## Supplementary Material

Supplementary Table 1: Comparison of observed and imputed data for key variables

| Variable | Observed data |  | Imputed data (across 20 datasets) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Total number of <br> values, $N$ | $n(\%)$ | Total number of <br> values, $N$ | $n(\%)$ |
|  | 26,408 | $14,298(54.1)$ | 80 | $47(58.8)$ |
| Sex, male | 26,019 | $6,816(26.2)$ | 7860 | $1866(23.7)$ |
| Ethnicity, Black | 790 | $365(46.2)$ | 512,440 | $226,128(44.1)$ |
| Valid MRI scan <br> report, abnormal | Total number of <br> values, $N$ | Mean (SD) | Total number of <br> values, $N$ | Mean (SD) |
| 1904 | $43.5(19.4)$ | 490,160 | $41.4(17.1)$ |  |
| Age at scan |  |  |  |  |

## Supplementary Table 2: STROBE checklist for case-control studies

|  | Item No | Recommendation | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Title and abstract | 1 | (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract | Abstract: <br> Methods |
|  |  | (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found | Abstract: <br> Methods |
| Introduction |  |  |  |
| Background/rationale | 2 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported | Introduction |
| Objectives | 3 | State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses | Introduction |
| Methods |  |  |  |
| Study design | 4 | Present key elements of study design early in the paper | Methods: <br> Study design |
| Setting | 5 | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection | Methods |
| Participants | 6 | (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls | Methods: Exposure |
|  |  | (b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case | N/A |


| Variables | 7 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable | Methods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data sources/ measurement | 8* | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group | Methods: <br> Outcome, <br> Exposure, <br> Confounders |
| Bias | 9 | Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias | Methods |
| Study size | 10 | Explain how the study size was arrived at | Methods |
| Quantitative variables | 11 | Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why | Methods: Confounders |
| Statistical methods | 12 | (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding | Methods: Statistical analysis |
|  |  | (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions | Methods: Statistical analysis |
|  |  | (c) Explain how missing data were addressed | Methods: <br> Statistical analysis |
|  |  | (d) If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed | N/A |
|  |  | (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses | Methods: <br> Statistical analysis |
| Results |  |  |  |
| Participants | 13* | (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of studyeg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed | Figure 1 |
|  |  | (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage | Figure 1 |
|  |  | (c) Consider use of a flow diagram | Figure 1 |
| Descriptive data | 14* | (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders | Table 1 |
|  |  | (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest | Supplementary <br> Table 2 |


| Outcome data |  | 15* | Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure | Table 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Main results |  | 16 | (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, $95 \%$ confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included | Results: <br> Abnormalities |
|  |  |  | (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized | N/A |
|  |  |  | (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period | N/A |
| Other analyses | 17 | Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses |  | Results: <br> Abnormalities, <br> Lateralisation, <br> Pathology |
| Discussion |  |  |  |  |
| Key results | 18 | Summarise key results with reference to study objectives |  | Discussion |
| Limitations | 19 | Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias |  | Discussion |
| Interpretation | 20 | Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence |  | Discussion |
| Generalisability | 21 | Discu | ass the generalisability (external validity) of the study results | Discussion |
| Other information |  |  |  |  |
| Funding | 22 | Give pres the | he source of funding and the role of the funders for the nt study and, if applicable, for the original study on which esent article is based | Funding |

## Supplementary Table 3: Comparison of patients with observed and missing valid MRI

scan reports

| Variable | Patients with a valid <br> MRI scan ( $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{7 9 0})$ | Patients without a <br> valid MRI scan <br> $(\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{2 5 , 6 2 2})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age at index, mean <br> (SD) | $43.9(19.8)$ | $40.2(17.0)$ |
| Sex, $n(\%)$ |  |  |
| $-\quad$ Male | $439(3.1)$ | $13,859(96.9)$ |
| $-\quad$ Female | $351(2.9)$ | $11,759(97.1)$ |
| $-\quad$ Not stated | $0(0.0)$ | $4(0.0)$ |
| Ethnicity, $n(\%)$ |  |  |


| $-\quad$ White | $410(2.6)$ | $15,427(97.4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $-\quad$ Black | $275(4.0)$ | $6,541(96.0)$ |
| $-\quad$ Asian | $49(3.5)$ | $1,335(96.5)$ |
| - Mixed / Other | $48(2.4)$ | $1,934(97.6)$ |
| $-\quad$ Not stated | $8(2.0)$ | $385(98.0)$ |

## Supplementary Table 4: MRI scan abnormalities by diagnostic group

| Primary diagnosis | Catatonia group |  | Comparison group |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Total $n$ | Abnormal $n(\%)$ | Total $n$ | Abnormal $n(\%)$ |
| Organic or neurodevelopmental disorder | 3 | $3(100)$ | 124 | $102(82)$ |
| Schizophrenia and related disorders | 50 | $14(28)$ | 266 | $92(35)$ |
| Mood disorders | 12 | $6(50)$ | 143 | $71(50)$ |
| Neurotic disorders | 3 | $1(33)$ | 31 | $14(45)$ |
| Personality and behavioural disorders | 5 | $2(40)$ | 31 | $8(24)$ |
| Substance use disorder | 2 | $0(0)$ | 45 | $27(60)$ |
| Not stated | 4 | $1(25)$ | 69 | $24(35)$ |

