



University of Groningen

Apathy Is Related to Reduced Activation in Cognitive Control Regions During Set-Shifting

Klaasen, Nicky G.; Kos, Claire; Aleman, Andre; Opmeer, Esther M.

Published in: Human brain mapping

DOI: 10.1002/hbm.23556

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2017

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Klaasen, N. G., Kos, C., Aleman, A., & Opmeer, E. M. (2017). Apathy Is Related to Reduced Activation in Cognitive Control Regions During Set-Shifting. *Human brain mapping*, *38*(5), 2722-2733. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.23556

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Apathy Is Related to Reduced Activation in Cognitive Control Regions During Set-Shifting

Nicky G. Klaasen ^(D),¹* Claire Kos,¹ André Aleman,^{1,2} and Esther M. Opmeer¹

¹Department of Neuroscience, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands ²Department of Psychology, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

Abstract: Apathy is a prominent and influential symptom in several neurological and psychiatric disorders, but it also occurs in the healthy population. It has considerable impact on daily life functioning, in clinical as well as healthy samples. Even though cognitive control is thought to be disrupted in people with apathy, the exact neural underpinnings of apathy remain unclear. Because flexible shifting between behaviors (set-shifting) is crucial for goal-directed behavior, disruptions in set-shifting may underlie apathy. In this study, the neural correlates of apathy during set-shifting were studied in 34 healthy participants with varying levels of apathy, measured by the Apathy Evaluation Scale. During functional MRI scanning participants performed a set-shifting task, distinguishing between behavioral switches (a change in response to different stimuli), cognitive switches (a change in response rule), and salience decoupling (detecting a change in relevant stimuli). Regression analysis was used to assess the relationship between apathy and brain activation. Results showed that higher apathy scores were related to reduced activation in the medial superior frontal gyrus and cerebellum (Crus I/II) during cognitive set-shifting, but not behavioral shifting and salience decoupling. No relationship between apathy and accuracy or response time was found. These results support the idea that alterations in the neural basis of cognitive control, especially cognitive set-shifting, may contribute to apathy. Hum Brain Mapp 38:2722–2733, 2017. © 2017 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

Key words: cerebellum; executive function; superior frontal gyrus; volition

INTRODUCTION

Received for publication 30 September 2016; Revised 1 February 2017; Accepted 21 February 2017.

DOI: 10.1002/hbm.23556

Apathy is a prominent symptom in several neurological and psychiatric disorders, including Alzheimer's and Parkinson's disease, traumatic brain injury, schizophrenia, and major depressive disorder [Andersson et al., 1999; Cacciari et al., 2010; Dujardin et al., 2007; Foussias and Remington, 2010; Krishnan et al., 1995; Schooler et al., 2015; Spalletta et al., 2015]. Apathy refers to a quantitative reduction of voluntary and purposeful behaviors [Levy and Dubois, 2006]. It has been indicated as a predictor of worse functional outcome, for example reduced social, instrumental, and physical daily life abilities [Bobes et al., 2010; Kiang et al., 2003; Laatu et al., 2013; Pagonabarraga et al., 2015; van Reekum et al., 2005]. Despite the prominence of apathy in various disorders, the cognitive and

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

Contract grant sponsor: Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (VICI; to A.A.); Contract grant number: 453.11.004; Contract grant sponsor: European Research Council (ERC) consolidator; Contract grant number: 312787.

^{*}Correspondence to: N. G. Klaasen, Neuroimaging Center, P.O. Box 196, 9700 AD Groningen, the Netherlands. E-mail: n.klaasen@ umcg.nl

Published online 3 March 2017 in Wiley Online Library (wileyon-linelibrary.com).

neural underpinnings of apathy remain unclear. The number of studies on apathy in clinical populations has increased over the past years, but results may be influenced by factors like medication use, hospitalization, and comorbid symptoms. Apathy also occurs in a minority of the healthy people at subclinical to clinical levels [Fervaha et al., 2015; Simon et al., 2015; Spalletta et al., 2013], and it can be considered to be part of the construct of subclinical negative symptoms [Fervaha et al., 2015]. Apathy in the healthy population is related to higher levels of distress [Fervaha et al., 2015] and reduced quality of life [Pardini et al., 2016]. Therefore, studying apathy in a healthy population may prove beneficial to assess the underlying cognitive and neural substrates.

Several affective and cognitive processes are suggested to play a role in goal-directed behavior and apathy might result from a disruption in any of these processes [Levy and Dubois, 2006; Stuss et al., 2000]. Goal-directed actions require a balance between flexible shifting between behaviors and maintaining current behavior despite distractions or competing behaviors [Goschke and Bolte, 2014]. Therefore, reduced cognitive flexibility may play an important role in the occurrence of apathy [Levy and Dubois, 2006].

Cognitive flexibility concerns the ability to switch between cognitive processes in response to a changing environment or internal goals, and relies on intact salience detection and attention, working memory, inhibition, and shifting [Dajani and Uddin, 2015]. A task that has previously been used to measure specific behavioral properties of cognitive flexibility, namely maintenance of a rule in mind, inhibition of an initial response, and periodically (overtly) changing the response rule, is the set-shifting task developed by Shafritz et al. [2005]. This task distinguishes behavioral switches (a change in response to different stimuli) from cognitive switches (a change in response rule) and salience decoupling (detecting a change in relevant stimuli). Disturbances in all three processes could possibly underlie reduced goal-directed behavior and therefore lead to higher levels of apathy.

Brain areas that have been associated with both behavioral flexibility and cognitive set-shifting are the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC), anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), insula, and basal ganglia [Dajani and Uddin, 2015; Dosenbach et al., 2006; Leber et al., 2008; Shafritz et al., 2005]. Furthermore, there are indications that activation in the intraparietal sulcus is specifically related to behavioral shifting, whereas activation in the ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (VLPFC) and posterior parietal cortex (PPC) are specific for cognitive set-shifting [Shafritz et al., 2005]. Of these areas, the lateral PFC (including VLPFC, DLPFC, and frontopolar cortex), ACC, insula, and basal ganglia have also been implicated in apathy [Alzahrani and Venneri, 2015; Kirschner et al., 2015; Knutson et al., 2014; Levy and Dubois, 2006; Stuss et al., 2000; Zamboni et al., 2008]. Therefore, differences in activation of these areas during behavioral and/or cognitive set-shifting might underlie apathy.

Besides behavioral and cognitive set-shifting, reduced sensitivity to salience of relevant stimuli could also play a role in the occurrence of apathy. If internal goals or external stimuli are not recognized as salient, motivation to respond to these stimuli may be lacking. Salience detection is associated with activation in the anterior insula and ACC [Seeley et al., 2007], an area that has shown altered activation in people with apathy [Bonnelle et al., 2016; Knutson et al., 2014; Levy and Dubois, 2006; Njomboro et al., 2012; Yuen et al., 2014].

To our knowledge, the direct relationship between apathy and behavioral shifting, cognitive set-shifting, and salience allocation has not been studied to date. To examine these processes, the current study used a set-shifting paradigm in healthy participants. We hypothesized that higher levels of apathy are associated with less accurate shifts in behavior or cognitive set according to task conditions and that this is related to altered activation in lateral prefrontal areas, basal ganglia, ACC, and insula. Conversely, we hypothesized that apathy could also be related to reduced sensitivity for salience, possibly due to altered anterior insula and ACC activation.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Participants

Three hundred students (from the University of Groningen and Hanze University for Applied Sciences) were screened on levels of apathy using the Apathy Scale [Starkstein et al., 1992] and the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria were: age between 18 and 28 years, right-handed, and native Dutch speaking. Exclusion criteria were: current or past presence of a neurological or psychiatric disorder (in the participant themselves; family history of psychiatric illness was not taken into account), use of medication that may influence brain activation, visual or hearing problems that could not be corrected, and MR-incompatibility. Subsequently, 20 students with the highest scores and 20 students with the lowest scores, who met the inclusion criteria, were invited to participate in the MRI session. All participants gave written informed consent after oral and written explanation of all study procedures. The study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the University Medical Center Groningen and was carried out according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

Questionnaires

At time of scanning, apathy was evaluated using the self-rated version of the Apathy Evaluation Scale (AES) [Marin et al., 1991]. Apathy was further characterized using the action initiation scale of the Lille Apathy Rating Scale (LARS) [Sockeel et al., 2006]. The AES was used as the primary apathy measure because it was considered the



Figure I. Procedure of the set-shifting task. Stimuli were presented for 500 ms; blank screens for 1,000 - 2,809 ms (jittered). The target stimulus changed every two epochs.

most suitable for the population under investigation. Schizotypy was assessed using the Schizotypal Personality Questionnaire (SPQ) [Raine, 1991] and depressive symptoms were assessed using the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) [Beck et al., 1961]. A systematic review by Lako et al. [2012] showed that a substantial amount of items of the BDI may be identified as non-depressive symptoms, among others apathy; therefore, a BDI depression score was calculated based on a pure depression factor defined by Shafer [2006]. Although apathy and depression often co-occur, they are thought to reflect separate constructs [Levy et al., 1998]. Therefore, we considered it not necessary to exclude participants based on BDI score to study the neural correlates of apathy, which may in fact limit generalizability of the results by selecting an overly homogeneous sample. Moreover, the role of depressive symptoms will be taken into account in the statistical analysis (see the section "fMRI analysis" for more information).

To further characterize the general psychological status of the participants, the Positive and Negative Affect Scale (PANAS) and the Symptom Checklist 90 (SCL-90) were administered. Finally, the Snaith-Hamilton pleasure scale (SHAPS) and Temporal Experience of Pleasure Scale (TEPS) were used to measure the ability to experience pleasure.

Set-Shifting Task

Participants performed a set-shifting task adapted from Shafritz et al. [2005], which was presented in E-prime 2.0.10. This event-related task was scanned as part of an MRI protocol including three tasks and an anatomy scan. Before entering the scanner all participants received task instructions, followed by a short practice session. During scanning, the task was introduced by a short recapitulation of the instructions to ensure that the participant remembered the task instructions.

During the task, participants were presented with circles, triangles, and squares of different colors and sizes as stimuli (Fig. 1). The task consisted of 14 epochs, each containing 40 pseudo-randomly presented trials, which contained one stimulus each. For each stimulus, participants were asked to determine whether it was a target shape or a different shape (non-target or standard). At the beginning of an epoch, either circles or triangles were

appointed as the target stimulus, while the other shape became the non-target stimulus. Squares were standard stimuli and were never presented as a target.

Each epoch contained 4 target, 4 non-target, and 32 standard stimuli. The target changed every two epochs. This resulted in six epochs in which participants had to shift cognitive set (i.e., the target was different from the target in the previous epoch) and six epochs in which they had to maintain cognitive set (i.e., the target was the same as in the previous epoch). The first and last epoch were treated separately in the analysis, because the first epoch could not be classified as shift or maintain and the last epoch was excluded to maintain an equal number of maintain and shift epochs.

At the beginning of each epoch, the target shape (circle or triangle) for that epoch was presented for 2,000 ms. After this instruction stimuli were presented for 500 ms, followed by a blank black screen with a jittered duration of 1,000–2,809 ms. This duration was pseudorandomly selected based on $f(x) = 2,000 \exp(-0.1x) + 1,000$, where x = [0, 100]. Participants were allowed to respond during the presentation of the stimulus and the first 1,000 ms of the following blank screen. They were instructed to respond by button presses using the right index finger for target stimuli and the right middle finger for the other stimuli (non-target and standard).

At the onset and end of the task, a 16 s rest period was included. Furthermore, between the epochs there were rest periods of 8–9 s. The variation in duration of these rest periods were due to the programming in number of TRs, not in seconds, to obtain the exact TR for each participant. During all rest periods, a fixation cross was presented. The total task duration was 19 min.

Stimuli of different colors and sizes were used to prevent habituation to physical stimulus characteristics [Kirino et al., 2000]. However, to avoid confounding effects of stimulus appearance, color intensity was matched between conditions. Moreover, stimulus color and size, and their order of presentation during the task were the same for all participants, averaging out possible effects of color or shape.

The task was used to examine neural activation during behavioral and cognitive set shifts as previously described in Shafritz et al. [2005]. Behavioral shifts reflected an alteration of ongoing behavior. Specifically, all target trials required a shift in behavioral response, (i.e., response with the right index finger instead of the right middle finger). Consequently, the difference in activation during target versus non-target trials was calculated to assess the neural correlates of behavioral shifting. Shifting cognitive set was required to correctly respond to target stimuli during shift epochs. After all, the stimulus that was previously a target had become a non-target and vice versa. To examine neural underpinnings of cognitive set-shifting, activation during targets in shift epochs was compared with activation during targets in maintain epochs. Related to this, but not previously examined in light of the current task, is salience decoupling. This process reflects detection of a change in relevance of a stimulus: if a stimulus that was previously salient (i.e., a target) is not salient anymore (i.e., a non-target), detection of this change is imperative for an appropriate change in behavior; hence, neural activation during the presentation of non-target stimuli was compared between shift and maintain epochs.

Image Acquisition

The fMRI data were collected using a 3.0 Tesla Philips Intera MR-scanner scanner (Best, NL), equipped with a 32channel SENSE head coil. During the task, whole-brain functional images were acquired using a T2*-weighted echo planar imaging sequence (47 descending axial slices; slice thickness = 3 mm; slice gap = 0 mm; TR = 2,000 ms; TE = 22 ms; FOV(ap,fh,rl) = 192 × 141 × 192 mm; voxel size = 3 mm isotropic, flip angle = 90°, 583 volumes). For anatomical reference, a whole brain T1-weighted image was acquired (170 axial slices; TR = 9 ms; TE = 3.5 ms; FOV = 232 × 170 × 256 mm; voxel size = 1 mm isotropic; flip angle = 8°). Images were tilted approximately 30° from the Anterior Commissure-Posterior Commissure (AC-PC) plane to prevent artefacts due to nasal cavities.

Clinical and Behavioral Analysis

Demographic, clinical, and behavioral data were analyzed using IBM SPSS version 23.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, 2014) and MATLAB 2013a, (The MathWorks, Natick, MA). Assessment of the distribution of AES scores (Supporting Information Fig. S1) indicated a unimodal distribution, confirmed by Hartigan's Dip statistic [Hartigan and Hartigan, 1985], which showed no significant deviation from a unimodal distribution (D = 0.07, P = 0.160). Therefore, AES scores were treated as a continuous variable. This is in accordance with the idea that apathy is present along a continuum ranging from normal and subclinical levels the general population to clinical levels found in patients, a distribution similar to the continuum that has been proposed for the negative symptoms of schizophrenia [Kaiser et al., 2011]. Furthermore, this approach has been used in previous studies on the neural substrates of subclinical symptoms and personality traits in healthy subjects [Servaas et al., 2015; Vercammen and Aleman, 2010], including brain activation related to apathy [Simon et al., 2015]. Bivariate relationships between apathy and demographic and clinical variables were examined. Because the assumption of bivariate normality was not met, Kendall's Tau test was used.

In addition, mean accuracy was calculated per condition. Response times were summarized per condition using the median, as it is more robust to possible outliers and skewed distributions. For the assessment of possible differences on accuracy and response times between conditions, repeated measures analyses of covariance were used with condition as the within-subject factor and AES scores as covariate of interest. In case of a nonsignificant effect of AES scores, this analysis was repeated without this covariate to assess the main effect of condition. In case of a significant *F*-test, subsequent post hoc pairwise Bonferroni-corrected comparisons were performed. For all tests, significance was set to P < 0.05.

fMRI Analysis

The data were preprocessed and analyzed using Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM12 version 6470) (http:// www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/) implemented in MATLAB 2013a. First, the PAR/REC-files were converted to NIfTI, using an in-house script. Both T1 and T2* images were reoriented manually to the AC-PC plane. Afterwards, slice time correction to the first slice was performed. Functional images were realigned to the mean image. The resulting motion parameters showed that motion was minimal for all participants (<3 mm translation and $<3^{\circ}$ rotation). To further detect possible motion artefacts, functional displacement (FD) was calculated. Motion was deemed excessive when FD > 0.9 for a certain volume [Siegel et al., 2014]. The amount of volumes with excessive motion was minimal (<5%) for all participants, which we regarded acceptable. The T1-image was coregistered to the mean functional image. The data was then normalized to Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space. Finally, images were smoothed using an 8 mm Full Width Half Maximum Gaussian kernel.

Hemodynamic changes related to the set-shifting task were calculated using a General Linear Model. For the first-level models, standard, non-target, and target trials were defined as regressors separately for shift and maintain epochs. Onsets were defined as the onset of the stimulus and durations were set to the response time for each individual trial. In addition, instruction periods and the first and last epoch were defined as separate regressors of no interest. Furthermore, motion parameters and their first temporal derivatives were added to the model as nuisance regressors. A high pass filter of twice the fundamental frequency (i.e., the longest period between two subsequent trials of the same condition), calculated per individual, was applied. For all participants, first-level contrasts for behavioral shift (target > non-target), cognitive set-shift (target shift > target maintain), and salience decoupling (non-target shift > non-target maintain) were defined and were taken to second level.

On second level, all contrasts were separately entered into one-sample *t*-tests to assess the main effects of task over all participants. Subsequently, a linear regression analysis was performed with AES scores as the independent variable, to assess the association between the level of apathy and neural activation. Significance was set to P < 0.05 family wise error (FWE) cluster-level corrected, with an initial threshold of P = 0.001,

uncorrected. To be more sensitive to apathy-related brain activation differences, the multiple comparisons correction was small-volume corrected for a predefined mask of our regionsof-interest. This mask consisted of apathy-related brain areas that were relevant for the current task (i.e., a conjunction between an apathy mask and the current task activation). For the apathy mask, a map was used including brain areas related to apathy in various disorders [Kos et al., 2016]. This map was multiplied with the binarized map of the combined taskrelated activation for all contrasts (Supporting Information Fig. S2). The final mask consisted of Automated Anatomical Labeling areas that contained the overlapping areas (Supporting Information Fig. S3 and Supporting Information ROI mask). Furthermore, all analyses were repeated for the whole brain to account for possible effects outside the ROI-mask (also P < 0.05FWE cluster-level corrected with an initial threshold of P = 0.001).

Apathy is thought to be closely related to depression and positive schizotypy. Indeed, there were high correlations between the AES and the BDI depression and SPQ positive scale in our sample (Table I). Because of these considerable correlations between AES and the BDI depression and SPQ positive subscales, any clusters of apathy-related activation were explored in more depth. Specifically, it was assessed whether the variance in these clusters was uniquely explained by AES-scores, or whether any variance explained by the AES was also explained by the BDI depression or the SPQ positive score. For this purpose, the first eigenvariates of the activation in the apathyrelated clusters were extracted. These were entered into a stepwise linear regression analysis in which BDI depression, SPQ positive, and AES were entered step-wise as independent variables, whereby the AES was entered last. To emphasize the exploratory nature of this analysis and to avoid the suggestion of circular analysis, only explained variances were reported. We did not test whether the betavalues were significantly different from zero, because this analysis was merely an exploration of the relationship between AES scores and brain activation that was found in the main analysis.

RESULTS

Demographics

Six participants were excluded because of insufficient task performance (accuracy of <50% in one of the conditions). Demographic and clinical variables of the remaining 34 participants can be found in Table I. Notably, whereas AES scores were found at the lower end of the clinical range [Kant et al., 1998], PANAS, SCL-90, TEPS, and SHAPS scores were within the normal ranges. AES scores correlated positively with scores on the LARS action initiation scale, the BDI depression factor, all subscales and total score of the SPQ, the PANAS positive subscale, the SCL-90, the TEPS, and the SHAPS. No relationships

	Possible range ^a	Mean (SD)	Min/max	τ AES total	Р			
Ν	_	34	_	_	_			
Age	_	22.68 (2.23)	18/27	0.002	0.99			
N Male/female	_	12/22	_	0.11	0.48			
Education (years ^b)	_	17.38 (2.00)	14/24	-0.06	0.66			
AES total	18/72	33.26 (5.55)	25/44	_	_			
LARS action initiation	-4/4	-2.66 (1.33)	-4/1.5	0.53	< 0.001			
SPQ positive	0/46	7.62 (6.09)	0/23	0.37	0.004			
SPQ negative	0/43	7.50 (5.83)	0/22	0.57	< 0.001			
SPQ desorganization	0/19	5.12 (4.26)	0/15	0.29	0.025			
BDI depression factor	0/33	3.26 (4.41)	0/19	0.49	< 0.001			
PANAS positive	10/50	33.32 (7.13)	18/46	-0.36	0.005			
PANAS negative	10/50	14.24 (3.65)	10/25	0.21	0.098			
SCL-90 total	90/450	121.38 (25.60)	92/179	0.46	< 0.001			
TEPS	18/108	81.56 (11.56)	55/102	-0.46	< 0.001			
SHAPS	0/14	1.21 (2.76)	0/14	0.33	0.02			
Cigarettes ^c	_	1.39 (4.11)	0/20	-0.23	0.19			
Alcohol ^d	—	0.85 (2.27)	0/12	-0.23	0.19			

TABLE	I.	Demographic	and	clinical	variables
-------	----	-------------	-----	----------	-----------

Note. AES: Apathy Evaluation Scale; LARS: Lille Apathy Rating Scale; SPQ: Schizotypal Personality Questionnaire; BDI: Beck Depression Inventory; PANAS: Positive and Negative Affect Schedule; SCL-90: Symptom Checklist 90; TEPS: Temporal Experience of Pleasure Scale; SHAPS: Snaith-Hamilton Pleasure Scale.

^aHigher scores indicate stronger severity, except for PANAS positive.

^bIncluding primary school.

^cNumber of cigarettes smoked in the 24 h before scanning.

^dUnits of alcohol consumed in the 24 h before scanning.

were found between AES scores and age, gender, or years of education. All participants reported occasional use of alcohol, except for one participant who reported no use of alcohol. Five participants reported occasional drug use. However, neither alcohol use nor drug use was excessive or could be classified as substance abuse in any of the participants. Moreover, alcohol use during the 24 h before scanning was minimal and not related to AES scores and only one participant used marijuana in the 24 h before the scan.

Behavioral Results

Regarding both accuracy and reaction times, Mauchley's test of sphericity revealed that the assumption of sphericity was not met ($\chi^2(14) = 176.78$, P < 0.001 and $\chi^2(14) = 141.81$, P < 0.001, resp.). Therefore, the Greenhouse-Geisser correction was applied ($\varepsilon = 0.56$. and $\varepsilon = 0.48$, resp.). For both accuracy and reaction times, there was neither main effect of AES score (F(1, 32) = 1.31, P = 0.26 and F(1, 32) = 0.38, P = 0.54, resp.) nor an interaction effect of condition and AES score (F(2.81, 89.95) = 0.18, *P* = 0.90 and *F*(2.15, 68.77) = 0.09, *P* = 0.93, resp.). Because there was no main or interaction effect of apathy, the analysis was repeated without the AES score as covariate. These results showed an effect of condition on both accuracy (F(2.81, 92.71) = 69.09, P < 0.001) and reaction times (F(2.16, P) = 69.09, P < 0.001)71.16) = 56.97, P < 0.001). Subsequent post hoc pairwise comparisons revealed that accuracy in target trials was lower than in standard and non-target trials. Accuracy in non-target shift

trials was lower than in non-target maintain trials. Reaction times were faster during standard trials than during the other conditions. During the non-target conditions, reaction times were faster during maintain trials compared to shift trials (Supporting Information Fig. S4).

fMRI Results

Main effects of task

Behavioral shifting was associated with activation in the postcentral/precentral gyrus, extending to the supplementary motor area (SMA), inferior parietal lobule, and supramarginal gyrus and furthermore in the ACC, extending to the orbital medial and medial superior frontal gyrus. Cognitive set-shifting elicited activation in the rolandic operculum and the calcarine sulcus, extending to the lingual gyrus. Salience decoupling was associated with activation in the ACC and middle cingulate cortex, extending to the medial superior frontal gyrus and SMA, and in the inferior parietal lobule, extending to the angular gyrus and the supramarginal gyrus (Supporting Information Table S1 and Fig. 2A).

Relationship with apathy

During cognitive set-shifting, higher apathy scores were associated with reduced activation in the (medial) superior frontal gyrus (mSFG), extending to the middle frontal gyrus (Table II). A comparable cluster, but more extended





Brain activation during the set-shifting task. **A**) Overall task activation (N = 34). Green: main effects for behavioral shifting; blue: cognitive set-shifting; yellow: salience decoupling. Coordinates (MNI) of the upper panel: x = -47, y = -24, z = 16, lower panel: x = -6, y = -83, z = 42. **B**) Areas related to apathy during

to the middle frontal gyrus, was found in the whole brain analysis. Furthermore, the whole brain analysis supplemented the findings with an activation cluster in the cerebellum (Crus II, extending to Crus I) in which activation was reduced in relation to higher apathy scores (Table II, Fig. 2B). Further exploration of the first eigenvariates of cognitive set-shifting (N = 34). Coordinates (MNI) of the upper panel: x = -8, y = 45, z = 43, lower panel: x = 23, y = -80, z = -28. For display purposes, the data were resliced to a voxel size of 0.4 mm. All clusters are reported at P = 0.05, FWE cluster corrected (initial threshold: P = 0.001).

these clusters showed that a substantial part of their variance was uniquely explained by AES-scores (27.6% for the mSFG and 15.9% for the cerebellum), over and above the variance that was explained by BDI-depression and SPQpositive scores (19.2% for the mSFG and 12.7% for the cerebellum). There were no significant associations

			Peak coordinates				
	k	Side	x	у	Z	t	P (FWE)
ROI analysis							
Medial superior frontal gyrus (BA 9)	91	L	-3	53	44	4.77	0.018
		L	-21	41	44	4.70	
		R	0	56	41	4.44	
		R	6	56	41	4.41	
		L	-33	35	41	3.58	
		L	-9	38	53	3.56	
Whole-brain analysis							
Cerebellum (Crus I/II)	91	R	18	-85	-31	4.87	0.02
		R	36	-79	-40	3.82	
Medial superior frontal gyrus (BA 9)	95	L	-3	53	44	4.77	0.017
		L	-21	41	44	4.70	
		L	-33	35	41	3.58	

Note. BA: Brodmann area; k: cluster extent (in voxels); FWE: Family-Wise Error corrected, on cluster level.

between apathy and brain activation for the other contrasts (i.e., behavioral shifting and salience decoupling), both small volume corrected and whole-brain.

The fact that activation in the mSFG and cerebellum was not found in the main task effect of cognitive setshifting could be due to an attenuation of the overall signal in these areas caused by the participants with high levels of apathy. To confirm this and to exclude the possibility that activation in these areas is not directly related to cognitive set-shifting, task effects were also assessed separately for participants with the lowest scores on the AES, based on a median split of the AES scores (Supporting Information Table S2 and Supporting Information Fig. S5). This median split on AES scores was chosen over including the participants with the lowest AS scores obtained during initial screening, because the AES was administered at moment of scanning and therefore more closely reflects the level of apathy at moment of the measured brain activation. The follow-up analysis confirmed the involvement of the medial superior frontal gyrus and cerebellum Crus I/II in cognitive set-shifting.

During the course of the current analysis, the study by Eklund et al. [2016] has shown that in some cases, the use of cluster inference may lead to inflated error rates. Furthermore, they showed that using non-parametric models to analyze fMRI data may prevent error rate inflation. Even though excessive inflation of error rates was not expected given the current design (using a cluster-forming threshold of P = 0.001, uncorrected, combined with a jittered event-related design), this recent development was taken into account. Specifically, to assess the robustness of the current results, all analyses were repeated using a non-parametric approach, all task activation clusters remained significant at FWE < 0.05, cluster corrected, with a cluster-forming threshold of P = 0.001, uncorrected (see

Supporting Information Table S3 for the results). Regarding the regression analysis, the association between AES scores and the cluster in the (medial) superior frontal gyrus remained significant, while the relationship with the cluster in the cerebellum was now at trend-level (P = 0.053; Supporting Information Table S4).

DISCUSSION

In the current study, we investigated cognitive flexibility in relation to apathy using a set-shifting task. Notably, higher levels of apathy were related to less activation during cognitive set-shifting in the medial superior frontal gyrus (mSFG) and, to a somewhat lesser extent, the cerebellum (Crus I/II). There was no relationship between apathy severity and brain activation during behavioral shifts and salience decoupling.

Medial Superior Frontal Gyrus

To our knowledge, the current finding of reduced activation in the mSFG during cognitive set-shifting in relation to apathy is novel. This finding is in line with findings on the function of the mSFG, and a relationship between the mSFG and apathy has previously been found in clinical samples using other paradigms. Cognitive functions like working memory, planning, rule-finding, and set-shifting are imperative for carrying out goal-directed actions and impairments in one or multiple of these domains may lead to higher levels of apathy [Levy and Dubois, 2006]. Even though lateral prefrontal areas are often thought to be involved in these functions, the mSFG, through interactions with these lateral prefrontal areas, has been implicated in various cognitive control processes as well [Coutlee and Huettel, 2012; Taren et al., 2011]. These not only

include overcoming prepotent responses and preparations for successful shifts in response [Horga et al., 2011] but also control over and preference for response strategies [Venkatraman et al., 2009a, 2009b], which are all important for cognitive set-shifting. In addition, the involvement of the mSFG in the occurrence of apathy is in line with previous findings on the neural correlates of apathy in clinical samples. A recent review by Kos et al. [2016] concluded that numerous studies have provided evidence of associations between apathy and alterations in prefrontal areas, among which the mSFG, in patients with neurodegenerative diseases. Moreover, it has been found that in patients with schizophrenia, atrophy in the mSFG is related to reduced cognitive flexibility as measured with the WCST [Bonilha et al., 2008]. In addition to focal abnormalities in the mSFG, Alexopoulos et al. [2013] have shown that in patients with late-life depression, apathy is related to increased connectivity between the mSFG and the nucleus accumbens. Therefore, the current finding of a relationship between apathy and medial superior frontal activation during cognitive set-shifting may support the idea of disturbances in cognitive control in people with apathy.

Cerebellum (Crus I/II)

Besides lower prefrontal activation, reduced activation in Crus I/II of the cerebellum was found in relation to apathy during cognitive set-shifting. When the analyses were repeated using a non-parametric analysis, this effect was reduced to trend-level. Whereas the cerebellum is classically thought to be involved in motion control, it has become apparent that cerebellar activation is also involved in executive functions [Koziol et al., 2014], in particular cerebellar Crus I/II [E et al., 2014; Niendam et al., 2012; Stoodley, 2012]. Moreover, a study by Stoodley et al. [2012] has shown that Crus I and II are involved in cognitive rather than motor tasks. Crus I and II have been found to be a part of the executive control network during rest [Habas et al., 2009] and stronger connectivity between Crus I and II within a frontoparietal resting state network has been found to predict better executive functioning in healthy people [Reineberg et al., 2015]. Studies in nonhuman primates have shown Crus I and II projections from and to the DLPFC, a key region for cognitive control [Buckner, 2013]. Moreover, novelty seeking, a personality trait that is thought to be reduced in people with apathy [Marin et al., 1991; Sockeel et al., 2006] has been related to larger cerebellar white matter and cortical volume, as well as to microstructural grey matter changes in the left Crus I of the cerebellum in healthy adults [Laricchiuta et al., 2014; Picerni et al., 2013]. In addition, cerebellar Crus I and II dysfunction has been found in patients with Parkinson's disease and apathy [Robert et al., 2012; Skidmore et al., 2013]. Furthermore, impairments in flexibility on attentional performance tasks and goal-directed daily-life tasks have been found in patients with vascular cerebellar

lesions [Manes et al., 2009]. Together these results support a disturbance of the cerebellum Crus I and II during cognitive control as an underlying substrate for apathy. However, some caution is warranted, as this was only partially replicated using the non-parametric approach.

Specificity of Cognitive Set-Shifting

Although we hypothesized that shifts in cognitive set as well as in behavior and in salience decoupling were associated with apathy, reduced neural activation was only found during cognitive set-shifting. This could be related to the specificity of the underlying process. Shafritz et al. [2005] stated that a behavioral shift reflects either the alteration of ongoing behavior or the implementation of the appropriate response, while a cognitive set-shift reflects implementation of a new cognitive set. The current findings may therefore imply that apathy in a healthy sample is related to flexibly implementing a cognitive set rather than shifting behavior. Furthermore, based on our results we suggest that only brain activation during relatively more cognitively demanding tasks is related to apathy, whereas relatively less demanding processes like behavioral shifting and salience decoupling are unaffected. That we only found an effect of apathy during cognitive set-shifting and not lower-level processes might also be related to the high levels of education and relatively high functioning of the participants. Lower-level cognitive control processes like salience decoupling and behavioral shifting may be disrupted in apathy in lower educated or lower functioning populations. However, further investigation, using different paradigms and patient samples is warranted to explore these possibilities.

Strengths and Limitations

The apathy-related reduction in prefrontal and cerebellar brain activation during cognitive set-shifting supports the importance of cognitive flexibility as a substrate of reduced goal-directed behavior or apathy. Because the sample in the current study was psychiatrically and neurologically healthy and did not take any psychoactive medication, this effect seems independent of disease or medication status and may therefore be a more general apathy-related disturbance.

Several limitations of the current study should be taken into consideration. First, the high correlations between apathy and other psychopathology measures may give rise to the question whether the found disturbances are in fact apathy-related or a more general effect of subclinical psychopathology. However, the follow-up analysis showed that a considerable amount of variance was uniquely explained by apathy severity. This emphasizes the unique contribution of apathy levels to these findings. Second, there was no effect of apathy on behavioral measures during the task. However, this could be due to a lack of sensitivity of the behavioral measures that were used, especially given the subtlety of the effect of apathy in a high-functioning healthy sample. Because the current task was specifically designed for use in an fMRI paradigm, it was not optimized for the detection of subtle behavioral alterations.

Future Research

Despite some subclinical symptoms, all participants in the current study were free of any lifetime neurological or psychiatric diagnoses and were all students in higher education. This could have resulted in an extraordinarily high functioning sample and therefore may reduce generalizability to the general population. Replication of these results in more general, neurological, and psychiatric samples could build on these results toward a more general cognitive and neural substrate of apathy. Moreover, investigating the substrate of apathy in different healthy and patient samples could reveal similarities and dissimilarities between different groups, and increase the understanding of apathy.

Moreover, Levy and Dubois [2006] have proposed impairments in several cognitive, emotional/affective and autoactivation mechanisms as possible substrates for apathy. The current study provides insight in the neural correlates of apathy during one particular cognitive control process. Several recent studies have investigated possible neural substrates of apathy during planning [Liemburg et al., 2015] and reward and effort processing [Bonnelle et al., 2015; Kirschner et al., 2015; Simon et al., 2015]. Future studies may add to this by focusing on the neural correlates of apathy using different paradigms. Clearly, further research into the full range of possible cognitive and neural substrates of apathy, in both healthy and in patient samples, will promote the understanding of apathy.

CONCLUSION

To conclude, apathy in healthy people was related to activation of the medial superior frontal gyrus and, somewhat less consistently, the cerebellum (Crus I/II) during cognitive set-shifting. However, apathy was not related to brain activation during behavioral shifting or salience decoupling. These results support the involvement of the neural substrates of cognitive control, especially cognitive set-shifting, in apathy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Lydia Datema and Mieke Geertsema for their help in participant recruitment and assessment, Anita Sibeijn-Kuiper and Judith Streurman for their assistance with MRI scanning, and Dr. Remco Renken and Dr. Jan-Bernard Marsman for their support during data processing. Furthermore, the authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise, in relation to the work described.

REFERENCES

- Alexopoulos GS, Hoptman MJ, Yuen G, Kanellopoulos D, Seirup JK, Lim KO, Gunning FM (2013): Functional connectivity in apathy of late-life depression: A preliminary study. J Affect Disord 149:398–405.
- Alzahrani H, Venneri A (2015): Cognitive and neuroanatomical correlates of neuropsychiatric symptoms in Parkinson's disease: A systematic review. J Neurol Sci 356:32–44.
- Andersson S, Krogstad JM, Finset A (1999): Apathy and depressed mood in acquired brain damage: Relationship to lesion localization and psychophysiological reactivity. Psychol Med 29: 447–456.
- Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, Mock J, Erbaugh J (1961): An inventory for measuring depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 4:561–571.
- Bobes J, Arango C, Garcia-Garcia M, Rejas J, CLAMORS Study Collaborative Group (2010): Prevalence of negative symptoms in outpatients with schizophrenia spectrum disorders treated with antipsychotics in routine clinical practice: Findings from. The CLAMORS study. J Clin Psychiatry 71:280–286.
- Bonilha L, Molnar C, Horner MD, Anderson B, Forster L, George MS, Nahas Z (2008): Neurocognitive deficits and prefrontal cortical atrophy in patients with schizophrenia. Schizophr Res 101:142–151.
- Bonnelle V, Veromann K, Burnett Heyes S, Lo Sterzo E, Manohar S, Husain M (2015): Characterization of reward and effort mechanisms in apathy. J Physiol Paris 109:16–26.
- Bonnelle V, Manohar S, Behrens T, Husain M (2016): Individual differences in premotor brain systems underlie behavioral apathy. Cereb Cortex 26:807–819.
- Buckner RL (2013): The cerebellum and cognitive function: 25 years of insight from anatomy and neuroimaging. Neuron 80: 807–815.
- Cacciari C, Moraschi M, Di Paola M, Cherubini A, Orfei MD, Giove F, Maraviglia B, Caltagirone C, Spalletta G (2010): White matter microstructure and apathy level in amnestic mild cognitive impairment. J Alzheimers Dis 20:501–507.
- Coutlee CG, Huettel SA (2012): The functional neuroanatomy of decision making: Prefrontal control of thought and action. Brain Res 1428:3–12.
- Dajani DR, Uddin LQ (2015): Demystifying cognitive flexibility: Implications for clinical and developmental neuroscience. Trends Neurosci 38:571–578.
- Dosenbach NU, Visscher KM, Palmer ED, Miezin FM, Wenger KK, Kang HC, Burgund ED, Grimes AL, Schlaggar BL, Petersen SE (2006): A core system for the implementation of task sets. Neuron 50:799–812.
- Dujardin K, Sockeel P, Devos D, Delliaux M, Krystkowiak P, Destee A, Defebvre L (2007): Characteristics of apathy in Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 22:778–784.
- E KH, Chen SH, Ho MH, Desmond JE (2014): A meta-analysis of cerebellar contributions to higher cognition from PET and fMRI studies. Hum Brain Mapp 35:593–615.
- Eklund A, Nichols TE, Knutsson H (2016): Cluster failure: Why fMRI inferences for spatial extent have inflated false-positive rates. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 113:7900–7905.
- Fervaha G, Zakzanis KK, Foussias G, Agid O, Remington G (2015): Distress related to subclinical negative symptoms in a non-clinical sample: Role of dysfunctional attitudes. Psychiatry Res 230:249–254.
- Foussias G, Remington G (2010): Negative symptoms in schizophrenia: Avolition and Occam's razor. Schizophr Bull 36:359–369.

- Goschke T, Bolte A (2014): Emotional modulation of control dilemmas: The role of positive affect, reward, and dopamine in cognitive stability and flexibility. Neuropsychologia 62:403–423.
- Habas C, Kamdar N, Nguyen D, Prater K, Beckmann CF, Menon V, Greicius MD (2009): Distinct cerebellar contributions to intrinsic connectivity networks. J Neurosci 29:8586–8594.
- Hartigan JA, Hartigan PM (1985): The dip test of unimodality. Ann Stat 13:70–84.
- Horga G, Maia TV, Wang P, Wang Z, Marsh R, Peterson BS (2011): Adaptation to conflict via context-driven anticipatory signals in the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex. J Neurosci 31: 16208–16216.
- Kaiser S, Heekeren K, Simon JJ (2011): The negative symptoms of schizophrenia: Category or continuum? Psychopathology 44: 345–353.
- Kant R, Duffy JD, Pivovarnik A (1998): Prevalence of apathy following head injury. Brain Inj 12:87–92.
- Kiang M, Christensen BK, Remington G, Kapur S (2003): Apathy in schizophrenia: Clinical correlates and association with functional outcome. Schizophr Res 63:79–88.
- Kirino E, Belger A, Goldman-Rakic P, McCarthy G (2000): Prefrontal activation evoked by infrequent target and novel stimuli in a visual target detection task: An event-related functional magnetic resonance imaging study. J Neurosci 20:6612–6618.
- Kirschner M, Hager OM, Bischof M, Hartmann MN, Kluge A, Seifritz E, Tobler PN, Kaiser S (2015): Ventral striatal hypoactivation is associated with apathy but not diminished expression in patients with schizophrenia. J Psychiatry Neurosci 40:140383.
- Knutson KM, Monte OD, Raymont V, Wassermann EM, Krueger F, Grafman J (2014): Neural correlates of apathy revealed by lesion mapping in participants with traumatic brain injuries. Hum Brain Mapp 35:943–953.
- Kos C, van Tol MJ, Marsman JC, Knegtering H, Aleman A (2016): Neural correlates of apathy in patients with neurodegenerative disorders, acquired brain injury, and psychiatric disorders. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 69:381–401.
- Koziol LF, Budding D, Andreasen N, D'Arrigo S, Bulgheroni S, Imamizu H, Ito M, Manto M, Marvel C, Parker K, Pezzulo G, Ramnani N, Riva D, Schmahmann J, Vandervert L, Yamazaki T (2014): Consensus paper: The cerebellum's role in movement and cognition. Cerebellum 13:151–177.
- Krishnan KR, Hays JC, Tupler LA, George LK, Blazer DG (1995): Clinical and phenomenological comparisons of late-onset and early-onset depression. Am J Psychiatry 152:785–788.
- Laatu S, Karrasch M, Martikainen K, Marttila R (2013): Apathy is associated with activities of daily living ability in Parkinson's disease. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 35:249–255.
- Lako IM, Bruggeman R, Knegtering H, Wiersma D, Schoevers RA, Slooff CJ, Taxis K (2012): A systematic review of instruments to measure depressive symptoms in patients with schizophrenia. J Affect Disord 140:38–47.
- Laricchiuta D, Petrosini L, Piras F, Macci E, Cutuli D, Chiapponi C, Cerasa A, Picerni E, Caltagirone C, Girardi P, Tamorri SM, Spalletta G (2014): Linking novelty seeking and harm avoidance personality traits to cerebellar volumes. Hum Brain Mapp 35:285–296.
- Leber AB, Turk-Browne NB, Chun MM (2008): Neural predictors of moment-to-moment fluctuations in cognitive flexibility. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105:13592–13597.
- Levy R, Dubois B (2006): Apathy and the functional anatomy of the prefrontal cortex-basal ganglia circuits. Cereb cortex (New York, N.Y.: 1991) 16:916–928.

- Levy ML, Cummings JL, Fairbanks LA, Masterman D, Miller BL, Craig AH, Paulsen JS, Litvan I (1998): Apathy is not depression. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci 10:314–319.
- Liemburg EJ, Dlabac-De Lange JJ, Bais L, Knegtering H, van Osch MJ, Renken RJ, Aleman A (2015): Neural correlates of planning performance in patients with schizophrenia–relationship with apathy. Schizophr Res 161:367–375.
- Manes F, Villamil AR, Ameriso S, Roca M, Torralva T (2009): "Real life" executive deficits in patients with focal vascular lesions affecting the cerebellum. J Neurol Sci 283:95–98.
- Marin RS, Biedrzycki RC, Firinciogullari S (1991): Reliability and validity of the apathy evaluation scale. Psychiatry Res 38:143–162.
- Niendam TA, Laird AR, Ray KL, Dean YM, Glahn DC, Carter CS (2012): Meta-analytic evidence for a superordinate cognitive control network subserving diverse executive functions. Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci 12:241–268.
- Njomboro P, Deb S, Humphreys GW (2012): Apathy and executive functions: Insights from brain damage involving the anterior cingulate cortex. BMJ Case Rep. 2012:bcr0220125934. doi: 10.1136/bcr-02-2012-5934.
- Pagonabarraga J, Kulisevsky J, Strafella AP, Krack P (2015): Apathy in Parkinson's disease: Clinical features, neural substrates, diagnosis, and treatment. Lancet Neurol 14:518–531.
- Pardini M, Cordano C, Guida S, Grafman J, Krueger F, Sassos D, Massucco D, Abate L, Yaldizli Ö, Serrati C, Amore M, Mattei C, Cocito L, Emberti Gialloreti L (2016): Prevalence and cognitive underpinnings of isolated apathy in young healthy subjects. J Affect Disord 189:272–275.
- Picerni E, Petrosini L, Piras F, Laricchiuta D, Cutuli D, Chiapponi C, Fagioli S, Girardi P, Caltagirone C, Spalletta G (2013): New evidence for the cerebellar involvement in personality traits. Front Behav Neurosci 7:133.
- Raine A (1991): The SPQ: A scale for the assessment of schizotypal personality based on DSM-III-R criteria. Schizophr Bull 17: 555–564.
- Reineberg AE, Andrews-Hanna JR, Depue BE, Friedman NP, Banich MT (2015): Resting-state networks predict individual differences in common and specific aspects of executive function. Neuroimage 104:69–78.
- Robert G, Le Jeune F, Lozachmeur C, Drapier S, Dondaine T, Peron J, Travers D, Sauleau P, Millet B, Verin M, Drapier D (2012): Apathy in patients with Parkinson disease without dementia or depression: A PET study. Neurology 79:1155–1160.
- Schooler NR, Buchanan RW, Laughren T, Leucht S, Nasrallah HA, Potkin SG, Abi-Saab D, Berardo CG, Bugarski-Kirola D, Blaettler T, Edgar CJ, Nordstroem AL, O'Gorman C, Garibaldi G (2015): Defining therapeutic benefit for people with schizophrenia: Focus on negative symptoms. Schizophr Res 162:169–174.
- Seeley WW, Menon V, Schatzberg AF, Keller J, Glover GH, Kenna H, Reiss AL, Greicius MD (2007): Dissociable intrinsic connectivity networks for salience processing and executive control. J Neurosci 27:2349–2356.
- Servaas MN, Aleman A, Marsman JB, Renken RJ, Riese H, Ormel J (2015): Lower dorsal striatum activation in association with neuroticism during the acceptance of unfair offers. Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci 15:537–552.
- Shafer AB (2006): Meta-analysis of the factor structures of four depression questionnaires: Beck, CES-D, Hamilton, and Zung. J Clin Psychol 62:123–146.
- Shafritz KM, Kartheiser P, Belger A (2005): Dissociation of neural systems mediating shifts in behavioral response and cognitive set. Neuroimage 25:600–606.

- Siegel JS, Power JD, Dubis JW, Vogel AC, Church JA, Schlaggar BL, Petersen SE (2014): Statistical improvements in functional magnetic resonance imaging analyses produced by censoring high-motion data points. Hum Brain Mapp 35:1981–1996.
- Simon JJ, Cordeiro SA, Weber M, Friederich H, Wolf RC, Weisbrod M, Kaiser S (2015): Reward system dysfunction as a neural substrate of symptom expression across the general population and patients with schizophrenia. Schizophr Bull 41:1370–1378.
- Skidmore FM, Yang M, Baxter L, von Deneen K, Collingwood J, He G, Tandon R, Korenkevych D, Savenkov A, Heilman KM, Gold M, Liu Y (2013): Apathy, depression, and motor symptoms have distinct and separable resting activity patterns in idiopathic Parkinson disease. Neuroimage 81:484–495.
- Sockeel P, Dujardin K, Devos D, Denève C, Destée A, Defebvre L (2006): The Lille apathy rating scale (LARS), a new instrument for detecting and quantifying apathy: Validation in Parkinson's disease. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr 77:579–584.
- Spalletta G, Fagioli S, Caltagirone C, Piras F (2013): Brain microstructure of subclinical apathy phenomenology in healthy individuals. Hum Brain Mapp 34:3193–3203.
- Spalletta G, Long JD, Robinson RG, Trequattrini A, Pizzoli S, Caltagirone C, Orfei MD (2015): Longitudinal neuropsychiatric predictors of death in Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis 48:627–636.
- Starkstein SE, Mayberg HS, Preziosi TJ, Andrezejewski P, Leiguarda R, Robinson RG (1992): Reliability, validity, and clinical correlates of apathy in Parkinson's disease. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci 4:134–139.
- Stoodley CJ (2012): The cerebellum and cognition: Evidence from functional imaging studies. Cerebellum 11:352–365.

- Stoodley CJ, Valera EM, Schmahmann JD (2012): Functional topography of the cerebellum for motor and cognitive tasks: An fMRI study. Neuroimage 59:1560–1570.
- Stuss DT, van Reekum R, Murphy KJ (2000): Differentiation of states and causes of apathy. In: Borod JC, editor. The Neuropsychology of Emotion. New York: Oxford University Press. pp 340–363.
- Taren AA, Venkatraman V, Huettel SA (2011): A parallel functional topography between medial and lateral prefrontal cortex: Evidence and implications for cognitive control. J Neurosci 31: 5026–5031.
- van Reekum R, Stuss DT, Ostrander L (2005): Apathy: Why care?. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci 17:7–19.
- Venkatraman V, Payne JW, Bettman JR, Luce MF, Huettel SA (2009a): Separate neural mechanisms underlie choices and strategic preferences in risky decision making. Neuron 62:593–602.
- Venkatraman V, Rosati AG, Taren AA, Huettel SA (2009b): Resolving response, decision, and strategic control: Evidence for a functional topography in dorsomedial prefrontal cortex. J Neurosci 29:13158–13164.
- Vercammen A, Aleman A (2010): Semantic expectations can induce false perceptions in hallucination-prone individuals. Schizophr Bull 36:151–156.
- Yuen GS, Gunning-Dixon FM, Hoptman MJ, AbdelMalak B, McGovern AR, Seirup JK, Alexopoulos GS (2014): The salience network in the apathy of late-life depression. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 29:1116–1124.
- Zamboni G, Huey ED, Krueger F, Nichelli PF, Grafman J (2008): Apathy and disinhibition in frontotemporal dementia: Insights into their neural correlates. Neurology 71:736–742.