Does the morphology of cutaneous melanoma help explain the international differences in survival? Results from 1,578,482 adults diagnosed during 2000-2014 in 59 countries (CONCORD-3)
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## What's already known about this topic?

The histopathologic features of cutaneous melanoma vary markedly world-wide. The proportion of melanomas with the more aggressive acral lentiginous or nodular histologic subtypes is higher in populations with predominantly dark skin than in those with predominantly fair skin. We set out to assess the extent to which these differences in morphology may explain international variation in survival from melanoma of the skin when all histologic sub-types are combined, as is usually the case.

## What does this study add?

The study provides, for the first time, international comparisons of population-based survival at five years for the main histologic sub-types of melanoma for over 1.5 million adults diagnosed during 2000-2014. It highlights the less favourable distribution of histologic subtypes in Asia and Central and South America, and the poorer prognosis for nodular and acral lentiginous melanomas. We found that later stage at diagnosis does not fully explain the higher excess risk of death for nodular and acral lentiginous melanoma than for superficial spreading melanoma.

## Summary

## Background

CONCORD-3 highlighted wide disparities in population-based 5-year net survival during 2000 2014. Clinical evidence suggests marked international differences in the proportion of lethal acral and nodular subtypes.

## Objectives

We aim to assess whether the differences in morphology may explain global variation in survival.

## Methods

We grouped melanoma into seven morphology categories: malignant melanoma, not otherwise specified (ICD-O-3 morphology code 8720), superficial spreading melanoma (8743), lentigo maligna melanoma (8742), nodular melanoma (8721), acral lentiginous melanoma (8744), desmoplastic melanoma (8745) and other morphologies (8722-8723, 87268727, 8730, 8740-8741, 8746, 8761, 8770-8774, 8780).

We estimated net survival with the non-parametric Pohar-Perme estimator, correcting for background mortality by single year of age, sex and calendar year in each country or region. All-ages survival estimates were standardised with the International Cancer Survival Standard weights. We fitted a flexible parametric model to estimate the effect of morphology on the hazard of death.

## Results

Worldwide, the proportion of nodular melanoma ranged between $7 \%-13 \%$. Acral lentiginous melanoma accounted for less than 2\% of all registrations but was more common in Asia (6\%) and Central and South America (7\%). 36\% of tumours were classified as superficial spreading melanoma.

During 2010-2014, age-standardised 5-year net survival for superficial spreading melanoma was $95 \%$ or higher in Oceania, North America and most European countries, but only $71 \%$ in Taiwan. Survival for acral lentiginous melanoma ranged between 66\%-95\%. Nodular melanoma had the poorest prognosis everywhere.

The multivariable analysis of data from registries with complete information on stage and morphology found that sex, age and stage at diagnosis only partially explain the higher risk of death for nodular and acral lentiginous subtypes.

## Conclusions

This study provides the broadest picture of distribution and population-based survival trends for the main morphological sub-types of cutaneous melanoma in 59 countries. The poorer prognosis for nodular and acral lentiginous melanomas, more frequent in Asia and Latin America, suggests the need for health policies aimed at specific populations to improve awareness, early diagnosis and access to treatment.

## Introduction

The incidence of cutaneous melanoma has been rising steadily in most populations of Caucasian origin over the past 50 years. ${ }^{1,2}$ It is now one of the 10 most common malignancies in Oceania, North America and Europe, with age-standardised incidence rates in the range 7.0 to 36.6 per 100,000 person-years. By contrast, melanoma is rare in populations of Asian and African origin, where incidence rates are in the range $0.4-3.0 .^{3}$

The histopathologic features of cutaneous melanoma vary markedly world-wide. The proportion of melanomas with the more aggressive acral lentiginous or nodular histologic types is higher in populations with predominantly dark skin than in those with predominantly fair skin. ${ }^{4,5}$

The third cycle of the CONCORD programme for the global surveillance of cancer survival (CONCORD-3) ${ }^{6}$ highlighted wide disparities in 5-year net survival from cutaneous melanoma, which was lower in Asian populations than in the rest of the world. Age-standardised 5-year net survival for adults (15-99 years) diagnosed during 2010-2014 was $90 \%$ or higher in the US, Australia, New Zealand and most Nordic countries, but $60 \%$ or lower in Ecuador, China, Korea, Singapore and Taiwan

Stage at diagnosis is recognised as the most important predictor of survival. ${ }^{7-10}$ Age at diagnosis is also a prognostic factor, and several studies have shown much higher survival for younger patients. ${ }^{11-15}$

The prognostic role of morphology in cutaneous melanoma is controversial, however. Traditionally, melanomas of the skin have been classified into three fairly well-defined subgroups, characterised by different patterns of growth: superficial spreading and lentigo maligna melanoma, which is characterised by a long period of superficial growth; nodular melanoma, which is more likely to penetrate into the deeper layers of the skin if not removed, and acral lentiginous melanoma, which mostly develops on the extremities but displays similar biological behaviour to that of nodular melanoma. ${ }^{16}$ Despite the advent of high-resolution genomics and other proposed approaches for the classification of melanocytic tumours, the diagnosis of the different subtypes should continue to be based on the pathologist's interpretation of the histology and how it fits into the WHO Classification of Tumours, commonly known as the WHO `Blue Books'. ${ }^{17}$

However, the morphology classification has not been considered useful for prognostic purposes, because of the idea that the clinical development of all melanomas is similar, whatever the histologic subtype, spreading horizontally within the epidermis and then extending vertically into the dermis, and that they converge in their biologic behaviour once they metastasise. ${ }^{18}$

In this study, we aimed to describe the histologic distribution of cutaneous melanoma in 59 countries that contributed data to CONCORD-3, for adults diagnosed during 2000-2014, and to produce the first international comparison of trends in population-based age-standardised 5 -year net survival by morphology sub-type. We also aimed to examine the role of morphology sub-type on the prognosis of cutaneous melanoma.

## Materials and Methods

Anonymised individual tumour registrations for patients diagnosed during 2000-2014 with one of 18 cancers or groups of malignancies, including melanoma, were provided for CONCORD3 by 322 population-based cancer registries in 71 countries worldwide. Patients were followed
up for their vital status to 31 December 2014. Data acquisition, ethical approval and data quality control have been described elsewhere. ${ }^{6}$

We asked participating registries to submit all registrations for malignant melanoma, regardless of anatomic site. Melanoma was defined by morphology codes in the range 87208790 in the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, third revision [ICD-O-3]. ${ }^{19}$ We focused this analysis of survival on melanomas arising in the skin (ICD-O-3 topography C44.0-C44.9), including the skin of the labia majora (C51.0), vulva (C51.9), penis (C60.9) and scrotum (C63.2). Survival from melanomas arising in internal organs and in the eye will be examined in a subsequent analysis. To facilitate quality control and comparison of the intensity of early diagnostic and screening activity, we requested all melanoma registrations, regardless of behaviour, whether benign (behaviour code 0), uncertain (1), in situ (2) or invasive (3) However, survival analyses included only primary, invasive melanomas.

Records with incomplete data, or of tumours that were benign, in situ, of uncertain behaviour, metastatic from another organ, or unknown if primary or metastatic, or for patients with age outside the range 15-99 years, were not included in survival analyses. We excluded tumours registered only from a death certificate or discovered at autopsy, since their survival is unknown, as well as records for which the sex or vital status was unknown, and those with an invalid date or sequence of dates.

Patients were grouped into seven morphology categories with the ICD-O-3 classification: malignant melanoma, not otherwise specified (NOS; morphology code 8720), superficial spreading melanoma (8743), lentigo maligna melanoma (8742), nodular melanoma (8721), acral lentiginous melanoma (8744), desmoplastic melanoma (8745) and other morphologies (8722-8723, 8726-8727, 8730, 8740-8741, 8746, 8761, 8770-8774, 8780).

Patients were grouped by calendar period of diagnosis: 2000-2004, 2005-2009, 2010-2014 We examined time trends in the morphology distribution in each country. We also estimated trends in age-standardised 5 -year net survival by country and morphology with the nonparametric Pohar Perme estimator, ${ }^{20}$ using the STATA ${ }^{21}$ command stns. ${ }^{22}$ The cohort approach was used for patients diagnosed during 2000-2004 and 2005-2009, because they had all been followed up for at least five years. We used the period approach ${ }^{23}$ to estimate survival for patients diagnosed during 2010-2014, because 5 years of follow-up for vital status were not available for all patients by 31 December 2014.

To control for wide differences in background mortality between geographical areas, men and women, and over time, we constructed life tables of all-cause mortality in the general population for each country or registry by single year of age, sex, calendar year and, where possible, by race/ethnicity (Israel, Singapore, United States, Australian Northern Territory, and New Zealand).

We estimated five-year net survival by morphology in each of five age groups (15-44, 45-54, $55-64,65-74$ and 75-99 years). We obtained age-standardised estimates for all age-groups combined using the International Cancer Survival Standard type 2 weights for the five age groups $(0.28,0.17,0.21,0.20$ and 0.14$) .{ }^{24}$ We did not estimate survival if fewer than ten patients were available for analysis in a given combination of morphology group and calendar period. If 10-49 patients were available in a given calendar period, we only estimated survival for all ages combined. If 50 or more patients were diagnosed in 2000-2004 and in 2005-2009, we attempted survival estimation for each age group in each calendar period. For 2010-2014, we estimated net survival using the period approach, including in the analyses all patients diagnosed during the 5 years 2010-2014, plus those diagnosed before 2010 who were still alive at the beginning of 2010. Therefore, for 2010-2014 the threshold of 50 or more patients for attempting age-standardisation applies to the combined cohort of patients. If a single age-
specific estimate could not be obtained, we merged the data for adjacent age groups and assigned the combined estimate to both age groups before standardisation for age. If two or more age-specific estimates could not be obtained, we present only the unstandardised estimate for all ages combined. The pooled estimates for countries with more than one registry do not include data from registries for which the estimates were less reliable. Less reliable estimates are shown with a flag (§) in Table 2 when they are the only available information from a given country or territory (see footnote in Table 2 for the definition of less reliable estimates). We comment in the text only on reliable, age-standardised survival estimates. Continental regions were defined using the United Nations Geoscheme. ${ }^{25}$

To estimate the effect of morphology on the hazard of death due to melanoma, we fitted a flexible parametric model on the $\log$ cumulative hazard scale, using stpm2 $2^{26}$ in STATA. We restricted this analysis to registries where at least $65 \%$ of registrations had a specific morphology code, i.e. not malignant melanoma, NOS. Among these registries, we further selected those for which data on stage were available for at least 75\% of registrations in one of the following classifications: UICC Tumour-Node-Metastasis staging system, $7^{\text {th }}$ edition, ${ }^{27}$ Condensed TNM, ${ }^{28}$ or SEER Summary Stage $2000 .{ }^{29}$ With this constraint, we were able to include data from one regional cancer registry in Germany (Lower Saxony), two registries in Spain (Basque Country and Granada) and the Norwegian national cancer registry.

For each country, we first fitted a model with only morphology as a covariable (model 1). We then included, as additional covariables, sex, a restricted cubic spline for the effect of age at diagnosis (4 degrees of freedom) and stage at diagnosis (metastatic vs. non metastatic) (model 2). We excluded patients for which stage at diagnosis was unknown (complete case analysis)

## Results

We obtained data from 284 registries in 59 countries on 2,303,095 adults who were diagnosed with melanoma during 2000-2014 (Table 1). Among these, 49\% were diagnosed in North America, $37 \%$ in Europe, $12 \%$ in Oceania, and only $2 \%$ in Asia and less than $1 \%$ in both Africa and in Central and South America.

We excluded from survival analysis 637,957 patients (28\%) who were diagnosed with an in situ tumour, ranging from $11 \%$ in Central and South America to $35 \%$ in North America. The proportion of in situ melanoma was $20 \%$ or higher in 10 countries (Table 1), suggesting a highly effective approach to early diagnosis. We additionally excluded 78,587 patients for other reasons (see footnote in Table 1). The proportion of melanomas of benign or uncertain behaviour was particularly high in Norway (22\%), highlighting intensive activity of monitoring atypical naevi and pre-malignant lesions.

Of the $1,586,551$ eligible patients, we further excluded 7,139 patients ( $0.5 \%$ ) who were diagnosed only from a death certificate or discovered at autopsy and 930 patients (less than $0.1 \%$ ) for other reasons. Finally, $1,578,482$ patients diagnosed with a primary, invasive melanoma of the skin were available for survival analysis ( $99.5 \%$ of those eligible). More than $99 \%$ of these tumours were microscopically confirmed, either cytologically or histologically.

About $42 \%$ of the tumours were registered as malignant melanoma, NOS. The proportion was generally high in countries in Asia (76\%), Central and South America (63\%), North America (51\%) and Africa (46\%) and much lower in Oceania (33\%). In Europe, the proportion of melanomas with a non-specific morphology was higher in Eastern European countries (57\%) than in Southern ( $37 \%$ ), Northern ( $32 \%$ ) and Western European countries ( $27 \%$ ). The proportion of melanomas diagnosed with a non-specific morphology fell substantially in Australia (from 40\% in 2000-2004 to 26\% in 2010-2014), Denmark (from 42\% to 11\%), Iceland
(from $36 \%$ to $18 \%$ ), Italy (from 32\% to 19\%), Lithuania (from 85\% to 35\%), Portugal (from $70 \%$ to $35 \%$ ) and the United Kingdom (from 39\% to 23\%) (Table A1).

Overall, superficial spreading melanoma was the second most common histology ( $36 \%$ of all cases). It accounted for more than half the patients in Denmark, France, Iceland, the Netherlands, Norway, Sweden and Switzerland (Figure 1). Nodular melanoma accounted for 7\% of all cases in North America and Asia, 9\% in Oceania and 13\% in Central and South America. In Europe, $12 \%$ of the cases were registered as nodular melanoma, with higher proportions in Czech Republic, Ireland, Norway, Romania, Slovakia and Sweden. About 6\% of adults were diagnosed with lentigo maligna melanoma, ranging from 2\% in Asia to $8 \%$ in Oceania. Acral lentiginous melanoma was very rare in North America, Europe and Oceania (less than 2\% of all cases) but the proportion was higher in Central and South America (more than 10\% in Colombia, Costa Rica, Guadeloupe and Martinique) and Asia (more than 10\% in Korea, Singapore and Taiwan). Desmoplastic melanoma represented less than 1\% of the patients. The proportion of patients diagnosed with other morphologies was higher than $20 \%$ in Estonia, Italy and Latvia.

Malignant melanoma, not otherwise specified
Age-standardised 5 -year net survival varied widely between world regions (Table 2). It was in the range $85-89 \%$ in Oceania and North America during 2010-2014. It was higher than $80 \%$ in all Western European countries and ranged from $54 \%$ to $79 \%$ in Eastern Europe. In Central and South America, age-standardised 5-year net survival ranged from 57\% in Ecuador to 76\% in Costa Rica and Puerto Rico. Five-year survival was lower than $70 \%$ in all Asian countries except Israel ( $88 \%$ ), and as low as $47 \%$ in Taiwan.

Five-year survival increased between 2000-04 and 2010-14 by 10\% or more in China (from 36 to $48 \%$ ), Bulgaria (from 52 to $62 \%$ ), Croatia (from 66 to $77 \%$ ) and Estonia (from 71 to $83 \%$ ).

## Superficial spreading melanoma

Age-standardised 5-year net survival for patients diagnosed during 2010-2014 was $90 \%$ or higher in North America, Oceania and almost all European countries; survival was lower than $90 \%$ only in Slovakia, Poland, Lithuania, Portugal and Bulgaria. In Asia, survival ranged from $71 \%$ in Taiwan to $98 \%$ in Israel (Figure 2).

## Lentigo maligna melanoma

This sub-type of melanoma had the most favourable prognosis: age-standardised 5-year net survival was close to $100 \%$ in North America, Australia and most European countries. Estimates were not available for most countries in Central and South America and Asia because of the small numbers of patients diagnosed with this specific sub-type.

## Nodular melanoma

The prognosis for nodular melanoma was the poorest in all continents. Age-standardised 5year net survival for patients diagnosed during 2010-2014 reached 72\% in Canada and United States, $77 \%$ in New Zealand and $80 \%$ in Australia. In Central and South America, it ranged from 58\% in Costa Rica to 72\% in Argentina, and in Europe, from 58\% in Poland to 80\% in Ireland. Survival improved dramatically in Bulgaria (from 46\% in 2000-2004 to 64\% in 20102014) and in Portugal (from 59\% to 76\%).

Acral lentiginous melanoma

Five-year net survival for adults diagnosed during 2010-2014 was in the range 77-82\% in North America and Oceania and 70-95\% in Europe. Most of the estimates for countries in Asia and Central and South America were not age-standardised because of the small numbers of patients available for survival analysis.

Five-year net survival for adults diagnosed with desmoplastic melanoma during 2010-2014 ranged between $76 \%$ and $91 \%$. Estimates were not available for Central and South America or for most countries in Asia because of the small numbers of patients available for analysis.

With the excess hazard of death for patients with superficial spreading melanoma taken as the reference category, the excess hazard ratio for patients diagnosed with nodular melanoma was 21.8 ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 14.7-32.3$ ) in Germany, 12.1 (8.1-18.1) in Spain and 6.7 (5.7-7.9) in Norway (Table 3). The excess hazard ratios were lower after controlling for sex, age and stage at diagnosis, but the excess hazard of death for patients with nodular melanoma was still 13.5 (9.6-18.9) times higher in Germany, 6.7 (4.8-9.3) times higher in Spain and 4.1 (3.6-4.8) times higher in Norway, than for patients in the same country diagnosed with superficial spreading melanoma.

The excess hazard ratio for patients diagnosed with acral lentiginous melanoma vs. superficial spreading melanoma was 15.2 (9.0-25.5), 9.0 (5.2-15.5) and 1.7 (0.5-5.1) in Germany, Spain, and Norway, respectively. After controlling for sex, age and stage at diagnosis, the excess hazard of death for patients with acral lentiginous melanoma was still 10.8-fold (6.8-17.1) in Germany, 5.0 -fold (3.1-8.1) in Spain and 2.2-fold (1.0-4.9) higher in Norway, than in patients diagnosed with superficial spreading melanoma.

## Discussion

This study of over 1.5 million adults diagnosed with cutaneous melanoma world-wide during 2000-2014 has highlighted wide international differences in the distribution of histologic subtypes as well as in survival by sub-type. The prognosis is poorest everywhere for nodular and acral lentiginous melanoma.

The prognostic role of the morphology of cutaneous melanomas is controversial. Clinical guidelines indicate that stage at diagnosis is the most important prognostic factor. The prevalent idea is that melanomas of different morphologies converge in their biologic behaviour once they metastasize, ${ }^{30}$ so the recommended treatment options do not differ between morphological sub-types at a given stage at diagnosis. Clinical guidelines even indicate that the histologic sub-type is only an optional item for inclusion in pathology reports. ${ }^{31}$

Probably for this reason, the primary histologic sub-types of melanoma are often poorly specified, if at all, in pathology reports. ${ }^{11,14}$ In turn, this determines the high proportion of melanomas that are coded as "malignant melanoma, not otherwise specified (NOS)" in cancer registry data. ${ }^{13}$ In this global study, $43 \%$ of melanomas were registered as malignant melanoma NOS. The proportion varied widely, and was higher in Asia, Central and South America and Eastern Europe, as has been shown elsewhere. ${ }^{13,32}$ However, our study shows that the proportion of melanomas with poorly specified morphology has fallen in most countries over the last 15 years, suggesting improvements in pathological practice. ${ }^{33}$

Overall, superficial spreading melanoma was the most frequent of the specific morphologies, and the proportion has been increasing over time. It is generally associated with an excellent prognosis in Europe, North America and Oceania, as has been shown in previous studies. ${ }^{13,14,30,34}$ Several international studies have shown an increasing incidence of thinner melanomas ( 1 mm or less), ${ }^{15,35-41}$ as a result of raised public awareness and earlier detection, especially for superficial spreading melanomas. The result is an increasing number of people
with melanoma who are less likely to die because of their tumours. This phenomenon may help explain the improvement in the already high 5 -year net survival from superficial spreading melanoma.

Acral lentiginous melanoma represented less 1\% of the patients in Europe, North America and Oceania, but almost 6\% of the patients in Asia and 7\% in Central and South America. Very few studies have focused on survival from cutaneous melanoma in Asia and Central and South America, perhaps because the overall incidence is much lower than in fairer-skinned populations. In Singapore, acral lentiginous melanoma accounted for $16 \%$ of all cases diagnosed during 2008-2017.42 In a study of 915 patients diagnosed during 1997-2011 in Brazil, the acral sub-type accounted for $7 \%$ of all cases and that 5 -year cause-specific survival was much lower ( $51 \%$ ) than for superficial spreading melanoma ( $82 \%$ ). ${ }^{43}$ A study of 142 patients in China confirmed the poor prognosis for patients with acral lentiginous melanoma; 5 -year cause-specific survival was $53 \% .{ }^{44}$ By contrast, an analysis of 252 patients diagnosed in a single institution in Japan during 2001-2014 showed no difference between 5 -year survival for acral and non-acral lentiginous subtypes ( $59 \%$ vs. $62 \%$ in men and $71 \%$ vs. $85 \%$ in women), ${ }^{45}$ although the numbers of patients were too small to derive definitive conclusions.

Our study found that age-standardised five-year net survival for acral lentiginous melanoma was generally lower than for other morphologies, with the only exception of nodular melanoma, and globally in the range 66-95\%. The poorer prognosis for acral lentiginous melanoma, which usually develops on the palms, the sole of the foot or underneath the nails, is commonly ascribed to delayed diagnosis, because these areas are not routinely examined by patients or primary care physicians. ${ }^{46}$ Moreover, the proportion of the acral sub-type is higher in Blacks than Caucasians; ${ }^{47}$ but because the risk of melanoma in black populations is perceived to be low, the lack of secondary prevention is also considered a major cause of late diagnosis. ${ }^{48,49}$

Nodular melanoma had the poorest prognosis in all countries, as has been reported elsewhere. ${ }^{50-52}$ Forty years ago, a multivariable analysis of 339 patients diagnosed in a single institution in the US during 1960-1977 found that the increased risk associated with nodular histology was confounded by an increase in thickness and ulceration; in other words, the higher risk of death was due to more advanced stage at diagnosis, not intrinsic to the morphologic sub-type. ${ }^{53}$ On the basis of this conclusion from a small study, the American Joint Committee on Cancer did not include histologic sub-type in the cutaneous melanoma staging system, because it was not considered to be a significant prognostic factor. ${ }^{54}$ Thirty years later, however, a very large population-based study of 118,508 patients diagnosed in the US with superficial spreading or nodular melanoma during 1973-2012 showed that morphology is in fact an independent predictor of survival. ${ }^{30}$ After controlling for thickness, ulceration, mitotic index and stage at diagnosis, nodular sub-type remained an independent risk factor for death from melanoma (HR $1.55,95 \% \mathrm{CI} 1.41$ to 1.70). Another population-based study of 82,901 patients diagnosed in Germany during 1997-2013 showed that differences in 5-year survival by histologic subtype were partially explained by tumour size. ${ }^{55}$

Our population-based study confirms these findings. The multivariable analysis of data from four population-based registries with complete information on stage and morphology highlights a much higher excess risk of death with nodular or acral lentiginous melanoma than for superficial spreading melanoma, after controlling for major confounders. Sex, age and stage at diagnosis only partially explain the higher risk of death for nodular and acral lentiginous subtypes. The different magnitude of the excess hazard ratios in Germany, Spain and Norway may be due to the low baseline hazard for superficial spreading melanoma in Germany, where national skin cancer screening for people aged 35 years or more with health insurance was introduced in 2008. This may have improved early detection of the generally slow-growing, less aggressive superficial spreading melanomas. ${ }^{55}$

Our study has also shown that while five-year survival from cutaneous melanoma in Eastern Europe has been increasing in recent years, survival continues to lag behind the rest of Europe for each morphologic sub-type of melanoma. A study of seven common malignancies diagnosed in Europe during 2000-2007 found that late stage at diagnosis alone did not explain the lower survival for melanoma of the skin in Eastern Europe. ${ }^{56}$ In the current study, data on stage at diagnosis in Eastern European countries were only available for Russia and Slovakia, where the proportion of metastatic disease ( $6 \%$ and $7 \%$ ) was higher than in Norway ( $2 \%$ ) and Denmark (3\%) (data not shown). More detailed information on morphology would have helped investigate the reasons for the persistent gap in survival.

The high proportion of melanomas registered with poorly specified morphology was the major limitation of our study, because it limited the interpretation of net survival estimates for melanomas with specific morphological sub-types in all countries. Information on stage at diagnosis was also limited; complete data could have contributed disentangling the prognostic role of morphology at international level. Additionally, we were not able to control for surgical margins, a relevant prognostic factor, because these data were not available.

Our study is the largest analysis to date of survival from cutaneous melanoma. It provides, for the first time, international comparisons of population-based survival for the main histologic sub-types of melanoma in more than 50 countries. The higher frequency and poorer survival of nodular acral lentiginous melanomas in Asia and in Central and South America suggest the need for health policies in these populations that are designed to improve public awareness, and especially to facilitate earlier diagnosis and prompt access to optimal treatment.
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Figure 1 - Morphology distribution by continent and country, all periods combined


Figure 2: Age-standardised 5-year net survival for patients diagnosed with cutaneous melanoma during 2010-2014 by continent, country and morphology group
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Table 1: Data quality indicators, patients diagnosed with melanoma of the skin during 2000-2014, by continent and country

|  |  |  | Ineligible (\%) |  |  | Exclusions (\%) |  |  |  | Data quality indicators (\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Calendar period | Patients submitted | Incomplete dates | $\begin{array}{r} \text { In } \\ \text { situ } \end{array}$ | Other $\dagger$ | Eligible patients | DCO | Other $\mathbb{}$ | Available for analysis | MV | Non-specific morphology | Lost to follow-up | Censored |
| AFRICA |  | 498 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 404 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 368 | 91.3 | 45.9 | 3.0 | 54.1 |
| Algerian registries | 2000-2014 | 331 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 284 | 0.0 | 12.7 | 248 | 99.2 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 47.6 |
| Mauritius * | 2010-2012 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Nigeria (Ibadan) | 2005-2014 | 87 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 69 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 69 | 72.4 | 92.8 | 0.0 | 87.0 |
| South Africa (Eastern Ca | 2000-2014 | 75 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.3 | 47 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47 | 76.6 | 83.0 | 23.4 | 44.7 |
| AMERICA (Central and S | outh) | 10,610 | 3.2 | 10.7 | 5.1 | 8,599 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 8,452 | 99.0 | 62.4 | 0.5 | 6.8 |
| Argentinian registries | 2000-2013 | 1,196 | 4.7 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 1,092 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1,084 | 99.6 | 67.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Brazilian registries | 2000-2014 | 2,169 | 0.7 | 12.7 | 5.6 | 1,758 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 1,674 | 99.2 | 73.1 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| Chilean registries | 2000-2012 | 569 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 555 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 554 | 99.5 | 60.1 | 0.0 | 19.3 |
| Colombian registries | 2000-2014 | 1,698 | 3.8 | 5.2 | 10.0 | 1,376 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1,373 | 98.8 | 49.4 | 0.0 | 25.0 |
| Costa Rica * | 2002-2014 | 1,448 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1,436 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1,432 | 98.3 | 44.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Ecuadorian registries | 2000-2013 | 1,483 | 11.2 | 8.4 | 6.5 | 1,096 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1,080 | 98.8 | 78.0 | 0.2 | 5.3 |
| Guadeloupe (France) | 2008-2013 | 60 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 52 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71.2 |
| Martinique (France) | 2000-2012 | 177 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 172 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 164 | 100.0 | 23.2 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
| Puerto Rico * | 2000-2011 | 1,810 | 2.2 | 34.6 | 4.5 | 1,062 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 1,039 | 99.3 | 75.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| AMERICA (North) |  | 1,134,825 | 0.6 | 35.2 | 2.7 | 706,357 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 703,094 | 99.2 | 51.1 | 3.8 | 0.1 |
| Canadian registries | 2000-2014 | 94,011 | 0.1 | 17.2 | 4.5 | 73,496 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 73,278 | 95.6 | 41.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| US registries | 2000-2014 | 1,040,814 | 0.6 | 36.0 | 2.6 | 632,861 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 629,816 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.1 |
| ASIA |  | 41,718 | 0.5 | 14.9 | 8.4 | 31,768 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 31,337 | 98.2 | 76.4 | 0.4 | 2.0 |
| Chinese registries | 2003-2013 | 1,733 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 1,450 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1,449 | 99.0 | 95.4 | 4.8 | 0.2 |
| Cyprus* | 2004-2014 | 687 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 6.1 | 599 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 589 | 99.7 | 32.8 | 0.0 | 53.7 |
| Indian registries | 2000-2014 | 61 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 56 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 52 | 98.1 | 94.2 | 3.8 | 5.8 |
| Israel * | 2000-2013 | 18,303 | 0.0 | 28.3 | 4.2 | 12,348 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 12,265 | 98.0 | 78.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Japanese registries | 2000-2014 | 6,462 | 1.3 | 10.4 | 22.3 | 4,263 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 4,018 | 95.3 | 88.1 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
| Jordan * | 2000-2014 | 306 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 27.8 | 217 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 214 | 99.5 | 84.1 | 14.0 | 0.0 |
| Korea* | 2000-2014 | 5,824 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5,771 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5,771 | 98.6 | 74.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Kuwait* | 2000-2013 | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18 | 100.0 | 72.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Qatar * | 2000-2014 | 61 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 8.2 | 55 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55 | 98.2 | 87.3 | 0.0 | 70.9 |
| Singapore * | 2000-2014 | 521 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 20.3 | 368 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 367 | 100.0 | 56.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Taiwan * | 2000-2014 | 3,123 | 0.3 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 2,988 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2,988 | 100.0 | 64.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Thai registries | 2000-2014 | 817 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 769 | 0.0 | 9.6 | 695 | 99.7 | 95.0 | 0.3 | 3.9 |
| Turkish registries | 2000-2013 | 3,799 | 1.4 | 4.8 | 18.4 | 2,866 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 2,856 | 99.3 | 64.8 | 0.2 | 4.8 |
| EUROPE |  | 842,368 | 0.1 | 16.8 | 5.3 | 651,577 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 647,719 | 99.3 | 34.1 | 1.7 | 3.9 |
| Austria * | 2000-2014 | 28,233 | 0.0 | 24.2 | 5.9 | 19,742 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 19,150 | 97.5 | 65.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Belgium* | 2004-2014 | 29,278 | 0.0 | 22.8 | 2.4 | 21,905 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21,905 | 99.9 | 36.3 | 1.9 | 0.0 |
| Bulgaria * | 2000-2014 | 6,057 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6,056 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 5,875 | 100.0 | 73.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Croatia * | 2000-2014 | 8,602 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 8,126 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 7,848 | 99.9 | 90.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Czech Republic * | 2000-2014 | 33,285 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 0.5 | 27,802 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27,800 | 100.0 | 31.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denmark* | 2000-2014 | 24,683 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 24,630 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24,630 | 99.7 | 21.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Estonia * | 2000-2012 | 2,556 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 9.9 | 2,002 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1,983 | 98.4 | 31.1 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| Finland* | 2000-2014 | 15,873 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 14,968 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 14,949 | 100.0 | 90.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| French registries | 2000-2010 | 14,962 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 14,017 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 13,677 | 100.0 | 11.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| German registries | 2000-2014 | 99,363 | 0.3 | 16.2 | 2.6 | 80,338 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 78,713 | 99.4 | 28.4 | 0.6 | 28.7 |
| Gibraltar* | 2000-2010 | 39 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 7.7 | 31 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31 | 100.0 | 19.4 | 0.0 | 51.6 |
| Iceland * | 2000-2014 | 715 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 713 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 713 | 99.9 | 29.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Ireland * | 2000-2013 | 14,683 | 0.0 | 35.3 | 0.1 | 9,475 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 9,470 | 99.8 | 36.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Italian registries | 2000-2014 | 53,776 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 5.4 | 46,634 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 46,607 | 98.2 | 26.5 | 1.2 | 1.5 |
| Latvia * | 2000-2014 | 2,507 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 2,503 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2,501 | 99.8 | 47.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lithuania* | 2000-2012 | 4,129 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 13.4 | 3,317 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3,317 | 100.0 | 55.8 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| Malta * | 2000-2013 | 725 | 0.0 | 14.2 | 10.9 | 543 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 541 | 99.6 | 36.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Netherlands * | 2000-2014 | 80,641 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 6.6 | 59,141 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 59,088 | 100.0 | 13.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
| Norway * | 2000-2014 | 31,469 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 27.9 | 19,997 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19,994 | 99.9 | 21.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Poland * | 2000-2014 | 38,834 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 7.3 | 35,932 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 35,834 | 100.0 | 77.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Portugal * | 2000-2014 | 10,897 | 0.3 | 11.3 | 2.5 | 9,358 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9,358 | 99.3 | 54.6 | 2.1 | 0.1 |
| Romania (Cluj) | 2006-2012 | 515 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 11.5 | 436 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 436 | 98.9 | 50.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Russian registries | 2000-2014 | 5,081 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.9 | 4,927 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 4,914 | 99.5 | 79.0 | 2.5 | 0.7 |
| Slovakia * | 2000-2010 | 7,933 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 7.3 | 6,478 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 6,389 | 100.0 | 21.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Slovenia * | 2000-2013 | 7,442 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 5.9 | 5,605 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5,603 | 100.0 | 36.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Spanish registries | 2000-2013 | 14,567 | 0.5 | 18.8 | 3.2 | 11,292 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 11,242 | 99.7 | 25.8 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| Sweden * | 2000-2014 | 58,528 | 0.0 | 30.2 | 6.7 | 36,925 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36,921 | 100.0 | 20.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Swiss registries | 2000-2014 | 19,030 | 0.0 | 19.4 | 2.1 | 14,923 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 14,893 | 99.9 | 20.0 | 7.2 | 7.9 |
| United Kingdom * | 2000-2014 | 227,965 | 0.1 | 22.9 | 4.8 | 163,761 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 163,337 | 98.5 | 30.8 | 4.3 | 0.0 |
| OCEANIA |  | 273,076 | 0.2 | 29.6 | 1.5 | 187,846 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 187,512 | 99.0 | 32.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Australia * | 2000-2014 | 241,133 | 0.2 | 33.5 | 1.4 | 156,531 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 156,302 | 98.9 | 32.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| New Zealand * | 2000-2014 | 31,943 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 31,315 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 31,210 | 99.7 | 35.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total |  | 2,303,095 | 0.4 | 27.7 | 3.5 | 1,586,551 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1,578,482 | 99.2 | 43.2 | 2.5 | 1.6 |

[^0]Jer of patients and age-standardised 5 -year net survival ( $\mathrm{NS}, \%$ ) with $95 \%$ confidence interval ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ ): adults ( $15-99$ years) diagnosed with melanoma of the skin by continent, country, morphology and d of diagnosis (2000-2004, 2005-2009, 2010-2014)

| Malignant melanoma, NOS |  |  | Other melanoma morphologies |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. NS (\%) |  | 95\% CI | No. NS (\%) |  | 95\% CI |
| 131 | 66.7 | 57.8-75.5 | 10 | 44.8 | 14.6-75.0 |
| 320 | 62.9 | 57.0-68.8 | 44 | 72.6 | 55.6-89.5 |
| 277 | 65.2 | 58.5-71.9 | 11 | 52.0 | 26.6-77.5 |
| 359 | 76.0 | 70.1-81.9 |  |  |  |
| 437 | 76.3 | 71.5-81.1 | 12 | 67.8 | 40.8-94.8 |
| 251 | 69.7 | 64.4-75.1 | 13 | 33.7 | 5.6-61.8 |
| 59 | 57.0 | 42.6-71.4 |  |  |  |
| 57 | 55.8 | 36.6-75.1 |  |  |  |
| 154 | 55.6 § | 43.1-68.1 |  |  |  |
| 196 | 54.9 § | 46.9-62.9 |  |  |  |
| 219 | 64.7 § | 57.1-72.4 | 15 | 42.3 § | 9.0-75.6 |
| 43 | 55.8 § | 46.6-65.0 | 10 | 35.0 § | 7.2-62.8 |
| 104 | 75.6 | 67.0-84.2 |  |  |  |
| 183 | 69.9 | 62.5-77.4 |  |  |  |
| 318 | 75.9 | 69.2-82.6 | 23 | 88.2 | 59.1-100.0 |
| 146 | 56.2 | 47.3-65.1 |  |  |  |
| 319 | 60.1 | 53.5-66.6 | 13 | 54.7 | 23.2-86.3 |
| 332 | 57.0 | 50.2-63.8 |  |  |  |


Jer of patients and age-standardised 5 -year net survival (NS, \%) with $95 \%$ confidence interval ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ): adults ( $15-99$ years) diagnosed with melanoma of the skin by continent, country, morphology and Jd of diagnosis (2000-2004, 2005-2009, 2010-2014)

|  | Superficial spreading melanoma |  |  | Lentigo maligna melanoma |  |  | Nodular melanoma |  |  | Acral lentiginous melanoma |  |  | Desmoplastic melanoma |  |  | Malignant melanoma, NOS |  |  | Other melanoma morphologies |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. NS (\%) |  | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \mathrm{Cl} \\ 61.5-100.0 \end{gathered}$ | No. NS (\%) |  | 95\% CI | No. NS (\%) |  | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \text { Cl } \\ 39.2-61.6 \end{gathered}$ | No. NS (\%) |  |  | No. NS (\%) |  | 95\% CI | No. NS (\%) |  |  | No. NS (\%) |  | 95\% Cl |
| 2000-2004 | 17 | 83.1 |  |  |  |  | 87 | 50.4 |  | 156 | 73.1 | $64.6-81.6$ |  |  |  | 982 | 47.2 | $43.8-50.6$ | 22 | 41.6 | 20.9-62.3 |
| 2005-2009 | 27 | 84.0 | 66.5-100.0 | 16 | 94.2 | 72.2-100.0 | 113 | 38.0 | 29.5-46.6 | 247 | 80.3 | 74.1-86.4 |  |  |  | 1,548 | 51.3 | 48.5-54.1 | 38 | 64.2 | 47.9-80.5 |
| 2010-2014 | 39 | 86.3 | 63.0-100.0 |  | 100.0 | 85.9-100.0 | 192 | 41.5 | 32.1-50.9 | 399 | 79.4 | 73.9-84.9 | 16 | 53.7 | 26.2-81.3 | 1,790 | 56.2 | 53.5-59.0 | 43 | 60.8 | 48.5-73.2 |
| 2000-2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 71.2 | 35.8-100.0 |  |  |  | 59 | 53.4 | 40.8-66.1 |  |  |  |
| 2005-2009 | 17 | 66.9 | 41.3-92.5 |  |  |  | 15 | 39.8 | 13.2-66.3 | 19 | 62.2 | 34.6-89.8 |  |  |  | 71 | 55.5 | 45.2-65.9 |  |  |  |
| 2010-2014 | 14 | 100.0 | 100.0-100.0 |  |  |  | 27 | 25.2 | 8.8-41.6 | 28 | 65.2 | 38.9-91.5 |  |  |  | 76 | 55.6 | 43.5-67.6 |  |  |  |
| 2000-2004 | 10 | 93.3 | 73.8-100.0 |  |  |  | 62 | 40.9 | 29.1-52.8 | 87 | 66.9 | 56.5-77.3 |  |  |  | 612 | 46.1 | 41.6-50.7 | 23 | 51.0 | 26.8-75.1 |
| 2005-2009 | 33 | 81.3 | 66.0-96.6 |  |  |  | 81 | 41.8 | 31.4-52.2 | 167 | 68.2 | 59.4-77.0 |  |  |  | 667 | 49.6 | 45.2-54.0 | 34 | 33.5 | 15.1-51.8 |
| 2010-2014 | 49 | 71.4 | 54.6-88.2 |  |  |  | 154 | 36.7 | 27.0-46.5 | 306 | 65.6 | 57.4-73.8 |  |  |  | 634 | 46.7 | 42.1-51.3 | 33 | 35.9 | 21.2-50.6 |
| 2000-2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 103 | 44.9 | 34.4-55.4 |  |  |  |
| 2005-2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 248 | 35.9 § | 28.6-43.2 |  |  |  |
| 2010-2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 151 | 28.0 § | 21.5-34.4 |  |  |  |
| 2000-2004 | 21 | 79.9 § | 59.2-100.0 | 20 | 84.8 § | 67.1-100.0 | 48 | 59.9 § | 42.1-77.7 | 10 | 61.6 § | 26.3-96.9 |  |  |  | 181 | 51.9 § | 42.9-60.8 |  |  |  |
| 2005-2009 | 67 | 77.7 | 66.4-88.9 | 58 | 97.3 | 85.8-100.0 | 187 | 52.3 | 44.3-60.4 | 67 | 73.8 | 62.3-85.3 |  |  |  | 810 | 52.5 | 48.6-56.4 | 36 | 63.2 | 45.2-81.3 |
| 2010-2014 | 91 | 80.1 | 68.7-91.5 | 94 | 96.4 | 90.5-100.0 | 192 | 53.9 | 46.2-61.6 | 65 | 72.5 | 60.2-84.9 |  |  |  | 858 | 56.4 | 52.6-60.1 | 33 | 55.9 | 41.8-69.9 |
| 2000-2004 | 1,433 | 98.2 | 96.1-100.0 | 258 | 97.3 | 88.3-100.0 | 384 | 75.0 | 70.0-80.1 | 48 | 60.9 | 45.6-76.1 | 11 | 70.3 | 40.7-99.9 | 3,306 | 77.9 | 76.3-79.6 | 89 | 60.2 | 48.7-71.7 |
| 2005-2009 | 1,236 | 95.6 | 93.3-97.9 | 245 | 99.6 | 96.7-100.0 | 405 | 67.2 | 61.7-72.7 | 55 | 71.3 | 56.4-86.3 | 22 | 100.0 | 85.2-100.0 | 4,044 | 81.9 | 80.5-83.4 | 97 | 68.6 | 59.4-77.9 |
| 2010-2014 | 1,522 | 94.9 | 92.4-97.3 | 290 | 98.7 | 95.5-100.0 | 383 | 62.9 | 57.3-68.6 | 54 | 72.4 | 59.2-85.6 | 23 | 100.0 | 100.0-100.0 | 5,180 | 87.1 | 85.8-88.4 | 65 | 70.5 | 59.7-81.2 |
| 2000-2004 | 619 | 93.9 | 90.3-97.5 | 50 | 99.3 | 81.7-100.0 | 121 | 75.6 | 67.2-83.9 | 23 | 77.3 | 56.0-98.5 |  |  |  | 645 | 80.8 | 77.1-84.4 | 31 | 90.5 | 64.1-100.0 |
| 2005-2009 | 3,852 | 94.3 | 92.9-95.6 | 380 | 98.0 | 95.2-100.0 | 785 | 70.7 | 66.7-74.6 | 146 | 85.5 | 78.1-92.9 | 25 | 100.0 | 84.3-100.0 | 3,181 | 85.1 | 83.5-86.7 | 177 | 82.2 | 75.5-88.9 |
| 2010-2014 | 5,590 | 95.4 | 94.1-96.7 | 725 | 98.5 | 96.1-100.0 | 940 | 74.9 | 71.3-78.5 | 190 | 87.7 | 81.5-94.0 | 43 | 72.4 | 48.7-96.1 | 4,128 | 88.5 | 87.1-90.0 | 250 | 83.3 | 77.1-89.5 |
| 2000-2004 | 20 | 85.0 | 45.5-100.0 |  |  |  | 151 | 46.2 | 36.6-55.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,245 | 51.6 | 48.3-54.9 | 180 | 45.4 | 36.7-54.0 |
| 2005-2009 | 27 | 76.8 | 55.1-98.5 |  |  |  | 271 | 57.9 | 50.8-65.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,421 | 57.1 | 54.1-60.2 | 186 | 35.0 | 27.2-42.8 |
| 2010-2014 | 90 | 86.6 | 75.4-97.8 |  |  |  | 379 | 64.0 | 57.2-70.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,661 | 61.6 | 58.8-64.4 | 210 | 39.9 | 32.0-47.8 |
| 2000-2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,174 | 66.3 | 63.8-68.7 |  |  |  |
| 2005-2009 | 39 | 90.6 | 75.2-100.0 |  |  |  | 122 | 70.4 | 61.2-79.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,622 | 74.6 | 72.5-76.6 |  |  |  |
| 2010-2014 | 288 | 89.6 | 81.6-97.7 |  |  |  | 174 | 58.9 | 49.8-68.1 | 25 | 67.9 | 33.9-100.0 |  |  |  | 2,298 | 77.1 | 75.0-79.1 | 57 | 80.8 | 66.6-95.0 |
| lic * 2000-2004 | 2,214 | 97.0 | 95.1-98.9 | 361 | 97.9 | 93.9-100.0 | 2,016 | 71.2 | 68.8-73.7 | 53 | 86.3 | 67.5-100.0 | 46 | 59.1 | 41.7-76.5 | 2,546 | 71.3 | 69.2-73.4 | 507 | 77.5 | 72.6-82.3 |
| 2005-2009 | 3,142 | 98.1 | 96.7-99.6 | 438 | 97.0 | 93.3-100.0 | 2,080 | 73.0 | 70.6-75.3 | 93 | 83.5 | 75.2-91.9 | 106 | 77.9 | 68.8-87.0 | 2,964 | 77.2 | 75.4-79.1 | 540 | 80.1 | 75.8-84.3 |
| 2010-2014 | 4,082 | 98.2 | 96.9-99.6 | 442 | 99.0 | 96.3-100.0 | 2,033 | 73.0 | 70.7-75.3 | 93 | 82.3 | 72.9-91.7 | 142 | 80.2 | 72.4-87.9 | 3,335 | 78.9 | 77.2-80.7 | 567 | 81.5 | 77.3-85.6 |
| 2000-2004 | 2,597 | 92.7 | 90.9-94.5 | 136 | 97.3 | 85.1-100.0 | 444 | 72.3 | 67.4-77.2 | 17 | 89.1 | 66.1-100.0 |  |  |  | 2,318 | 83.6 | 81.6-85.5 | 27 | 85.5 | 66.8-100.0 |
| 2005-2009 | 5,384 | 95.3 | 94.1-96.4 | 218 | 88.6 | 78.8-98.4 | 757 | 72.4 | 68.8-76.0 | 66 | 84.3 | 73.9-94.7 |  |  |  | 1,778 | 78.1 | 75.8-80.3 | 61 | 90.4 | 80.0-100.0 |
| 2010-2014 | 8,123 | 96.0 | 95.1-97.0 | 329 | 93.6 | 88.6-98.6 | 943 | 74.8 | 71.5-78.1 | 77 | 75.3 | 61.8-88.8 | 43 | 100.0 | 87.7-100.0 | 1,229 | 77.1 | 74.7-79.5 | 69 | 90.9 | 79.9-100.0 |
| 2000-2004 | 27 | 100.0 | 93.0-100.0 | 28 | 100.0 | 85.5-100.0 | 24 | 82.7 | 58.1-100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 109 | 71.0 | 62.0-80.1 | 410 | 66.3 | 60.8-71.8 |
| 2005-2009 | 32 | 100.0 | 100.0-100.0 | 15 | 95.0 | 71.3-100.0 | 14 | 71.6 | 45.3-97.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 203 | 70.0 | 63.4-76.7 | 500 | 73.7 | 69.2-78.1 |
| 2010-2014 | 28 | 100.0 | 100.0-100.0 | 11 | 100.0 | 96.1-100.0 | 29 | 56.2 | 34.4-78.0 | 17 | 64.0 | 17.3-100.0 |  |  |  | 305 | 82.7 | 74.0-91.4 | 207 | 78.2 | 72.5-83.8 |
| 2000-2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,576 | 84.8 | 83.3-86.4 |  |  |  |
| 2005-2009 | 137 | 92.8 | 87.0-98.5 | 102 | 100.0 | 93.8-100.0 | 76 | 72.0 | 62.6-81.5 | 10 | 79.1 | 42.8-100.0 |  |  |  | 4,452 | 87.0 | 85.7-88.3 |  |  |  |
| 2010-2014 | 539 | 93.9 | 89.9-98.0 | 260 | 100.0 | 97.3-100.0 | 216 | 76.0 | 69.0-83.1 | 16 | 93.1 | 68.4-100.0 |  |  |  | 5,539 | 88.1 | 86.9-89.3 |  |  |  |
| 2000-2004 | 2,552 | 94.6 | 93.0-96.2 | 375 | 92.7 | 87.6-97.8 | 518 | 70.1 | 65.5-74.8 | 114 | 76.5 | 67.7-85.3 | 16 | 69.6 | 37.9-100.0 | 565 | 82.8 | 79.2-86.5 | 352 | 87.7 | 83.3-92.1 |
| 2005-2009 | 4,419 | 95.7 | 94.5-96.9 | 640 | 95.9 | 92.9-99.0 | 706 | 70.9 | 66.5-75.2 | 155 | 83.1 | 75.2-91.0 | 42 | 75.5 | 56.1-94.9 | 817 | 83.5 | 79.7-87.4 | 483 | 90.6 | 87.1-94.2 |
| 2010-2014 | 1,109 | 94.9 | 92.4-97.4 | 115 | 94.5 | 88.6-100.0 | 158 | 74.6 | 65.4-83.7 | 38 | 82.4 | 73.1-91.7 |  |  |  | 167 | 83.3 | 76.4-90.1 | 62 | 89.1 | 80.7-97.4 |
| 2000-2004 | 6,566 | 99.2 | 98.2-100.0 | 1,235 | 99.4 | 98.0-100.0 | 2,415 | 74.4 | 72.3-76.4 | 319 | 85.4 | 80.4-90.4 | 39 | 91.4 | 77.2-100.0 | 3,734 | 83.8 | 82.3-85.3 | 481 | 78.3 | 73.9-82.7 |
| 2005-2009 | 11,019 | 98.8 | 98.1-99.5 | 2,057 | 99.4 | 97.9-100.0 | 3,394 | 77.7 | 76.0-79.5 | 478 | 83.7 | 79.4-88.0 | 56 | 80.9 | 63.6-98.3 | 5,649 | 84.6 | 83.4-85.9 | 649 | 79.8 | 75.9-83.7 |
| 2010-2014 | 11,676 | 99.0 | 98.4-99.7 | 1,990 | 99.4 | 97.9-100.0 | 3,188 | 77.2 | 75.3-79.0 | 450 | 84.7 | 80.5-89.0 | 78 | 91.6 | 82.5-100.0 | 6,095 | 86.6 | 85.4-87.8 | 625 | 82.7 | 78.8-86.7 |
| 2000-2004 | 124 | 92.5 | 85.6-99.3 | 13 | 78.2 | 48.1-100.0 | 18 | 78.9 | 59.4-98.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 92 | 88.6 | 79.8-97.3 |  |  |  |
| 2005-2009 | 132 | 87.4 | 79.7-95.2 | 16 | 82.3 | 55.9-100.0 | 17 | 61.6 | 31.3-91.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80 | 87.7 | 78.8-96.6 |  |  |  |
| 2010-2014 | 134 | 91.7 | 85.6-97.8 |  |  |  | 26 | 56.0 | 29.6-82.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 | 82.7 | 71.1-94.4 |  |  |  |
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jer of patients and age－standardised 5 －year net survival（ $\mathrm{NS}, \%$ ）with $95 \%$ confidence interval（ $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ）：adults（ $15-99$ years）diagnosed with melanoma of the skin by continent，country，morphology and Jd of diagnosis（2000－2004，2005－2009，2010－2014）

| Desmoplastic melanoma |  |  | Malignant melanoma，NOS |  |  | Other melanoma morphologies |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No．NS（\％） |  | 95\％CI | No．NS（\％） |  | 95\％CI | No．NS（\％） |  | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| 20 | 64.6 | 36．2－93．0 | 1，007 | 82.0 | 79．0－85．1 | 78 | 78.5 |  |
| 35 | 77.4 | 58．7－96．2 | 1，365 | 84.3 | 81．8－86．8 | 124 | 79.3 | 71．0－87．7 |
| 48 | 80.7 | 67．1－94．3 | 1，121 | 86.8 | 84．2－89．4 | 61 | 81.1 | 70．8－91．5 |
| 54 | 78.0 | 65．8－90．3 | 4，548 | 78.9 | 77．6－80．3 | 2，515 | 79.4 | 77．6－81．3 |
| 79 | 77.1 | 62．8－91．4 | 5，983 | 81.8 | 80．6－82．9 | 5，130 | 83.0 | 81．8－84．2 |
| 25 | 78.9 | 64．7－93．1 | 1，768 | 79.7 | 78．0－81．5 | 2，554 | 82.8 | 81．3－84．3 |
|  |  |  | 353 | 60.7 | 54．7－66．8 | 291 | 72.7 | 66．2－79．1 |
|  |  |  | 424 | 64.1 | 58．6－69．6 | 357 | 66.0 | 59．9－72．1 |
|  |  |  | 410 | 69.8 | 64．3－75．3 | 527 | 73.2 | 67．8－78．5 |
|  |  |  | 938 | 66.4 | 62．8－70．0 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 573 | 59.5 | 54．8－64．2 | 12 | 83.5 | 56．5－100．0 |
|  |  |  | 339 | 63.3 | 57．0－69．7 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 54 | 83.8 | 73．8－93．8 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 72 | 76.5 | 68．0－85．1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 71 | 72.4 | 62．6－82．2 |  |  |  |
| 34 | 86.4 | 68．3－100．0 | 2，630 | 82.5 | 80．5－84．5 | 499 | 79.4 | 75．2－83．5 |
| 60 | 76.8 | 60．4－93．2 | 2，781 | 83.6 | 81．9－85．4 | 517 | 88.0 | 84．3－91．8 |
| 115 | 83.6 | 76．4－90．7 | 2，385 | 84.3 | 82．6－86．1 | 455 | 85.8 | 81．9－89．8 |
| 33 | 71.9 | 49．8－94．1 | 967 | 78.3 | 75．2－81．4 | 29 | 85.1 | 56．3－100．0 |
|  | 100.0 | 85．2－100．0 | 1，428 | 83.4 | 81．0－85．8 | 34 | 64.2 | 45．2－83．3 |
| 46 | 75.9 | 61．8－89．9 | 1，798 | 87.0 | 84．9－89．0 | 59 | 76.5 | 63．9－89．1 |
|  |  |  | 7，413 | 60.5 | 59．2－61．8 | 687 | 62.6 | 58．4－66．8 |
|  |  |  | 9，291 | 64.9 | 63．7－66．0 | 545 | 67.0 | 62．5－71．6 |
| 19 | 53.0 | 21．4－84．7 | 10，938 | 68.1 | 67．1－69．1 | 655 | 66.5 | 62．1－70．9 |
|  |  |  | 1，766 | 76.2 | 73．8－78．5 | 45 | 72.1 | 56．5－87．6 |
| 12 | 69.2 | 29．1－100．0 | 2，283 | 79.8 | 77．9－81．8 | 66 | 82.8 | 71．5－94．1 |
| 15 | 45.5 | 3．4－87．6 | 1，064 | 81.8 | 77．7－85．9 | 92 | 74.4 | 62．3－86．4 |
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er of patients and age-standardised 5 -year net survival ( $\mathrm{NS}, \%$ ) with $95 \%$ confidence interval ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ): adults (15-99 years) diagnosed with melanoma of the skin by continent, country, morphology and d of diagnosis (2000-2004, 2005-2009, 2010-2014)

| Other melanoma morphologies |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | (\%) | 95\% Cl |
| 951 | 70.3 | 61.1-79.5 |
| 1,189 | 84.4 | 81.8-87.1 |
| 895 | 85.0 | 82.1-87.9 |


 val estimates that are not age-standardised due to a low number of cases (less than 50 ), or where two or more age-specific net survival estimates could not be produced.

Table 3. Excess hazard ratio of death in patients with malignant melanoma of the skin, by morphologic type (reference category superficial spreading melanoma) in Germany, Spain and Norway

|  | Germany (Lower Saxony) |  |  | Spanish registries ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |  | Norway* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. (\%) | Model 1 | Model 2 | No. (\%) | Model 1 | Model 2 | No. (\%) | Model 1 | Model 2 |
| Superficial spreading | $\begin{aligned} & 9,326 \\ & (58.9) \end{aligned}$ | 1.0 | 1.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,642 \\ (39.8) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1.0 | 1.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 8,624 \\ & (54.0) \end{aligned}$ | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lentigo maligna | $\begin{gathered} 1,305 \\ (8.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ (0.0-35.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ (0.0-26.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 232 \\ (5.6) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.4 \\ (0.0-17.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ (0.1-2.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 478 \\ (3.0) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ (0.1-6.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ (0.2-1.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Nodular | $\begin{array}{r} 1,514 \\ (9.6) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 1 . 8} \\ (14.7-32.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.5 \\ (9.6-18.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 627 \\ (15.2) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.1 \\ (8.1-18.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.7 \\ (4.8-9.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3,234 \\ & (20.3) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.7 \\ (5.7-7.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.1 \\ (3.6-4.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Acral lentiginous | $\begin{array}{r} 341 \\ (2.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.2 \\ (9.0-25.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.8 \\ (6.8-17.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 138 \\ (3.4) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.0 \\ (5.2-15.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.0 \\ (3.1-8.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \\ (0.6) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.7 \\ (0.5-5.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \\ (1.0-4.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Malignant melanoma, NOS | $\begin{aligned} & 2,953 \\ & (18.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.5 \\ (4.3-9.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.4 \\ (3.8-7.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,178 \\ (28.6) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.2 \\ (2.8-6.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.9 \\ (2.0-4.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,338 \\ & (20.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.9 \\ (3.3-4.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.8 \\ (2.4-3.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Other morphologies | $\begin{array}{r} 385 \\ (2.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.6 \\ (4.7-15.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.5 \\ (3.8-11.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 307 \\ (7.4) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.6 \\ (3.4-9.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.7 \\ (2.4-5.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 201 \\ (1.2) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{4 . 5} \\ (2.9-6.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.4 \\ (1.6-3.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

$\ddagger$ Granada and Basque Country

* National coverag

Model 1: only including morphology. Model 2 : including morphology, sex, age and stage at diagnosis


[^0]:    Other $\dagger$ : records with incomplete data or for tumours that are benign (behaviour code 0), of uncertain behaviour (behavior code 1), metastatic from another organ (behavior code 6 ), or unknown if primary or metastatic (behavior code 9); or for patients with age outside the range 15-99 years (adults); or with a topography code that is not in the range for skin (VAR20 $=C 440-C 449$ ), or the skin of the labia majora (C510), vulva (C519), penis (C609) or scrotum (C632).
    Other $\mathbb{T}$ : tumour coded with unknown vital status; or for patients for which the sex is unknown.
    MV: Microscopically verified

    * Data with $100 \%$ coverage of the national population

