



University of Groningen

DETERMINANTS OF DISCHARGE TO LONG-TERM CARE AFTER A LOWER LIMB AMPUTATION

Fortington, Lauren V.; Dijkstra, Pieter U.; Geertzen, Jan H. B.

Published in: Journal of the American Geriatrics Society

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2013

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Fortington, L. V., Dijkstra, P. U., & Geertzen, J. H. B. (2013). DETERMINANTS OF DISCHARGE TO LONG-TERM CARE AFTER A LOWER LIMB AMPUTATION. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, *61*(2), 298-299.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Ricardo Basurto-Dávila, PhD, MS Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology Los Angeles County Department of Public Health Los Angeles, California

Tony Kuo, MD, MSHS

Office of Senior Health, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health, Los Angeles, California Department of Family Medicine, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles, California

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Dior Hildebrand, RN, PHN, for her technical assistance on this project.

Conflict of Interest: All authors reported no conflicts of interest and have no financial disclosures.

Author Contributions: All authors contributed to the analysis, interpretation, and presentation of the data and helped draft the letter or revised it critically for important intellectual content.

Sponsor's Role: No sponsor.

REFERENCES

- Arno PS, Levine C, Memmott MM. The economic value of informal caregiving. Health Aff (Millwood) 1999;18:182–188.
- Takmura J, Williams B. Informal Caregiving: Compassion in Action. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 1998.
- Aneshensel CS, Pearlin LI, Mullan JT et al. Profiles in Caregiving: The Unexpected Career. San Diego, CA: Academic Press, Inc., 1995.
- Talley RC, Crews JE. Framing the public health of caregiving. Am J Public Health 2007;97:224–228.
- Field Research Corporation. 2007 Los Angeles County Health Survey Summary of Survey Methodology. June 2008 [on-line]. Available at http://public-health.

lacounty.gov/ha/docs/2007%20LACHS/2007%20LA%20Health%20Survey %20Methods%20(amended).pdf Accessed May 11, 2010.

- Informal Caregiving: Implications for Public Health, LA Health Brief. Los Angeles: Los Angeles County Department of Public Health, Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, 2010. Available at http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/reports/habriefs/2007/Caregiving_Final.pdf Accessed May 11, 2010.
- California Department of Finance. Los Angeles County Projections for Every Year, 2000-2050, by Single Year of Age, Race/Ethnicity and Gender [on-line]. Available at http://www.dof.ca.gov/research/demographic/data/raceethnic/2000-50/documents/LosAngeles.xls Accessed May 11, 2010.
- U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. Median Weekly Earnings of Full-Time Wage and Salary Workers by Detailed Occupation and Sex 2007 and Employer Costs for Employee Compensation — June 2007 [on-line]. Available at http://www.bls.gov/ Accessed May 11, 2010.
- The MetLife Mature Market Institute and the National Alliance for Caregiving. The Met Life Caregiving Cost Study: Productivity Losses to US Business. July 2006 [on-line]. Available at http://www.caregiving.org/data/ Caregiver%20Cost%20Study.pdf Accessed May 11, 2010.
- Joyce K, Pabayo R, Critchley JA et al. Flexible working conditions and their effects on employee health and wellbeing. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2010;2:CD008009.

DETERMINANTS OF DISCHARGE TO LONG-TERM CARE AFTER A LOWER LIMB AMPUTATION

To the Editor: Rehabilitation after lower limb amputation (LLA) in long-term care (LTC) has many positive outcomes, with up to 57% of the population successfully

discharged within 12 months.¹ After LLA, it is important that rehabilitation begins without delay, particularly for older adults, who experience a rapid decline in physical conditioning.² Knowing who will be discharged to a LTC setting enables planning to begin immediately, even before surgery. Research from the United States and Finland has shown that being older, being female, living alone, and having a transfemoral amputation increases the chance of discharge to LTC.^{3–5} The aim of this study was to investigate determinants of discharge to LTC after LLA in a Dutch setting.

METHODS

Medical records of all people who underwent a first transtibial (TTA), knee disarticulation (KD), or transfemoral (TFA) amputation due to vascular disease, infection, or diabetes mellitus between January 1, 2003, and December 31, 2004, were reviewed as part of a study on incidence of amputation. The primary dependent variable was discharge destination, recorded as LTC or other (home, inpatient rehabilitation, supported residential home, other hospital). Independent variables included were age, sex, level (TTA, unilateral proximal (KD or TFA), or multiple major amputations), living alone (includes single, widowed, divorced) or with a partner, living situation before amputation (care or home), and comorbidities (yes, no: diabetes mellitus, cardiac (myocardial infarction, cerebrovascular disease, or coronary artery bypass graft), lung disease, or renal disease). Variables with P < .1 in bivariate analyses were included in a logistic regression analysis (backward stepwise logistic regression).

RESULTS

Two hundred ninety-nine people with a first amputation were initially included. Fifty-six (19%) died before discharge from hospital and were excluded from further analyses. The mean age of the population discharged (n = 243) was 74.0 \pm 11.4, 146 (60%) were male, and 114 (47%) underwent unilateral TTA and 70 (29%) unilateral TFA or KD. Five cases had missing data for discharge location. Bivariate analyses according to discharge location showed that sex, age, and living with a partner were all significantly associated with discharge location (Table 1). Logistic regression analyses showed that older people were more likely to be discharged to LTC (β (standard error) 0.053 (0.014); odds ratio = 1.05, 95% confidence interval = 1.03–1.08) P < .001; constant (standard error) -0.078 (0.157)).

DISCUSSION

Older age was the sole factor associated with discharge to LTC. Rehabilitation after LLA can take place in a number of settings, but most previous research has focused on inpatient rehabilitation programs. This setting yields the best outcomes in terms of longer survival, greater chance of receiving a prosthesis, greater mobility, being more likely to return to independent living, greater medical stability, fewer subsequent amputations, and better quality of life,^{4,6–8} but inpatient rehabilitation programs operate with

Care			
Characteristic	Long-Term Care, n = 130, 55%	Other, n = 108, 45%	<i>P</i> -Value
Sex, n (%)			
Female	60 (25)	35 (15)	.03
Male	70 (29)		
Age, mean \pm standard deviation	76.5 ± 9.4		<.001
Before amputation lived, n (%)			
With partner	48 (25)	58 (30)	.04
Alone	53 (27)	35 (18)	
Level, n (%)			
Unilateral transtibial	57 (24)	54 (23)	.14
Unilateral transfemoral or knee disarticulation	44 (19)	24 (10)	
Multiple major	27 (12)	28 (12)	
Admitted from, n (%)	()	. ,	
Home	70 (31)	71 (31)	.24
Care	49 (22)	36 (16)	
Comorbidities, n (%)	. ,	. ,	
Diabetes mellitus	73 (31)	52 (22)	.22
Cardiac	55 (23)	35 (15)	.12
Lung disease	34 (14)	23 (10)	.38
Kidney disease	27 (11)	17 (7)	.32

Table 1. Determinants of Discharge to Long-Term Care

Characteristics were compared according to discharge location (LTC or other) using chi-square analysis for categorical variables and *t*-tests for age (normal distribution). Variables with P < .10 were included in a logistic regression model (stepwise backward logistic regression) with discharge location as the dependent variable. Statistical significance was set at P < .05, and analyses were performed in SPSS Statistics 20 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL).

Not all categories sum to their respective totals because of missing data.

an intensive level of training, which a large proportion of the LLA population is unable to manage because of older age and comorbidity. Research of rehabilitation in LTC is gaining increasing interest,¹ because it may offer a suitable option for the older LLA population.

It is likely that differences in the model of care provided accounted for the different findings in this study from those in the literature. Studies on discharge destination are mainly limited to U.S. settings, where a much smaller percentage of people were discharged to LTC $(18.5-21\%,^{5,9} vs~55\%)$ in the Netherlands). No association between amputation level and discharge to $LTC^{4,5}$ was found in the current study. In addition to differences in care models, inclusion of people with (partial) foot amputations in those studies might have contributed to the importance of amputation level on discharge, partial foot amputation being a less-aggressive procedure performed more frequently in a younger and somewhat healthier population.

This study covered a large regional population of all people undergoing LLA over a 2-year period, and findings can be generalized to the Dutch setting, but given that the design was a retrospective cross-sectional review, prospective, longitudinal studies should be undertaken to confirm the results. In the Netherlands, older adults can expect to be discharged to LTC after amputation. Lauren V. Fortington, MHSc

Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands

Pieter U. Dijkstra, PhD

Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands

Jan H. B. Geertzen Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thank you to the surgeons and colleagues from all participating hospitals for arranging files for data collection. We also thank Dr. G.M. Rommers, Prof K. Postema, and student assistants Ms. A. Teunissen and Mr. K. Esselink for their assistance in data collection.

Conflict of Interest: The editor in chief has reviewed the conflict of interest checklist provided by the authors and has determined that the authors have no financial or any other kind of personal conflicts with this paper.

The research was supported in part by grants received from the OIM Foundation, Assen and the Beatrixoord Foundation North Netherlands, Haren.

Author Contributions: Fortington L. V.: Designed the study, collected all data, analyzed results, and wrote the manuscript. Dijkstra P. U. and Geertzen J. H. B.: Designed the study, analyzed the results, and provided critical review of manuscript.

Sponsor's Role: None.

REFERENCES

- Buijck BI, van Eijk MS, Zuidema SU et al. Determinants of quality of life in older adults after lower limb amputation and rehabilitation in skilled nursing facilities. J Am Geriatr Soc 2012;60:796–798.
- Cutson TM, Bongiorni D, Michael JW et al. Early management of elderly dysvascular below-knee amputees. JPO 1994;6:62–66.
- Remes L, Isoaho R, Vahlberg T et al. Predictors for institutionalization and prosthetic ambulation after major lower extremity amputation during an eight-year follow-up. Aging Clin Exp Res 2009;21:129–135.
- Dillingham TR, Pezzin LE. Rehabilitation setting and associated mortality and medical stability among persons with amputations. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2008;89:1038–1045.
- Lavery LA, Van Houtum WH, Armstrong DG. Institutionalization following diabetes-related lower extremity amputation. Am J Med 1997;103:383–388.
- Czerniecki JM, Turner AP, Williams RM et al. The effect of rehabilitation in a comprehensive inpatient rehabilitation unit on mobility outcome after dysvascular lower extremity amputation. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2012;93:1384–1391.
- Kurichi JE, Small DS, Bates BE et al. Possible incremental benefits of specialized rehabilitation bed units among veterans after lower extremity amputation. Med Care 2009;47:457–465.
- Stineman MG, Kwong PL, Kurichi JE et al. The effectiveness of inpatient rehabilitation in the acute postoperative phase of care after transibial or transfemoral amputation: Study of an integrated health care delivery system. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2008;89:1863–1872.
- Dillingham TR, Yacub JN, Pezzin LE. Determinants of postacute care discharge destination after dysvascular lower limb amputation. PM R 2011;3:336–344.