

University of Groningen

T-duality and actions for non-BPS D-branes

Bergshoeff, EA; de Roo, M; de Wit, TC; Eyras, E; Panda, S; Wit, Tim C. de

Published in: Journal of High Energy Physics

DOI: [10.1088/1126-6708/2000/05/009](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2000/05/009)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2000

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](https://research.rug.nl/en/publications/84d6d0e2-8ac2-4790-b261-e8cf6f5607c1)

Citation for published version (APA): Bergshoeff, EA., de Roo, M., de Wit, TC., Eyras, E., Panda, S., & Wit, T. C. D. (2000). T-duality and actions for non-BPS D-branes. Journal of High Energy Physics, 2000(5), [009]. [https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2000/05/009) [6708/2000/05/009](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2000/05/009)

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

T-duality and actions for non-BPS D-branes

Eric A. Bergshoeff, Mees de Roo and Tim C. de Wit

Institute for Theoretical Physics Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands E-mail: E.A.Bergshoeff@phys.rug.nl, M.de.Roo@phys.rug.nl, T.C.de.Wit@phys.rug.nl

Eduardo Eyras

DAMTP, University of Cambridge Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 9EW, UK E-mail: e.eyras@damtp.cam.ac.uk

Sudhakar Panda

Mehta Research Institute of Mathematics and Mathematical Physics Chhatnag Road, Jhoosi, Allahabad 211019, India E-mail: panda@mri.ernet.in

Abstract: We employ T-duality to restrict the tachyon dependence of effective actions for non-BPS D-branes. For the Born-Infeld part the criteria of T-duality and supersymmetry are satisfied by a simple extension of the D-brane Born-Infeld action.

KEYWORDS: [D-branes, String Duality, Supersymmetry and Duality.](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?keywords=D-branes+String_Duality+Supersymmetry_and_Duality)

Contents

1. Introduction

D_p-branes [[1](#page-9-0)] have played a crucial role in the understanding of the relations between different string theories. They are stable extended objects, which preserve half of the maximal supersymmetry. They are known in terms of explicit solutions to lowenergy supergravity equations, and their effective actions and its symmetries are by now well understood [[2\]](#page-9-0).

D-branes also give rise to other stable and unstable objects, such as braneantibrane configurations, and non-BPS D-branes [[3,](#page-10-0) [4](#page-10-0), [5\]](#page-10-0). These objects and their descendants could potentially play an equally important role, since they extend the relations between string theories to a different domain. ¹ Non-BPS branes in the type-II theories are unstable, and can decay to the stable D-branes. Much work has already been done on the classification of these objects [[9](#page-10-0), [10](#page-10-0)], and this has clarified their relation to D-branes, as well as the structure of the hierarchy of D-branes themselves.

A proposal for an effective action for non-BPS D-branes in the type-II theories was given by Sen [\[11](#page-10-0)]. In this action the instability is due to the presence of a tachyon. The tachyon dependence must be such that condensation to the D-brane is possible, which puts severe restrictions on the tachyon dependence of this action. The general structure is that a non-BPS D p-brane in the type-IIA (-IIB) theory, will condense to a BPS $D(p-1)$ -brane in the same IIA (IIB) theory. The non-BPS Dp -branes in IIA (IIB) are related to BPS $D(p+1)$ -brane and antibrane configurations in IIA (IIB) by condensation of the complex tachyon living on this brane-antibrane pair. This interrelationship between BPS and non-BPS branes implies that the T-duality map between D_p-branes in IIA (IIB) to $D(p \pm 1)$ -branes in IIB (IIA) must also hold

¹For reviews, see [[6, 7](#page-10-0), [8](#page-10-0)].

for the non-BPS branes. This T-duality map gives further information about the tachyon dependence of the effective action of the non-BPS brane. It is our aim to investigate the T-duality properties of non-BPS branes in the type-II theories.

In this introduction we will give a short overview of the effective action for non-BPS branes, mainly following [[11\]](#page-10-0) for the Born-Infeld contribution, and [[12](#page-10-0), [13\]](#page-10-0) for the Wess-Zumino term. Then we will discuss aspects of T-duality, first for the Born-Infeld term in section [2](#page-4-0), then for the Wess-Zumino term in section [3](#page-7-0). In section [4](#page-8-0) we speculate about the analogue of tachyon condensation in non-BPS D-branes in the context of $D = 11$ M-branes.

The Born-Infeld term in the action for a non-BPS D p-brane in a nontrivial background should be of the following form:

$$
S_{\rm BI}^{(p)} = -\int d^{p+1}\sigma e^{-\phi} \sqrt{|\det G_{ij}|} f(T, \partial T, \ldots), \qquad (1.1)
$$

where

$$
G_{ij} = g_{ij} + \mathcal{F}_{ij} \,. \tag{1.2}
$$

Here g is the metric induced by the supersymmetric line-element, and $\mathcal F$ involves the Born-Infeld vector $F_{ij} = 2\partial_{[i}V_{j]}$ and the Neveu-Schwarz B-superfield. The function f contains the dependence on the tachyon and its derivatives, and may also depend on other worldvolume and background fields.

In this paper we discuss the conjecture that (1.1) is of the form

$$
S_{\text{BI}}^{(p)} = -\int d^{p+1}\sigma \sqrt{|\det(G_{ij} + \partial_i T \partial_j T)|} g(T). \qquad (1.3)
$$

The argument which we will advance in support of this conjecture is that (1.3) agrees with T-duality and supersymmetry. Further arguments, based on a calculation of S-matrix elements, have been discussed by Garousi [[14\]](#page-10-0). The action (1.3) is a special case of (1.1), and can be rewritten in that form by expanding the root. Our result implies that all terms in the expansion of (1.3) satisfy the requirement of T-duality. Of course, this does not prove the conjecture. A proof would require an extension of [[14](#page-10-0)] to higher order terms in ∂T . In this respect it would be interesting to calculate the explicit form of the $(\partial T)^4$ contribution to the 4-tachyon amplitude.² Our discussion of T-duality is useful independently of the validity of the conjecture. The formula (1.3) generates a series of terms that agree with T-duality and supersymmetry. Even though in the complete answer the coefficients of these terms might differ from those that follow from (1.3), our method enables us to identity the structure of the interactions that are allowed to appear in (1.1).

The Wess-Zumino term for a single non-BPS D-brane takes on the form:

$$
S_{\rm WZ}^{(p)} = \int d^{p+1}\sigma \ C \wedge dT \wedge e^{\mathcal{F}}.
$$
 (1.4)

²We thank A. Tseytlin for a discussion on this point.

The superfield C contains the Ramond-Ramond fields. This generalizes the D-brane Wess-Zumino action given by [[15](#page-10-0)], to which we refer for the notation. The leading form in C is a p-form. The kink solution for the tachyon is expected to give a δ function from the dT-contribution and thus to produce the standard Wess-Zumino term for the resulting $D(p-1)$ -brane.

The terms ([1.1\)](#page-3-0) and [\(1.4](#page-3-0)) are separately invariant under worldvolume reparametrizations and target space (super-)reparametrizations. It is assumed that the tachyon is a scalar under worldvolume reparametrizations, and that the function f depends only on invariant combinations of worldvolume and background fields. However, the sum of (1.1) (1.1) and (1.4) (1.4) is not κ -symmetric as it would be for Dbranes [[16, 17](#page-10-0), [18](#page-10-0)]. The relation between the non-BPS Dp-brane and the BPS $D(p-1)$ -brane then arises as follows. The tachyonic kink-solution effectively reduces the dimension of the worldvolume by one. Because the tachyon is then constant almost everywhere, terms with derivatives of tachyons vanish. The remainder of the action should then be the standard effective action of a $D(p-1)$ -brane. The fermionic κ -symmetry, which is absent for the non-BPS brane, is restored by the tachyon condensation. In the resulting action the full set of background fields, as well as invariance under all (super-)reparametrizations, are still present.

In a flat background this can be made more explicit (see [\[16](#page-10-0)]). Then we have:³

$$
g_{ij} = \eta_{\mu\nu} \Pi_i^{\mu} \Pi_j^{\nu} ; \qquad \Pi_i^{\mu} = \partial_i X^{\mu} - \bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\mu} \partial_i \theta , \qquad (1.5)
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}_{ij} = \partial_i V_j - \partial_j V_i - \left\{ \bar{\theta} \Gamma_{11} \Gamma_{\mu} \partial_i \theta \left(\partial_j X^{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\mu} \partial_j \theta \right) - (i \leftrightarrow j) \right\} , \quad (1.6)
$$

$$
C_{i_1\ldots i_p} = \partial_{[i_1} X^{\mu_1} \cdots \partial_{i_{p-1}} X^{\mu_{p-1}} \bar{\theta} \mathcal{P}_{(p)} \Gamma_{\mu_1\ldots \mu_{p-1}} \partial_{i_p} \theta + \cdots
$$
 (1.7)

In (1.5) – (1.7) we present the IIA case. The Majorana spinor θ can be expanded as $\theta = \theta_L + \theta_R$. To obtain the IIB case we should replace Γ_{11} by σ_3 and write θ as a doublet $(\theta_{1R} \theta_{2R})$. In the expression for the Ramond-Ramond field $\mathcal{P}_{(p)}$ equals Γ_{11} for $p = 4k + 1$ and 1 for $p = 4k + 3$. In the IIB case p is even, and we must have $\mathcal{P}_{(p)}$ equal to $i\sigma_2$ for $p = 4k$, and equal to σ_1 for $p = 4k + 2$. In (1.7) we have not written higher-order contributions in the fermions, which are required for supersymmetry.

2. T-duality and the Born-Infeld term

Before coming to non-BPS D-branes, let us briefly recall how T-duality works for D-branes [\[19](#page-10-0)]. In this example we work in a flat background, but we keep the worldvolume fermions to identify later the possible couplings between fermions and tachyons. For a D p-brane we have the following Born-Infeld term

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\rm BI} = -\sqrt{|\det G_{10\,ij}^{(p)}|},\tag{2.1}
$$

³Worldvolume indices are denoted by $i, j = 0, \ldots, p$, target space indices by $\mu, \nu = 0, \ldots, D-1$.

with $G = g + \mathcal{F}$. We will reduce a IIA Dp-brane and a IIB D $(p+1)$ -brane to a nine dimensional D p-brane. T-duality amounts to the fact that the resulting worldvolume actions should be the same in the two cases. The reduction of the fermions in the IIA case is as follows [\[20](#page-11-0)]:

$$
\theta_R \to \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \theta_L \to \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \theta_2 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (2.2)

The Γ-matrices reduce as

$$
\Gamma^{\mu} \to \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma^{\mu} \\ \gamma^{\mu} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\mu = 0, \dots, 8), \qquad \Gamma^{9} \to \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Gamma^{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
\n(2.3)

The reduction is over a transverse direction and the corresponding coordinate $X⁹$ is written as a worldvolume scalar S. The result is

$$
G_{10\,ij}^{(p)} \rightarrow G_{9\,ij}^{(p)} - \partial_i S \partial_j S + 2 \bar{\theta}_2 \partial_i \theta_2 \partial_j S - 2 \bar{\theta}_1 \partial_j \theta_1 \partial_i S + 2 \bar{\theta}_2 \partial_i \theta_2 \bar{\theta}_1 \partial_j \theta_1 , \qquad (2.4)
$$

with

$$
G_{9ij}^{(p)} = g_{ij} + F_{ij} - 2\bar{\theta}_2 \gamma_\mu \partial_i \theta_2 \partial_j X^\mu - 2\bar{\theta}_1 \gamma_\mu \partial_j \theta_1 \partial_i X^\mu +
$$

+
$$
\bar{\theta}_2 \gamma_\mu \partial_i \theta_2 \bar{\theta}_2 \gamma^\mu \partial_j \theta_2 + \bar{\theta}_1 \gamma_\mu \partial_i \theta_1 \bar{\theta}_1 \gamma^\mu \partial_j \theta_1 + 2\bar{\theta}_2 \gamma_\mu \partial_i \theta_2 \bar{\theta}_1 \gamma^\mu \partial_j \theta_1. \tag{2.5}
$$

In the IIB case we reduce a $D(p+1)$ -brane over a worldvolume direction. We gaugefix the corresponding coordinate X^9 equal to a worldvolume coordinate σ , and the corresponding component of the Born-Infeld vector becomes a worldvolume scalar S. The fermions now reduce as follows:

$$
\theta_{1R} \to \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \theta_{2R} \to \begin{pmatrix} \theta_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.6}
$$

and we obtain the following:

$$
G_{10}^{(p+1)} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} G_{9ij}^{(p)} - 2\bar{\theta}_2 \partial_i \theta_2 \bar{\theta}_1 \partial_j \theta_1 & \partial_i S - 2\bar{\theta}_2 \partial_i \theta_2 \\ -\partial_j S - 2\bar{\theta}_1 \partial_j \theta_1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (2.7)

Finally we have to prove that the determinants of the two nine-dimensional expressions are the same. This can be shown by using the identity

$$
\det\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \det\begin{pmatrix} A - BD^{-1}C & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ D^{-1}C & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \det(A - BD^{-1}C) \det D. \tag{2.8}
$$

To identify possible couplings between tachyons and the other worldvolume fields in the case of the non-BPS D-brane, we consider as the starting point the lagrangian in ([1.3\)](#page-3-0):

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\rm BI}^{(p)} = -\sqrt{|\det(G_{10\,ij}^{(p)} + \partial_i T \partial_j T)|} g(T). \tag{2.9}
$$

If we assume that T becomes independent of the compact direction in which the Tduality transformation is performed, then the result of the calculation we performed above will be the same, with the replacement

$$
G_{9ij}^{(p)} \to G_{9ij}^{(p)} + \partial_i T \partial_j T. \tag{2.10}
$$

The equality between the determinants still holds, and T-duality will be preserved. If in addition we assume that T is inert under supersymmetry, (2.9) (2.9) is also supersymmetric.

If we expand (2.9) (2.9) in the tachyon field, we reobtain an action of the form (1.1) (1.1) , with a set of explicit couplings of the tachyon to worldvolume fields, and, in a general background, target space fields. Let us write explicitly the leading terms, including expressions quadratic in the fermions and in ∂T , which result from this expansion for the case of a IIA flat background:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{BI}}^{(p)} = g(T) \sqrt{|\det G_{ij}|} \times \times \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2} G^{ji} \left(-2 \bar{\theta}_L \Gamma_\mu \partial_i \theta_L \partial_j X^\mu - 2 \bar{\theta}_R \Gamma_\mu \partial_j \theta_R \partial_i X^\mu + \partial_i T \partial_j T \right) - \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2} - G^{ki} \left(-2 \bar{\theta}_L \Gamma_\mu \partial_i \theta_L \partial_l X^\mu - 2 \bar{\theta}_R \Gamma_\mu \partial_l \theta_R \partial_i X^\mu \right) - G^{lm} \partial_m T \partial_k T + \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{4} G^{ji} \left(-2 \bar{\theta}_L \Gamma_\mu \partial_i \theta_L \partial_j X^\mu - 2 \bar{\theta}_R \Gamma_\mu \partial_j \theta_R \partial_i X^\mu \right) G^{kl} \partial_l T \partial_k T + \cdots \right\}, (2.11)
$$

where $G_{ij} = \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial_i X^\mu \partial_j X^\nu + F_{ij}$, and G^{ij} is its inverse. These couplings satisfy the requirement of T-duality. They are not supersymmetric by themselves, since we have expanded the supersymmetric combinations (1.5) (1.5) , (1.6) (1.6) . However, supersymmetry can be restored by the addition of quartic fermion terms, which follow from (1.5) (1.5) , (1.6) (1.6) . The expression (2.11) was written for the IIA case, for IIB replace $\theta_L \rightarrow \theta_{2R}, \theta_R \rightarrow \theta_{1R}.$

In the literature there has been some effort to include couplings between worldvolume fermions and tachyons in the function f [\[21\]](#page-11-0). This work suggests a coupling of the form

$$
\partial_i \bar{\theta} \partial_j \theta G^{ij} , \qquad (2.12)
$$

multiplying a function of T. Although this form is indeed nonzero in the IIA theory, it does not have a counterpart in the IIB theory because of the different chirality structure. Therefore it does not satisfy T-duality, and should not appear in the non-BPS brane action.

From ([2.9\)](#page-5-0) it is clear that the $(\partial T)^2$ terms couple only to the symmetric part of $G_{10}^{(p)-1}$. Nevertheless, in the expansion (2.11) the terms mixing $(\partial T)^2$ with the fermionic contributions do couple to a nonvanishing NS-NS background field.

Note that the expansion of the determinant in ([2.9\)](#page-5-0) gives a series of couplings between tachyons and other fields, with fixed relative coefficients. Supersymmetry

and T-duality are not sufficient to fix these coefficients; any couplings of tachyons with (1.5) (1.5) , (1.6) (1.6) would satisfy these two requirements. Also, the potential $g(T)$ in ([2.9\)](#page-5-0) is not restricted by our arguments. Recently, remarkable progress has been made in constructing $g(T)$ from open string field theory [\[22](#page-11-0), [23, 24, 25\]](#page-11-0).

3. T-duality and Wess-Zumino terms

For the Wess-Zumino terms we will restrict ourselves to contributions quadratic in the fermions, and again to a flat background. Let us first consider the case of a non-BPS p-brane (p odd) in the IIA theory. The lagrangian in [\(1.4](#page-3-0)) then takes on the explicit form

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\rm WZ}^{(p)} = \epsilon^{i_1 \dots i_{p+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} a_{p,k} C_{i_1 \dots i_{p-2k}} (F^k)_{i_{p-2k+1} \dots i_p} \partial_{i_{p+1}} T , \qquad (3.1)
$$

where C is given by [\(1.7](#page-4-0)). The coefficients $a_{p,k}$ will be fixed by T-duality (see below). Writing out the Majorana spinor θ in terms of chiral components, we find, after a partial integration

$$
C_{i_1...i_{p-2k}} = 2\partial_{[i_1}X^{\mu_1}\cdots\partial_{i_{p-2k-1}}X^{\mu_{p-2k-1}}\bar{\theta}_L\Gamma_{\mu_1...\mu_{p-2k-1}}\partial_{i_{p-2k}}\theta_R.
$$
 (3.2)

Upon reduction to $D=9$ the total result becomes

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\rm WZ}^{(p)} \rightarrow 2\epsilon^{i_1...i_{p+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} a_{p,k} \Big\{ \tilde{C}_{i_1...i_{p-2k}}(F^k)_{i_{p-2k+1}...i_p} \partial_{i_{p+1}} T + (p-2k-1) \tilde{C}_{i_1...i_{p-2k-1}} \partial_{i_{p-2k}} S(F^k)_{i_{p-2k+1}...i_p} \partial_{i_{p+1}} T \Big\} .
$$
\n(3.3)

Here we have defined

$$
\tilde{C}_{i_1...i_m} = \partial_{[i_1} X^{\mu_1} \cdots \partial_{i_{m-1}} X^{\mu_{m-1}} \bar{\theta}_2 \gamma_{\mu_1...\mu_{m-1}} \partial_{i_m] \theta_1 ,
$$
\n(3.4)

which are the nine-dimensional RR-fields in a flat $D = 9$ background.

Again we should compare with the result obtained by reducing the WZ-term of a IIB non-BPS $p + 1$ -brane to nine dimensions. The starting point is of the same form as (3.1) , except that we will use coefficients $b_{p+1,k}$. Recall that the worldvolume scalar S now comes from the world-volume vector. The final result is

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\rm WZ}^{(p+1)} \to -2\epsilon^{i_1\ldots i_{p+1}} s_{p-2k+1} b_{p+1,k} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} (p-2k) \tilde{C}_{i_1\ldots i_{p-2k}}(F^k)_{i_{p-2k+1}\ldots i_p} \partial_{i_{p+1}} T + (3.5) + \sum_{k=1}^{(p+1)/2} 2k \tilde{C}_{i_1\ldots i_{p-2k+1}}(F^k)_{i_{p-2k+2}\ldots i_{p-1}} \partial_{i_p} S \partial_{i_{p+1}} T \right\}.
$$

Here s_m (*m* even) is a sign which is +1 for $m = 4l + 2$, and -1 for $m = 4l$.

T-duality determines the coefficients $a_{p,k}$ and $b_{p+1,k}$ up to an overall normalization. The result is

$$
a_{p,k} = \frac{(p-1)!}{2^k k! (p-2k-1)!} a_{p,0},
$$

\n
$$
b_{p+1,k} = \frac{(-1)^k p!}{2^k k! (p-2k)!} b_{p+1,0},
$$

\n
$$
a_{p,0} = -s_{p+1} p b_{p+1,0}.
$$
\n(3.6)

The normalization relative to the Born-Infeld term can be fixed by requiring that the D_p-brane action which arises for the kink solution is κ -symmetric.

The coefficients a and b in this section turn out to be the same as those that are required by T-duality of D p-branes. We conclude therefore that also the Wess-Zumino terms of non-BPS branes satisfy T-duality.

4. Discussion

We have shown, assuming a particular form of the tachyon coupling, that the worldvolume action for non-BPS D-branes proposed by Sen satisfies the criteria of Tduality and supersymmetry. The particular form [\(1.3](#page-3-0)) is interesting by itself. The same form has been suggested, from a different point of view, in [\[14](#page-10-0)]. It suggests a higher-dimensional structure for the non-BPS brane, a point which was remarked also by Hořava [[10\]](#page-10-0). It is therefore natural to discuss non-BPS branes within the context of the eleven-dimensional M-theory. In M-theory open M2-branes can end on M5-branes. The quantization of the open M2-brane, in a certain low-energy limit, leads to a self-dual tensor multiplet living on the worldvolume of the M5-brane. An important difference with the ten-dimensional context is that it is not known what the field theory describing a set of coinciding M5-branes or a M5- $\overline{\text{M5}}$ system should be.

On the side of classification, which depends mainly on the structure of the Wess-Zumino terms, a lot of work in $D = 11$ has already been done [\[26](#page-11-0), [27](#page-11-0)]. In this context an interesting relation between K-theory and the Killing isometry direction of [[28\]](#page-11-0) has been pointed out [\[29\]](#page-11-0).

As far as the dynamics is concerned the situation is more complicated. The fieldtheoretical approach which is suitable for a tachyon field, resulting from open strings, is replaced by a more complicated structure involving strings on the worldvolume, which represents interactions with membranes. A number of interesting points about the issue of "tachyonic string" condensation have been raised by Yi [[30\]](#page-11-0). In this scenario the M5- $\overline{\text{M5}}$ system decays into a BPS M2-brane. To achieve this Yi proposes a Higgs-mechanism for the non-selfdual tensor that should arise from the two selfdual tensors living on the M5-M5 system. An unattractive feature of this scenario is that the source for the three transverse scalars that are needed to describe the M2-brane remain unclear. It would be desirable if a Higgs-mechanism could be constructed for a single self-dual tensor only. The other remaining selfdual tensor exactly contains the three degrees of freedom which are required to describe the three transverse scalars.

In order to see whether a Higgs mechanism for a single selfdual tensor can be constructed it is convenient to use as a starting point the following action:

$$
\mathcal{L}_0 = -\frac{1}{24} \epsilon^{ijklm} H_{0ij} H_{klm} - \frac{1}{12} H_{ijk} H^{ijk} \,, \tag{4.1}
$$

with $i = 1, \ldots, 5$. This action is a gauge-fixed version of the action constructed in [\[31\]](#page-11-0). Note that the lagrangian is not Lorentz covariant but the equations of motion are. A necessary condition for the existence of a Higgs mechanism is that a massive extension of the lagrangian \mathcal{L}_0 exists. The only local mass term one can write down is given by⁴

$$
\mathcal{L}_m = m^2 B^{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu} \,. \tag{4.2}
$$

However, we have checked that, although the equations of motion corresponding to \mathcal{L}_0 and \mathcal{L}_m are Lorentz covariant, the ones corresponding to the combination $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_m$ violate Lorentz symmetry. Therefore, within the context of a local field theory, a Higgs mechanism for a single self-dual tensor seems not possible. It will be interesting to see how such a mechanism is realized in M-theory.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank David Berman, Ben Craps, Jan de Boer and Robbert Dijkgraaf for useful discussions. This work is supported by the European Commission TMR program ERBFMRX-CT96-0045, in which E.B. and M.d.R. are associated to the University of Utrecht. The work of T.C.d.W. is part of the research program of the "Stichting voor Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie" (FOM). E.E. is supported by the European Community program "Human Potential" under contract HPMF-CT-1999-00018 and partially by a PPARC grant $PPA/G/S/1998/00613$. S.P. thanks the Institute for Theoretical Physics in Groningen, where this work was initiated, for its warm hospitality.

References

- [1] J. Polchinski, Dirichlet-branes and Ramond-Ramond charges , [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=PRLTA%2C75%2C4724) 75 [\(1995\) 4724](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=PRLTA%2C75%2C4724) [[hep-th/9510017](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9510017)].
- [2] For a review, J. Polchinski, Tasi lectures on D-branes, [hep-th/9611050](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9611050).

⁴One could consider the lagrangian for the sum of a selfdual and an anti-selfdual tensor and then try to add a mass term for the diagonal combination only. This would not change our discussion.

- [3] A. Sen, Stable non-BPS states in string theory , [J. High Energy Phys.](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=06%281998%29007) 06 (1998) 007 [[hep-th/9803194](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9803194)].
- [4] A. Sen, Stable non-BPS bound states of BPS D-branes , [J. High Energy Phys.](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=08%281998%29010) 08 (1998) [010](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=08%281998%29010) [[hep-th/9805019](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9805019)].
- [5] A. Sen, Tachyon condensation on the brane antibrane system , [J. High Energy Phys.](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=08%281998%29012) 08 [\(1998\) 012](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=08%281998%29012) [[hep-th/9805170](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9805170)].
- [6] A. Sen, Non-BPS states and branes in string theory, [hep-th/9904207](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9904207).
- [7] A. Lerda and R. Russo, *Stable non-BPS states in string theory: a pedagogical review*, Lectures at the TMR School Quantum aspects of gauge theories, supersymmetry and unification, Leuven 1999, [hep-th/9905006](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9905006).
- [8] J.H. Schwarz, Tasi lectures on non-BPS D-brane systems, [hep-th/9908144](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9908144).
- [9] E. Witten, D-branes and K-theory , [J. High Energy Phys.](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=12%281998%29019) 12 (1998) 019 [[hep-th/9810188](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9810188)].
- [10] P. Hořava, Type IIA D-branes, K-theory and matrix theory, [Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=00203%2C2%2C1373) 2 [\(1999\) 1373](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=00203%2C2%2C1373) [[hep-th/9812135](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9812135)].
- [11] A. Sen, Supersymmetric world-volume action for non-BPS D-branes, [J. High Energy](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=10%281999%29008) Phys. 10 [\(1999\) 008](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=10%281999%29008) [[hep-th/9909062](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9909062)].
- [12] M. Billó, B. Craps and F. Roose, Ramond-Ramond couplings of non-BPS D-branes, [J. High Energy Phys.](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=06%281999%29033) 06 (1999) 033 [[hep-th/9905157](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9905157)].
- [13] C. Kennedy and A. Wilkins, Ramond-Ramond couplings on brane-antibrane systems, [Phys. Lett.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=PHLTA%2CB464%2C206) B 464 (1999) 206 [[hep-th/9905195](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9905195)].
- [14] M.R. Garousi, Tachyon couplings on non-BPS D-branes and Dirac-Born-Infeld action, [hep-th/0003122](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/0003122) .
- [15] M.B. Green, C.M. Hull and P.K. Townsend, D-brane wess-zumino actions, t-duality and the cosmological constant, [Phys. Lett.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=PHLTA%2CB382%2C65) **B 382** (1996) 65 [[hep-th/9604119](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9604119)].
- [16] M. Aganagic, C. Popescu and J.H. Schwarz, Gauge-invariant and gauge-fixed D-brane actions , [Nucl. Phys.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=NUPHA%2CB495%2C99) B 495 (1997) 99 [[hep-th/9612080](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9612080)].
- [17] A. Stern, *Bosonization and current algebra of spinning strings*, *[Nucl. Phys.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=NUPHA%2CB482%2C305)* **B 482** [\(1996\) 305](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=NUPHA%2CB482%2C305) [[hep-th/9610014](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9610014)].
- [18] E. Bergshoeff and P.K. Townsend, Super D-branes, [Nucl. Phys.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=NUPHA%2CB490%2C145) **B 490** (1997) 145 [[hep-th/9611173](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9611173)].
- [19] E. Bergshoeff and M.D. Roo, *D-branes and T-duality*, *[Phys. Lett.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=PHLTA%2CB380%2C265)* **B 380** (1996) 265 [[hep-th/9603123](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9603123)].
- [20] M. Cvetič, H. Lu, C.N. Pope and K.S. Stelle, T-duality in the Green-Schwarz for-malism and the massless/massive IIA duality map, [Nucl. Phys.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=NUPHA%2CB573%2C149) \bf{B} 573 (2000) 149 [[hep-th/9907202](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9907202)].
- [21] J. Klusoň, $Remark$ about non-BPS brane in IIA theory, [hep-th/9909194](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9909194).
- [22] A. Sen, Universality of the tachyon potential, [J. High Energy Phys.](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=12%281999%29027) 12 (1999) 027 [[hep-th/9911116](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9911116)].
- [23] A. Sen and B. Zwiebach, Tachyon condensation in string field theory, [J. High Energy](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=03%282000%29002) Phys. 03 [\(2000\) 002](http://jhep.sissa.it/stdsearch?paper=03%282000%29002) [[hep-th/9912249](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9912249)].
- [24] W. Taylor, *D*-brane effective field theory from string field theory, [hep-th/0001201](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/0001201).
- [25] N. Berkovits, A. Sen and B. Zwiebach, Tachyon condensation in superstring field theory, [hep-th/0002211](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/0002211).
- [26] L. Houart and Y. Lozano, Type-II branes from brane-antibrane in M-theory, [hep-th/9910266](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9910266) .
- [27] L. Houart and Y. Lozano, *Brane descent relations in M-theory*, *[Phys. Lett.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=PHLTA%2CB479%2C299)* **B 479** [\(2000\) 299](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=PHLTA%2CB479%2C299) [[hep-th/0001170](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/0001170)].
- [28] E. Bergshoeff, Y. Lozano and T. Ortin, Massive branes, [Nucl. Phys.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=NUPHA%2CB518%2C363) **B 518** (1998) [363](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=NUPHA%2CB518%2C363) [[hep-th/9712115](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9712115)].
- [29] I.V. Vancea, On the algebraic K-theory of the massive D8 and M9 branes, [hep-th/9905034](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9905034) .
- [30] P. Yi, Membranes from five-branes and fundamental strings from Dp-branes, [Nucl.](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=NUPHA%2CB550%2C214) Phys. B 550 [\(1999\) 214](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=NUPHA%2CB550%2C214) [[hep-th/9901159](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9901159)].
- [31] P. Pasti, D. Sorokin and M. Tonin, On Lorentz invariant actions for chiral P-forms, Phys. Rev. D 55 [\(1997\) 6292](http://www-spires.slac.stanford.edu/spires/find/hep/www?j=PHRVA%2CD55%2C6292) [[hep-th/9611100](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9611100)].