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#### Abstract

A newly validated target for tuberculosis treatment is phosphopantetheinyl transferase, an essential enzyme that plays a critical role in the biosynthesis of cellular lipids and virulence factors in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. The structure-activity relationships of a recently disclosed inhibitor, amidinourea (AU) 8918 (1), were explored, focusing on the biochemical potency, determination of whole-cell on-target activity for active compounds, and profiling of selective active congeners. These studies show that the AU moiety in AU 8918 is largely optimized and that potency enhancements are obtained in analogues containing a para-substituted aromatic ring. Preliminary data reveal that while some analogues, including 1 , have demonstrated cardiotoxicity (e.g., changes in cardiomyocyte beat rate, amplitude, and peak width) and inhibit $\mathrm{Ca}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.2$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.5$ ion channels (although not hERG channels), inhibition of the ion channels is largely diminished for some of the para-substituted analogues, such as $\mathbf{5 k}$ ( $p$-benzamide) and $\mathbf{5 n}$ ( $p$-phenylsulfonamide).


## - INTRODUCTION

Nearly 75 years after the introduction of streptomycin, tuberculosis (TB) remains a worldwide threat to human health that is estimated to have resulted in more than 1.5 million deaths in 2020. ${ }^{1}$ Drug treatment of TB today is much the same as it was when rifampicin was introduced in 1968 and came to replace streptomycin as one of the first-line agents used against TB, along with isoniazid, ethambutol, and pyrazinamide. The most prevalent mechanisms of action of older TB drugs are the disruption of cell wall synthesis, inhibition of DNA synthesis and transcription, protein translation, and folate synthesis. Although much is known about the effects of pyrazinamide, one of the most important TB drugs, its specific mechanism of action is still being unraveled and is likely to depend on the location of its intracellular localization in macrophages. ${ }^{2-4}$ A typical course of TB therapy is 6-9 months long, with treatment of drugresistant forms often requiring over 2 years. The challenges posed by the need for lengthy treatments and the concomitant increase of drug-resistant forms of the disease have continued to spur efforts to create new therapies, especially those that function by mechanisms differing from standard TB drugs. ${ }^{5,6}$
Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb), the causative agent of TB, has a complex cell wall that presents a challenge to the permeability of future antibiotic molecules. ${ }^{7}$ However, the cellular functions that create the cell wall provide numerous
drug targets. ${ }^{8}$ Mycolic acids are lipids characteristically found in the cell walls of mycobacteria, and two TB drugs introduced since 2012, delamanid and pretomanid, inhibit mycolic acid biosynthesis, although their mechanisms of action are not fully known. ${ }^{9,10}$ One critical enzyme in early stage lipid biosynthesis in Mtb is phosphopantetheinyl phosphoryl transferase ( PptT ), which catalyzes the transfer of a 4-phosphopanetheinyl moiety of coenzyme A (CoA) to an inactive apo acyl carrier protein (ACP) or a peptidyl carrier protein (Figure 1). ${ }^{11,12}$ This provides a thiol handle onto which a nascent lipid or peptide chain can be attached. The thus equipped, currently active holo ACP is used in orchestrating the elaboration of a growing biosynthetic product. Molecules that depend on PptT for their biosynthesis include fatty acids, such as mycolic acid, as well as a variety of virulence factors, including phthiocerol dimycocerosates, ${ }^{7,13,14}$ siderophores, ${ }^{3,13,15}$ or sulfolipid-1. ${ }^{16}$ In 2012, Leblanc et al. showed that PptT is an essential enzyme for the
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Figure 1. (a) Generalized mechanism for the function of PptT and selected inhibitors reported by (b) Vickery et al. ${ }^{13}$ and (c) Rohilla et al. ${ }^{18}$
replication and survival of Mtb in mice, supporting the view that a PptT inhibitor would be a potential TB drug. ${ }^{17}$
The attractiveness of PptT as a target has led several investigators to develop high-throughput friendly assays ${ }^{17,19,20}$ and seek small-molecule inhibitors as potential biological probes or drug candidates. The representative early hits reported by Vickery et al. are shown in Figure 1b. ${ }^{13}$ More recently, Rohilla et al. used published crystal structures of Mtb's PptT in the native state ${ }^{13}$ or as a fusion protein with maltose binding protein ${ }^{21}$ to carry out a virtual screen that yielded a number of potential binders, 13 of which were reported to inhibit the enzyme with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values $<10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (two examples are shown in Figure 1c). We briefly considered carrying out optimization studies based on PS-40 and P-52. However, upon resynthesis and reassay, we found that both exhibited weaker inhibitory activity than that published and therefore abandoned plans to work in these series (synthesis procedure is given in the Supporting Information and reassay data is shown in Figure 1c).
In 2019, we reported the results of a phenotypic screen seeking molecules able to inhibit the growth of Mtb strain H37Rv, known to be virulent in mice and humans. ${ }^{22}$ The screen yielded a hit molecule, amidinourea (AU) 8918 (Figure 2a), with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $2.3 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Subsequent studies led to the identification of 10 strains resistant to AU 8918, 3 of which had mutations in the gene coding essential PptT , while the rest
were mutants in a previously unidentified gene, rv2795c. We ultimately determined that $r v 2795 c$, which is present on the same operon as PptT, encodes phosphopantetheinyl hydrolase $(\mathrm{PptH}) . \mathrm{PptH}$ removes phosphopantetheine from holo-CPs, regenerating apo-CPs, but, as it has been shown to be nonessential to Mtb growth, ${ }^{23}$ it was unlikely to be the target of AU 8918. AU 8918 kills Mtb in mice and is active in vitro against Mycobacterium smegmatis and Mycobacterium bovis BCG but is not effective against other bacteria, yeast, or animal cells. In addition, the sensitivity of Mtb to AU 8918 increases in PptT knockdown (depletion) strains proportionally to the degree of knockdown. Taken together, the above experiments provide strong evidence for PptT inhibition being the mechanism of AU 8918's action against Mtb.
AU 8918 (1) has an interesting chemical structure that is reminiscent of lidamidine (2), an antidiarrheal agent, and the clinically used antimalarial drug proguanil (3), which itself is a prodrug of cycloguanil, a dihydrofolate reductase inhibitor (Figure 2a). Proguanil was shown to have no activity against Mtb , and lidamidine was poorly active (see below), suggesting a steep structure-activity relationship (SAR) for the AU moiety and its attached substituents. Insights into the structural basis for PptT inhibition by AU 8918 were provided by the X-ray crystal structure of the inhibitor/PptT cocrystal (Figure 2b). Early crystallographic work on $\mathrm{PptT}^{13,21}$ revealed a narrow hydrophobic channel that accommodates the
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Figure 2. (a) Structure of AU 8918 and related compounds. (b) Portion of X-ray structure of AU 8918 bound to PptT (PDB 6CT5), showing key interactions between the ligand and protein. ${ }^{22}$ The figure was generated using Chimera; ${ }^{24}$ the surface is colored according to the electrostatic potential ( $\pm 5 \mathrm{kT} / \mathrm{e}$ ).

Table 1. Effect of Adding Methyl Groups on Inhibition of PptT and Mtb Growth


| entry | comp | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  | $\mathrm{MIC}_{90}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $B p s A^{a}$ | $\mathrm{FP}^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| 1 | 2 (lidamidine) | Me | Me | H | H | 35 |  | $>100^{d}$ |
| 2 | 4a | Et | Me | H | H | 6.2 |  | 64 |
| 3 | 4b | Et | Et | H | H |  | 0.99 | 24 |
| 4 | 1 (AU 8918) | Et | Et | Me | H | 2.3 | 0.27 | 3.1 |
| 5 | 4 c | Et | Et | Et | H | 10.4 |  | 10.6 |
| 6 | 4d | Et | Et | Me | Me | >100 | >100 | >100 |
| 7 | 4e | Et | H | Me | H | 51.4 |  | 89.3 |
| 8 | 4f | $i-\operatorname{Pr}$ | $i-\operatorname{Pr}$ | Me | H | 1.2 |  | 2.2 |

${ }^{a}$ BpsA assay of inhibition of $\operatorname{PptT}$ (average of duplicate experiments). ${ }^{22}{ }^{b} \mathrm{FP}$ assay of inhibition of PptT (average of duplicate experiments). ${ }^{c} \mathrm{MIC}_{90}$ of $M t b$ growth in vitro. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{MIC}_{50}$ for lidamidine was determined to be ca. 125.
pantetheinyl arm of a bound CoA substrate. We note the resemblance of AU 8918 to a physical key, with the aromatic moiety corresponding to the bow and the AU part the blade. In addition, the phosphoadenosine phosphate (PAP) portion of CoA present was explicitly visualized. In our structure, the AU shaft occupies this channel, with the aromatic moiety extending into a relatively expansive antechamber. This structure also suggested a charge-charge interaction between the protonated AU moiety and a nearby glutamate moiety (E157) in binding the AU group.
The discovery of AU 8918 as an inhibitor of PptT active against Mtb both in vitro and in vivo suggests it as a lead for a possible TB therapeutic. In this paper, we describe SAR studies
of AU 8918. The new compounds synthesized were assayed for biochemical potency against PptT in vitro as well as against wild-type, a PptT-knockdown strain and a PptH knockout strains of Mtb in culture, the latter of which determines whether the activity of a specific analogue is due to on-target PptT inhibition. The docking studies and preliminary pharmaceutical studies of selected inhibitors are also described.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

SAR Studies. Most of the new compounds were examined for biochemical potency using the previously reported kinetic assay, that is, by following the transfer of $4^{\prime}$-phosphopantetheine from CoA to the non-ribosomal peptide synthase apo-





Figure 3. Portions of crystal structures of $\mathbf{4 b}$ (refined to $1.57 \AA$; PDB 7N8M), $\mathbf{4 c}$ (refined to $1.74 \AA$; PDB 7N8E), and $\mathbf{4 f}$ (refined to $2.26 \AA$; PDB 7 N 8 L ) bound to PptT. The images were generated using Chimera; ${ }^{24}$ the surfaces are colored according to the electrostatic potential ( $\pm 5 \mathrm{kT} / \mathrm{e}$ ). See the Supporting Information (Table S1) for data collection and refinement statistics.

BpsA from Streptomyces lavendulae and measuring holo-BpsA's catalysis of L -glutamine to indigoidine at $590 \mathrm{~nm} .^{22}$ A few analogues were examined using a fluorescence polarization (FP) assay. ${ }^{20}$ In general, the $\mathrm{FP} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are ca. 10 -fold more potent than those determined by the BpsA assay, which is likely due to the bodipy-CoA used in the FP assay not fitting completely into the binding pocket of PptT , making it easier to displace with an inhibitor. The activity against replicating, virulent M. tuberculosis H37Rv was determined as previously published. ${ }^{22}$

The structural similarity between lidamidine and AU 8918 led us to systematically examine the effect of adding methyl groups to the structures (Table 1). As previously reported, ${ }^{22}$ lidamidine has only marginal inhibitory activity (entry 1, cf.
$\mathrm{MIC}_{50}$ ). Substantial increases in activity were observed when successively adding methyl groups to the two ortho groups on the phenyl substituent and the N -terminal methyl group, culminating in AU 89181 (entry 4). An improvement in activity was realized by replacing both ortho Et groups in 1 with $i$-Pr moieties (entry 8), but an analogue bearing only a single ortho Et group was greatly diminished in potency and efficacy (entry 7). The two ortho substituents on the aryl group likely stabilize a conformation which places the aryl group and the amidinyl groups in a perpendicular orientation to one another, as observed in the X-ray structures of $\mathbf{1}$ (Figure 2b) and $\mathbf{4 f}$ (Figure 3b). Finally, methylation at the terminal nitrogen of $\mathbf{1}$ led to loss of activity in $\mathbf{4 d}$, emphasizing the need for a hydrogen bonding group in this position.

Table 2. Effect of Varying Aromatic Ring Substituents on the Inhibition of PptT and Mtb Growth

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | comp | $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{IC}_{50}{ }^{a} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{M}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { MIC }_{90}{ }^{b} \\ (\mu \mathrm{M}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 1 | 1 (AU 8918) | H | H | 2.3 | 3.1 |
| 2 | 5a | Cl | H | 6.3 | 3.0 |
| 3 | 5b | Br | H | 2.4 | 1.8 |
| 4 | 5c | CN | H | 2.2 | 5.0 |
| 5 | 5d | COOH | H | ND | 9.86 |
| 6 | 5 e | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | H | 7.1 | 1.0 |
| 7 | 5 f | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | H | 2.6 | 0.48 |
| 8 | 5g | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Bn}$ | H | 0.21 | 3.0 |
| 9 | 5h | CONHMe | H | 3.6 | 4.7 |
| 10 | 5 i | CONHEt | H | 4.9 | 2.5 |
| 11 | 5j | CONHi-Pr | H | 2.8 | 1.6 |
| 12 | 5k | CONHBn | H | 2.5 | 0.95 |
| 13 | 51 | CONH (4-OMe) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | H | 3.6 | 2.1 |
| 14 | 5m | $\mathrm{NSO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | H | 5.2 | 4.7 |
| 15 | 5n | $\mathrm{NHSO}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | 3.8 | 4.5 |
| 16 | 50 | $\mathrm{NHSO}_{2} \mathrm{Tol}$ | H | 0.7 | 10.2 |
| 17 | 5p | $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NHEt}$ | H | 3.5 | 2.7 |
| 18 | $\mathbf{5 q}$ | H | $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NHEt}$ | 6.0 | >100 |
| 19 | 5 r | $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NHPh}$ | H | 3.6 | 10.8 |
| 20 | 5s | $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NHBn}$ | H | 36.2 | 5.9 |
| 21 | 5 t |  |  | 3.5 | 2.0 |
| 22 | 5u |  |  | >100 | 21.5 |

${ }^{a}$ BpsA assay of inhibition of PptT (average of duplicate experiments). ${ }^{22}{ }^{b} \mathrm{MIC}_{90}$ for the inhibition of Mtb growth in vitro.

Since the co-crystal structure of AU 8918 with PptT showed the AU moiety tucked into the channel that also binds to the pantetheinyl arm of CoA, we sought to determine whether larger groups could be accommodated at this position. Comparison of the N -terminal $\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et}, i$ - Pr series (entries 35) suggests that the Et group is optimal at this position. X-ray structures of these three analogues ( $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4 b}$, and $\mathbf{4 c}$; Figures 2b and 3 b ) bound to PptT show similar binding overall modes, with $\mathbf{4 b}$ having comparable potency to 1 . Although a ca. 5 -fold loss of biochemical potency was observed for compound $\mathbf{4 c}$, there were no obvious steric clashes noted that would explain this. Compound 4 f was slightly more active than 1 in both biochemical and cellular assays, possibly because the two ortho isopropyl groups pick up additional van der Waals interactions in the active site.
In addition, a series of compounds bearing extended alkyl groups (C4-C8), branched alkyl groups or those containing aryl groups or heteroatoms, were made, and all were found substantially less active than AU 8918 (Table S1; Supporting Information). We prepared the iminyl $N$-Boc derivative of $\mathbf{1}$ as a synthetic intermediate and were somewhat surprised that it
had an $\mathrm{MIC}_{90}$ value of $6.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against Mtb, although it was not active against the purified enzyme (Table S2). This behavior, which was also observed in several aromatic analogues, led us to examine a concise set of other groups containing modified AUs and several analogues in which the group was replaced by a squaramide moiety (Table S3). None of these analogues were associated with on-target biological activity (as described below) and were not pursued further. It is possible that the residual activity of Boc-1 is due to the removal of the Boc group, affording 1, in Mtb, but this was not experimentally checked.

Along with the results in Table 1, these observations and synthetic expedience led us to prepare additional analogues where the scaffold of AU 8918 was held intact. Specifically, we hypothesized that the phenyl group would be relatively tolerant of substitution since AU 8918, as presented in the co-crystal structure, projects into the relatively expansive "antechamber" in the bound conformation. The data show that, indeed, numerous modifications of the aromatic moiety render active analogues (Table 2).


Figure 4. Model of compound $\mathbf{5 k}$ bound (cyan) to PptT , illustrating potential stabilizing interactions with K75. The surface is colored by electrostatic potential, and magnesium ions are illustrated in pink. Compound $5 \mathbf{k}$ was docked with Schrödinger's Glide 2020 and the figure generated in the Maestro Suite 2021-3: Glide, Maestro, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY 2021.

Aside from the above-noted preference for two ortho substituents, substitution on other positions of the aromatic ring, particularly the para site, were generally tolerated and, in several notable cases, led to potency increases. Of practical consequence is the observation that para carboxylic acids, esters, and amides either retain or, in the case of benzyl ester, increase the potency against recombinant PptT and activity against Mtb relative to AU 8918 (cf. entry 1 with entries 8, 12, and 13). We modeled these compounds in the active site using the crystallographic binding pose of AU 8918 as a starting point. The para substituents were comfortably accommodated in these models. In some cases, a potentially stabilizing cation $-\pi$ interaction between a suitably placed aromatic ester and K75 was noted (shown for benzamide 5k in Figure 4), although we note the lack of potency increase for the relatively electron-rich 51. The greatest increase in potency against the recombinant enzyme was observed for the benzyl ester $\mathbf{5 g}$, but the likely instability of the ester in dosing humans led us to concentrate instead on compounds $\mathbf{5 j}$, $\mathbf{5 k}$, $\mathbf{5 n}$, and $\mathbf{5 p}$ for further characterization (below). Additional inactive analogues bearing substituted phenyl groups or in which the phenyl group is replaced by bicyclic aromatic and a few heteroaromatic rings are presented in the Supporting Information (Tables S4 and S5, respectively). The aliphatic analogue 5u bearing an adamantyl group had an $\mathrm{MIC}_{90}$ value of $21.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ when measured against replicating Mtb but did not inhibit PptT in vitro, suggesting that the antitubercular activity of this analogue operates through another mechanism.

Chemistry. The modular assembly of AU derivatives was accomplished using straightforward condensation reactions as shown in the two general routes summarized in Scheme 1. Thus, displacement of a thiomethyl group from methyl carbamimidothiolate sulfate by reaction with ethylamine or $n$-propylamine afforded intermediates $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$, respectively. ${ }^{25}$ A subsequent reaction with substituted isocyanate afforded compounds $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4 c} \mathbf{- f}$, and $5 \mathbf{u} .{ }^{26}$ However, most analogues were prepared by the double-displacement route outlined in Scheme 1B. This entailed amide displacement of
the thiomethyl from the bis-Boc derivative of methyl carbamimidothiolate to afford amidines $7 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$, which were reacted with a second amine to displace one of the NHBoc substituents in 7; deprotection of the remaining Boc group with TFA afforded the final products. ${ }^{27,28}$

Most of the amine components were commercially available, but some of the $p$-substituted anilines were made using coupling methods (Scheme 2), including a palladium-catalyzed carbonylation reaction reported by Baburajan et al. ${ }^{29}$ (Scheme 2 A ) and the palladium-catalyzed coupling reaction between $\mathbf{1 0}$ and substituted sulfonamides (Scheme 2B). ${ }^{30}$ Similarly, intermediates 16a-16c were made using a sequence of palladium-catalyzed bromine-sulfur coupling, oxidative chlorination to afford sulfonyl chloride $14,{ }^{30}$ alkyl amine coupling, and final deprotection.

Additional routes afforded building blocks for single analogues (Scheme 3). Synthesis of intermediate 17 en route to AU derivative 5a entailed a Suzuki cross-coupling between 4-chloro-2,6-dibromo aniline and ethylboronic acid (Scheme 3 A ), ${ }^{31}$ whereas reductive debenzylation of $\mathbf{5 g}$ afforded carboxylic acid 5d (Scheme 3B). Synthesis of the intermediate 20 needed for $A U$ derivative $5 q$ used the meta-selective Friedel-Crafts sulfonylation of isobutyl-carbamate protected 2,6-diethyl aniline, which was subsequently reacted with ethanamine and deprotected with TBAF (Scheme 3C). ${ }^{32}$ Finally, a copper-catalyzed coupling reaction ${ }^{33}$ converted 4-bromo-2,6-diethylaniline to cyano-substituted aniline 21, which was used to make AU 5c.

The syntheses of additional and generally inactive analogues are described in the Supporting Information. Synthesis schemes, experimental details, and full characterization for these additional analogues can be found there.

Additional Characterization of Selected Compounds for On-Target Activity and Preliminary PK. The selected compounds having potencies close to or exceeding that of AU 8918 were further characterized biochemically and for potential pre-clinical advancement. The fact that the ability of many of these compounds to kill $M t b$ tracks with their

## Scheme 1. Assembly of AU Analogues


B. From N,N'-diBoc methyl carbamimidothioate


| comp | $\underline{R}^{1}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{R}}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\underline{R}^{3}$ | yield (steps iv+v, \%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4a | Me | H | 2-ethyl-6-methylphenyl | 66 |
| 4b | Me | H | 2,6-diethylphenyl | 67 |
| 4d | Me | Me | 2,6-diethylphenyl | 11 |
| 5a | Et | H | 4-chloro-2,6-diethylphenyl | 17 |
| 5b | Et | H | 4-bromo-2,6-diethylphenyl | 32 |
| 5 c | Et | H | 4-CN-2,6-diethylphenyl | 24 |
| 5 e | Et | H | 4-COOMe-2,6-diethylphenyl | 22 |
| $5 f$ | Et | H | 4-COOEt-2,6-diethylphenyl | 32 |
| 5 g | Et | H | 4-COOBn-2,6-diethylphenyl | 18 |
| 5h | Et | H | 4-CONHMe-2,6-diethylphenyl | 36 |
| $5 i$ | Et | H | 4-CONHEt-2,6-diethylphenyl | 16 |
| 5j | Et | H | 4-CONHi-Pr-2,6-diethylphenyl | 18 |
| 5k | Et | H | 4-CONHBn-2,6-diethylphenyl | 18 |
| 51 | Et | H | 4-CONHBn(4-OMe)-2,6-diethylphenyl | 45 |
| 5 m | Et | H | 4-NHSO2 ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Me}-2,6$-diethylphenyl | 43 |
| 5n | Et | H | 4-NHSO2 ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Ph}-2,6$-diethylphenyl | 26 |
| 50 | Et | H | 4-NHTs-2,6-diethylphenyl | 57 |
| 5p | Et | H | 4-SO ${ }_{2}$ NHEt-2,6-diethylphenyl | 27 |
| $5 q$ | Et | H | 3-SO2NHEt-2,6-diethylphenyl | 60 |
| 5 r | Et | H | 4- $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NHPh}-2,6$-diethylphenyl | 48 |
| 5s | Et | H | 4- $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NHBn}-2,6$-diethylphenyl | 71 |
| 5t | Et | H | 4-bromo-2,6-diisopropylphenyl | 48 |

biochemical potency suggests that the in vitro activity is mostly due to PptT inhibition. More rigorously, the on-target activity was investigated by determining the whole-cell activity against the following strains: Mtb WT H37Rv, an Mtb PptT knockdown (using SspB-controlled proteolysis of a DAStagged PptT allele), and an $\mathrm{Mtb} \Delta p p t H$ knockout (Figure 5). ${ }^{34-36}$ An on-target inhibitor of PptT should display equipotent activity against WT Mtb and Mtb DAS-PptT
grown with ATC (no knockdown), approximately $>30$-fold lower MIC $_{90}$ values (more potent) against Mtb DAS-PptT in the absence of ATC, and $>30$-fold higher MIC $_{90}$ against the Mtb $\Delta p p t H$ knockout. By these criteria, AU 8918 and the related AUs of comparable or greater biochemical potency are active against Mtb via PptT inhibition (Table 3; the additional data for other, less potent, compounds are provided in Table

## Scheme 2. Synthesis of Building Blocks for AU Synthesis (Multiple Analogues)

A. p-Substituted Esters and Amides for Compounds 5e-5I

B. p-Substituted Sulfonamides for Compounds 5m-50



S2 in the Supporting Information). Additional studies to confirm this are ongoing.
In the course of profiling, it was determined that AU 8918 was not toxic to HEPG2 or THP1 (macrophage) cells but that many close analogues caused changes in cardiomyocyte beat rate, $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$slope, $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$amplitude, and peak width, portending serious cardiotoxicity issues that would preclude development as drugs (Table 4). While most analogues were found not to cause hERG inhibition, they displayed some degree of inhibition of $\mathrm{Ca}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.2$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.5$ channels in vitro, which are often associated with cardiotoxicity issues. ${ }^{37}$ For comparison,
we note that the clinically used lidamidine, while clean with respect to the channels examined, has similar properties in cardiomyocytes as do some of the new compounds reported here (entry 2). We have found that aromatic AU analogues bearing para substitution, in addition to leading to increases in potency, have diminished interactions with $\mathrm{Ca}_{\mathrm{V}} 1.2$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{V}} 1.5$ channels (entries 4-6). Although many PptT-active AUs were cardiotoxic, this work identified a series of p-substituted AUs with both potent on-target activity and reduced cardiotoxicity, suggesting that the cardiotoxicity is not an intrinsic feature of PptT inhibition.

## Scheme 3. Synthesis of Building Blocks for AU Synthesis (Single Analogues)

## A. CI-Substituted Aniline for Compound 5a


B. p-Substituted Carboxylic Acid for Compound 5d

C. m-Substituted Sulfonamide for Compound 5q


D. Nitrile-substituted Aniline for Compound 5c
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Most of the data obtained are within or close to the desirable values associated with drug-like properties, including low toxicity, good solubility, and lack of inhibition of CYP3A4 (Table 5). These compounds are reasonably stable in mouse and human plasma, but half-lives are under 1 h when exposed to human liver microsomes (they are more stable to the effects of mouse microsomes). Despite the relatively unusual AU chemotype, these preliminary data suggest that further multiparameter optimization of suitable analogues such as $\mathbf{5 j}$, $\mathbf{5 n}$, and $\mathbf{5 p}$ is warranted.

## - CONCLUSIONS

We have reported a series of amidino-derived compounds for the inhibition of PptT, a new and attractive target for the treatment of TB. One feature of our approach was the simultaneous determination of on-target activity for each compound as the SAR was being explored. Moreover, the substantial reduction in the activity of compounds in Mtb
strains lacking PptH , the enzyme that undoes the action of PptT, reflects an interesting example of biochemical potentiation of inhibitor action in the natural state, where PptH is present. The SAR studies above indicate that, while the AU portion of the inhibitors is very nearly optimized for its interactions with the target enzyme, numerous changes in the aromatic moiety are tolerated and, in particular, para substitution leads to greater potency and a reduction in what at this time are the most concerning off-target effects at $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$channels. Particularly interesting analogues identified to date include 5 k and $\mathbf{5 n}$, which have potencies comparable to 1 but less activity against channels associated with cardiotoxicity. Work to effect further optimization and to find additional chemotypes is ongoing.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Chemistry. General Information. All chemicals were used as received from a commercial source without further purification, unless otherwise noted. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed


Figure 5. Representative activity of on-target PptT inhibitors (a) 1 and (b) 5p against Mtb and modified organisms.
Table 3. Determination of On-Target Activity of Selected Analogues

${ }^{a}$ BpsA assay of in vitro inhibition of $\mathrm{PptT}^{22}{ }^{2} \mathrm{MIC}_{90}$ for inhibition of $M t b$ growth in vitro for H 37 Rv (WT Mtb), an Mtb PptT knockdown (using SspB-controlled proteolysis of a DAS-tagged PptT allele) in the presence or absence of anhydrotetracycline (ATC), and a PptH KO strain of Mtb.

Table 4. Channel Inhibition and Initial Cardiac Profiling of Selected Analogues

|  |  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  |  | $\operatorname{MEC}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{a}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | comp | hERG | $\mathrm{hCa}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.2$ | hNa 1.5 | $G_{\text {mean }} \text { cardiomyocytes }^{b}$ | avg beat period | avg $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$slope | avg $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$amplitude | avg field potential duration |
| 1 | 1 (AU 8918) | >30 | 8.35 | 3.75 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| 2 | 2 (lidamidine) | >30 | >30 | >30 | 8.9 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 16 |
| 3 | 5j | >30 | >30 | 22.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 5k | >30 | 22.5 | 24.0 | 6.7 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 16 |
| 5 | 5n | >30 | >30 | >30 | 8.9 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 16 |
| 6 | 5p | >30 | >30 | >30 |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Minimum effective concentration $(\mu \mathrm{M}) .{ }^{b}$ Geometric mean of the MEC values for average beat period, $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$slope, $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$amplitude, and field duration (used for overall ranking of compounds, with higher values better).

Table 5. Physicochemistry and ADMET Profiling of Selected Compounds

| entry | comp | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{TC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M}) \\ \text { HEPG2/THP1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\log _{b} D_{7.4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sol } \mathrm{pH}_{7.4}{ }_{c} \\ & (\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})^{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{(\text { recovery \% })^{d}}{P_{\text {app }}(\mathrm{nm} / \mathrm{s})}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{necovery} \%)^{d}}{P_{\text {app }}}$ | microsome stab. CLint $\left(t_{1 / 2}\right) \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{m}^{e}$ | plasma stab. $\left(t_{1 / 2}\right) \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{m}^{f}$ | $\underset{\underset{(\mu \mathrm{M})^{g}}{\mathrm{IC}_{50}}}{\text { CYP }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 (AU 8918) | >30/>30 | 1.09 | >1000 | 40 (88) | 87 (91) | 41/192 (7') | $>1000 />1000$ | >30 |
| 2 | 5j |  | 1.36 | >1000 | 1.1 (89) | 13 (88) | 6/3 | >1000/>1000 |  |
| 3 | 5n |  |  |  | 1.4 (73) | 31 (61) | 31/97 (14') | >1000/>1000 |  |
| 4 | 5p |  |  |  | 1.3 (85) | 19 (81) | $61\left(23^{\prime}\right) / 190$ ( $7^{\prime}$ ) | 199/221 |  |

${ }^{a}$ Cytotoxicity in the HepG2 cell line after 40 h of incubation (desirable value TC50>30 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ). ${ }^{b}$ Chromatographic $\log D$ at pH 7.4 (desirable value: $1<\log D<3$ for oral route). ${ }^{c}$ Thermodynamic solubility at $\mathrm{pH} 7.4(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ (desirable value: solubility $>100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). ${ }^{d}$ Caco-2 (A to B) apparent permeability -/+ the P-glycoprotein inhibitor elacridar; results above $20 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{s}$ suggest good oral absorption in humans. Elacridar is used as the Pglycoprotein transporter inhibitor. ${ }^{e}$ Percentage metabolized by human/mouse liver microsomes following 20 min of incubation at $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in the presence of 1 mM NADPH. CLint $>100 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{mg}$ protein; no alert. ${ }^{f}$ Measured in mouse and human plasma after 2 h of incubation of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ test compounds at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; the test compounds were considered stable at 2 h if the percentage of stability was within the $80-120 \%$ range. ${ }^{g}$ Inhibition in human liver microsomes of the CYP3A4-mediated metabolism of either midazolam (M) or testosterone (T) (desirable value $>30 \mu \mathrm{M}$.
using commercial silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ coated aluminum-backed sheets. Visualization was accomplished with UV light ( 254 nm ) and an iodine vapor chamber or $p$-anisaldehyde stain with heating. Purification was carried out on an automated flash chromatography/medium-pressure liquid chromatography (MPLC) system using normal-phase silica flash columns (4, 12, 24, or 40 g ) or reversed-phase (RP) C-18 columns ( 15,50 , and 150 g ). IR spectra were acquired as films or solids as indicated. All NMR $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ spectra were recorded at either 400 MHz with a dual carbon/proton probe or 600 MHz with a dual carbon/proton cryoprobe instrument. NMR samples were recorded in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, or DMSO- $d_{6}$. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million ( ppm ) and are referenced to either TMS ( $\delta 0.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) or to the center line of the solvent (for $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \delta 4.79$ ppm for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$; for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta 7.26 \mathrm{ppm}$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and 77.2 ppm for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR; for $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, \delta 4.87$ and 3.31 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and 49.0 ppm for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR; for DMSO- $d_{6}, \delta 2.50 \mathrm{ppm}$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and 39.5 ppm for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR). Coupling constants are given in hertz (Hz). HRMS data were collected with a time-of-flight (TOF) mass spectrometer or with a hybrid linear trap quadrupole Fourier transform (LTQ FT) and an electrospray ion source (ESI). Melting points were determined in open capillary tubes using an automated melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Purity was measured using a UPLC system with a photodiode array detector coupled to a mass spectrometer with an ESI source. Compound purity was determined on the basis of peak integration (area under curve) for the selected wavelengths of 214, 254 , or 280 nm . All tested compounds were $\geq 95 \%$ pure except for 5 a , 5d, 5o, S4o, S1h, and S3c, all of which were $94 \%$ pure.

Due to strong similarities in the infrared (IR) spectra of the AU chemical classes, only representative values are given in Table S2 (Supporting Information).


General Procedure A for the Preparation of Guanidine Sulfates $\mathbf{6 a - 6 b}$. Using a modified published procedure for 1 -isopropylguanidine sulfate, ${ }^{25}$ 2-methyl-2-thiopseudourea sulfate ( $5.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in water ( 10 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a solution of amine in THF ( $2.0 \mathrm{M}, 10.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added dropwise via a syringe. The mixture was allowed to warm to rt and stirred for 16 h , at which time it was heated to reflux for 4 h . The reaction was cooled to room temperature, and the solvents were removed to afford an oil. EtOH ( 55 mL ) was added, and the solution was warmed (ca. $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for 5 min . The mixture was allowed to cool to rt slowly, affording after 17 h a crystalline solid that was collected by vacuum filtration and used without further purification unless otherwise noted.

General Procedure B for the Preparation of Boc-Protected Guanidines $7 a-7 c$. Using a modified literature procedure, ${ }^{27}$ to a mixture of 1,3-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-2-methyl-2-thiopseudourea ( $3.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in anhydrous THF ( 28 mL ) was added ethylamine ( 2.0 M in THF, $3.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). The solution was


7a-7c
stirred overnight at rt , and then the solvents were removed to yield an off-white solid, which was purified by MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $20 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes).


General Procedure C for the Preparation of AUs 1, 4c-4f, and $5 u$. Using a modified literature procedure, ${ }^{26}$ to a solution of alkyl guanidine sulfate $\mathbf{6 a - 6 b}$ ( $3.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) dissolved in $10 \%$ $\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq})$ or $10 \% \mathrm{KOH}(\mathrm{aq})(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and acetone or THF ( 31.8 mL ) was added isocyanate ( $4.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) dropwise. The solution was heated to reflux and monitored by UPLC for product formation. Following completion, the reaction was cooled, and the solvents were removed. The crude product was dissolved in water (4 mL ) and acidified with 1 N HCl . The solution was then neutralized with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$, and the aqueous mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to afford the crude product as an oil. The crude oil was recrystallized using EtOH or purified using MPLC (DCM/MeOH or EtOAc/hexanes) to afford the product.


General Procedure D for the Preparation of Boc-Protected AUs $8 a$ and $S 4 m-S 4 q$. Using a modified literature procedure, ${ }^{28}$ A Bocprotected guanidine $7 \mathbf{a}-7 \mathbf{c}$ ( $0.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), aniline ( 0.42 mmol, 1.2 equiv), and TEA or DIPEA ( $1.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) in anhydrous THF ( 2.8 mL ) were heated to reflux overnight or to 120 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a microwave reactor for 10 min and monitored by UPLC for product formation. After heating for the designated amount of time, the mixture was cooled to rt, and the solvents were removed in vacuo. The products were isolated following MPLC (hexanes/EtOAc or $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH})$.


8a, S4m-S4q


5a, 5f, 5h, 5k, 5I, 5n

General Procedure E for the Preparation of AUs 5a, 5f, 5h, 5k, 51 , and $5 n$. Using a modified literature procedure, ${ }^{38}$ to a Bocprotected AU ( $0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added a mixture of TFA and DCM as a solvent ( $1: 1$ unless otherwise noted). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt and monitored by UPLC for the disappearance of the starting material. After 30 min , the solvents were removed, and the crude sample was dissolved in minimal EtOAc and neutralized with sat. aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ or $10 \%$ aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the aqueous mixture $(\mathrm{pH}=7)$ was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 10$ mL ). The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to afford the crude product as an oil. The products were isolated following MPLC.


General Procedure F for the Preparation of AUs $4 a, 4 b, 4 d, 5 b$, $5 c, 5 e, 5 g, 5 i, 5 j, 5 m$, and $50-5 t$. Using a modified literature procedure, ${ }^{28}$ A Boc-protected guanidine $7 \mathbf{a}-7 \mathrm{c}(0.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), substituted aniline ( $0.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), and TEA or DIPEA ( $1.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) in anhydrous THF ( 2.8 mL ) were heated to reflux overnight or to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a microwave reactor for 10 $\min$ and monitored by UPLC for product formation. After heating for the designated time, the mixture was cooled to rt , and the solvents were removed in vacuo. Following MPLC (hexanes/EtOAc or DCM/ MeOH ) or RP MPLC (water/ MeCN or water/MeOH), a mixture of the Boc-protected AU product and starting aniline was obtained and treated with a mixture of TFA and DCM as a solvent (1:1 unless otherwise noted). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt and monitored by UPLC for the disappearance of the starting material. After ca. 30 min , the solvents were removed, and the crude sample was dissolved in minimal EtOAc and neutralized with sat. aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ or $10 \%$ aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous mixture $(\mathrm{pH}=7)$ was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to afford the crude product as an oil. The products were isolated following MPLC.


9a-9h
General Procedure G for the Preparation of Ester and Amide para-Substituted Anilines 9a-9h. Using a modified literature procedure, ${ }^{29}$ 4-bromo-2,6-diethylaniline ( 1.0 equiv), amine or alcohol (3.0-5.0 equiv or $1: 3$ with solvent), diacetoxypalladium ( 0.05 equiv), xantphos ( 0.1 equiv), and DMAP ( 2.0 equiv) were combined in a dry microwave vial, which was evacuated and purged with Ar. To the mixture was added toluene to a reaction molarity of $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{M}$, followed by dicobalt octacarbonyl ( 0.25 equiv). The vial was subsequently capped and irradiated in the microwave at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction progress was monitored via UPLC for product formation. Upon reaction completion, a blue precipitate was filtered off, and the solvent was removed. The crude residue was purified via MPLC (hexanes/EtOAc).


General Procedure H for the Preparation of Boc-Protected NConnected Sulfonamides 11a-11c. Following a modified literature
procedure, ${ }^{30}$ a solution of tert-butyl(4-bromo-2,6-diethylphenyl)carbamate 10 ( $0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in 1,4-dioxane ( 4 mL ) was treated with sulfonamide ( $0.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), cesium carbonate ( $0.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), and di-tert-butyl $\left(2^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}\right.$-triisopropyl-3,4,5,6-tetramethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)phosphane (DBTTBP, 0.05 mmol , 0.16 equiv). The solution was degassed, and tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium( 0 ) ( $0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.11$ equiv) was added. The reaction was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h and monitored by UPLC. Upon reaction completion, the crude mixture was diluted with ca. 4 mL of ethyl acetate, filtered through Celite, and purified via normal-phase MPLC (hexanes/EtOAc).


General Procedure I for the Preparation of S-Connected Sulfonamides $12 \boldsymbol{a}-12$ c. To a solution of tert-butyl-(4-(chlorosul-fonyl)-2,6-diethylphenyl) carbamate 14 ( $0.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in dichloromethane ( 3 mL ) was added an alkyl amine ( $0.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) and triethylamine ( $0.84 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h , and the solvents were then removed to provide the crude product as a solid. The crude material was subject to normal-phase MPLC (hexanes/EtOAc) for purification.

$\underset{\text { 11a-11c, 15a-15c }}{\text { ArNHBoc }} \xrightarrow{\text { TFA, DCM }}$| rt |
| :--- | | $\mathrm{ArNH}_{2}$ |
| :---: |
| 12a-12c, 16a-16c |

General Procedure J for the Preparation of Intermediates 12a12 c and $16 \mathrm{a}-16 \mathrm{c}$. Using a modified literature procedure, ${ }^{38}$ to a Bocprotected compound ( $0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added a mixture of TFA and DCM as a solvent ( $1: 1$ unless otherwise noted). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt and monitored by UPLC for the disappearance of the starting material. After 30 min , the solvents were removed, and the crude sample was dissolved in minimal EtOAc and neutralized with sat. aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ or $10 \%$ aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the aqueous mixture $(\mathrm{pH}=7)$ was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to afford the crude product as an oil. The products were isolated following MPLC.


1-Ethylguanidine Sulfate (6a). Using general procedure A: 91\% yield ( $4.52 \mathrm{~g}, 24.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Procedure and characterization previously reported. ${ }^{22}$

$N$-(2,6-Diethylphenyl)- $N^{\prime}$-[(ethylamino)iminomethyl]-urea (1). Using general procedure C with 1,3-diethyl-2-isocyanatobenzene ( $0.744 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), 1-ethylguanidine sulfate $6 \mathbf{a}$ ( 725 $\mathrm{mg}, 3.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $10 \% \mathrm{KOH}(\mathrm{aq})(2.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and THF ( 31 mL ). Following MPLC ( $100 \% \mathrm{DCM}$ to $10: 90 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ) and recrystallization from EtOH, the product was isolated in $54 \%$ yield ( $557 \mathrm{mg}, 2.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Purity (LC): $>99 \%$. Procedure and characterization previously reported. ${ }^{22}$
$N, N^{\prime}$-Bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N^{\prime \prime}$-ethylguanidine (7a). Using general procedure B, following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $20 \%$


EtOAc/hexanes): $84 \%$ yield ( $836 \mathrm{mg}, 2.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). White crystalline solid. mp 125.3-127.0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 11.51(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{qd}, J=7.3,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 18 H ), $1.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 163.8, 156.1, 153.5, 83.2, 79.4, 35.9, 28.5, 28.2, 14.5. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 288.1918; found, 288.1931.

$N, N^{\prime}$-Bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N^{\prime \prime}$-methylguanidine (7b). Using general procedure B, 1,3-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-2-methyl-2-thiopseudourea ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and methanamine ( $40 \%$ in water, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.50$ equiv) in THF ( 7.0 mL ). Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $100 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes): $97 \%$ yield ( $228 \mathrm{mg}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). White solid. $\mathrm{mp} 90.2-94.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.77 (d, J = $4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.39 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 163.01, 155.66, 151.88, 82.69, 78.00, 27.99, 27.73, 27.60. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 274.1761; found, 274.1753.

$N$-(2-Ethyl-6-methylphenyl)- $N^{\prime}$-[(methylamino)iminomethyl]urea (4a). Using general procedure F , with $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(tert-butox-ycarbonyl)- $\mathrm{N}^{\prime \prime}$-methylguanidine $7 \mathbf{b}(93.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), 2-ethyl-6-methylaniline ( $57.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and TEA ( $178 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) in anhydrous THF ( 2.59 mL ) were heated to reflux overnight, followed by MPLC purification (100\% hexanes to $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $)$. The resultant oil ( $39.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12$ mmol ) was added a $1: 1$ mixture of TFA and DCM ( 1.0 mL ). Following workup: $66 \%$ yield ( $27.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.17-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.61$ $(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 160.59,158.11,142.04,136.79,134.18,128.15$, 127.19, 126.29, 27.60, 25.01, 18.58, 14.72. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 235.1553$; found, 235.1546. Purity (LC): >99\%.

$N$-(2,6-Diethylphenyl)-N'-[(methylamino)iminomethyl]-urea (4b). Using general procedure F, with $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)$N^{\prime \prime}$-methylguanidine $7 \mathbf{b}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), 2,6diethylaniline ( $72.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and TEA ( $204 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $1.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) in anhydrous THF ( 2.97 mL ) were heated to reflux overnight, followed by MPLC purification ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $)$. The resultant oil ( $42.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added a $1: 1$ mixture of TFA and DCM $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. Following workup: $67 \%$ yield ( $29.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-$ $2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.11(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 159.66,158.09,142.42,133.11,127.75,126.32$, 27.57, 24.93, 14.61. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+$ H] ${ }^{+}$, 249.1710; found, 249.1701. Purity (LC): $97 \%$.

$N, N^{\prime}$-Bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N^{\prime \prime}, N^{\prime \prime}$-dimethylguanidine (7c). Using general procedure B, 1,3-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-2-methyl-2thiopseudourea ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and dimethylamine ( $40 \%$ in water, $160 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.50$ equiv) in THF ( 6.98 mL ). Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $40 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes): $83 \%$ yield ( $205 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). White solid. mp $113.5-122.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 9.43$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.89 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.41 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 159.84,152.22$, 151.07, 80.02, 76.87, 37.77, 28.06, 27.92. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 288.1918; found, 288.1910. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data previously reported. ${ }^{39}$


1-Propylguanidine Sulfate (6b). Using general procedure A: 91\% yield ( $579 \mathrm{mg}, 2.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Crystalline white solid. $\mathrm{mp} 246.3-247.4$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \delta 3.14(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~h}, J$ $=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta$ 156.7, 42.8, 21.4, 10.3. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 102.1026$; found, 102.1032. These data are consistent with those previously reported. ${ }^{40}$

$N$-(2,6-Diethylphenyl)- $N^{\prime}$-[imino(propylamino)methyl]-urea (4c). ${ }^{41}$ Using general procedure C, with 1,3 -diethyl-2-isocyanatobenzene ( $143 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.828 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), 1 -propylguanidine sulfate $\mathbf{6 b}$ ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.753 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $10 \% \mathrm{KOH}$ (aq, $0.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.828$ mmol, 1.1 equiv), and THF ( 3.7 mL ) and following MPLC ( $100 \%$ DCM to $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ): $72 \%$ yield ( $151 \mathrm{mg}, 0.546 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). White solid. mp 119.4-124.5 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $7.22-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.19$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(151 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 164.8,160.6,142.4,134.0,127.3,126.2,43.2,25.0,22.5$, 14.7, 11.6. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 277.2027; found, 277.2023. Purity (LC): $96.7 \%$.

$N$-(2,6-Diethylphenyl)- $N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-[(dimethylamino)iminomethyl]urea (4d). Using general procedure F, with $N, N^{\prime}$-bis (tert-butox-ycarbonyl)- $\mathrm{N}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime \prime}$-dimethylguanidine $7 \mathrm{c}(82.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2,6-diethylaniline ( $39.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and TEA ( $134 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) in anhydrous THF ( 1.95 mL ) were heated to reflux, followed by MPLC purification (100\% hexanes to $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes and then $100 \%$ basic water to $100 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ ): the resultant mixture ( $10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added with TFA $(0.5$ mL ) and DCM $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Following RP MPLC ( $100 \%$ basic water to $100 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ ): $11 \%$ yield ( $6.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). White solid residue. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ 164.76, 160.62, 142.21, 134.27, 127.06, 126.10, 41.16, 25.00, 14.67. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 263.1866$; found, 263.1862. Purity (LC): $98 \%$.
$N$-(2-Ethylphenyl)- $N^{\prime}$-[(ethylamino)iminomethyl]-urea (4e). Using general procedure C, with 1-ethyl-2-isocyanatobenzene (126

$\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), 1-ethylguanidine sulfate $\mathbf{6 a}(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.81$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $10 \% \mathrm{KOH}(\mathrm{aq})(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.81 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), and THF ( 6.6 mL ) and following MPLC ( $100 \%$ DCM to $10 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}): 62 \%$ yield $(118 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol})$. White solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.91-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.01(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.61(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.4,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 163.91,160.39,136.88,133.96,128.37,126.54$, 123.62, 122.59, 36.15, 24.48, 14.57, 14.13. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 235.1554$; found, 235.1543. Purity (LC): $>99 \%$.

$N$-(2,6-Diisopropylphenyl)- $N^{\prime}$-[(ethylamino)iminomethyl]-urea (4f). Using general procedure C, with 2-isocyanato-1,3-diisopropylbenzene ( $199 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), 1-ethylguanidine sulfate $\mathbf{6 a}$ ( $157 \mathrm{mg}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $10 \% \mathrm{KOH}$ (aq) ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.1 equiv), and THF ( 6.9 mL ) and following MPLC ( $100 \%$ DCM to $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}): 94 \%$ yield ( $232 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). White solid. $\mathrm{mp} 158.6-164.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{p}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ 165.11, 160.44, 147.17, 132.50, 127.74, 123.34, 123.16, 36.01, 28.75, 24.28, 24.18, 23.89, 23.33, 14.45. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 291.2180; found, 291.2152. Purity (LC): $97 \%$.


4-Chloro-2,6-diethylaniline (17). Following a literature procedure, ${ }^{31}$ a solution of 2,6-dibromo-4-chloroaniline $(500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.75$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), ethyl boronic acid ( $388 \mathrm{mg}, 5.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\left(1.49 \mathrm{~g}, 7.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0\right.$ equiv) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and toluene ( 15 mL ) were stirred at rt under Ar . Ar was used to purge the solution for 15 min , and then $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(39.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.175 \mathrm{mmol})$ and tricyclohexyl phosphine ( $49.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.175 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The mixture was purged with Ar for another 10 min . The resulting mixture was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h and monitored by UPLC for product formation. The mixture was cooled, diluted with water ( 25 mL ), and extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(1 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to afford the crude product as a dark-brown oil. The crude product was purified using MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to 10:90 EtOAc/hexanes) to afford the product as a light brown oil in $56 \%$ yield ( $182 \mathrm{mg}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 6.93(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 140.2,129.3,125.7,123.1,24.3,12.9$. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClN}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 184.0888; found, 184.0883. These data are consistent with those previously reported. ${ }^{31}$
$N$-(4-Chloro-2,6-diethylphenyl)- $N^{\prime}$-[(ethylamino) $N^{\prime \prime}$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)iminomethyl]-urea (8a). Using general procedure D, with $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N^{\prime \prime}$-ethylguanidine $7 \mathrm{a}(220 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.76 mmol , 1.0 equiv), 4-chloro-2,6-diethylaniline 17 ( $154 \mathrm{mg}, 0.84$

mmol, 1.1 equiv) and TEA ( $320 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.29 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.0 equiv) in anhydrous THF ( 12.4 mL ) were heated to reflux, and the mixture was cooled to rt and the solvents were removed. Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ): $53 \%$ yield ( $163 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Off-white solid residue. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 12.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{qd}, J=7.2,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H})$, $0.87(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 164.13$, 155.10, 153.56, 144.09, 132.96, 132.17, 126.17, 125.77, 125.75, 82.55, 35.78, 28.22, 28.18, 25.05, 24.88, 24.28, 14.65, 14.39, 14.33, 14.25, 12.89. $N$-Boc rotamers are present in NMR spectra. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 397.2001; found, 397.1996.

$N$-(4-Chloro-2,6-diethylphenyl)- $N^{\prime}$-[(ethylamino)iminomethyl]urea (5a). Using general procedure E , with $N$-(4-chloro-2,6diethylphenyl) $-N^{\prime}$-[(ethylamino) $N^{\prime \prime}$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl) imino-methyl]-urea 8a ( $224 \mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added a $1: 1$ mixture of TFA and DCM $(5.7 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt and monitored by UPLC for the disappearance of the starting material. After 30 min , the solvents were removed, and to the crude sample was added EtOAc and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and the aqueous mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to afford the crude product as an oil. Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ DCM to $10 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ and then $100 \%$ hexanes to $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ): $17 \%$ yield $(29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.098 \mathrm{mmol})$. White solid residue. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(151$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 155.07,143.93,134.15,129.69,126.54,117.51$, 115.58, 24.84, 14.16, 13.59. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right.$, 297.1477; found, 297.1487. Purity (LC): 94\%.

$N$-(4-Bromo-2,6-diethylphenyl)- $N^{\prime}$-[(ethylamino)iminomethyl]urea (5b). Using general procedure $\mathrm{F}, N, N^{\prime}$-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)$N^{\prime \prime}$-ethylguanidine 7 a ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), 4-bromo-2,6diethylaniline ( $143 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), and TEA ( $291 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.1$ mmol, 4.0 equiv) in anhydrous THF ( 4.23 mL ) were heated to reflux, followed by MPLC purification ( $10 \%$ DCM/hexanes to $35 \%$ DCM/ hexanes and then $100 \%$ hexanes to $5 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes): The resultant mixture ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added TFA $(1.73 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DCM ( 1.73 mL ). Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $100 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes): $32 \%$ yield ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Colorless solid residue. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 160.62,157.85,144.79$, 133.39, 121.22, 36.36, 36.32, 30.06, 25.10. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 341.0972$; found, 341.0976. Purity (LC): 95\%.

4-Amino-3,5-diethylbenzonitrile (21). Following a modified literature procedure, ${ }^{33}$ a microwave vial charged with cyanocopper ( 400 mg , $5.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) dissolved in DMSO ( 10 mL ) was


21
added 4-bromo-2,6-diethylaniline ( $0.80 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). The solution was flushed with Ar and irradiated in the microwave at $180{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Upon reaction completion, ice was added to the solution, and the desired product precipitated out as a sticky brown solid, which was used without further purification. $45 \%$ yield ( 377 mg , $2.1 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H ), $2.50(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 146.07,130.04,127.35,120.92,100.09,23.88$, 12.46. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 175.1230; found, 175.1233.

$N$-(4-Cyano-2,6-diethylphenyl)- $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-[(ethylamino)iminomethyl]urea (5c). Using general procedure F, with $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(tert-butox-ycarbonyl)- $N^{\prime \prime}$-ethylguanidine 7 a ( $463 \mathrm{mg}, 1.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), 4-amino-3,5-diethylbenzonitrile 21 ( $255 \mathrm{mg}, 1.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and DIPEA ( 1.0 mL , 5.86 mmol , 4.0 equiv) in anhydrous THF ( 3.6 mL ) were heated in a microwave reactor for 10 min at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by MPLC purification ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $40 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes) and then RP MPLC ( $100 \%$ MeCN to $100 \%$ water). The resultant mixture ( 256 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added TFA ( $384 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 25$ equiv) and DCM ( 5 mL ). After stirring for 3 h , the solvents were removed, and to the crude sample was added DCM and sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (aq), and the aqueous mixture was extracted three times with DCM. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to afford the crude product as an oil. Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ DCM to $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ): $24 \%$ yield over two steps ( $48 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). White solid. mp $137.6-142.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $7.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.17$ $(\mathrm{m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 155.07,153.89,143.45$, 135.92, 130.18, 118.92, 112.07, 36.60, 24.72, 13.93, 13.66. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 288.1819; found, 288.1825. Purity (LC): $97 \%$.
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3,5-Diethyl-4-(3-(N-ethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido)benzoic Acid (5d). A solution of benzyl-3,5-diethyl-4-(3-( $N$-ethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido) benzoate 5 g ( $8.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in ethanol ( 2 mL ) was purged with Ar for 5 min , then added palladium on carbon (2.3 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.002 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), and subsequently stirred under a hydrogen atmosphere for 3 h . The reaction was monitored by UPLC for the consumption of the starting material. After 3 h , the solution was filtered through a syringe filter, and the solvents were removed. The product was then used without further purification. $90 \%$ yield $(6.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$. White solid residue. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ and $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \delta 7.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathfrak{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 175.71,168.44$, 150.19, 142.79, 141.28, 130.79, 127.69, 36.49, 24.79, 14.96, 14.85. Purity (LC): $94 \%$. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ [M + H $]^{+}$, 307.1765; found, 307.1760.
Methyl-4-amino-3,5-diethylbenzoate (9a). Following general procedure G, a 20 mL microwave vial was added DMAP ( 964 mg , $7.89 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), xantphos ( $228 \mathrm{mg}, 395 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.1$ equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(44.3 \mathrm{mg}, 197 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.05$ equiv), and 4-bromo-2,6-

diethylaniline ( $677 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.95 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). The solid mixture was purged with Ar, followed by the addition of toluene ( 11.8 mL ), $\mathrm{MeOH}(3.95 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dicobalt octacarbonyl ( $337 \mathrm{mg}, 986 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$. 0.25 equiv) were added. The mixture was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under microwave irradiation for 30 min . The solvents were removed under vacuum, and the residue was purified via NP SGC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes ) to afford the target ( $569 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 167.8,146.4,127.9,126.5,119.1$, 51.6, 24.1, 12.8 .


Methyl-3,5-diethyl-4-(3-(N-ethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido)benzoate (5e). Following general procedure F, a mixture of methyl-4-amino-3,5diethylbenzoate 9a ( $449.5 \mathrm{mg}, 2.169 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $N, N^{\prime}$-bis $($ tert-butox-ycarbonyl)- $\mathrm{N}^{\prime \prime}$-ethylguanidine 7 a ( $747.8 \mathrm{mg}, 2.602 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ $(1.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.675 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(3.10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under microwave irradiation for 10 min . The solvents were removed under vacuum, and the residue was dissolved in DCM, filtered, and purified via MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $10 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes) to afford the impure Boc-protected target as a colorless film, which was directly added TFA $(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DCM $(8.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for 16 h , the solvents were removed by a stream of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and the residue was purified via RP MPLC. One portion was purified using neutral conditions ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeCN}$ ) to afford methyl 3,5-diethyl-4-(3-(Nethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido) benzoate 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate ( 66.4 mg , $19 \%$ ) as a TFA salt; the other portion was purified using basic conditions ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{NH} 3 \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{pH}=9$ ) to afford methyl 3,5-diethyl-4-(3-( $N$-ethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido)benzoate ( $56.8 \mathrm{mg}, 22 \%$ ) as a neutral compound. TFA salt: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $13.21(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.41(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 166.9, 163.9, 163.6, 163.4, 163.2, 154.7, 153.5, 142.1, 135.3, 129.8, 127.7, 119.3, 117.4, 115.4, 113.5, 52.2, 36.4, 24.8, 14.1, 13.5. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 321.1921; found, 321.1917. Purity (LC): $98 \%$. Neutral form: ${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.77(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-6.71(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.17-1.11$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 167.3, 164.2, 160.4, 142.2, 138.7, 128.2, 127.4, 52.0, 35.8, 24.8, 14.3, 14.2. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 321.1921; found, 321.1916. Purity (LC): $90 \%$.


Ethyl-4-amino-3,5-diethylbenzoate (9b). Using general procedure G, with 4-bromo-2,6-diethylaniline ( $0.376 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), diacetoxypalladium ( $24.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05$ equiv), xantphos ( 127 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), DMAP ( $536 \mathrm{mg}, 4.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), and dicobalt octacarbonyl ( $187 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.25$ equiv) in ethanol $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and toluene $(6.6 \mathrm{~mL})$. Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $100 \%$ EtOAc): $64 \%$ yield ( $308 \mathrm{mg}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Colorless liquid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 167.40,146.29$, 128.01, 126.54, 119.59, 60.31, 24.22, 14.60, 12.84. HRMS (ESITOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 222.1489$; found, 222.1482.


Ethyl-(E)-4-(3-(N'-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-N-ethylcarbamimidoyl)-ureido)-3,5-diethylbenzoate ( $\mathbf{S 4 m}$ ). Using general procedure D , with $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N^{\prime \prime}$-ethylguanidine 7a (439 mg, 1.53 mmol, 1.2 equiv), ethyl-4-amino-3,5-diethylbenzoate $9 \mathrm{~b}(282 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and TEA ( $890 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) in anhydrous THF ( 9 mL ) were heated in a microwave at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min. The mixture was cooled to rt and the solvents were removed. Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) and then repurification by RP MPLC ( $100 \%$ water to $100 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ ): $44 \%$ yield ( $246 \mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). White solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $12.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.36(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ 166.81, 163.77, 155.10, 153.48, 142.01, 137.99, 129.04, 127.54, 82.54, 60.89, 35.74, 28.12, 24.98, 14.58, 14.47, 14.42. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, ~ 435.2602$; found, 435.2596. Purity (LC): $96 \%$.


Ethyl 3,5-Diethyl-4-(3-(N-ethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido)benzoate (5f). Using general procedure E, with ethyl-(E)-4-(3-( $N^{\prime}$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N$-ethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido)-3,5-diethylbenzoate $\mathbf{S} 4 \mathrm{~m}(110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv $)$ was added a mixture of TFA ( $0.48 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 25$ equiv) and DCM $(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt overnight and monitored by UPLC for the disappearance of the starting material. The solvents were removed, and the crude sample was neutralized with aqueous NaOH . Following purification by RP MPLC ( $100 \%$ water to $100 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) and then by normal-phase MPLC ( $100 \%$ DCM to $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ): $73 \%$ yield $(62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$. White solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $7.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 166.80,160.41,142.14,128.59,127.88,127.38$, 60.78, 35.84, 24.89, 24.10, 14.36, 14.33, 14.24, 12.72. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 335.2078$; found, 335.2070. Purity (LC): 95\%.


Benzyl-4-amino-3,5-diethylbenzoate (9c). Using general procedure G, with 4-bromo-2,6-diethylaniline ( $0.376 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), diacetoxypalladium ( $24.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05$ equiv), xantphos ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), DMAP ( $536 \mathrm{mg}, 4.38$ mmol, 2.0 equiv), dicobalt octacarbonyl ( $187 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.25$ equiv), and benzyl alcohol ( $1.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) in toluene $(9.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes): $67 \%$ yield ( $415 \mathrm{mg}, 1.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.06$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 167.09,146.41,136.82,128.49,128.15,128.00$,
127.92, 126.48, 119.03, 65.94, 24.11, 12.73. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 284.1645; found, 284.1631.


Benzyl-3,5-diethyl-4-(3-(N-ethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido)benzoate (5g). Using general procedure F, with $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)$N^{\prime \prime}$-ethylguanidine 7 a ( $426 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), benzyl-4-amino-3,5-diethylbenzoate 9c $(350 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and TEA ( 860 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) in anhydrous THF ( 8.0 mL ) were heated in a microwave reactor for 10 min at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by MPLC purification ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) and then RP MPLC ( $100 \%$ water to $100 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ ). The resultant mixture ( 127 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added a mixture of TFA ( 1.0 mL ) and DCM $(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring overnight, the solvents were removed, and the crude sample was neutralized with NaOH . Following MPLC (100\% DCM to $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ): $18 \%$ yield ( $89 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Offwhite solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.26$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 166.40,155.63,142.35,136.30,136.16,129.56,128.69$, 128.33, 128.20, 128.20, 127.84, 66.82, 36.84, 24.93, 14.38, 13.96. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 397.2234; found, 397.2226. Purity (LC): 95\%.


4-Amino-3,5-diethyl-N-methylbenzamide (9d). Using general procedure G, with 4-bromo-2,6-diethylaniline ( 0.150 mL , 0.88 mmol, 1.0 equiv), diacetoxypalladium ( $19.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), xantphos ( $101 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv), DMAP ( 214 mg , $1.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), dicobalt octacarbonyl ( $74.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.25 equiv), and methanamine ( $2.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.63 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in toluene $(2.63 \mathrm{~mL})$. Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $50 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes): $87 \%$ yield ( $158 \mathrm{mg}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Colorless liquid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.37$ (s, 2H), 5.95 (s, 1H), $3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.25$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 168.74,144.87$, 126.99, 125.17, 124.03, 26.85, 24.41, 12.96. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 207.1492; found, 207.1488.


3,5-Diethyl-4-(3-( $N^{\prime}-$ (tert-butoxycarbonyl) $-N-$ ethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido)- $N$-methylbenzamide (S4n). Using general procedure D, with $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N^{\prime \prime}$-ethylguanidine 7 a ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 4-amino-3,5-diethyl- $N$ methylbenzamide 9 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and DIPEA ( 170 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) in anhydrous THF were heated in a microwave at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . The mixture was cooled to rt , and the solvents were removed. Following MPLC (100\% hexanes to $100 \%$ EtOAc ) and then repurification by RP MPLC ( $100 \%$ water to $100 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH}): 46 \%$ yield ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). White sticky solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 12.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.45$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.69(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 168.63,163.94,155.12,153.53,142.40,136.56$, 133.61, 124.82, 82.58, 35.80, 28.17, 26.97, 25.08, 14.64, 14.48. HRMS
(LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 420.2605$; found, 420.2598. Purity (LC): $96 \%$.


3,5-Diethyl-4-(3-( N -ethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido)- $N$-methylbenzamide (5h). Using general procedure E, with 3,5 -diethyl-4-(3-( $N^{\prime}$ -(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-N-ethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido)- N -methylbenzamide $\mathbf{S 4 n}$ ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added a $1: 1$ mixture of TFA ( $0.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 25$ equiv) and DCM ( 0.32 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt overnight and monitored by UPLC for the disappearance of the starting material. The solvents were removed, and the crude sample was neutralized with aqueous NaOH . Following purification by RP MPLC ( $100 \%$ water to $100 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ ): $79 \%$ yield ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Beige sticky solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.95$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 168.82,160.53,142.54,137.11$, 133.40, 124.82, 36.05, 26.92, 25.12, 14.51, 14.48. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 320.2081$; found, 320.2076. Purity (LC): $98 \%$.


4-Amino- N -3,5-triethylbenzamide (9e). Using general procedure G, with 4-bromo-2,6-diethylaniline ( $0.376 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), diacetoxypalladium ( $49.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), xantphos ( 254 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv), DMAP ( $536 \mathrm{mg}, 4.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), dicobalt octacarbonyl ( $187 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.25$ equiv), and ethanamine ( $2.0 \mathrm{M}, 3.29 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in toluene ( 6.64 mL ). Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $40 \%$ EtOAc/ hexanes): $89 \%$ yield ( $294 \mathrm{mg}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Light brown solid. mp 132.8-139.6. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{~s}$, 1 H ), 3.92 (s, 2H), 3.48 (qd, $J=7.3,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.55(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.4,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 167.91, 144.84, 126.95, 125.19, 124.06, 34.82, 24.39, 15.20, 12.94. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 221.1648$; found, 221.1651.


N,3,5-Triethyl-4-(3-(N-ethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido)benzamide (5i). Using general procedure F, with $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)$N^{\prime \prime}$-ethylguanidine 7a ( $405 \mathrm{mg}, 1.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 4 -amino- N 3,5 -triethylbenzamide 9 e ( $259 \mathrm{mg}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and DIPEA ( $820 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) in anhydrous THF ( 4.2 mL ) were heated in a microwave reactor for 10 min at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by MPLC purification ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $100 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes). The resultant mixture ( $182 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added a mixture of TFA $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 25$ equiv) and $\mathrm{DCM}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt overnight and monitored by UPLC for the disappearance of the starting material. After stirring overnight, the solvents were removed, and to the crude sample was added DCM and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and the aqueous mixture was extracted three times with DCM. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to afford the crude product as an oil. Following RP MPLC ( $100 \%$ water to $100 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ ): $16 \%$ yield ( 45 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Off-white solid residue. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{~s}$,

2H), 2.69 ( $q, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.24-1.18 (m, 12H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 168.11,164.48,160.56,142.63,137.17,133.60$, 124.81, 35.93, 34.99, 25.11, 15.04, 14.51, 14.45. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 334.2238$; found, 334.2242. Purity (LC): 99\%.


4-Amino-3,5-diethyl-N-isopropylbenzamide (9f). Using general procedure G, with 4-bromo-2,6-diethylaniline ( $0.376 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.19 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv), diacetoxypalladium ( $49.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), xantphos ( $254 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv), DMAP ( $536 \mathrm{mg}, 4.38$ mmol, 2.0 equiv), dicobalt octacarbonyl ( $187 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.25$ equiv), and isopropylamine ( $2.0 \mathrm{M}, 3.29 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in toluene ( 6.64 mL ). Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes): $86 \%$ yield ( $358 \mathrm{mg}, 1.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Light brown solid. mp $124.5-131.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-$ $4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.17(\mathrm{~m}$, $12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 167.17, 144.81, 127.02, 125.21, 124.24, 41.69, 24.46, 23.13, 13.00. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 235.1805; found, 235.1808.


3,5-Diethyl-4-(3-(N-ethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido)-N-isopropylbenzamide (5j). Using general procedure F , with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-bis (tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $\mathrm{N}^{\prime \prime}$-ethylguanidine 7 a ( $377 \mathrm{mg}, 1.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 4 -amino-3,5-diethyl- $N$-isopropylbenzamide of ( $256 \mathrm{mg}, 1.09$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) and DIPEA ( $760 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) in anhydrous THF ( 6.2 mL ) were heated in a microwave reactor for 10 $\min$ at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by RP MPLC purification ( $100 \%$ water to $100 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ ). The resultant mixture ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added TFA ( $520 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 6.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 25$ equiv) and DCM ( 7 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt overnight and monitored by UPLC for the disappearance of the starting material. After stirring overnight, the solvents were removed, and to the crude sample was added DCM and sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$, and the aqueous mixture was extracted three times with DCM. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to afford the crude product as an oil. Following RP MPLC ( $100 \%$ water to $100 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ ): $18 \%$ yield ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). White solid residue. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.06(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.07$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 167.38,164.51$, 160.57, 142.68, 137.25, 133.63, 124.75, 41.94, 35.83, 25.07, 22.85, 14.50, 14.42. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 348.2394; found, 348.2400 . Purity (LC): $97 \%$.


4-Amino-N-benzyl-3,5-diethylbenzamide (9g). Using general procedure $G$, with 4-bromo-2,6-diethylaniline ( 0.376 mL , 2.19 mmol, 1.0 equiv), diacetoxypalladium ( $49.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), xantphos ( $254 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv), DMAP ( 536 mg , $4.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), dicobalt octacarbonyl ( $187 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.25 equiv), and benzylamine ( $0.958 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.77 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) in toluene ( 6.6 mL ). Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $100 \%$ EtOAc/ hexanes): $23 \%$ yield ( 150 mg , 0.50 mmol ). Brown oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64$
(d, $J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 167.81,145.11,138.98$, 128.83, 128.06, 127.54, 127.04, 125.39, 123.56, 44.08, 24.44, 12.96. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 283.1805$; found, 283.1810.


3,5-Diethyl-4-(3-(N'-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-N-ethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido)- N -methylbenzamide ( S 40 ). Using general procedure D, with $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N^{\prime \prime}$-ethylguanidine 7 a ( $440 \mathrm{mg}, 1.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 4 -amino- N -benzyl-3,5diethylbenzamide 9 g ( $360 \mathrm{mg}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and DIPEA ( $890 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) in anhydrous THF ( 3.1 mL ) were heated in a chemical microwave at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . The mixture was cooled to rt, and the solvents were removed. Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) and then repurification by RP MPLC ( $100 \%$ water to $100 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ ): $26 \%$ yield ( $173 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. Yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 12.03$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.04(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 168.01,164.21,155.40,153.79,142.77,138.80,137.08$, 133.51, 129.15, 128.23, 127.91, 125.28, 82.86, 44.44, 36.06, 28.43, 25.38, 14.90, 14.79. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}^{+}$, 496.2918; found, 496.2927. Purity (LC): 94\%.


N-Benzyl-3,5-diethyl-4-(3-(N-ethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido)benzamide ( $5 \boldsymbol{k}$ ). Using general procedure E , with 3,5 -diethyl-4-(3( $N^{\prime}$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N$-ethylcarbamimidoyl) ureido)- $N$-methylbenzamide S4o ( $116 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added a mixture of TFA ( $448 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 25$ equiv) and DCM ( 6 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt and monitored by UPLC for the disappearance of the starting material. After stirring overnight, the solvents were removed, and to the crude sample was added DCM and sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$, and the aqueous mixture was extracted three times with DCM. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to afford the crude product as an oil. Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ DCM to $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ): $71 \%$ yield $(67.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$. Colorless solid residue. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ 167.84, 155.57, 147.71, 142.69, 138.28, 134.87, 134.06, 128.89, 127.89, 127.71, 125.22, 44.22, 36.68, 29.83, 25.02, 14.41, 13.89. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 396.2394; found, 396.2403. Purity (LC): $98 \%$.


4-Amino-3,5-diethyl- N -(4-methoxybenzyl)benzamide ( 9 h ). Using general procedure G, with 4-bromo-2,6-diethylaniline ( 0.376 $\mathrm{mL}, 2.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), diacetoxypalladium ( $49.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.1 equiv), xantphos ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), DMAP ( 536 $\mathrm{mg}, 4.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), dicobalt octacarbonyl ( $187 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55$ mmol, 0.25 equiv), and 4-methoxybenzylamine ( $1.43 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.0 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5.0 equiv) in toluene ( 9.3 mL ). Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to
$20 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes): $50 \%$ yield ( $345 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.42(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88$ (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 167.73,159.15,145.06,131.05$, 129.45, 127.05, 125.38, 123.68, 114.23, 55.47, 43.60, 24.46, 12.98. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 313.1911; found, 313.1903.


3,5-Diethyl-4-(3-(N'-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-N-ethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido)-N-(4-methoxybenzyl)benzamide (S4p). Using general procedure D, with $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(tert-butoxycarbon-$\mathrm{yl})-N^{\prime \prime}$-ethylguanidine $7 \mathrm{a}(153 \mathrm{mg}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), 4 -amino-3,5-diethyl-N-(4-methoxybenzyl)benzamide $9 \mathrm{~h}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.2 equiv) and TEA ( $297 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 53.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) in anhydrous THF ( 4.3 mL ) were heated to reflux for 16 h . The mixture was cooled to rt , and the solvents were removed. Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $60 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ): $76 \%$ yield ( $214 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). White solid. mp 94.7-101.0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 12.04$ ( s , $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20-8.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.95-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.08(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 167.60,163.91,159.17,155.10,153.50,142.46,136.72$, $133.29,130.55,129.32,124.95,114.24,82.55,55.42,43.67,35.76$, 28.13, 25.09, 14.50. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 526.3024$; found, 526.3005 . Purity (LC): $99 \%$.


3,5-Diethyl-4-(3-(N-ethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido)-N-(4methoxybenzyl)benzamide (5I). Using general procedure E , with 3,5-diethyl-4-(3-( $N^{\prime}$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N$-ethylcarbamimidoyl)-ureido)- N -(4-methoxybenzyl)benzamide $\mathbf{S} 4 \mathrm{p}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) was added a $1: 1$ mixture of TFA ( 0.5 mL ) and DCM ( 0.5 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt and monitored by UPLC for the disappearance of the starting material. After stirring for 4 h , the solvents were removed, and to the crude sample was added EtOAc and sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$, and the aqueous mixture was extracted five times with EtOAc. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to afford the crude product as a white solid. Following RP MPLC ( $100 \%$ basic water to $100 \%$ MeCN ): $59 \%$ yield ( $47.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Sticky white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-$ $6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.37(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.08(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 167.63,164.40,160.43,159.06$, $142.45,132.92,130.46,129.23,124.81,114.13,55.32,43.55,35.94$, 25.04, 14.43, 14.32. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 426.2500$; found, 426.2495 . Purity (LC): $98 \%$.

tert-Butyl(4-bromo-2,6-diethylphenyl)carbamate (10). Using a modified literature procedure, ${ }^{42}$ di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.6$ mmol, 1.5 equiv) was added to a solution of 4 -bromo-2,6diethylaniline ( $700 \mathrm{mg}, 3.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in anhydrous ethanol
$(6.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 48 h under an Ar atmosphere. The reaction was monitored via UPLC, and upon completion of the reaction, the solvent was removed, and the crude material was purified via normal-phase MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes ): $80 \%$ yield ( $809 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Light pink solid. mp $125.8-126.9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 155.85,146.90,144.42$, 129.38, 121.47, 85.33, 28.43, 27.57, 24.80, 14.34. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 328.0912; found, 328.0895. Purity (LC): $99 \%$.

tert-Butyl(2,6-diethyl-4-(methylsulfonamido)phenyl)carbamate (11a). Using general procedure H , with tert-Butyl(4-bromo-2,6diethylphenyl) carbamate 10 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), methanesulfonamide ( $86.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), Tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium (0) $(61.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.11$ equiv), DBTTBP ( $46.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.16$ equiv), and cesium carbonate ( $298 \mathrm{mg}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) in 1,4-dioxane ( 8.0 mL ). Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $100 \%$ EtOAc): $48 \%$ yield ( 100 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Brown foamy solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $6.93(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.19$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 154.59,144.03$, 135.81, 129.91, 118.29, 80.17, 39.31, 28.31, 24.91, 14.32. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ [M -H$]^{-}$, 341.1541; found, 341.1551.

$N$-(4-Amino-3,5-diethylphenyl)methanesulfonamide (12a). Using general procedure J, with tert-butyl(2,6-diethyl-4(methylsulfonamido)phenyl)carbamate 11a ( $71.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a $1: 1$ mixture of TFA/DCM ( 2 mL ). Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ DCM to $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ): $78 \%$ yield ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). White solid residue. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 6.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 140.66$, 128.80, 126.69, 122.20, 38.82, 24.36, 12.97. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 243.1162; found, 243.1151.


N-(3,5-Diethyl-4-(3-( N -ethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido)phenyl)methanesulfonamide ( 5 m ). Using general procedure F , with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ -bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $\mathrm{N}^{\prime \prime}$-ethylguanidine $7 \mathrm{a}(34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv), $N$-(4-amino-3,5-diethylphenyl)methanesulfonamide 12a $(29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and TEA ( $67 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) in anhydrous THF ( 1.0 mL ) were heated to reflux for 16 h , followed by MPLC purification ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes). The resultant mixture ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added TFA $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DCM ( 0.5 mL ). Following RP MPLC ( $100 \%$ water to $100 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ ): $43 \%$ yield ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). White powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): \delta 7.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 166.36,162.16,145.30,138.54,132.01$, $119.48,38.86,36.64,26.06,14.96,14.89$. Purity (LC): $98 \%$. HRMS
(ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 356.1751$; found, 356.1738 .

tert-Butyl-(2,6-diethyl-4-(phenylsulfonamido)phenyl)carbamate (11b). Using general procedure H , with tert-Butyl(4-bromo-2,6diethylphenyl) carbamate $10(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), benzenesulfonamide ( $144 \mathrm{mg}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), Tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium (0) ( $61.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.11$ equiv), DBTTBP ( $46.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.16$ equiv), and cesium carbonate ( 298 mg , $0.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) in 1,4-dioxane ( 8.0 mL ). Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $80 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes): $77 \%$ yield ( $191 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). White solid. mp $148.5-169.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 155.03,143.68,139.82,136.03,133.18,129.31$, 127.72, 120.26, 80.62, 28.77, 25.12, 14.62. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$, 403.1697; found, 403.1705.


N -(4-Amino-3,5-diethylphenyl)benzenesulfonamide (12b). Using general procedure J, with tert-Butyl-(2,6-diethyl-4(phenylsulfonamido)phenyl)carbamate 11b ( $186 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a $1: 1$ mixture of TFA/DCM ( 2 mL ). Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ DCM to $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ): $88 \%$ yield ( $124 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). White solid. mp 150.6-155.6 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.72-$ $7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.59$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 140.48,139.23$, 132.54, 128.68, 128.22, 127.45, 126.00, 123.20, 23.98, 12.71. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 305.1318; found, 305.1305 .


N-(3,5-Diethyl-4-(3-(N'-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-(Nethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido)phenyl)benzenesulfonamide (S4q). Using general procedure $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $\mathrm{N}^{\prime \prime}$-ethylguanidine 7a ( $94.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $N$-( 4 -amino-3,5diethylphenyl) benzenesulfonamide $\mathbf{1 2 b}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), and TEA ( $183 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) in anhydrous THF $(2.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ were heated to reflux for 16 h . The mixture was cooled to rt, and the solvents were removed. Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $70 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) and then RP MPLC ( $100 \%$ water to $100 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ ): $50 \%$ yield ( $85.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). White powder. $\mathrm{mp} 160.4-164.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 11.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{p}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 164.14,153.57,143.50,132.99,132.71$, 129.08, 128.86, 127.62, 127.49, 123.34, 119.54, 82.57, 35.78, 28.17, 24.88, 14.62, 14.36. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 518.2432$; found, 518.2416 . Purity (LC): $99 \%$.

N -(3,5-Diethyl-4-(3-(N-ethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido)phenyl)benzenesulfonamide (5n). Using general procedure E, $N$ - $(3,5-$

diethyl-4-(3-( $N^{\prime}$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-( $N$-ethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido)phenyl)benzenesulfonamide $\mathbf{S} 4 \mathrm{q}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added a $1: 1$ mixture of TFA $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DCM $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt overnight, the solvents were removed, and to the crude sample was added EtOAc and sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$, and the aqueous mixture was extracted five times with EtOAc. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to afford the crude product as a white solid. Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ DCM to $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ): $51 \%$ yield $(21.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$. White solid residue. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $14.9,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{q}, ~ J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta 164.48,156.60,142.62,132.61$, 129.22, 129.18, 129.13, 126.73, 121.43, 117.39, 35.68, 24.38, 14.91, 14.16. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 418.1907; found, 418.1891. Purity (LC): $98 \%$.

tert-Butyl-(2,6-diethyl-4-((4-methylphenyl)sulfonamido)phenyl)carbamate (11c). Using general procedure $H$, with tert-butyl $(4-$ bromo-2,6-diethylphenyl)carbamate $10(250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), toluenesulfonamide ( $196 \mathrm{mg}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), Tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0) (76.7 mg, 0.84 mmol , 0.11 equiv), DBTTBP ( $58.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.16$ equiv), and cesium carbonate ( 372 mg , $1.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) in 1,4-dioxane ( 8.0 mL ). Following MPLC (100\% hexanes to $100 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes): $85 \%$ yield ( $270 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Light yellow solid. mp $151.6-157.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49$ (s, 9H), $1.09(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 152.57, 143.78, 143.39, 135.58, 132.61, 129.57, 129.51, 127.36, 119.15, 80.05, 76.70, 28.31, 24.73, 21.51, 14.21. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, 417.1854$; found, 417.1866.


N-(4-Amino-3,5-diethylphenyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (12c). Using general procedure J, with tert-butyl-(2,6-diethyl-4-((4methylphenyl)sulfonamido) phenyl)carbamate 11c (200 mg, 0.48 mmol ) in a $1: 1$ mixture of TFA/DCM $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes): $92 \%$ yield ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44$ mmol). White solid. mp $170.5-175.6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): \delta 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.57$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta$ 144.56, 141.30, 138.05, 130.24, 129.88, 128.65, 128.52, 123.34, 25.00, 21.39, 13.50. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 319.1475$; found, 319.1463.

N-(3,5-Diethyl-4-(3-(N-ethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido)phenyl)-4methylbenzenesulfonamide (50). Using general procedure F , with

$N, N^{\prime}$-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N^{\prime \prime}$-ethylguanidine $7 \mathrm{a}(68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24$ mmol, 1.0 equiv), $N$-(4-amino-3,5-diethylphenyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide 12 c ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and TEA $(130 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.94 mmol, 4.0 equiv) in anhydrous THF ( 1.9 mL ) were heated to reflux for 16 h , followed by MPLC purification ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $)$. The resultant mixture ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added TFA ( 0.5 mL ) and DCM ( 0.5 mL ). Following RP MPLC ( $100 \%$ water to $100 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ ): $57 \%$ yield ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). White solid residue. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.35$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 164.53,160.11,143.02$, 142.61, 137.04, 131.65, 129.57, 126.79, 116.93, 116.83, 28.98, 24.37, 20.95, 14.14, 14.12. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+$ $H]^{+}, 432.2064$; found, 432.2049. Purity (LC): 94\%.

tert-Butyl-(4-(benzylthio)-2,6-diethylphenyl)carbamate (13). Using a modified literature procedure, ${ }^{30}$ tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium ( 0 ) ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05$ equiv), xantphos $(200 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), and N -ethyl- N -isopropylpropan-2-amine ( 800 $\mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1$ equiv) were added to a stirred solution of tert-butyl(4-bromo-2,6-diethylphenyl) carbamate 10 ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in 1,4-dioxane ( 20 mL ). The mixture was degassed, and phenylmethanethiol ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was subsequently added. The reaction was heated at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h and was monitored via UPLC. Upon completion of the reaction, the crude reaction mixture was filtered over Celite and the solvent was removed. Following purification via normal-phase MPLC: (100\% hexanes to $100 \% \mathrm{DCM} /$ hexanes ): $95 \%$ yield ( $951 \mathrm{mg}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yellow solid. $\mathrm{mp} 67.7-71.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.36-7.18(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 154.35,142.74,137.77,135.34,130.58,129.03,128.62$, 127.93, 127.27, 80.07, 77.48, 39.38, 28.45, 24.89, 24.83, 14.45. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 372.1997$; found, 372.1979. Purity (LC): $94 \%$.

tert-Butyl-(4-(chlorosulfonyl)-2,6-diethylphenyl)carbamate (14). Using a modified literature procedure, ${ }^{30}$ tert-butyl (4-(benzylthio)-2,6-diethylphenyl)carbamate 13 ( 700 mg , $1.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in water $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and acetic acid $(9 \mathrm{~mL})$. To the solution was added $N$-chlorosuccinimide ( $755 \mathrm{mg}, 5.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . The mixture was diluted with ca. 6 mL of water to yield a white precipitate, which was filtered to yield the product $(591 \mathrm{mg}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a white solid in $90 \%$ yield, which was used without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 154.34$, 146.52, 141.22, 134.10, 123.20, 78.08, 28.22, 24.37, 14.36. HRMS
(LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{SCl}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$: 346.0880; found, 346.0897. Purity (LC): $94 \%$.

tert-Butyl-(2,6-diethyl-4-(N-ethylsulfamoyl)phenyl)carbamate (15a). Using general procedure I, with tert-butyl-(4-(chlorosulfonyl)-2,6-diethylphenyl)carbamate 14 ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), ethanamine $(2.0 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{THF}, 229 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), triethylamine ( $120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) in DCM ( 3 mL ). Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $50 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes): $99 \%$ yield $(149 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol})$. White solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 151.60,143.28,138.51,135.24$, 125.08, 80.84, 28.40, 15.28, 14.21. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, 355.1697$; found, 355.1712 .


4-Amino-N,3,5-triethylbenzenesulfonamide (16a). Using general procedure J, with tert-butyl(2,6-diethyl-4-( $N$-ethylsulfamoyl)phenyl)carbamate 15 a ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in a $1: 1$ mixture of TFA and DCM ( 2 mL ). Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $100 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes): $96 \%$ yield ( $82.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). White solid. mp $133.4-136.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-$ $3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.06-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 145.99,127.67,127.13,125.41,38.32,24.24,15.23,12.63$. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 257.1318$; found, 257.1307.


N,3,5-Triethyl-4-(3-(N-ethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido)benzenesulfonamide (5p). Using general procedure F , with $N, N^{\prime}$ -bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N^{\prime \prime}$-ethylguanidine 7 a ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv), 4-amino- $N, 3,5$-triethylbenzenesulfonamide $\mathbf{1 6 a}$ ( 70 mg , 0.27 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and TEA ( $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) in anhydrous THF ( 2.2 mL ) were heated to reflux for 16 h , followed by MPLC purification ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $100 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes). The resultant mixture ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added TFA $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DCM ( 0.5 mL ). Following MPLC ( $100 \% \mathrm{DCM}$ to $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} /$ DCM): $27 \%$ yield $(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$. Clear residue. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): \delta 7.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 162.11,156.10,145.06$, 139.70, 125.69, 125.57, 39.02, 36.65, 26.00, 15.22, 15.22, 14.87, 14.63. Purity (LC): 98\%. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 370.1907$; found, 370.1893 .
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Isobutyl-(2,6-diethylphenyl)carbamate (18). Following a modified literature procedure, ${ }^{43}$ isobutyl chloroformate $(392 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.02$ mmol, 1.5 equiv) was added dropwise to a stirring solution of 2,6 diethylaniline ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 2.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and pyridine $(160 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $2.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in DCM ( 3 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h and monitored by TLC ( $20 \%$ $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes). After completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was diluted with DCM $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with water ( 6 mL ) three times. The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to afford the crude product. Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ ): $97 \%$ yield ( 484 mg , 1.94 mmol ). Pale pink solid. mp $75.8-77.6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=39.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=22.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.05-0.68$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 155.73,143.22,142.54$, 128.32, 126.82, 71.80, 28.60, 25.21, 19.45, 14.93. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 250.1802$; found, 250.1798 .
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Isobutyl (2,6-Diethyl-3-(N-ethylsulfamoyl)phenyl)carbamate (19). A round-bottom flask chilled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was charged with isobutyl (2,6-diethylphenyl) carbamate $18(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and carefully added chlorosulfonic acid ( $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 9.9$ equiv) and stirred for 3 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was then pipetted onto ice dropwise to yield isobutyl (3-(chlorosulfonyl)-2,6-diethylphenyl)carbamate as a white solid, which was filtered, rinsed with water, concentrated in vacuo, and immediately dissolved in DCM $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$; then added ethylamine $(2.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $308 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.62 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv $)$ and triethylamine ( $160 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv); and stirred at room temperature overnight. After completion of the reaction, to the solution was added brine $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted three times with DCM $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) : $62.6 \%$ yield $(125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. Colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.68(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-1.16(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 155.26,148.35,141.92,136.45,135.06,129.26$, 126.27, 71.77, 38.29, 28.20, 24.97, 22.55, 19.06, 15.29, 14.68, 13.82. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 357.1843; found, 357.1833.
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3-Amino-N,2,4-triethylbenzenesulfonamide (20). Following a modified literature procedure, ${ }^{32}$ isobutyl (2,6-diethyl-3-( $N$ ethylsulfamoyl)phenyl)carbamate $19(123 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in THF ( 4 mL ) and added TBAF ( 1.0 M , $1.73 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv). The reaction was refluxed overnight and monitored by TLC ( $30 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes). Upon completion of the reaction, the solvent was removed, and the crude product was dissolved in ca. 4 mL of EtOAc and extracted three times with 5 mL of brine. The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated to afford the crude product as a red oil. Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $100 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes): $82 \%$ yield ( 72.4 mg , 0.28 mmol . Yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
4.03-3.76 (m, 2H), 3.08-2.92 (m, 4H), $2.54(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.26(\mathrm{q}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 143.71, 135.96, 132.91, 125.52, 125.26, 119.39, 38.27, 24.58, 21.23, 15.26, 12.37. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 257.1383; found, 257.1313.


N,2,4-Triethyl-3-(3-(N-ethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido)benzenesulfonamide (5q). Using general procedure F , with 3 -amino$N, 2,4$-triethylbenzenesulfonamide $20(30.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N^{\prime \prime}$-ethylguanidine 7a (34.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), and TEA ( $65.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) in THF ( 0.95 mL ). The reaction mixture was heated to reflux overnight and purified via MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $100 \%$ EtOAc/ hexanes) to yield a mixture containing the Boc-protected product, which was added a $1: 1$ mixture of TFA/DCM $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. Following RP MPLC ( $100 \%$ basic water to $100 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ ): $60 \%$ yield $(5.1 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$. Yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.86(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{q}, J=6.6,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-0.57(\mathrm{~m}$, $12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 164.56,147.55,141.58$, 138.39, 136.89, 126.20, 125.16, 124.74, 37.44, 34.77, 24.53, 21.70, 15.11, 14.39, 13.81. HRMS (LTQFT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+$ $H]^{+}, 370.1907$; found, 370.1899. Purity (LC): $>99 \%$.

tert-Butyl-(2,6-diethyl-4-(N-phenylsulfamoyl)phenyl)carbamate (15b). Using general procedure I, with tert-butyl-(4-(chlorosulfonyl)-2,6-diethylphenyl)carbamate 14 ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), aniline ( $44.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), and TEA $(120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.86$ mmol, 2.0 equiv) in DCM ( 2.77 mL ). Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $): 70 \%$ yield ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). White solid. mp $177.9-182.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $7.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 167.69, 143.09, 137.56, 136.61, 129.47, 129.47, 125.79, 125.09, 122.31, 80.88, 28.37, 24.90, 14.04. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$: 403.1697; found, 403.1715 .


4-Amino-3,5-diethyl-N-Phenylbenzenesulfonamide (16b). Using general procedure $J$, with tert-butyl-(2,6-diethyl-4-( $N$ phenylsulfamoyl)phenyl) carbamate $\mathbf{1 5 b}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in a $1: 1$ mixture of TFA and DCM $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. Crude yield $100 \%$ $(75.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$; used without purification. Beige solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.13-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ 137.12, 131.83, 129.16, 126.83, 126.74, 125.33, 125.07, 121.77, 23.91, 12.33. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 305.1318; found, 305.1303.

3,5-Diethyl-4-(3-(N-ethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido)-N-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (5r). Using general procedure F , with $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N^{\prime \prime}$-ethylguanidine $7 \mathrm{a}(43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$

equiv), 4-amino-3,5-diethyl- $N$-phenylbenzenesulfonamide $\mathbf{1 6 b}$ (55 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and TEA ( $84.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) in anhydrous THF ( 1.2 mL ) were heated to reflux for 16 h , followed by MPLC purification ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $60 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes). The resultant mixture ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added TFA $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DCM ( 0.5 mL ). Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ DCM to $10 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}): 48 \%$ yield $(19.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$. Clear residue. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64$ $(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 166.20,159.80,144.81,139.22,130.12$, 130.09, 130.06, 125.79, 125.66, 122.35, 36.63, 25.86, 14.47, 14.47. Purity (LC): $98 \%$. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 418.1907$; found, 418.1891 .

tert-Butyl-(4-(N-benzylsulfamoyl)-2,6-diethylphenyl)carbamate (15c). Using general procedure I, with tert-butyl-(4-(chlorosulfonyl)-2,6-diethylphenyl) carbamate $14(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), benzylamine ( $50.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), and TEA ( $120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.86$ mmol, 2.0 equiv) in DCM ( 2.77 mL ). Following MPLC ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $): ~ 73 \%$ yield ( $132 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). White solid. mp $152.8-154.6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.60(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 153.94,143.35,138.47,137.32,136.39,128.89$, 128.13, 128.07, 125.16, 80.86, 47.48, 28.41, 25.07, 14.22. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]$ : 417.1854; found, 417.1871.


4-Amino-N-benzyl-3,5-diethylbenzenesulfonamide (16c). Using general procedure J , with tert-butyl-(4-( $N$-benzylsulfamoyl)-2,6diethylphenyl)carbamate $15 \mathrm{c}(113 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in a $1: 1$ mixture of TFA and DCM ( 1 mL ). Crude yield $100 \%(86.6 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$; used without purification. White powder. mp 156.4$159.3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.17$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 146.13,136.84,128.77$, 128.05, 127.93, 127.43, 127.17, 125.56, 47.41, 24.24, 12.63. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 319.1475$; found, 319.1459 .


N-Benzyl-3,5-diethyl-4-(3-(N-ethylcarbamimidoyl)ureido)benzenesulfonamide (5s). Using general procedure F , with $N, N^{\prime}$ -bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N^{\prime \prime}$-ethylguanidine 7 a ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv), 4-amino- $N$-benzyl-3,5-diethylbenzenesulfonamide $\mathbf{1 6 c}$ (70 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), and TEA ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv)
in anhydrous THF ( 1.5 mL ) were heated to reflux for 16 h , followed by MPLC purification ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $60 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes). The resultant mixture ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added TFA $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DCM ( 0.5 mL ). Following MPLC ( $100 \% \mathrm{DCM}$ to $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} /$ DCM): $71 \%$ yield ( $17.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Clear residue. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $: \delta 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.01(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 1.07-1.15 (m, 9H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 167.28, 156.61, 142.84, 137.69, 128.21, 128.10, 127.58, 127.14, 123.99, 123.96, 46.13, 35.66, 24.35, 14.18, 14.07. Purity (LC): 96\% HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 432.2064$; found, 432.2047.


N-(4-Bromo-2,6-diisopropylphenyl)- $N^{\prime}$-[(ethylamino)-iminomethyl]-urea (5t). Using general procedure F , with $N, N^{\prime}$ -bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)- $N^{\prime \prime}$-ethylguanidine $7 \mathrm{a}(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv), 4-bromo-2,6-diisopropylaniline ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and TEA ( $291 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) in anhydrous THF $(4.23 \mathrm{~mL})$ were heated to reflux, followed by MPLC purification ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes). The resultant mixture (140 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added a $1: 1$ mixture of TFA and DCM $(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 30 min , the solvents were removed, and to the crude sample was added EtOAc and sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$, and the aqueous mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to afford the crude product as an oil. Following MPLC (100\% hexanes to $100 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes): $48 \%$ yield ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Off-white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): \delta 7.28(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.21-3.02 (m, 2H), $1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ 157.09, 149.22, 126.80, 125.51, 122.54, 117.37, 114.95, 36.08, 28.78, 21.93, 13.50. HRMS (LTQ FT): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 369.1285; found, 369.1288. Purity (LC): 99\%.


N-Adamantyl-N'-[(ethylamino)iminomethyl]-urea (5u). Using general procedure $C$, with 1 -isocyanatoadamantane ( $158 \mathrm{mg}, 0.89$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), 1-ethylguanidine sulfate $\mathbf{6 a}(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.81 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv), $10 \% \mathrm{KOH}$ (aq) ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), and THF ( 6.6 mL ) and following MPLC ( $100 \% \mathrm{DCM}$ to $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ): $85 \%$ yield ( $183 \mathrm{mg}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). White solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 4.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 165.23,159.77,50.39,42.28,36.69$, 36.06, 29.68, 14.74. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 265.2023$; found, 265.2045. Purity (LC): $>99 \%$.

Biology. Purification of PptT. E. coli Bl21 DE3 cells (Invitrogen) transformed with the pMCSG28 plasmid (containing the pptT gene with C-terminal 6 x HISTAG) were grown to $\mathrm{OD}_{600} 0.6-0.8$ and induced with 1 mM IPTG overnight at $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after cold shock on ice for 20 min . After the overnight induction, the cells were pelleted and then resuspended in lysis buffer ( 50 mM MES $\mathrm{pH} 6.7,250 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, 50 mM l-Glu, 50 mM l-Arg, $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole, 1 mM DTT, and $10 \%$ glycerol) and lysed using a microfluidics cell disruptor. The resulting lysates were centrifuged at 35000 g for 45 min . The NiNTA resin was pre-equilibrated with lysis buffer, and the supernatant was loaded onto the column and agitated for 15 min via gentle mixing. Afterward, the supernatant was allowed to flow through the column, and the column was then washed with 1 L of lysis buffer. Afterward, the protein was eluted with an elution buffer ( 50 mM MES pH 6.7 , $250 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 50 \mathrm{mM}$ L-Glu, 50 mM L-Arg, $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,1 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT 300 mM imidazole, and $10 \%$ glycerol). The resulting elutant
was concentrated to 2 mL via the use of a 10 K MWCO Centricon filter and loaded onto a S-75 Sephadex gel filtration column preequilibrated with gel filtration buffer ( 50 mM MES $\mathrm{pH} 5.8,250 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{NaCl}, 50 \mathrm{mM}$ L-Glu, 50 mM l-Arg, $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, and $10 \%$ glycerol) and fractionated with an AKTA system. The resulting fractions containing monomeric PptT were pooled, concentrated, and either aliquoted and frozen with liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for later use in enzyme assays or utilized immediately for crystallization. An image of the SDS-PAGE gel of purified PptT is provided in Figure S1 (Supporting Information).

Purification of BpsA. E. coli BL21 DE3 cells (Invitrogen) transformed with the pMCSG7 plasmid (containing the BpsA gene with N-terminal 6x HISTAG) were grown to $\mathrm{OD}_{600} 0.6-0.8$ and induced with 1 mM IPTG overnight at $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after cold shock on ice for 20 min . After the overnight induction, the cells were pelleted and then resuspended in lysis buffer ( 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8,500 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5$ mM imidazole, 1 mM DTT, and $12.5 \%$ glycerol) and lysed using a microfluidics cell disruptor. The resulting lysates were centrifuged at 35000 g for 45 min . The Ni-NTA resin was pre-equilibrated with lysis buffer, and the supernatant was loaded onto the column and agitated for 15 min via gentle mixing. Afterward, the supernatant was allowed to flow through the column, and the column was then washed with 1 L of lysis buffer. Afterward, the protein was eluted with elution buffer ( 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8,500 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 300 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole, 1 mM DTT, and $12.5 \%$ glycerol). The resulting elutant was then dialyzed in dialysis buffer ( 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8,50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{DTT}$, and $12.5 \%$ glycerol) overnight. Afterward, the sample was concentrated, snap-frozen with liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for later use. An image of the SDS-PAGE gel of purified BpsA is provided in Figure S1 (Supporting Information).

BpsA-Coupled PptT Assay. In order to assess PptT's activity, the BpsA-coupled PptT assay was used, which relies on quantitating the rate of apo to holo conversion of BpsA via monitoring the formation of indigoidine production from ATP and glutamine spectroscopically, which holo-BpsA catalyzes. ${ }^{44,45}$ The final reaction condition consisted of 100 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.5,5 \mathrm{mM}$ ATP, 5 mM L-glutamine, 5 mM $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 0.5 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, 100 nM PPT, $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CoA}$, and $2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ BpsA. The compounds were serially diluted 2 -fold from $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ over a span of 9 dilutions, yielding 10 different concentrations. The reactions were initiated with the addition of PptT , and the data were processed as described. ${ }^{45}$

PptT FP-Based Assay. Reaction conditions consisted of 100 mM MES pH 6.7, $200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}, 0.01 \%$ Tween $20,5 \%$ DMSO, $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GST-PKS13 CP substrate, $2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ bodipy-CoA, and 200 nM PptT. Reactions were initiated by the addition of enzyme, and the reaction was monitored by measuring the increase in fluorescence polarization (482 excitation/504 dichroic/530 emission at RT) using a BMG Labtech plate reader.

Crystallization, Data Collection, and Structure Determination. PptT was pre-incubated with excess AU and optimized/crystallized as reported for the PptT PAP (CoA) 8918 cocrystals. ${ }^{22}$ Briefly, after incubation with AU, PptT/AU solution was mixed with variations of the crystallization condition ( 100 mM bis-tris propane $\mathrm{pH} 6.7,1.8 \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ featuring adjustments in the pH , and precipitation concentration) in a $1: 1$ ratio as $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ hanging drops (vapor diffusion), and the samples were allowed to incubate at $16{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Crystals generally formed within 2 weeks.

Prior to shipment to the synchrotron beamlines, the crystals were cryoprotected by submerging them in mother liquor solution featuring $30 \%$ glycerol and were subsequently stored in liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. X-ray diffraction data were collected at the 19-ID-D and 23-ID-D beamlines (APS Argonne National Labs). The on-site HKL3000 package ${ }^{46}$ was used for the data reduction of the 8978 B and 9016 structures, while the on-site automated XDS processing ${ }^{47}$ was used for the data reduction of 9056 .

The data sets were phased via Phenix Phaser (molecular replacement) using the PptT portion of the PptT PAP (CoA) 8918 complex as the search model (having removed all heteroatoms). Refinement initially involved cycles of rigid body, occupancy, reciprocal space, ADP refinement, and simulated annealing using
phenix refine, ${ }^{48}$ while COOT $^{49}$ was used for manual model building. Cycles of refinement using phenix refine and manual model building in COOT were utilized until each model was sufficiently refined. See Table S1 for data collection and refinement statistics.

The PDB depositions for the PptT PAP (CoA) complexes are 7 N 8 M (for 8978 B , compound 4b), 7N8E (for 9016, compound 4c), and 7N8L for 9056 (compound $4 \mathbf{f}$ ).

Activity Assays against a Panel of M. tuberculosis Strains. The activity of test agents against replicating $M$. tuberculosis was measured according to the published protocols. ${ }^{22,50-52}$ The M. tuberculosis wildtype H37Rv, the PptT knockdown (DAS-PptT clone TetON-10), and the $\Delta p p t H$ knock-out strains were passaged as described previously. ${ }^{22}$ In brief, the wild-type $M$. tuberculosis, the PptT knockdown, and the PptH knockout strains were grown at $20 \% \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in Middlebrook 7H9 medium containing $0.2 \%$ glycerol, 10\% OADC (oleic acid, albumin, dextrose, and catalase), and $0.02 \%$ tyloxapol as a dispersal agent. M. tuberculosis $\mathrm{mc}^{2} 6220(\Delta \text { pan } C D \Delta l y s A)^{50,53,54}$ was grown in Middlebrook 7H9 medium containing $0.2 \%$ glycerol, $10 \%$ OADC (oleic acid, albumin, dextrose, and catalase), and $0.02 \%$ tyloxapol, supplemented with additional glycerol ( $0.5 \%$ final), CAS amino acids ${ }^{45}(0.05 \%)$, pantothenate $(24 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$, and lysine (240 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL})$. Bacilli were exposed to test agents in tissue-culture-treated Greiner 384-well plates (reference 781091) for 6-7 days (WT and $\Delta p p t H$ ) or $9-10$ days (DAS-PptT $+/-\mathrm{ATc}$ ), after which $\mathrm{OD}_{580}$ was determined. Percent inhibition of growth was calculated relative to a rifampicin control or vehicle alone (DMSO).

Cytotoxicity in HepG2 Cells. Cytotoxicity was assessed in 96-well plates using $10^{4}$ HepG2 cells per well in DMEM F-12 medium (Gibco) in the presence of $5 \%$ fetal bovine serum, of 0.1 mM nonessential amino acids (Gibco), of 1 mM Na pyruvate (Gibco), and of the compounds at various concentrations in $1 \%$ DMSO final. The viability of the cells was measured after 40 h incubation at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, under $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, using the Celltiter Glo assay (Promega).
$\log D$ Determination. $\log \mathrm{D}$ values at pH 7.4 were determined by a standardized HPLC method as derived from Genieser and coworkers. ${ }^{55}$ The calculation of the $\log D$ value for measured compounds is performed by comparison of the retention times with standard compounds of known distribution coefficients between 1-octanol and water at pH 7.4.

Thermodynamic (Equilibrium) Solubility. The selected compound is suspended in phosphate buffer 50 mM pH 7.4 at a target concentration of $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$. After overnight stirring at room temperature protected from light, suspensions are filtered. An aliquot of the resulting supernatant is quantified using the UPLC UV method against a reference solution obtained by the preparation of a DMSO stock solution. The solubility value is expressed as $\mu \mathrm{g}$ per mL .

Caco-2 Apparent Permeability. Human Caco-2/TC7 cells are seeded onto transwell plates at $1 \times 10^{5}$ cells $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. The cells were cultured in DMEM and media is changed every 2 or 3 days. On day 20, the permeability study is performed. Cell culture and assay incubations are carried out at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an atmosphere of $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ with a relative humidity of $95 \%$. On the day of the assay, the monolayers are prepared by rinsing both apical and basolateral surfaces twice with Hanks balanced salt solution (HBSS) at pH 7.4 warmed to $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cells are then incubated with HBSS at the desired pH in both apical and basolateral compartments for 40 min to stabilize the physiological parameters. The dosing solutions are prepared by diluting the test compound with assay buffer to afford the desired test compound incubation concentration. The compound is tested at 20 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ in assay buffer and its permeability is measured in the absence and presence of elacridar, a P-glycoprotein transporter inhibitor. For the assessment of apical $\rightarrow$ basal permeability, HBSS is removed from the apical compartment and replaced with the test compound dosing solution. The apical compartment insert is placed into a companion plate containing fresh buffer. After 120 min under agitation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ without $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, the apical compartment inserts and the companion plates are separated, and the apical and basolateral samples were diluted for analysis. The compounds are quantified by LC-MS/MS. The permeability coefficient $\left(P_{\text {app }}\right)$ and recovery are calculated according to the following equations:

$$
P_{\mathrm{app}}(\mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{s})=\frac{\text { basal amount at time } 120}{\text { time } \times \text { filter area } \times \text { apical concentration at time } 0}
$$

$$
\text { recovery }(\%)=
$$

$$
\text { (basal amount at time } 120+\text { apical amount at time } 120) \times 100
$$ apical amount at time 0

Metabolic Stabilities. The metabolic stabilities of the test compounds were determined essentially as described (1, 2). Microsomes (purchased from BD) are prepared at $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ protein concentration and 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 7.4 , and test compound are pre-incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prior to the addition of NADPH (final concentration 1 mM ). A minus cofactor control incubation is included for each compound tested, where 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 7.4 is added instead of NADPH (minus NADPH ). Each compound is incubated for $0,5,15,30$, and 45 min . The control (minus NADPH) is incubated for 45 min only. The reactions are stopped by transferring the incubate into acetonitrile at the appropriate time points in a $1: 3$ ratio. The termination plates are centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 20 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to precipitate the protein. Following protein precipitation, the sample supernatant is analyzed using LC-MS/MS. Subsequently, half-life and intrinsic clearance are calculated as described in the literature. ${ }^{56,57}$

Plasma Stability. Mouse and human plasma stability are measured after 2 h of incubation of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ test compounds at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; the test compounds were considered stable at 2 h if the percentage of stability was within the $80-120 \%$ range. Briefly, each compound is incubated for $0,5,15,30,60$, and 120 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reactions are stopped by transferring $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the incubate to $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of acetonitrile containing the internal standard at the appropriate time points. The termination plates are centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 45 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to precipitate the protein. Following protein precipitation, the sample samples are analyzed using LC-MS/MS. From a plot of ln peak area ratio (compound peak area/internal standard peak area) against time, the gradient of the line is determined. Subsequently, the elimination rate constant and the half-life $(t 1 / 2)$ ( min ) are calculated.

Inhibition Studies using Human Liver Microsomes. The test compound or vehicle is pre-incubated in 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 7.4 with human liver microsomes (final concentration $0.1 \mathrm{mg} /$ ) either pre-incubated for 30 min in the absence and presence of NADPH or undergo a 0 min pre-incubation. At the end of the pre-incubation stage, a cytochrome P450 isoform-specific probe substrate (midazolam at $2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and testosterone at $50 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and NADPH ( 1 mM ) were then added (final DMSO concentration $0.5 \%$ ), and the samples were incubated for 5 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Following termination of the reactions, the metabolites are monitored by $\mathrm{LC}-\mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{MS}$, and a decrease in the formation of the metabolite formation of metabolites 1-hydroxymidazolam $6 \beta$-hydroxytestosterone is monitored by LCMS/MS, respectively. Following the termination of the reactions and protein precipitation with acetonitrile, supernatants are collected to monitor metabolite 1-hydroxymidazolam or $6 \beta$-hydroxytestosterone by LCMS/MS. A decrease in metabolite formation compared to vehicle control is used to calculate an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value. A decrease in the formation of the metabolite compared to vehicle control is used to calculate an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value.

Inhibition of the hERG, hCav1.2, or hNav1.5 Channel in Transfected HEK Cells in an Automatic Patch Clamp Format. HEK cells stably expressing hERG, hCav1.2, or hNav1.5 are grown in minimum essential medium supplemented (Gibco) with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum to $60-80 \%$ confluency. Before running the experiment, the culture media is removed, and the cells were washed with DPBS without calcium and magnesium. The rapid ICE system (QPatch HTX) is performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. The composition of the pipette solution is $130 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 1.0 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}, 5$ mM ethylene glycol-bis( $\beta$-aminoethyl ether)- $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-tetraacetic acid (EGTA), 5 mM MgATP, and $10 \mathrm{mM} N$-2-hydroxyethylpiper-azine- $N^{\prime}$-2-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) 10 at pH 7.2. For the hERG assay, the composition of the bath solution (PAA Laboratories GmbH , Austria) is $137 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 4 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 1.8 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl} 2,1.0$ $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,10 \mathrm{mM}$ d-glucose, and 10 mM HEPES at pH 7.4. For the
hNav1.5 assay, the bath solution is $60 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 4 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 1.8 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}, 1.0 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,10 \mathrm{mM}$ D-glucose, and 10 mM HEPES at pH 7.4. For the hCavl.2 assay, the composition of the bath solution is $120 \mathrm{mM} N$-methyl-d-glucamine (NMDG), $20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{BaCl} 2,10 \mathrm{mM}$ HEPES, and 7 mM d-glucose at pH 7.4 .
eCiphrCardio Assay. CDI iCell cardiomyocytes (Fujifilm Cellular Dynamics) were plated onto fibronectin-coated 48-well microelectrode array plates at a density of 50,000 cells per well and differentiated for 3-5 days according to the manufacturer's instructions until a stable beating phenotype was achieved. The day of the assay, after media change, the cells were treated with vehicle ( $0.2 \% \mathrm{DMSO}$ ), quinidine (positive control), or test compounds. The activity of cells in a 48 -well microelectrode array was recorded prior to treatment (baseline) and at 1 and 24 h post-treatment using the Axion Biosystems Maestro MEA system. The recording conditions were at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ using the standard Cardiac settings on the Axion Biosystems Maestro Axis software version 2.1.
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