Ethnic differences in success at application for consultant posts among United Kingdom physicians from 2011-2019: a retrospective cross-sectional observational study

PR Harvey ¹, C Phillips², N Newbery², D Nagamoottoo², K Woolf³, NJ Trudgill ^{2,4}

¹The Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust, Wolverhampton, UK ²Medical Workforce Unit, Royal College of Physicians, London, UK ³Research Department of Medical Education, University College London Medical School, London, UK ⁴Sandwell and West Birmingham NHS Trust, West Bromwich, UK

Short title: Ethnicity and success at consultant applications

Word count: 2,996, Abstract word count: 250

Key words: Ethnicity, Physicians, Getting and changing jobs, Postgraduate

Corresponding Author:

Dr NJ Trudgill Sandwell General Hospital Lyndon West Bromwich B71 4HJ 0121 507 2577 nigel.Trudgill@nhs.net

Competing interests: KW was an Educational advisor to MRCP (UK) and Career Development Fellow (CDF-2017-10-008), funded by the National Institute for Health Research during the time this study was performed. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. PH, CP, NN, DN and NT have no competing interests to disclose

Funding: There is no external funding associated with this manuscript.

Ethical approval: Ethical approval was not required for this manuscript. During completion of the annual CCT holders survey, respondents agreed by checking a box that their personal data could be held by the Federation of the Royal Colleges of Physicians in accordance with the Data Protection Act (1998) or the Data Protection Act (2018), depending on the year of the survey. Respondents

agreed that aggregated response data be used for research purposes by departments within the Federation of the Royal Colleges of Physicians.

Guarantor: NT is the guarantor of this manuscript and confirms this is an honest, accurate and transparent report of the findings described.

Contributorship: PH and NT conceived of the article. CP, DN and NN accessed and extracted the data. PH, NT and KW performed and refined the analysis. All authors contributed to and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements: We are grateful to the Medical Workforce Unit of the Royal College of Physicians for supporting this work and providing the raw data.

ABSTRACT

Objectives

To identify associations between success following application for consultant physician posts and demographic factors.

Design

Logistic regression analysis of nationwide survey data.

Setting

United Kingdom (UK) physicians with a recent Certificate of Completion of Training (CCT).

Participants

All UK trainee physicians who received a CCT between 2010 and 2019 were surveyed. Respondents were excluded if they had not applied for a consultant post or if application data were incomplete.

Main outcome measures

The primary outcome measure was success over the entire consultant application process i.e. shortlisted and offered the post following the first application. Secondary outcomes were: shortlisted following first application; and offered a consultant post at first interview.

Results

From 7,037 CCT holders surveyed, 50.7% responded. 1198 (59.7%) respondents were white, 760 (37.9%) were from minority ethnic groups and 50 (3.5%) were of unknown ethnicity. Primary medical qualification (PMQ) Country was the UK in 75.3% (n=1512).

On multivariable logistic regression analysis the independent negative associations with success were: minority ethnicity (OR 0.55(95%CI 0.43-0.71),p<0.001) vs white; PMQ from Europe (0.47(0.28-0.79),p=0.004) or Asia (0.68(0.49-0.96),p=0.027) vs UK PMQ; year of CCT 2012 (0.40(0.24-0.68),p=0.001), 2013 (0.39(0.23-0.65),p<0.001), and 2014 (0.26(0.15-0.43),p<0.001) vs 2019. Specialties associated with lower success rates included Cardiology, Endocrinology, Genitourinary medicine, Palliative care, Renal and Respiratory, compared to Acute medicine.

Conclusions

Minority ethnic group candidates for consultant physician posts had lower success rates compared to white candidates after correction for important variables including specialty, time from and country of PMQ. This finding requires further evaluation to identify the causes for this variation.

BACKGROUND

The United Kingdom(UK) National Health Service(NHS) employs a higher proportion of staff from minority ethnic groups compared to the general UK population(1). Similarly, the proportion of doctors from minority ethnic groups(37.8%) in the UK is higher than the proportion of minority ethnic people in the UK(13.7%)(2, 3).

Despite the large number of minority ethnic doctors in the UK, there is evidence of unequal attainment among different ethnicities in both undergraduate and postgraduate assessments. White students achieve better results than minority ethnic students in both undergraduate and postgraduate assessments(4). This includes the practical component of the postgraduate MRCP examination(5). However minority ethnic doctors also do less well in multiple choice postgraduate examinations for General Practice and Physician trainees, both of which are computer marked, which should eliminate any suggestion of examiner bias(4, 6, 7). This pattern extends beyond the setting of undergraduate and postgraduate examinations, as minority ethnic doctors are also less successful in research funding applications and more likely to receive unsatisfactory Annual Review of Competence Progression training outcomes(8, 9).

Senior physicians in the US from minority ethnic backgrounds report experiencing higher rates of discrimination than their white counterparts. This is reportedly from both their faculty colleagues and patients(10, 11). Minority ethnic Consultant Physicians in the UK also report higher rates of discriminatory behaviour or language than White Consultants(12). There is also variable remuneration by both race and gender in the NHS(13). The proportion of minority ethnic doctors is higher within specialties in which posts have historically been harder to fill, such as care of the elderly and paediatrics(14). NHS minority ethnic staff are also more likely than their white counterparts to report low job satisfaction and a sense of not being valued by their employing organisation(15)

The aim of this analysis was to establish whether ethnicity was associated with success during the consultant physician application process.

METHODS

Overview of physician training and application to consultant posts

Physician training in the UK is comprised of three stages. Immediately following completion of their primary medical qualification(PMQ), doctors complete a two year foundation programme. Doctors wishing to train as physicians must complete internal medicine training (3 years) followed by higher specialist training in their chosen specialty (generally over 4 years). A Certificate of Completion of Training(CCT) is then awarded. Physician training is overseen by the Joint Royal Colleges of Physicians Training Board(JRCPTB).

Trainees may apply for a consultant physician post 6 months prior to the expected date of award of a CCT. Following an application, trainees may be shortlisted and invited for competitive interview. Trainees can apply for more than one consultant post at a time. Consultants in the UK are senior decision makers who lead a team of typically trainee doctors to manage their patients. All patients in the UK NHS have a named consultant, who is ultimately responsible for their treatment.

Participants

The JRCPTB provides the Royal College of Physicians(RCP) Medical Workforce Unit(MWU) annually with the contact details for trainees in all medical specialties who have gained their CCT in the previous 12 months. The MWU undertakes an annual survey of all trainees obtaining a CCT in the previous 12 months. In the survey, CCT holders are asked if they have applied for a substantive consultant post since their CCT was awarded. If they had applied for a consultant post, they were then asked how many consultant posts they had: applied for; been shortlisted for interview for; and been offered at interview.

The MWU created the dataset for this analysis, including all respondents from the annual surveys. The dataset was anonymised before being provided to the researchers.

Exclusion criteria

Respondents were not included if they had not yet applied for a consultant post or if data pertaining to their consultant applications were incomplete when supplied.

Unfortunately, data were not available for 2016 due to an error in the survey software that year that erroneously excluded CCT holders who already held a consultant post at the time of the survey.

Data collection

Between 2011 and 2019, Verint questionnaire software was used to distribute the survey to all doctors who had obtained their CCT in the previous 12 months, from January 1st 2010 to December 31st 2018. Data specifying if a respondent applied for a post outside of their deanery were available from 2014 onwards.

Data collected included demographics(gender, ethnicity and age), primary specialty, UK geographical region of training, date of PMQ and country of PMQ, and if a respondent had worked less than full time(LTFT) at any point while training. Based on CCT holders responses, ethnicity was based upon the standard Office for National Statistics categories: white - English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British, Irish, Gypsy or Irish Traveller, any other White background; mixed - white and Black Caribbean, white and black African, white and Asian, any other mixed / multiple ethnic background;

Asian – Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, Chinese, any other Asian background; black – African, Caribbean, any other black / African / Caribbean background; Middle Eastern – Arab, other Middle Eastern; and any other ethnic group.

Missing demographic data from the surveys were obtained from RCP annual census data where possible. The date and country of PMQ and CCT date were obtained from the General Medical Council.

Outcome variables

The primary outcome variable was self-reported success rate over the entire consultant application process i.e. shortlisted and offered the post following interview for the first application(or if more than one application made in parallel, success following all applications made). This primary outcome is hereafter referred to as the "entire application process".

Secondary outcomes were twofold: shortlisted following first application(or if more than one application made in parallel shortlisted following all applications); and offered a consultant post following first interview(or if more than one interview for applications made in parallel, offered following all interviews).

The interview outcome analyses were performed for all respondents who had been shortlisted following any application.

Receiving any offer of a consultant post following all applications(whether in parallel or in series) was not included as an outcome variable because nearly all candidates were eventually successful in obtaining a consultant post and thus there was limited variability in this outcome.

Statistical analysis

A Chi squared test was used to assess statistical associations between categorical predictor variables and the outcome measures.

Multivariable logistic regression models looking at the effect of ethnicity on each outcome measure controlling for potential confounders were then constructed. Numbers for some specific ethnicities among doctors from minority ethnic groups were small and minority ethnic respondents were therefore grouped together for the multivariable analyses. Goodness of fit was confirmed with the Hosmer-Lemeshow test.

P values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using Stata version 15(17).

RESULTS

Participants and missing data

Of 7,037 CCT holders who had completed their training between January 1st 2010 and December 31st 2018, 3,571(50.7%) responded, with annual response rates of between 47.2% and 52.0%.

2,008 responses were available with complete data for the analysis. A flow chart for the study is shown in supplementary figure 1. Respondents were excluded if they had not yet applied for a consultant post(n=1,032) or if data on the number of applications, shortlisting or offered consultant posts were incomplete(n=417). The demographic characteristics of included CCT holders compared with those excluded due to incomplete data on applications, shortlisting or offered consultant posts are shown in supplementary table 1.

The analysis of interview outcomes included the 1975 respondents shortlisted following any application. Data on LTFT training were not available for 5.8% of respondents. No other variables had significant quantities of missing data(>2.5%).

Respondent demographics

Descriptive statistics for all variables in the study can be found in Table 1.

The majority of respondents were from a white(English, Welsh, Scottish or Northern Irish)(n=916; 45.6%) or white(other)(n=282; 14.0%) ethnic background; 418(20.8%) were Asian(Pakistan, India and Bangladesh), 166(8.3%) were East Asian(including Chinese and other Asian backgrounds), 45(2.2%) were black , 54(2.7%) Middle Eastern, 77(3.8%) mixed or other ethnic groups and 50(2.5%) were of unknown ethnicity.

Numbers for some ethnic groups were small and therefore ethnic groups were collapsed into white and minority ethnic groups for the multivariable analyses. Details of individual ethnic groups can be found in Table 3.

Among white respondents, 53.2% were female, compared with 32.6% of minority ethnic respondents(p<0.001). LTFT training was more prevalent among white than minority ethnic respondents(18.8% vs 9.6%, p<0.001) and such trainees were almost all female(95.2%). The proportions of white and minority ethnic respondents varied significantly by specialty. These are shown in Supplementary Table 2.

Application, shortlisting and interview success

Respondents reported applying for 1(n=1,201; 59.8%), 2(n=414; 20.6%), 3(n=216; 10.8%) or more than 3(n=177; 8.8%) consultant posts, with 38.5% applying outside their UK training region. 98.4% of CCT holders who had applied for a consultant post were shortlisted for at least one post and 88.3% were shortlisted following their first application.

61.5% of respondents were successful following their first attempt over the entire application process. Among those shortlisted, 65.6% were successful in being offered a consultant post following their first or all interview attempts, regardless of the number of applications and 90.2% were eventually successful in being offered a consultant post after any number of interviews (median interviews 1(range 1-14)).

Univariable analyses

The full results of the univariable analyses are shown in Table 2.

Ethnic differences in applications, shortlisting and consultant post offers White CCT holders applied for a median of 1(interquartile range 1-2) consultant posts and minority ethnic CCT holders for a median of 2(1-3) posts(p<0.001). 33.9% of White CCT holders applied for posts outside their deanery compared with 46.6% of minority ethnic CCT holders(p<0.001).

Respondents from minority ethnic backgrounds were less likely than white respondents to be shortlisted following their first application(81.8% vs 92.3%, p<0.001), less likely to be successful at interview having been shortlisted(56.3% vs 71.5%, p<0.001) and less likely to be successful over the entire application process for their first application(51.1% vs 68.3%, p<0.001). Black applicants had the lowest success rate for the entire application process for their first application(40%), which was markedly lower than the minority ethnic group overall(51.1%)(Table 3).

Country of primary medical qualification

Asian graduates were least likely to be successful over the entire application process for their first application(44.7%), followed by graduates from Africa, Oceania and America(49.3%) and Europe(57.0%), with UK graduates the most likely successful(66.1%),(p<0.001).

Time from PMQ to CCT

A longer time from PMQ(median 12.2 years, IQR 3.3 years) to CCT was associated with lower success rates after first application(when comparing those requiring less than 10.3 years with those needing more than 14.5 years) over the entire application process(68.2% vs 53.0% respectively, p<0.001), as well as at shortlisting(91.8% vs 83.3% respectively, p=0.002) and at interview(70.9% vs 58.8% respectively, p<0.001).

Gender

Male respondents were less likely to be successful following their first application than female respondents across the entire application process(57.6% vs 66.2%, p<0.001), at shortlisting(85.3% vs 92.1%, p<0.001), and at interview(62.2% vs 69.6%, p<0.001).

Less than full time training

Full time training was associated with lower success over the entire application process compared to LTFT(61.5% vs 65.5%, p=0.022), at shortlisting(87.3% vs 93.9%, p=0.004) and at interview(65.9% vs 68.1%, p=0.022).

Year of CCT

Success at first attempt for the entire application process varied between survey years; however, in general, success rates have improved in more recent years(71.9% in 2011, 53.8% in 2012, 80.0% in 2018, 73.7% in 2019).

Region

The UK region in which CCT holders applied for a consultant post was not included in this analysis. A subgroup analysis including a univariable logistic regression model was constructed for the primary outcome measure, using London as the reference category. Only Scotland(OR 1.63(95%CI 1.09-2.44),p=0.017) had significantly better success rates.(Supplementary Tables 2 and 3)

Multivariable analysis

Minority ethnic doctors were negatively associated with success over the entire application process(odds ratio 0.55(95%CI 0.43-0.71), p<0.001). European PMQ(0.47(0.28-0.79), p=0.004) and Asian PMQ(0.68(0.49-0.96), p=0.027) were associated with lower odds of success compared to UK PMQ. Over the entire application process years 2012, 2013 and 2014 were negatively associated with success when compared to 2019. Success over the entire application process was lowest in Genitourinary medicine, Renal medicine and Palliative care, when compared to the reference specialty Acute Medicine(Table 4).

Minority ethnic doctors were negatively associated with success at shortlisting(0.55 (CI 0.38-0.78), p=0.001) and interview(0.59(0.46-0.75), p<0.001) (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

This study demonstrates a strong association between successful applications for consultant physician posts and ethnicity. White applicants had higher success rates compared to their minority ethnic counterparts. Black CCT holders had the lowest success rate of all ethnic groups. The negative association between minority ethnic CCT holders and success on first application was observed despite adjusting the analysis for other important factors including: country of PMQ, other demographics factors, LTFT training status, specialty and CCT year. This relationship was consistently seen in both the face to face setting of consultant interviews and at the paper-based shortlisting stage.

These findings are in keeping with results of previous research. Differential levels of attainment between ethnic groups have been documented in both UK medical undergraduates and in the postgraduate medical workforce. However, to observe in a multivariable analysis adjusted for many potentially important confounding factors, that minority ethnic CCT holders are 17% less likely to be successful at first application for a consultant job compared to white candidates is highly significant. There may be conscious or unconscious selection bias in favour of white CCT holders during the consultant application process. Unfortunately, detailed information regarding the strength of applications is not available. It is therefore not possible to exclude the possibility that white applicants were better qualified. Considering the differential levels of attainment previously reported, it is also possible that some minority ethnic candidates are less competitive applicants due to their previous experiences of bias leading to reduced opportunities earlier in their careers(18).

The analysis is reassuring with respect to CCT holders who had trained LTFT and female trainees. Both groups were equally, if not more successful, compared to full time and male trainees. In multivariable models there was no association with success in the consultant application process based on these characteristics.

The year of CCT was a significant factor in application success. Both shortlisting and interview success rates were generally higher in more recent years. This is likely to be the result of the increasing number of consultant posts being advertised in medical specialties in the UK. Applying for consultant posts has become less competitive and the ability to appoint to advertised posts has fallen(12). However, despite more consultant posts and difficulties filling them, lower success rates for minority ethnic CCT holders persist.

This is in contrast to the time taken from PMQ to CCT. Although this was associated with application success on univariable analysis, no association was seen in the multivariable models. There are a number of different explanations for trainees requiring longer to achieve their CCT. These include additional experience that makes them more likely to be successful such as a research or an experiential fellowship, some neutral factors such as time out of training before starting specialist training, and some factors that may make CCT holders less likely to be successful, such as failure at annual assessments.

The overall survey response rate was 50.7% and therefore the present study includes a substantial proportion of CCT holders surveyed. A comparison of CCT holders included and those excluded due to incomplete consultant application data suggests that there are some differences between these groups, notably by ethnicity, age and country of PMQ (appendix table 1). The likelihood of responding to the survey was also potentially lower if CCT holders felt that the application process or any other aspect of training had not treated them equitably. It is a limitation that data regarding non-responders' success on consultant application are not available to us. However this seems unlikely to be a major source of bias given the large number of CCT holders who were successful on first or subsequent application but this cannot be excluded. Furthermore, if this issue was reducing the response rate significantly, it would likely lead to the opposite pattern of results to that which was observed.

It was not possible to correct for CCT holders seeking posts in more competitive hospitals, compared to those that are less competitive. This might therefore reduce the success rates for those CCT holders. All UK training regions include both competitive and less competitive hospitals for consultant posts and controlling for region would therefore not account for this potential source of bias and this was confirmed in a sub-analysis of success rates by region. Medical speciality was included in the logistic regression models and therefore it is likely that a significant component of variation in competition between posts will be accounted for through this. A further limitation was that when applicants had applied for multiple consultant posts, but were not offered a consultant post each time, it was assumed for the analysis that they had not been successful at their first attempt. However, this may, in a small group of CCT holders, represent a CCT holder applying for a second job unsuccessfully, after already having been appointed successfully at their first attempt. Such respondents were not considered to be successful at their first attempt in this analysis.

CONCLUSIONS

This dataset is unique, in both the number of respondents and nationwide coverage. Although the results are striking, they are not unexpected given other data suggesting differential attainment by ethnicity at other stages of medical training. All applicants had successfully completed a higher specialty training programme in the UK. Our findings suggest that there may be conscious or unconscious selection bias in favour of white candidates. This bias may be a component of the application process but may also be a result of cumulative experience of bias at prior career stages. These findings require urgent evaluation of the consultant application process to ensure fair treatment of all applicants for consultant posts.

Data Accessibility Statement: Data reported in this manuscript was provided by the Royal College of Physicians Medical Workforce Unit. Access requests should be submitted to the Medical Workforce Unit.

Acknowledgements: We are grateful to the support of the Royal college of Physicians, Medical Workforce Unit for supporting this work and providing the raw data.

	Variable	n	%
All		2008	100.0
Age	<36	661	32.9
	36–40	1,062	52.9
	41–45	229	11.4
	>46	56	2.8
Gender	Female	908	45.2
	Male	1100	54.8
Ethnicity	White	1198	59.7
(grouped)	Minority ethnic	760	37.9
	Unknown	50	2.5
Less than	Yes	313	15.6
full time	No	1579	78.6
trainee	Unknown	116	5.8
Country or	United Kingdom	1512	75.3
region of	Europe	79	3.9
PMQ	Asia	342	17.0
	Combined: Africa, Oceania, South/Central	75	3.7
	America		
Specialty	Acute internal medicine	102	5.1
	Cardiology	215	10.7
	Dermatology	76	3.8
	Endocrinology and diabetes	137	6.8
	Gastroenterology and hepatology	172	8.6
	General internal medicine	62	3.1
	Genitourinary medicine & HIV/AIDS	68	3.4
	Geriatric Medicine	217	10.8
	Haematology	119	5.9
	Neurology	82	4.1
	Palliative medicine	120	6.0
	Renal Medicine	116	5.8
	Respiratory Medicine	212	10.6
	Rheumatology	86	4.3
	Other	224	11.2
Year	2011	281	13.4
	2012	303	15.1
	2013	289	14.4
	2014	298	14.8
	2015	241	12.0
	2017	352	17.5
	2018	130	6.5
_	2019	114	5.7
Time from	Quintile 1 (<10years 3months)	403	20.1
PMQ to CCT	Quintile 2 (10y 3m - 11y 6.9m)	401	20.0
	Quintile 3 (11y 7m - 12y 9.8m)	401	20.0
	Quintile 4 (12y 9.9m - 14y 6m)	402	20.0
	Quintile 5 (>14y 6m)	401	20.0

Table 1: Descriptive statistics for all variables in the study

PMQ - primary medical qualification.

CCT - Certificate of Completion of Training

Other specialty group comprises specialties with fewer than 40 responses, including: Allergy, Audio-vestibular medicine, Genetics, Neurophysiology, Clinical pharmacology, Immunology, Infectious disease, Intensive care medicine, Medical ophthalmology, Medical oncology, Nuclear medicine, Paediatric cardiology, Pharmaceutical medicine, Rehabilitation medicine, Sport and exercise medicine, and Stroke medicine.

Ethnicity is provided as the broad groups used in analyses. Detailed ethnicity data for respondents can be found in Table 3.

		Shortlisting success		Interview success*		Entire process	
						succ	ess
		n	%	n	%	n	%
All		1774	88.3	1295	65.6	1235	61.5
Age	<36	599	90.6	461	70.6	442	66.9
	36–40	929	87.5	661	63.5	631	59.4
	41–45	200	87.3	142	63.1	135	59.0
	>46	46	82.1	31	55.4	27	48.2
Gender	Female	836	92.1	625	69.6	601	66.2
	Male	938	85.3	670	62.2	634	57.6
Ethnicity	White	1106	92.3	845	71.5	818	68.3
	Minority ethnic	622	81.8	419	56.3	388	51.1
	Unknown	46	92.0	31	63.3	29	58.0
Less than	Yes	294	93.9	211	68.1	205	65.5
full time	No	1379	87.3	1023	65.9	971	61.5
trainee	Missing	101	87.1	61	54.0	59	50.9
Country or	United Kingdom	1387	91.7	1036	69.6	1000	66.1
region of	Europe	67	84.8	49	63.6	45	57.0
PMQ	Asia	260	76.0	169	50.6	153	44.7
	Combined: Africa, Oceania,	60	80.0	41	54.7	37	49.3
	South/Central America						
Specialty	Acute internal medicine	98	96.1	77	76.2	76	74.5
	Cardiology	171	79.5	125	59.8	110	51.2
	Dermatology	74	97.4	65	85.5	64	84.2
	Endocrinology and diabetes	100	73.0	74	54.4	63	46.0
	Gastroenterology and	156	90.7	115	67.3	113	65.7
	hepatology						
	General internal medicine	58	93.5	43	71.7	43	69.4
	Genitourinary medicine &	50	73.5	24	36.4	24	35.3
	HIV/AIDS						
	Geriatric Medicine	209	96.3	171	78.8	167	77.0
	Haematology	110	92.4	93	78.2	88	73.9
	Neurology	78	95.1	58	72.5	58	70.7
	Palliative medicine	116	96.7	62	52.5	62	51.7
	Renal Medicine	94	81.0	55	48.7	48	41.4
	Respiratory Medicine	189	89.2	131	62.7	129	60.8
	Rheumatology	74	86.0	48	56.5	44	51.2
	Other	197	87.9	154	71.6	146	65.2
Year	2011	241	85.8	225	81.5	202	71.9
	2012	268	88.4	172	57.1	163	53.8
	2013	237	82.0	153	54.1	147	50.9
	2014	240	80.5	145	51.1	134	45.0
	2015	222	92.1	153	64.6	152	63.1
	2017	331	94.0	255	72.9	249	70.7
	2018	126	96.9	106	81.5	104	80.0
	2019	109	95.6	86	75.4	84	73.7
Time from	Quintile 1 (<10years 3months)	370	91.8	283	70.9	275	68.2
PMQ to CCT	Quintile 2 (10y 3m - 11y 6.9m)	362	90.7	287	73.0	275	68.9
	Quintile 3 (11y 7m - 12y 9.8m)	357	88.8	257	64.4	242	60.2
	Quintile 4 (12y 9.9m - 14y 6m)	351	87.1	239	60.5	230	57.1

Table 2: First time success rate during the consultant application process for shortlisting, interview success and over the entire application process.

Quintile 5 (>14y 6m) 333 83.3 228 58.8 212 53		Quintile 5 (>14y 6m)	333	83.3	228	58.8	212	53.0
---	--	----------------------	-----	------	-----	------	-----	------

PMQ - primary medical qualification.

CCT - Certificate of Completion of Training

Other specialty group comprises those specialties with fewer than 40 responses, including: Allergy, Audio-vestibular medicine, Genetics, Neurophysiology, Clinical pharmacology, Immunology, Infectious disease, Intensive care medicine, Medical ophthalmology, Medical oncology, Nuclear medicine, Paediatric cardiology, Pharmaceutical medicine, Rehabilitation medicine, Sport and exercise medicine, and Stroke medicine.

*The interview success group includes the 1975 respondents shortlisted following any application

Table 3: Success rates over the entire consultant application process by ethnicity with number of successful applications at first attempt and success rate in each ethnic group.

Ethnicity Breakdown	Success	at first attempt		
	n	%	Total	P value
White - English / Welsh / Scottish /	645	70.4	916	
Northern Irish				_
White – Other	173	61.3	282	
Black/Black British -	18	40.0	45	-
African/Caribbean/Other				_
Asian/Asian-British - Chinese and other	93	56.0	166	-0.001
Asian background				<0.001
Asian/Asian-British -	205	49.0	418	
Indian/Pakistani/Bangladeshi				_
Middle-Eastern - Arab/Other	26	48.1	54	
Mixed/Other ethnic group	46	59.7	77	
Unknown	29	58.0	50	
All White	818	68.3	1198	-
All Ethnic minorities	388	51.1	760	-

		OR	95%	CI	P value		
Age	<36	Reference	category				
	36–40	0.92	0.67	1.27	0.601		
	41–45	1.13	0.69	1.84	0.625		
	46+	0.64	0.31	1.31	0.218		
Gender	Female	Reference	category				
	Male	0.88	0.70	1.12	0.301		
Ethnicity	White	Reference	category				
	Minority ethnic	0.55	0.43	0.71	<0.001		
	Unknown	0.58	0.30	1.12	0.104		
Country	United Kingdom	Reference	category				
or region	Europe	0.47	0.28	0.79	0.004		
of PMQ	Asia	0.68	0.49	0.96	0.027		
	Combined: Africa, Oceania,	0.63	0.37	1.09	0.097		
	South/Central America						
Less than	Yes	0.99	0.77	1.45	0.725		
full time	No	Reference	category				
trainee	Missing	0.86	1.34	1.47	0.504		
Specialty	Acute medicine	Reference category					
	Cardiology	0.30	0.17	0.54	<0.001		
	Dermatology	1.96	0.87	4.39	0.102		
	Endocrinology and diabetes	0.30	0.16	0.54	<0.001		
	Gastroenterology and hepatology	0.59	0.33	1.07	0.080		
	General medicine	0.63	0.29	1.36	0.240		
	Genitourinary	0.14	0.07	0.29	<0.001		
	Geriatric Medicine	1.09	0.61	1.94	0.780		
	Haematology	0.69	0.37	1.32	0.268		
	Neurology	0.64	0.32	1.29	0.213		
	Palliative care	0.24	0.13	0.44	<0.001		
	Renal Medicine	0.18	0.09	0.33	<0.001		
	Respiratory	0.42	0.24	0.74	0.003		
	Rheumatology	0.35	0.18	0.68	0.002		
	Other	0.60	0.34	1.06	0.080		
Year	2011	1.03	0.60	1.76	0.917		
	2012	0.40	0.24	0.68	0.001		
	2013	0.39	0.23	0.65	<0.001		
	2014	0.26	0.15	0.43	<0.001		
	2015	0.61	0.36	1.04	0.068		
	2017	0.95	0.57	1.57	0.829		
	2018	1.72	0.91	3.25	0.098		
	2019	Reference	category				
Time from	Quintile 1 (<10years-3months)	Reference	category				
PMQ to	Quintile 2 (10y 3m-11y 6.9m)	1.22	0.87	1.72	0.250		
ССТ	Quintile 3 (11y 7m-12y 9.8m)	0.92	0.62	1.36	0.667		
	Quintile 4 (12y 9.9m-14y 6m)	0.92	0.59	1.42	0.698		
	Quintile 5 (>14y 6m)	0.78	0.48	1.27	0.322		

Table 4: Multivariable logistic regression analysis of first time success rates over the entireconsultant application process.

PMQ - Primary medical qualification.

CCT - Certificate of Completion of Training.

Other specialty group comprises those specialties with fewer than 40 responses, including: Allergy, Audio-vestibular medicine, Genetics, Neurophysiology, Clinical pharmacology, Immunology, Infectious disease, Intensive care medicine, Medical ophthalmology, Medical oncology, Nuclear medicine, Paediatric cardiology, Pharmaceutical medicine, Rehabilitation medicine, Sport and exercise medicine, and Stroke medicine. Table 5: Multivariable logistic regression analysis of first time success rates for shortlisting and at interview during the consultant application process.

		Shortlisting Success		Interview Success					
		OR	95% CI		P value	OR	95%		P value
Age	<36	Refere	nce categ	gory					
	36–40	0.90	0.55	1.46	0.669	0.90	0.65	1.24	0.543
	41–45	1.33	0.65	2.76	0.435	0.99	0.60	1.65	0.973
	46+	1.03	0.38	2.81	0.957	0.61	0.29	1.25	0.117
Gender	Sender Female Reference category								
	Male	0.86	0.59	1.24	0.418	0.85	0.67	1.09	0.206
Ethnicity	White	Refere	nce categ	gory					
	Minority ethnic	0.55	0.38	0.79	0.001	0.59	0.46	0.75	<0.001
	Unknown	1.08	0.36	3.34	0.898	0.51	0.25	1.01	0.055
Country	United Kingdom	Refere	nce categ	gory					
or region	Europe	0.30	0.14	0.61	0.001	0.55	0.32	0.94	0.030
of PMQ	Asia	0.44	0.29	0.69	<0.001	0.70	0.50	0.98	0.040
	Combined: Africa, Oceania,	0.42	0.21	0.86	0.018	0.65	0.38	1.13	0.128
	South/Central America								
Less than	No	Refere	nce categ	gory					
full time	Yes	1.31	0.73	2.36	0.366	0.98	0.70	1.38	0.902
trainee	Missing	1.25	0.66	2.41	0.485	0.83	0.53	1.30	0.420
Specialty	Acute medicine	Refere	nce categ	gory					
	Cardiology	0.12	0.04	0.36	<0.001	0.40	0.22	0.73	0.003
	Dermatology	1.62	0.28	9.48	0.593	1.86	0.81	4.26	0.141
	Endocrinology and diabetes	0.11	0.04	0.34	<0.001	0.38	0.21	0.70	0.002
	Gastroenterology and	0.35	0.11	1.12	0.076	0.56	0.31	1.03	0.061
	Concerned mendicine	0.44	0.10	1 00	0.200	0.00	0.22	1 22	0 202
		0.44	0.10	1.99	0.289	0.60	0.22	1.32	0.203
	Gerintourinary	0.09	0.03	2.28	<0.001	1.00	0.06	1.07	<0.001
	Haamatalagy	0.95	0.27	3.27	0.910	1.09	0.00	1.97	0.767
	Neurology	0.52	0.09	1.15	0.077	0.60	0.41	1.50	0.500
	Palliative care	0.34	0.13	2.52	0.400	0.02	0.30	0.42	<0.001
	Renal Medicine	0.70	0.17	0.42	0.033	0.22	0.12	0.42	<0.001
	Respiratory	0.15	0.04	0.42	0.001	0.22	0.11	0.41	0.001
	Bheumatology	0.25	0.00	0.87	0.010	0.42	0.24	0.75	0.005
	Other	0.25	0.08	0.07	0.020	0.33	0.20	1 31	0.000
Year	2011	0.33	0.00	0.70	0.029	1.60	0.91	2.82	0.200
. cui	2012	0.36	0.13	1.00	0.050	0.42	0.25	0.71	0.001
	2013	0.24	0.09	0.64	0.004	0.39	0.23	0.66	< 0.001
	2014	0.19	0.07	0.51	0.001	0.30	0.18	0.50	<0.001
	2015	0.64	0.23	1.84	0.409	0.56	0.33	0.96	0.036
	2017	0.88	0.31	2.50	0.815	0.92	0.55	1.55	0.759
	2018	1.94	0.48	7.76	0.351	1.62	0.84	3.10	0.147
	2019	Refere	nce cates	orv					
Time from	ne from Ouintile 1 (<10 vears- Reference category								
PMQ to	3months)			, ,					
ССТ	Quintile 2 (10y 3m-11y 6.9m)	1.19	0.69	2.06	0.535	1.26	0.88	1.79	0.209
	Quintile 3 (11y 7m-12y 9.8m)	1.16	0.62	2.14	0.644	0.89	0.59	1.34	0.575
	Quintile 4 (12y 9.9m-14y 6m)	1.27	0.65	2.47	0.489	0.85	0.54	1.34	0.494
	Quintile 5 (>14y 6m)	0.96	0.46	1.98	0.909	0.87	0.53	1.49	0.651

PMQ - Primary medical qualification.

CCT - Certificate of Completion of Training.

Other specialty group comprises those specialties with fewer than 40 responses, including: Allergy, Audio-vestibular medicine, Genetics, Neurophysiology, Clinical pharmacology, Immunology, Infectious disease, Intensive care medicine, Medical ophthalmology, Medical oncology, Nuclear medicine, Paediatric cardiology, Pharmaceutical medicine, Rehabilitation medicine, Sport and exercise medicine, and Stroke medicine.

REFERENCES

1. Gov.UK. NHS Workforce 2020 [Available from: <u>https://www.ethnicity-facts-</u>

figures.service.gov.uk/workforce-and-business/workforce-diversity/nhs-workforce/latest.

Office for National Statistics. Research report on population estimates by characteristics
2020 [

3. General Medical Council. General Medical Council Data Explorer [Available from: https://data.gmc-uk.org/gmcdata/home/#/reports/The%20Register.

4. Woolf K, Potts HWW, McManus IC. Ethnicity and academic performance in UK trained doctors and medical students: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 2011;342:d901-d.

5. Unwin E, Potts HWW, Dacre J, Elder A, Woolf KA-O. Passing MRCP (UK) PACES: a crosssectional study examining the performance of doctors by sex and country. BMC Medical Education. 2018(1472-6920 (Electronic)).

6. Dewhurst NG, Fau MC, Mollon J, Dacre JE, Vale AJ. Performance in the MRCP(UK) Examination 2003-4: analysis of pass rates of UK graduates in relation to self-declared ethnicity and gender. BMC Med. 2007(1741-7015 (Electronic)).

7. Esmail A, Roberts C. Academic performance of ethnic minority candidates and discrimination in the MRCGP examinations between 2010 and 2012: analysis of data. BMJ. 2013(1756-1833 (Electronic)).

8. Ginther D, Basner J, Jensen U, Schnell J, Kington R, Schaffer W. Publications as predictors of racial and ethnic differences in NIH research awards. Plos One. 2018(1932-6203 (Electronic)).

9. Tiffin PA, Illing J, Kasim AS, McLachlan JC. Annual Review of Competence Progression (ARCP) performance of doctors who passed Professional and Linguistic Assessments Board (PLAB) tests compared with UK medical graduates: national data linkage study. BMJ : British Medical Journal. 2014;348:g2622.

10. Nunez-Smith M, Pilgrim N, Wynia M, Desai MM, Jones BA, Bright C, et al. Race/ethnicity and workplace discrimination: results of a national survey of physicians. J Gen Intern Med. 2009;24(11):1198-204.

11. Peterson NB, Friedman RH, Ash AS, Franco S, Carr PL. Faculty self-reported experience with racial and ethnic discrimination in academic medicine. J Gen Intern Med. 2004;19(3):259-65.

12. Trudgill N, Phillips C, Newbery N, D. N. The medical workforce BC (before COVID-19) : the 2019 UK consultant census. Royal College of Physicians Commentary 2020(5):8-12.

13. Appleby J. Ethnic pay gap among NHS doctors. BMJ. 2018;362:k3586.

14. Goldacre MJ, M. DJ, Lambert TW. Country of training and ethnic origin of UK doctors: database and survey studies. BMJ. 2004(1756-1833 (Electronic)).

15. NHS England. Links between NHS staff experience and patient satisfaction: Analysis of surveys from 2014 and 2015. 2018.

16. NHS England. Workforce race equality standard (WRES), Indicators for the NHS medical workforce. 2020.

17. Statacorp. Stata Statistical Software: release 15.: TX: StataCorp LP; 2017.

18. Woolf K, Rich A, Viney R, Needleman S, Griffin A. Perceived causes of differential attainment in UK postgraduate medical training: a national qualitative study. BMJ Open. 2016;6(11):e013429.