOR agonism vary greatly and include antinociception, dysphoria and anxiety, though the details of the pharmacological pathways are still being elucidated.<sup>12</sup> In contrast, KOR antagonists have been investigated as potential therapeutic treatments for addiction, depression, post traumatic stress disorder, eating disorders and other conditions related to anxiety or

<sup>© 2014</sup> Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel: (561) 228-2227, Fax: (561) 228-3081, lbohn@scripps.edu (L.M. Bohn). \*Corresponding author. Tel: (785) 864-4496, Fax: (785) 864-8179, jaube@ku.edu (J. Aubé).

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

aversion-reward responses.<sup>3, 4, 5</sup> Many canonical KOR antagonists (Fig. 1) are derived from, or bear a structural element of morphinan opioids, such as the widely-utilized tool compounds norBNI,<sup>6</sup> 5'-GNTI<sup>7</sup> and JDTic.<sup>8</sup> Some KOR antagonists that are widely used, such as NorBNI, have a remarkable long duration of action in animal models (weeks), which could introduce difficulties in interpreting the effect of chronic antagonist exposure, or some other effects of the drug that are not fully understood.<sup>9, 10, 11, 12</sup> Accordingly, one goal of our laboratories is to discover and evaluate structurally-distinct KOR antagonists with novel pharmacological properties, including a focus on KOR antagonists that are more rapidly cleared in vivo. We recently disclosed a new sulfonamide series of KOR antagonists, exemplified by the Molecular Libraries probe molecule ML140 (Figure 1).<sup>13</sup>

Although ML140 only exhibited modest potency in the DiscoveRx  $\beta$ arrestin2 PathHunter<sup>TM</sup> assay (403 nM), it was selective against the  $\mu$  and  $\delta$  receptors (IC<sub>50</sub> values of > 24 and >32 uM, respectively). In contrast to the better-established chemotypes noted, ML140 is highly modular, possesses no stereogenic centers and bears little structural similarity to known opioid ligands. Together, these properties inspired us to further investigate the structure– activity relationship (SAR) of this chemotype. In our original report,<sup>13</sup> we evaluated the effect on biological potency through ca. forty structural changes focused primarily on the "eastern" portion of the molecule (Figure 1). We investigated both replacing the substituents of the basic nitrogen as well as introducing constraint into the eastern region of the molecule. Perhaps most interestingly, we found that replacing the *N*-isopropyl with *N*-tertbutyl can increase the potency by as much as 14-fold. Less successful were our attempts to enhance antagonist potency through constraint of the nitrogen within various ring systems as illustrated by the structures in Figure 2.

Each of these changes eradicated essentially all KOR activity, suggesting that the constraints examined placed this portion of the molecule into a conformation inconsistent with receptor activity, introduced unpalatable steric clashes, deprived the compound of an important hydrogen bond, or some combination of these effects. Herein we describe a more fruitful approach to conformational constraint by focusing on the modification of the sulfonamide portion of the molecule while retaining the promising substitution patterns around the basic nitrogen. This process afforded a series of antagonists with single-digit nanomolar potency in G protein coupling and consistent SAR trends across the series.

# 2. Results and discussion

In this investigation we measured the potency of all test compounds by three complementary methods for assessing KOR antagonist potency:<sup>14</sup> [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>Y</sub>S binding,<sup>15</sup> ERK activation,<sup>16</sup> and  $\beta$ -arrestin2 recruitment.<sup>17</sup> Since our compounds, like norBNI, are found to be most potent in the [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>Y</sub>S assay over the other two, we chose this assay for primary SAR determination. The analogues presented here were prepared in the manner of those previously reported,<sup>11</sup> which is summarized in Scheme 1. Briefly, the tetrahydroisoquinoline core was reacted with the appropriate sulfonyl chloride and subsequently saponified to afford the western fragment bearing a carboxylic acid. Activation of the carboxylic acid to the acid chloride and coupling with the diamine fragments afforded the final analogues. All

final compounds were purified by mass-directed, reverse-phase HPLC and submitted to biological assays at 94% purity.

We began this investigation by preparing the analogue where both the sulfonamide and amide nitrogens of ML140 were methylated, which resulted in the loss of all KOR antagonist activity (Table 1, entry 1a) and suggesting that at least one of or both N-H groups were essential for activity. To determine if modification at the sulfonamide nitrogen was allowable, we first investigated the effect of tethering the sulfonamide nitrogen to the central benzene ring. We chose the tetrahydroisoquinoline carboxylate scaffold since it was both readily available and mapped directly onto the template established by ML140. Thus, we synthesized twelve tetrahydroisoquinoline analogues bearing modifications around the basic nitrogen fragment and substitution on the aryl sulfonamide group. The results of screening these analogues in the three KOR antagonist assays are presented in Table 1. To facilitate comparison to our previous SAR efforts and the broader KOR antagonist literature, norBNI, ML140, and the bromo analogue of ML140, 1b, were included in this study. Notably, the tetrahydroisoquinoline analogues were all significantly more potent than either ML140 or **1b**. Directly comparing **1b** to the tetrahydroisoquinolinone with the same substitution, **1f**, shows an eight-fold improvement in potency. A further two-fold increase in potency was achieved through a bromo to chloro replacement (i.e., 1f to 1e). The effect of changing from isopropyl to tert-butyl was even more pronounced than was observed in the context of ML140, providing for the first time in this series compounds possessing single-digit nanomolar potency. Halogen incorporation further improved the potency, resulting in the nearly equipotent fluoro analogue 1i, chloro analogue 1i and the corresponding bromide 1m. To date, compound **11** is the most potent compound in the series, as measured by its activity in inhibiting G protein function. Throughout this study, 2,4,6-trimethyl aryl sulfonamide substitution led to notably less potent compounds when compared to either the benzene sulfonamide, 4-methyl- or 4-ethyl-substituted aryl sulfonamide analogues. However they are still more potent than ML140, nicely illustrating the positive influence of the tetrahydroisoquinoline moiety on the SAR of this chemotype.

Another interesting feature is the differential potencies noted for many of the analogs against G protein function, as revealed in the [ $^{35}$ S]GTP $\gamma$ S assay, relative to  $\beta$ arrestin2 recruitment or ERK phosphorylation. For example, simple comparison of the relevant IC<sub>50</sub> values obtained in each assay suggests that the compounds may be more potent in inhibiting G protein function as opposed to  $\beta$ arrestin2 recruitment, although they are less potent than norBNI in both assays. Whether this suggests a bias in the affinity to imparting differential active states for KOR remains to be determined as such mathematical modeling parameters have yet to be defined for comparing compounds that do not reveal stimulatory efficacy. Differences also appear in relative potencies for inhibiting ERK phosphorylation, but may vary because the ERK is downstream in both the G protein and  $\beta$ arrestin2 pathways. Although possibly intriguing in light of current interest in functional selectivity in GPCR agonism, the functional relevance of any such differences in the present series of antagonists is currently unknown.

We had previously profiled the lead compound for this study, ML140, in radioligand binding assays and observed selectivity for the KOR compared to the  $\delta$  opioid receptor

(DOR) and modest selectivity compared to the  $\mu$  opioid receptor (MOR).<sup>13</sup> To determine if ML140 analogues had off target effects, **1f**, **1h** and **1l** were also profiled through the Psychoactive Drug Screening Program at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill for KOR, DOR and MOR binding affinity (Table 2). Gratifyingly, the more potent antagonists were found to be more selective in radioligand binding assays than ML140. For example, **1l** possessed a binding affinity (K<sub>i</sub>) at KOR of 53 nM and binding affinity (K<sub>i</sub>) of >10,000 nM at both the DOR and MOR.

# 3. Conclusion

We have improved the antagonist potency of the sulfonamide-based KOR antagonist probe molecule ML140 from 138 nM to 1.6 nM as measured by [ $^{35}S$ ]GTP $\gamma S$  binding to afford a new molecular tool appropriate to investigate the physiological role of the KOR and the therapeutic potential of KOR antagonists. The constrained sulfonamide analogue **11** is almost 100-fold more potent than ML140 and permits for the first time the potential use of this chemotype in behavioral or in vivo efficacy models. These experiments are currently planned as well as further pharmacological characterization and the synthesis of additional analogues. The results of these studies will be disclosed as appropriate.

# 4. Experimental

## 4.1 General methods

All reagents and materials were purchased from commercial vendors (Sigma, Alfa Aesar, Oakwood or ASW Medchem) and used as received. Ethyl ether, toluene, THF, MeCN and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> were degassed with nitrogen and passed through two columns of basic alumina on an Innovative Technology solvent purification system. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM 400 spectrometer (operating at 400 and 100 MHz respectively) in CDCl<sub>3</sub> with 0.03% TMS as an internal standard, unless otherwise specified. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) downfield from TMS. <sup>13</sup>C multiplicities were determined with the aid of an APT pulse sequence, differentiating the signals for methyl and methane carbons as "d" from methylene and quarternary carbons as "u". The infrared (IR) spectra were acquired as thin films using a universal ATR sampling accessory on a PerkinElmer Spectrum 100 FT-IR spectrometer and the absorption frequencies are reported in cm<sup>-1</sup>. Melting points were determined on a Stanford Research Systems Optimelt automated melting point system interfaced through a PC and are uncorrected. ML140 and **1b** were synthesized as previously described.<sup>13</sup>

HPLC/MS analysis was carried out with gradient elution (5% CH<sub>3</sub>CN to 100% CH<sub>3</sub>CN) on an Agilent 1200 RRLC with a photodiode array UV detector and an Agilent 6224 TOF mass spectrometer (also used to produce high resolution mass spectra). HPLC purification was carried out by mass-directed fractionation (MDF) with gradient elution (a narrow CH<sub>3</sub>CN gradient was chosen based on the retention time of the target from LCMS analysis of the crude sample) on an Agilent 1200 instrument with photodiode array detector, an Agilent 6120 quadrupole mass spectrometer, and a HTPAL LEAP autosampler. Fraction collection was triggered using an MS and UV threshold determined by HPLC/MS analysis of the crude sample. One of two column/mobile phase conditions was chosen for both analysis and

purification to promote the target compound's neutral state (0.02% formic acid with Waters Atlantis T3 5um,  $19 \times 150$  mm; or pH 9.8 NH<sub>4</sub>OH with Waters XBridge C18 5 um,  $19 \times 150$  mm).

## 4.2 Synthesis of carboxylic acid fragments 2a-2c

**4.2.1.1. Methyl 2-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carboxylate**—To a solution of methyl 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carboxylate (171 mg, 0.894 mmol) and triethylamine (271 mg, 2.68 mmol, 3.0 equiv) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (15 mL) was added *p*-toluenesulfonyl chloride (256 mg, 1.34 mmol, 1.5 equiv). The reaction was stirred at rt for 15 h, diluted with 1 N HCl and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The combined organics were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), concentrated and purified by silica gel chromatography to afford the sulfonamide product as a white solid (231 mg, 0.669 mmol, 75% yield). Mp = 143–145 °C; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.34 (25% EtOAc/hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.41 (s, 3H), 2.96 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.36 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.88 (s, 3H),4.28 (s, 2H), 7.10 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.72 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.77 (s, 1H), 7.79 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, APT pulse sequence)  $\delta$  d 21.5, 52.1, 126.6, 127.4, 127.7, 129.8, 130.2; u 28.8, 43.6, 47.7, 128.7, 133.2, 133.4, 136.9, 143.9, 166.7; IR 1718 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>) 346.1108, found 346.1116.

**4.2.1.2. 2-Tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carboxylicacid (2a)**—To a solution of the above methyl ester (298 mg, 0.765 mmol) in THF:MeOH:water (3:1:1, 10 mL) was added lithium hydroxide monohydrate (160 mg, 3.83 mmol, 5 equiv) and the reaction stirred at rt for 15 h. The THF and MeOH were removed in vacuo and the reaction concentrate was acidified with 2 N HCl, precipitating the carboxylic acid product as a sparingly soluble white solid (213 mg, 0.643 mmol, 84% yield), which was filtered, washed with water, dried under vacuum and used without further purification. Mp = 234–240 °C; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.58 (10% MeOH and 2% AcOH in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*6)  $\delta$  2.39 (s, 3H), 2.91 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.30 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 4.25 (s, 2H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.70–7.74 (complex, 4H), 12.89 (br s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*6, APT pulse sequence)  $\delta$  d 21.0, 126.7, 126.9, 127.4, 129.7, 129.9; u 27.9, 43.3, 47.3, 129.1, 133.0, 133.4, 136.7, 143.7, 167.0; IR 1678 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>16</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S ([M-H]<sup>-</sup>) 330.0806, found 330.0807.

### 4.2.2.1. Methyl 2-((4-ethylphenyl)sulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-

**carboxylate**—Methyl 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carboxylate (182 mg, 0.952 mmol) and 4-ethyl-benzenesulfonyl chloride (292 mg, 1.43 mmol, 1.5 equiv) were reacted according to the protocol in section 4.2.1.1. to afford the sulfonamide product as a white solid (285 mg, 0.793 mmol, 83% yield). Mp =128–130 °C;  $R_f = 0.40$  (25% EtOAc/hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.23 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 2.69 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.95 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.36 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 4.28 (s, 2H), 7.09 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.74–7.79 (complex, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, APT pulse sequence)  $\delta$  d 14.9, 51.9, 126.4, 127.2, 127.7, 128.5, 130.0; u 28.62, 28.65, 43.5, 47.6, 128.6, 133.2, 133.3, 136.8, 149.8, 166.5; IR 1717 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>) 360.1264, found 360.1274.

**4.2.2.2.** 2-((4-Ethylphenyl)sulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carboxylic acid (2b)—The above methyl ester (252 mg, 0.701 mmol) was reacted according the protocol in section 4.2.1.2. to afford the carboxylic acid product as a sparingly soluble white solid (216 mg, 0.625 mmol, 89% yield), which was filtered, washed with water, dried under vacuum and used without further purification. Mp = 208– 213 °C;  $R_f$  = 0.58 (10% MeOH and 2% AcOH in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$  1.18 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 3 H), 2.68 (q, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.91 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.31 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 4.26 (s, 2H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.69–7.76 (complex, 4H), 12.91 (br s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d6, APT pulse sequence)  $\delta$  d 15.0, 126.7, 126.8, 127.5, 128.7, 129.7; u 28.0, 43.3, 47.3, 129.1, 133.2, 133.4, 136.6, 149.6, 167.0; IR 1683 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>) 346.1113, found 346.1114.

# 4.2.3.1. Methyl 2-((2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)sulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-

**tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carboxylate**—Methyl 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carboxylate (191 mg, 0.999 mmol) and 2,4,6-trimethyl-benzenesulfonyl chloride (328 mg, 1.50 mmol, 1.5 equiv) were reacted according to the protocol in section 4.2.1.1. to afford the sulfonamide product as a white solid (188 mg, 0.503 mmol, 50% yield). Mp = 142–143 °C;  $R_f = 0.50$  (25% EtOAc/hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.29 (s, 3H), 2.63 (s, 6H), 2.91 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.47 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 4.40 (s, 2H), 6.96 (s, 2H), 7.12 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, APT pulse sequence)  $\delta$  d 21.0, 22.8, 52.1, 126.6, 127.4, 130.3, 132.0; u 28.6, 41.9, 45.9, 128.7, 131.7, 133.8, 137.3, 140.5, 142.9, 166.7; IR 1718 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>24</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>) 374.1426, found 374.1424.

**4.2.3.2.** 2-((2,4,6-Trimethylphenyl)sulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-6carboxylic acid (2c)—The above methyl ester (146 mg, 0.391 mmol) was reacted according to the protocol in section 4.2.1.2. to afford the carboxylic acid product as a sparingly soluble white solid (137 mg, 0.381 mmol, 97% yield), which was filtered, washed with water, dried under vacuum and used without further purification. Mp = 222–233 °C; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.55 (10% MeOH and 2% AcOH in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*6)  $\delta$  2.28 (s, 3H), 2.55 (s, 6H), 2.87 (t, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 3.43 (t, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 4.36 (s, 2H), 7.08 (s, 2H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.71–7.73 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*6, APT pulse sequence)  $\delta$  d 20.5, 22.3, 126.8, 126.9, 129.9, 131.9; u 27.8, 41.6, 45.5, 129.2, 133.8, 137.2, 139.6, 142.6, 167.1; IR 1683 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S ([M +H]<sup>+</sup>) 360.1264, found 360.1270.

### 4.3. Conversion of carboxylic acids 2a-2c to the acid chlorides

**4.3.1. 2-Tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carbonylchloride**—The carboxylic acid **2a** (145 mg, 0.438 mmol) was dissolved in thionyl chloride (0.95 mL, 13.13 mmol, 30 equiv) and heated at 65 °C for 4 h. Excess thionyl chloride was removed in vacuo and the residue azeotropically dried with toluene ( $3 \times 5$  mL) to afford the acid chloride as a white solid (147 mg, 0.420 mmol, 96% yield), which was used without further purification. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.36 (s, 3H), 2.94 (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 3.32 (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 4.25 (s, 2H), 7.11 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.25–7.30 (m, 2H), 7.63–7.69 (m, 2H), 7.77–7.85 (m, 2H).

**4.3.2. 2-((4-Ethylphenyl)sulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carbonyl chloride**—The carboxylic acid **2b** (303 mg, 0.878 mmol) was reacted according to the protocol in section 4.3.1. to afford the acid chloride as a white solid (322 mg, 0.860 mmol, 98% yield), which was used without further purification. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.19 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 2.65 (q, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.95 (t, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 3.33 (t, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 4.25 (s, 2H), 7.11 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.27–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.65–7.71 (m, 2H), 7.77–7.85 (m, 2H).

4.3.3. 2-(MesityIsulfonyI)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carbonyl chloride—

The carboxylic acid **2c** (38 mg, 0.106 mmol) was reacted according to the protocol in section 4.3.1. to afford the acid chloride as a white solid (39 mg, 0.103 mmol, 98% yield), which was used without further purification. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.31 (s,, 3H), 2.63 (s, 6H), 2.95 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.493 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 4.43 (s, 2H), 6.97 (s, 2H), 7.21 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (s, 1H), 7.90 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H).

### 4.4. Synthesis of diamine fragments 4a-4d

**4.4.1.1. 2-(Benzyl(***tert***-butyl)amino)acetonitrile**—To a solution of *N*-benzyl-*tert*butylamine (930 mg, 5.70 mmol) in MeCN (15 mL) was added K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (1,575 mg, 11.40 mmol, 2 equiv), potassium iodide (946 mg, 5.70 mmol, 1 equiv) and chloroacetonitrile (1,720 mg, 22.79 mmol, 4 equiv). The reaction was heated at 75 °C for 16 h, cooled to rt and partitioned between water (150 mL) and ethyl ether ( $3 \times 75$  mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (50 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), adsorbed onto celite and purified by silica gel chromatography to afford the nitrile product as a colorless oil (846 mg, 4.18 mmol, 73% yield). R<sub>f</sub> = 0.49 (10% EtOAc/hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.29 (s, 9H), 3.43 (s, 2H), 3.82 (s, 2H), 7.23–7.28 (m, 1H), 7.29–7.36 (complex, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, APT pulse sequence)  $\delta$  d 27.4, 127.5, 128.5, 128.6; u 35.7, 51.4, 55.2, 118.0, 138.9; IR 2975, 1454, 1366, 1202 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>2</sub> ([M +H]<sup>+</sup>) 203.1543, found 203.1536.

**4.4.1.2.**  $\mathbb{N}^{1}$ -benzyl- $\mathbb{N}^{1}$ -(tert-butyl)ethane-1,2-diamine (4a)—To a solution of the nitrile from 4.4.1.1. (255 mg, 1.26 mmol) in THF (25 mL) was added LiAlH<sub>4</sub> solution (1 M in THF, 5.0 mL, 5.04 mmol, 4 equiv) at rt. The reaction was stirred at rt for 4 h and quenched by the careful addition of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>•10H<sub>2</sub>O (20 g). The reaction was filtered and the solids washed with ethyl ether (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (50 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and purified by silica gel chromatography to afford the diamine fragment **4a** as a colorless oil (64 mg, 0.31 mmol, 25% yield). R<sub>f</sub> = 0.49 (10% MeOH + 2% NH<sub>4</sub>OH in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.12 (s, 9H), 2.43 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.62 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 3.67 (s, 2H), 7.18 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, APT pulse sequence)  $\delta$  d 27.5, 126.4, 127.7, 128.1; u 42.2, 54.4, 55.1, 55.3, 143.6; IR 2967, 2869, 1576, 1469, 1452, 1361 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N<sub>2</sub> ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>) 207.1861, found 207.1848.

**4.4.2.1. 2-((4-Fluorobenzyl)(***tert***-butyl)amino)acetonitrile**—*N*-(4-Fluoromobenzyl)*tert*-butylamine (900 mg, 4.97 mmol) was reacted according to the protocol in section 4.4.1.1. to afford the nitrile product as a colorless oil (1,038 mg, 4.71 mmol, 95% yield). R<sub>f</sub>

= 0.49 (10% EtOAc/hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.29 (s, 9H), 3.42 (s, 2H), 3.80 (s, 2H), 7.00 (t, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (dd, *J* = 5.6, 8.8 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, APT pulse sequence)  $\delta$  d 27.4, 115.5 (d, *J* = 21.5 Hz), 130.1 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz); u 35.6, 50.7, 55.3, 117.9, 134.5, 162.3 (d, *J* = 246.3 Hz); IR 2975, 1604, 1508, 1367, 1219 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m*/z calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>18</sub>FN<sub>2</sub> ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>) 221.1449, found 221.1438.

**4.4.2.2.**  $N^{1}$ -(*tert*-Butyl)- $N^{1}$ -(4-fluorobenzyl)ethane-1,2-diamine (4b)—The nitrile from 4.4.2.1. (582 mg, 2.64 mmol) was reacted according to the protocol in section 4.4.1.2. to afford the diamine fragment 4b as a colorless oil (422 mg, 1.88 mmol, 71% yield). R<sub>f</sub> = 0.46 (10% MeOH + 2% NH<sub>4</sub>OH in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.11 (s, 9H), 2.42 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.61 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 3.63 (s, 2H), 6.96 (t, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (dd, *J* = 6.4, 8.8 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, APT pulse sequence)  $\delta$  d 27.4, 114.8 (d, *J* = 21.2 Hz), 129.0 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz); u 42.1, 54.3, 54.5, 55.1, 139.1, 161.6 (d, *J* = 244.6 Hz); IR 2970, 2870, 1603, 1506, 1362 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>22</sub>FN<sub>2</sub> ([M +H]<sup>+</sup>) 225.1767, found 225.1756.

**4.4.3.1. 2-((4-Chlorobenzyl)(***tert***-butyl)amino)acetonitrile**—*N*-(4-Chlorobenzyl)*tert*-butylamine (700 mg, 3.54 mmol) was reacted according to the protocol in section 4.4.1.1. to afford the nitrile product as a white solid (685 mg, 2.89 mmol, 82% yield). Mp = 65–67 °C;  $R_f = 0.49$  (10% EtOAc/hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.27 (s, 9H), 3.41 (s, 2H), 3.78 (s, 2H), 7.27 (s, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, APT pulse sequence)  $\delta$ d 27.2, 128.6, 129.8; u 35.6, 50.7, 55.2, 117.7, 133.0, 137.4; IR 2975, 1597, 1490, 1368, 1201 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>18</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub> ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>) 237.1153, found 237.1141.

**4.4.3.2.**  $N^{1}$ -(*tert*-Butyl)- $N^{1}$ -(4-chlorobenzyl)ethane-1,2-diamine (4c)—The nitrile from 4.4.3.1. (384 mg, 1.62 mmol) was reacted according to the protocol in section 4.4.1.2. to afford the diamine fragment 4c as a colorless oil (248 mg, 1.03 mmol, 64% yield). R<sub>f</sub> = 0.51 (10% MeOH + 2% NH<sub>4</sub>OH in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.10 (s, 9H), 2.44 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.61 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 3.63 (s, 2H), 7.22 – 7.30 (complex, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, APT pulse sequence)  $\delta$  d 27.4, 128.2, 128.9; u 42.2, 54.5, 54.6, 55.2, 131.9, 142.2; IR 2969, 2869, 1488, 1363 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>22</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub> ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>) 241.1472, found 241.1462.

**4.4.4.1. 2-((4-Bromobenzyl)**(*tert*-butyl)amino)acetonitrile *A*—*N*-(4-Bromobenzyl)*tert*-butylamine (920 mg, 3.80 mmol) was reacted according to the protocol in section 4.4.1.1. to afford the nitrile product as a white solid (906 mg, 3.22 mmol, 85% yield). Mp = 64–66 °C;  $R_f = 0.49$  (10% EtOAc/hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.26 (s, 9H), 3.40 (s, 2H), 3.76 (s, 2H), 7.21 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, APT pulse sequence)  $\delta$  d 27.2, 130.1, 131.5; u 35.6, 50.7, 55.1, 117.6, 121.1, 137.9; IR 2976, 1592, 1485, 1366, 1203 cm<sup>-1</sup>;HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>18</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub> ([M +H]<sup>+</sup>) 281.0648, found 281.0644.

**4.4.4.2.** *M*<sup>1</sup>-(*tert*-Butyl)-*N*<sup>1</sup>-(4-bromobenzyl)ethane-1,2-diamine (4d)—To a solution of the nitrile from 4.4.4.1. (195 mg, 0.69 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added LiAlH<sub>4</sub> solution (1 M in THF, 0.7 mL, 0.69 mmol, 1 equiv) at 0 °C. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C

for 4 h and quenched by the careful addition of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>•10H<sub>2</sub>O (20 g). The reaction was filtered and the solids washed with ethyl ether (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (50 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and purified by silica gel chromatography to afford the diamine fragment **4d** as a colorless oil (106 mg, 0.37 mmol, 54% yield). R<sub>f</sub> = 0.46 (10% MeOH + 2% NH<sub>4</sub>OH in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.10 (s, 9H), 2.44 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.61 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.61 (s, 2H), 7.23 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, APT pulse sequence)  $\delta$  d 27.4, 129.4, 131.4; u 42.2, 54.5, 54.7, 55.3, 120.1, 142.6; IR 2968, 2868, 1596, 1473, 1451, 1360 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>22</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub>([M+H]<sup>+</sup>) 285.0966, found 285.0960.

# 4.5. Synthesis of compounds 1a, 1c-1m

### 4.5.1. N-(2-(Benzyl(isopropyl)amino)ethyl)-N-methyl-4-((N,4-

dimethylphenylsulfonamido)methyl)benzamide (1a)—To a solution of ML140 (41 mg, 0.085 mmol) in DMF (1 mL) was added sodium hydride, 60% dispersion in mineral oil (10 mg, .256 mmol, 3 equiv). The reaction was stirred for 10 min at rt and methyl iodide (30 mg, 0.215 mmol, 2.5 equiv) was added. The reaction was stirred at rt for 17 h and partitioned between aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The organics were separated and the aqueous layer extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 × 5 mL) and the combined organic layers were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), concentrated and purified by silica gel chromatography to afford **1a** as a light yellow oil (36 mg, 0.071 mmol, 83% yield).  $R_f = 0.49$  (75% EtOAc/hexanes); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) (ca. 1:1 mixture of rotomers)  $\delta$  0.92 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.06 (d, J = 6.4Hz, 3H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 2.50 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.54 (s, 1.5H), 2.59 (s, 1.5H), 2.73 (m, 1.5H), 2.85 (s, 1.5H), 2.93 (s, 1.5H), 3.04 (m, 0.5H), 4.14 (m, 1 H), 3.41 (s, 1 H), 3.49 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.63 (s, 1 H), 4.13 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.19–7.37 (complex, 11H), 7.73 (d, J =8.0 Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, APT pulse sequence) (mixture of rotomers) δ d 17.9, 21.5, 33.6, 34.3, 34.5, 38.6, 49.8, 50.5, 126.7, 126.9, 127.0, 127.3, 127.5, 128.2, 128.4, 128.7, 129.8; u 46.9, 47.1, 47.9, 51.0, 53.9, 54.6, 134.4, 136.4, 136.5, 136.9, 137.0, 140.4, 140.9, 143.6, 170.7, 171.5; IR 2964, 2928, 1629 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for  $C_{29}H_{38}N_3O_3S([M+H]^+)$  508.2628, found 508.2629; HPLC purity = 97.4%.

# 4.5.2. *N*-(2-(Benzyl(isopropyl)amino)ethyl)-2-(mesitylsulfonyl)-1,2,3,4tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carboxamide (1c)—To a solution of 2-

(mesitylsulfonyl)-1,2,3,4- tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carbonyl chloride (20 mg, 0.054 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 mL) was added diamine fragment **3a** (10 mg, 0.054 mmol) and triethylamine (0.019 mL, 0.14 mmol, 2.6 equiv). The reaction was stirred for 48 h at rt, aqueous, saturated sodium bicarbonate solution (2 mL) was added and all solvents removed in vacuo. The residue was extracted with a solution of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>:MeOH (5:1, 6 mL). The filtrate was dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), evaporated and purified by mass-directed, reverse phase preparative HPLC to afford **1c** (9 mg, 0.017 mmol, 31% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  1.00 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 6H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 2.57 (s, 6H), 2.61 (t, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.83 (t, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 2.94 (sep, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.29 (q, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 2H), 3.41 (t, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 3.49 (s, 2H), 4.33 (s, 2H), 6.47 (br s, 1H), 6.90 (s, 2H), 7.03 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.15 – 7.30 (m, 6H), 7.39 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  18.0, 21.0, 22.9, 28.7, 37.5, 41.9, 45.7, 47.8, 49.7, 53.7, 124.4, 126.6, 127.0, 127.9, 128.5, 128.6, 131.6, 132.0, 133.3, 133.8, 135.4, 140.6,

140.8,142.9, 166.7; IR 2966, 1648, 1544, 1494 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd for  $C_{31}H_{39}N_3O_3S$  ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>), 534.2790, found 534.2804;HPLC purity = 98.7%.

# 4.5.3. *N*-(2-((4-Fluorobenzyl)(isopropyl)amino)ethyl)-2-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carboxamide (1d)—2-Tosyl-1,2,3,4-

tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carbonyl chloride (20 mg, 0.054 mmol) and diamine fragment **3b** (11 mg, 0.054 mmol) were reacted according the protocol in section 4.5.2. to afford **1d** (15 mg, 0.028 mmol, 52% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  0.96 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 6H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 2.51 (m, 2H), 2.82 – 2.90 (m, 3H), 3.22 (m, 2H), 3.29 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 3.54 (s, 2H), 4.21 (s, 2H), 7.06 (t, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (dd, *J* = 6.4, 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.43 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (m, 2H), 7.72 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  17.9, 21.0, 28.0, 40.4, 43.4, 47.2, 48.4, 49.3, 53.0, 114.7 (d, *J* = 21.1 Hz), 124.7, 126.4, 127.45, 127.49, 129.9 130.0, 132.90, 132.96, 132.99, 134.6, 137.0 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz), 143.7, 161.0 (d, *J* = 242.3 Hz), 165.6; IR 2966, 1649, 1508 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m*/z calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>35</sub>FN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>), 524.2383, found 524.2401; HPLC purity = 97.0%.

# 4.5.4. *N*-(2-((4-Chlorobenzyl)(isopropyl)amino)ethyl)-2-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carboxamide (1e)—2-Tosyl-1,2,3,4-

tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carbonyl chloride (20 mg, 0.054 mmol) and diamine fragment **3c** (12 mg, 0.054 mmol) were reacted according the protocol in section 4.5.2. to afford **1e** (13 mg, 0.024 mmol, 45% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  0.95 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 6H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.55 (m, 2H), 2.85 (m, 2H), 3.24 – 3.28 (m, 4H), 3.41 (s, 2H), 4.17 (s, 2H), 6.97 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.07 – 7.12 (complex, 4H), 7.15 (s, 1H), 7.19 (m, 1H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (br s, 1H), 7.62 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  17.9, 21.0, 28.0, 40.4, 43.4, 47.2, 48.6, 49.5, 53.0, 124.7, 126.4, 127.4, 127.5, 127.9, 129.86, 129.95, 130.2, 130.9, 132.9, 133.0, 134.6, 140.1 143.6, 165.6; IR 2966, 1647, 1543, 1491 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m*/z calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>35</sub>ClN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>), 540.2088, found 540.2104; HPLC purity = 100.0%.

# 4.5.5. *N*-(2-((4-Bromobenzyl)(isopropyl)amino)ethyl)-2-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carboxamide (1f)—2-Tosyl-1,2,3,4-

tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carbonyl chloride (20 mg, 0.054 mmol) and diamine fragment **3d** (15 mg, 0.054 mmol) were reacted according the protocol in section 4.5.2. to afford **1f** (18 mg, 0.30 mmol, 56% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.98 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 6H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 2.58 (t, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 2.88 – 2.94 (m, 3H), 3.26 – 3.31 (m, 4H), 3.42 (s, 2H), 4.21 (s, 2H), 6.39 (br s, 1 H), 7.01 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.22 – 7.28 (complex, 5H), 7.34 (s, 1H), 7.66 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  18.1, 21.6, 29.0, 37.8, 43.7, 47.6, 48.2, 49.9, 53.2, 120.7, 124.4, 126.6, 127.75, 127.82, 129.9, 130.4, 131.6, 133.2, 133.3, 133.7, 135.1, 139.9 144.0, 166.9; IR 2965, 1646, 1541, 1486 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcdl for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>34</sub>BrN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>), 586.1562, found 586.1585; HPLC purity = 94.6%.

# **4.5.6.** *N*-(2-((4-Bromobenzyl)(isopropyl)amino)ethyl)-2-((4-ethylphenyl)sulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carboxamide (1g)—2-((4-Ethylphenyl)sulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carbonyl chloride (160 mg, 0.44)

mmol) and diamine fragment **3d** (119 mg, 0.44 mmol) were reacted according the protocol in section 4.5.2. to afford **1g** (122 mg, 0.21 mmol, 47% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  0.95 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H), 1.15 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 2.55 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.61 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.83 – 2.90 (m, 3H), 3.22 – 3.28 (m, 4H) 3.40 (s, 2H), 4.18 (s, 2H), 6.52 (br s, 1H), 6.99 (d, j = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H) 7.21 – 7.27 (complex, 5H), 7.33 (s, 1H), 7.65 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  15.0, 17.8, 27.98, 28.03, 40.4, 43.4, 47.2, 48.6, 49.5, 53.1, 119.3, 124.7, 126.3, 127.47, 127.55, 128.7, 130.3, 130.8, 132.92, 132.93, 133.1, 134.6, 140.5149.5, 165.6; IR 2965, 1646, 1543, 1486 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>36</sub>BrN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>), 600.1719, found 600.1740;HPLC purity = 98.1%.

# 4.5.7. *N*-(2-(Benzyl(*tert*-butyl)amino)ethyl)-2-tosyl-1,2,3,4tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carboxamide (1h)—2-Tosyl-1,2,3,4-

tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carbonyl chloride (20 mg, 0.054 mmol) and diamine fragment **4a** (11 mg, 0.054 mmol) were reacted according the protocol in section 4.5.2. to afford **1h** (26 mg, 0.049 mmol, 91% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.10 (s, 9H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.76 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.89 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.12 (q, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 3.30 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.64 (s, 2H), 4.21 (s, 2H), 6.22 (br s, 1H), 6.98 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (m, 1H), 7.16 – 7.22 (m, 3H), 7.27 – 7.29 (complex, 5H), 7.66 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  21.6, 27.5, 28.9, 39.9, 43.7, 47.6, 50.1, 55.2, 55.6, 124.5, 126.5, 126.8, 127.7. 127.8, 127.9, 128.5, 129.9, 133.2, 133.36, 133.42, 134.9,142.9, 143.9, 166.7; IR 2989, 1628, 1538, 1497, 1460 cm<sup>-1</sup>;HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>37</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>), 520.2634,found 520.2650; HPLC purity = 97.5%.

**4.5.8.** *N*-(2-(Benzyl(*tert*-butyl)amino)ethyl) -((4-ethylphenyl)sulfonyl) -1,2,3,4tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carboxamide (1i)—2-((4-Ethylphenyl)sulfonyl)-1,2,3,4tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carboxyl chloride (160 mg, 0.44 mmol) and diamine fragment **4a** (91 mg, 0.44 mmol) were reacted according the protocol in section 4.5.2. to afford **1i** (66 mg, 0.12 mmol, 28% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.11 (s, 9H), 1.20 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 2.67 (q, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.77 (t, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 2H), 2.90 (t, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 3.12 (q, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 3.31 (t, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 3.65 (s, 2H), 4.21 (s, 2H), 6.37 (br s, 1H), 7.00 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.07 – 7.11 (m, 1H), 7.16 – 7.21 (m, 2H), 7.24 – 7.27 (m, 1H), 7.29 – 7.33 (m, 5H), 7.67 – 7.71 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  15.0, 27.3, 28.7, 28.8, 39.9, 40.9, 43.6, 47.5, 50.0, 55.1, 124.5, 126.4, 126.6, 127.6, 127.76, 127.81, 128.3, 128.6, 133.1, 133.2, 134.7, 142.8, 149.9, 166.6; IR 2968, 1645, 1540, 1494 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>39</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>), 534.2790, found 534.2801; HPLC purity = 94.7%.

# 4.5.9. *N*-(2-((4-Fluorobenzyl)(*tert*-butyl)amino)ethyl)-2-tosyl-1,2,3,4tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carboxamide (1j)—2-Tosyl-1,2,3,4-

tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carbonyl chloride (20 mg, 0.054 mmol) and diamine fragment **4b** (12 mg, 0.054 mmol) were reacted according the protocol in section 4.5.2. to afford **1j** (28 mg, 0.052 mmol, 96% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.08 (s, 9H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 2.73 (t, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 2H), 2.87 (t, *J* = 5.9Hz, 2H), 3.10 (q, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 3.29 (t, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 3.58 (s, 2H), 4.20 (s, 2H), 6.10 (br s, 1H), 6.77 – 6.84 (m, 2H), 6.97 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.18 – 7.28 (m, 6H), 7.64 – 7.67 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  21.5, 27.4, 28.8, 40.1,

43.6, 47.5, 50.0, 54.5, 55.5, 115.2 (d, J = 21.2 Hz), 124.3, 126.4, 127.6, 127.7, 129.3 (d, J = 8.0 Hz), 129.8, 133.2, 133.3, 133.5, 135.0, 138.3 (d, J = 4.0 Hz), 143.9, 161.6 (d, J = 245.4 Hz), 166.7; IR 2970, 1643, 1541, 1506 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>36</sub>FN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>), 538.2540, found 538.2554; HPLC purity = 96.3%.

# 4.5.10. N-(2-((4-Fluorobenzyl)(tert-butyl)amino)ethyl)-2-

(mesitylsulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carboxamide (1k)—2-(mesitylsulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carbonyl chloride (20 mg, 0.054 mmol) and diamine fragment **4b** (12 mg, 0.054 mmol) were reacted according the protocol in section 4.5.2. to afford **1k** (14 mg, 0.024 mmol, 45% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 1.08 (s, 9H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 2.54 (s, 6H), 2.73 (t, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 2H), 2.87 (t, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 3.10 (q, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 3.29 (t, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 3.58 (s, 2H), 4.20 (s, 2H), 6.10 (br s, 1H), 6.77 – 6.84 (m, 2H), 6.97 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.18 – 7.28 (m, 6H), 7.64 – 7.67 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  21.5, 27.4, 28.8, 40.1, 43.6, 47.5, 50.0, 54.5, 55.5, 115.2 (d, *J* = 21.2 Hz), 124.3, 126.4, 127.6, 127.7, 129.3 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 129.8, 133.2, 133.3, 133.5, 135.0, 138.3 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz), 143.9, 161.6 (d, *J* = 245.4 Hz), 166.7; IR 2971, 1644, 1604, 1543, 1507 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>41</sub>FN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>), 566.2853, found 566.2871; HPLC purity = 99.1%.

# 4.5.11. *N*-(2-((4-Chlorobenzyl)(*tert*-butyl)amino)ethyl)-2-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carboxamide (11)—2-Tosyl-1,2,3,4-

tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carbonyl chloride (20 mg, 0.054 mmol) and diamine fragment **4c** (13 mg, 0.054 mmol) were reacted according the protocol in section 4.5.2. to afford **1l** (25 mg, 0.046 mmol, 85% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.09 (s, 9H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 2.64 (m, 2H), 2.87 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.04 (m, 2H), 3.28 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.69 (s, 2H), 4.20 (s, 2H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (m, 2H), 7.39 – 7.45 (m, 4H), 7.53 (m, 2H), 7.71 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  21.0, 27.1, 28.0, 40.4, 43.4, 47.2, 49.9, 53.4, 54.8, 124.7, 126.3, 127.42, 127.45, 127.8, 129.3, 129.9, 130.5, 132.77, 132.84, 132.9, 134.6, 142.1, 143.6, 165.5; IR 2969, 1644, 1541, 1489 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>37</sub>ClN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>), 554.2244, found 554.2261; HPLC purity = 99.2%.

# 4.5.12. *N* -(2-((4-Bromobenzyl)(*tert*-butyl)amino)ethyl)-2-tosyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carboxamide (1m)—2-Tosyl-1,2,3,4-

tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carbonyl chloride (20 mg, 0.054 mmol) and diamine fragment **4d** (15 mg, 0.054 mmol) were reacted according the protocol in section 4.5.2. to afford **1m** (7.8 mg, 0.013 mmol, 24% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.08 (s, 9H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 2.74 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.89 (t, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 3.13 (q, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 3.27 – 3.32 (m, 2H), 3.56 (s, 2H), 4.21 (s, 2H), 6.07 (br s, 1H), 7.00 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.11 – 7.20 (m, 3H), 7.23 – 7.30 (m, 5H), 7.64 – 7.68 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  21.6, 27.3, 28.9, 40.1, 43.6, 47.5, 50.2, 54.6, 55.6, 120.3, 124.3, 126.5, 127.6, 127.7, 129.5, 129.8, 131.5, 133.21, 133.23, 133.6, 135.0, 141.9, 143.8, 166.7; IR 2980, 1652, 1521, 1489 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>36</sub>BrN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>), 600.1719, found 600.1740; HPLC purity = 94.1%.

# 4.5.13. *N*-(2-((4-Bromobenzyl)(*tert*-butyl)amino)ethyl)-2-(mesitylsulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carboxamide (1n)—2-

(mesitylsulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carbonyl chloride (20 mg, 0.054 mmol) and diamine fragment **4d** (15 mg, 0.054 mmol) were reacted according the protocol in section 4.5.2. to afford **1n** (10 mg, 0.016 mmol, 29% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.17 (s, 9H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 2.66 (s, 6H), 2.83 (m, 2H), 2.92 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.33 (q, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.50 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.66 (s, 2H), 4.42 (s, 2H), 6.18 (br s, 1H), 6.99 (s, 2H), 7.12 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (m, 1H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  21.1, 23.0, 27.5, 28.8, 40.2, 42.0, 45.8, 50.3, 54.8, 55.7, 120.4, 124.3, 126.8, 127.9, 129.6, 131.6, 131.7, 132.1, 133.4, 134.0. 135.6, 140.7, 142.0, 143.0, 166.9; IR 2972, 1647, 1533,1486 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>40</sub>BrN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>),628.2032, found 628.2049; HPLC purity = 98.6%.

# 4.6. In vitro assay methods

**4.6.1. Compounds and reagents**—(+)-( $5\alpha$ , $7\alpha$ , $8\beta$ )-*N*-Methyl-*N*-(7-(1-pyrrolidinyl)-1oxaspiro(4.5)dec-8-yl)-benzeneacetamide (U69,593) and *nor*-binaltorphimine dihydrochloride (norBNI) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. U69,593 was prepared in ethanol as a 10 mM stock, norBNI was prepared in water as a 10 mM stock, and test compounds were prepared as 10 mM stocks in DMSO (Fisher). All compounds were then diluted to working concentrations in vehicle for each assay without exceeding 1% DMSO or ethanol concentrations. [ $^{35}$ S]GTP $\gamma$ S was purchased from PerkinElmer Life Sciences. Phospho-ERK1/2 and total ERK1/2 antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling (Beverly, MA) and Li-Cor secondary antibodies (anti-rabbit IRDye800CW and anti-mouse IRDye680LT) were purchased from Li-Cor Biosciences.

**4.6.2. Cell lines and cell culture**—Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells were virally transfected to express HA-tagged recombinant human kappa opioid receptors (CHO-hKOR cell line) and maintained in DMEM/F-12 media (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 500 µg/ml geneticin as previously described.<sup>14</sup> A DiscoveRx PathHunter<sup>TM</sup> U2OS cell line expressing βarrestin2 and hKOR (U2OS-hKOR-βarrestin2-DX) was purchased from DiscoveRx Corporation (Fremont, CA) and maintained MEM with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 500 µg/ml geneticin and 250 µg/ml hygromycin B. All cells were grown at 37 °C (5% CO<sub>2</sub> and 95% relative humidity).

**4.6.3. G Protein coupling assay**— $[^{35}S]$ GTP $\gamma$ S binding assay was performed following a previously published protocol.<sup>14,18</sup> Briefly, cells were serum-starved for 1 hour and membranes were prepared. Each reaction was performed at room temperature and contained 15 µg of membrane protein, 40 µM GDP, ~0.1 nM [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S and increasing concentrations of compounds in assay buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM EDTA). Directly after the addition of test compounds, 100 nM U69,593 was added to yield a total volume of 200 µL. After 1 hour, reactions were quenched by rapid filtration through GF/B filters and radioactivity was counted with a TopCount NXT high throughput screening microplate scintillation and luminescence counter (PerkinElmer Life Sciences). In some cases, the assays were also carried out as above except that 1 µM U69,593 was used; analogous results were obtained.

# **4.6.4. βArrestin2 recruitment (DiscoveRx PathHunter<sup>TM</sup>)assay**—The PathHunter<sup>TM</sup> assay was performed according to the manufacturer's protocol with slight modification and following previously published protocols.<sup>14,18</sup> Briefly, 5,000 cells/well were plated overnight in Opti-MEM containing 1% fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin/ streptomycin. Cells were pretreated with antagonist for 15 min at 37 °C followed by the addition of 1 µM U69,593 and a 90 minute incubation at 37 °C. PathHunter<sup>TM</sup> detection reagent was added and cells incubated at room temperature for 60 minutes. Chemiluminescence was detected using a SpectraMax<sup>®</sup> M5e Multimode Plate Reader (Molecular Devices).

**4.6.5.** In-cell western ERK1/2 phosphorylation—Antagonist inhibition of U69,593induced ERK phosphorylation was determined by in-cell westerns as previously described.<sup>14,18</sup> Briefly, hKOR-CHO cells were plated in 384-well plate at 15,000 cells per well and incubated at 37 °C overnight. After an hour serum-starve, cells were treated with compound followed by the addition of 100 nM U69,593 and a 10 minute incubation at 37 °C. Cells were fixed, permeabilized, blocked, and stained with primary antibodies for phosphorylated ERK1/2 and total-ERK1/2 (1:300 and 1:400, respectively) at 4 °C overnight. Cells were then incubated with Li-Cor secondary antibodies (anti-rabbit IRDye800CW, 1:500; anti-mouse IRDye680LT, 1:1500) and imaged with the Odyssey Infrared Imager (Li-Cor Biosciences, Lincoln, NE) at 700 and 800 nm.

**4.6.6. Data analysis and statistics**—GraphPad Prism 6.01 software (GraphPad) was used to generate sigmoidal concentration-response curves using a three-parameter, non-linear regression analysis. All compounds were run in parallel assays in 2 - 4 replicates per individual experiment. All studies were performed n 3 independent experiments in multiple replicates. For determination of antagonist inhibition, each individual experiment was normalized to the percentage of maximal U69,593 stimulation. The efficacy and potency values were obtained from the averages of the nonlinear regression analysis performed on each individual curve and are reported as the mean  $\pm$  S.E.M.

# Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

# Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (grant R01 DA031927 to LMB and JA). We thank Ben Neuenswander for performing HPLC compound purification and high resolution mass determinations. Ki determinations were generously provided by the National Institute of Mental Health's Psychoactive Drug Screening Program, Contract # HHSN-271-2013-00017-C (NIMH PDSP). The NIMH PDSP is directed by Bryan L. Roth MD, PhD at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and project officer Jamie Driscoll at NIMH, Bethesda MD, USA.

# References

- 1. Ream AH, Bruchas MR. Anesthesiology. 2011; 115:1363-1381. [PubMed: 22020140]
- 2. Lamberts JT, Traynor JR. Curr Pharm Design. 2013; 19:7333-7347.
- 3. Metcalf MD, Coop A. AAPS J. 2005; 7:E704–E722. [PubMed: 16353947]

- 4. Carlezon WA, Béguin C, Knoll AT, Cohen BM. Pharmacol Ther. 2009; 123:334–343. [PubMed: 19497337]
- 5. Carroll FI, Carlezon WA. J Med Chem. 2013; 56:2178–2195. [PubMed: 23360448]
- Portoghese PS, Lipkowski AW, Takemori AE. J Med Chem. 1987; 30:238–239. [PubMed: 3027336]
- 7. Jones RM, Hjorth SA, Schwartz TW, Portoghese PS. J Med Chem. 1998; 41:4911–4914. [PubMed: 9836606]
- Thomas JB, Atkinson RN, Rothman RB, Fix SE, Mascarella SW, Vinson NA, Xu H, Dersch CM, Lu Y, Cantrell BE, Zimmerman DM, Carroll FI. J Med Chem. 2001; 44:2687–2690. [PubMed: 11495579]
- Bruchas MR, Yang T, Schreiber S, Defino M, Kwan SC, Li S, Chavkin C. J Biol Chem. 2007; 282:29803–29811. [PubMed: 17702750]
- 10. Melief EJ, Miyatake M, Carroll FI, Béguin C, Carlezon WA, Cohen BM, Grimwood S, Mitch CH, Rorick-Kehn L, Chavkin C. Mol Pharmacol. 2011; 80:920–929. [PubMed: 21832171]
- 11. Munro TA, Berry LM, Vant Veer A, Béguin C, Carroll FI, Zhao Z, Carlezon WA, Cohen BM. JMC Pharmacol. 2012; 12:5.
- Patkar KA, Wu J, Ganno ML, Singh HD, Ross NC, Rasakham K, Toll L, McLaughlin JP. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2013; 346:545–554. [PubMed: 23853171]
- 13. Frankowski KJ, et al. ACS Chem Neurosci. 2012; 3:231-236.
- Schmid CL, Streicher JM, Groer CE, Munro TA, Zhou L, Bohn LM. J Biol Chem. 2013; 288:22387–22398. [PubMed: 23775075]
- 15. Strange PG. Br J Pharmacol. 2010; 161:1238–1249. [PubMed: 20662841]
- Osmond RIW, Sheehan A, Borowicz R, Barnett E, Harvey G, Turner C, Brown A, Crouch MF, Dyer AR. J Biomol Screen. 2005; 10:730–737. [PubMed: 16129779]
- 17. Zhao X, Jones A, Olson KR, Peng K, Wehrman T, Park A, Mallari R, Nebalasca D, Young SW, Xiao SH. J Biomol Screen. 2008; 13:737–747. [PubMed: 18660457]
- Zhou L, Lovell KM, Frankowski KJ, Slauson SR, Phillips AM, Streicher JM, Stahl E, Schmid CL, Hodder P, Madoux F, Cameron MD, Prisinzano TE, Aubé J, Bohn LM. J Biol Chem. 2013; 288:36703–36716. [PubMed: 24187130]



norbinaltorphimine (norBNI)

5'-guanidinonaltrindole (GNTI)











## Scheme 1

General scheme for the preparation of 2-(Arylsulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-6-carboxamide derivatives.

Table 1

KOR antagonist potency of ML140 analogues.

| entry/cmpd | ť.             |                                       | Ř          | ICs                                  | $_{0}$ (nM) $\pm$ S.E.N | a                           |
|------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| -          | R <sup>1</sup> | R <sup>2</sup>                        | <b>R</b> 3 | [ <sup>35</sup> S]GTP <sub>Y</sub> S | ERK                     | ßarr2                       |
| norBNI     | I              | I                                     | I          | $0.28\pm0.03$                        | $4.6 \pm 0.7$           | $2.5\pm0.3$                 |
| ML140      | I              | I                                     | I          | $138 \pm 54$                         | $591 \pm 87$            | $403\pm86^b$                |
| la         |                | N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N | $\bigcirc$ | inactive                             | inactive                | inactive                    |
| वा         |                |                                       | Å          | $168 \pm 48$                         | 750 ± 170               | $937 \pm 190^{\mathcal{C}}$ |
| 1c         | 2,4,6-Me       | i-Pr                                  | H          | $113 \pm 42$                         | $1,120 \pm 110$         | $930 \pm 150$               |
| 1d         | 4-Me           | i-Pr                                  | ц          | $29.1 \pm 7.9$                       | $406 \pm 80$            | $478\pm110$                 |
| <b>1</b> e | 4-Me           | i-Pr                                  | CI         | $10.0 \pm 1.4$                       | $400 \pm 87$            | $392 \pm 91$                |
| 1f         | 4-Me           | i-Pr                                  | Br         | $19.7 \pm 6.6$                       | $258 \pm 93$            | $388 \pm 85$                |
| 1g         | 4-Et           | i-Pr                                  | Br         | <b>33 ± 11</b>                       | $314 \pm 56$            | $350\pm68$                  |
| lh         | 4-Me           | tert-Bu                               | Η          | $5.3 \pm 0.6$                        | $49.1 \pm 9.7$          | $104 \pm 8$                 |
| 11         | 4-Et           | tert-Bu                               | Н          | $3.4 \pm 0.4$                        | $143 \pm 23$            | $186 \pm 57$                |
| 1j         | 4-Me           | tert-Bu                               | н          | $3.7 \pm 1.2$                        | $76 \pm 24$             | $104 \pm 32$                |
| 1k         | 2,4,6-Me       | tert-Bu                               | Н          | $85 \pm 20$                          | $1,200\pm300$           | $880 \pm 67$                |
| 11         | 4-Me           | tert-Bu                               | CI         | $1.6 \pm 0.5$                        | $107 \pm 17$            | $84 \pm 20$                 |
| 1m         | 4-Me           | tert-Bu                               | Br         | $2.9 \pm 1.2$                        | $87 \pm 17$             | $93 \pm 30$                 |
| ln         | 2,4,6-Me       | tert-Bu                               | Br         | $106 \pm 16$                         | $1,340\pm410$           | $710 \pm 150$               |
|            |                |                                       |            |                                      |                         |                             |

Bioorg Med Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 July 15.

a 3. All compounds fully blocked U69,593 (>86%). Test compounds were run against the approximate EC80 of U69,593.

b Previous testing of this compound at Sanford-Burnham Medical Research Institute measured a farrestin2 PathHunter<sup>TM</sup> assay potency of 860 nM; here we report the contemporary and optimized value of  $403 \text{ nM}.^{13}$ 

# Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Frankowski et al.

<sup>c</sup> Previous testing of this compound at Sanford-Burnham Medical Research Institute measured a DiscoveRx βarrestin2 PathHunter<sup>TM</sup> assay potency of 660 nM; here we report the contemporary and optimized value of 937 nM.<sup>13</sup>

# Table 2

KOR, DOR and MOR binding affinity for ML140 and select analogues.

| entry/cmpd | <u> </u> |                 | ø              | receptor bin | ding affinity, K | , values (nM) <sup>a</sup> |
|------------|----------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|------------------|----------------------------|
|            | <b>N</b> | R <sup>2</sup>  | R <sup>3</sup> | KOR          | DOR              | MOR                        |
| ML140      | I        | I               | I              | 50           | 1,088            | 306                        |
| If         | 4-Me     | i-Pr            | Br             | 73           | 5,190            | 8,170                      |
| 1h         | 4-Me     | <i>tert</i> -Bu | Н              | 37           | 2,328            | 1,749                      |
| 11         | 4-Me     | <i>tert</i> -Bu | IJ             | 53           | >10,000          | >10,000                    |
|            |          |                 |                |              |                  |                            |

 $^{a}$ Ki determinations calculated from a 12-point concentration response curve (n=1) conducted by the Psychoactive Drug Screening Program using the rat KOR expressed in HEK-293 cells. In those studies, the KD of U69,593 was determined to be 1 nM.