



University of Groningen

The Difficulty With Studying Challenging Behavior

Gerritsen, Debby L; Smalbrugge, Martin; Veldwijk-Rouwenhorst, Annelies E; Wetzels, Roland; Zuidema, Sytse U; Koopmans, Raymond T C M

Published in: Journal of the American Medical Directors Association

DOI: 10.1016/j.jamda.2019.01.148

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2019

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Gerritsen, D. L., Smalbrugge, M., Veldwijk-Rouwenhorst, A. E., Wetzels, R., Zuidema, S. U., & Koopmans, R. T. C. M. (2019). The Difficulty With Studying Challenging Behavior. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*, *20*(7), 879-881. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2019.01.148

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.



Editorial

IAMDA

journal homepage: www.jamda.com



Check for updates

The Difficulty With Studying Challenging Behavior

Debby L. Gerritsen PhD^{a,b,c,*}, Martin Smalbrugge MD, PhD^d, Annelies E. Veldwijk-Rouwenhorst MD^{a,b,c}, Roland Wetzels MD, PhD^{a,b,c} Sytse U. Zuidema MD, PhD^e, Raymond T.C.M. Koopmans MD, PhD^{a,b,f}

^a Department of Primary and Community Care, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, the Netherlands

^bRadboudumc Alzheimer Centre, Nijmegen, the Netherlands

^cRadboud Institute for Health Sciences, University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, the Netherlands

^d Department of General Practice and Elderly Care Medicine, Amsterdam Public Health research institute, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, VU

University Medical Centre, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

e Department of General Practice and Elderly Care Medicine, University of Groningen, University Medical Centre Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands ^f De Waalboog "Joachim en Anna", Centre for Specialized Geriatric Care, Nijmegen, the Netherlands

The behavior of people with dementia has been a focus of both research and dementia care for decades, particularly behavior that is considered "challenging." As we will discuss below, this challenging behavior has appeared difficult to demarcate and define, resulting in many approaches and views about what it comprises, which consequently has resulted in many different measurement instruments. The striking consequence is that the field now has been provided with a pool of research results that are hard to interpret and combine into knowledge that really moves it forward. For instance, various terms, definitions, and descriptions of vocalizations^{1–4} are currently used in existing literature, and a broad range of behaviors are qualified as being vocalizations, such as moaning,^{5–8} constant request for attention,^{6,7} crying,⁹ complaining,^{2,5} abusive language,^{2,4,7,8} singing,^{4,8} and verbal or nonverbal utterances.^{5,8} Additionally, vocalizations are often regarded as part of vocally disruptive behavior^{1,10} or verbal agitation.^{11,12} As a result, diverging and overlapping classifications exist for the same behaviors.^{1,3,4,13}

For exploring how researchers can improve their contribution to the knowledge about challenging behavior and to its treatment, we will discuss different viewpoints in the literature with regard to (1) the relationship between challenging behavior and dementia and (2) why behavior is considered challenging.

Relationship Between Challenging Behavior and Dementia

Approaches to challenging behavior vary in how they relate the challenging behavior to dementia. We will describe 3 groups of

1525-8610/© 2019 AMDA - The Society for Post-Acute and Long-Term Care Medicine.

approaches, which we refer to as (1) behavior-focused approaches, (2)behavior-symptom approaches, and (3) function-focused approaches.

The first group, the behavior-focused approaches, are numerous and use various names, such as agitated behavior,¹⁶⁻²⁰ problem behavior,²¹ obstreperous behavior,²² behavior disturbances,²³ disruptive behavior,¹³ and challenging behavior.²⁴ Behavior-focused approaches concentrate on behavior specifically occurring in people with dementia, irrespective of its cause. In other words, these approaches focus on issues that can be observed in a particular target group (people with dementia) but do not explain or address how this behavior is related to their condition (dementia). The definitions and measurement instruments used in these approaches vary according to the behaviors included.

The second group of approaches, among which are the Behavioral and Psychological Symptoms in Dementia approach, 25,26 the Neuro *Psychiatric Symptoms* approach,²⁷ and the *Behavioral Pathology* approach,²⁸ combine observable behaviors with symptoms of (conditions associated with) dementia. By including symptoms, these behavior-symptom approaches may induce a focus on challenging behavior as a *direct* result of dementia; challenging behavior as merely reflecting a dementia-symptom and having no meaning in itself.^{29–31} Furthermore, these approaches result in measurement instruments with hierarchical structures (eg, NPI,²⁷ BEHAVE-AD,²⁸ DBRI,³² BEAM- D^{17}) that may lead to interpretation difficulties. For example, the symptom "delusions" is scored in the Neuro Psychiatric Inventory²⁷ when a nursing home resident with dementia is repeatedly hitting other residents while sometimes yelling that they have stolen her purse. However, "delusions" is a symptom, not observable resident behavior, and may be a cause of the behavior shown. Furthermore, this behavior may have other, or additional, causes.^{29,30,33}

A third group of approaches addresses behaviors (and not symptoms) and considers behavior as an *indirect* consequence of dementia, from which follows that the behavior has a function, that is, is meaningful in itself. Viewing behavior as an indirect consequence having function implies that it is important to investigate what the cause of the behavior might be.34 Important examples of these "function-focused" approaches are, for instance, the unmet-needs

This study was supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development (ZonMw. no. 839120001).

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Address correspondence to Debby L. Gerritsen, PhD, MSc, Department of Primary and Community Care, Centre for Family Medicine, Geriatric Care and Public Health, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, PO Box 9101, 6500 HB Nijmegen, the Netherlands.

E-mail address: Debby.Gerritsen@radboudumc.nl (D.L. Gerritsen).

approach^{33,35} and the lowered-threshold theory.³⁶ According to the unmet-needs approach, challenging behavior is (1) an expression of distress caused by unmet needs, (2) a means of communicating needs, or (3) a means of fulfilling needs—the needs being of a physical and/or psychological nature.³³ The theory of lowered threshold postulates that challenging behavior is the result of environmental stressors exceeding a stress threshold. This threshold is reached sooner in people with dementia as they are considered to be more vulnerable to stimuli, given their neurologic damage.^{36,37} By articulating causes, these function-focused approaches demarcate challenging behavior as behavior that results from specific causes, for instance environmental stressors in the latter approach. Consequently, one of the approaches alone cannot explain all possible challenging behaviors. Causes can be internal (behavior results from a stimulus within the resident) or external (stimulus from social or physical environment), and a resident's behavior can very well be a result of various combinations and interactions of these internal or external causes. This implies, for instance, that optimal clinical treatment for 2 similar behaviors can varv tremendously.^{34,38} Function-focused approaches stress the

Why Is Behavior Considered Challenging?

The question why behavior is considered challenging is about at least 3 features. First, it may be challenging because of its causes and/ or consequences; second, because of the person(s) involved, that is, the resident and/or his social environment; and third, because of its properties, that is, intensity and persistence of the behavior.

importance of functional analyses of observable behavior.³⁴

The approaches described above differ on the first and second feature. Behavior-focused approaches regard behavior, and not its causes and consequences. However, as can be seen in many of the above-mentioned concept names, the behavior in question needs to be addressed because of its consequences for the social environment, such as in the negatively labeled terms disruptiveness¹³ and disturbances.²³ Other terms, such as challenging behavior, imply that its consequences can be challenging for the person with dementia and/or the environment. In the behavior-symptom approaches, which include a focus on a direct relationship between dementia and behavior, the attention is directed toward symptoms and, thus, by definition, on the internal causes in the person with dementia and not so much on his or her environment.³⁰ In the function-focused approaches, such as the unmet needs and progressively lowered threshold approaches, the causes of the behavior are central, and they vary regarding the persons involved in these causes. Namely, the internal and external (environmental) nature of these causes are exemplified.

Neither the first feature (causes and consequences) nor the second feature (persons involved) are often considered in assessment instruments. Some instruments, however, address the consequences of the behavior (eg, distress) for nursing staff or informal caregivers (NPI-NH,³⁹ NPI-Q⁴⁰). Apart from the severity scale of the NPI-instruments, consequences for the person with dementia have, to our knowledge, not been included in measures for challenging behavior. This also holds for the impact on other residents.

A third feature of why behavior can be challenging are the behavior's properties. Behavior may be challenging because of its high intensity. For instance, requesting the attention of a nurse once or twice a day is not considered challenging; however, doing this 40 times a day mostly is. Furthermore, hitting the table loudly to get a nurse's attention until the nurse responds may be considered challenging, whereas asking something at conversational volume will not. In general, the *intensity* of the behavior is addressed in assessment instruments to some degree. Usually, it is measured in terms of severity and/or frequency. Other intensity issues, such as the unexpectedness of the behavior, are commonly not addressed in measurement instruments. The property *persistence* is often included, and usually through the time frames used by the instruments. These explicate, for instance, that the behavior has to be scored over a period of 2 weeks or 1 month.

Implications

In nursing home care, "behavior" can be an expression of many issues. Most residents are very impaired and have difficulty communicating verbally, making the precise cause of their behavior often far from clear. Therefore, it is difficult, if not impossible, to formulate a comprehensive definition of the challenging behavior, as well as employing the cause of behavior in assessment and intervention strategies. However, what we can do, in line with the IPA consensus definition of agitation,⁴¹ is start from the viewpoint that challenging behavior primarily reflects compromised well-being. We add that it therefore requires analyses of its causes and, furthermore, that it has consequences for the person with dementia and his or her social environment, also requiring explication.³⁴ Should researchers subsequently only use behavioral items to measure challenging behavior, it would be possible to systematically select items and distinguish scales based on specific behaviors and, through this, explicitly distinguish behavior from its causes-the latter not being measured with the same instrument. For instance, the frequent hitting and kicking by a resident who also has delusions may stem from these delusions, but may also be (partly) related to the resident having abdominal pain. By first exploring the behavior thoroughly and then searching for causes, the pain may be noticed sooner. Furthermore, these causes can then dictate the treatment.

We must acknowledge that this behavior-focused approach has been criticized by researchers aiming to classify behaviors into neuropsychiatric syndromes such as the Apathy Syndrome and the Dementia-Associated Psychotic Disorder.⁴² Their argument is that individual behaviors co-occur and that a behavior approach is less applicable in milder dementia stages in which the person's mental state can be examined specifically. In contrast, we argue that although a specific clustering of behaviors may point to a particular syndrome being the cause, classification may be a problematic oversimplification of the meaning, causes, and consequences of particular behavior in individuals, especially in people who cannot communicate their needs.

Furthermore, researchers could also specifically explicate the features of the behavior in their studies and in the choice of the measurement instruments applied, for instance, by adding consequences of interest (eg, level of distress of the person with dementia) to standard response scales of measurement instruments. The interventions initiated could subsequently be directed by using the results of these additional response scales in a thorough analysis of possible causes and consequences in daily practice. Finally, replicating studies and comparing results would be greatly facilitated if we, as researchers, were to be transparent by reporting not only the choices made in the definition and measurement process but also the operationalization applied.

Conclusion

Challenging behavior is, first and foremost, relevant as it is an expression of the compromised well-being of the person with dementia. Optimizing the well-being of people with dementia can be considered the central goal of dementia care,⁴³ implying that managing challenging behavior should be a priority. In order to be able to compare research results—genuinely advancing the knowledge about challenging behavior further—it is recommended that researchers in their studies and reports;

- specify a definition for the behavior of interest using observable behavior;
- specify why they consider the behavior challenging in terms of causes and consequences;
- specify why they consider the behavior challenging in terms of the person(s) involved;
- specify the properties of interest, that is, intensity and persistence;
- specify the assessment instruments used; and
- specify how the properties are operationalized (eg, response scales, observation periods).

Supplementary Data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2019.01.148.

References

- 1. von Gunten A, Alnawaqil AM, Abderhalden C, et al. Vocally disruptive behavior in the elderly: A systematic review. Int Psychogeriatr 2008;20:653–672.
- Beck C, Richards K, Lambert C, et al. Factors associated with problematic vocalizations in nursing home residents with dementia. Gerontologist 2011;51: 389–405.
- Cohen-Mansfield J. Agitated behavior in persons with dementia: The relationship between type of behavior, its frequency, and its disruptiveness. J Psychiatr Res 2008;43:64–69.
- Cohen-Mansfield J, Werner P. Typology of disruptive vocalizations in older persons suffering from dementia. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 1997;12:1079–1091.
- Palese A, Menegazzo E, Baulino F, et al. The effectiveness of multistrategies on disruptive vocalization of people with dementia in institutions: A multicentered observational study. J Neurosci Nurs 2009;41:191–200.
- Yusupov A, Galvin JE. Vocalization in dementia: A case report and review of the literature. Case Rep Neurol 2014;6:126–133.
- Sloane PD, Davidson S, Knight N, et al. Severe disruptive vocalizers. J Am Geriatr Soc 1999;47:439–445.
- Randall EW, Clissett PC. What are the relative merits of interventions used to reduce the occurrences of disruptive vocalisation in persons with dementia? A systematic review. Int J Older People Nurs 2016;11:4–17.
- Adams J, Cheng P, Deonarain L, et al. Extinction of care-induced vocalizations by a desensitization routine on a palliative care unit. Am J Hosp Palliat Care 2012; 29:318–320.
- Werner P, Cohen-Mansfield J, Fischer J, et al. Characterization of familygenerated videotapes for the management of verbally disruptive behaviors. J Appl Gerontol 2000;19:42–57.
- Cohen-Mansfield J, Libin A. Verbal and physical non-aggressive agitated behaviors in elderly persons with dementia: Robustness of syndromes. J Psychiatr Res 2005;39:325–332.
- Bedard A, Landreville P, Voyer P, et al. Reducing verbal agitation in people with dementia: Evaluation of an intervention based on the satisfaction of basic needs. Aging Ment Health 2011;15:855–865.
- Beck C, Frank L, Chumbler NR, et al. Correlates of disruptive behavior in severely cognitively impaired nursing home residents. Gerontologist 1998;38:189–198.
- von Gunten A, Favre M, Gurtner C, et al. Vocally disruptive behavior (VDB) in the institutionalized elderly: A naturalistic multiple case report. Arch Gerontol Geriatr 2011;52:e110–e116.
- Patel VH, Hope T. Aggressive behaviour in elderly people with dementia: A review. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 1993;8:457–472.
- Cohen-Mansfield J, Billig N. Agitated behaviors in the elderly. I. A conceptual review. J Am Geriatr Soc 1986;34:711–721.

- 17. Sinha D, Zemlan FP, Nelson S, et al. A new scale for assessing behavioral agitation in dementia. Psychiatry Res 1992;41:73-88.
- Finkel SI, Lyons JS, Anderson RL. A Brief Agitation Rating Scale (BARS) for nursing home elderly. J Am Geriatr Soc 1993;41:50–52.
- Bliwise DL, Lee KA. Development of an Agitated Behavior Rating Scale for discrete temporal observations. J Nurs Meas 1993;1:115–124.
- Rosen J, Burgio L, Kollar M, et al. The Pittsburgh Agitation Scale: A user-friendly instrument for rating agitation in dementia patients. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry 1994;2:52–59.
- 21. Ray WA, Taylor JA, Lichtenstein MJ, et al. The Nursing Home Behavior Problem Scale. J Gerontol 1992;47:M9–M16.
- Drachman DA, Swearer JM, O'Donnell BF, et al. The Caretaker Obstreperous-Behavior Rating Assessment (COBRA) Scale. J Am Geriatr Soc 1992;40:463–470.
- Gauthier S, Baumgarten M, Becker R. Dementia Behavior Disturbance Scale. Int Psychogeriatr 1996;8:325–327.
- Allen-Burge R, Stevens AB, Burgio LD. Effective behavioral interventions for decreasing dementia-related challenging behavior in nursing homes. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 1999;14:213–228. discussion 228-232.
- Finkel SI. Behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia. Assisting the caregiver and managing the patient. Geriatrics 2002;57:44–46.
- Lawlor BA. Behavioral and psychological symptoms in dementia: The role of atypical antipsychotics. J Clin Psychiatry 2004;65:5–10.
- Cummings JL. The Neuropsychiatric Inventory: Assessing psychopathology in dementia patients. Neurology 1997;48:S10–S16.
- Reisberg B, Auer SR, Monteiro IM. Behavioral pathology in Alzheimer's disease (BEHAVE-AD) rating scale. Int Psychogeriatr 1996;8:301–308. discussion 351-354.
- Macaulay S. The broken lens of BPSD: Why we need to rethink the way we label the behavior of people who live with alzheimer disease. J Am Med Direct Assoc 2018;19:177–180.
- Caspi E. Time for change: Persons with dementia and "behavioral expressions," not "behavior symptoms". J Am Med Direct Assoc 2013;14:768–769.
- Zimmerman S, Katz PR, Sloane PD, et al. Language as an application of mindfulness. J Am Med Direct Assoc 2018;19:375–377.
- 32. Molloy DW, Bedard M, Guyatt GH, et al. Dysfunctional behavior rating instrument. Int Psychogeriatr 1996;8:333–341. discussion 351-354.
- Cohen-Mansfield J. Theoretical frameworks for behavioral problems in dementia. Alzheimer's Care Q 2000;1:8–21.
- Moniz Cook ED, Swift K, James I, et al. Functional analysis-based interventions for challenging behaviour in dementia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev; 2012:CD006929.
- Algase DL, Beck C, Kolanowski A, et al. Need-driven dementia-compromised behavior: An alternative view of disruptive behavior. Am J Alzheimer's Dis Other Dement 1996;11:10–19.
- Hall GR, Buckwalter KC. Progressively lowered stress threshold: A conceptual model for care of adults with Alzheimer's disease. Arch Psychiatr Nurs 1987;1: 399–406.
- Richards KC, Beck CK. Progressively lowered stress threshold model: Understanding behavioral symptoms of dementia. J Am Geriatr Soc 2004;52: 1774–1775.
- Zwijsen SA, van der Ploeg E, Hertogh CM. Understanding the world of dementia. How do people with dementia experience the world? Int Psychogeriatr 2016;28:1067–1077.
- Wood S, Cummings JL, Hsu MA, et al. The use of the neuropsychiatric inventory in nursing home residents. Characterization and measurement. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry 2000;8:75–83.
- Kaufer DI, Cummings JL, Christine D, et al. Assessing the impact of neuropsychiatric symptoms in Alzheimer's disease: The Neuropsychiatric Inventory Caregiver Distress Scale. J Am Geriatr Soc 1998;46:210–215.
- **41.** Cummings J, Mintzer J, Brodaty H, et al. Agitation in cognitive disorders: International Psychogeriatric Association provisional consensus clinical and research definition. Int Psychogeriatr 2015;27:7–17.
- Lyketsos CG. Neuropsychiatric symptoms (behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia) and the development of dementia treatments. Int Psychogeriatr 2007;19:409–420.
- Kitwood T. Dementia Reconsidered, the Person Comes First. Maidenhead: Open University Press; 1997.