



University of Groningen

Memory politics in contemporary Russia

Wijermars, Mariëlle

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2016

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Wijermars, M. (2016). Memory politics in contemporary Russia: Television, cinema and the state. Rijksuniversiteit Groningen.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Propositions belonging to the PhD thesis Memory Politics in Contemporary Russia Television, Cinema and the State

by Mariëlle Wijermars

- 1. In contemporary Russia, the central government has increasingly actively employed cultural memory to claim the political legitimacy of those in power and discredit all forms of political opposition.
- 2. Russian memory politics is a socio-cultural process, rather than a strictly political process; to gain a full understanding of its dynamic development, the actions of the state in this domain should be analysed together with the activities of those cultural and non-governmental actors who take part in the public discourse on history and politics.
- 3. When viewed within its socio-cultural context, it becomes apparent that the Russian state's memory politics during the period 2000-2012 can be characterised as pragmatic and adaptive it was continually revised in response to changing (geo)political circumstances and domestic needs and, at times, reactive it co-opted existing societal and cultural initiatives.
- 4. Whether a cultural memory has a rich remediation history a build-up of available and recognisable narrative and visual representations, as well as associated monuments or commemorative practices is a major determining factor for its utility for memory politics.
- 5. To adopt a research approach that focuses exclusively on governmental memory politics means that challenges to official memory politics will be missed or insufficiently valued.
- 6. To reach a wide audience and garner support for its memory politics, the Russian government both relies and depends on television and cinema.
- 7. State control over the representation of history in cinema and television in the period 2000-2012 was indirect and diffuse and depended in great measure on a system of self-censorship and (financial) incentives.