

# University of Groningen



# Biomarkers to diagnose ventricular dysfunction in childhood cancer survivors

Leerink, Jan M.; Verkleij, Simone J.; Feijen, Elizabeth A. M.; Mavinkurve-Groothuis, Annelies M. C.; Pourier, Milanthy S.; Ylanen, Kaisa; Tissing, Wim J. E.; Louwerens, Marloes; van den Heuvel, Marry M.; van Dulmen-den Broeder, Eline Published in: Heart

DOI: 10.1136/heartjnl-2018-313634

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2019

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA):

Leerink, J. M., Verkleij, S. J., Feijen, E. A. M., Mavinkurve-Groothuis, A. M. C., Pourier, M. S., Ylanen, K., Tissing, W. J. E., Louwerens, M., van den Heuvel, M. M., van Dulmen-den Broeder, E., de Vries, A. C. H., Ronckers, C. M., van der Pal, H. J. H., Kapusta, L., Loonen, J., Bellersen, L., Pinto, Y. M., Kremer, L. C. M., & Kok, W. E. M. (2019). Biomarkers to diagnose ventricular dysfunction in childhood cancer survivors: a systematic review. Heart, 105(3), 210-216. https://doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2018-313634

#### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

# ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Biomarkers to diagnose ventricular dysfunction in childhood cancer survivors: a systematic review

Jan M Leerink,<sup>1</sup> Simone J Verkleij,<sup>1</sup> Elizabeth A M Feijen,<sup>2,3</sup> Annelies M C Mavinkurve-Groothuis,<sup>3</sup> Milanthy S Pourier,<sup>4</sup> Kaisa Ylänen,<sup>5</sup> Wim J E Tissing,<sup>6</sup> Marloes Louwerens,<sup>7</sup> Marry M van den Heuvel,<sup>3</sup> Eline van Dulmen-den Broeder,<sup>8</sup> Andrica C H de Vries,<sup>9</sup> Cecile M Ronckers,<sup>2,3</sup> Heleen J H van der Pal,<sup>3</sup> Livia Kapusta,<sup>10,11</sup> Jacqueline Loonen,<sup>4</sup> Louise Bellersen,<sup>12</sup> Yigal M Pinto,<sup>1</sup> Leontien C M Kremer,<sup>2,3</sup> Wouter E M Kok<sup>1</sup>

► Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ heartjnl-2018-313634).

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

#### Correspondence to

Drs Jan M Leerink, Department of Cardiology, Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam 1105 AZ, The Netherlands; j.m.leerink@amc. uva.nl

Received 23 May 2018 Revised 6 July 2018 Accepted 25 July 2018 Published Online First 29 August 2018 ABSTRACT

**Objective** To systematically review the literature and assess the diagnostic value of biomarkers in detection of late-onset left ventricular (LV) dysfunction in childhood cancer survivors (CCS) treated with anthracyclines. **Methods** We systematically searched the literature for studies that evaluated the use of biomarkers for detection of LV dysfunction in CCS treated with anthracyclines more than 1 year since childhood cancer diagnosis. LV dysfunction definitions were accepted as an ejection fraction <50% or <55% and/or a fractional shortening <28%, <29% or <30%. Contingency tables were created to assess diagnostic accuracies of biomarkers for diagnosing LV dysfunction.

**Results** Of 1362 original studies screened, eight heterogeneous studies evaluating four different biomarkers in mostly asymptomatic CCS were included. In four studies, an abnormal N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP, cut-off range 63–125 ng/L) had low sensitivity (maximally 22%) and a specificity of up to 97% for detection of LV dysfunction. For troponin levels, in five studies one patient had an abnormal troponin value as well as LV dysfunction, while in total 127 patients had LV dysfunction without troponin elevations above cut-off values (lowest 0.01 ng/mL). Two studies that evaluated brain natriuretic peptide and nitric oxide were underpowered to draw conclusions.

**Conclusions** In individual studies, the diagnostic value of NT-proBNP for detection of LV dysfunction in CCS is limited. Troponins have no role in detecting late-onset LV dysfunction with cut-off values as low as 0.01 ng/mL. Further study on optimal NT-proBNP cut-off values for rule out or rule in of LV dysfunction is warranted.

Every year, an estimated total of 20000 children

are diagnosed with cancer in Europe.<sup>1</sup> Due to better

treatment options for childhood cancer, the 5-year

survival rate has increased dramatically over the last

decades and currently exceeds 80%.<sup>2</sup> As a result of

this improved prognosis, a considerable propor-

tion of these children become long-term survivors.

It is estimated that 1 in 680 people is a childhood

cancer survivor (CCS) in the USA.<sup>3</sup> Along with this

Check for updates

#### **INTRODUCTION**

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2019. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

**To cite:** Leerink JM, Verkleij SJ, Feijen EAM, *et al. Heart* 2019;**105**:210–216.



growing number of CCS, there is an increase in late effects of cancer therapies.<sup>4</sup>

Anthracycline derivatives are used in 60% of all children with cancer<sup>5</sup> and are well known for their dose-dependent cardiotoxic side effects with the most important one being left ventricular (LV) dysfunction ultimately leading to congestive heart failure.<sup>6-8</sup> Three types of anthracycline-related LV dysfunction are defined in time.<sup>9</sup> (1) Acute cardiotoxicity leading to a reversible decline in LV function within 2 weeks after anthracycline infusion. (2) Early onset progressive cardiotoxicity occurs during or within the first year after treatment. This cardiotoxicity is thought to be irreversible, related to cardiomyocyte damage resulting in progressive LV dysfunction. (3) Late-onset cardiotoxicity occurs more than 1 year after treatment and is thought to be caused by initial damage to cardiomyocytes resulting in harmful compensation mechanisms leading to LV dysfunction up to decades after anthracycline exposure.9 This review will focus on lateonset LV dysfunction starting more than 1 year after anthracycline treatment in CCS.

Late-onset LV dysfunction 10-15 years after anthracycline treatment occurs in nearly 30% of this relatively young population of CCS, defined as a fractional shortening (FS) <30%.<sup>7</sup> Moreover, in 30 years, one in eight has overt heart failure which requires treatment.<sup>8</sup> In the general population, early treatment of patients with asymptomatic LV dysfunction with ACE inhibitors reduces mortality and incidence of heart failure.<sup>10</sup> Detecting LV dysfunction following anthracycline chemotherapy in the asymptomatic phase may reduce long-term morbidity and mortality as overt heart failure may be prevented by providing treatment with ACE inhibitors, although more evidence is still needed on the benefit of ACE inhibitors in the presence of LV dysfunction in this specific population.<sup>6</sup>

Echocardiography is the imaging modality of choice to detect LV dysfunction in long-term CCS. The current guidelines for the screening of LV dysfunction in CCS recommend echocardiography with a screening interval of 5 years or shorter, depending on risk factors for LV dysfunction such as cumulative anthracycline dose (CAD), age during treatment, gender and concomitant radiotherapy.<sup>611</sup>

In the general population, screening for asymptomatic LV dysfunction has been performed using natriuretic peptides (N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) and brain natriuretic peptide (BNP)).<sup>12</sup> Biomarkers such as natriuretic peptides show potential in early detection of LV dysfunction in CCS.<sup>13–15</sup> In children and adults, a rise in cardiac troponins during or shortly after anthracycline treatment, indicating cardiomyocyte damage, may serve as a predictor for future LV dysfunction.<sup>16 17</sup> In late-onset cardiotoxicity, the utility of biomarkers such as natriuretic peptides and troponins in detection of LV dysfunction is still evolving.<sup>6 14</sup> In this systematic review, we aimed to evaluate the diagnostic value of biomarkers to detect late-onset LV systolic dysfunction as measured by ejection fraction (EF) or FS, in long-term CCS treated with anthracyclines.

#### METHODS

#### Literature search and eligibility criteria

Pubmed, EMBASE and the Cochrane library were systematically searched for original studies on biomarkers and LV dysfunction in survivors of childhood cancer treated with anthracyclines more than 1 year after treatment. The full search strategy is provided in the online Supplementary file 1. The reference list of included articles was manually screened for additional studies.

Two reviewers (SJV and WEMK) independently screened these studies. Excluded were reviews, animal studies, studies with patients having their primary cancer diagnosis above 21 years of age, studies that lacked or did not define LV dysfunction by an EF or FS cut-off (see the Definitions section below) and studies with an unknown number of patients with LV dysfunction. Further excluded were studies that did not perform biomarker sampling and echocardiography within 1 month and studies with a duplicated patient cohort. Studies combining early (<1 years) and late onset (>1 year) detections of LV dysfunction were included but were described separate in the tables.

#### Quality assessment

Critical appraisal of the included studies was performed by two reviewers using the Standards for Reporting Diagnostic Accuracy 2015 (STARD 2015) checklist<sup>18</sup> (online Supplementary file 2). Uncertainties were discussed with a third person. This review was conducted following the criteria from the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses guideline<sup>19</sup> (online Supplementary file 3).

#### Definitions

Late-onset cardiotoxicity was defined as LV systolic dysfunction occurring more than 1 year after treatment. LV systolic dysfunction in studies was accepted as such when defined as an EF <50% or <55% and/or a FS <28%, <29% or <30% measured by echocardiography. Biomarker cut-off values were noted and defined as abnormal if stated in the study.

#### **Data extraction**

From the included studies, number of patients, age at time of childhood cancer diagnosis, age at time of study, time since last treatment, gender, type of chemotherapy, CAD, radiotherapy exposure, cardiac symptoms or medication, LV dysfunction definition and prevalence, evaluated biomarkers, biomarker cut-off values and number of patients with an abnormal biomarker were manually collected by two investigators independently (JML and SJV).

#### Statistical analysis of the data

To measure the diagnostic accuracy of each biomarker to detect LV dysfunction, contingency tables were created and sensitivity (true positives/true positives+false negatives), specificity (true negatives/true negatives+false positives), positive predictive value (PPV, true positives/true positives+false positives), negative predictive value (NPV, true negatives/true negatives+false negatives) were calculated. Likelihood ratios (LR+ and LR-) were calculated using the formulas: LR+=sensitivity/1-specificity and LR-=1 sensitivity/specificity. For an thorough overview on these metrics, we refer the reader to one of the following articles.<sup>20-22</sup> Accompanying 95% CIs were calculated by the efficient-score method.<sup>23</sup>

#### RESULTS

By searching the literature, we identified 1494 studies (figure 1). In addition, three studies were manually found by searching the references. After removing 105 duplicate records, 1392 studies underwent title and abstract screening. Full-text screening was intended in 25 studies. However, of one study, a translation was requested but not received<sup>24</sup> and another study only had an abstract available.<sup>25</sup> Main reasons for exclusion of full-text studies were: an absence or different LV dysfunction definitions not defined by an EF or FS cut-off, exclusion of patients with LV dysfunction, an unknown number of patients with LV dysfunction or time since anthracycline treatment of less than 1 year in all patients. Finally, eight cohort studies with in total 691 CCS at a median of 0.9-18.2 years since anthracycline treatment were included (median dose 165–480 mg/m<sup>2</sup>) evaluating four different biomarkers (table 1). Applying the STARD 2015 checklist, it was notable that none of the studies were identified as a diagnostic accuracy study and no diagnostic accuracies of biomarkers for the diagnosis of LV dysfunction were reported. Also, three studies did not report biomarker cut-off values impairing the use of contingency tables in these studies<sup>26-28</sup> (online Supplementary file 2). Three studies did not exclude symptomatic patients and patients on heart failure medication<sup>15 26 29</sup> and one study excluded patients with CAD  $<400 \text{ mg/m}^2$  (table 1).<sup>30</sup>

#### N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide

Five studies with a total of 575 patients measured NT-proBNP levels in anthracycline-exposed patients (table 2). Significant heterogeneity was present in median follow-up duration (between 7.1 and 18.2 years) and in median CAD (ranged from 180 to  $225 \text{ mg/m}^2$ ). LV dysfunction was present in 0%–37% of the study populations with one study having no patients with LV dysfunction.<sup>28</sup> Cut-off values for NT-proBNP levels varied from 63 ng/L in males and 116 ng/L in females<sup>29</sup> to 125 ng/L in males and females.<sup>15</sup> Cut-off values for children were defined in the studies as age-specific and sex-specific values previously reported by Nir et al<sup>29 31 32</sup> and Albers et al.<sup>13 33</sup> Also, sex-specific and age-specific cut-off values defined by Fradley et  $al^{34}$ (ranging from 42.5 to 106.4 ng/L in males and 111.0-215.9 ng/ mL in females depending on age) were used.<sup>32</sup> Abnormal NT-proBNP values were seen in 5.3% up to 13% of the patients; we did not observe a relation with NT-proBNP cut-off values (table 2). In four of five studies, patients with LV dysfunction were present and these studies were used for diagnostic accuracy analysis.<sup>13 15 29 32</sup> Sensitivities (14%–22%) and PPVs (13%–50%) were low in the four studies, while demonstrating higher specificities (88%-97%) and NPVs (65%-90%). LRs for having LV dysfunction with an abnormal NT-proBNP value (LR+) were 1.70-6.67 and LRs for having LV dysfunction with a normal

# Heart failure and cardiomyopathies



Figure 1 Study inclusion flow chart. LV, left ventricular.

NT-proBNP level (LR-) were 0.83-0.93 (table 2). Of note, CIs of the diagnostic accuracy estimates were wide in all four studies (table 2). A meta-analysis was not performed because of heterogeneity in the presented studies.

#### Troponins

Five studies with a total of 423 patients were included using various troponin assays in the detection of LV dysfunction in CCS (table 3). Median CAD ranged from 180 to  $480 \text{ mg/m}^2$ and time since last treatment varied between 1 and 18.2 years. LV dysfunction prevalence ranged between 7.4% and 36.5%. Abnormal troponins (cut-off values for troponin T between 0.01 and 0.014 ng/mL, and for troponin I 0.03-0.04 ng/mL) were seen in only five of all 423 patients. Only one of these five patients showed LV dysfunction<sup>30</sup> and a troponin T>0.01 ng/ mL, while in the total population LV dysfunction was present in 128 patients. Troponin testing in this single study had very limited sensitivity (50%; 95% CI 3 to 97%) and PPV (33%; 95% CI 2 to 87) with higher specificity (91%; 95% CI 69 to 98) and NPV (95%; 95% CI 74 to 99). The LR+ and LR- for this study were 5.49 (95% CI 0.81 to 37.32) and 0.55 (95% CI 0.14 to 2.22), respectively. Two studies used high-sensitive troponin T measurements<sup>29 32</sup> with the lowest cut-off value of 0.0135 ng/ mL, but no abnormal troponins were present in these studies.

### Brain natriuretic peptide

Only one study was included studying BNP in 63 patients.<sup>26</sup> Higher BNP values were present in CCS with a FS <29% compared with CCS with a FS >29% ( $32.4\pm34.9$  vs 15.6  $\pm$  12.4 pg/mL, p<0.008) but no cut-off values or contingency tables were provided.<sup>26</sup>

### Nitric oxide

One study<sup>27</sup> measured plasma total nitrite levels, a stable product of nitric oxide, 10.5 months (range 2–37.4) since last anthracycline treatment in 29 children. LV dysfunction defined as an EF <55% and/or FS <30% was present in 10.3% of the patients, and this was related to significantly higher nitrite levels compared with matched healthy controls (92.35±50.36 vs 59.26±13.56  $\mu$ mol/L, p=0.038).

### DISCUSSION

In this systematic review, we show that the diagnostic value of biomarkers to detect LV dysfunction in CCS is limited at the presented cut-off values and are overall not yet suited for either excluding (rule out) or confirming (rule in) LV dysfunction. Although biomarker screening has been advocated by some,<sup>35</sup> we show that the current literature does not yet provide evidence to implement routine biomarker screening in the surveillance of CCS at risk for LV dysfunction.

### N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide

In our review, NT-proBNP is the best studied biomarker for the detection of LV dysfunction in CCS with a limited diagnostic accuracy (table 2). Our finding of low sensitivities and PPVs and higher specificities and NPVs for detection of LV dysfunction in long-term CCS is in line with previous reviews.<sup>6</sup> <sup>14</sup> Considering the consequences of missing patients with LV dysfunction, the NPV of NT-proBNP must be at least 98% to rule out LV dysfunction and defer an echocardiogram.<sup>36</sup> Therefore, with the presented cut-off values, NT-proBNP is not useful to rule out LV dysfunction. At the same cut-off values, NT-proBNP is not

1 Summary of	the incl	uded studies of	n biomarkers fo	r the detection	of late-onset LV	dysfunction						
	5	Male n (%)	Anthracyline	CAD, median (range)	Chest radiotherapy, n (%)	Heart failure, n; heart failure medication n	Age at diagnosis, years (range	Age at study, years (range or SD)	Time since last treatment, years (range or 50)	LV dysfunction definition	LV dysfunction, %	Evaluated biomarker(c)
late onset												
et al <sup>27</sup>	29	17 (59%)	XOQ	310 (180–480)	6 (21%)	1	I	9.1±3.7	0.9 (0.2–3.1)	EF<55 FS<30	10.3%	ON
it <i>et al</i> <sup>2430</sup>	24	14 (58%)	DOX	480 (400–840)	4 (17%)	1	I	14 (3-31)	1.0 (0.1–14)	EF<55 FS<29	8.3%	cīnī
et												
wal <i>et a/</i> <sup>26</sup>	63	37 (59%)	ANT	165 (45–520)	I	3; 5	I	13.1 (6.5–26.5)	5.4±4.1	FS<29	14.3%	BNP
ver <i>et al</i> <sup>15</sup>	277	155 (56%)	DAU, DOX	183 (50–600)	69 (25%)	11; 17	8.8 (0–20.1)	27.5 (18–48)	18.2 (5.4–30.8)	FS<29	36.8%	cTnl, cTnT, NT- proBNP
ikurve-Groothuis	122	62 (51%)	DAU, DOX	180 (50–542)	7 (6%)	I	5.7 (0–14.4)	21 (5.0–39.4)	13.8 (5.0–28.7)	EF<55 FS<29	7.4%	cTnT, NT-proBNP
osievicova <i>et al<sup>28</sup></i>	36	19 (53%)	DAU, DOX, EPI	221	1 (3%)	I	8 (1–17)	22 (18–31)	11 (5–22)	EF<50	%0	NT-proBNP
er et al <sup>32</sup>	64	38 (59%)	ANT	225 (85–450)	15 (23%)	I	5.8 (0.3–17.3)	16.7 (7.2–39.8)	8.3 (4.5–34.1)	EF<55 FS<29	10.9%	hs-cTnT, NT- proBNP
n et al <sup>29</sup>	76	34 (45%)	ANT	224 (80–454)	10 (13%)	; 4	3.8 (0–13.8)	14.3 (7.2–20.0)	7.1 (5–18)	3DEF<50 FS<28	13.3%	cTnl, cTnT, hs- cTnT, NT-proBNP
ection fraction meas	sured by 1	three-dimensional	echocardiography	; ANT, any anthrac	ycline not further s	pecified; CAD, cumula	ative anthracycline	dose in mg/m <sup>2</sup> ; cTr	T, cardiac troponin T	; cTnl, cardiac tropc	onin I; DAU, daunoru	iicin; DOX,

3DEF, ejection fraction measured by three-dimensional echocardiography; ANT, any anthracycline not further spec doxorubicin; EPI, epirubicin; hs-cTnT, high-sensitivity cTnT, NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide.

# Heart failure and cardiomyopathies

sst LV ears dysfunction, % 36.8% 7.4% 0% 10.9% 13.3% dren; Fradley <i>et al</i> <sup>44</sup> : ai lihood ratio; LR–, nega	NT-proBNP cut- off, ng/L 125 M 84.6 F 152.2 C *Albers M 75 F 105 M/F *Fradley C *Nir M 63 F 116	Abnormal NT- proBNP, n (%) 32/262 (12.2%) 16/122 (13.1%) 4/36 (11.1%) 5/64 (11.1%) 5/64 (7.8%) 4/76 (5.3%)	LV dysfunction and abnormal NT-proBNP, n 16 0 0 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 adults: Nir <i>et al<sup>61</sup></i> ; egative predictive v	Sensitivity, % (95% Cl) 17% (10 to 26) (10 to 26) (4 to 60) - - (1 to 58) 20% (4 to 56) (4 to 56) (4 to 56) (4 to 56) value; NT-proBNI	<ul> <li>Specificity, %</li> <li>(95% Cl)</li> <li>90%</li> <li>84 to 94)</li> <li>88 %</li> <li>(80 to 93)</li> <li>-</li> <li>93%</li> <li>(82 to 98)</li> <li>97%</li> <li>(88 to 99)</li> <li>(88 to 99)</li> <li>es in children.</li> </ul>	PPV, % (95% CI) 50% (32 to 68) (32 to 68) (32 to 40) (1 to 70) 50% (9 to 91) (9 to 91)	NPV, % (95% C 65% (51 71) 93% (60 to 98) - 79 to 96) 89% (78 to 95) (78 to 95) peptide; PPV, positi	) LR+ (95% Cl) 1.70 (0.89 to 3.25) 1.79 (0.48 to 6.69) - - 2.04 (0.26 to 15.75) 6.50 (1.03 to 41.07) re predictive value.	LR- (95% CI) 0.93 0.85 to 1.01) 0.89 0.62 to 1.26) 0.68 to 1.25) 0.83 0.68 to 1.25)
36.8% 7.4% 0% 10.9% 13.3% 13.3% dren; Fradley <i>et al<sup>34</sup></i> : a <u>i</u>	125 M 84.6 F 152.2 C *Albers M 75 F 105 M/F *Fradley C *Nir M 63 F 116	32/262 (12.2%) 16/122 (13.1%) (13.1%) (13.1%) (13.1%) (13.1%) (13.8%) (7.8%) 4/76 (5.3%)	16 2 0 2 2 adults, Nir <i>et a<sup>P1</sup>::</i> egative predictive <sup>1</sup>	17% (10 to 26) 22% (4 to 60) - 14% (1 to 58) 20% (4 to 58) (4 to 58) age-specific valu value; NT-proBNI	90% (84 to 94) 88% (80 to 93) 93% (82 to 98) 97% (88 to 99) (88 to 99) (88 to 99) (88 to 99) (88 to 99) (88 to 99) (88 to 99) (87 to 100 to 10	50% (32 to 68) (32 to 68) (2 to 40) - 20% (1 to 70) 50% (9 to 91) -type natriuretic	65% (58 to 71) 93% (60 to 98) - (79 to 96) 89% (78 to 95) (78 to 95) peptide; PPV, positi	1.70 (0.89 to 3.25) 1.79 (0.48 to 6.69) - 2.04 (0.26 to 15.75) (1.03 to 41.07) (1.03 to 41.07) // e predictive value.	0.93 (0.85 to 1.01) 0.89 (0.62 to 1.26) 0.92 (0.68 to 1.25) 0.83 (0.60 to 1.13)
36.8% 7.4% 0% 10.9% 13.3% 13.3% interi, Fradley <i>et al<sup>24</sup></i> : ai	125 M 84.6 F 152.2 C *Albers M 75 F 105 M/F *Fradley C *Nir M 63 F 116	32/262 (12.2%) 16/122 (13.1%) 4/36 (11.1%) 5/64 (7.8%) (7.8%) (7.8%) (5.3%)	16 2 0 2 2 2 adults; Nir <i>et a P</i> <sup>1</sup> . egative predictive <sup>1</sup> .	17% (10 to 26) 22% (4 to 60) - 14% (1 to 58) 20% (4 to 56) age-specific value value: NT-proBNF	90% (84 to 94) 88% (80 to 93) 93% (82 to 98) 97% (88 to 99) (88 to 99) es in children. s N-terminal pro B	50% (32 to 68) 13% (2 to 40) - 20% (1 to 70) 50% (9 to 91) -type natriuretic	65% (58 to 71) 93% (60 to 98) - - (79 to 96) 89% (78 to 95) (78 to 95) peptide; PPV, positi	1.70 (0.89 to 3.25) 1.79 (0.48 to 6.69) - 2.04 (0.26 to 15.75) 6.50 (1.03 to 41.07) (1.03 to 41.07)	0.93 (0.85 to 1.01) 0.89 (0.62 to 1.26) 0.92 0.68 to 1.25) (0.60 to 1.13) (0.60 to 1.13)
7.4% 0% 10.9% 13.3% 13.3% dren; Fradley <i>et al<sup>24</sup></i> : a <u>i</u> lihood ratio, LR–, negā	M 84.6 F 152.2 C *Albers M 75 F 105 M/F *Fradley C *Nir M 63 F 116	16/122 (13.1%) 4/36 (11.1%) 5/64 (7.8%) (7.8%) (7.8%) (5.3%)	2 0 2 adults; Nir <i>et a<sup>P1</sup></i> : egative predictive <sup>1</sup>	22% (4 to 60) - 14% (1 to 58) 20% (4 to 56) age-specific valu value; NT-proBNF	88% (80 to 93) - 93% (82 to 98) 97% (88 to 99) (88 to 99) (88 to 99) (88 to 99) (87 terminal pro 8	13% (2 to 40) – 20% (1 to 70) 50% (9 to 91) -type natriuretic	93% (60 to 98) – (79 to 96) 89% (78 to 95) (78 to 95) peptide; PPV, positi	1.79 (0.48 to 6.69) - 2.04 (0.26 to 15.75) 6.50 (1.03 to 41.07) (1.03 to 41.07) // e predictive value.	0.89 (0.62 to 1.26) 0.92 (0.68 to 1.25) 0.83 (0.60 to 1.13)
0% 10.9% 13.3% dren; Fradley <i>et al<sup>24</sup></i> : a <u>i</u> lihood ratio, LR–, negā	M 75 F 105 M/F *Fradley C *Nir M 63 F 116	4/36 (11.1%) 5/64 (7.8%) 4/76 (5.3%)	0 2 adults; Nir <i>et a P</i> <sup>1</sup> : i egative predictive <sup>1</sup>	- 14% (1 to 58) 20% (4 to 56) age-specific valu value; NT-proBNF	- 93% (82 to 98) 97% (88 to 99) (88 to 99) (88 to 99) es in children. ? N-terminal pro B	- 20% (1 to 70) 50% (9 to 91) -type natriuretic	- 90% (79 to 96) 89% (78 to 95) peptide; PPV, positi	- 2.04 2.04 (0.26 to 15.75) 6.50 (1.03 to 41.07) (1.03 to 41.07) re predictive value.	0.92 (0.68 to 1.25) 0.83 (0.60 to 1.13)
10.9% 13.3% dren; Fradley <i>et al<sup>34</sup></i> : a <u>í</u> lihood ratio, LR-, negã	M/F *Fradley C *Nir M 63 F 116	5/64 (7.8%) 4/76 (5.3%)	2 2 adults; Nir <i>et aP</i> <sup>1</sup> : i egative predictive v	14% (1 to 58) 20% (4 to 56) age-specific valu value; NT-proBNF	93% (82 to 98) 97% (88 to 99) (88 to 99) es in children. ? N-terminal pro B	20% (1 to 70) 50% (9 to 91) -type natriuretic	90% (79 to 96) 89% (78 to 95) peptide; PPV, positi	2.04 (0.26 to 15.75) 6.50 (1.03 to 41.07) /e predictive value.	0.92 (0.68 to 1.25) 0.83 (0.60 to 1.13)
13.3% dren; Fradley <i>et al<sup>34</sup></i> : a( lihood ratio, LR–, nega	M 63 F 116	4/76 (5.3%)	2 adults; Nir <i>et al<sup>31</sup>: i</i> egative predictive v	20% (4 to 56) age-specific valur value; NT-proBNF	97% (88 to 99) es in children. ? N-terminal pro B	50% (9 to 91) -type natriuretic	89% (78 to 95) peptide; PPV, positi	6.50 (1.03 to 41.07) /e predictive value.	0.83 (0.60 to 1.13)
dren; Fradley <i>et al<sup>34</sup>:</i> a <u>r</u> lihood ratio; LR–, nega	C *Nir		adults; Nir <i>et al<sup>a</sup></i> 1 : egative predictive .	age-specific value value; NT-proBNF	ss in children. 9 N-terminal pro B	-type natriuretic	peptide; PPV, positiv	e predictive value.	
action of IV dvsfum	rtion								
ection of EV uystan									
LV dysfunction, Ev tru	/aluated Trop( oponin(s) off (r	onin cut- Abnor. 1g/mL) tropor	LV dysfu mal and abn 1in, % troponir	unction Normal Sensiti ח (ח) (95% כו	vity, % Specific l) (95% Cl	:ity, % PPV, % ) (95% C	) NPV, % (1) (95% CI)	LR+ (95% CI)	LR- (95% CI)
8.3% cT	nT 0.010	) 2/24 (8.3%)	←	50% (3 to 97	91% (69 to 9	33.3% (2 to 8;	95% 7) (74 to 99	5.49 ) (0.81 to 37.32)	0.55 (0.14 to 2.22)
36.8% cT	'nl, cTnT 0.04,	0.01 3/268 (1.1%)	0	I	I	I	I	I	I
7.4% cT	nT 0.01	0	0	I	I	I	I	I	I
10.9% hs	s-cTnT 0.013	35 0	0	I	I	I	I	I	I
13.3% cT hs	nl, cTnT, 0.005 -cTnT 0.014	95, 0.03, 0	0	I	I	I	I	I	I
high-sensitivity cTnT; l	LR+, positive likelit.	iood ratio; LR–, ne	gative likelihood ra	atio; LV, left ventr	icular; NPV, negati	ive predictive val	ue; PPV, positive pre	dictive value; Unk, ı	ıknown.
	en; Fradley <i>et al<sup>34</sup></i> : a ood ratio; LR-, nega <b>tion of LV dysfun</b> <b>uv</b> <b>dysfunction, Ev</b> <b>dysfunction, Ev</b> 36.8% cT 7.4% cT 10.9% hs 13.3% cT 13.3% cT sh-sensitivity cTnT, L	en; Fradley <i>et al</i> <sup>34</sup> : age-specific and sex ood ratio, LR-, negative likelihood rati tion of LV dysfunction uv dysfunction, Evaluated Tropy dysfunction, Evaluated Tropy 36.8% cTn1, 0.01 7.4% cTn1, 0.01 10.9% hs-cTnT 0.01 10.9% hs-cTnT 0.013 13.3% cTn1, cTnT, 0.013 gh-sensitivity cTnT; LR+, positive likelih	tion of LV dysfunction LV dysfunction, Evaluated Troponin cut- Abnor % troponin(s) off (ng/mL) tropon 8.3% cTnI, cTnT 0.010 2/24 8.3% cTnI, cTnT 0.01 3/268 36.8% cTnI, cTnT 0.01 3/268 10.9% hs-cTnT 0.01 35 0 10.9% hs-cTnT 0.0135 0 13.3% cTnI, cTnT, 0.0135 0 gh-sensitivity cTnT, LR+, positive likelihood ratio; LR-, ne	tion of LV dysfunction         LV         LV       dysfunction, Evaluated dysfunction, Evaluated troponin(s)       Troponin, No       LV dysfunction abroads         %       troponin(s)       off (ng/mL)       troponin, No       troponin, No       troponin         8.3%       cTnL, cTnT       0.010       2/24       1       1         36.8%       cTnL, cTnT       0.04, 0.01       3/268       0       0         7.4%       cTnL       0.010       2/24       1       1         10.9%       trol       0.01       0       0       0       0         13.3%       cTnL, cTnT       0.0135       0       0       0       0         13.3%       cTnL, cTnT       0.014       0       0       0       0         13.3%       cTnL, cTnT, notative likelihood ratio, LR-, negative likelihood ratio, LR-, negative likelihood ratio       0       0       0	tion of LV dysfunction         LV         LV       dysfunction         Sensiti       Monomal       Sensiti         Vs       troponin(s)       off (ng/mL)       troponin(n)       (95% C         Sa3%       CTnT       0.010       2/24       1       50%         Sa3%       CTnT, CTnT       0.04, 0.01       3/268       0       0         36.8%       CTnT, CTnT       0.04, 0.01       3/268       0       0       0         7.4%       CTnT       0.04, 0.01       3/268       0       0       0       0         10.9%       Int       0.010       0.03, 0.03       0       0       0       0       0         13.3%       cTnT       0.0135       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         13.3%       cTnT       0.0055, 0.033       0       0       0       0       0       0         13.3%       cTnT, CTnT, LR+, positive likelihood ratio; LR-, negative likelihood ratio; LV, left ventri	tion of LV dysfunction         LV       Sensitivity, %         Specific dysfunction, toponin(s)       Troponin(s)       Troponin(s)       Troponin(s)       Sensitivity, %       Specific sensitivity, %         %       troponin(s)       off (ng/mL)       troponin(m)       (95%CI)       (95%CI)       (95%CI)         %       cTnT       0.010       2/24       1       50%       91%         %       cTnT       0.010       2/24       1       (3 to 97)       (69 to 9         %       cTnT, cTnT       0.04, 0.01       3/268       0       -       -       -         36.8%       cTnT, cTnT       0.04, 0.01       3/268       0       -       -       -         36.8%       cTnT, cTnT       0.04, 0.01       3/268       0       -       -       -         36.8%       cTnT       0.01       0       0       -       -       -         36.8%       cTnT       0.01       0       0       -       -       -         36.8%       for the cTnT       0.014       0       0       -       -       -         37.4%       fn-cTnT       0.014       0       0	tion of LV dysfunctionLV dysfunction,EvaluatedTroponin cut.Abnormal and abnormalSensitivity, %Specificity, %PPV %dysfunction,troponin(s)off (ng/mL)Troponin (n)(95% Cl)(95% Cl)(95% Cl)(95% Cl)8.3%cTn1, cTn10.0102/24150%(91% 098)(2 to 8)36.8%cTn1, cTn10.04, 0.013/268022236.8%cTn1, cTn10.04, 0.013/268022210.9%cTn1, cTn10.04, 0.013/268022211.1%0.013/2680222213.3%cTn1, cTn10.010022210.9%hs-cTn10.01350022213.3%cTn1, cTn1,0.01350022213.3%fs-cTn10.01350022213.3%cTn1, cTn1,0.0055, 0.030022213.3%cTn1, cTn1,0.0035, 0.030022213.3%cTn1, cTn1, R+, positive likelihood ratio, LN, left ventricular, NPV, negative predictive valueph-sensitivity cTn1; LR+, positive likelihood ratio, LN, left ventricular, NPV, negative redictive value	LU         dysfunction           LV         Evaluated         Troponin cut- bysfunction         Evaluated         Troponin cut- bysfunction         Band abnormal servicity, %         Sensitivity, %         Specificity, %         PPV %         NPV %         Specificity         NPV %         NPV %         Specificity         NPV %         NPV %         NPV %         NPV %         NPV %	tion of LV dystanction         W         W       W       Specificity, %       Spec

yet suited for rule in purposes, as specificity ranges from 88% to 97%, implying significant rates of false positives.

The limited diagnostic accuracy of NT-proBNP for detection of LV dysfunction might be partly explained by the LV dysfunction definitions used in the included studies, similar to findings in the general population.<sup>12</sup> In the general population of <65years of age, the diagnostic accuracy of NT-proBNP for detection of an EF < 50% is very limited compared with the detection of an EF <40% (area under the curve of 0.88 and 0.56, respectively).<sup>12</sup> NT-proBNP might also prove more useful in diagnosing an EF <40% in CCS treated with anthracyclines. This is an interesting subject for future studies because diagnosing an EF <40% in CCS is meaningful as this has implications for initiating treatment with heart failure therapies.<sup>37</sup> Also, in the general population of <65 years of age, the optimal NT-proBNP cut-off value of 59 ng/L for diagnosing an EF  $< 50\%^{12}$  is lower than the cut-off values used in the included studies and corresponded to a higher sensitivity of 62.2%, at the cost of a lower specificity of 61.3% compared with sensitivities and specificities reported in our review. In future studies, separate optimal age and sex-adjusted cut-off values for rule out and rule in of LV dysfunction in CCS should be tested, as is also done for the diagnosis of acute heart failure in the emergency department.<sup>36</sup>

Optimal NT-proBNP cut-off values to rule out and rule in LV dysfunction may not only vary by age and sex but also by individual pretest probabilities of LV dysfunction because predictive values of a diagnostic test are dependent on the prevalence of disease.<sup>20</sup> We noticed a wide spread in the prevalence of LV dysfunction in the included studies ranging from 7.4% to 36.8%, probably due to patient selection, differences in CAD and differences in definitions of LV dysfunction (table 2). Indeed, NPVs were high (89%–93%) in three of the four studies with the lowest prevalence of LV dysfunction (7.4%-13.3%)<sup>13 29 32</sup> and lower (NPV 65%) in the study with the highest prevalence (36.8%).<sup>15</sup> To account for such heterogeneity in the populations, individual pretest probabilities for LV dysfunction should be taken into consideration when using NT-proBNP to diagnose LV dysfunction in CCS and can be estimated by traditional risk factors for anthracycline-related cardiotoxicity such as sex, age at diagnosis, follow-up duration and CAD and radiotherapy dose.<sup>38</sup> Subsequently, the LR can be used to calculate individual post-test probabilities of LV dysfunction. LRs above 10 or below 0.1 may be regarded as strong.<sup>22</sup> The LRs we report for NT-proBNP are therefore moderate and need improvement before NT-proBNP testing can play a role in the surveillance for late-onset LV dysfunction in CCS.

## Troponins

Troponins are markers for cardiomyocyte damage and may predict heart failure and cardiovascular death in the population using very low cut-off values.<sup>39</sup> Troponin measurements during or shortly after anthracycline treatment may be useful for prediction of future LV dysfunction.<sup>16</sup> For detection of lateonset LV dysfunction in CCS, the position of troponins in detection of cardiotoxicity is less clear. In the five included studies, troponins in the presence or absence of LV dysfunction are rarely elevated, even though cut-off values in these studies were as low as 0.01 ng/mL or 0.013 ng/mL with the newest high sensitivity assays. Therefore, there appears to be no potential in detecting LV dysfunction with the previous reports.<sup>6 14</sup> Possibly, troponins may be of use for risk stratification using very low cut-off values of troponin for development of LV dysfunction in long-term CCS.<sup>39</sup>

# Other biomarkers

The few studies on BNP and nitric oxide were too limited in patient number to draw conclusions. Identification of new biomarkers for detection of late-onset LV dysfunction in an early stage in CCS treated with anthracyclines is an interesting subject for future studies. Especially, biomarkers that relate to the mechanisms of late-onset anthracycline-induced LV dysfunction.

# Strengths and limitations

Our systematic review provides a new overview of the literature in an emerging field of biomarkers with respect to the detection of LV dysfunction in CCS. However, some limitations must be mentioned. Based on the heterogeneity of the included studies regarding LV dysfunction definitions and biomarker cut-off values performing a meta-analysis was not appropriate. An individual patient data analysis is needed to define optimal biomarker cut-off values with a uniform LV dysfunction definition and will be performed by us for NT-proBNP in the near future. The aim of our review was to compare biomarkers levels with the presence of LV systolic dysfunction as measured by EF or FS, while other parameters indicating milder forms of LV dysfunction such as diastolic function parameters, myocardial strain parameters and interstitial fibrosis measurements derived from cardiac MRI may also be compared with biomarker tests. However, we chose to compare biomarkers to the LV systolic dysfunction parameters EF and/or FS because these are the most widely used parameters for detection of cardiotoxicity in CCS<sup>611</sup> with consequences for initiating heart failure therapies.<sup>6 37</sup>

# Implications for clinical practice and future research

Our results showing that none of the biomarkers at present cut-off values can safely rule in or rule out LV dysfunction in CCS and discourages the routine use of biomarkers in the surveillance of CCS treated with anthracyclines. Furthermore, our review serves as an incentive for more research on optimal biomarker cut-off values and for the identification of new biomarkers that can accurately exclude or confirm LV dysfunction.

# Key messages

# What is already known on this subject?

Long-term childhood cancer survivors (CCS) treated with anthracyclines are at risk for developing heart failure up to four decades after anthracycline exposure and are therefore periodically screened for left ventricular (LV) dysfunction by guideline recommended echocardiograms. At present, biomarkers are not recommended for screening of LV dysfunction in long-term CCS.

# What might this study add?

In this systematic review of eight studies, we show that the biomarkers N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide and troponins have a limited diagnostic value for detection of late-onset LV systolic dysfunction in CCS treated with anthracyclines. Other biomarkers are insufficiently studied to draw conclusions.

# How might this impact on clinical practice?

The results of our systematic review should discourage the routine use of biomarkers in the screening for late-onset LV systolic dysfunction in CCS treated with anthracyclines.

# Heart failure and cardiomyopathies

# CONCLUSIONS

The biomarkers NT-proBNP and troponins have limited diagnostic value to detect late-onset LV dysfunction in CCS at the presented cut-off values and are not useful in the screening of long-term CCS treated with anthracyclines. Other biomarkers have not been sufficiently studied in long-term CCS to draw conclusions regarding their diagnostic value.

#### Author affiliations

<sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical and Experimental Cardiology, Amsterdam Cardiovascular Sciences, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Heart Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pediatric Oncology, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>3</sup>Department of Pediatric Oncology, Princess Máxima Centre, Utrecht, The Netherlands

<sup>4</sup>Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

<sup>5</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Tampere University Hospital, Tampere, Finland

<sup>6</sup>Department of Pediatric Oncology, University Medical Centre Groningen, Beatrix Children's Hospital, Groningen, The Netherlands

<sup>7</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>8</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, VU University Medical Centre, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>9</sup>Department of Pediatric Oncology, Erasmus Medical Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>10</sup>Department of Pediatric Cardiology, Radboud University Medical Centre, Amalia Children's Hospital, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

<sup>11</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Pediatric Cardiology Unit, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Centre, Sackler School of Medicine, Tel Aviv, Israel

<sup>12</sup>Department of Cardiology, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

**Contributors** JML, SJV and WEMK contributed to the study design, literature search, critical appraisal of studies, drafting of the manuscript and contingency tables. All authors contributed to the critical revision of the manuscript.

Funding This study was funded by Dutch Heart Foundation grant CVON2015-21.

**Competing interests** None declared.

Patient consent Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

### REFERENCES

- Kowalczyk JR, Samardakiewicz M, Pritchard-Jones K, et al. European survey on standards of care in paediatric oncology centres. Eur J Cancer 2016;61:11–19.
- 2 Gatta G, Botta L, Rossi S, et al. Childhood cancer survival in Europe 1999-2007: results of EUROCARE-5-a population-based study. *Lancet Oncol* 2014;15:35–47.
- 3 Mariotto AB, Rowland JH, Yabroff KR, *et al*. Long-term survivors of childhood cancers in the United States. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2009;18:1033–40.
- 4 Geenen MM, Cardous-Ubbink MC, Kremer LC, et al. Medical assessment of adverse health outcomes in long-term survivors of childhood cancer. JAMA 2007;297:2705–15.
- 5 Hudson MM, Ness KK, Gurney JG, et al. Clinical ascertainment of health outcomes among adults treated for childhood cancer. JAMA 2013;309:2371–81.
- 6 Armenian SH, Hudson MM, Mulder RL, *et al*. Recommendations for cardiomyopathy surveillance for survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the international late effects of childhood cancer guideline harmonization group. *Lancet Oncol* 2015;16:e123–36.
- 7 van der Pal HJ, van Dalen EC, Hauptmann M, et al. Cardiac function in 5-year survivors of childhood cancer: a long-term follow-up study. Arch Intern Med 2010;170:1247–55.
- 8 van der Pal HJ, van Dalen EC, van Delden E, et al. High risk of symptomatic cardiac events in childhood cancer survivors. J Clin Oncol 2012;30:1429–37.
- 9 Pai VB, Nahata MC. Cardiotoxicity of chemotherapeutic agents: incidence, treatment and prevention. *Drug Saf* 2000;22:264–302.
- 10 Yusuf S, Pitt B, Davis CE, et al. Effect of enalapril on mortality and the development of heart failure in asymptomatic patients with reduced left ventricular ejection fractions. N Engl J Med 1992;327:685–91.
- 11 Sieswerda E, Postma A, van Dalen EC, *et al*. The Dutch Childhood Oncology Group guideline for follow-up of asymptomatic cardiac dysfunction in childhood cancer survivors. *Ann Oncol* 2012;23:2191–8.
- 12 Costello-Boerrigter LC, Boerrigter G, Redfield MM, et al. Amino-terminal pro-Btype natriuretic peptide and B-type natriuretic peptide in the general community:

determinants and detection of left ventricular dysfunction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;47:345–53.

- 13 Mavinkurve-Groothuis AM, Groot-Loonen J, Bellersen L, et al. Abnormal NT-pro-BNP levels in asymptomatic long-term survivors of childhood cancer treated with anthracyclines. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2009;52:631–6.
- 14 Mavinkurve-Groothuis AM, Kapusta L, Nir A, et al. The role of biomarkers in the early detection of anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity in children: a review of the literature. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 2008;25:655–64.
- 15 Brouwer CA, Postma A, Vonk JM, et al. Systolic and diastolic dysfunction in long-term adult survivors of childhood cancer. Eur J Cancer 2011;47:2453–62.
- 16 Lipshultz SE, Miller TL, Scully RE, et al. Changes in cardiac biomarkers during doxorubicin treatment of pediatric patients with high-risk acute lymphoblastic leukemia: associations with long-term echocardiographic outcomes. J Clin Oncol 2012;30:1042–9.
- 17 Cardinale D, Sandri MT, Colombo A, et al. Prognostic value of troponin I in cardiac risk stratification of cancer patients undergoing high-dose chemotherapy. *Circulation* 2004;109:2749–54.
- 18 Bossuyt PM, Reitsma JB, Bruns DE, *et al.* STARD 2015: an updated list of essential items for reporting diagnostic accuracy studies. *BMJ* 2015;351:h5527.
- 19 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. BMJ 2009;339:b2535.
- 20 Altman DG, Bland JM. Diagnostic tests 2: predictive values. *BMJ* 1994;309:102.
- 21 Altman DG, Bland JM. Diagnostic tests. 1: sensitivity and specificity. *BMJ* 1994;308:1552.
- 22 Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Diagnostic tests 4: likelihood ratios. *BMJ* 2004;329:168–9.
- 23 Newcombe RG. Two-sided confidence intervals for the single proportion: comparison of seven methods. *Stat Med* 1998;17:857–72.
- 24 Kismet E, Demirkaya E, Yurttutan N, *et al*. Serum BNP levels in children treated with doxorubicin for their solid tumors. *Gulhane Medical Journal* 2004;46:38–42.
- 25 Elbl L, Vásová I, Navrátil M, et al. [Comparison of plasmatic levels of B-natriuretic peptide with echocardiographic indicators of left ventricle function after doxorubicin therapy]. Vnitr Lek 2006;52:563–70.
- 26 Aggarwal S, Pettersen MD, Bhambhani K, et al. B-type natriuretic peptide as a marker for cardiac dysfunction in anthracycline-treated children. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2007;49:812–6.
- 27 Guler E, Baspinar O, Cekmen M, et al. Nitric oxide: a new biomarker of doxorubicin toxicity in children? *Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 2011;28:395–402.
- 28 Mladosievicova B, Urbanova D, Radvanska E, et al. Role of NT-proBNP in detection of myocardial damage in childhood leukemia survivors treated with and without anthracyclines. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 2012;31:86.
- 29 Ylänen K, Poutanen T, Savukoski T, *et al.* Cardiac biomarkers indicate a need for sensitive cardiac imaging among long-term childhood cancer survivors exposed to anthracyclines. *Acta Paediatr* 2015;104:313–9.
- 30 Kismet E, Varan A, Ayabakan C, et al. Serum troponin T levels and echocardiographic evaluation in children treated with doxorubicin. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2004;42:220–4.
- 31 Nir A, Lindinger A, Rauh M, *et al*. NT-pro-B-type natriuretic peptide in infants and children: reference values based on combined data from four studies. *Pediatr Cardiol* 2009;30:3–8.
- 32 Pourier MS, Kapusta L, van Gennip A, et al. Values of high sensitive troponin T in longterm survivors of childhood cancer treated with anthracyclines. *Clinica Chimica Acta* 2015;441:29–32.
- 33 Albers S, Mir TS, Haddad M, et al. N-Terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide: normal ranges in the pediatric population including method comparison and interlaboratory variability. *Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine* 2006;44:80–5.
- 34 Fradley MG, Larson MG, Cheng S, et al. Reference limits for N-terminal-pro-B-type natriuretic peptide in healthy individuals (from the Framingham Heart Study). Am J Cardiol 2011;108:1341–5.
- 35 Zamorano JL, Lancellotti P, Rodriguez Muñoz D, et al. 2016 ESC Position Paper on cancer treatments and cardiovascular toxicity developed under the auspices of the ESC Committee for practice guidelines: the Task Force for cancer treatments and cardiovascular toxicity of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J 2016;37:2768–801.
- 36 Januzzi JL, van Kimmenade R, Lainchbury J, et al. NT-proBNP testing for diagnosis and short-term prognosis in acute destabilized heart failure: an international pooled analysis of 1256 patients: the International Collaborative of NT-proBNP Study. Eur Heart J 2006;27:330–7.
- 37 Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: The Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. Eur J Heart Fail 2016;18:891–975.
- 38 Chow EJ, Chen Y, Kremer LC, *et al*. Individual prediction of heart failure among childhood cancer survivors. *J Clin Oncol* 2015;33:394–402.
- 39 deFilippi CR, de Lemos JA, Christenson RH, et al. Association of serial measures of cardiac troponin T using a sensitive assay with incident heart failure and cardiovascular mortality in older adults. JAMA 2010;304:2494–502.