

Real-Time In Situ Monitoring of CO₂ Electroreduction in the Liquid and Gas Phases by Coupled Mass Spectrometry and Localized Electrochemistry

Guohui Zhang,[†] Youxin Cui, and Anthony Kucernak*

Cite This: ACS	Catal. 2022, 12, 6180–6190		Read Online	
ACCESS	III Metrics & More	(Article Recommendations	s Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The mechanism and dynamics of the CO₂ reduction reaction (CO₂RR) remain poorly understood, which is largely caused by mass transport limitations and lack of time-correlated product analysis tools. In this work, a custom-built gas accessible membrane electrode (GAME) system is used to comparatively assess the CO₂RR behavior of Au and Au–Cu catalysts. The platform achieves high reduction currents (~ - 50 mA cm⁻² at 1.1 V vs RHE) by creating a three-phase boundary interface equipped with an efficient gas-circulation pathway, facilitating rapid mass transport of CO₂. The GAME system can also be easily coupled with many other analytical techniques as exemplified by mass spectrometry (MS) and localized ultramicroelectrode (UME) voltammetry to enable real-time and in situ product characterization in the gas and liquid phases,



respectively. The gaseous product distribution is explicitly and quantitatively elucidated with high time resolution (on the scale of seconds), allowing for the independent assessment of Tafel slope estimates for the hydrogen (159/168 mV decade⁻¹), ethene (160/ 170 mV decade⁻¹), and methane (96/100 mV decade⁻¹) evolution reactions. Moreover, the UME is used to simultaneously measure the local pH shift during CO₂RR and assess the production of liquid phase species including formate. A positive shift of 0.8 pH unit is observed at a current density of -11 mA cm^{-2} during the CO₂RR.

KEYWORDS: CO₂ reduction reaction, correlative electrochemical measurements, gas diffusion electrode, mass spectrometry, ultramicroelectrode

INTRODUCTION

Sustainable energy resources are being explored to alleviate global issues such as energy shortages and the greenhouse effect, thereby driving extensive research into electrocatalytic processes. The electrochemical CO2 reduction reaction (CO₂RR) promoted by renewable energy to produce valuable chemicals and fuels has become a promising strategy for energy conversion, which can occur under ambient temperature and normal pressure conditions.¹ In the meantime, it aids in mitigating the climate change problem by initiating a carbonneutral cycle.² However, it is a significant challenge to obtain the mechanistic information about the CO2RR as this is complicated by the multiple possible proton-electron transfer pathways and associated intermediates and is also accompanied by the competing hydrogen evolution reaction (HER).^{3,4} It is widely reported that the CO₂RR performance (such as activity and selectivity) is strongly dependent on the nature and structure of catalysts and electrolytes.^{5,6} Among the catalysts used for CO2RR, Au predominantly leads to the production of CO,^{7,8} while Cu is the only metal that can generate hydrocarbon and alcohols with high efficiency.^{9,10}

Another issue in relation to CO_2RR research is the sluggish diffusion (0.0016 mm² s⁻¹) and low solubility (34 mM at 25 °C, 1 atm) of CO_2 in aqueous solutions,^{6,11–13} hindering the

evaluation of intrinsic kinetics and conversion efficiency. By enhancing mass transport through electrolyte advection, the rotating (ring) disk electrode $(R(R)DE)^{1,14}$ and flow cell setups¹⁵ have been employed to investigate this reaction, leading to higher mass transport rates than under stagnant conditions. However, the gaseous reactant still needs to first dissolve before being utilized in the electrocatalytic reaction, and product gases are likely to be trapped and cause bubbles, disturbing electrolyte contact with the electrocatalyst. Moreover, they are not suitable for use of highly alkaline electrolytes (i.e., KOH) as a large amount of CO₂ diffusing in the electrolyte would react with the electrolyte to form carbonate mixtures rather than participate in the electrocatalytic reactions.¹⁶ Instead, the development of gas diffusion electrodes (GDEs), which can create an efficient three-phase interface by reducing the diffusion path of the gases and allowing for the

Received:February 4, 2022Revised:April 21, 2022Published:May 10, 2022



delivery of gases directly to the catalyst surface, has provided a remarkable scenario for the high-rate utilization and conversion of $\rm CO_2.^{17-19}$

The product analysis of CO₂RR, accounting for both the gas and liquid phases, plays a crucial role in understanding the reaction pathways. This usually requires a combination of many analytical techniques. Gas chromatography (GC) and mass spectrometry (MS) are the two main methods adopted to detect the gaseous and volatile products. The former is highly sensitive for quantification purposes, based on a periodic sampling protocol,^{9,20} while the latter reduces the detection time to the order of seconds, rendering it more suitable for real-time characterization in correlative techniques such as differential electrochemical mass spectrometry (DEMS)^{21,22} and online electrochemical mass spectrometry (OLEMS).²³ The capability of online detection is highly useful, especially for the case when electrocatalyst deactivation readily happens.²⁴ The liquid-phase products are normally detected by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), and headspace GC,²⁵ which are not capable of providing real-time responses, meaning that prolonged electrolysis has to be employed to ensure a measurable concentration of products.9 The RRDE technique offers a viable route to evaluate the liquid products,^{20,26} but besides low current densities, the detection is liable to be hindered by the generation and attachment of bubbles, although efforts toward tackling this issue have been made.^{27,28} Recently, intermediates and products have also been examined electrochemically by scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM), a technique which is extremely sensitive and fast and particularly useful for the continuous detection of short lifetime and unstable species.^{29,30}

Here, the gas accessible membrane electrode (GAME)³¹ system allowing for rapid mass transport has been implemented for the comparative study of CO2RR on Au and Cu-Au bimetallic electrodes. A well-defined three-phase interface can be readily formed at the 12 μ m thick porous membrane electrode. The gas-circulation pathway of the GAME not only ensures a fast delivery of the CO₂ reactant through the pores to the catalyst at the interface but also achieves rapid transport of the gaseous products to the MS connected in tandem with the GAME (GAME-MS) for realtime characterization. Meanwhile, an ultramicroelectrode (UME) has been positioned below the GAME to electrochemically diagnose the possible liquid products and probe the dynamic pH shift in the local environment during CO₂RR. The GAME-MS-UME correlative platform enables a comprehensive study of CO₂RR to be carried out with a high temporal and spatial resolution.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

GAME Preparation and Assembly. A custom-made GAME was used as the working electrode (WE) (Figure S1 in the Supporting Information), as described in our previous work,³¹ and is a development of an innovative approach to study electrocatalysis at the gas/liquid interface under high mass transport conditions.^{32–34} In brief, a 12 μ m thick, porous polycarbonate track etch (PCTE, Sterlitech, *d* = 400 nm pores) membrane was first sputtered with a thin layer (~100 nm) of Au to produce a conductive surface, named as Au/PCTE. After extensive cleaning in isopropanol and water in a Soxhlet extractor, the backside of Au/PCTE was brush-coated with a small amount of Teflon-AF (Chemours, dissolved in Fluorinert

FC-40, Sigma-Aldrich) and left to dry in a vacuum oven at 70 °C.³⁵ Then, it was mounted onto a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) cylinder before being gently inserted into a PTFE tip. A flat surface can be obtained with an exposed electrode area of ~ 0.35 cm² sitting in the same plane as the end of the PTFE tip. Once electric contact is made to the Au/PCTE via a polyetheretherketone (PEEK) clip with Au wires (one for the WE and the other for the working sense (WS)) located in the middle of the cylindrical PEEK holder, the tip was tenderly twisted into the PEEK body. For the preparation of Cu-Au/ PCTE electrode, the assembled GAME with an Au/PCTE electrode was immersed into a solution of 0.2 M CuSO₄ and 1.5 M H_2SO_4 and polarized at -2 V for 20 s, while a Cu wire served as both the counter electrode (CE) and the reference electrode (RE). After in situ electrodeposition of Cu, the Cu-Au/PCTE electrode was dipped into DI water to remove the excess salts and then left to dry in air prior to the electrochemical measurements.

CO₂RR Performance Evaluation. The GAME was immersed in an electrochemical cell filled with a N2-degassed 0.5 M KHCO₃ solution (pH \approx 9.2), and the gas access to the electrode was manipulated from the top inlet by switching between N₂ and $\overline{CO_2}$. A flow of 22 mL min⁻¹ was regulated by a mass flow controller (Bronkhorst) during the electrocatalytic reactions. A Pt coil was flame-annealed to remove possible impurities prior to use, acting as the CE, while a leak-free Ag/ AgCl served as the RE. Cyclic voltammetry (CV), chronoamperometry, and chronopotentiometry were performed. All the potentials reported in this work were iRcorrected (unless otherwise stated), in which the resistance R was determined using the high frequency real-axis intercept of electrochemical impedance spectroscopy from 100 kHz to 10 mHz with a 10 mV (root mean squared amplitude) sinusoidal modulation. They were further converted to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) scale using

$$E_{RHE} = E_{Ag/AgCl} + 0.197 + 0.059 \times pH_{bulk}$$
(1)

Further corrections for local pH changes during operation will be described below.

GAME-MS Measurements. The gas outlet of the GAME was connected to a quadrupole mass spectrometer (QGA, Hiden Analytical) using a PTFE tubing via a T-shape junction, allowing for the exit of excessive gas flow. An energy of 23 eV and ion current of 80 μ A were adopted for mass spectrometry measurements. The calibration of the mass spectrometer was performed with a gas mixture of 1% H₂, 1% CH₄, 1% C₂H₄, and 1% C₂H₆ balanced with CO₂ (Air Products).

Electrochemical Characterization of the Liquid Phase by a Pt UME. To assess the changes in the liquid phase during the CO₂RR on Au/PCTE, a UME was fabricated using a Pt wire (diameter 25 μ m) sealed in a glass capillary and bent into a J-shape. The UME was then extensively polished to generate a flat and smooth end (RG ratio of \sim 30). The electrode was rinsed with isopropanol and DI water, respectively, and then carefully translated close to the GAME, ensuring that the very end of the UME probe was right below the center of the exposed area of the GAME. The position of the UME in relation to the GAME was precisely controlled by a picomotor (Model 8321, Newport) mounted onto a three-axis translation stage, and the distance of the UME with respect to the GAME surface was estimated by the optical images captured by a purpose-built video microscope (PL-B776U camera, Pixe-LINK; VZM Zoom Imaging Lens, Edmunds Scientific)

situated to the side of the electrochemical cell. A series of potential steps (-0.8 to -2 V vs Ag/AgCl, with a rest time of \sim 310 s in between the steps) were applied to the GAME, while CVs were recorded simultaneously on the UME at a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹.

Physical Characterization. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were obtained on a Zeiss Leo 1525 microscope. X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis of the catalysts was conducted on a Bruker D2 PHASER diffractometer. In terms of ¹H NMR measurements, the liquid species of CO₂RR from Au/PCTE were characterized on a NMR spectrometer (Bruker AV400D) using a water suppression method. Typically, aliquots of 600 μ L of solution after electrolysis at different potentials for 1 h were taken out and mixed with 50 μ L of 50 mM phenol and 35 μ L of 10 mM dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) prepared in D₂O (used as internal standards) before measurements.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

GAME-MS-UME Configuration and Catalyst Characterization. The experimental setup for CO_2RR study is shown in Figure 1. The carrier gas (either N_2 or CO_2) circulates past



Figure 1. Schematic illustration for (a) the correlative configuration for CO_2RR measurements and (b) the zoom-in region of the GAME-UME, with the inset highlighting the reaction interface and regime in the local environment. The gas inflow and outflow are indicated by red and blue arrows, while the reaction pathways in the local environment are shown with pink arrows.

the GAME under a rate regulated by a mass flow controller, while any gaseous products generated by CO_2RR are simultaneously transported out of the GAME and sent to the coupled MS for online analysis. In some cases, a Pt UME is positioned coaxial to the GAME to probe the liquid products diffusing from the surface (Figure 1 and Figure S2a of the Supporting Information). The separation between the UME and the GAME is set (tens to hundreds of μ m) using an optical video microscope. The gas exchange regime within the GAME is further illustrated in Figure S2b. The incoming gas is introduced to the chamber (~0.64 cm³ volume) of the GAME through the inlet and then diffuses through the hydrophobic pores of the membrane electrode, establishing a highly efficient triple-phase reaction interface. Meanwhile, the volatile and gaseous products can mostly ex-solve into the hydrophobic pores, return to the chamber, and subsequently exit from the chamber with the remaining carrier gas via the outlet. Of note, the GAME substrate (ca. 12 μ m thick) is about 20× thinner than the typical gas diffusion electrodes used in fuel cells and CO₂ electrolyzers (~250 μ m), and hence, the reactant/ product transport is significantly enhanced.^{31,35} Therefore, this configuration facilitates high reaction rates, rapid gas feed and collection, and real-time product distribution analysis (gases by the coupled MS, and liquids by the adjacent UME, vide infra).

In this work, we have examined the performance of two catalysts loaded onto the PCTE with significantly different activity and selectivity toward the CO_2RR , i.e., Au and Cu–Au (Figure 2a). It is reported that the Au catalysts mainly lead to



Figure 2. (a) Schematic of the sample preparation process (not to scale). (b, c) SEM images of the Au/PCTE and Cu–Au/PCTE, respectively. High-resolution images are shown in the insets. The positions of open pores after Cu electrodeposition are indicated with pink arrows. (d) XRD patterns of Au/PCTE and Cu–Au/PCTE electrodes.

the formation of H₂ and CO, while Cu is the only metal that facilitates the generation of hydrocarbons and alcohols.^{6,36} Bimetals can alter the adsorption energies for reactants and intermediates.^{37,38} Here, the Au layer sputtered on PCTE previously adopted as the conductive substrate for the floating electrode technique is directly used to provide the Au catalyst herein. As shown in Figure 2b and Figure S3, the Au/PCTE sample displays a notably reflective surface. The sputtered Au forms a continuous layer (~100 nm thick) on the PCTE and also possibly coats the inner surface of the pores³⁹ yet still leaving the pores open for gas transportation (as observed in the SEM image; Figure 2b). The as-prepared Au layer can play multiple roles on the GAME: serve as a conductive film on the PCTE membrane to collect electrochemical current, act as a uniform and flat catalyst for electrochemical reactions (e.g., CO₂RR in this work), and provide a templated substrate on which other structures can be introduced. It is worth mentioning that the sputtering strategy for electrode preparation enables a wide variety of materials to be potentially used as the WE subject to the research purposes. We have previously used the same electrode structure to study other electrochemical reactions such as oxygen evolution/reduction and hydrogen evolution/reduction. A comparison between the electrode structure used in the GAME and that typical of the gas diffusion electrodes used in fuel cells (and by inference, CO_2 electrolyzers) is provided in a paper by one of us.³⁵

Cu was subsequently electrodeposited onto the same sample of Au/PCTE (at -2 V for 20 s) to generate a bimetallic catalyst Cu-Au, ensuring a direct comparison of the electrocatalytic behavior with Au. As illustrated in Figure 2c and Figure S3b, after deposition of Cu on the Au/PCTE GAME, the electrode surface becomes pink and some nanofoam structures (although the extent and coverage are highly dependent on the electrodeposition time) are observed as a result of the uneven electrolyte accessibility templated by H₂ bubbles vigorously generated at very negative potentials.⁴⁴ Since the majority of Au is sputtered on the solid part of the PCTE membrane (accounting for 87.4% of the overall area)⁴¹ rather than into the pores, Cu electrodeposition would mainly occur over the solid portion. Therefore, there are still a large number of open pores present on Cu-Au/PCTE, allowing for the efficient gas transport through the PCTE substrate (inset of Figure 2c pointing out the pores). In both cases mentioned above, when the gas is supplied to the GAME, a consistent three-phase (gas-solution-catalyst) interface is generated in an electrolyte and the structure does not "flood".35 The scenario that the catalyst layer is situated adjacent to the interface of CO₂ gas and electrolyte allows for the continuous feed of gaseous CO₂ to the reaction interface even under alkaline conditions, where significant consumption of CO_2 by the electrolyte would be expected in conventional setups.⁴ Moreover, the open pores of the GAME enables the resulting gaseous and volatile products to migrate into the GAME chamber before being sampled for online analysis (vide infra). XRD patterns of the two electrodes in Figure 2d show that on Au/PCTE, there are mainly two phases: Au (111) and Au (222) crystal facets,⁴³ and after Cu electrodeposition, Cu(111) and $Cu_2O(111)$ are introduced.⁴⁴

Electrochemical Response in the Presence of CO₂. To evaluate the electrochemical behaviors of these two electrodes toward the CO₂RR, CV was first carried out using a three-electrode system. It is noteworthy that the bulk solution of 0.5 M KHCO₃ was saturated with N₂ (pH 9.2) prior to the

measurements, and this was then maintained in an airtight cell for the whole experiment. This strategy can have two benefits: first, a higher pH achieved by N₂ saturation (than that with CO₂ saturation) can facilitate better conversion during the CO₂RR;^{42,45} second, the capability of efficient gas delivery and exchange associated with the GAME system can avoid the extensive use of gases for continuously sparging the solution over the course of measurements commonly adopted in conventional setups. When the Au/PCTE is exposed to N₂ atmosphere (Figure 3), the reduction current increases slowly



Figure 3. CO_2RR cyclic voltammograms of Au/PCTE and Cu–Au/PCTE electrodes recorded in a solution of 0.5 M KHCO₃ under N₂ atmosphere and CO₂ atmosphere, respectively. Scan rate: 5 mV s⁻¹. The inset shows the current efficiency of the CO₂RR on Au/PCTE and Cu–Au/PCTE, respectively.

with the decreasing potential, which is purely ascribed to the HER process. As CO₂ is introduced into the headspace, an onset potential (the potential at which 1% of the maximum value is recorded) of -0.62 V is observed (compared to -0.65V for the sample under N_2) (see the Supporting Information), and the cathodic current rises dramatically due to the beginning of the CO_2RR . At -1.07 V, a geometric current density of -19.3 mA cm⁻² (geometric area: 0.35 cm², with a roughness factor of ~4 (Supporting Information, Figure S4) is recorded, which is much higher than those reported in the literature.⁴⁶ The enhanced current should be composed of the responses from both the HER and CO₂RR. To this end, the Faradaic current of CO₂RR can be estimated by subtracting the HER contribution on its own (dashed line) from the overall response. The current efficiency for CO₂RR on Au/ PCTE reaches a maximum value of ~90% and decreases with the increase of overpotentials due to the enhanced HER (inset of Figure 3; also see the Supporting Information).^{47,48} Note that the current density can be improved further by optimizing the structural design of the gold electrodes (as for the current electrodes, only the Au sputtered near the pores are expected to have access to the inlet CO_2 gas) and by increasing the feed rate of CO_2 .^{49,50}

Upon the electrodeposition of Cu onto Au/PCTE, the CV under an N₂ atmosphere demonstrates a much steeper increase in electrochemical current than that for the Au/PCTE after the onset potential of -0.69 V. When the headspace gas is switched to CO₂, slightly higher currents are observed until -0.9 V, after which, with the increase of the cathodic potential,

the current grows dramatically (Figure 3). A current density of ~42.4 mA cm⁻² is observed at -1.07 V on Cu-Au/PCTE in the presence of CO_2 in comparison to 26 mA cm⁻² under N₂. The currency efficiency for CO₂RR on Cu-Au/PCTE shows a different pattern from that on Au/PCTE. It achieves a maximum of 73% at -0.65 V followed by a decrease to a minimum value of 12% at -0.92 V and, subsequently, another increase beyond this peak. The trend matches reasonably well with the literature.⁴⁷ Note that during the CV measurements presented here, it is found that the GAME is immune to gas bubble formation due to the highly efficient gas transport capability-this is a significant advantage to what is commonly seen in the R(R)DE techniques.²⁸ The discrepancy in the electrochemical performances of the two electrodes indicates that the CO₂RR behaviors can be tuned by Cu electrodeposition onto the electrode. Interestingly, there is little hysteresis in the forward and reverse scans, indicating that the conditions at the electrocatalyst surface are quickly adjusted and the response is not significantly affected by the history of the electrode. This also suggests that any local pH effects are instantly established within the timescale of the experiment.

Electrochemical-Mass Spectrometric Response of the Gas-Phase Products. Next, we employed the GAME-MS to elucidate the relationship between the activity and selectivity of CO₂RR on the two electrodes from the perspective of product distribution. In the GAME setup, the catalysts (both Au and Cu-Au) are directly deposited onto the porous PCTE membrane in the vicinity of the pores, enabling the reactant gas, CO₂, to travel only a short distance before being utilized by CO₂RR.³¹ Moreover, the electrocatalytic reaction sites and gas sampling interface are colocated, but product detection does not impact product generation, allowing for the simultaneous collection of the gaseous product species diffusing into the GAME for further evaluation. Therefore, the delay time for the gas-phase products to be detected after generation can be minimized.¹⁵ In the present GAME-MS configuration, the characteristic delay time is measured to be $\sim 7-8$ s (Supporting Information), shorter than many conventional vacuum-based OLEMS setups.⁵¹ The fast response characteristic of this technique enables us to monitor the gas-phase product distribution of CO₂RR in real time. Compared with the accumulative product analysis approach by GC, the online product characterization by the GAME-MS can enable the reaction pathways, dynamics, and kinetics of CO₂RR to be potentially deciphered. In this study, the H₂ (m/z = 2), CH₄ (m/z = 15), and C₂H₄ (m/z = 26) molecules generated from CO₂RR are simultaneously detected. It is noteworthy that in the current work and many others, the CO product is genuinely difficult to probe since the fragmentation of carrier gas (i.e., the flowing CO₂) can lead to considerable background signals at m/z = 28, 52-54 and the overlap hampers the deconvolution of CO. Although the method of subtracting the estimated contribution of CO_2 from the overall m/z = 28response has been applied in some studies, large errors can be potentially introduced.55 Instead, the optimization of the spectrometer by tuning the electron energy to modulate the fragmentation may offer a more practical and accurate approach. The detailed exploration will be the focus of a future work.

Chronoamperometry was first performed on the two different electrodes, while the MS signals (i.e., molar flow rate) were recorded. In the case of Au/PCTE, there is only H_2

formation observed during the reaction either under N₂ or CO₂ (Supporting Information) since CO is not considered for the time being. In contrast, the Cu-Au/PCTE sample demonstrates the formation of H_2 , C_2H_4 , and CH_4 under a CO₂ atmosphere (Supporting Information), and the molar flow rates correspond to the electrochemical potentials (and the resulting currents) very well. The onset potentials are shown to be in the order of $H_2 > C_2H_4 > CH_4$, in line with the literature using Cu electrodes. ^{36,56} Moreover, as the potential is stepped from -0.83 to -1.1 V (iR-corrected potentials), the mass flow fraction (MFF) of H₂ is decreased from 98.6 to 88.9%, while the MFF of C_2H_4 is increased from 1.4 to 10.6%. However, the MFF of CH_4 only shows a small value of 0.5% even at -1.1 V. These results indicate that the selectivity of CO₂RR on Cu-Au/PCTE is highly potential-dependent and also highlight the good collection efficiency of the GAME. The CO₂RR performance on Cu-Au/PCTE is further investigated with chronopotentiometry, and the MS signal promptly follows the stepwise change in Faradaic current. Only H₂ evolution is observed at a very low current of $-0.5 \text{ mA} (-1.43 \text{ mA cm}^{-2})$, while C_2H_4 is generated from $-2 \text{ mA} (-5.71 \text{ mA cm}^{-2})$ and CH_4 can only be detected with a current of -8 mA (-22.86mA cm⁻²). Under an applied current of -14 mA (-40 mA cm^{-2}), the averaged MFF values for the H₂, C₂H₄, and CH₄ are approximately 88, 10, and 2%, respectively. Therefore, a fast evaluation of the MFF can be obtained in response to the sequential steps.

However, the aforementioned experiments are performed when the reactions proceed under a steady state (constant potential or current), and this strategy has a somewhat limited ability to scrutinize the potential-dependent nature of the CO₂RR process since the resolution is determined by the step size of the potentials/currents. To this end, MS responses were also simultaneously recorded over the CVs of Cu-Au/PCTE to elucidate the dynamic formation of CO₂RR products. As shown in Figure 4a, there is only H₂ detected during the CV performed at 5 mV s⁻¹ under N₂ atmosphere. However, when the headspace gas is altered to CO₂ (Figure 4b), C₂H₄ and CH_4 are also produced. The evolution of C_2H_4 and CH_4 is affected by the potentials, and the molar flow rates of both species are improved with the scan to more negative potentials. These MS results show excellent reproducibility over successive voltammograms. Furthermore, it should be noteworthy that no elongated current tail is observed for the transient MS signals, as well as the steady-state MS responses (Supporting Information), indicative of a fast mass transport without bubble accumulation.^{57,58} In Figure 4c, the instantaneous evolution of products is further illustrated as a function of iR-corrected potential (MSCV; based on the data in Figure 4b). This again shows that there is little hysteresis in the response, and the forward and reverse scans overlay one another. This confirms that the system does not suffer from the artifacts introduced through low-performance electrodes with poor mass transport.

In order to assess the kinetic properties, the CO₂RR CVs of Cu–Au/PCTE were further recorded at 1 mV s⁻¹, using the GAME-MS setup. As shown in Figure 5a, the Faradaic current varies exponentially with the potential in three zones during the negative-going sweep, with Tafel slopes of 195, 316, and 320 mV dec⁻¹, respectively. It is noteworthy that in the positive-going scan, comparable Tafel slope values are obtained, i.e., 192, 288, and 329 mV dec⁻¹, respectively, indicating that the reaction is in a relatively steady state for the



Figure 4. Molar flow rates for H_2 (m/z = 2), C_2H_4 (m/z = 26) and CH_4 (m/z = 15) during CV scans recorded on Cu–Au/PCTE at 5 mV s⁻¹ in N₂-saturated 0.5 M KHCO₃ solution under (a) N₂ and (b) CO₂ conditions, respectively. (c) MSCVs plotted with data shown in (b) to provide a iR- and time-corrected voltammogram of the instantaneous rate of product generation.

same potential regions between the forward and back scans. Correspondingly, from the unfolded MSCV data displayed in Figure 5b, the onset potentials and the mass ion current (i_{MS}) magnitudes of the gaseous species follow the trend $H_2 > C_2H_4$ > CH₄. The onset potentials and Tafel slopes obtained from different techniques are summarized in Table 1. It can be seen that these data from the CV are in good accordance with the results from chronoamperometry and chronopotentiometry. The discrepancy in onset potentials and Tafel slopes can be due to the application of different experimental protocols (stepwise vs continuous) and the variation of potential regions



Figure 5. (a) Unfolded Faradaic current-potential profile from a $CO_2RR \ CV$ of Cu-Au/PCTE recorded in 0.5 M KHCO₃ at a scan rate of 1 mV s⁻¹. (b) Corresponding mass ion current responses for $H_2 (m/z = 2), C_2H_4 (m/z = 26)$, and $CH_4 (m/z = 15)$, respectively, during the CV scan shown in (a). Estimated Tafel slopes are indicated.

Table 1. Summary of the Onset Potentials for the Production of Different Species as Determined from MS Gas Analysis

	onset potential (V vs RHE)		
technique	H ₂	C_2H_4	CH_4
chronoamperometry	-0.66	-0.83	-1.10
chronopotentiometry	-0.72	-0.87	-1.06
cyclic voltammetry	-0.59	-0.81	-1.04

for data analysis, respectively. Overall, the MSCV method provides a more precise way of evaluating the onset potentials for the products and the Tafel slopes since a wide range of dynamically changing potentials can be investigated. Moreover, the mass ion currents also show a Tafel-like potential dependence, with domains that match those of the I-E curve. Likewise, they can be divided in three zones for the same potential ranges as Figure 5a in the negative-going scan. In Zone I, only H₂ is produced and a linear relation between the $i_{\rm MS}$ in a logarithmic scale and the potential is seen, leading to a well-defined estimated Tafel slope of 159 mV dec⁻¹ over a

range of two orders of magnitude in $i_{\rm MS.}$ As the $i_{\rm MS}$ is exclusively associated with the production of hydrogen, this Tafel slope is the same as expected for the electrochemical partial current for the hydrogen evolution reaction, leading to gaseous hydrogen. Hence, the i_{MS} currents can be used to determine the Tafel slopes of the appropriate reactions provided that there are no other (non-gaseous) sinks for the produced species (i.e., under the condition where all hydrogen goes into the gas stream and is not consumed in any following processes). Next, after \sim 340 s (equivalent to a potential difference of 0.34 V), C₂H₄ starts to appear in Zone II and the $i_{\rm MS}$ varies exponentially with a Tafel slope of 160 mV dec⁻¹, accompanied by a shoulder peak in the i_{MS} of H₂, after which the production of H₂ increases at a much slower rate. Once the overpotential is increased further by -0.23 V (ca. 230 s) to Zone III, the i_{MS} for CH₄ commences, showing an estimated Tafel slope of 96 mV dec⁻¹, while the rate of H₂ increase is further inhibited, and the rate of C_2H_4 increase is unchanged. In the following positive-going scan, similar slope values are seen for the three products in three respective zones, with a summary of the average values of the estimated Tafel slopes provided in Table 2. Note that the difference in the slopes

Table 2. Average of Forward and Reverse Estimated Tafel Slopes Based on Electrochemical Current and MS Current for Different Species Derived from Cyclic Voltammograms in Each of the Three Regions Demarcated in Figure 5^a

	estimated Tafel s	ope (mV dec ⁻¹)			
		mas	mass spectrometric response		
potential region (V vs RHE)	electrochemical current	H_2	C_2H_4	CH4	
Zone I (-0.46 to -0.79)	194	164	NS	NS	
Zone II (-0.79 to -1.03)	302	ND	165	NS	
Zone III (-1.03 to -1.13)	325	ND	165	98	
^a ND: not developed curved	rosponso indicativ	na no	dafinad	Tafal	

"ND: not developed, curved response indicating no defined Tafel slope; NS: no signal, potential too low to generate measurable amounts of species.

obtained from the Faradaic and mass ion currents lies in the fact that the former is contributed by all the products (including any extra ones which dissolve in the electrolyte), while the latter is exclusively from a specific species.⁵⁹ However, the results presented here highlight that the kinetic patterns of product evolution are crucial to explain the Tafel slope changes observed for the I-E curve over different potential regions and in opposite scan directions. The significant deviation from Tafel behavior seen for the hydrogen signal in Figure 5b once ethene production commences (and further seen when methane production starts) is hardly surprising as it is transitioning from a regime where all of the H_{ads} produced on the electrode forms H₂ to one in which the H_{ads} can either form H₂ or be consumed in other reactions, leading to ethene and methane.

Correction of Local pH Shift Using Ultramicroelectrode (UME) Response. So far, within this work, the interpretation of gaseous species for CO_2RR has been extensively explored, while the evaluation of products in the electrolyte remains unclear. Given the complex sampling procedures and long analysis time associated with the conventional techniques, such as HPLC and NMR, it is vital to develop efficient analytical tools to enable the online and in situ inspection of local reaction environment. Due to the merits of high sensitivity and fast response, localized electrochemistry using UMEs provides promising approaches for continuous real-time measurements of liquid species. In many ways, the arrangement of a UME adjacent to the GAME is similar to the use of a ring electrode in the RRDE technique. Therefore, the correlative configuration of a UME and online MS coupled with the GAME, i.e., GAME-MS-UME, facilitates the simultaneous diagnosis of both the liquid and gas products of CO₂RR.

In this work, the CO₂RR on the Au/PCTE electrode is used as a model system to demonstrate the capability of coupled GAME-MS-UME given its relatively simple reaction routes (and therefore product species) compared with other metals. A Pt UME, which has a diameter of 25 μ m, can be positioned adjacent to the GAME ($\leq 100 \ \mu$ m away; see the Supporting Information). The potential at the UME is cycled, while a series of constant potential steps are applied to the GAME purged with N₂ or CO₂. During the CO₂RR of Au/PCTE at the GAME (equivalent to the generator in the SECM technique), the concentration of product species in the liquid can be replenished constantly across the gap, ensuring a steady mass transport profile at the UME (analogous to the collector in SECM), which can then act as a powerful probe to electrochemically detect them immediately after generation.

As expected, the GAME demonstrates higher Faradaic currents in CO₂ than in N₂ (see the Supporting Information), and the MS results show that H_2 is the only detectable gaseous product for both cases (Figure S8). However, there are some distinct features on the UME CVs recorded when the GAME is supplied by N_2 or CO_2 . Note that given that the electrochemical measurements were carried out using a fourelectrode system, the Ohmic drop on the GAME electrode also affects the potential at the UME, and the cross-talk effect between the two working electrodes needs to be carefully considered (Supporting Information).⁶⁰⁻⁶³ Under N₂, there is only a pronounced peak observed in the cathodic scan of the UME CVs corresponding to the reduction of Pt oxide (a proton-consuming process; see the Supporting Information). Next, when the headspace in the GAME is fed with CO₂, an oxidation wave on the UME CV is seen. This peak shifts negatively, and the magnitude is improved with the decrease of the potential on the GAME (Figure 6a and Figure S17). This behavior is very reproducible over a range of measurements (Figure S18) and will be discussed in detail later. Meanwhile, the current density on the GAME is increased significantly below -1.4 V vs Ag/AgCl (Figure 6b), and these values measured at constant potentials match reasonably well with those observed during voltammetry on the GAME, albeit on different samples (see Supporting Information, Figure S19).

Another characteristic of the UME CVs is that the reduction peak in the presence of CO_2 shifts to more cathodic potentials, while for the CVs recorded under N_2 , this is only seen at very negative potentials and with a much smaller magnitude. This behavior is a reflection of the increase of the local pH due to the release of hydroxide ions during CO_2RR and HER, which can further possibly influence the product selectivity.^{56,64,65} Therefore, we have used the voltammetric response of Pt oxide reduction on the UME as a sensor for the local pH variation.⁶⁶ The potential difference is then converted to pH values using the Nernst equation (see the Supporting Information). By this means, the extent of pH shift during electrochemical reactions can be in situ assessed without the extra effort of electrode



Figure 6. (a) UME CVs recorded at 5 mV s⁻¹ when the GAME is held at different potentials. (b) Averaged current densities on the GAME under different potential steps. (c) Estimated pH of the electrolyte in the vicinity to the GAME as a function of GAME current density under an N₂ or CO₂ atmosphere.

modification. The relationship between the pH variation (from the original value of 9.2 for the bulk N₂-saturated solution) and the current densities of the GAME is depicted in Figure 6c, analogous to the behavior reported previously.^{67,68} Two correlation regimes are seen, regardless of the type of gas fed to the GAME: at small current densities (>–0.3 mA cm⁻²), the local pH changes fast; at high current densities (<–0.3 mA cm⁻²), the pH variation slows down with the increasing current density. Under the highest current density studied herein (–11.26 mA cm⁻²), the local pH increases by 0.8 unit. Note that this value is distance-dependent since a gradient of pH is established around the GAME, which can be fully characterized by varying the separation between the GAME and the UME.

pH-Corrected Response of the Solution-Phase Products at the UME. The potentials of the UME CVs were further corrected with respect to the RHE scale to take into account the pH shift effect induced by the CO₂RR on the GAME based on the discussion above. As shown in Figure 7, the reduction peak for Pt oxide is now aligned for all the voltammograms. During the electrolysis of Au/PCTE under N₂, CVs almost overlap in the range of 0-1.0 V and no oxidation peak is unambiguously observed. In contrast, when the headspace in the GAME is switched to the CO_2 atmosphere, an oxidation peak is seen on the UME CVs when the potential on the GAME is kept at less than or equal to -0.62 V vs RHE (-1.38 V vs Ag/AgCl). The anodic wave on the UME shifts negatively and increases in intensity with the further decrease of the potential of the GAME. In some cases, there is another oxidation peak observed in the back (negative-going) scan (Supporting Information). These electrochemical responses are likely to be predominantly ascribed to the oxidation of CO and HCOO⁻ products (see the NMR analysis of solution in the Supporting Information), analogous to the performance reported elsewhere.^{26,30,69} At -0.62 V, there is a sharp peak ascribed to the CO electrooxidation on platinum. Note that in our case, most of the resulting CO would diffuse into the gas channels of the porous electrode and be collected in the gas phase, less likely to form bubbles to interfere with the local electrochemical measurements, in contrast to the R(R)DE and SECM techniques.²⁸ However, at lower potentials (\leq -0.74 V on the GAME), the



Figure 7. Cyclic voltammograms of the Pt UME ($d = 25 \ \mu m$) obtained in N₂-saturated 0.1 M KHCO₃ when the Au/PCTE GAME is supplied with (a) N₂ and (b) CO₂. Scan rate: 5 mV s⁻¹. Insets show the schematics for the setup where a series of constant potentials are applied to the GAME, while CVs are recorded on the Pt UME.

peak shifts to lower potentials with an enhancement of the currents, indicative of formate oxidation. Some variance seen across repetitive measurements is possibly caused by several factors, such as the concentrations of products, the separation between the GAME and the UME, and the dynamic pH (dependent on the extent of the electrocatalytic reaction; see the Supporting Information). Note that the current detection of liquid products has not yet been directly correlated to the evolution of gaseous products interrogated by the GAME-MS, which will be explicitly investigated in the future.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, a correlative platform of GAME-MS-UME has been developed to extensively investigate the CO_2RR process. This configuration enables fast gas distribution and product collection to be simultaneously achieved while serving as a powerful tool for real-time and in situ characterization. From the synchronized electrochemical–spectrometric results, the evolution of diverse CO_2RR products (H_2 , C_2H_4 , and CH_4) is elucidated, providing insights about the onset potentials for individual products and the Tafel slopes for the overall reaction. It should also be highlighted that the estimated Tafel slopes for individual products can be obtained from the MSCVs enabled by the GAME-MS, which are critical to the interpretation of electrokinetic behavior. Such information will be useful in revealing the possible reaction pathways leading to these products. Moreover, the scenario of using the UME to evaluate the pH shift and the liquid products over the course of the CO₂RR further adds to the experimental approaches to investigate the pH effect, which is yet mostly assessed by theoretical means. Therefore, the high-resolution characterization presented in this work offers paramount perspectives for unraveling the mystery of complex electrochemical processes, making it superior to many other analytical methods. In future, this hyphenated technique can be further improved to complete the full-spectrum product analysis, including CO, and extended to study many more catalysts and reactions. It also opens up prospects for the continuous production of targeted chemicals in combination with flow cells.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acscatal.2c00609.

Schematics for the GAME-MS-UME; optical images of the prepared samples; determination of onset potentials and current efficiency; estimation of ECSA of Au/ PCTE; response time assessment of the GAME-MS; chronoamperometry and chronopotentiometry measurements and MS responses for CO_2RR ; electrical crosstalk effect; characterization of the Pt UME and UME CVs; estimation of pH during CO_2RR ; NMR spectra for the liquid products (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Anthony Kucernak – Department of Chemistry, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom; orcid.org/0000-0002-5790-9683; Email: anthony@ imperial.ac.uk

Authors

Guohui Zhang – Department of Chemistry, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom Youxin Cui – Department of Chemistry, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acscatal.2c00609

Author Contributions

[†]Present address: State Key Laboratory of Catalysis, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian 116023, China.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest. The data used for the production of the figures in this work are available to download at DOI:10.5281/zenodo.6526650.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Mr. Jim Melling from Hiden Analytical for providing helpful discussion on the operation and optimization of the mass spectrometer. We also highly appreciate the great help from Mr. Peter Haycock from the Department of Chemistry for the NMR measurements as well as Dr. Soren Scott from the Department of Materials for useful discussions on MS data analysis. The authors would like to thank the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) under grant EP/P024807/1 and EP/R023581/1 for supporting the project.

REFERENCES

(1) Baturina, O. A.; Lu, Q.; Padilla, M. A.; Xin, L.; Li, W. Z.; Serov, A.; Artyushkova, K.; Atanassov, P.; Xu, F.; Epshteyn, A.; Brintlinger, T.; Schuette, M.; Collins, G. E. CO_2 Electroreduction to Hydrocarbons on Carbon-Supported Cu Nanoparticles. *ACS Catal.* **2014**, *4*, 3682–3695.

(2) Ma, W. C.; Xie, S. J.; Zhang, X. G.; Sun, F. F.; Kang, J. C.; Jiang, Z.; Zhang, Q. H.; Wu, D. Y.; Wang, Y. Promoting Electrocatalytic CO_2 Reduction to Formate via Sulfur-Boosting Water Activation on Indium Surfaces. *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10*, 892.

(3) Zhang, Y.-J.; Sethuraman, V.; Michalsky, R.; Peterson, A. A. Competition between CO_2 Reduction and H_2 Evolution on Transition-Metal Electrocatalysts. *ACS Catal.* **2014**, *4*, 3742–3748.

(4) Ooka, H.; Figueiredo, M. C.; Koper, M. T. M. Competition between Hydrogen Evolution and Carbon Dioxide Reduction on Copper Electrodes in Mildly Acidic Media. *Langmuir* **2017**, *33*, 9307–9313.

(5) Mezzavilla, S.; Horch, S.; Stephens, I. E. L.; Seger, B.; Chorkendorff, I. Structure Sensitivity in the Electrocatalytic Reduction of CO_2 with Gold Catalysts. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2019**, 58, 3774–3778.

(6) Arán-Ais, R. M.; Gao, D.; Roldan Cuenya, B. Structure- and Electrolyte-Sensitivity in CO₂ Electroreduction. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2018**, *51*, 2906–2917.

(7) Chen, Y. H.; Li, C. W.; Kanan, M. W. Aqueous CO₂ Reduction at Very Low Overpotential on Oxide-Derived Au Nanoparticles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 19969–19972.

(8) Kuhl, K. P.; Hatsukade, T.; Cave, E. R.; Abram, D. N.; Kibsgaard, J.; Jaramillo, T. F. Electrocatalytic Conversion of Carbon Dioxide to Methane and Methanol on Transition Metal Surfaces. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2014**, 136, 14107–14113.

(9) Clark, E. L.; Singh, M. R.; Kwon, Y.; Bell, A. T. Differential Electrochemical Mass Spectrometer Cell Design for Online Quantification of Products Produced during Electrochemical Reduction of CO₂. *Anal. Chem.* **2015**, *87*, 8013–8020.

(10) Hori, Y.; Kikuchi, K.; Suzuki, S. Production of CO and CH_4 in Electrochemical Reduction of CO_2 at Metal Electrodes in Aqueous Hydrogencarbonate Solution. *Chem. Lett.* **1985**, *11*, 1695–1698.

(11) Sun, Z. Y.; Ma, T.; Tao, H. C.; Fan, Q.; Han, B. X. Fundamentals and Challenges of Electrochemical CO_2 Reduction Using Two-Dimensional Materials. *Chem* **2017**, *3*, 560–587.

(12) Weekes, D. M.; Salvatore, D. A.; Reyes, A.; Huang, A. X.; Berlinguette, C. P. Electrolytic CO_2 Reduction in a Flow Cell. Acc. Chem. Res. **2018**, 51, 910–918.

(13) Salvatore, D. A.; Weekes, D. M.; He, J. F.; Dettelbach, K. E.; Li, Y. G. C.; Mallouk, T. E.; Berlinguette, C. P. Electrolysis of Gaseous CO_2 to CO in a Flow Cell with a Bipolar Membrane. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2018**, *3*, 149–154.

(14) Aoki, A.; Nogami, G. Rotating-Ring-Disk Electrode Study on the Fixation Mechanism of Carbon Dioxide. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1995**, 142, 423–427.

(15) Clark, E. L.; Bell, A. T. Direct Observation of the Local Reaction Environment during the Electrochemical Reduction of CO₂. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 7012–7020.

(16) Dinh, C. T.; Burdyny, T.; Kibria, M. G.; Seifitokaldani, A.; Gabardo, C. M.; de Arquer, F. P. G.; Kiani, A.; Edwards, J. P.; De Luna, P.; Bushuyev, O. S.; Zou, C. Q.; Quintero-Bermudez, R.; Pang, Y. J.; Sinton, D.; Sargent, E. H. CO_2 Electroreduction to Ethylene via Hydroxide-Mediated Copper Catalysis at an Abrupt Interface. *Science* **2018**, *360*, 783–787.

(17) Han, L. H.; Zhou, W.; Xiang, C. X. High-Rate Electrochemical Reduction of Carbon Monoxide to Ethylene Using Cu-Nanoparticle-Based Gas Diffusion Electrodes. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2018**, *3*, 855–860.

(18) Li, J.; Chen, G.; Zhu, Y.; Liang, Z.; Pei, A.; Wu, C.-L.; Wang, H.; Lee, H. R.; Liu, K.; Chu, S.; Cui, Y. Efficient Electrocatalytic CO_2 Reduction on a Three-Phase Interface. *Nat. Catal.* **2018**, *1*, 592–600. (19) Dinh, C. T.; de Arquer, F. P. G.; Sinton, D.; Sargent, E. H. High Rate, Selective, and Stable Electroreduction of CO_2 to CO in Basic and Neutral Media. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2018**, *3*, 2835–2840.

(20) Zhang, F.; Co, A. C. Rapid Product Analysis for the Electroreduction of CO_2 on Heterogeneous and Homogeneous Catalysts Using a Rotating Ring Detector. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2020**, *167*, No. 046517.

(21) Wolter, O.; Heitbaum, J. Differential Electrochemical Mass-Spectroscopy (DEMS) - a New Method for the Study of Electrode Processes. *Ber. Bunsen-Ges. Phys. Chem.* **1984**, *88*, 2–6.

(22) Baltruschat, H. Differential Electrochemical Mass Spectrometry. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 2004, 15, 1693–1706.

(23) Wonders, A. H.; Housmans, T. H. M.; Rosca, V.; Koper, M. T. M. On-line Mass Spectrometry System for Measurements at Single-Crystal Electrodes in Hanging Meniscus Configuration. *J. Appl. Electrochem.* **2006**, 36, 1215–1221.

(24) Hori, Y.; Konishi, H.; Futamura, T.; Murata, A.; Koga, O.; Sakurai, H.; Oguma, K. "Deactivation of Copper Electrode" in Electrochemical Reduction of CO₂. *Electrochim. Acta* **2005**, *50*, 5354–5369.

(25) Bertheussen, E.; Abghoui, Y.; Jovanov, Z. P.; Varela, A. S.; Stephens, I. E. L.; Chorkendorff, I. Quantification of Liquid Products from the Electroreduction of CO_2 and CO Using Static Headspace-Gas Chromatography and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy. *Catal. Today* **2017**, 288, 54–62.

(26) Zhang, F.; Co, A. C. Direct Evidence of Local pH Change and the Role of Alkali Cation during CO_2 Electroreduction in Aqueous Media. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 1674–1681.

(27) Goyal, A.; Marcandalli, G.; Mints, V. A.; Koper, M. T. M. Competition between CO_2 Reduction and Hydrogen Evolution on a Gold Electrode under Well-Defined Mass Transport Conditions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, 142, 4154–4161.

(28) Vos, J.; Koper, M. Examination and Prevention of Ring Collection Failure during Gas-Evolving Reactions on a Rotating Ring-Disk Electrode. J. Electroanal. Chem. **2019**, 850, 113363.

(29) Kai, T. H.; Zhou, M.; Duan, Z. Y.; Henkelman, G. A.; Bard, A. J. Detection of CO₂•- in the Electrochemical Reduction of Carbon Dioxide in N,N-Dimethylformamide by Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 18552–18557.

(30) Sreekanth, N.; Phani, K. L. Selective Reduction of CO_2 to Formate Through Bicarbonate Reduction On Metal Electrodes: New Insights Gained from SG/TC Mode of SECM. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 11143–11146.

(31) Zhang, G. H.; Kucernak, A. Gas Accessible Membrane Electrode (GAME): A Versatile Platform for Elucidating Electrocatalytic Processes Using Real-Time and in Situ Hyphenated Electrochemical Techniques. *ACS Catal.* **2020**, *10*, 9684–9693.

(32) Zalitis, C. M.; Kucernak, A. R.; Sharman, J.; Wright, E. Design Principles for Platinum Nanoparticles Catalysing Electrochemical Hydrogen Evolution and Oxidation Reactions: Edges Are Much More Active than Facets. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2017**, *5*, 23328–23338.

(33) Jackson, C.; Raymakers, L. F. J. M.; Mulder, M. J. J.; Kucernak, A. R. J. Assessing Electrocatalyst Hydrogen Activity and CO Tolerance: Comparison of Performance Obtained Using the High Mass Transport 'Floating Electrode' Technique and in Electrochemical Hydrogen Pumps. *Appl. Catal. B* **2020**, *268*, 118734.

(34) Zalitis, C.; Kucernak, A.; Lin, X. Q.; Sharman, J. Electrochemical Measurement of Intrinsic Oxygen Reduction Reaction Activity at High Current Densities as a Function of Particle Size for Pt4-xCox/C (x=0, 1, 3) Catalysts. ACS Catal. 2020, 10, 4361–4376. (35) Lin, X. Q.; Zalitis, C. M.; Sharman, J.; Kucernak, A. Electrocatalyst Performance at the Gas/Electrolyte Interface under High-Mass-Transport Conditions: Optimization of the "Floating Electrode" Method. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2020, 12, 47467-47481.

(36) Raciti, D.; Wang, C. Recent Advances in CO_2 Reduction Electrocatalysis on Copper. ACS Energy Lett. **2018**, 3, 1545–1556.

(37) Lai, Y.; Jones, R. J. R.; Wang, Y.; Zhou, L.; Richter, M.; Gregoire, J. The Sensitivity of Cu for Electrochemical Carbon Dioxide Reduction to Hydrocarbons as Revealed by High Throughput Experiments. J. Mater. Chem. A 2019, 7, 26785–26790.

(38) Back, S.; Kim, J. H.; Kim, Y. T.; Jung, Y. Bifunctional Interface of Au and Cu for Improved CO_2 Electroreduction. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces **2016**, *8*, 23022–23027.

(39) Nishizawa, M.; Menon, V. P.; Martin, C. R. Metal Nanotubule Membranes with Electrochemically Switchable Ion-Transport Selectivity. *Science* **1995**, *268*, 700–702.

(40) Sen, S.; Liu, D.; Palmore, G. T. R. Electrochemical Reduction of CO₂ at Copper Nanofoams. *ACS Catal.* **2014**, *4*, 3091–3095.

(41) Sterlitech Polycarbonate (PCTE) Membrane Filters. https:// www.sterlitech.com/hydrophobic-polycarbonate-membrane-filterpctf0447100.html (accessed 2022-03-12).

(42) Gabardo, C. M.; Seifitokaldani, A.; Edwards, J. P.; Dinh, C. T.; Burdyny, T.; Kibria, M. G.; O'Brien, C. P.; Sargent, E. H.; Sinton, D. Combined High Alkalinity and Pressurization Enable Efficient CO_2 Electroreduction to CO. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2018**, *11*, 2531–2539.

(43) Cave, E. R.; Montoya, J. H.; Kuhl, K. P.; Abram, D. N.; Hatsukade, T.; Shi, C.; Hahn, C.; Norskov, J. K.; Jaramillo, T. F. Electrochemical CO_2 Reduction on Au Surfaces: Mechanistic Aspects Regarding the Formation of Major and Minor Products. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *19*, 15856–15863.

(44) Lv, J. J.; Jouny, M.; Luc, W.; Zhu, W. L.; Zhu, J. J.; Jiao, F. A Highly Porous Copper Electrocatalyst for Carbon Dioxide Reduction. *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 1803111.

(45) Gao, D. F.; Aran-Ais, R. M.; Jeon, H. S.; Roldan Cuenya, B. Rational Catalyst and Electrolyte Design for CO_2 Electroreduction towards Multicarbon Products. *Nat. Catal.* **2019**, *2*, 198–210.

(46) Todoroki, N.; Tei, H.; Tsurumaki, H.; Miyakawa, T.; Inoue, T.; Wadayama, T. Surface Atomic Arrangement Dependence of Electrochemical CO_2 Reduction on Gold: Online Electrochemical Mass Spectrometric Study on Low-Index Au(hkl) Surfaces. *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9*, 1383–1388.

(47) Morales-Guio, C. G.; Cave, E. R.; Nitopi, S. A.; Feaster, J. T.; Wang, L.; Kuhl, K. P.; Jackson, A.; Johnson, N. C.; Abram, D. N.; Hatsukade, T.; Hahn, C.; Jaramillo, T. F. Improved CO_2 Reduction Activity towards C_{2+} Alcohols on a Tandem Gold on Copper Electrocatalyst. *Nat. Catal.* **2018**, *1*, 764–771.

(48) Hussain, J.; Jonsson, H.; Skulason, E. Calculations of Product Selectivity in Electrochemical CO_2 Reduction. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 5240–5249.

(49) Billy, J. T.; Co, A. C. Experimental Parameters Influencing Hydrocarbon Selectivity during the Electrochemical Conversion of CO₂. ACS Catal. **2017**, *7*, 8467–8479.

(50) Kas, R.; Hummadi, K. K.; Kortlever, R.; de Wit, P.; Milbrat, A.; Luiten-Olieman, M. W. J.; Benes, N. E.; Koper, M. T. M.; Mul, G. Three-Dimensional Porous Hollow Fibre Copper Electrodes for Efficient and High-Rate Electrochemical Carbon Dioxide Reduction. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 10748.

(51) Housmans, T. H. M.; Wonders, A. H.; Koper, M. T. M. Structure Sensitivity of Methanol Electrooxidation Pathways on Platinum: An On-Line Electrochemical Mass Spectrometry Study. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2006**, *110*, 10021–10031.

(52) Shen, J.; Kortlever, R.; Kas, R.; Birdja, Y. Y.; Diaz-Morales, O.; Kwon, Y.; Ledezma-Yanez, I.; Schouten, K. J. P.; Mul, G.; Koper, M. T. M. Electrocatalytic Reduction of Carbon Dioxide to Carbon Monoxide and Methane at an Immobilized Cobalt Protoporphyrin. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 8177.

(53) Camilo, M. R.; Silva, W. O.; Lima, F. H. B. Investigation of Electrocatalysts for Selective Reduction of CO_2 to CO: Monitoring the Reaction Products by on line Mass Spectrometry and Gas Chromatography. *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *28*, 1803–1815.

(54) Basford, J. A.; Boeckmann, M. D.; Ellefson, R. E.; Filippelli, A. R.; Holkeboer, D. H.; Lieszkovszky, L.; Stupak, C. M. Recommended Practice for the Calibration of Mass Spectrometers for Partial-Pressure Analysis. *J. Vac. Sci. Technol., A* **1993**, *11*, A22–A40.

(55) Bondue, C. J.; Koper, M. T. M. A DEMS Approach for the Direct Detection of CO Formed during Electrochemical CO₂ Reduction. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **2020**, *875*, 113842.

(56) Hori, Y.; Murata, A.; Takahashi, R. Formation of Hydrocarbons in the Electrochemical Reduction of Carbon Dioxide at a Copper Electrode in Aqueous Solution. *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.* 1 **1989**, 85, 2309–2326.

(57) Perez-Rodriguez, S.; Corengia, M.; Garcia, G.; Zinola, C. F.; Lazaro, M. J.; Pastor, E. Gas Diffusion Electrodes for Methanol Electrooxidation Studied by a New DEMS Configuration: Influence of the Diffusion Layer. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2012**, *37*, 7141–7151.

(58) Grote, J. P.; Zeradjanin, A. R.; Cherevko, S.; Mayrhofer, K. J. J. Coupling of a Scanning Flow Cell with Online Electrochemical Mass Spectrometry for Screening of Reaction Selectivity. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2014**, *85*, 104101.

(59) Diaz-Coello, S.; Garcia, G.; Arevalo, M. C.; Pastor, E. Precise Determination of Tafel Slopes by DEMS. Hydrogen Evolution on Tungsten-Based Catalysts in Alkaline Solution. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2019**, *44*, 12576–12582.

(60) Vesztergom, S.; Barankai, N.; Kovács, N.; Ujvári, M.; Broekmann, P.; Siegenthaler, H.; Láng, G. G. Electrical Cross-Talk in Rotating Ring–Disk Experiments. *Electrochem. Commun.* **2016**, *68*, 54–58.

(61) Trinh, D.; Maisonhaute, E.; Vivier, V. Electrical Cross-Talk in Transient Mode of Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy. *Electrochem. Commun.* **2012**, *16*, 49–52.

(62) Shabrang, M.; Bruckenstein, S. Compensation of Ohmic Potential Interactions Occurring at Ring-Disk Electrodes. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1975**, *122*, 1305–1311.

(63) Shabrang, M.; Bruckenstein, S. Equivalent Circuit for the Uncompensated Resistances Occurring at Ring-Disk Electrodes. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1974, 121, 1439–1444.

(64) Ma, M.; Djanashvili, K.; Smith, W. A. Controllable Hydrocarbon Formation from the Electrochemical Reduction of CO_2 over Cu Nanowire Arrays. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 6680–6684.

(65) Gupta, N.; Gattrell, M.; MacDougall, B. Calculation for the Cathode Surface Concentrations in the Electrochemical Reduction of CO_2 in KHCO₃ Solutions. *J. Appl. Electrochem.* **2006**, *36*, 161–172.

(66) Botz, A.; Clausmeyer, J.; Ohl, D.; Tarnev, T.; Franzen, D.; Turek, T.; Schuhmann, W. Local Activities of Hydroxide and Water Determine the Operation of Silver-Based Oxygen Depolarized Cathodes. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 12285–12289.

(67) Dunwell, M.; Yang, X.; Setzler, B. P.; Anibal, J.; Yan, Y. S.; Xu, B. J. Examination of Near-Electrode Concentration Gradients and Kinetic Impacts on the Electrochemical Reduction of CO_2 using Surface-Enhanced Infrared Spectroscopy. *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8*, 3999–4008.

(68) Yang, K. L.; Kas, R.; Smith, W. A. In Situ Infrared Spectroscopy Reveals Persistent Alkalinity near Electrode Surfaces during CO₂ Electroreduction. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2019**, 141, 15891–15900.

(69) Monteiro, M. C. O.; Jacobse, L.; Koper, M. T. M. Understanding the Voltammetry of Bulk CO Electrooxidation in Neutral Media through Combined SECM Measurements. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2020**, *11*, 9708–9713.