Physical Therapy & Athletic Training

http://open.bu.edu

BU Open Access Articles

2020-10-21

These legs were made for propulsion: advancing the diagnosis and treatment...

This work was made openly accessible by BU Faculty. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Version	Published version
Citation (published version):	L.N. Awad, M.D. Lewek, T.M. Kesar, J.R. Franz, M.G. Bowden. 2020. "These legs were made for propulsion: advancing the diagnosis and treatment of post-stroke propulsion deficits" J Neuroeng Rehabil, Volume 17, Issue 1, pp. 139 - ?. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-020-00747-6

https://hdl.handle.net/2144/43579 Boston University

These legs were made for propulsion: advancing the diagnosis and treatment of post-stroke propulsion deficits

Louis N. Awad^{1*}, Michael D. Lewek², Trisha M. Kesar³, Jason R. Franz⁴ and Mark G. Bowden⁵

Abstract

Advances in medical diagnosis and treatment have facilitated the emergence of precision medicine. In contrast, locomotor rehabilitation for individuals with acquired neuromotor injuries remains limited by the dearth of (i) diagnostic approaches that can identify the specific neuromuscular, biomechanical, and clinical deficits underlying impaired locomotion and (ii) evidence-based, targeted treatments. In particular, impaired propulsion by the paretic limb is a major contributor to walking-related disability after stroke; however, few interventions have been able to target deficits in propulsion effectively and in a manner that reduces walking disability. Indeed, the weakness and impaired control that is characteristic of post-stroke hemiparesis leads to heterogeneous deficits that impair paretic propulsion and contribute to a slow, metabolically-expensive, and unstable gait. Current rehabilitation paradigms emphasize the rapid attainment of walking independence, not the restoration of normal propulsion function. Although walking independence is an important goal for stroke survivors, independence achieved via compensatory strategies may prevent the recovery of propulsion needed for the fast, economical, and stable gait that is characteristic of healthy bipedal locomotion. We posit that post-stroke rehabilitation should aim to promote independent walking, in part, through the acquisition of enhanced propulsion. In this expert review, we present the biomechanical and functional consequences of post-stroke propulsion deficits, review advances in our understanding of the nature of post-stroke propulsion impairment, and discuss emerging diagnostic and treatment approaches that have the potential to facilitate new rehabilitation paradigms targeting propulsion restoration.

Keywords: Propulsion, Locomotion, Walking, Rehabilitation, Diagnosis, Intervention, Sensors, Robotics

Introduction

THE fast, economical, and stable gait that is characteristic of healthy bipedal locomotion [1-6] requires the coordination of three locomotor subtasks-propulsion, limb advancement, and bodyweight support. During the propulsion locomotor subtask, positive work by the trailing limb accelerates the body into the next gait cycle [7]. To walk faster, people with intact neural control symmetrically increase the positive work performed by each

¹College of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences: Sargent College, Boston University, Boston MA, USA

© The Author(s). 2020 Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

limb [8-10]. The coordinated modulation of the work

performed by each limb leverages the natural oscillatory

dynamics that arise from repeating foot-ground interac-

tions to optimize stability and economy of effort while

regulating walking speed [6, 10]. In contrast, the hemiparetic gait observed after stroke [11–13] is slow [14–17],

metabolically expensive [10, 15, 18-20], and unstable [21-

24]. In neurologically unimpaired individuals, the plan-

tarflexor muscles are the primary generators of positive work [9]; however, post-stroke neuromotor deficits result in a distal-to-proximal redistribution of the positive work

generated by the muscles of the paretic limb [10, 25, 26],

and, ultimately, a markedly altered profile for the anterior

ground reaction force (i.e., the propulsion force) [27].







Open Access

Check for updates

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

^{*}Correspondence: louawad@bu.edu

Conventional post-stroke rehabilitation efforts have had limited effectiveness in restoring the propulsion function inherent to a healthy bipedal gait, with functional improvements often being the product of compensatory mechanisms [26, 28, 30, 31]. The propulsion deficits that persist across the months and years post-stroke constrain long-term outcomes and contribute to a sedentary lifestyle, physical inactivity, and poor health [15, 32-37]. Indeed, post-stroke propulsion deficits are associated with a slow walking speed [17] and reduced long distance walking ability [38]-key predictors of realworld ambulatory activity in the home and community [33, 39, 40]. Examination of data reported in previous studies [17, 28, 29] demonstrates that functional speeds and distances are rare in those with little propulsion output from their paretic limbs. Indeed, people poststroke who walk at the speeds and distances indicative of unlimited community ambulation (i.e., more than 7500 steps/d) [39] have relatively high levels of paretic propulsion (Fig. 1). More specifically, those who walk faster than 0.93 m/s-a walking speed that identifies individuals who walk more than 7500 steps/d with a specificity of 80% [39]-walk with an average peak paretic propulsion of 14.31±3.70%bodyweight (%bw) (Fig. 1a). Similarly, individuals able to walk farther than 288 m during the 6-minute walk test-a distance with similar discriminative abilities as a short-distance walking speed of 0.93 m/s [39]—walked with an average peak paretic propulsion of 10.90±3.62%bw (Fig. 1b). In contrast, those classified as home ambulators (i.e., individuals who walk less than 2500 steps/d) presented with substantially lower paretic propulsion of 3.55±2.38%bw and 3.33±2.51%bw, respectively.

In this expert review, we discuss recent advances in our understanding of post-stroke propulsion deficits, review emerging approaches to systematically diagnose and treat the underlying impairments, and highlight the substantial research and development effort that is required before these approaches can alter clinical practice. More specifically, the next section on "Propulsion diagnostics" overviews (i) the critical need for pointof-care propulsion diagnostics, (ii) the neurophysiological basis for propulsion impairments, (iii) the heterogeneous impairments underlying post-stroke propulsion deficits, and (iv) the potential for propulsion phenotyping to direct individualized therapies. The following section on "Propulsion treatments" overviews (i) the inadequacy of conventional intervention approaches and (ii) emerging propulsion-focused technologies and interventions that leverage internal and external mechanisms to target the different aspects of propulsion impairment.

Propulsion diagnostics

In their 2017 consensus statement [41], the Stroke Recovery and Rehabilitation Roundtable recalls that the "most recent phase III and IV trials have been largely neutral or negative", citing "considerable urgency" for "ensur[ing] that our trials are mechanistically well conceptualized, with careful matching of the nature of the intervention and the outcome measure chosen". The international group went on to identify a core set of clinical outcomes to be assessed in every stroke recovery trial, but also acknowledged that clinical outcomes alone are unable to distinguish between restorative and compensatory recovery strategies. Their final general recommendation was that "trials need to consider serially applied kinematic/kinetic measurements alongside clinical assessments to distinguish between restitution and compensation". The group highlighted the importance of measurements that reflect the "quality of hemiplegic gait performance" and the role that technology will play in making routine clinical assessment of kinematic and kinetic measurements feasible.





Laboratory-based measurement of propulsion

The incorporation of force measurements in the analysis of human locomotion was first enabled in 1938 with the development of a mechanical force-reactive platform by Herbert Elftman [42]. Today, similar force measuring platforms can be found embedded within the instrumented treadmills and walkways widely used by motion analysis facilities and laboratories to study human walking. Modern force plate technologies leverage load cell sensors to measure the resultant orthogonal forces and moments that act on the force plate surface [43]. To measure propulsion (i.e., the anterior ground reaction force), the horizontally-directed forces are recorded using a multi-axis force plate. Multiple force plates are needed to measure the propulsion forces generated by each limb.

Point-of-care propulsion diagnostics: if you can't measure it, you can't manage it

Despite the importance of propulsion to functional bipedal walking, the clinical management of impaired post-stroke propulsion is untenable without clinicallyaccessible approaches to characterize the extent and nature of paretic propulsion deficits. The lab-based tools traditionally used to assess propulsion (i.e., instrumented treadmills and force plates) are not accessible to most clinicians. These tools are also not viable for measuring propulsion function in real-world settings where people live and move. Although experienced clinicians may be able to grossly estimate a person's propulsion function based on visual observation of gait kinematics [44], such expertise requires advanced training and years of practice. Moreover, modest changes in how the limb interacts with the ground (i.e., in terms of loading, duration, and orientation) may each be visually imperceptible, but together lead to changes in propulsion that have substantial effects on walking function. Indeed, the minimal detectable change for the peak of the anterior ground reaction force (i.e., peak propulsion) generated during walking is 2.85%bw [45]—i.e., only 5 pounds of force for a 175 pound individual. Even such modest changes in peak paretic propulsion are associated with meaningful changes in post-stroke walking function [29, 46-49].

Wearable sensors have rapidly gained popularity for rehabilitative applications [50]. These portable, low-cost, and unobtrusive measurement devices can provide objective, quantitative, and continuous information about motor behavior outside of the lab and in ecologically valid environments. Post-stroke applications of wearable sensor technology have ranged from assessment [51– 54] to treatment [55]. A wide range of approaches for using wearable sensors to estimate ground reaction forces during walking have been proposed [56–59], with emerging focus on using minimal sensor sets to estimate key aspects of the propulsion forces generated by both healthy [60, 61] and post-stroke [61, 62] individuals. Further advances in hardware and computation that (i) provide key diagnostic information and/or (ii) reduce barriers to real world use would facilitate the translation of pointof-care wearable sensor solutions that can fill this crucial measurement gap. For example, multimodal hybrid sensors [50, 63] may allow monitoring both the kinematic (e.g., trailing limb angle) and neuromuscular (e.g., plantarflexor muscle activity) determinants of propulsion (see "Propulsion heterogeneity I: the interplay between kinematics and kinetics" section) and soft, textile-based sensors that can be integrated into clothing [50] may encourage better adherence when used for long-term monitoring in ecological settings.

Neurophysiological basis for propulsion impairments

Symmetrical interlimb propulsion during walking requires the normal functioning of multiple circuits along the neuromotor axis. Stroke induces a cascade of neurophysiologic changes in cortical and spinal circuits that either directly or indirectly disrupt the corticospinal tract, the principal pathway for the control of voluntary, fractionated movements [64–69]. The overall strength of descending neural output to the motor system has been well-studied using transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) [70], and measures of corticospinal function and integrity have been linked to motor impairment and treatment effects [71–77]. In the context of post-stroke propulsion, individuals with more symmetrical corticomotor input to the plantarflexor muscles-the primary generators of positive power during walking-were reported to also show greater inter-limb symmetry in the generation of plantarflexor moments (see "Propulsion heterogeneity I: the interplay between kinematics and kinetics" section) during walking [78]. Further support for the importance of neural drive is found in a subsequent study demonstrating that a single gait training session targeting deficits in paretic propulsion through functional electrical stimulation of the plantarflexor muscles resulted in more symmetrical corticomotor input to the plantarflexor muscles after training that was related to more symmetrical plantarflexor moments across the paretic and nonparetic limbs [79].

Restorative therapies that aim to restore the normal functioning of the neural circuits affected by stroke may have strong potential to improve post-stroke propulsion. For example, neuromodulatory treatments such as repetitive TMS and transcranial direct current stimulation can be paired with gait training interventions to augment the excitability of the lesioned corticospinal pathways [80–84]. Paired associative stimulation has also been used to promote targeted plasticity in corticospinal circuits in individuals with stroke and spinal cord injury [85–87]. Ultimately, there is a critical need

to rigorously test how approaches designed to target deficits in lower limb neuromotor control circuitry influence critical walking outcomes, such as paretic propulsion. However, the development of neurobiologicallyinformed, 'top-down' approaches that can be paired with activity-based rehabilitation interventions to target paretic propulsion deficits is hindered by several major gaps in our understanding of the neural circuit dysfunctions underlying specific post-stroke impairments. Indeed, beyond reduced output from the lesioned corticospinal pathway, stroke-induced abnormalities in non-corticospinal tract circuits—e.g., subcortical, brainstem, and spinal circuits—have been linked to poststroke gait deficits and recovery, and merit further investigation.

Descending pathways emanating from non-lesioned cortical and subcortical circuits may show compensatory modulation of activity after stroke, and investigation of the role that neuromodulatory interventions can play in their function is warranted. For example, disrupted descending modulation of spinal circuitry may adversely affect post-stroke motor function. Indeed, increased excitability of spinal segmental reflexes, measured using H-reflexes, has been correlated with spasticity and excessive muscle coactivation after stroke [88, 89]. Similarly, hyperactivity in indirect descending pathways has been suggested to contribute to abnormal spinal excitability, spasticity, and movement synergies post-stroke [88, 90-93]. Moreover, indirect, brainstem-mediated corticofugal pathways (e.g., the reticulospinal and vestibulospinal tracts) modulate spinal excitability [88, 90–99] and appear to play an important role in the control of gait and posture. Recent

evidence suggests that upregulation of these descending pathways may be an important mechanism underlying motor recovery after stroke [99, 100]. Indeed, that individuals with extensive corticospinal tract damage are able to maintain walking function [97] remarkably underscores the importance of indirect descending pathways to gait control. Similarly, corticospinal tract damage alone failed to predict changes in walking speed resulting from gait therapy, whereas incorporating other structures in the model predicted walking speed [71]. Other work showed that functional gains resulting from a treadmill exercise study were accompanied by increased activation of cerebellar and midbrain circuits [101], further highlighting the potential importance of subcortical structures to motor recovery. Further study of the role that neuromotor control pathways play in the generation of propulsion, and how gait therapies can be informed by neurobiology, is warranted.

Propulsion heterogeneity I: the interplay between kinematics and kinetics

The individualization of propulsion-targeting gait therapies requires identification of the nature of the propulsion deficit and must thus account for the fact that both limb kinematics and kinetics affect propulsion [102, 103]. Indeed, propulsion is influenced by the trailing limb's orientation to the body and the ankle moment generated by the plantarflexor muscles, with the trailing limb angle facilitating the translation of a plantarflexor moment into propulsion (Fig. 2 and see "Internal versus external plantarflexor assistance: limb angle matters!" section). Within this framework, propulsion deficits can be considered



to be the result of primary deviations in limb positioning (i.e., deficits in joint range of motion, coordination, or balance), plantarflexor force generation (i.e., deficits in muscle coordination or strength), or a combination of the two. Indeed, people post-stroke may have range of motion restrictions (e.g., contractures of the lower extremity joints) that physically prevent the trailing limb posture required for a plantarflexor moment to translate to propulsion. Others may have full range of motion but cannot actively position the paretic trailing limb behind the body during walking (e.g., perhaps due to a balance deficit). Additionally, it is possible that adequate range of motion is available, but large ranges of hip extension are avoided to minimize disruptive heteronymous motor responses [104]. Still others may have the capacity and ability to achieve a normal trailing limb angle but are unable to activate the plantarflexors at the appropriate time or may simply not have sufficient plantarflexor strength. Impairments in any of these domains may result in patients adopting compensatory propulsive strategies. For propulsion-targeting therapies to be effective, they thus have to be well-matched to the specific needs of the individual. There is a need to study the complex interplay among biomechanical and neuromuscular determinants of propulsion, with the long-term goal of developing individualized therapeutic strategies to improve post-stroke locomotion.

Propulsion heterogeneity II: central or muscular?

The heterogeneity of propulsion impairment is present at still deeper levels of analysis. The plantarflexor muscles are the primary generators of propulsive force during healthy walking, and post-stroke plantarflexor weakness may be the result of a reduced strength capacity (e.g., reduced physiological cross-sectional area due to muscle atrophy), reduced central neural drive, or a combination of these deficits. A promising diagnostic approach to elucidate the extent and nature of post-stroke muscle weakness combines dynamometry with supramaximal electrostimulation [105-107] (Fig. 3a). For example, the maximum voluntary plantarflexor force that community-dwelling individuals post-stroke are able to generate is only a fraction of their plantarflexor force-generating capacity, with the magnitude of this latent capacity shown to be a key explanatory factor of post-stroke propulsion impairments [105] (Fig. 3b). Deficits in voluntary plantarflexor force production have similarly been reported in older adults [108] and are thought to reflect changes in the central neural command to agonist muscles as opposed to muscle-level adaptations that may also be present [109].

Because individual patients may have a combination of reduced plantarflexor central drive and strength capacity that contributes to their propulsion impairment, assessing each of these potential deficits may be necessary to inform clinical decisions. However,



Fig. 3 a Combining isometric strength testing with supramaximal muscle electrostimulation allows assessment of the extent and nature of post-stroke muscle weakness (i.e., maximum voluntary strength, strength capacity, and the ratio of these force measurements is the Central Drive). b Central drive is a key explanatory factor of paretic propulsion and propulsion asymmetry across individuals with a wide range of walking speeds. See primary source [105]

the diagnostic systems currently used for neuromuscular function testing require substantial time to setup and execute, as well as costly and large equipment not widely available in clinical settings. Together, these factors motivate the development of novel point-ofcare plantarflexor force measurement systems that can integrate neuromuscular electrical stimulation to assess the extent of and mechanisms underlying plantarflexor weakness.

A complementary assessment approach has recently emerged that leverages a posterior restraining force during walking to functionally assess an individual's latent propulsion capacity, computed as the difference between an individual's propulsion during unrestrained walking and their capacity to generate propulsion in the face of a restraining force-i.e., their "propulsion reserve" [110]. While potentially related, it is not clear if there is relationship between post-stroke central drive deficits to the paretic plantarflexors and the magnitude of a patient's propulsion reserve, warranting further investigation. Ultimately, new diagnostic approaches that can systematically evaluate propulsion deficits and distinguish between a patient's primary and secondary impairments [111] are necessary to advance individualized propulsion treatments.

Propulsion phenotypes: towards individualized propulsion therapies

It has been suggested that features of post-stroke propulsion can be used to identify post-stroke gait phenotypes with different motor control deficits and that these phenotypes can be used to guide intervention matching [27, 112]. One approach computes the proportion of the total propulsion impulse generated by the paretic limb (Pp) such that a value of 0.50 indicates equal sharing of the propulsion load across limbs (Fig. 4). Using cutoffs equal to three standard deviations around the mean of healthy controls, we can classify individuals as having symmetric Pp, low Pp (i.e., ≤0.47), or high Pp (i.e., \geq 0.53). These Pp classifications present with distinct body acceleration phenotypes during walking. Individuals with low Pp and high Pp both have reduced body acceleration during the double support phase of the paretic gait cycle compared to those with symmetric Pp (i.e., healthy controls); however, those with high Pp demonstrate positive acceleration during only paretic double support, whereas those with low Pp demonstrate relatively little positive acceleration during paretic double support [62] (Fig. 4b).

Crucially, individuals with low Pp present with motor control profiles that are different from individuals with



Page 7 of 16

high Pp. Indeed, the gait of individuals with high Pp is characterized by increased EMG activity of the extensor muscles during terminal stance, a shortened paretic step length, and prolonged paretic hip extension [113]. In contrast, the gait of individuals with low Pp is characterized by early and increased EMG activity of the flexor muscles, a lengthened paretic step length, and decreased paretic hip extension [114, 115]. With different underlying motor control profiles, individuals with low Pp and high Pp are likely to benefit from different targeted gait interventions; however, this hypothesis has yet to be validated and warrants further study.

Another approach to identify gait subtypes uses the peak paretic propulsion force (instead of the propulsion impulse) and combines this metric with a person's walking speed to characterize individuals based on the combined knowledge of their walking performance (i.e., speed) and quality (i.e., peak propulsion). The co-assessment of these metrics was found to be substantially better at predicting the therapeutic response to a propulsion-targeting gait training program than either metric alone [112]. There may also be substantial value in the incorporation of neurophysiological and neuroimaging measures together with measurements of walking performance and quality to generate multi-modal propulsive phenotypes that can guide the selection of targeted treatment strategies individualized to a patient's specific neuromechanical impairments. The concept of propulsion phenotyping is exciting but has limited translational potential without point-of-care measurement technology. Further investigation and validation of propulsion features with high prognostic value is required and may necessitate the identification of proxy measures of propulsion function that may be easier to estimate in clinical settings (e.g., see [116]).

Propulsion treatments

Conventional interventions do not target propulsion

Task-specific rehabilitation that emphasizes the direct practice of walking has emerged as a preferred approach to impairment-based training [117, 118]; however, walking practice that is not specifically structured to facilitate the recovery of a more physiological gait is likely to produce improvements via gait compensations [30, 119]. The current rehabilitation environment emphasizes the rapid attainment of walking independence, not gait restoration. Although walking independence is an important goal for stroke survivors, if independence is achieved via compensatory strategies, this inherently prevents the recovery of propulsion function needed for the fast, efficient, and stable gait characteristic of healthy bipedal locomotion.

In the face of persisting neuromotor deficits, passive walking aides are commonly prescribed to enable safe and independent walking. These devices, however, do not address deficits in paretic propulsion. Rigid ankle-foot orthoses (AFOs), for example, reduce drop foot during the paretic limb's swing phase by constraining the ankle to a neutral position. By preventing plantarflexion during swing, the AFO enables safe ground clearance and reduces the risk of a fall; however, the other major role of a rigid AFO is to provide stability during the stance phase. In this role, the AFO may also limit plantarflexion during pushoff, thus unavoidably limiting propulsion [6, 120, 121]. Ultimately, in compensating for persistent plantarflexor deficits, the positive power generated by the paretic limb is redistributed to favor hip-centric locomotor strategies [10, 13, 122, 123], preventing the recovery of normal propulsion function. An alternative to rigid AFOs are neuroprostheses. These devices use electrically-evoked muscle contractions to provide active assistance during functional activities. Although commercially-available systems have not been designed to target the plantarflexors and assist with propulsion, they are able to target the dorsiflexors and reduce drop-foot during the paretic swing phase [124, 125]. Because drop-foot neuroprostheses do not constrain ankle plantarflexion during the paretic stance phase, for appropriate individuals with the potential to recover propulsion function, neuroprostheses may be preferable to AFOs and may promote the recovery of walking function by way of gait restoration versus compensation.

In the last decade, technological and clinical advances have led to the development of novel rehabilitation programs and assistive devices that target post-stroke propulsion deficits. These have ranged from neuromodulatory interventions that facilitate activation of the impaired plantarflexors [79, 126, 127] to visual biofeedback interventions that guide individuals to propulsion-enhancing walking strategies [48, 128] to manipulations of different training parameters (e.g., load [129] or walking inclination [130]) that modify the propulsive demands of walking. More recently, wearable assistive robots that function in parallel with the underlying paretic musculature have been developed to *functionally* restore paretic propulsion deficits [47, 131, 132].

Activity-based locomotor therapies

In the past 20 years, gait therapies that aimed to improve post-stroke walking function through repetitive stepping practice on a treadmill with body weight support and manual assistance, as needed, emerged as viable locomotor rehabilitation techniques [133]. However, in spite of numerous investigations, little is understood regarding *how* walking speed and functional performance gains were achieved by this intervention approach as the large trials did not collect kinetic and kinematic data. In a smaller sample study (n=15) of this rehabilitation approach that assessed all individuals with stroke as a single cohort, improvements in walking speed were observed without concomitant increases in propulsion symmetry [26]. Bowden et al additionally compared individuals who achieved clinically important improvements in walking speed (i.e., greater than 0.16 m/s) and individuals who achieved minimal gains (i.e., non-responders) to determine which factors were associated with changes in walking speed [28]. In this single arm, 27-person study of 12-weeks of locomotor training, the entire sample improved their usual, self-selected walking speed by, on average, 0.21 m/s; however, this increase was driven by treatment responders who had an average increase in walking speed of 0.27 m/s [28]. A substantial increase in propulsion symmetry was observed in the responder group (p=0.011) and was moderately correlated with changes in walking speed in the group as a whole (r=-0.47, p=0.014) [28]. Strikingly, propulsion was observed to trend towards becoming more asymmetric in the non-responder group, suggesting that an intervention's ability to induce clinically-meaningful change may relate to improvements in paretic propulsion. This early investigation into how measures of propulsion differentiate individuals who respond and do not respond to activity-based locomotor therapies influenced future treatment approaches that innovatively sought to combine massed stepping practice with treatment elements targeting propulsion.

The FastFES intervention

Traditionally, functional electrical stimulation (FES) is applied to the dorsiflexors to reduce drop-foot and facilitate ground clearance by the paretic limb. A novel training approach that applies FES to the plantarflexor muscles in combination with fast treadmill walking has emerged to target paretic propulsion deficits during walking (Fig. 5, Left). The FastFES intervention has been extensively studied, beginning with early findings that the combination of plantarflexor FES with fast treadmill walking was a potent combination to increase paretic propulsion [134]. A single arm, 12-person safety and feasibility study was then completed [46, 135], showing the early promise of the FastFES intervention and informing the development of a therapeutic program. A 3-arm, 50-person randomized clinical trial to examine FastFES' efficacy then compared 12 weeks of FastFES training to two control groups: 12 weeks of training without FES at either (i) comfortable or (ii) fast training speeds. Ultimately, it was shown that all three training groups achieved improvements in paretic propulsion and clinical measures of walking function (i.e., walking speed and distance); however, crucially, the two control groups that trained without FES achieved these gains primarily through a reliance on the paretic trailing limb angle, whereas the FastFES training group presented with durable therapeutic gains in both the paretic trailing limb angle and the paretic plantarflexor moment generated during walking [31]. Indeed, more recent mechanistic studies have revealed that FES-enhanced walking practice induces corticomotor plasticity and changes in muscle coordination that are not observed when training without FES [79, 127, 136–138]. Consequently, the FastFES training group was the only group to reduce the energy cost of walking at both comfortable and fast walking speeds [119] (Fig. 5, Right).

Interestingly, despite only the FastFES group presenting with a substantial reduction in the energy cost of walking, between-group differences in 6-minute walk test distance were not observed (i.e., all groups improved comparably) [119]. Future work is required to determine how improved propulsion control and a reduced energy cost of walking can be leveraged to reduce post-stroke walking disability. Indeed, while the FastFES body of evidence supports the importance of more normal propulsion function to an energetically economical gait [6], walking improvements made and measured in a motion analysis laboratory may not translate to improved walking in unconstrained, real world settings [139]. Overground adaptations of the FastFES training approach have the potential to increase ecological validity but require the development of new plantarflexor FES control approaches suitable for overground walking and the identification of methods to facilitate the necessary paretic trailing limb angle during training without the assistance of a fast treadmill belt.

Propulsion-augmenting exoskeletons and exosuits

In contrast to FES, rigid exoskeletons and soft robotic exosuits have been developed to generate assistive torques in parallel with the underlying paretic muscles. Exoskeletons are rigid, brace-like structures that include on- or off-board actuation triggered and controlled by various sensors related to muscle function, joint kinematics, limb kinetics, and/or gait speed. Due to their rigid structure, they are capable of providing passive stability in nonactuated planes of motion. Recent advancements have allowed the creation of small and lightweight systems that can fit unobtrusively under or over clothes [140]. Most rigid exoskeletons are designed to enhance hip flexion and/or extension moments or the plantarflexor moment [131, 132], presumably to target impairments in limb positioning and positive ankle work, respectively. Whereas the ankle exoskeletons are effective at responsively modulating plantarflexor moments to adjust for plantarflexor muscle activity [131] and gait speed [132], the impact on propulsion has been less encouraging. It appears that despite the increase in net plantarflexor moments, study participants concomitantly altered their limb posture such that this increased moment is not realized as greater propulsion [131, 132]. It should be noted that "training" was not provided in this work. That is, device users were not taught how to make best use of the applied assistance. It is likely that the device alone,



absent any training, may not produce the positive effects that are anticipated and speaks to the importance of therapeutic interventions so that patients can learn how to make best use of the applied assistance.

In contrast to the work with rigid exoskeletons, exosuits are garment-like wearable robots consisting of functional textiles with integrated sensing and actuation. The first designs of soft wearable robots provided active support of impaired paretic plantarflexion and dorsiflexion function during hemiparetic walking [47]. The soft, lightweight, and unobtrusive human-machine interface of soft robotic exosuits uniquely allow for a natural interaction with the user in both powered and unpowered modes [47, 141–143], enabling users to move about unrestricted when these devices are not active [143]. Preliminary studies conducted with small cohorts of community-dwelling individuals post-stroke showed an average 20 to 30% reduction in propulsion asymmetry [47], hip hiking and circumduction compensations [144], and the metabolic burden of hemiparetic gait [47, 145]. Moreover, users self-selected faster speeds and walked farther distances when assisted by the exosuit [143]. As part of a successful application to the United States Food and Drug Administration, the ReStoreTM soft exosuit (ReWalk Robotics,

Ltd., Marlborough, MA) (Fig. 6, Left) recently underwent a multi-site safety, device reliability, and clinical feasibility trial [146]. The trial recruited 44 users with post-stroke hemiparesis from across five clinical sites. Users participated in, on average, 311 minutes of treadmill and overground gait training with the device. Findings of no device-related falls or serious adverse events, high device reliability, and promising exploratory clinical findings complement the early laboratory research with device prototypes [47, 144, 145, 147–149] (Fig. 6, Right) and motivate future controlled efficacy trials of this emerging wearable assistive technology.

Internal versus external plantarflexor assistance: limb angle matters!

Despite the impaired state of the paretic plantarflexor muscles, they often retain a remarkable force-generating capacity [105]. This latent capacity can be exploited during propulsion-targeting gait training to facilitate the recovery of propulsion function. For example, robotic exoskeletons or exosuits can initially bypass this activation deficit to functionally restore plantarflexor forces during gait training, with the goal of tapering the assistance as a person's underlying neuromotor function



improves. In contrast, FES can be used to directly access this untapped muscle strength. It is likely that nonresponders to one of these approaches may respond to the other, motivating further study. Alternatively, because exoskeletons/exosuits and FES are complementary forcegenerating approaches, there may be substantial value in exploring the integration of these technologies [151] for the treatment of post-stroke propulsion deficits. However, given that propulsion is dependent on both limb kinematics and kinetics (see Fig. 2), all active assistive devices that apply assistive plantarflexor forces must account for trailing limb angle deficits. Augmenting plantarflexor moments in a patient who cannot position their limb behind the body, or at the wrong time for an individual able to achieve an adequate trailing limb angle, would result in vertical, not forward movement of the body. Indeed, this consideration underpins the FastFES intervention's combination of plantarflexor muscle FES with fast treadmill walking [46, 134]. Similarly, the onset timing of paretic plantarflexor assistance delivered by a soft robotic exosuit was shown to be a key factor in determining the subsequent effect on paretic propulsion, with plantarflexor assistance too early in the gait cycle even resulting in a reduction in paretic propulsion for some individuals [144]. Other investigators studying rigid exoskeletons have similarly posited the importance of considering trailing limb angle deficits when assisting plantarflexion [132].

Body resistance for propulsion retraining

The approaches described above are intended to enhance gait by assisting patients to generate propulsion. The underlying assumption is that the individual does not have the capacity to increase propulsion on their own, requiring some means of external support (e.g., FES, robotics). In contrast, there is growing evidence that individuals with chronic hemiparesis can be made to access a latent propulsive reserve [152]. In particular, when individuals post-stroke are asked to walk faster [17, 153], step farther [154], or respond to visual feedback of their propulsion [48], they have the capability to increase propulsion. In fact, when faced with a posterior restraining force of up to 10 %BW during walking, the paretic limb was capable of increasing peak paretic propulsion by an average of 92%, with an increase in propulsive impulse of 225% [155]. These dramatic increases resulted in more symmet-

Page 11 of 16

ric propulsion and, importantly, persisted upon removal of the impeding force [155]. Importantly, the volitional increase in propulsion appears to arise from manipulation of limb posture (i.e., trailing limb angle) rather than an increase in the force output from the plantarflexors [155]—perhaps due to a reduced central drive [105] and/or impaired corticomotor input [78] to the paretic plantarflexors (see "Neurophysiological basis for propulsion impairments" and "Propulsion heterogeneity II: central or muscular?" sections). Nonetheless, the importance of limb posture during push-off arises from its representation as the anterior angle of the ground reaction force and is therefore highly correlated with peak propulsion [116].

The application of aiding and impeding forces to the body's center of mass (COM) has a clear influence on propulsive forces and metabolic cost [129, 156]. Aiding forces that apply an anterior force to the COM can substitute for reduced propulsive limb forces but may contribute to neuromuscular slacking [157]. Because the imposed aiding force is substituting for the limb's force, less muscle activity is required, and thus there is a decrease in the metabolic cost of walking [129, 156]. The timing of the aiding force on metabolic cost, however, is critical. Given the unilateral deficits in propulsion for individuals poststroke, an aiding force is not required for both limbs. In fact, when a constant assistive force is provided to individuals post-stroke throughout the gait cycle, the metabolic cost of walking is not reduced. Instead, the metabolic cost of walking can be reduced when an imposed anteriorlydirected force applied to the COM coincides with paretic propulsion only. Reducing the metabolic cost of walking has important implications for locomotor duration and endurance, allowing for longer training. However, despite this improvement in the metabolic cost of walking, the paretic limb is producing less propulsion, suggesting that such a strategy will likely not lead to a patient producing greater propulsion after such training. Posterior impeding forces, however, will increase the cost of walking, but may create a suitable training environment because it encourages greater paretic limb propulsion.

Propulsion biofeedback

Real-time biofeedback of propulsion function is a promising intervention approach to exploit the presence of a propulsion reserve in neurologically-intact [128, 158– 160] and post-stroke individuals [48]. Biofeedback interventions enable individualized targeting of specific biomechanical impairments, provide focused practice of correct movement patterns by preferentially targeting the paretic leg, and capitalize on motor learning principles to optimize walking quality. Biofeedback can enhance an individual's awareness of their gait impairment and enable self-correction of aberrant gait patterns [161]. Biofeedback has been used for modulating step length asymmetry [162] and muscle activity [163–166] in people post-stroke. More recently, in people with chronic poststroke hemiparesis, treadmill training combined with visual and auditory feedback of propulsion function was shown to increase paretic propulsion and reduce propulsion asymmetry, with study participants improving both their trailing limb angle and plantarflexor moments during walking, as well as demonstrating short-term recall of the newly learned gait pattern [48, 158]. In addition, recent work demonstrates the efficacy of targeting propulsion deficits at the individual joint level, for example via real-time ankle power biofeedback [128]. Together, this early work demonstrates the feasibility and promise of propulsion biofeedback as a gait training strategy after stroke. Incorporation of wearable sensors to provide propulsion biofeedback or biofeedback about biomechanical variables that may be surrogates for propulsion (trailing limb angle, COM or shank acceleration [60, 62, 116]) during overground and community ambulation will further advance the effectiveness of gait biofeedback interventions. Additionally, consistent with the increasing popularity of 'exergames' and incorporation of gaming interfaces during rehabilitation, gamification of propulsion biofeedback can increase patient motivation, distract study participants from fatigue or boredom, and encourage greater repetitions during gait training [167–171].

Conclusions

The extensive prior work showing a strong relationship between post-stroke propulsion and walking ability [27, 28, 38, 115, 129], coupled with the recent study of novel propulsion-targeting interventions and technologies [28, 47, 48, 119], highlight paretic propulsion as a key modifiable determinant of post-stroke walking function. In this expert review, we present the biomechanical and functional consequences of post-stroke propulsion deficits, review advances in our understanding of the nature of post-stroke propulsion impairment, and discuss emerging diagnostic and treatment approaches. In summary, post-stroke propulsion deficits are heterogeneous, existing diagnostic and treatment paradigms are not adequate, and emerging clinical and technological advances have shown substantial promise to help reshape the management of post-stroke propulsion deficits. Multidisciplinary teams of clinicians, engineers, and researchers are needed to translate existing lab-based diagnostic and treatment approaches to the clinic, as well as to develop the next generation of therapies and devices that will be enabled by technological progress in the areas of wearable technology and computational approaches. Clinical and technological advances in the areas of propulsion diagnostics and treatment will enable future rigorous testing of key neurorehabilitation hypotheses related to propulsion-restorative

versus compensatory recovery paradigms, and ultimately the development of clinical practice guidelines capable of recommending diagnostic and treatment approaches based on the best available evidence.

Acknowledgements

N/A.

Authors' contributions

LNA produced the manuscript drafts and figures. ML, TK, JF, MB contributed to multiple sections and edited each draft. LNA, ML, JF, MB presented this research at the annual meetings of the American Physical Therapy Association and American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The authors acknowledge funding support from the NIH (R01AG067394, R01HD095975, and K01HD079584) and AHA (18TPA34170171 and 18IPA34170487).

Availability of data and materials

N/A.

 $\label{eq:constraint} \mbox{Ethics approval and consent to participate} N/A.$

Consent for publication

N/A.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.

Author details

¹ College of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences: Sargent College, Boston University, Boston MA, USA. ² Division of Physical Therapy, Department of Allied Health Sciences, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill NC, USA. ³ Division of Physical Therapy, Emory University, Atlanta GA, USA. ⁴ Joint Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and North Carolina State University, Chapel Hill NC, USA. ⁵ Division of Physical Therapy, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston SC, USA.

Received: 9 January 2020 Accepted: 19 August 2020 Published online: 21 October 2020

References

- Holt KG, Jeng SF, Ratcliffe R, Hamill J. Energetic cost and stability during human walking at the preferred stride frequency. J Mot Behav. 1995;27(2):164–78. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222895.1995.9941708.
- Schrack JA, Zipunnikov V, Simonsick EM, Studenski S, Ferrucci L. Rising energetic cost of walking predicts gait speed decline with aging. J Gerontol Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2016;71(7):947–53. https://doi.org/10. 1093/gerona/glw002.
- Umberger BR, Martin PE. Mechanical power and efficiency of level walking with different stride rates,. J Exp Biol. 2007;210(Pt 18):3255–65. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.000950.
- Browne MG, Franz JR. Does dynamic stability govern propulsive force generation in human walking? R Soc Open Sci. 2017;4(11):171673. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.171673.
- Soo CH, Donelan JM. Coordination of push-off and collision determine the mechanical work of step-to-step transitions when isolated from human walking, Gait Posture. 2012;35(2):292–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.gaitpost.2011.09.102.
- Kuo AD, Donelan JM. Dynamic principles of gait and their clinical implications. Phys Ther. 2010;90(2):157–74. https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj. 20090125.
- Kuo AD, Donelan JM, Ruina A. Energetic consequences of walking like an inverted pendulum: step-to-step transitions. Exerc Sport Sci Rev. 2005;33(2):88–97.
- Lewek MD. The influence of body weight support on ankle mechanics during treadmill walking. J Biomech. 2011;44(1):128–33. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2010.08.037.

- Zelik KE, Adamczyk PG. A unified perspective on ankle push-off in human walking. J Exp Biol. 2016;219(23):3676–83. https://doi.org/10. 1242/jeb.140376.
- Farris DJ, Hampton A, Lewek MD, Sawicki GS. Revisiting the mechanics and energetics of walking in individuals with chronic hemiparesis following stroke: from individual limbs to lower limb joints,. J Neuroengineering Rehabil. 2015;12(1):24. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12984-015-0012-x.
- Olney SJ, Richards C. Hemiparetic gait following stroke. Part I: characteristics. Gait Posture. 1996;4:136–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0966-6362(96)01063-6.
- 12. Richards CL, Malouin F, Dean C. Gait in stroke: assessment and rehabilitation. Clin Geriatr Med. 1999;15(4):833–55.
- Cruz TH, Lewek MD, Dhaher YY. Biomechanical impairments and gait adaptations post-stroke: multi-factorial associations, J Biomech. 2009;42(11):1673–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2009.04.015 http://arxiv.org/abs/NIHMS150003.
- Hsu A-L, Tang P-F, Jan M-H. Analysis of impairments influencing gait velocity and asymmetry of hemiplegic patients after mild to moderate stroke,. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2003;84(8):1185–93,.
- Michael KM, Allen JK, Macko RF. Reduced ambulatory activity after stroke: the role of balance, gait, and cardiovascular fitness. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2005;86(8):1552–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2004.12.026.
- Awad LN, Reisman DS, Wright TR, Roos MA, Binder-Macleod SA. Maximum walking speed is a key determinant of long distance walking function after stroke. Top Stroke Rehabil. 2014;21(6):502–9. https://doi. org/10.1310/tsr2106-502.
- Hsiao H, Awad L, Palmer JA, Higginson JS, Binder-Macleod SA. Contribution of paretic and nonparetic limb peak propulsive forces to changes in walking speed in individuals poststroke. Neurorehabil Neural Repair. 2015;30(8):743–52. https://doi.org/10.1177/1545968315624780.
- Reisman DS, Binder-MacLeod S, Farquhar WB. Changes in metabolic cost of transport following locomotor training poststroke. Top Stroke Rehabil. 2013;20(2):161–70. https://doi.org/10.1310/tsr2002-161.
- Macko RF, Smith GV, Dobrovolny CL, Sorkin JD, Goldberg AP, Silver KH. Treadmill training improves fitness reserve in chronic stroke patients. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2001;82(7):879–84. https://doi.org/10.1053/ apmr.2001.23853.
- Franceschini M, Rampello A, Agosti M, Massucci M, Bovolenta F, Sale P. Walking performance: correlation between energy cost of walking and walking participation. new statistical approach concerning outcome measurement. PloS ONE. 2013;8(2):56669. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0056669.
- Kao P-C, Dingwell JB, Higginson JS, Binder-Macleod S. Dynamic instability during post-stroke hemiparetic walking. Gait Posture. 2014;40(3):457–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2014.05.014.
- Stimpson KH, Heitkamp LN, Embry AE, Dean JC. Post-stroke deficits in the step-by-step control of paretic step width. Gait Posture. 2019;70: 136–140. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2019.03.003.
- Honda K, Sekiguchi Y, Muraki T, Izumi SI. The differences in sagittal plane whole-body angular momentum during gait between patients with hemiparesis and healthy people. J Biomech. 2019;86:204–209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2019.02.012.
- Hak L, Houdijk H, van der Wurff P, Prins MR, Mert A, Beek PJ, van Dieën JH. Stepping strategies used by post-stroke individuals to maintain margins of stability during walking. Clin Biomech. 2013;28(9-10):1041–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2013.10.010.
- Chen G, Patten C. Joint moment work during the stance-to-swing transition in hemiparetic subjects. J Biomech. 2008;41(4):877–83. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2007.10.017.
- Combs SA, Dugan EL, Ozimek EN, Curtis AB. Effects of body-weight supported treadmill training on kinetic symmetry in persons with chronic stroke. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon). 2012;27(9):887–92. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2012.06.011.
- Bowden MG, Balasubramanian CK, Neptune RR, Kautz SA. Anterior-posterior ground reaction forces as a measure of paretic leg contribution in hemiparetic walking. Stroke J Cereb Circ. 2006;37:872–6. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.str.0000204063.75779.8d.
- Bowden MG, Behrman AL, Neptune RR, Gregory CM, Kautz SA. Locomotor rehabilitation of individuals with chronic stroke: difference between responders and nonresponders. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2013;94(5):856–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2012.11.032.

- Hsiao H, Zabielski TM, Palmer JA, Higginson JS, Binder-Macleod SA. Evaluation of measurements of propulsion used to reflect changes in walking speed in individuals poststroke. J Biomech. 2016;49(16): 4107–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2016.10.003.
- Mahtani GB, Kinnaird CR, Connolly M, Holleran CL, Hennessy PW, Woodward J, Brazg G, Roth EJ, Hornby TG. Altered sagittal- and frontal-plane kinematics following high-intensity stepping training versus conventional interventions in subacute stroke. Phys Ther. 2016;97(3):320–9. https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20160281.
- Hsiao H, Knarr BA, Pohlig RT, Higginson JS, Binder-Macleod SA. Mechanisms used to increase peak propulsive force following 12-weeks of gait training in individuals poststroke, J Biomech. 2016;49(3):388–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2015.12.040.
- English C, Manns PJ, Tucak C, Bernhardt J. Physical activity and sedentary behaviors in people with stroke living in the community: a systematic review, Phys Ther. 2014;94(2):185–96. https://doi.org/10. 2522/ptj.20130175.
- Fulk GD, Reynolds C, Mondal S, Deutsch JE. Predicting home and community walking activity in people with stroke. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2010;91(10):1582–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2010.07.005.
- 34. Mozaffarian D, Benjamin EJ, Go AS, Arnett DK, Blaha MJ, Cushman M, Das SR, Ferranti SD, Després JP, Fullerton HJ, Howard VJ, Huffman MD, Isasi CR, Jiménez MC, Judd SE, Kissela BM, Lichtman JH, Lisabeth LD, Liu S, MacKey RH, Magid DJ, McGuire DK, Mohler ER, Moy CS, Muntner P, Mussolino ME, Nasir K, Neumar RW, Nichol G, Palaniappan L, Pandey DK, Reeves MJ, Rodriguez CJ, Rosamol W, Sorlie PD, Stein J, Towfighi A, Turan TN, Virani SS, Woo D, Yeh RW, Turner MB. Heart Disease and stroke statistics-2016 update a report from the american heart association. Circulation. 2016;133:38–48. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.00000000000350.
- Lee PH, Nan H, Yu Y-Y, McDowell I, Leung GM, Lam TH. For non-exercising people, the number of steps walked is more strongly associated with health than time spent walking. J Sci Med Sport / Sports Med Aust. 2013;16(3):227–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2012.10. 005.
- Rand D, Eng JJ, Tang P-F, Hung C, Jeng J-S. Daily physical activity and its contribution to the health-related quality of life of ambulatory individuals with chronic stroke, Health Quality Life Outcome. 2010;8:80. https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7525-8-80.
- Mayo NE, Wood-Dauphinee S, Ahmed S, Gordon C, Higgins J, McEwen S, Salbach N. Disablement following stroke. Disabil Rehabil. 1999;21(5-6):258–68. https://doi.org/10.1080/096382899297684.
- Awad LN, Binder-Macleod SA, Pohlig RT, Reisman DS. Paretic Propulsion and Trailing Limb Angle Are Key Determinants of Long-Distance Walking Function After Stroke, Neurorehabil Neural Repair. 2015;29(6):499–508. https://doi.org/10.1177/1545968314554625.
- Fulk GD, He Y, Boyne P, Dunning K. Predicting home and community walking activity poststroke. Stroke. 2017;48(2):406–11. https://doi.org/10. 1161/STROKEAHA.116.015309.
- Combs SA, Dugan EL, Ozimek EN, Curtis AB. Bilateral coordination and gait symmetry after body-weight supported treadmill training for persons with chronic stroke, Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon). 2013;28(4): 448–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2013.02.001.
- Kwakkel G, Lannin NA, Borschmann K, English C, Ali M, Churilov L, Saposnik G, Winstein C, van Wegen EEH, Wolf SL, Krakauer JW, Bernhardt J. Standardized measurement of sensorimotor recovery in stroke trials: consensus-based core recommendations from the stroke recovery and rehabilitation roundtable. Neurorehabil Neural Repair. 2017;31(9):784–92. https://doi.org/10.1177/1545968317732662.
- 42. Elftman H. The measurement of the external force in walking. Science. 1938;88(2276):152–3. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.88.2276.152.
- 43. Bertec Corporation. Bertec Force plates. Technical report, Bertec Corporation, Columbus, Ohio, USA. 2012.
- McGinley JL, Morris ME, Greenwood KM, Goldie PA, Olney SJ. Accuracy of clinical observations of push-off during gait after stroke. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2006;87(6):779–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2006.02. 022.
- Kesar TM, Binder-Macleod SA, Hicks GE, Reisman DS. Minimal detectable change for gait variables collected during treadmill walking in individuals post-stroke. Gait Posture. 2011;33(2):314–7. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2010.11.024.

- Awad LN, Reisman DS, Kesar TM, Binder-Macleod SA. Targeting paretic propulsion to improve poststroke walking function: A preliminary study. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2014;95(5):840–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. apmr.2013.12.012.
- Awad LN, Bae J, O'Donnell K, De Rossi SMM, Hendron K, Sloot LH, Kudzia P, Allen S, Holt KG, Ellis TD, Walsh CJ. A soft robotic exosuit improves walking in patients after stroke. Sci Transl Med. 2017;9(400): 9084. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aai9084.
- Genthe K, Schenck C, Eicholtz S, Zajac-Cox L, Wolf S, Kesar TM. Effects of real-time gait biofeedback on paretic propulsion and gait biomechanics in individuals post-stroke. Top Stroke Rehabil. 2018;25(3): 186–93. https://doi.org/10.1080/10749357.2018.1436384.
- Knarr BA, Kesar TM, Reisman DS, Binder-Macleod SA, Higginson JS. Changes in the activation and function of the ankle plantar flexor muscles due to gait retraining in chronic stroke survivors, J Neuroengineering Rehabil. 2013;10:12. https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-0003-10-12.
- Porciuncula F, Roto AV, Kumar D, Davis I, Roy S, Walsh CJ, Awad LN. Wearable movement sensors for rehabilitation: a focused review of technological and clinical advances. PM&R. 2018;10(9):220–32. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.pmrj.2018.06.013.
- Wüest S, Aminian F, Aminian K, Gonzenbach R, de Bruin E. Reliability and validity of the inertial sensor-based Timed Up and Go test in individuals affected by stroke. J Rehabil Res Dev. 2016;53(5):599–610.
- Isho T, Tashiro H, Usuda S. Accelerometry-based gait characteristics evaluated using a smartphone and their association with fall risk in people with chronic stroke, J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis Off J Natl Stroke Assoc. 2015;24(6):1305–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. istrokecerebrovasdis.2015.02.004.
- Bergamini E, Iosa M, Belluscio V, Morone G, Tramontano M, Vannozzi G. Multi-sensor assessment of dynamic balance during gait in patients with subacute stroke. J Biomech. 2017;61:208–15. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jbiomech.2017.07.034.
- Massé F, Gonzenbach RR, Arami A, Paraschiv-lonescu A, Luft AR, Aminian K. Improving activity recognition using a wearable barometric pressure sensor in mobility-impaired stroke patients. J NeuroEngineering Rehabil. 2015;12(1):1–15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-015-0060-2.
- Byl N, Zhang W, Coo S, Tomizuka M. Clinical impact of gait training enhanced with visual kinematic biofeedback: Patients with Parkinson's disease and patients stable post stroke. Neuropsychologia. 2015;79: 332–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2015.04.020.
- Karatsidis A, Jung M, Schepers HM, Bellusci G, de Zee M, Veltink PH, Andersen MS. Musculoskeletal model-based inverse dynamic analysis under ambulatory conditions using inertial motion capture. Med Eng Phys. 2019;65:68–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medengphy.2018.12.021.
- Lim, Kim, Park. Prediction of lower limb kinetics and kinematics during walking by a single imu on the lower back using machine learning. Sensors. 2019;20(1):130. https://doi.org/10.3390/s20010130.
- Ryu HX, Park S. Estimation of unmeasured ground reaction force data based on the oscillatory characteristics of the center of mass during human walking. J Biomech. 2018;71:135–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jbiomech.2018.01.046.
- Shahabpoor E, Pavic A. Estimation of vertical walking ground reaction force in real-life environments using single IMU sensor. J Biomech. 2018;79:181–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2018.08.015.
- Pieper NL, Lewek MD, Franz JR. Can shank acceleration provide a clinically feasible surrogate for individual limb propulsion during walking? J Biomech. 2020;98:109449. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech. 2019.109449.
- Revi DA, Alvarez A, Walsh CJ, De Rossi SMM, Awad LN. Indirect measurement of anterior-posterior ground reaction forces using a minimal set of wearable inertial sensors: From healthy to hemiparetic walking. J Neuroengineering Rehabil. 2020;17(82). https://doi.org/10. 1186/s12984-020-00700-7.
- Bowden MG, Behrman AL, Woodbury M, Gregory CM, Velozo CA, Kautz SA. Advancing measurement of locomotor rehabilitation outcomes to optimize interventions and differentiate between recovery versus compensation, J Neurol Phys Ther JNPT. 2012;36(1):38–44. https://doi.org/10.1097/NPT.0b013e3182472cf6.
- 63. Roy SH, Cheng MSS, Chang S-S, Moore J, De Luca G, Nawab SHH, De Luca CJ. A combined sEMG and accelerometer system for monitoring

functional activity in stroke. IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng Publ IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2009;17(6):585–94. https://doi.org/10.1109/TNSRE. 2009.2036615.

- 64. Bowden MG, Woodbury ML, Duncan PW. Promoting neuroplasticity and recovery after stroke: Future directions for rehabilitation clinical trials. 2013. https://doi.org/10.1097/WCO.0b013e32835c5ba0.
- Cramer SC, Sur M, Dobkin BH, O'Brien C, Sanger TD, Trojanowski JQ, Rumsey JM, Hicks R, Cameron J, Chen D, Chen WG, Cohen LG, Decharms C, Duffy CJ, Eden GF, Fetz EE, Filart R, Freund M, Grant SJ, Haber S, Kalivas PW, Kolb B, Kramer AF, Lynch M, Mayberg HS, McQuillen PS, Nitkin R, Pascual-Leone A, Reuter-Lorenz P, Schiff N, Sharma A, Shekim L, Stryker M, Sullivan EV, Vinogradov S. Harnessing neuroplasticity for clinical applications. 2011. https://doi.org/10.1093/ brain/awr039.
- Zeiler SR, Krakauer JW. The interaction between training and plasticity in the poststroke brain. 2013. https://doi.org/10.1097/WCO. 00000000000025.
- Nudo RJ, Plautz EJ, Frost SB. Role of adaptive plasticity in recovery of function after damage to motor cortex. 2001. https://doi.org/10.1002/ mus.1104.
- Charalambous CC, Bowden MG, Adkins DAL. Motor cortex and motor cortical interhemispheric communication in walking after stroke: the roles of transcranial magnetic stimulation and animal models in our current and future understanding. Neurorehabil Neural Repair. 2016;30(1):94–102. https://doi.org/10.1177/1545968315581418.
- 69. Adkins DAL, Boychuk J, Remple MS, Kleim JA. Motor training induces experience-specific patterns of plasticity across motor cortex and spinal cord. 2006. https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00515.2006.
- McDonnell MN, Stinear CM. TMS measures of motor cortex function after stroke: A meta-analysis. 2017. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2017.03.008.
- Jones PS, Pomeroy VM, Wang J, Schlaug G, Tulasi Marrapu S, Geva S, Rowe PJ, Chandler E, Kerr A, Baron JC. Does stroke location predict walk speed response to gait rehabilitation? Hum Brain Mapp. 2016;37(2): 689–703. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.23059.
- Stinear CM, Barber PA, Smale PR, Coxon JP, Fleming MK, Byblow WD. Functional potential in chronic stroke patients depends on corticospinal tract integrity. Brain. 2007;130(1):170–80. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/ awl333.
- Boyd LA, Hayward KS, Ward NS, Stinear CM, Rosso C, Fisher RJ, Carter AR, Leff AP, Copland DA, Carey LM, Cohen LG, Basso DM, Maguire JM, Cramer SC. Biomarkers of stroke recovery: consensus-based core recommendations from the stroke recovery and rehabilitation roundtable*. Neurorehabil Neural Repair. 2017;12(5):480–93. https://doi. org/10.1177/1545968317732680.
- Feng W, Wang J, Chhatbar PY, Doughty C, Landsittel D, Lioutas VA, Kautz SA, Schlaug G. Corticospinal tract lesion load: An imaging biomarker for stroke motor outcomes. Ann Neurol. 2015;78(6):860–70. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.24510.
- Nouri S, Cramer SC. Anatomy and physiology predict response to motor cortex stimulation after stroke. Neurology. 2011;77(11):1076–83. https:// doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e31822e1482.
- Stinear CM, Barber PA, Petoe M, Anwar S, Byblow WD. The PREP algorithm predicts potential for upper limb recovery after stroke. Brain. 2012;135(8):2527–35. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/aws146.
- Smith MC, Byblow WD, Barber PA, Stinear CM. Proportional recovery from lower limb motor impairment after stroke. Stroke. 2017;48(5): 1400–3. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.116.016478.
- Palmer JA, Hsiao H, Awad LN, Binder-Macleod SA. Symmetry of corticomotor input to plantarflexors influences the propulsive strategy used to increase walking speed post-stroke. Clin Neurophysiol Off J Int Fed Clin Neurophysiol. 2016;127(3):1837–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. clinph.2015.12.003.
- Palmer JA, Hsiao H, Wright T, Binder-Macleod SA. Single session of functional electrical stimulation-assisted walking produces corticomotor symmetry changes related to changes in poststroke walking mechanics. Phys Ther. 2017;97(5):550–60. https://doi.org/10.1093/ptj/pzx008.
- Tung Y-C, Lai C-H, Liao C-D, Huang S-W, Liou T-H, Chen H-C. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation of lower limb motor function in patients with stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Clin Rehabil. 2019;33(7):1102–12. https://doi.org/10.1177/0269215519835889.

- de Paz RH, Serrano-Muñoz D, Pérez-Nombela S, Bravo-Esteban E, Avendaño-Coy J, Gómez-Soriano J. Combining transcranial direct-current stimulation with gait training in patients with neurological disorders: a systematic review. J NeuroEngineering Rehabil. 2019;16(1):114. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-019-0591-z.
- Wang R-Y, Wang F-Y, Huang S-F, Yang Y-R. High-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation enhanced treadmill training effects on gait performance in individuals with chronic stroke: A double-blinded randomized controlled pilot trial. Gait Posture. 2019;68:382–7. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2018.12.023.
- Kindred JH, Kautz SA, Wonsetler EC, Bowden MG. Single sessions of high-definition transcranial direct current stimulation do not alter lower extremity biomechanical or corticomotor response variables post-stroke. Front Neurosci. 2019;13:286. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2019.00286.
- Ojardias E, Azé OD, Luneau D, Mednieks J, Condemine A, Rimaud D, Chassagne F, Giraux P. The effects of anodal transcranial direct current stimulation on the walking performance of chronic hemiplegic patients. Neuromodulation. 2020;23:373–9. https://doi.org/10.1111/ner.12962.
- Cortes M, Thickbroom GW, Valls-Sole J, Pascual-Leone A, Edwards DJ. Spinal associative stimulation: A non-invasive stimulation paradigm to modulate spinal excitability. Clin Neurophysiol. 2011;122(11):2254–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2011.02.038.
- Bunday KL, Urbin MA, Perez MA. Potentiating paired corticospinalmotoneuronal plasticity after spinal cord injury. Brain Stimulation. 2018;11(5):1083–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2018.05.006.
- Christiansen L, Perez MA. Targeted-plasticity in the corticospinal tract after human spinal cord injury. Neurotherapeutics. 2018;15(3):618–27. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13311-018-0639-y.
- Burke D, Wissel J, Donnan GA. Pathophysiology of spasticity in stroke. 2013. https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.0b013e31827624a7.
- Levin MF, Hui-Chan C. Are H and stretch reflexes in hemiparesis reproducible and correlated with spasticity? J Neurol. 1993;240(2):63–71. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00858718.
- Li S, Francisco GE. New insights into the pathophysiology of post-stroke spasticity. Front Hum Neurosci. 2015;9:192. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fnhum.2015.00192.
- Mezzarane RA, Nakajima T, Zehr EP. After stroke bidirectional modulation of soleus stretch reflex amplitude emerges during rhythmic arm cycling. Front Hum Neurosci. 2014;8:136. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fnhum.2014.00136.
- Naro A, Leo A, Russo M, Casella C, Buda A, Crespantini A, Porcari B, Carioti L, Billeri L, Bramanti A, Bramanti P, Calabrò RS. Breakthroughs in the spasticity management: Are non-pharmacological treatments the future? 2017. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocn.2017.02.044.
- Trompetto C, Marinelli L, Mori L, Pelosin E, Currà A, Molfetta L, Abbruzzese G. Pathophysiology of spasticity: implications for neurorehabilitation. BioMed Res Int. 2014;2014:354906. https://doi.org/ 10.1155/2014/354906.
- Geertsen SS, van de Ruit M, Grey MJ, Nielsen JB. Spinal inhibition of descending command to soleus motoneurons is removed prior to dorsiflexion. J Physiol. 2011;589(23):5819–31. https://doi.org/10.1113/ jphysiol.2011.214387.
- Geertsen SS, Zuur AT, Nielsen JB. Voluntary activation of ankle muscles is accompanied by subcortical facilitation of their antagonists. J Physiol. 2010;588(13):2391–402. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2010.190678.
- Nielsen J, Petersen N. Evidence favouring different descending pathways to soleus motoneurones activated by magnetic brain stimulation in man. J Physiol. 1995;486(3):779–88. https://doi.org/10. 1113/jphysiol.1995.sp020853.
- Ahn YH, Ahn SH, Kim H, Hong JH, Jang SH. Can stroke patients walk after complete lateral corticospinal tract injury of the affected hemisphere? NeuroReport. 2006;17(10):987–90. https://doi.org/10.1097/ 01.wnr.0000220128.01597.e0.
- Jang SH, Chang CH, Lee J, Kim CS, Seo JP, Yeo SS. Functional role of the corticoreticular pathway in chronic stroke patients. Stroke. 2013;44(4):1099–104. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.000269.
- Choudhury S, Shobhana A, Singh R, Sen D, Anand SS, Shubham S, Baker MR, Kumar H, Baker SN. The relationship between enhanced reticulospinal outflow and upper limb function in chronic stroke patients. Neurorehabil Neural Repair. 2019;33(5):375–83. https://doi.org/ 10.1177/1545968319836233.

Page 15 of 16

- Li S, Chen YT, Francisco GE, Zhou P, Rymer WZ. A unifying pathophysiological account for post-stroke spasticity and disordered motor control. 2019. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2019.00468.
- Luft AR, MacKo RF, Forrester LW, Villagra F, Ivey F, Sorkin JD, Whitall J, McCombe-Waller S, Katzel L, Goldberg AP, Hanley DF. Treadmill exercise activates subcortical neural networks and improves walking after stroke: A randomized controlled trial. Stroke. 2008;39(12):3341–50. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.108.527531.
- Hsiao H, Knarr BA, Higginson JS, Binder-Macleod SA. The relative contribution of ankle moment and trailing limb angle to propulsive force during gait. Hum Mov Sci. 2015;39:212–21. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.humov.2014.11.008.
- Hsiao H, Knarr BA, Higginson JS, Binder-Macleod SA. Mechanisms to increase propulsive force for individuals poststroke. J Neuroengineering Rehabil. 2015;12(1):40. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-015-0030-8.
- Lewek MD, Hornby TG, Dhaher YY, Schmit BD. Prolonged quadriceps activity following imposed hip extension: a neurophysiological mechanism for stiff-knee gait? J Neurophysiol. 2007;98(6):3153–62. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00726.2007.
- Awad LN, Hsiao H, Binder-Macleod SA. Central drive to the paretic ankle plantarflexors affects the relationship between propulsion and walking speed after stroke. J Neurol Phys Ther. 2020;44(1):42–48. https://doi.org/ 10.1097/NPT.0000000000299.
- Flynn S, Knarr BA, Perumal R, Kesar TM, Binder-MacLeod SA. Using submaximal contractions to predict the maximum force-generating ability of muscles. Muscle Nerve. 2012;45(6):849–58. https://doi.org/10. 1002/mus.23254.
- Knarr BA, Higginson JS, Binder-MacLeod SA. Validation of an adjustment equation for the burst superimposition technique in subjects post-stroke. Muscle Nerve. 2012;46(2):267–9. https://doi.org/10. 1002/mus.23431.
- Morse CI, Thom JM, Davis MG, Fox KR, Birch KM, Narici MV. Reduced plantarflexor specific torque in the elderly is associated with a lower activation capacity. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2004. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00421-004-1056-y.
- Klass M, Baudry S, Duchateau J. Voluntary activation during maximal contraction with advancing age: A brief review. 2007. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00421-006-0205-x.
- Conway KA, Bissette RG, Franz JR. The functional utilization of propulsive capacity during human walking. J Appl Biomech. 2018;34(6): 474–82. https://doi.org/10.1123/jab.2017-0389.
- Schmid S, Schweizer K, Romkes J, Lorenzetti S, Brunner R. Secondary gait deviations in patients with and without neurological involvement: A systematic review. Gait Posture. 2013;37(4):480–93. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.gaitpost.2012.09.006.
- 112. Awad LN, Reisman DS, Pohlig RT, Binder-Macleod SA. Identifying candidates for targeted gait rehabilitation after stroke: better prediction through biomechanics-informed characterization. J Neuroengineering Rehabil. 2016;13(1):84. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-016-0188-8.
- Turns LJ, Neptune RR, Kautz SA. Relationships between muscle activity and anteroposterior ground reaction forces in hemiparetic walking. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2007;88(9):1127–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr. 2007.05.027.
- Hall AL, Peterson CL, Kautz SA, Neptune RR. Relationships between muscle contributions to walking subtasks and functional walking status in persons with post-stroke hemiparesis,. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon). 2011;26(5):509–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2010.12.010.
- 115. Roelker S, Bowden M, Kautz S, Neptune R. Paretic propulsion as a measure of walking performance and functional motor recovery post-stroke: a review. Gait Posture. 2018;S0966-6363(18):30490–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2018.10.027.
- 116. Lewek MD, Sawicki GS. Trailing limb angle is a surrogate for propulsive limb forces during walking post-stroke. Clin Biomech. 2019;67:115–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2019.05.011.
- 117. Sullivan KJ, Brown DA, Klassen T, Mulroy S, Ge T, Azen SP, Winstein CJ. Effects of task-specific locomotor and strength training in adults who were ambulatory after stroke: results of the STEPS randomized clinical trial. Phys Ther. 2007;87(12):1580–602. https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj. 20060310.
- 118. Forrester LW, Roy A, Hafer-Macko C, Krebs HI, Macko RF. Task-specific ankle robotics gait training after stroke: a randomized pilot study. J Neuroengineering Rehabil. 2016;13(1):51. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12984-016-0158-1.

- 119. Awad LN, Reisman DS, Pohlig RT, Binder-Macleod SA. Reducing the cost of transport and increasing walking distance after stroke: a randomized controlled trial on fast locomotor training combined with functional electrical stimulation. Neurorehabil Neural Repair. 2016;30(7): 661–70. https://doi.org/10.1177/1545968315619696.
- Vistamehr A, Kautz SA, Neptune RR. The influence of solid ankle-foot-orthoses on forward propulsion and dynamic balance in healthy adults during walking, Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon). 2014;29(5): 583–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2014.02.007.
- Kobayashi T, Orendurff MS, Singer ML, Gao F, Hunt G, Bo Foreman K. Effect of plantarflexion resistance of an ankle-foot orthosis on ankle and knee joint power during gait in individuals post-stroke. J Biomech. 2018;39(0):140–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2018.04.034.
- Peterson CL, Hall AL, Kautz SA, Neptune RR. Pre-swing deficits in forward propulsion, swing initiation and power generation by individual muscles during hemiparetic walking. J Biomech. 2010;43(12):2348–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2010.04.027.
- Nadeau S, Gravel D, Arsenault AB, Bourbonnais D. Plantarflexor weakness as a limiting factor of gait speed in stroke subjects and the compensating role of hip flexors, Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon). 1999;14(2):125–35.
- Kluding PM, Dunning K, O'Dell MW, Wu SS, Ginosian J, Feld J, McBride K. Foot drop stimulation versus ankle foot orthosis after stroke: 30-week outcomes. Stroke J Cereb Circ. 2013;44(6):1660–9. https://doi.org/10. 1161/STROKEAHA.111.000334.
- 125. Bethoux F, Rogers HL, Nolan KJ, Abrams GM, Annaswamy TM, Brandstater M, Browne B, Burnfield JM, Feng W, Freed MJ, Geis C, Greenberg J, Gudesblatt M, Ikramuddin F, Jayaraman A, Kautz SA, Lutsep HL, Madhavan S, Meilahn J, Pease WS, Rao N, Seetharama S, Sethi P, Turk MA, Wallis RA, Kufta C. The effects of peroneal nerve functional electrical stimulation versus ankle-foot orthosis in patients with chronic stroke: a randomized controlled trial. Neurorehabil Neural Repair. 2014;28(7):688–97. https://doi.org/10.1177/1545968314521007.
- Kesar TM, Perumal R, Reisman DS, Jancosko A, Rudolph KS, Higginson JS, Binder-Macleod SA. Functional electrical stimulation of ankle plantarflexor and dorsiflexor muscles. Stroke. 2009;40(12):3821–7. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.109.560375.
- Allen JL, Ting LH, Kesar TM. Gait rehabilitation using functional electrical stimulation induces changes in ankle muscle coordination in stroke survivors: a preliminary study. Front Neurol. 2018;9:1127. https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fneur.2018.01127.
- Browne MG, Franz JR. Ankle power biofeedback attenuates the distal-to-proximal redistribution in older adults. Gait Posture. 2019;71: 44–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2019.04.011.
- Penke K, Scott K, Sinskey Y, Lewek MD. Propulsive forces applied to the body's center of mass affect metabolic energetics poststroke. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2019;100(6):1068–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2018. 10.010.
- Phadke CP. Immediate effects of a single inclined treadmill walking session on level ground walking in individuals after stroke. Am J Phys Med Rehabil / Assoc Acad Physiatrists. 2012;91(4):337–45. https://doi. org/10.1097/PHM.0b013e31823cabe3.
- Takahashi KZ, Lewek MD, Sawicki GS. A neuromechanics-based powered ankle exoskeleton to assist walking post-stroke: a feasibility study. J NeuroEngineering Rehabil. 2015;12(1):23. https://doi.org/10. 1186/s12984-015-0015-7.
- 132. McCain EM, Dick TJMM, Giest TN, Nuckols RW, Lewek MD, Saul KR, Sawicki GS. Mechanics and energetics of post-stroke walking aided by a powered ankle exoskeleton with speed-adaptive myoelectric control. J NeuroEngineering Rehabil. 2019;16(1):57. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12984-019-0523-y.
- Duncan PW, Sullivan KJ, Behrman AL, Azen SP, Wu SS, Nadeau SE, Dobkin BH, Rose DK, Tilson JK, Cen S, Hayden SK. Body-weight-supported treadmill rehabilitation after stroke. N Engl J Med. 2011;364(21):2026–36. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1010790.
- Kesar TM, Reisman DS, Perumal R, Jancosko AM, Higginson JS, Rudolph KS, Binder-Macleod SA. Combined effects of fast treadmill walking and functional electrical stimulation on post-stroke gait. Gait Posture. 2011;33(2):309–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2010.11.019.
- Reisman D, Kesar T, Perumal R, Roos M, Rudolph K, Higginson J, Helm E, Binder-Macleod S. Time course of functional and biomechanical improvements during a gait training intervention in persons with chronic stroke. J Neurol Phys Ther JNPT. 2013;37(4):159–65. https://doi. org/10.1097/NPT.00000000000020.

- Zheng X, Chen D, Yan T, Jin D, Zhuang Z, Tan Z, Wu W. A Randomized clinical trial of a functional electrical stimulation mimic to gait promotes motor recovery and brain remodeling in acute stroke. Behav Neurol. 2018;2018:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/8923520.
- Pilkar R, Yarossi M, Nolan KJ. EMG of the tibialis anterior demonstrates a training effect after utilization of a foot drop stimulator. NeuroRehabilitation. 2014;35(2):299–305. https://doi.org/10.3233/NRE-141126.
- Pilkar R, Ramanujam A, Nolan KJ. Alterations in spectral attributes of surface electromyograms after utilization of a foot drop stimulator during post-stroke gait. Front Neurol. 2017;8:449. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fneur.2017.00449.
- Ardestani MM, Henderson CE, Hornby TG. Improved walking function in laboratory does not guarantee increased community walking in stroke survivors: Potential role of gait biomechanics. J Biomech. 2019;91: 151–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2019.05.011.
- 140. Yandell MB, Tacca JR, Zelik KE. Design of a low profile, unpowered ankle exoskeleton that fits under clothes: overcoming practical barriers to widespread societal adoption. IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng. 2019;27(4):712–23. https://doi.org/10.1109/TNSRE.2019.2904924.
- Alonso V, de la Puente P. System transparency in shared autonomy: a mini review. Front Neurorobotics. 2018;12:83. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fnbot.2018.00083.
- 142. Veale AJ, Xie SQ. Towards compliant and wearable robotic orthoses: A review of current and emerging actuator technologies. Med Eng Phys. 2016;38(4):317–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medengphy.2016.01.010.
- Awad LN, Kudzia P, Revi D, Ellis T, Walsh C. Walking faster and farther with a soft robotic exosuit: Implications for post-stroke gait assistance and rehabilitation. IEEE Open J Engi Med Biol. 2020;1:108–115. https:// doi.org/10.1109/OJEMB.2020.2984429.
- 144. Awad LN, Bae J, Kudzia P, Long A, Hendron K, Holt KG, ODonnell K, Ellis TD, Walsh CJ. Reducing circumduction and hip hiking during hemiparetic walking through targeted assistance of the paretic limb using a soft robotic exosuit. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2017;96(10):157–64. https://doi.org/10.1097/PHM.0000000000800.
- Bae J, Awad LN, Long A, O'Donnell K, Hendron K, Holt KG, Ellis TD, Walsh CJ. Biomechanical mechanisms underlying exosuit-induced improvements in walking economy after stroke. J Exp Biol. 2018;221(5): 168815. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.168815.
- 146. Awad LN, Esquenazi A, Francisco GE, Nolan KJ, Jayaraman A. The ReWalk ReStore[™] soft robotic exosuit: a multi-site clinical trial of the safety, reliability, and feasibility of exosuit-augmented post-stroke gait rehabilitation. J NeuroEngineering Rehabil. 2020;17:80. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s12984-020-00702-5.
- 147. Bae J, Siviy C, Rouleau M, Menard N, Odonnell K, Geliana I, Athanassiu M, Ryan D, Bibeau C, Sloot L, Kudzia P, Ellis T, Awad L, Walsh CJ. A lightweight and efficient portable soft exosuit for paretic ankle assistance in walking after stroke. In: 2018 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA). Brisbane, Australia: IEEE; 2018. p. 2820–7. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICRA.2018.8461046.
- 148. Bae J, et al. A soft exosuit for patients with stroke: Feasibility study with a mobile off-board actuation unit. In: 2015 IEEE International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics (ICORR). Singapore; 2015. p. 131–8. https:// doi.org/10.1109/ICORR.2015.7281188.
- 149. Awad LN, Bae J, O'Donnell K, Hendron KL, Sloot L, Siviy C, Kudzia P, Ellis TD, Walsh CJ. Soft exosuits increase walking speed and distance after stroke. In: 2017 International Symposium on Wearable Robotics and Rehabilitation (WeRob). Houston, TX: IEEE; 2017. p. 1–2. https://doi. org/10.1109/WEROB.2017.8383847.
- Sloot L, Bae J, Baker L, O'Donnell K, Menard N, Porciuncula F, Choe D, Ellis T, Awad L, Walsh C. O 089 - A soft robotic exosuit assisting the paretic ankle in patients post-stroke: Effect on muscle activation during overground walking. Gait Posture. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. gaitpost.2018.06.124.
- 151. Alibeji NA, Molazadeh V, Dicianno BE, Sharma N. A control scheme that uses dynamic postural synergies to coordinate a hybrid walking neuroprosthesis: Theory and experiments. Front Neurosci. 2018;12:159. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2018.00159.
- 152. Hurt CP, Wang J, Capo-Lugo CE, Brown DA. Effect of progressive horizontal resistive force on the comfortable walking speed of individuals post-stroke. J NeuroEngineering Rehabil. 2015;12(1):12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-015-0007-7.

- Hurt CP, Burgess JK, Brown DA. Limb contribution to increased self-selected walking speeds during body weight support in individuals poststroke. Gait Posture. 2015;41(3):857–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. gaitpost.2015.02.004.
- Clark DJ, Neptune RR, Behrman AL, Kautz SA. Locomotor adaptability task promotes intense and task-appropriate output from the paretic leg during walking. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2016;97(3):493–6. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.apmr.2015.10.081.
- Lewek MD, Raiti C, Doty A. The presence of a paretic propulsion reserve during gait in individuals following stroke. Neurorehabil Neural Repair. 2018;32(12):1011–9. https://doi.org/10.1177/1545968318809920.
- Gottschall JS, Kram R. Energy cost and muscular activity required for propulsion during walking. J Appl Physiol. 2003;94(5):1766–72. https:// doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00670.2002.
- Reinkensmeyer DJ, Wolbrecht E, Bobrow J. A Computational Model of Human-Robot Load Sharing during Robot-Assisted Arm Movement Training after Stroke. In: 2007 29th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society. Lyon; 2007. p. 4019–23. https://doi.org/10.1109/IEMBS.2007.4353215.
- Schenck C, Kesar TM. Effects of unilateral real-time biofeedback on propulsive forces during gait. J Neuroengineering Rehabil. 2017;14(1):52. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-017-0252-z.
- Browne MG, Franz JR. More push from your push-off: Joint-level modifications to modulate propulsive forces in old age. PLoS ONE. 2018;13(8):e0201407. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0201407.
- Franz JR, Maletis M, Kram R. Real-time feedback enhances forward propulsion during walking in old adults. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon). 2014;29(1):68–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2013.10.018.
- Giggins OM, Persson U, Caulfield B. Biofeedback in rehabilitation. J NeuroEngineering Rehabil. 2013;10(1):60. https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-0003-10-60.
- Lewek MD, Braun CH, Wutzke C, Giuliani C. The role of movement errors in modifying spatiotemporal gait asymmetry post stroke: a randomized controlled trial. Clin Rehabil. 2018;32(2):161–72. https://doi. org/10.1177/0269215517723056.
- Jonsdottir J, Cattaneo D, Recalcati M, Regola A, Rabuffetti M, Ferrarin M, Casiraghi A. Task-oriented biofeedback to improve gait in individuals with chronic stroke: motor learning approach. Neurorehabil Neural Repair. 2010;24(5):478–85. https://doi.org/10.1177/1545968309355986.
- 164. Jonsdottir J, Cattaneo D, Regola A, Crippa A, Recalcati M, Rabuffetti M, Ferrarin M, Casiraghi A. Concepts of motor learning applied to a rehabilitation protocol using biofeedback to improve gait in a chronic stroke patient: An A-B system study with multiple gait analyses. Neurorehabil Neural Repair. 2007;21(2):190–4. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1545968306290823.
- 165. Wolf SL. Electromyographic biofeedback applications to stroke patients. A critical review. 1983. https://doi.org/10.1093/ptj/63.9.1448.
- 166. Wolf SL, Binder Macleod SA. Electromyographic biofeedback applications to the hemiplegic patient. Changes in lower extremity neuromuscular and functional status. Phys Ther. 1983;63(9):1404–13. https://doi.org/10.1093/ptj/63.9.1404.
- Barr P, Noble J, Biddle R. Video game values: Human-computer interaction and games. Interact Comput. 2007;19(2):180–95. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.intcom.2006.08.008.
- Lockton D, Harrison D, Stanton NA. The Design with Intent Method: A design tool for influencing user behaviour. Appl Ergon. 2010;41(3): 382–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2009.09.001.
- Laver KE, Lange B, George S, Deutsch JE, Saposnik G, Crotty M. Virtual reality for stroke rehabilitation. 2017. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858. CD008349.pub4.
- 170. Malone TW, W. T. What makes things fun to learn? heuristics for designing instructional computer games. In: Proceedings of the 3rd ACM SIGSMALL Symposium and the First SIGPC Symposium on Small Systems - SIGSMALL '80. New York, New York, USA: ACM Press; 1980. p. 162–9. https://doi.org/10.1145/800088.802839 http://portal.acm.org/ citation.cfm?doid=800088.802839.
- 171. Lohse KR, Hilderman CGE, Cheung KL, Tatla S, Van der Loos HFM. Virtual reality therapy for adults post-stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis exploring virtual environments and commercial games in therapy. PLoS ONE. 2014;9(3):93318. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0093318.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.