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#### Abstract

Inhibitors of Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (Keap1) increase the activity of the transcription factor nuclear factor erythroid 2 -related factor 2 (Nrf2) by stalling its ubiquitination and degradation. This enhances the expression of genes encoding proteins involved in drug detoxification, redox homeostasis, and mitochondrial function. Nrf2 activation offers a potential therapeutic approach for conditions including Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases, vascular inflammation, and chronic obstructive airway disease. Non-electrophilic Keap1-Nrf2 protein-protein interaction (PPI) inhibitors may have improved toxicity profiles and different pharmacological properties to cysteine-reactive electrophilic inhibitors. Here, we describe and  characterize a series of phenyl bis-sulfonamide PPI inhibitors that bind to Keap1 at submicromolar concentrations. Structural studies reveal that the compounds bind to Keapl in a distinct "peptidomimetic" conformation that resembles the Keap1-Nrf2 ETGE peptide complex. This is different to other small molecule Keap1-Nrf2 PPI inhibitors, including bicyclic aryl bis-sulfonamides, offering a starting point for new design approaches to Keap1 inhibitors.


## - INTRODUCTION

The transcription factor Nrf2 (nuclear factor-erythroid 2related factor 2) is a key regulator protein involved in adaptive responses to internal and external stress stimuli, particularly oxidative damage. Nrf2 augments the expression of a wide range of cyto-protective proteins by binding with high affinity to the antioxidant response elements that are located in their promoter gene regions. Gene products regulated by Nrf2 include proteins that maintain a reducing environment within cells, phase I and II metabolic enzymes, anti-inflammatory mediators, and proteins associated with general and selective types of autophagy. ${ }^{1}$

The transcriptional activity of Nrf2 is controlled by several regulatory mechanisms, among which the Keap1 (Kelch-like ECH associating protein 1)/Cullin3 system is the most extensively studied. Keap1 is a homodimeric protein that physically interacts through its Kelch domain with Nrf2 in a 2:1 stoichiometry. ${ }^{2}$ Mutagenesis and deletion analysis revealed that this interaction is mediated by two discrete regions within the Neh2 (Nrf2-ECH homology 2) domain of Nrf2 that consists of a low affinity ${ }^{29} \mathrm{DLG}^{31}$ and a high affinity ${ }^{79}$ ETGE $^{82}$ binding motif, respectively. ${ }^{3,4}$ This two-site recognition mechanism allows Keap1 to suppress the activity of Nrf2 by facilitating its ubiquitination and subsequent proteosomal
degradation via a pendant Cul3-based Rbx1 (ring-box 1) E3 ubiquitin ligase complex. However, upon oxidative or electrophilic stress the repressor activity of Keap1 is impaired, allowing Nrf2 to evade degradation. ${ }^{4}$ The stabilization of Nrf2 under such conditions stems from the redox or covalent modification of Keap1 cysteine residues, which induces conformational changes in the structure of Keap1 that diminish its ubiquitin-facilitating activity.

Given its pivotal role in adjusting cellular defences under stress conditions and during hormesis, Nrf2 has been proposed to hold great promise as a drug target for the prevention or treatment of a wide range of pathological and chronic conditions, including but not limited to inflammatory conditions, neurodegenerative and autoimmune disorders and cardiovascular diseases. ${ }^{1,5-7}$ Compounds that inhibit Keap1 have been shown to enhance the transcriptional activity
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Figure 1. (A) Structure of the Keap1 Kelch domain (PDB entry 4IQK) shown as a surface representation, the sub-pockets of the Nrf2 binding site are shown: P1 (maroon residues 415, 461, 462, 478, 483, and 508), P2 (green residues, 363, 380, 381, and 414), P3 (blue residues, 364, 509, 556, 571,602 , and 603), P4 (yellow residues, 334, 572, and 577), and P5 (purple residues, 525,530, and 555); (B) the structures of published Keap1Nrf2 PPI inhibitors containing a naphthalene central ring system. FP values for compounds $\mathbf{1 - 8}$ are $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{~s}$ determined using FP; SA values are $K_{d} s$ from a secondary assay; (a) $K_{\mathrm{d}}$ determined using SPR assay; (b) $K_{\mathrm{d}}$ determined using ITC; (c) fluorescence anisotropy assay; and (d) not determined (DSF thermal shift $=-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). ${ }^{13,14,17,18,24,27}$
of Nrf2 and have therefore been sought extensively as potential therapeutic agents.

Most Nrf2 inducers are electrophiles that exert their effects by covalently modifying sensor Keap1 cysteines and subsequently, halting the ubiquitination and proteasomal targeting of the transcription factor. Given their highly reactive nature however, such agents generally demonstrate unspecific biological effects and interference with other redox sensitive signaling pathways. Direct inhibition of the Keap1-Nrf2 protein-protein interaction (PPI) is an alternative approach to inhibit the degradation of Nrf2 in a reversible and noncovalent manner. We and others have described the development of peptides based on the ETGE and DLG binding motifs of Nrf2 that compete with the transcription factor for binding to the Kelch domain of Keap1. ${ }^{8-11}$ More recently, a series of small molecule modulators of the Keap1-Nrf2 PPI have been described, ${ }^{12-22}$ and Keap1 was the focus of an ultralarge virtual screen of more than 1 billion compounds. ${ }^{23}$ Although in the latter case promising levels of both in vitro and cellular potency have been achieved, there is a relatively limited degree of structural diversity among the different classes of PPI inhibitors reported. A number of PPI inhibitors described to date share a common privileged scaffold comprising a bicyclic ring system, usually a naphthalene ring flanked by one or in most cases two benzenesulfonamide moieties (Figure 1). According to the
available crystallographic and molecular modeling data, the bicyclic ring occupies the P3 sub-pocket of the Keap1 Kelch binding site and enables the projection of the benzenesulfonamide group(s) into the P4 and/or P5 sub-pockets. Previous attempts to replace the naphthalene group with a single ring system have resulted in a reduced Keapl binding affinity, highlighting the favorable Keap1 binding properties of this scaffold. ${ }^{14,24}$ These can be attributed to the formation of a network of $\pi-$ cation and $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions of the naphthalene ring with the guanidino group of $\operatorname{Arg} 415$ and the benzenesulfonamide moieties with the aromatic side chains of Tyr334, Tyr572 and Tyr525. Recent studies have revealed that, in contrast to electrophiles, which impair the substrate adaptor activity of Keap1, leading to the accumulation of newly synthesized Nrf2, such non-electrophilic PPI inhibitors disrupt the binding of Keap1 to the DLG motif of Nrf2 in preference to Keapl-ETGE binding. ${ }^{25,26}$

Here, we report the development and biological characterization of a novel Keap1-interactive scaffold identified through a structure-based ligand design approach. Although structurally similar to previously described phenyl bis-sulfonamides such as 9, several of the new analogues exhibit sub-micromolar affinity for the Kelch domain of Keap1 as determined by fluorescence polarization (FP) and isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) analyses. ${ }^{14}$ Despite the presence of two carboxylate groups,
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Figure 2. (A) Structures of compounds $9-12 ;(B, C)$ co-crystallized structure of $\mathbf{1}$ bound to the Keap1 Kelch domain (PDB entry 4IQK); (D-G) docked conformations of compounds $\mathbf{1 , 4 , 1 1}$, and 12, respectively, bound to the corresponding 4IQK Keapl Kelch domain.

Scheme 1. Synthetic Route to Compounds 10-11 and 31-34 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ or $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) 4-methoxybenzenesulfonyl chloride, pyridine, DMAP, DCM, rt, o/n; (c) ethyl bromoacetate, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{rt}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$; and (d) $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{THF} / / \mathrm{MeOH}(1: 1)$, rt, 2 h .
selected compounds interact with Keap1 and induce the transcriptional activity of Nrf 2 in cells at micromolar concentrations. The improved binding affinity and inducer activity may be explained by a high-resolution co-crystal structure of analogue 11 bound to the Keap1 Kelch domain that reveals a new and unexpected binding mode that closely resembles the Keap1-Nrf2 ETGE peptide complex and is distinct from the binding mode of any other known aryl bissulfonamides, which preferentially disrupts the binding of Keap1 to Nrf2-DLG. ${ }^{25}$ Thus, the new series of Keap1-Nrf2

PPI provide an alternative starting point for future optimization that exploits this new binding mode.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Molecular Modeling and Ligand Design. Although several studies describing the structure-activity relationships (SARs) around the phenylsulfonamide ${ }^{14,17}$ and acetate ${ }^{28}$ portions of 4 have been published, there is less information about the structural requirements for the central ring system of this scaffold. Replacement of the naphthalene with related bicyclic heterocycles such as isoquinolines, for example, $\mathbf{6}$ gives

Scheme 2. Synthetic Route to Compounds 35-39 ${ }^{\text {a }}$
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${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) triethylsilane, $5 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{EtOH}(9: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 61 \%$; (b) R-X, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, acetone, rt, o/n; and (c) 1 N $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{THF} / / \mathrm{MeOH}(1: 1)$, rt, 2 h .
ligands of similar binding affinity and improved properties, but other heterocycles had reduced binding affinity. ${ }^{24}$ Recent reports showed that replacement of the naphthalene core of 4 with a phenyl group reduces binding affinity; however, 9 retains only low micromolar affinity for Keapl that can be moderately improved by introducing a methoxy substitution at the 2 -position of the phenyl ring (Figure 2A, compound 10). ${ }^{14,24}$ We carried out a molecular docking study using the Keap1 Kelch domain structure derived from the co-crystal structure with 1 (PDB entry 4 IQK , Figure 2B,C). We confirmed that redocking 1 into the vacant Kelch binding pocket gave a comparable bound conformation and that 4 docked in the expected manner (Figure 2D,E, respectively) to make additional polar contacts with the arginine triad, residues 380,415 , and 483. Next, we docked the ligands 11 and 12 in an analogous manner (Figure 2F,G). These new ligands have a central phenyl ring and either a 1,4 - or 1,3 -substitution pattern for the sulfonamide moieties. The docked conformations suggested that the bis-sulfonamide was positioned in a similar manner to those of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ and that the respective benzyloxy and carboxamide substituents of $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ project into the central channel through the $\beta$-propeller structure, indicating that they may occupy the P3 sub-pocket of Keap1 more effectively. These encouraging preliminary data prompted the synthesis of a series of analogues based on the selected scaffolds with the aim of determining the structural requirements for interaction with the Keapl Kelch domain central pore.

Chemical Synthesis. The synthesis of compounds 31-36 was performed following the general procedure described in Scheme 1. The nitro groups of 13-18 were reduced with either $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{AcOH}$ or $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$ and the resulting intermediates were reacted with an excess of 4-methoxybenzenesulfonyl chloride
and pyridine to afford the bis-sulfonamides 19-24. Nalkylation with ethyl bromoacetate and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ followed by saponification of the resulting ethyl esters 25-30 gave the bisacids $10-11$ and $31-34$ in reasonable overall yields.

To further supplement our compound library, we prepared compounds 36-39 from a common precursor (compound 28) following the alternative and shorter synthetic sequence outlined in Scheme 2. The benzyl ether of 28 was removed by catalytic transfer hydrogenation, affording the phenol 35 in $61 \%$ yield. 35 was subsequently subjected to saponification to furnish 39, while the remaining analogues 36-39 were prepared by the alkylation of the phenol 35 with appropriate halides, followed by hydrolysis of the ethyl ester protecting groups.

Compounds bearing carboxylate bioisosteric replacements were prepared according to the synthetic procedures shown in Scheme 3. The bis-amide 40 was synthesized from 11 by treatment with $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and ammonium carbonate in DMF/ pyridine. N -alkylation of 22 with bromoacetonitrile gave 41 and then cycloaddition with $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ afforded the bis- 1 H tetrazole 42. The remaining acid $/ 1 \mathrm{H}$-tetrazole analogues 51 and 52 were prepared from 16 via sequential assembly of the tertiary sulfonamide moiety on each side of the central phenyl ring, followed by $[2+3]$ cycloaddition with sodium azide and subsequent ethyl ester hydrolysis.

The related 3,5 -bis-sulfonamide- $N$-substituted-benzamides $\mathbf{1 2}$ and 58-61 were prepared from the readily available 3,5diaminobenzoic acid following a similar synthetic procedure (Scheme 4). Sulfonylation of $\mathbf{5 3}$ using our standard conditions, followed by amidation with EDCI•HCl and DMAP afforded intermediates 54 and 55-57, respectively. Compounds 12 and 58-61 were synthesized by nucleophilic substitutions using

Scheme 3. Synthetic Route to Compounds 40-42, 51, and 52 ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O},\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, pyridine, DMF, $\mathrm{Ar}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 18 \mathrm{~h}, 74 \%$; (b) bromoacetonitrile, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO} 3, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{rt}, 8 \mathrm{~h}, 59 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}, \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{DMF}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{n}$; (d) 4-methoxybenzenesulfonyl chloride, DCM, pyridine, rt, o/n; (e) ethyl bromoacetate, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO} \mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{rt}, 8$ h ; (f) $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; and (g) 1 N NaOH , THF/MeOH (1:1 v/v), 2 h .
the appropriate alkyl halides, followed by the removal of the protecting ethyl ester groups for compounds 12 and 58-59.

Structure-Activity Relationships. The compounds were screened for their ability to disrupt the interaction of the Keap1 Kelch domain with a fluorescent peptide derived from the high affinity ETGE binding motif of Nrf2 (FITC- $\beta$ -DEETGEF-OH) in an FP competition assay. ${ }^{8}$ Generally, a variety of substitutions were tolerated at the 2-position of the central phenyl ring (Table 1). The phenol 39 demonstrated reasonable inhibition of the Keap1-Nrf2 PPI, while capping the phenolic OH with a methyl group (compound 10) did not affect the binding affinity. Replacing the 2 -methoxy substitution with an ethoxy (compound 31) led to improved activity; however, increasing the size of the 2 -alkoxy
substituent from ethoxy to $n$-propyloxy (compound 36) was not beneficial for activity. A complete loss of binding affinity was recorded for branched isopropyloxy 37, presumably due to the introduction of an unfavorable steric clash with the Keap1 binding pocket. Interestingly, a compromise in activity was achieved by further extending the length of the 2 -alkoxy moiety ( $n$-butyl, compound 32), suggesting that introduction of bulkier substituents at this position could lead to an improved binding profile. In support of this notion, the benzyloxy analogue 11 demonstrated submicromolar activity in the FP assay with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $0.575 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Removing the benzylic methylene bridge of 11 (compound 33) decreased the activity by threefold, while further simplification of the structure by replacing the ether substituent with a phenyl ring (compound

## Scheme 4. Synthetic Route to Compounds 12 and 58-61 ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $4-\mathrm{R}_{1}-\mathrm{PhSO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$, pyridine, DMAP, DMF, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{n}$; (b) amine, EDCI•HCl, DMAP, DMF, o/n; (c) bromoacetonitrile or bromoacetamide, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF, rt, o/n; (d) ethyl bromoacetate, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{rt}$, o/n; and (e) $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ $(1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{n}$.

Table 1. SARs and Physicochemical Properties for Compounds 10-11, 31-34, 36-42, 51, and 52

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| compd | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | FP IC50 $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $\operatorname{DSF}\left(\Delta T_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{a}$ | NQO1-fold induction at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}^{b}$ |
| 10 | OMe | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $1.84 \pm 0.22$ | $2.4 \pm 0.3$ | $0.94 \pm 0.13$ |
| 31 | OEt | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $0.815 \pm 0.060$ | $2.4 \pm 0.3$ | $1.65 \pm 0.22$ |
| 32 | OnBu | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $0.677 \pm 0.059$ | $3.1 \pm 0.2$ | $1.66 \pm 0.08$ |
| 11 | OBn | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $0.575 \pm 0.072$ | $3.3 \pm 0.4$ | $1.71 \pm 0.09$ |
| 33 | OPh | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $1.50 \pm 0.22$ | $3.1 \pm 0.4$ | $0.92 \pm 0.11$ |
| 34 | Ph | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $51 \%^{\text {c }}$ | $0.2 \pm 0.3$ | $1.08 \pm 0.04$ |
| 36 | $\mathrm{O} n \mathrm{Pr}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $1.19 \pm 0.23$ | $2.9 \pm 0.2$ | $1.29 \pm 0.22$ |
| 37 | OiPr | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $<25 \%{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.1 \pm 0.3$ | $1.09 \pm 0.07$ |
| 38 | OBn (3-OMe) | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $0.805 \pm 0.021$ | $3.4 \pm 0.3$ | $1.84 \pm 0.05$ |
| 39 | OH | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $1.11 \pm 0.32$ | $1.2 \pm 0.3$ | $1.00 \pm 0.06$ |
| 40 | OBn | $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ | $<25 \%{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{ND}^{d}$ | $1.19 \pm 0.07$ |
| 41 | OBn | CN | CN | $<25 \%{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.1 \pm 0.2$ | $1.02 \pm 0.05$ |
| 42 | OBn | TET | TET | $37 \%^{\text {c }}$ | ND ${ }^{d}$ | $1.06 \pm 0.10$ |
| 51 | OBn | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | TET | $2.93 \pm 0.33$ | $\mathrm{ND}^{d}$ | $1.06 \pm 0.04$ |
| 52 | OBn | TET | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $56 \%{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{ND}^{d}$ | $1.10 \pm 0.04$ |

${ }^{a}$ Inflection temperature $\left(T_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ for Keap1 protein + vehicle $=61.3 \pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \Delta T_{\mathrm{i}}$ for cpd $4=20.0 \pm 0.3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{b}$ Fold-induction of NQO1 enzymatic activity relative to the DMSO control after 24 h inhibitor treatment, cpd $4=2.85 \pm 0.14$ fold. ${ }^{c}$ Percentage inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration of inhibitor. ${ }^{d}$ Not determined.
34) further diminished binding affinity. On the other hand, functionalization of the benzyloxy group by introducing a meta-methoxy substitution was tolerated (compound 38) and resulted in a modest loss of binding activity.

To complement our SAR model, we then explored the effect of carboxylate isosteric transformations on binding activity. For this purpose, we selected $\mathbf{1 1}$ as a model scaffold due to its
superior potency compared to other compounds of this series. Replacing both carboxylate groups of 11 with carboxamides (compound 40) or nitriles (compound 41) abolished binding affinity, possibly due to the inability of these groups to form the necessary salt bridging interactions with the triad of positively charged arginine residues that are located near to the P1 and P2 sub-pockets of the Keap1 Kelch binding site. Similar



Figure 3. (A-C) Bound conformation of compound 11 (green in A and B, purple in C) co-crystallized with the Keap1 Kelch domain; (D) overlay of the bound conformation of 11 and the ETGE peptide bound to Keap1 (PDB entry 2FLU); ${ }^{29}$ (E) comparison of the Keap1 Kelch domain binding pocket in the compound 11 co-crystal structure (cyan) and the 2FLU ETGE peptide co-crystal (blue); (F) comparison of the Keap1 Kelch domain binding pocket in the compound 11 co-crystal structure (cyan) and the compound 1 co-crystal structure (green). ${ }^{19}$
results were obtained for the bis-1H-tetrazole 42, although some marginal inhibitory activity was detected at the highest concentration screened ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). As expected, substituting only one carboxylic acid of $\mathbf{1 1}$ for a 1 H -tetrazole (compounds 51 and 52) was better tolerated; however, activity was reduced by at least sixfold in both cases.

The 1,3-bis-sulfonamide benzamides $\mathbf{1 2}$ and 58-61 showed a consistently weaker binding profile in the FP assay compared to the previous examples (Table S1). The $N$-phenyl 58 and $N$ benzyl 59 analogues demonstrated modest activity at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, causing approximately $50 \%$ inhibition of the interaction between the Keap1 Kelch protein and the fluorescent peptide. On the other hand, the $N$-ethyl 12 was unable to markedly inhibit the FP signal at the same concentration. Analogues having both carboxylates substituted for carboxamides (compound 60) or nitriles (compound 61) did not show activity at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, results that are consistent with the SAR obtained from the 2-benzyloxy derivatives 40 and 41.

The binding affinity of compounds was confirmed in a secondary orthogonal differential scanning fluorimetry (DSF) assay at a fixed concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ using a label-less adaptation of a published procedure in a Nanotemper Tycho instrument that measures changes in the ratio of protein tyrosine and tryptophan intrinsic fluorescence with temperature. ${ }^{20}$ The fluorescence ratio inflection temperature $\left(T_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ for the Keap1 Kelch protein was estimated to be $61.3 \pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using this method. Compound 4 was used as the positive control and gave a Ti of $81.3 \pm 0.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, corresponding to an induced shift in the $T_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\Delta T_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ of $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The Keap1 Kelch melting curves were shifted to a smaller extent ( $\Delta T_{i}$ of $\sim 2.4-$ $3.4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) relative to the positive control in the presence of compounds $10,11,31-33,36$, and 38 , confirming their direct interaction with the protein, while 34, 37, 39, and 41 gave smaller $\Delta T_{\mathrm{i}}$ values ( $\Delta T_{\mathrm{i}} \approx 0.1-1.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) consistent with their lower activity in the FP assays.

To further characterize the binding profile of the series, we carried out ITC experiments. Compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ had an estimated $K_{\mathrm{d}}$ of $0.500 \pm 0.057 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and compounds 31, 32, 36, and 38 had $K_{d}$ values in the range $0.429-0.638 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Figure S1), which were comparable with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values recorded in the FP assay (Table 1). The reference compound 4 had a $K_{d}$ of $0.039 \pm$ $0.010 \mu \mathrm{M}$, consistent with previous observations (Figure 1).

Crystal Structure of the Keap1-Compound 11 Complex. The overall structure of the Keap1 Kelch domain in complex with compound 11 was solved at a resolution of $1.75 \AA$ (PDB entry 6HWS). The Keap1 Kelch domain adopts a six-bladed $\beta$-propeller structure with a central hollow channel. ${ }^{30}$ Compound 11 binds to the Nrf2 binding region which is located over the central pore through the protein domain. The central phenyl ring of compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ occupies the P3 sub-pocket of the Keap1 Kelch binding site, forming a $\pi-$ cation interaction with the positively charged guanidino group of Arg415 (Figure 3A,B). Similarly to the naphthalene-based inhibitors reported previously, ${ }^{17,19}$ the flanking 4-methoxybenzenesulfonamide moieties form $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions with the aromatic side chains of Tyr334, Tyr572, Phe577, and Tyr525 of the P4 and P5 sub-pockets, whereas one of the sulfonamide oxygen atoms forms a hydrogen bond with the carboxamide side chain group of Gln530 (Figure 3C). The two acetate side chains of 11 are projected toward the P1 and P2 sub-pockets with the respective carboxylate groups participating in a network of hydrogen bonding and charge-charge interactions with $\operatorname{Arg} 415, \operatorname{Ser} 508$, Asn414, and $\operatorname{Arg} 380$. Interestingly, the benzyloxy phenyl group stabilizes the bound conformation of 11 by forming a face-to-face $\pi-\pi$ stacking interaction with the 4-methoxybenzene ring of the adjacent sulfonamide side chain, while it also participates in an edge-to-face cation $-\pi$ stacking with $\operatorname{Arg} 483$ (Figure 3B,C).

The bound conformation of 11 contrasts with the predicted docked conformation (Figure 2F) in which the benzyloxy
A.
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Figure 4. Dose-dependent induction of NQO1 $[\mathrm{NAD}(\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{H}$-dependent quinone oxidoreductase-1] by selected (A) Keap1-Nrf2 PPI inhibitors: 10 $(), 11(\nabla)$, $31(\mathbf{\Delta}), 32(\bullet), 36(\leqslant)$, and $38(\square)$ and (B) electrophilic Nrf2 activators: DMF ( $\star$ ) and CDDO-Me ( $>$ ).






Figure 5. Induction of the Nrf2-transcriptional targets Nqo1 (A), Hmox1 (B), Gstp (C), Gclc (D), and Gclc (E) in Hepalclc7 cells ( $n=3$ ) by compound $11(50 \mu \mathrm{M})$. The data are represented graphically as mean values $\pm 1$ standard deviation (SD). Student's $t$-test was used to test for statistical significance, and in all cases, the difference in gene expression between vehicle- and compound 11-treated cells was statistically significant ( $p<0.05$ ).
substituent occupies the central channel through the protein and instead has a greater similarity to that of ETGE peptidebound forms of the Keap1 Kelch domain (e.g., PBD entry $2 \mathrm{FLU})^{29}$ in which the binding pocket is adapted to fit the peptide. With respect to the ligand, one of the carboxylic acids of 11 and the ETGE peptide glutamate residue equivalent to Glu79 overlay (Figure 3D, lower right) and the peptide backbone overlays with the adjacent sulfonamide motif. The Keap1 binding pocket residues are also in a very similar orientation in the 11-bound and peptide-bound structure (Figure 3E), particularly with regard to the $\operatorname{Arg} 415$ residue, which is usually rotated in small molecule-bound structures to expose the central channel through the $\beta$-propeller structure (e.g., the complex with 1, PDB entry 4 IQK , Figure 3 F ).

Cell-Based Experiments. The ability of compounds of this series to induce the transcriptional activity of Nrf2 was evaluated in Hepalclc7 mouse hepatoma cells using the colorimetric $\mathrm{NAD}(\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{H}$ quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1) enzyme activity assay, an assay that is widely used to characterize the biological activity of Nrf2 inducers. ${ }^{12,31,32}$ We initially screened the compounds at a fixed dose of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Table 1). This preliminary screening gave encouraging results as it revealed a good correlation between the in vitro and cellbased activities of the series. Compounds 31, 32, 11, and 38 retained a good level of potency in cells, inducing the enzymatic activity of NQO1 by more than 1.5 -fold relative to
the DMSO control at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. On the other hand, compounds with a less tight binding to Keap1 failed to cause a marked induction of NQO1 at the same concentration. Motivated by these results, we carried out concentration-response studies with selected candidates in order to gain a more detailed understanding of the cellular activity profile of the series. As shown in Figure 4, the NQO1 activity was generally induced in a dose-dependent manner after a 24 h treatment by all compounds screened. The methoxy-containing compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ was the least potent among the analogues tested, displaying only marginal cellular activity (1.4-fold induction) at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$, results that are consistent with its weak binding affinity for Keap1. On the other hand, 38 showed the most promising cellular activity profile, causing a reasonable increase in NQO1 ( 1.5 -fold to 2 -fold) at low micromolar concentrations that reaches a threefold induction at the highest concentration tested $(100 \mu \mathrm{M})$. The maximal NQO1 activation induced by 38 is similar to that observed for the positive controls bardoxolone methyl (CDDO-Me) and dimethyl fumarate (DMF); however, the latter compounds are more active at lower concentrations. In contrast to CDDO-Me that was cytotoxic at concentrations higher than $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$, none of the phenyl ethers showed signs of cytotoxicity in this assay up to a final concentration of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$. This observation was supported by cytotoxicity assays carried out in ARPE19 retinal pigment epithelial cells. ${ }^{33}$ We demonstrated that 11 was not cytotoxic at

Table 2. Comparison of Physicochemical and Pharmaceutical Properties of Compounds 38 and 4

| compd | CHI $\log D 2.0$ | CHI $\log D 7.4$ | CHI $\log D 10.5$ | IAM binding $\log K(\mathrm{IAM})$ | $V_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{kg})$ | $\mathrm{DE}_{\max }(\%)$ | HSA binding (\%) | AGP binding (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 2.75 | 0.57 | 0.61 | 1.64 | 0.01 | 0.94 | $>99.9$ | 20.3 |
| $\mathbf{3 8}$ | 3.15 | 0.85 | 0.88 | 1.83 | 0.09 | 4.55 | 99.1 | 47.7 |

concentrations up to $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Under the same conditions, the corresponding naphthalene bis-sulfonamide 4 showed a small reduction in cell viability ( $70 \%$ cell viability @ $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and sulforaphane had an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $\sim 10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, consistent with its behavior in other cell lines (Table S2). ${ }^{12}$ Based on these data, compound 11 was evaluated using real-time quantitative PCR to confirm the induction of NQO1 that was observed in the enzymatic assays in mouse Hepalclc7 cells. After 16 h of exposure, an increase in the expression of NQO1 was observed (Figure 5A; consistent with the NQO1 enzymatic assay results). The increase in mRNA levels of other Nrf2 target genes including heme oxygenase 1 (Hmox1), glutathione $S$ transferase P (GstP), and glutamate-cysteine ligase catalytic (Gclc) and modulatory (Gclm) subunits (Figure 5B-E) indicated that the effect was not limited to NQO1.
In a proof of principle study, target engagement by compound 11 was further supported by use of the cellular thermal shift assay (CETSA). ${ }^{34}$ Lysates of human promyelocytic leukemia HL-60 cells were incubated with compound 11 for 1 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then subjected to a temperature gradient. Immunoblotting analysis of the protein levels of Keap1 in the soluble fractions after the removal of heat-induced aggregates showed that the thermal stability of Keap1 was increased by the treatment with compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ (Figure S2), indicating that the compound binds to endogenous human Keap1 in the cellular environment.
The activities that we describe are consistent with inhibition of the PPI between Keap1 and Nrf2 and the subsequent upregulation of Nrf2 target genes. However, as with other studies of Keap1 inhibitors, we cannot rule out the possibility that the compounds have other biological activities that we have not determined in our assays.

Physicochemical Properties. Given the promising results obtained from our SAR studies, we sought to further characterize this novel series of Keap1-Nrf2 PPI inhibitors by determining their aqueous solubility and transmembrane permeability. Solubility measurements were carried out at pH 7.4 using the Multiscreen HTS-PCF filter plates (Merck Millipore, MSSLBPC10), while transmembrane permeability was evaluated using the parallel artificial membrane permeation assay (PAMPA). ${ }^{35}$ Generally, the solubility of the series was good and within the range of $250-350 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ (Table S3), which is comparable to the reported solubility values for the naphthalene-containing compound 4. The highest-affinity analogue 11 had an aqueous solubility of $348 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, while modifications on the ether portion of the scaffold did not cause significant changes. On the other hand, bioisosteric replacements of both carboxylate groups of $\mathbf{1 1}$ with nitriles, amides, or 1 H -tetrazoles reduced solubility by more than twofold. As expected, compounds of this chemical series were found to have a relatively low permeability at pH 7.4 due to the presence of the two ionizable carboxylate groups (Table S4). Modifications to the ether moiety of the scaffold had minimal effects on the permeability of the series. Similarly, replacing either carboxylic acid group with a 1 H -tetrazole did not cause major changes in the $\log P e$ and led to a similar degree of passive diffusion. Overall, compound 38 demonstrated the
most promising combination of physicochemical properties among the compounds screened, which could explain its improved cellular activity profile.

These data prompted us to further investigate the potential of 38 as a lead molecule for further optimization by evaluating a series of its physicochemical and pharmaceutical properties using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) methods. ${ }^{36}$ Chromatographic approaches have been widely applied to drug discovery for the determination of lipophilicity and biomimetic properties of preclinical drug candidates. For example, the chromatographic hydrophobicity index (CHI) provides an alternative approach to determine the lipophilicity of compounds that is based on their retention time in reversedphase HPLC columns. CHI values can be converted into the $\log D$ scale $(\mathrm{CHI} \log D)$ to enable comparisons with data obtained using the octanol-water partition coefficient method. Compound 38 demonstrated good lipophilicity in our HPLC measurements that was consistently higher compared to the naphthalene 4 in different pH environments (Table 2).

The improved hydrophobic character of 38 translated into an increased immobilized artificial membrane (IAM) binding, which represents the affinity of ligands for phospholipids and thus relates to permeability through the main physiological barriers. These results are consistent with the improved in vitro binding affinity to cell-based activity ratio of compound 38 relative to 4 (Table 1, Figure 1. We also carried out HPLC biomimetic experiments using stationary phases containing immobilized human serum albumin (HSA) and $\alpha$-glycoprotein (AGP) to predict the binding of the compounds to the respective plasma proteins. Our results suggest that the two molecules have a relatively different plasma protein binding profile. In particular, naphthalene 4 demonstrated a very tight binding to HSA, as indicated by its apparent infinite retention inside the HSA-coated HPLC column, while 38 bound to HSA more weakly. The binding profiles of 38 and 4 to the AGP were also different, with the two compounds showing 47.7 and 20.3\% binding, respectively. Compound 38 demonstrated a more than fourfold improvement in maximum drug efficiency percentage $\left(\mathrm{DE}_{\text {max }}\right)^{37}$ relative to the naphthalene 4 , which predicts an improvement in free plasma concentration. Similarly, the volume of distribution $\left(V_{\mathrm{d}}\right)^{38}$ of 38 is predicted to be larger than that of 4 . Optimizing the physicochemical properties of the compounds is relevant to their cellular permeability, which could be improved and also to the potential utility of the compounds in interventions in neurological conditions where the role of Nrf2 induction has a number of promising applications. ${ }^{1,7,39,40}$

## ■ CONCLUSIONS

In this article we present the design and synthesis of a series of phenyl bis-sulfonamide inhibitors of Keap1. Compound 11 binds to a Keap1 conformation that is comparable to its peptide bound form which distinguishes it from all other Keap1 PPI-inhibitory small molecules to date. The SARs for the compound series (Figure 6) demonstrate the effects of substitution at the newly introduced ether substituent, the carboxylate moieties and the positioning of the sulfonamide


Figure 6. SARs for compounds 10-12, 31-42, 51-52, and 58-61.
moieties. Together with the structural data from compound 11, this suggests that the core structure could be further modified, for example, by changes to the linker length for the acid motifs, exploration of additional carboxylate isosteres, replacement of the benzyloxy substituent with substituted analogues or heterocycles and modifications to the sulfonamide substituents. The current compounds bind to Keapl with mid-nanomolar binding affinity, interact with Keap1 in cells, and increase the expression of Nrf2 target genes. Compound 11 lacked cytotoxic activity in ARPE19 cells. The structural characterization of the unique bound conformation of the ligands and the assessment of their physicochemical properties and liabilities provide a basis for further optimization of this new subtype of the Keap1 inhibitor.

## - EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Chemistry Methods. General. All anhydrous solvents and reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded at ambient temperature in deuterated solvents $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ or DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) on a Bruker ADVANCE 400 spectrometer at 400.13 MHz or Bruker ADVANCE 500 spectrometer at 500 MHz . Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million ( ppm ) downfield from the tetramethylsilane reference ( $\delta=0$ ) using the residual protonated solvent as an internal standard $\left[{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: \delta\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)=7.26 \mathrm{ppm}, \delta\left(\right.$ DMSO- $\left.\left._{6}\right)=2.50 \mathrm{ppm}\right]$. Data for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR are given as follows: chemical shift \{multiplicity, coupling constants [J, given in hertz ( Hz )], integration and assignment $\}$. Multiplicities in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra are quoted as follows: $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, t $=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ double doublet, and ddd $=$ double double doublet. Splitting patterns that could not be interpreted or easily visualized were recorded as multiplets (m) or broad peaks (br).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at an ambient temperature in deuterated solvents $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ or DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) on a Bruker ADVANCE 400 spectrometer at 100.61 MHz or Bruker ADVANCE 500 spectrometer at 125 MHz . Chemical shifts were measured in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane ( $\delta=0$ ) using the following internal references: $\delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)=77.0 \mathrm{ppm}, \delta\left(\right.$ DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right)=39.4 \mathrm{ppm}$.
High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry. High-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) spectra were recorded on a Micromass QTOF Premier Tandem Mass Spectrometer coupled to an HPLC instrument using electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectrometry. Calibration was performed with an internal standard-positive mode: reserpine which gives $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=609.2812$, negative mode: taurocholic acid which gives $m / z[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}=514.2839$.

Analytical TLC. Analytical TLC was performed on pre-coated Merck glass backed silica gel plates (Silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ ) and visualized by exposure to ultraviolet light ( 254 nm ) and/or staining with an appropriate reagent followed by heating if required.

Flash Column Chromatography. Flash column chromatography was carried out on Merck Kieselgel $60(40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ under a positive pressure of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas.
Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry. Liquid chromatog-raphy-mass spectrometry ( $\mathrm{LC}-\mathrm{MS}$ ) spectra were recorded using a

Shimadzu LCMS-2020 equipped with an XTerra MS C18 column $(4.6 \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}, 2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ and a flow rate of $0.6 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The eluent system consisted of eluent A ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with $0.1 \%$ formic acid, HPLC grade) and eluent B ( MeCN with $0.1 \%$ formic acid, HPLC grade) with the following conditions: $0.0-2.5 \mathrm{~min} 90 \% \mathrm{~A} / 10 \% \mathrm{~B}$, then a linear gradient from 2.5 to 5.5 min to a final composition of $5 \% \mathrm{~A} /$ $95 \%$ B that was maintained for a further 2.5 min , and then adjusted to $10 \% \mathrm{~A} / 90 \%$ B over 10.5 min and held for 1.5 min . Total run time $=$ 12 min .
Analytical Reverse-phase HPLC. Analytical reverse-phase HPLC was carried out on an XSELECT CSH C18 column $50 \times 6 \mathrm{~mm}$ (particle size: $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) at a flow rate of $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The eluent system consisted of eluent A ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with $0.1 \%$ TFA, HPLC grade) and eluent B (MeCN with $0.1 \%$ TFA, HPLC grade) with the following gradient conditions: initial fixed composition $5 \%$ B to $50 \%$ B over 20 min , then increased to $95 \%$ B over 2 min , held for 2 min at $95 \%$ B, and then returned to $5 \%$ B in 1 min . Total duration of the gradient run was 25 min .
All compounds that underwent biological testing were $>95 \%$ pure by HPLC analysis.
$N, N^{\prime}$-(2-Methoxy-1,4-phenylene)bis(4-methoxybenzenesulfonamide) 19. To a solution of $13(1.63 \mathrm{~g}, 9.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(220$ $\mathrm{mL}, 4: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ was added $\mathrm{AcOH}(9.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was brought to reflux. $\mathrm{Fe}(2.7 \mathrm{~g}, 48.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ was then added portion-wise and stirring was continued for 1 h . On completion, the reaction was left to cool to rt, diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and basified to $\mathrm{pH} 8-9$ with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and the filtrate was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 80 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$, sat. brine $(3 \times$ 150 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The crude product was sequentially dissolved in DCM ( 20 mL ) and a solution of 4-methoxybenzenesulfonyl chloride ( $4.21 \mathrm{~g}, 20.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in pyridine ( $2.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 29.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction was stirred at rt overnight and following the addition of a small volume of hexane to aid compound precipitation, the crude solid was collected by filtration and washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane 7:3 v/ v) afforded 19 ( $962 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ) as a white powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO): $\delta 10.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.63-6.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO): $\delta 162.4,162.1,153.0,136.6,132.1$, 130.0, 128.9, 128.7, 126.4, 121.2, 114.2, 113.7, 111.5, 103.5, 55.6, 55.5, 55.2.
$N, N^{\prime}$-(2-Ethoxy-1,4-phenylene)bis(4-methoxybenzenesulfonamide) 20. Prepared analogously to compound 19 from 14 ( 0.644 g , 3.54 mmol ) and 4-methoxybenzenesulfonyl chloride ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 7.44$ mmol ). Flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane $7: 3 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) afforded 20 $(854 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \%)$ as a white powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 10.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.63-6.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.04(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ (ppm) 162.4, 162.1, 152.1, 136.6, 132.1, 130.7, 128.9, 128.6, 126.4, 121.2, 114.1, 113.7, 111.3, 104.1, 63.0, 55.3, 55.2, 13.8.
$N, N^{\prime}$-(2-Butoxy-1,4-phenylene)bis(4-methoxybenzenesulfonamide) 21. Prepared analogously to compound 19 from 15 ( 0.609 g , 2.90 mmol ) and 4-methoxybenzenesulfonyl chloride ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 6.38$ mmol ). Flash chromatography (DCM 100\%) afforded 21 ( 952 mg , $63 \%$ ) as a white powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7$, $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.59-6.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.83$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 162.4$, 162.2, 152.3, 136.6, 132.6, 130.9, 129.0, 128.6, 126.7, 121.2, 114.3, 113.8, 111.3, 104.6, 67.1, 55.6, 55.1, 30.1, 18.8, 13.7.
$N, N^{\prime}$-[2-(Benzyloxy)-1,4-phenylene]bis(4-methoxybenzenesulfonamide) 22. Prepared analogously to compound 19 from 16 ( 0.498 $\mathrm{g}, 2.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-methoxybenzenesulfonyl chloride ( $885 \mathrm{mg}, 4.28$ mmol ). Flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) afforded 22
( $656 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ) as a white powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 10.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47$ (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.35-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.67 (d, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ (ppm) 162.3, 162.1, 151.9, 136.6, 136.3, 132.2, 130.8, 128.9, 128.6, $128.5,127.3,126.9,126.8,121.2,114.2,113.8,111.6,104.5,69.2$, 55.6, 55.5.

N,N'-(2-Phenoxy-1,4-phenylene)bis(4-methoxybenzenesulfonamide) 23. Prepared analogously to compound 19 from 17 ( 0.600 g , 2.61 mmol ) and 4-methoxybenzenesulfonyl chloride ( $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 5.48$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. Recrystallization from acetone $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ afforded $23(615 \mathrm{mg}$, $44 \%$ ) as white crystals. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ (ppm) $10.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.93-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45-6.40(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 162.5,162.3,155.2,150.5,136.3$, 131.1, 130.4, 129.6, 128.0.
$N, N^{\prime}$-([1, 1'-Biphenyl]-2,5-diyl)bis(4-methoxybenzenesulfonamide) 24. Prepared analogously to compound 19 from 18 ( 1.300 g , 5.84 mmol ) and 4-methoxybenzenesulfonyl chloride ( $2.53 \mathrm{~g}, 12.26$ mmol ). Flash chromatography (DCM 100\%) afforded 24 ( 2.3 g , $75 \%$ ) as an off-white fluffy powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 10.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.28$ (m, 5H), 7.11-7.03 (m, 4H), 7.01 (dd, $J=8.7,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-$ $6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 162.5,162.1,139.9,138.1,136.4,132.1,130.8$, 128.9, 128.8, 128.7, 128.4, 127.9, 127.2, 121.8, 119.1, 114.4, 114.0, 55.6, 55.5. LC-MS $m / z$ (ESI): $523.00[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.50 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: >95\%.
Diethyl 2,2'-((2-Methoxy-1,4-phenylene)bis(((4-methoxyphenyl))sulfonyl)azanediyl))diacetate 25. To a solution of $19(847 \mathrm{mg}, 1.77$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(739 \mathrm{mg}, 5.31 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ethyl bromoacetate ( $490 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h . The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (30 mL ) and acidified with 1 N HCl to pH 5 . The resulting precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and dried in a vacuum desiccator overnight. Flash chromatography (EtOAc/hexane 6:4 v/v) afforded 25 ( $765 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO): $\delta 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.30(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.13-4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.07(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta$ 168.8, 168.6, 162.9, 162.6, 155.4, 141.0, 132.9, 130.9, 129.7, 129.6, 129.3, 125.4, 119.2, 114.3, 113.9, 111.2, 60.9, 60.7, 55.7, 55.6, 55.2, 51.9, 50.8, 13.9.

Diethyl 2,2'-((2-Ethoxy-1,4-phenylene)bis(((4-methoxyphenyl))sulfonyl)azanediyl))diacetate 26. Prepared analogously to compound 25 from $20(500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ethyl bromoacetate ( 280 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 2.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Flash chromatography (EtOAc/hexane $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) afforded $26(523 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%)$ as a white powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5$, $2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.29-4.23$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.16-4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 168.9, 168.6, 162.8, 162.6, 154.6, 140.5, 133.2, 131.2, 129.3, 129.2, 129.0, 125.9, 118.3, 114.3, 113.8, 111.7, 63.2, 60.2, 60.1, 55.6, 55.4, 51.4, 50.5, 13.9, 13.1.

Diethyl 2,2'-((2-Butoxy-1,4-phenylene)bis(((4-methoxyphenyl))sulfonyl)azanediyl))diacetate 27. Prepared analogously to compound 25 from $21(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ethyl bromoacetate ( 80 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Flash chromatography (DCM 100\%) afforded 27 $(143 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%)$ as a white powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79$ (dd, $J=8.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.27$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.07(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{q}, J$
$=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.18-1.09(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 168.9,168.8,162.8,162.7$, 154.5, 140.9, 133.6, 131.1, 129.7, 129.2, 125.3, 118.9, 114.3, 114.0, 111.7, 63.3, 60.8, 60.7, 55.8, 55.7, 51.9, 50.6, 13.7, 13.5.

Diethyl 2,2'-((2-(Benzyloxy)-1,4-phenylene)bis(((4methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)azanediyl))diacetate 28. Prepared analogously to compound 25 from 22 ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ethyl bromoacetate ( $55 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Flash chromatography (EtOAc/ hexane $4: 6 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) afforded $28(121 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.76$ (m, $4 \mathrm{H}), 4.67-4.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.13-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.07(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 168.9,168.8,162.9,162.2$, 154.6, 140.1, 135.4, 133.7, 130.8, 129.3, 129.1, 128.2, 127.2, 126.7, $125.8,119.8,114.7,113.9,112.1,69.5,60.9,60.7,55.7,55.2,51.3$, 50.6, 13.9.

Diethyl 2,2'-((2-Phenoxy-1,4-phenylene)bis(((4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)azanediyl))diacetate 29. Prepared analogously to compound 25 from $23(450 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ethyl bromoacetate ( 180 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 1.62 \mathrm{mmol})$. Recrystallization from EtOAc afforded 29 ( 450 mg , $76 \%$ ) as white crystals. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-4.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.13(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 168.7,168.4,162.7,162.6,153.9,153.6,140.6$, 133.5, 130.8, 129.7, 129.6, 129.4, 129.3, 126.7, 124.5, 120.9, 119.3, 115.0, 114.3, 114.1, 60.9, 60.7, 55.7, 55.6, 51.7, 51.2, 13.9, 13.8.

Diethyl 2,2'-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-2,5-diylbis(((4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)azanediyl))diacetate 30. Prepared analogously to compound 25 from 24 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ethyl bromoacetate ( 260 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 2.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Flash chromatography (EtOAc/hexane 4:6 v/v) afforded $30(580 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $4.53(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 168.6, 168.1, 162.9, $162.8,141.3,139.5,137.7,135.9,130.7,130.6,129.8,129.7,129.6$, 129.5, 128.5, 128.1, 127.7, 126.8, 114.4, 114.2, 60.9, 60.7, 55.7, 51.9, 51.8, 13.9, 13.7. LC-MS $m / z(E S I): 698.15[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=7.47 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: >95\%.

2,2'-((2-Methoxy-1,4-phenylene)bis(((4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)azanediyl))diacetic Acid 10. To a solution of 25 ( 505 mg , 0.78 mmol ) in THF/ $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL}, 1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) was added 1 N NaOH $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction was stirred at rt for 2 h . On completion, the reaction was acidified with 1 N HCl to $\mathrm{pH} 1-2$ and the resulting precipitate was collected by filtration, washed thoroughly with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and dried in a vacuum desiccator overnight to afford $10(142 \mathrm{mg}$, 29\%) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO): $\delta 12.82$ (br, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61$ (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49$ (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81$ (dd, $J=8.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO): $\delta$ 170.3, 170.0., 162.8, 162.5, 155.3, 141.0, 133.1, 131.2, 129.8, 129.6, $129.2,125.4,119.1,114.3,113.9,111.0,55.7,55.7,55.2,51.8$, 50.7. ${ }^{14,24}$

2,2'-((2-Ethoxy-1,4-phenylene)bis(((4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)azanediyl))diacetic Acid 31. Prepared analogously to compound 10 from 26 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Flash chromatography (DCM/ EtOAc/formic acid 90:9:1 v/v/v) afforded $31(149 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 13.32-12.22 (br, 2 H$), 7.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51-4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.31-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 170.7, 170.0, $162.7,162.5,154.9,140.1,133.8,131.6,129.8,129.4,129.3,125.2$, 118.8, 114.1, 113.9, 111.4, 63.2, 55.7, 55.6, 51.8, 50.5, 30.6, 22.7, 13.7,
13.6. LC-MS $m / z$ (ESI): $1214.05[2 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=5.76 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$. HRMS (ESI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, 607.1057$; found, 607.1032. HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=8.44 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$.

2,2'-((2-Butoxy-1,4-phenylene)bis(((4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)azanediyl))diacetic Acid 32. Prepared analogously to compound 10 from 27 ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Flash chromatography (DCM/EtOAc/ formic acid 80:19:1 v/v/v) afforded $32(40 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.32-12.75$ (br, 2H), $7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.43-3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23-1.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.86-0.68(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 170.2,169.9,162.7,162.3$, 154.1, 140.8, 133.6, 131.5, 129.4, 129.3, 129.2, 125.2, 119.1, 114.2, 113.9, 111.5, 111.4, 55.7, 55.6, 29.9, 18.3, 13.4. LC-MS m/z (ESI): $635.00[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.19 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$. HRMS (ESI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$, 635.1369; found, 635.1350. HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=$ 9.28 min, purity: $>95 \%$.

2,2'-((2-(Benzyloxy)-1,4-phenylene)bis(((4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)azanediyl))diacetic Acid 11. Prepared analogously to compound 10 from $28(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude product was re-suspended in EtOAc and filtered to afford 11 ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.07-12.30$ (br, 2H), $7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-$ $7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 170.3,170.0,162.8,162.4,154.4$, 140.9, 135.8, 133.3, 131.2, 129.8, 129.7, 129.0, 128.2, 127.7, 126.8, $125.6,119.3,114.3,113.9,111.9,69.5,55.7,55.5,51.8,50.5$. LC-MS $m / z(\mathrm{ESI}): 669.20[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.17 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$. HRMS (ESI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, 669.1213$; found, 669.1183. HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=9.46 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$.

2,2'-((2-Phenoxy-1,4-phenylene)bis(((4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)azanediyl))diacetic Acid 33. Prepared analogously to compound 10 from $29(190 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude product was recrystallized from $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to afford $33(130 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%)$ as white crystals. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 12.92-$ 12.75 (br, 2 H ), $7.60-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.23$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=10.1,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-4.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ (ppm) 170.2, 169.2, 162.7, 162.4, 154.0, 153.2, 140.0, 133.7, 131.0, 129.7, 129.5, 129.3, 129.2, 126.2, 124.4, 120.1, 119.2, 115.0, 114.3, 114.1, 55.7, 55.6, 51.6, 51.2. LC-MS m/z (ESI): 1310.65 [ $2 \mathrm{M}-$ $\mathrm{H}]^{-}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.10 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$. HRMS (ESI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 655.1057; found, 655.1057. HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=$ 9.20 min, purity: $>95 \%$.

2,2'-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-2,5-diylbis(((4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)azanediyl))diacetic Acid 34. Prepared analogously to compound 10 from $30(170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ formic acid $\left.80: 19: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)$ to afford 34 ( 105 mg , 68\%) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.15-12.43(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 169.9,169.4,162.8,162.6,141.2,139.9,137.3$, $135.8,131.3,130.7,129.8,129.6,129.5,128.4,128.1,127.6,126.4$, 114.4, 114.2, 55.3, 55.1, 51.5. HRMS (ESI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 641.1263$; found, 641.1260. LC-MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (ESI): 693.05 $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.50 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$. HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=9.17 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$.

Diethyl 2,2'-((2-Hydroxy-1,4-phenylene)bis(((4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)azanediyl))diacetate 35. To a solution of 28 ( $2.9 \mathrm{~g}, 4.36$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and Pd/C ( $5 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 600 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in EtOAc/EtOH ( $50 \mathrm{~mL}, 9: 1 \mathrm{v} /$ v) in a sealed flask was added TES ( $7.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 46.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise over a period of 1 h and stirring was continued for an additional 1 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite, diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined
organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. brine (3 $\times 150 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the phenol $35(1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 61 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.62(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-6.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.16(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ (ppm) 172.2, 168.8, 163.6, 163.5, 156.5, 142.6, 130.4, 130.3, 130.2, 130.1, 129.6, 125.1, 120.0, 116.1, 114.8, 114.6, 62.6, 61.8, 55.6, 55.5, 53.2, 52.2, 14.7, 14.6.

2,2'-((2-Propoxy-1,4-phenylene)bis(((4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)azanediyl))diacetic Acid 36. To a solution of $35(400 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.63 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(287 \mathrm{mg}, 2.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 1 -iodopropane ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the resulting suspension was stirred at reflux for 16 h . On completion, the reaction mixture was cooled to rt , diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. brine $(3 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The crude product was dissolved in THF/ $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL}$, $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ and a solution of $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(6.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The reaction was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and was then cooled to rt . The reaction mixture was acidified with 1 N HCl to $\mathrm{pH} 1-2$ and the resulting precipitate was filtered, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{DCM}$, and EtOAc, and dried in vacuo to afford $36(160 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 13.15-12.66 (br, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.19$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.72(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 170.3,170.0$, $162.8,162.5,154.5,140.8,133.3,131.3,129.8,129.6,129.0,125.2$, 119.0, 114.2, 113.9, 111.5, 69.0, 55.7, 55.6, 51.8, 50.4, 21.3, 10.0. LCMS $m / z(\mathrm{ESI}): 1242.00[2 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=5.96 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$. HRMS (ESI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, 621.1213$; found, 621.1186. HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=9.01 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$.

2,2'-((2-Isopropoxy-1,4-phenylene)bis(((4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)azanediyl))diacetic Acid 37. Prepared analogously to compound 36 from 35 ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-bromopropane ( $63 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude product was re-suspended in boiling EtOAc and filtered to afford $37(193 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.03-12.59(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.3,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{dq}$, $J=11.6,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 170.4,170.0,162.7,162.5$, 153.0, 140.5, 133.5, 131.5, 129.8, 129.4, 129.1, 125.4, 118.6, 114.3, 114.0, 112.0, 69.2, 55.6, 55.6, 51.8, 50.4, 20.8. LC-MS $m / z$ (ESI): $1242.10[2 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=5.88 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$. HRMS (ESI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$, 621.1213; found, 621.1202. HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ $=9.32 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$.

2,2'-((2-((3-Methoxybenzyl)oxy)-1,4-phenylene)bis(((4methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)azanediyl))diacetic Acid 38. Prepared analogously to compound 36 from $35(176 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 3methoxybenzyl bromide ( $45 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude product was re-suspended in boiling MeOH and filtered to afford 38 ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \%$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 12.96-$ $12.59(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 170.3, 169.9, 162.8, 162.4, 159.2, 154.4, 140.9, 137.4, 133.2, 131.1, 129.8, 129.7, 129.3, 129.0, 125.6, 119.2, 118.8, 114.3, 113.9, 113.3, 112.2, 111.8, 69.3, 55.7, 55.4, 54.9, 51.8, 50.6. LC-MS m/z (ESI): $1399.10[2 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.20 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$. HRMS (ESI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$, 699.1318; found, 699.1282. HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ $=9.34 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$.

2,2'-((2-Hydroxy-1,4-phenylene)bis(((4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)azanediyl))diacetic Acid 39. Prepared analogously to compound 10 from $35(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude product was re-suspended in boiling EtOAc and filtered to afford 39 ( 65 mg , $71 \%$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ (ppm) $13.35-12.86(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.67$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 170.9,169.9,162.7,162.4,154.2,140.6,132.5,131.3,130.0$, 129.5, 129.3, 124.1, 116.6, 115.1, 114.3, 113.9, 55.7, 55.6, 51.8, 50.6. HRMS (ESI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 579.0743$; found, 579.0715 . HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=8.12 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$.

2,2'-((2-(Benzyloxy)-1,4-phenylene)bis(((4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)azanediyl))diacetamide 40. To a solution of 11 ( 150 mg , $0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 4 mL ) were added successively dry pyridine ( $39 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(155 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction mixture was stirred under Ar for 19 h at rt . On completion, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the resulting precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and dried in vacuo to give $40(110 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.60-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-$ $7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.26(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.19$ (br, 1H), $7.17-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.83$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 169.6,168.9,162.3,162.1,154.4,141.2,135.1$, 132.9, 130.4, 129.7, 129.2, 128.2, 127.7, 126.4, 126.1, 119.1, 114.2, 113.3, 112.5, 69.6, 55.7, 55.4, 52.8, 52.1. HRMS (ESI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$, 667.1533; found, 667.1513. HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=$ 8.37 min , purity: >95\%.

N,N'-[2-(Benzyloxy)-1,4-phenylene]bis[N-(cyanomethyl)-4-methoxybenzenesulfonamide] 41. Prepared analogously to compound 25 from $22(400 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ and bromoacetonitrile ( $130 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 1.80 mmol ). Flash chromatography (EtOAc/hexane $4: 6 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) afforded $41(270 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%)$ as an off-white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.61-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.09$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.79(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.62-4.51(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 164.1, 163.6, 155.8, 140.9, 135.0, 133.3, 130.3, 130.2, 129.8, 128.8, 128.6, 128.3, 127.6, 126.3, 119.4, 115.1, 114.9, 114.5, 114.3, 113.8, 70.9, 55.8, 55.7, 39.2, 38.2. LC-MS $m / z$ (ESI): $634.65[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, t_{\mathrm{R}}$ $=7.11 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$. HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=11.13 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$.
$N$-((1H-Tetrazol-5-yl)methyl)- N -(4-((N-((1H-tetrazol-5-yl)methyl)) 4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonamido)-2-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-4-methoxybenzenesulfonamide 42. To a solution of $41(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 5 mL ) were added $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}(105 \mathrm{mg}, 1.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 1.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . On completion, the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, sat. brine $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (DCM/EtOAc/formic acid 80:19:1 v/v) to afford 42 ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-$ $6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.77 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 163.1, 162.7, 154.7, 140.3, 135.5, 133.1, 129.8, 129.1, 128.2, 127.8, 126.9, 125.4, 120.1, 114.4, 114.1, 113.0, 69.8, 55.8, 55.5. LC-MS $m / z$ (ESI): $717.70[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{-H}]^{-}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=5.68 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$. HRMS (ESI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 719.1819; found, 719.1812. HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ $=9.30 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$.

Ethyl 2-\{N-[2-(Benzyloxy)-4-nitrophenyl]-4methoxyphenylsulfonamido\}acetate 43. To a solution of 16 (10.0 $\mathrm{g}, 29.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 4-methoxybenzenesulfonyl chloride ( $6.6 \mathrm{~g}, 31.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMAP ( $355 \mathrm{mg}, 2.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and pyridine ( $5.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 63.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction was stirred for

24 h at rt . On completion, the reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (100 mL ) and acidified to $\mathrm{pH} 2-3$ with 1 N HCl . The organic layer was isolated and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM $(3 \times 100$ mL ). The combined organic portions were washed with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(3$ $\times 200 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$, and sat. brine $(3 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The crude product was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and used in the next step without further purification.

To a solution of the crude product in DMF ( 10 mL ) was added ethyl bromoacetate ( $4.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 36.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 43.55$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction was stirred for 8 h at rt . On completion, the reaction mixture was acidified with 1 N HCl to $\mathrm{pH} 4-5$ and the resulting precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and MeOH , and dried in vacuo to afford the ester $43(7.6 \mathrm{~g}$, $52 \%$ ) as an off-white powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ (ppm) 7.96-7.86 (m, 2H), $7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ (ppm) 168.6, 162.7, 154.9, 147.7, 135.5, 134.0, 132.9, 130.6, 129.1, 128.2, 127.8, 127.0, 115.5, 114.2, 108.2, 70.2, 60.8, 55.5, 50.2, 13.9.

N -[2-(Benzyloxy)-4-nitrophenyl]-N-(cyanomethyl)-4-methoxybenzenesulfonamide 44. Prepared analogously to compound 43 from 16 ( $7.5 \mathrm{~g}, 21.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and bromoacetonitrile ( $1.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 27.28$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The crude product was crystallized from $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane to afford 44 ( $4.2 \mathrm{~g}, 43 \%$ ) as off-white crystals. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.96-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-$ $7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 163.1,155.3,148.3,135.3,132.7,132.0,129.5$, 129.4, 128.3, 127.9, 127.2, 116.5, 115.8, 114.4, 108.5, 70.5, 55.6, 38.1.

Ethyl 2-\{N-[2-(Benzyloxy)-4-(4-methoxyphenylsulfonamido)-phenyl]-4-methoxyphenylsulfonamido\}acetate 45. Prepared analogously to compound 19 from $43(3.2 \mathrm{~g}, 6.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $4-$ methoxybenzenesulfonyl chloride ( $1.45 \mathrm{~g}, 7.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) afforded $45(2.8 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 10.36(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.28$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ (ppm) 168.9, 162.5, 162.4, 154.9, 139.3, 136.0, 133.6, 131.3, 131.0, 129.5, 129.0, 128.2, 127.6, 126.7, 121.9, 114.4, 113.9, 110.3, 103.5, 69.2, 60.6, 55.6, 55.5, 50.8, 13.9.
$N$-\{2-(Benzyloxy)-4-[(4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonamido]phenyl\}-N-(cyanomethyl)-4-methoxybenzenesulfonamide 46. Prepared analogously to compound 19 from $44(3.8 \mathrm{~g}, 8.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4 methoxybenzenesulfonyl chloride ( $1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 9.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Flash chromatography (EtOAc/hexane 3:7 v/v) afforded $46(2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 46 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 10.46(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67$ (dd, $J=6.9,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.36-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-6.98(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 8.5, $2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 162.8,162.5,155.3$, 140.1, 135.9, 132.3, 131.0, 129.9, 129.3, 128.9, 128.2, 127.7, 127.0, 120.9, 116.8, 114.4, 114.2, 110.5, 103.6, 69.4, 55.6, 55.5, 38.6.

Ethyl 2-(N-(2-(Benzyloxy)-4-(N-(cyanomethyl)-4-methoxyphenylsulfonamido)phenyl)-4-methoxyphenylsulfonamido) Acetate 47. Prepared analogously to compound 25 from 45 ( 1.2 $\mathrm{g}, 1.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and bromoacetonitrile ( $163 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Flash chromatography (EtOAc/hexane $4: 6 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) afforded $47(800 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.65-7.59$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.06(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.06(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 168.8,163.3$, 162.5, 154.7, 139.5, 135.6, 133.5, 130.8, 129.9, 129.0, 128.2, 128.1,
127.7, 126.8, 126.7, 119.2, 116.2, 114.6, 114.0, 112.4, 69.6, 60.7, 55.8, 55.5, 50.5, 13.9.

Ethyl N-(3-(Benzyloxy)-4-((N-(cyanomethyl)-4-methoxyphenyl)-sulfonamido)phenyl)- N -((4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)glycinate 48. Prepared analogously to compound 25 from 46 ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 3.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ethyl bromoacetate $(420 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.79 \mathrm{mmol})$. Flash chromatography (EtOAc/hexane 3:7 v/v) afforded 48 ( $1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \%$ ) as an off-white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.22(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14$ (dd, $J=6.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-$ $6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.77-4.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 168.5,162.9,154.8,141.6,135.7$, 131.8, 129.7, 129.6, 129.4, 128.2, 127.7, 127.0, 124.7, 119.4, 116.8, 114.4, 114.2, 112.3, 69.8, 60.9, 55.7, 55.6, 51.8, 38.4, 13.9.

Ethyl 2-(N-(4-(N-((1H-Tetrazol-5-yl)methyl)-4-methoxyphenylsulfonamido) - 2-(benzyloxy) phenyl) - 4methoxyphenylsulfonamido)acetate 49. To a solution of 47 (800 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}(195 \mathrm{mg}, 3.01$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(204 \mathrm{mg}, 3.81 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction was stirred at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . On completion, the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. brine $(3 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The crude product was re-suspended in boiling MeOH and filtered to afford $49(612 \mathrm{mg}$, $72 \%$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.89-$ $13.35(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.27$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-6.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ (ppm) 169.5, 164.0, 163.0, 155.3, 140.5, 135.4, 134.7, 131.4, 130.2, $129.5,128.5,128.2,128.0,127.4,127.1,119.2,114.7,114.0,113.9$, 70.4, 61.5, 55.9, 55.6, 50.9, 45.2, 14.2.

Ethyl N -(4-((N-((1H-Tetrazol-5-yl)methyl)-4-methoxyphenyl)-sulfonamido)-3-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-N-((4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)glycinate 50. Prepared analogously to compound 49 from 48 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}(120 \mathrm{mg}, 1.85 \mathrm{mmol})$. Flash chromatography (DCM/EtOAc/formic acid 90:9:1 v/v/v) afforded $50(219 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.55-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.29(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.76$ (dd, $J=6.2,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 168.5$, $162.8,162.7,154.7,141.1,135.7,133.1,130.2,129.6,129.3,129.2$, $128.2,127.7,126.8,124.8,119.3,114.3,114.1,112.1,69.7,60.9,55.7$, 55.5, 51.8, 42.7, 13.9.

N-(4-((N-((1H-Tetrazol-5-yl)methyl)-4-methoxyphenyl)-sulfonamido)-2-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-N-((4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)glycine 51. Prepared analogously to compound 10 from 49 ( $560 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane/formic acid 39:60:1 v/v/v) afforded $51(400 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.22-12.25(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.06-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.20 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.86 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.75 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 170.3,163.1,162.4,154.4,139.9,135.7,133.3$, 130.7, 129.4, 128.2, 128.1, 127.7, 126.1, 126.5, 120.3, 114.5, 113.9, 112.9, 69.6, 55.8, 55.5, 50.5, 44.3. HRMS (ESI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$, 693.1437; found, 693.1402. HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=$ 12.16 min, purity: $>95 \%$.

N-(4-((N-((1H-Tetrazol-5-yl)methyl)-4-methoxyphenyl)-sulfonamido)-3-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-N-((4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)glycine 52. Prepared analogously to compound 10 from 50 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Flash chromatography (DCM/EtOAc/formic acid 90:9:1 v/v/v) afforded $52(39 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.05-12.60(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-$ $7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-6.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~s}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 169.9,162.8,162.6,154.7,141.2$, 135.5, 133.1, 129.6, 129.4, 129.2, 128.2, 127.7, 126.9, 124.7, 119.2, 114.3, 114.1, 112.0, 69.7, 55.7, 55.5, 51.6, 42.8. HRMS (ESI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 693.1437; found, 693.1421. HPLC: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=$ 9.35 min, purity: $>95 \%$.

3,5-Bis[(4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonamido]benzoic Acid 54. To a solution of 3,5 -diaminobenzoic acid $53(1.0 \mathrm{~g} ; 6.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added 4-methoxybenzenesulfonyl chloride ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 14.52$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, pyridine ( $1.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DMAP ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.66$ mmol ), and the reaction was stirred at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. On completion, the reaction was cooled to rt and diluted with EtOAc $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and sat. brine $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure to afford $54(1.73 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \%)$ as a white solid. LC-MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (ESI): 490.95 [M -$\mathrm{H}]^{-}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=5.36 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$.

3,5-Bis[(4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonamido]-N-phenylbenzamide 55. To a solution of $54(250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added aniline ( $55 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{EDCI} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(245 \mathrm{mg}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol})$, and DMAP ( $125 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt overnight. On completion, the solution was diluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3$ $\times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and sat. brine $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure to afford $55(179 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%)$ as a white solid. LC-MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (ESI): $566.05\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}^{-}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.19 \mathrm{~min}\right.$, purity: $>95 \%$.

N-Ethyl-3,5-bis[(4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonamido]benzamide 56. Prepared analogously to 55 from $54(250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol})$, ethylamine hydrochloride ( $83 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), EDCI• $\mathrm{HCl}(245$ $\mathrm{mg}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol})$, and DMAP $(125 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford 56 as a white solid ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ). LC-MS m/z (ESI): $519.00[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, t_{\mathrm{R}}$ $=5.43 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$.

N-Benzyl-3,5-bis[(4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonamido]benzamide 57. Prepared analogously to 55 from $54(250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol})$, benzylamine ( $110 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), EDCI $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(245 \mathrm{mg}, 1.28$ mmol), and DMAP ( $125 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to afford 57 as a white solid ( $255 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ). LC-MS m/z (ESI): $580.05[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.10 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$.

2,2'-((5-(Phenylcarbamoyl)-1,3-phenylene)bis(((4methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)azanediyl))diacetic Acid 58. To a solution of $55(180 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(133$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ethyl bromoacetate $(90 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.79 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt overnight. On completion, the solution was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, acidified to pH 5 with 2 M HCl , and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(4 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. brine $(3 \times 50$ mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure to afford the ester ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ) as a white solid that was used in the next step without further purification.

To a solution of the crude product ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF/ $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL}, 1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.97$ mmol) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the reaction mixture stirred at rt overnight. On completion, the reaction was acidified with 2 M HCl to pH 2 2, diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(4 \times 50$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 50$ $\mathrm{mL})$, sat. brine $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure to afford $58(148 \mathrm{mg}$, $83 \%$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta$ (ppm) $13.03-12.60(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 10.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57$ (dd, $J=8.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.18(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 4.27 (s, 4H), $3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 169.6, 163.5, 162.8, 140.5, 138.6, 135.6, 129.6, 129.5, 128.6, 125.4, 124.1, 120.8, 114.3, 55.5, 51.7, 15.2. HRMS (ESI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, 682.1165$; found, 682.1170. LC-MS $m / z(\mathrm{ESI}): 682.00[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.04 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$.

2, 2'-((5-(Ethylcarbamoyl)-1,3-phenylene)bis(((4methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)azanediyl))diacetic Acid 12. Prepared analogously to 58 from $56(155 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford 12 as a
white solid ( $113 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.51-12.27(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.47(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.07-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 1.09 (t, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO): $\delta$ (ppm) 169.5, 164.0, 162.8, 140.3, 135.5, 129.5, 129.4, 128.0, 125.1, 114.3, 55.6, 51.6, 34.0, 14.6. HRMS (ESI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$, 634.1165; found, 634.1162. LC-MS $m / z$ (ESI): 633.90 $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=5.36 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$.

2,2'-((5-(Benzylcarbamoyl)-1,3-phenylene)bis(((4methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)azanediyl))diacetic Acid 59. Prepared analogously to 58 from $57(225 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford 59 as a white solid ( $185 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 12.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.02(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.57-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25$ (ddd, $J=$ $6.2,5.3,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 169.6,162.8,162.8,140.5,139.2,129.5,129.4$, 128.3, 127.1, 126.8, 125.2, 114.4, 55.7, 51.5, 42.3. HRMS (ESI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$, 696.1322; found, 696.1303. LC-MS $m / z\left(\right.$ ESI): $696.00[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=5.97 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$.

2, 2'-((5-(Benzylcarbamoyl)-1,3-phenylene)bis(((4methoxyphenyl)sulfonyl)azanediyl))diacetamide 60. Compound $57(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in DMF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a roundbottomed flask. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.178 \mathrm{~g}, 3$ equiv) was added with stirring for 3 min , followed by 2 -bromoacetamide ( $0.148 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5$ equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at rt and monitored by TLC. The solution was diluted in water $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and adjusted to pH 5 with 2 M HCl , then extracted with EtOAc $(4 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ then with brine $(1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the solvent was removed under vacuum. The residue was suspended in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then the solvent evaporated under vacuum. The residue was stirred with hexane ( 5 mL ) overnight. The hexane was decanted carefully and the resulting solid $\mathbf{6 0}(0.278 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%)$ was dried under vacuum. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.96(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.29$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.45$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 168.6,164.4,162.9,140.5,139.2,135.0,129.7$, 128.9, 128.3, 127.2, 126.8, 125.6, 114.4, 55.7, 42.6. HRMS (ESI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$, 694.1641; found, 694.1633. LCMS $m / z(\mathrm{ESI}): 694.00[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=5.57 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$.
$N$-Benzyl-3,5-bis\{[N-(cyanomethyl)-4-methoxyphenyl]sulfonamido\}benzamide 61. Prepared analogously to $\mathbf{6 0}$ from 57 $(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ and bromoacetonitrile ( $0.128 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5$ equiv) to afford 61 as an off-white solid $(0.221 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 9.14(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.60-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.1,4.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.23$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $4.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 163.8,163.4,139.5,139.1,129.8,128.3,127.8$, 127.3, 126.6, 114.8, 55.8, 42.7. HRMS (ESI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cl}]^{-}$, 694.1197; found, 694.1174. LC-MS $\mathrm{m} / z$ (ESI): 658.15 $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.78 \mathrm{~min}$, purity: $>95 \%$.
Molecular Docking. The Keap1 Kelch domain protein coordinates were obtained from the PDB (ref: 4 IQK ); the ligand, water molecules, and ions were removed from the structure file and the protein structure was parameterized and saved in pdbqt format using AutoDockTools. The small molecules were prepared in 2D format using ChemDraw and then converted into 3D coordinates using Chem3D (ChemOffice 19, PerkinElmer). The ligands were energy minimized using the MM2, MMFF94, and Mopac (PM7) protocols with default parameters and then saved as mol2 files. The mol2 files were converted into pdbqt files using openbabel 2.3.2. ${ }^{41}$ The compounds were docked to the Keap1 Kelch domain using quina $2.1^{42}$ with default parameters and an exhaustiveness setting of 10 . The best docked conformations were saved and analyzed in UCSF Chimera $1.14{ }^{43}$ Images of the docked structures and protein conformers were prepared in UCSF Chimera, LigPlot Plus, ${ }^{44}$ and

Pymol (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 2.0 Schrödinger, LLC).

Sub-Cloning, Expression, and Purification of the Keap1 Kelch Domain. The coding sequence for the Keap1 Kelch domain was sub-cloned into a modified $\mathrm{pEt15b}$ vector with a His-SUMO tag and Ulp1 cleavage site. The plasmid was transformed into Escherichia coli BL21 CodonPlus cells (Novagen) and grown in 6 L of the Terrific Broth (TB) medium at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, supplemented with $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ampicillin, to an $A_{600}$ of 1.0 and induced for 24 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with 0.5 mM isopropyl $\beta$-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG; Melford). Cells were harvested by spinning them down in a Beckman centrifuge using a JLA 16.25 rotor at 6000 rpm for 20 min . Protein purification was carried out in three steps. The cells were initially resuspended in lysis buffer ( 50 mM HEPES, $\mathrm{pH} 7.4,300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$ and 10 mM imidazole) and sonicated at $16 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ amplitude for 10 cycles of 30 s ON and 45 s OFF. The lysate was then cleared by centrifugation at 20,000 rpm at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a JA 25.5 rotor. To perform the first affinity chromatography, the lysate was loaded onto a 5 mL His-Trap column (GE Healthcare, UK) pre-equilibrated with lysis buffer, washed for 50 column volumes of wash buffer (lysis buffer supplemented with 50 mM imidazole), and eluted with elution buffer (lysis buffer supplemented with 250 mM imidazole). The purified protein was pooled and cleaved overnight with UlP1 protease supplemented with 3 mM DTT. Simultaneous with the cleavage, the protein was also dialyzed against buffer containing 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.4 , and 300 mM NaCl . Thereafter, the protein was passed through the His-Trap column for the second time using the same buffers as before. The cleaved protein without the His-SUMO tag was captured in the flow, which was then pooled and passed through a $16 / 60$ Superdex 200 pg size exclusion column with a buffer containing 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5 , and 200 mM NaCl to further purify Keap1. Lastly, the protein was then pooled and concentrated to $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ using an Amicon ultraconcentration device (Millipore), aliquoted, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for subsequent use.
FP Assays. The FP assays were carried out according to previously described methods. ${ }^{8,9,12,45}$ Briefly, varying concentrations of the small molecule inhibitor dissolved in DMSO were plated into untreated Corning black 96 well plates containing a solution of the Keap1 Kelch domain ( 200 nM final concentration) and the fluorescent peptide FITC $\beta$-DEETGEF-OH ( 1 nM final concentration) in Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS) at pH 7.4 ( $11 \%$ final DMSO concentration, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ final volume). Following incubation under slow agitation ( 30 min at RT in the absence of light), the plates were transferred to a PHERAstar microplate reader and the FP was recorded. All measurements were recorded in triplicate. The data were normalized to the control and then fitted to a standard four-parameter logistic function using Origin Pro software.

DSF Assays. Solutions of the Keap1 Kelch domain protein ( $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ final concentration) and the small molecule inhibitor ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ final concentration) were prepared in DPBS at pH 7.4 ( $10 \%$ final DMSO concentration, $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ final volume) in an Eppendorf tube. The solution was centrifuged briefly ( $1500 \mathrm{rpm}, 60 \mathrm{~s}$ ) to remove any particulates and mix the samples, followed by a 30 min incubation at RT. The samples were loaded into Tycho NT. 6 capillaries (Nanotemper) in triplicate and positioned in the Tycho NT 1.6 instrument. The samples were heated from $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using the default settings and the ratio of the intrinsic tyrosine and tryptophan fluorescence intensities ( 350 and 330 nm ) for each capillary tube was recorded. The fluorescence ratio versus temperature was plotted and the inflection temperature $\left(T_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ of the profile was calculated and recorded within the instrument software. Changes in inflection $\left(\Delta T_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ for the inhibitors were calculated by subtracting the mean $T_{\mathrm{i}}$ of the Keap1 sample plus vehicle ( $n=6$ ) from the mean Keap1 plus inhibitor value ( $n=3$ ).
ITC Experiments. The measurements were performed as described previously. ${ }^{45}$ Briefly, the protein was dialyzed overnight against buffer containing 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4 , and 200 mM NaCl and concentrated to $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in the presence of $5 \%$ DMSO to match the ligand solution. The small molecule inhibitor was diluted to a concentration of $500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in the dialysis buffer ( $5 \%$ max final
concentration of DMSO). ITC experiments were performed with a MicroCal PEAQ-ITC instrument (Malvern Instruments, UK). Titrations were carried out at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a stirring speed of 750 rpm . The inhibitor was titrated into the cell containing the protein solution over 30 injections with the first injection of $0.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, followed by 29 injections of $1.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ and a gap of 120 s between each injection. Data analyses were performed as previously described. For each experiment, at least two titrations were performed. Titration data were analyzed independently, and the obtained values were averaged.

Crystallization and Structure Determination of the Keap1Compound 11 Complex. Crystals for the Keap1 Kelch domain in complex with 11 appeared after $2-3$ days in 3.7 M sodium formate, pH 7.0 at $18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crystals were further optimized by streak seeding to obtain single crystals for diffraction measurements. The single crystals of the complex were cryo-protected with $20 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ethylene glycol in 1.2 -fold of the crystallization solution and flashfrozen in liquid nitrogen.

Diffraction data for individual crystals were collected at beamlines I03 at Diamond Light Source. Data were processed using either $\mathrm{XDS}^{46}$ or iMosflm ${ }^{47}$ and scaled to resolutions as mentioned in Table S4. ${ }^{48}$ The structure of the complex was solved by molecular replacement using the native Keapl structure (PDB entry 1ZGK) ${ }^{49}$ as a search model. The crystallographic statistics are given in Table S4. Crystals of the binary Keap1 Kelch domain in complex with 11 contain one molecule in the asymmetric unit.

Solubility and PAMPA Assays. Solubility measurements were performed using Multiscreen HTS-PCF filter plates (Merck Millipore, MSSLBPC10) at pH 7.4 according to the manufacturer's instructions. Membrane permeability was evaluated using the PAMPA using the hexadecane-method PAMPA protocol with HDM-PAMPA multiscreen permeability filter plates (Merck Millipore, MAIPNTR10) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Biomimetic HPLC Methods. The chromatographic measurements of CHIlogD (CHI) were carried out using the compounds' calibrated gradient retention times obtained from an Agilent 1100 HPLC fitted with a Gemini NX-C-18 column (Phenomenex Ltd Macclesfield, UK) with dimensions of $50 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particle size. The mobile phase A was either 0.01 M formic acid ( pH 2.6 ), a 50 mM ammonium acetate buffer with an adjusted pH of 7.4 , or a 50 mM ammonium acetate buffer with an adjusted pH of 10.5. The mobile phase B was $100 \%$ acetonitrile. The flow rate was $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. An acetonitrile linear gradient was used from 0 to $100 \%$. The acetonitrile concentration reached $100 \%$ in 3.5 min . The $100 \%$ acetonitrile mobile phase was maintained for an additional 1 min before it was returned to $0 \%$ at 4.7 min . The gradient run cycle time was 6 min , with an additional equilibration time of 1 min before the next injection. The gradient retention times were calibrated using the CHI values of reference compounds.

The phospholipid-binding was measured using an IAM PC.DD2 column with dimensions of $100 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Regis Technologies Inc., Morton Grove, IL, USA). The gradient retention times were measured using a 50 mM ammonium acetate mobile phase with the pH adjusted to 7.4 . The mobile phase flow rate was $1.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The acetonitrile gradient was applied to reach $90 \%$ in 4.75 min . The $90 \%$ acetonitrile concentration was maintained for an additional 0.5 min (to 5.25 min ) and then returned to $0 \%$ by 5.5 min . The cycle time was 6 min , plus an additional 1 min equilibration time was applied while the injector prepared for the next injection. The gradient retention times were calibrated with the acetophenone homologues for which the CHI IAM values have been established using isocratic measurements.

The protein binding measurements were carried out on Chiralpak HSA and Chiralpak AGP columns with dimensions of $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particle size (Chiral Technologies Europe, France). The mobile phase was 50 mM ammonium acetate adjusted to pH 7.4 , with a 1.2 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate. The standard isopropanol gradient reached $35 \%$ in 3.5 min , which was maintained for 1 min , before returning to $0 \%$ at 4.7 min . The cycle time was 6 min with an additional 1 min reequilibration time. The racemic warfarin showed separation of its
enantiomers. The retention times were calibrated using literature protein binding data of nine marketed drugs.

Cytotoxicity Assay. Retinal pigment epithelial ARPE19 cells (non-carcinoma cells) were grown and maintained in a DMEM F12 medium supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum and 2 mM Lglutamine at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The cells were plated in 96-well culture plates at a density of 10,000 cells per mL and allowed to adhere at 37 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The following day, various doses of drugs or vehicle were added to the cells and further incubated for 96 h . Then, the supernatant was removed and MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5diphenyltetrazolium bromide] was added for 4 h . The ability of cells to form formazan crystals by active mitochondrial respiration was determined by using a Microplate reader after dissolving the crystals in DMSO. Cytotoxicity was expressed as a relative percentage of the absorbance measured at 540 nm in the control and drug-treated cells.

Real-Time PCR. Hepalclc7 cells were seeded at $5 \times 10^{5}$ cells/well of six-well plates. On the following day, cells were treated with compound $11(50 \mu \mathrm{M})$ or vehicle ( $0.1 \% \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) for 16 h , in triplicates. Cells were then washed twice in PBS, lysed, RNA was extracted, and cDNA was synthesized using standard methods. The levels of mRNA for each gene were determined by real-time quantitative PCR (TaqMan) and normalized for the levels of 18 S mRNA.

Cellular Thermal Shift Assay. HL-60 cells $\left(3 \times 10^{7}\right)$ grown in RPMI 1640 (Invitrogen) supplemented with $10 \%$ (v/v) heatinactivated fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen) were pelleted by centrifugation at 300 g for 4 min at room temperature (RT), washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) twice, resuspended in PBS (3 mL ) containing $1 \times$ protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), and snap frozen in liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Cell lysates were obtained by four freeze-thaw cycles and cell debris were removed by centrifugation at $17,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatant (lysate) was split into two tubes, each containing 1.3 mL of lysate, and incubated with either $0.1 \%$ (v/ v) DMSO or $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of compound 11 at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Following incubation, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of lysate was aliquoted into 12 PCR tubes and subjected to various temperatures $\left(38-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 3 min using the VeriFlex blocks in the Veriti 96 -well thermal cycler (Thermo Fisher Scientific). After cooling for 3 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the samples were snap frozen. On the following day, the samples were thawed out at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the insoluble fractions were removed by centrifugation at $17,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 40 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The soluble fractions $(60 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ were transferred to fresh microcentrifuge tubes containing $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $4 \times$ LDS buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and $8 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Sample Reducing Agent (Thermo Fisher Scientific), mixed, and incubated at RT for 30 min . Proteins were resolved by electrophoresis on $4-12 \%$ NuPAGE BisTris gels (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with MOPS running buffer and transferred onto a $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was blocked in $5 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ non-fat milk in PBS-0.1\% Tween 20 (MilkPBST) for 1 h , incubated overnight $(16 \mathrm{~h})$ at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the primary rat monoclonal Keap1 antibody (1:2000 in Milk-PBST, MABS514, Millipore), washed thrice in PBS-0.1\% (v/v) Tween 20 (PBST) for 30 min , and incubated with goat anti-rat 680/800 IRDye secondary antibody (1:20,000 in Milk-PBST) for 1 h at RT protected from light. The blots were washed thrice in PBST for 30 min before scanning using Odyssey (LI-COR) imager, where the Keap1 band fluorescence intensity for each condition was quantified and normalized to the 38 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ sample intensity.

## - ASSOCIATED CONTENT

## (s) Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.2c00457.

X-ray crystallography statistics, biophysical assays, cellbased assays, and selected compound analytical data (PDF).

Molecular formula strings (CSV)

## Accession Codes

PDB ID of New Crystal (X-ray) Structures: Atomic coordinates and experimental data for compound 11 bound to the Keap1 Kelch domain are available: PDB entry 6HWS.

## - AUTHOR INFORMATION

## Corresponding Author

Geoffrey Wells - UCL School of Pharmacy, University College London, London WC1N 1AX, U.K.; © orcid.org/0000-0002-0253-911X; Email: g.wells@ucl.ac.uk

## Authors

Nikolaos Georgakopoulos - UCL School of Pharmacy, University College London, London WC1N 1AX, U.K.; Stevenage Bioscience Catalyst, Keregen Therapeutics Ltd., Stevenage SG1 2FX, U.K.
Sandeep Talapatra - UCL School of Pharmacy, University College London, London WCIN 1AX, U.K.
Dina Dikovskaya - Jacqui Wood Cancer Centre, Division of Cellular Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 9SY, Scotland, U.K.
Sharadha Dayalan Naidu - Jacqui Wood Cancer Centre, Division of Cellular Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 9SY, Scotland, U.K.
Maureen Higgins - Jacqui Wood Cancer Centre, Division of Cellular Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 9SY, Scotland, U.K.
Jemma Gatliff - UCL School of Pharmacy, University College London, London WC1N 1AX, U.K.; Stevenage Bioscience Catalyst, Keregen Therapeutics Ltd., Stevenage SG1 2FX, U.K.

Aysel Ayhan - UCL School of Pharmacy, University College London, London WC1N 1AX, U.K.
Roxani Nikoloudaki - UCL School of Pharmacy, University College London, London WCIN 1AX, U.K.; Stevenage Bioscience Catalyst, Keregen Therapeutics Ltd., Stevenage SG1 2FX, U.K.
Marjolein Schaap - UCL School of Pharmacy, University College London, London WCIN 1AX, U.K.
Klara Valko - UCL School of Pharmacy, University College London, London WC1N 1AX, U.K.; Bio-Mimetic Chromatography Consultancy, Stevenage SG2 OES, U.K. Farideh Javid - Department of Pharmacy, University of Huddersfield, Huddersfield HD1 3DH, U.K.
Albena T. Dinkova-Kostova - Jacqui Wood Cancer Centre, Division of Cellular Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 9SY, Scotland, U.K.; Department of Pharmacology and Molecular Sciences and Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21205, United States; © orcid.org/ 0000-0003-0316-9859
Frank Kozielski - UCL School of Pharmacy, University College London, London WCIN 1AX, U.K.
Complete contact information is available at:
https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.2c00457

## Author Contributions

All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

## Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

G.W. would like to acknowledge the financial support from Cancer Research UK (C9344/A10268) (G.W.), the BBSRC (BB/L01923X/1) (A.T.D.-K., G.W.), the Bloomsbury Consortium (N.G., G.W.), UCL Knowledge Exchange and Innovation Fund (N.G., G.W.), MRC Proximity to Discovery (S.T., J.G., F.K.), Maplethorpe Trust (N.G.), Royal Society of Chemistry EnterprisePlus (R.N., N.G.), and UCL School of Pharmacy (G.W., F.K.). HRMS was obtained from the EPSRC UK National Mass Spectrometry Facility (NMSF) at Swansea University. We would like to thank Maria Walton and Raúl Pacheco-Gómez, Malvern Instruments, UK for assistance with the ITC experiments. We thank Diamond Light Source for access to beamlines I03 (MX12305) that contributed to the results presented here.

## ABBREVIATIONS

AGP, $\alpha$-glycoprotein; ARE, antioxidant response element; CDDO-Me, bardoxolone methyl; CETSA, cellular thermal shift assay; CHI, chromatographic hydrophobicity index; $\mathrm{DE}_{\text {max }}$, maximum drug efficiency percentage; DMF, dimethyl fumarate; DPBS, Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline; DSF, differential scanning fluorimetry; FP, fluorescence polarization; GstP, glutathione S-transferase P; Gclc, glutamate-cysteine ligase catalytic subunit; Gclm, glutamate-cysteine ligase modulatory subunit; HEPES, 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid; Hmoxl, heme oxygenase 1; IAM, immobilized artificial membrane; IPTG, isopropyl $\beta$-Dthiogalactoside; ITC, isothermal titration calorimetry; Keap1, Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1; MOPS, 3-(Nmorpholino)propanesulfonic acid; MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethylthia-zol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; Neh2, Nrf2-ECH homology 2 domain; NQO1, $\mathrm{NAD}(\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{H}$ quinone oxidoreductase 1 or $\mathrm{NAD}(\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{H}$ quinone dehydrogenase 1 ; Nrf2, nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2; PPI, proteinprotein interaction; Rbxl, ring-box 1; TB, Terrific Broth; $T_{i}$, inflection temperature; $\Delta T_{\mathrm{i}}$, change in inflection temperature; $V_{\mathrm{d}}$, volume of distribution

## - REFERENCES

(1) Cuadrado, A.; Rojo, A. I.; Wells, G.; Hayes, J. D.; Cousin, S. P.; Rumsey, W. L.; Attucks, O. C.; Franklin, S.; Levonen, A.-L.; Kensler, T. W.; Dinkova-Kostova, A. T. Therapeutic targeting of the NRF2 and KEAP1 partnership in chronic diseases. Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery 2019, 18, 295-317.
(2) Tong, K. I.; Katoh, Y.; Kusunoki, H.; Itoh, K.; Tanaka, T.; Yamamoto, M. Keap1 recruits Neh2 through binding to ETGE and DLG motifs: characterization of the two-site molecular recognition model. Mol. Cell. Biol. 2006, 26, 2887-2900.
(3) Fukutomi, T.; Takagi, K.; Mizushima, T.; Ohuchi, N.; Yamamoto, M. Kinetic, thermodynamic, and structural characterizations of the association between Nrf2-DLGex degron and Keap1. Mol. Cell. Biol. 2014, 34, 832-846.
(4) Zhang, D. D.; Lo, S.-C.; Cross, J. V.; Templeton, D. J.; Hannink, M. Keap1 is a redox-regulated substrate adaptor protein for a Cul3dependent ubiquitin ligase complex. Mol. Cell. Biol. 2004, 24, 1094110953.
(5) Calabrese, V.; Mancuso, C.; Calvani, M.; Rizzarelli, E.; Butterfield, D. A.; Giuffrida Stella, A. M. Nitric oxide in the central nervous system: neuroprotection versus neurotoxicity. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 2007, 8, 766-775.
(6) Trovato Salinaro, A.; Pennisi, M.; Di Paola, R.; Scuto, M.; Crupi, R.; Cambria, M. T.; Ontario, M. L.; Tomasello, M.; Uva, M.; Maiolino, L.; Calabrese, E. J.; Cuzzocrea, S.; Calabrese, V.

Neuroinflammation and neurohormesis in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease and Alzheimer-linked pathologies: modulation by nutritional mushrooms. Immun. Ageing 2018, 15, 8.
(7) Calabrese, V.; Cornelius, C.; Dinkova-Kostova, A. T.; Calabrese, E. J.; Mattson, M. P. Cellular stress responses, the hormesis paradigm, and vitagenes: novel targets for therapeutic intervention in neurodegenerative disorders. Antioxid. Redox Signaling 2010, 13, 17631811.
(8) Hancock, R.; Bertrand, H. C.; Tsujita, T.; Naz, S.; El-Bakry, A.; Laoruchupong, J.; Hayes, J. D.; Wells, G. Peptide inhibitors of the Keap1-Nrf2 protein-protein interaction. Free Radical Biol. Med. 2012, 52, 444-451.
(9) Hancock, R.; Schaap, M.; Pfister, H.; Wells, G. Peptide inhibitors of the Keap1-Nrf2 protein-protein interaction with improved binding and cellular activity. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 3553-3557.
(10) Steel, R.; Cowan, J.; Payerne, E.; O'Connell, M. A.; Searcey, M. Anti-inflammatory effect of a cell-penetrating peptide targeting the Nrf2/Keap1 interaction. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2012, 3, 407-410.
(11) Chen, Y.; Inoyama, D.; Kong, A.-N. T.; Beamer, L. J.; Hu, L. Kinetic analyses of Keap1-Nrf2 interaction and determination of the minimal Nrf2 peptide sequence required for Keap1 binding using surface plasmon resonance. Chem. Biol. Drug Des. 2011, 78, 10141021.
(12) Bertrand, H. C.; Schaap, M.; Baird, L.; Georgakopoulos, N. D.; Fowkes, A.; Thiollier, C.; Kachi, H.; Dinkova-Kostova, A. T.; Wells, G. Design, Synthesis, and Evaluation of Triazole Derivatives That Induce Nrf2 Dependent Gene Products and Inhibit the Keap1-Nrf2 Protein-Protein Interaction. J. Med. Chem. 2015, 58, 7186-7194.
(13) Jiang, Z.-Y.; Lu, M.-C.; Xu, L. L.; Yang, T.-T.; Xi, M.-Y.; Xu, X.L.; Guo, X.-K.; Zhang, X.-J.; You, Q.-D.; Sun, H.-P. Discovery of potent Keap1-Nrf2 protein-protein interaction inhibitor based on molecular binding determinants analysis. J. Med. Chem. 2014, 57, 2736-2745.
(14) Jiang, Z.-Y.; Xu, L. L.; Lu, M.-C.; Chen, Z.-Y.; Yuan, Z.-W.; Xu, X.-L.; Guo, X.-K.; Zhang, X.-J.; Sun, H.-P.; You, Q.-D. StructureActivity and Structure-Property Relationship and Exploratory in Vivo Evaluation of the Nanomolar Keap1-Nrf2 Protein-Protein Interaction Inhibitor. J. Med. Chem. 2015, 58, 6410-6421.
(15) Hu, L.; Magesh, S.; Chen, L.; Wang, L.; Lewis, T. A.; Chen, Y.; Khodier, C.; Inoyama, D.; Beamer, L. J.; Emge, T. J.; Shen, J.; Kerrigan, J. E.; Kong, A.-N. T.; Dandapani, S.; Palmer, M.; Schreiber, S. L.; Munoz, B. Discovery of a small-molecule inhibitor and cellular probe of Keap1-Nrf2 protein-protein interaction. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2013, 23, 3039-3043.
(16) Davies, T. G.; Wixted, W. E.; Coyle, J. E.; Griffiths-Jones, C.; Hearn, K.; McMenamin, R.; Norton, D.; Rich, S. J.; Richardson, C.; Saxty, G.; Willems, H. M. G.; Woolford, A. J.-A.; Cottom, J. E.; Kou, J.-P.; Yonchuk, J. G.; Feldser, H. G.; Sanchez, Y.; Foley, J. P.; Bolognese, B. J.; Logan, G.; Podolin, P. L.; Yan, H.; Callahan, J. F.; Heightman, T. D.; Kerns, J. K. Monoacidic Inhibitors of the Kelchlike ECH-Associated Protein 1: Nuclear Factor Erythroid 2-Related Factor 2 (KEAP1:NRF2) Protein-Protein Interaction with High Cell Potency Identified by Fragment-Based Discovery. J. Med. Chem. 2016, 59, 3991-4006.
(17) Jain, A. D.; Potteti, H.; Richardson, B. G.; Kingsley, L.; Luciano, J. P.; Ryuzoji, A. F.; Lee, H.; Krunic, A.; Mesecar, A. D.; Reddy, S. P.; Moore, T. W. Probing the structural requirements of non-electrophilic naphthalene-based Nrf2 activators. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2015, 103, 252-268.
(18) Winkel, A. F.; Engel, C. K.; Margerie, D.; Kannt, A.; Szillat, H.; Glombik, H.; Kallus, C.; Ruf, S.; Güssregen, S.; Riedel, J.; Herling, A. W.; von Knethen, A.; Weigert, A.; Brüne, B.; Schmoll, D. Characterization of RA839, a Noncovalent Small Molecule Binder to Keap1 and Selective Activator of Nrf2 Signaling. J. Biol. Chem. 2015, 290, 28446-28455.
(19) Marcotte, D.; Zeng, W.; Hus, J.-C.; McKenzie, A.; Hession, C.; Jin, P.; Bergeron, C.; Lugovskoy, A.; Enyedy, I.; Cuervo, H.; Wang, D.; Atmanene, C.; Roecklin, D.; Vecchi, M.; Vivat, V.; Kraemer, J.; Winkler, D.; Hong, V.; Chao, J.; Lukashev, M.; Silvian, L. Small
molecules inhibit the interaction of Nrf2 and the Keap1 Kelch domain through a non-covalent mechanism. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2013, 21, 4011-4019.
(20) Zhuang, C.; Narayanapillai, S.; Zhang, W.; Sham, Y. Y.; Xing, C. Rapid identification of Keap1-Nrf2 small-molecule inhibitors through structure-based virtual screening and hit-based substructure search. J. Med. Chem. 2014, 57, 1121-1126.
(21) Lazzara, P. R.; David, B. P.; Ankireddy, A.; Richardson, B. G.; Dye, K.; Ratia, K. M.; Reddy, S. P.; Moore, T. W. Isoquinoline Kelchlike ECH-Associated Protein 1-Nuclear Factor (Erythroid-Derived 2)like 2 (KEAP1-NRF2) Inhibitors with High Metabolic Stability. J. Med. Chem. 2020, 63, 6547-6560.
(22) Lazzara, P. R.; Jain, A. D.; Maldonado, A. C.; Richardson, B.; Skowron, K. J.; David, B. P.; Siddiqui, Z.; Ratia, K. M.; Moore, T. W. Synthesis and Evaluation of Noncovalent Naphthalene-Based KEAP1NRF2 Inhibitors. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2020, 11, 521-527.
(23) Gorgulla, C.; Boeszoermenyi, A.; Wang, Z.-F.; Fischer, P. D.; Coote, P. W.; Padmanabha Das, K. M.; Malets, Y. S.; Radchenko, D. S.; Moroz, Y. S.; Scott, D. A.; Fackeldey, K.; Hoffmann, M.; Iavniuk, I.; Wagner, G.; Arthanari, H. An open-source drug discovery platform enables ultra-large virtual screens. Nature 2020, 580, 663-668.
(24) Richardson, B. G.; Jain, A. D.; Potteti, H. R.; Lazzara, P. R.; David, B. P.; Tamatam, C. R.; Choma, E.; Skowron, K.; Dye, K.; Siddiqui, Z.; Wang, Y.-T.; Krunic, A.; Reddy, S. P.; Moore, T. W. Replacement of a Naphthalene Scaffold in Kelch-like ECH-Associated Protein 1 (KEAP1)/Nuclear Factor (Erythroid-derived 2)-like 2 (NRF2) Inhibitors. J. Med. Chem. 2018, 61, 8029-8047.
(25) Horie, Y.; Suzuki, T.; Inoue, J.; Iso, T.; Wells, G.; Moore, T. W.; Mizushima, T.; Dinkova-Kostova, A. T.; Kasai, T.; Kamei, T.; Koshiba, S.; Yamamoto, M. Molecular basis for the disruption of Keap1-Nrf2 interaction via Hinge \& Latch mechanism. Commun. Biol. 2021, 4, 576.
(26) Dayalan Naidu, S.; Suzuki, T.; Dikovskaya, D.; Knatko, E. V.; Higgins, M.; Sato, M.; Novak, M.; Villegas, J. A.; Moore, T. W.; Yamamoto, M.; Dinkova-Kostova, A. T. The isoquinoline PRL-295 increases the thermostability of Keap1 and disrupts its interaction with Nrf2. iScience 2022, 25, 103703.
(27) Sun, H.-P.; Jiang, Z.-Y.; Zhang, M.-Y.; Lu, M.-C.; Yang, T.-T.; Pan, Y.; Huang, H.-Z.; Zhang, X.-J.; You, Q.-d. Novel protein-protein interaction inhibitor of Nrf2-Keap1 discovered by structure-based virtual screening. Medchemcomm 2014, 5, 93-98.
(28) Lu, M.-C.; Tan, S.-J.; Ji, J.-A.; Chen, Z.-Y.; Yuan, Z.-W.; You, Q.-D.; Jiang, Z.-Y. Polar Recognition Group Study of Keap1-Nrf2 Protein-Protein Interaction Inhibitors. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2016, 7, 835-840.
(29) Lo, S.-C.; Li, X.; Henzl, M. T.; Beamer, L. J.; Hannink, M. Structure of the Keap1:Nrf2 interface provides mechanistic insight into Nrf2 signaling. EMBO J. 2006, 25, 3605-3617.
(30) Li, X.; Zhang, D.; Hannink, M.; Beamer, L. J. Crystal structure of the Kelch domain of human Keap1. J. Biol. Chem. 2004, 279, 54750-54758.
(31) Dinkova-Kostova, A. T.; Fahey, J. W.; Talalay, P. Chemical structures of inducers of nicotinamide quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1). Methods Enzymol. 2004, 382, 423-448.
(32) Zheng, S.; Santosh Laxmi, Y. R.; David, E.; Dinkova-Kostova, A. T.; Shiavoni, K. H.; Ren, Y.; Zheng, Y.; Trevino, I.; Bumeister, R.; Ojima, I.; Wigley, W. C.; Bliska, J. B.; Mierke, D. F.; Honda, T. Synthesis, chemical reactivity as Michael acceptors, and biological potency of monocyclic cyanoenones, novel and highly potent antiinflammatory and cytoprotective agents. J. Med. Chem. 2012, 55, 4837-4846.
(33) Dunn, K. C.; Aotaki-Keen, A. E.; Putkey, F. R.; Hjelmeland, L. M. ARPE-19, a human retinal pigment epithelial cell line with differentiated properties. Exp. Eye Res. 1996, 62, 155-170.
(34) Jafari, R.; Almqvist, H.; Axelsson, H.; Ignatushchenko, M.; Lundbäck, T.; Nordlund, P.; Molina, D. M. The cellular thermal shift assay for evaluating drug target interactions in cells. Nat. Protoc. 2014, 9, 2100-2122.
(35) Hwang, J. Y.; Arnold, L. A.; Zhu, F.; Kosinski, A.; Mangano, T. J.; Setola, V.; Roth, B. L.; Guy, R. K. Improvement of pharmacological properties of irreversible thyroid receptor coactivator binding inhibitors. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 52, 3892-3901.
(36) Valko, K. L. Physicochemical and Biomimetic Properties in Drug Discovery: Chromatographic Techniques for Lead Optimization; John Wiley \& Sons, Inc.: New Jersey, USA, 2014; p 450.
(37) Valko, K.; Chiarparin, E.; Nunhuck, S.; Montanari, D. In vitro measurement of drug efficiency index to aid early lead optimization. J. Pharm. Sci. 2012, 101, 4155-4169.
(38) Valko, K. L.; Rava, S.; Bunally, S.; Anderson, S. Revisiting the application of Immobilized Artificial Membrane (IAM) chromatography to estimate in vivo distribution properties of drug discovery compounds based on the model of marketed drugs. ADMET DMPK 2020, 8, 78-97.
(39) Dattilo, S.; Mancuso, C.; Koverech, G.; Di Mauro, P.; Ontario, M. L.; Petralia, C. C.; Petralia, A.; Maiolino, L.; Serra, A.; Calabrese, E. J.; Calabrese, V. Heat shock proteins and hormesis in the diagnosis and treatment of neurodegenerative diseases. Immun. Ageing 2015, 12, 20.
(40) Calabrese, V.; Copani, A.; Testa, D.; Ravagna, A.; Spadaro, F.; Tendi, E.; Nicoletti, V. G.; Giuffrida Stella, A. M. Nitric oxide synthase induction in astroglial cell cultures: Effect on heat shock protein 70 synthesis and oxidant/antioxidant balance. J. Neurosci. Res. 2000, 60, 613-622.
(41) O’Boyle, N. M.; Banck, M.; James, C. A.; Morley, C.; Vandermeersch, T.; Hutchison, G. R. Open Babel: An open chemical toolbox. J. Cheminf. 2011, 3, 33.
(42) Alhossary, A.; Handoko, S. D.; Mu, Y.; Kwoh, C.-K. Fast, accurate, and reliable molecular docking with QuickVina 2. Bioinformatics 2015, 31, 2214-2216.
(43) Pettersen, E. F.; Goddard, T. D.; Huang, C. C.; Couch, G. S.; Greenblatt, D. M.; Meng, E. C.; Ferrin, T. E. UCSF Chimera-a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J. Comput. Chem. 2004, 25, 1605-1612.
(44) Laskowski, R. A.; Swindells, M. B. LigPlot+: multiple ligandprotein interaction diagrams for drug discovery. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 2011, 51, 2778-2786.
(45) Georgakopoulos, N. D.; Talapatra, S. K.; Gatliff, J.; Kozielski, F.; Wells, G. Modified Peptide Inhibitors of the Keap1-Nrf2 ProteinProtein Interaction Incorporating Unnatural Amino Acids. ChemBioChem 2018, 19, 1810-1816.
(46) Kabsch, W. XDS. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr. 2010, 66, 125-132.
(47) Battye, T. G.; Kontogiannis, L.; Johnson, O.; Powell, H. R.; Leslie, A. G. iMOSFLM: a new graphical interface for diffractionimage processing with MOSFLM. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr. 2011, 67, 271-281.
(48) Winn, M. D.; Ballard, C. C.; Cowtan, K. D.; Dodson, E. J.; Emsley, P.; Evans, P. R.; Keegan, R. M.; Krissinel, E. B.; Leslie, A. G.; McCoy, A.; McNicholas, S. J.; Murshudov, G. N.; Pannu, N. S.; Potterton, E. A.; Powell, H. R.; Read, R. J.; Vagin, A.; Wilson, K. S. Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr. 2011, 67, 235-242.
(49) Li, X.; Hannink, M.; Bottoms, C. A.; Beamer, L. J. Conserved solvent and side-chain interactions in the 1.35 Angstrom structure of the Kelch domain of Keap1. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr. 2005, 61, 1335-1342.


Editor-in-Chief: Prof. Shelley D. Minteer, University of Utah, USA


Deputy Editor:
Prof. Vivek Ranade
University of Limerick, Ireland

## Open for Submissions

ACS Publications


[^0]:    Received: March 23, 2022

