



University of Groningen

Learning Technology Systems

Avgeriou, P.; Papasalouros, A.; Retalis, S.

Published in: **EPRINTS-BOOK-TITLE**

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA):

Avgeriou, P., Papasalouros, A., & Retalis, S. (2001). Learning Technology Systems: issues, trends, challenges. In *EPRINTS-BOOK-TITLE* University of Groningen, Johann Bernoulli Institute for Mathematics and Computer Science.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Download date: 06-06-2022

Learning Technology Systems: issues, trends, challenges

P. Avgeriou

A. Papasalouros

S. Retalis

National Technical University of Athens
Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
Software Engineering Laboratory
15780 Zografou, Athens, Greece
Tel: ++301 7722487, Fax: ++301 7722519
Email: {pavger, andpapas}@softlab.ntua.gr

Department of Computer Science University of Cyprus 75 Kallipoleos Str., P.O. Box 20537 CY-1678 Nicosia, Cyprus Tel: +357-2-892246, Fax:+357-2-339062

Email: retal@softlab.ntua.gr

Abstract

This paper elaborates on a comparative analysis of contemporary LTSs. We have selected a number of the most important, commonly used, modern LTSs and put them through a thorough evaluation process, based on the services, tools, and features they support, and the usability of their hypertext user interface. The result of the evaluation is twofold: to explore the utility of LTSs and consequently discover the real nature of these systems, based on the features they support; and to delve into the usability of LTSs, a critical factor in the acceptance of these systems by the market.

Keywords

Learning technology systems, distance learning, computer-based training, hypertext, evaluation, usability.

1. Introduction

The introduction of networked technologies and especially the Internet and the World Wide Web in the fields of education and training, is not new and certainly not innovative. In fact these technologies, also known as 'Advanced Learning Technologies' [http://csalt.lancs.ac.uk/alt/], have been around for some years, and have been applied in the form of computer-based training, electronic performance support systems, computer assisted instruction, intelligent tutoring, collaborative, adaptive and distance learning etc. Even though the Internet and the Web are the basic low-level infrastructure for the construction of such systems, there is also a middleware in the form of **Learning Technology Systems (LTS)** that provides integrated services such as the creation and distribution of on-line learning material, the communication and collaboration between the stakeholders, the management of instructional systems and so forth. These systems incorporate fundamental Internet and Web services, provide a uniform interface to learners, tutors, learning material authors, instructional designers and administrators, and promote portability of learning resources as well as interoperability between each other.

The LTS [IEEE LTSC 2000] has been established as the basic infrastructure for supporting the technology-based instructional process in an easy-to-use, pedagogically correct and cost-efficient manner. LTSs have been used for educational and training purposes, not only because they have been advertised as the state of the art learning technology, but also because they have substantial benefits to offer. In specific, they alleviate the constraints of time and place of learning, they provide an excellent degree of flexibility concerning the way of learning, they support advanced interactivity between tutors and learners and they grant one-stop maintenance and reusability of resources.

The plethora of the LTSs available today, as well as the varying needs of instructional systems, instructors and institutions, create a need for the evaluation of these systems, so that their potential can be investigated [Alexander 1995]. The market is packed with such systems that offer different services and capabilities regarding organization and distribution of learning content, course management, student assessment, communication and collaboration tools, administration of instructional institutions and so forth. There has to be some comparative analysis and assessment of LTSs, which clearly probes their features in the context of pedagogy, open learning and instructional design. Consequently instructional designers that are called upon to solve a specific instructional problem with explicit needs and requirements will be assisted in choosing a specific LTS that fits closer to the above problem.

A number of comparative reviews are available on the World Wide Web. The most important are

- [http://www.ctt.bc.ca/landonline], a comprehensive presentation of technical characteristics of LTSs, and an on-line tool for automatic comparison of systems, based on certain criteria.
- [http://www.leeds.ac.uk/educol/documents/00001237.htm, etc], a review that provides a full framework for the evaluation of LTSs based on Pedagogy and System Organization applied on 12 systems.
- [http://www1.umn.edu/dmc/portfolio/comparison/index.shtml], where four LTSs are being examined against certain, high level evaluation criteria. The results are presented in textual interview reports and comparative evaluations per system and per criterion.
- [http://www.esocrates.com/LearningResources/ComparisonChart.htm]
 - [http://www.marshall.edu/it/cit/webct/compare/comparison.html]
 - [http://www.umanitoba.ca/ip/tools/courseware/evalmain.html]
 - [http://www.futureu.com/cmscomp/cmstables.html#table1].

These Web Sites present tables of features supported by selected LTSs.

These reviews usually focus on the mere presentation of the features supported by the LTSs being examined, as well as on the comparison between them according to specific criteria. Some times an evaluation framework is introduced, based on technical and pedagogical principles. This paper also presents an evaluation framework that is concentrated on technical specifications, as well as on usability issues. For every learning technology system assessed, there is an analytical table of features and functions categorized in groups that address the most important functions that these systems are expected to perform, as well as other features, such as standards compliance [Nielsen 200]. Also there is a classification of the LTSs under assessment, according to the features they best support and the potential need that we suggest they can address in the learning process. Finally usability criteria are adopted in order to further assess the systems functionality and efficiency in addressing the needs of different types of users accessing the systems.

The aim of this review is not a mere lining up of the different capabilities that LTSs offer at present, but also an investigation regarding the trends of the market, the worries about the problems that surface, and finally the challenges that are currently faced. The structure of the paper will be as follows: In section 2 we describe in detail the framework used for the evaluation. Section 3 presents the qualitative results of the assessment of LTSs, while section 4 continues with some conclusions deduced from the review.

2. Evaluation framework

Our approach derives from the understanding that Learning Technology Systems are introduced and widely adopted by institutions and instructional designers, in order to fulfill certain needs and requirements in a field of ever increasing demands for effective, fast and pedagogically correct education and training. Consequently the people involved in the decision-making process concerning instructional design and organization of educational institutions would use a Learning Technology System in order to:

- Create, operate and administrate an on-line course.
- Support the collaboration between students and provide motivation and resources for team building [McConnell 1994].
- Create and deliver questions and tests for student assessment
- Organize educational, financial and human resources.
- Administer virtual, distributed classes where the students are geographically scattered and communicate via the Internet.

These diverse usage scenarios of LTSs, correspond to different categories of Learning Technology Systems, which are respectively the following:

- General systems, which have a number of tools for creating and managing courses and do not give emphasis to any particular set of features. We call these systems 'general' and not, for example 'Course Management', because they provide a plethora of features that span many assorted areas, in order to provide fully functional on-line courses, such as communication tools, administration tools, etc.
- Collaborative learning support systems, which emphasize on team building, student group management and providing the synchronous and asynchronous collaboration tools to support the aforementioned activities.
- Question and test authoring and management systems, which facilitate the design and construction of quizzes and tests, which are published on the WWW and taken on-line. They provide tools for test creation and their on-line delivery, automatic grading, results manipulation and report generation.
- People and Institute resources management systems, which deal with human resources and financial management.
- **Virtual classrooms,** which establish a virtual space for live interaction between all the participants in the learning process, i.e. instructors, tutors and students.

The LTSs that fit in one of the above categories support a number of **features**, or tools or capabilities in order to carry out certain tasks. These features do not discretely belong to only one LTS category but can be shared by several categories. These features can be classified into certain groups, namely:

- Course Management, which contains features for the creation, customization, administration and monitoring of courses.
- Class Management, which contains features for user management, team building, projects assignments etc.
- **Communication Tools,** which contains features for synchronous and asynchronous communication such as e-mail, chat, discussion fora, audio/video-conferencing, announcements and synchronous collaborative facilities (desktop, file and application sharing, whiteboard).
- **Student Tools,** which provide features to support students into managing and studying the learning resources, such as private & public annotations, highlights, bookmarks, off-line studying, log of personal history, search engines etc.
- Content Management, which provide features for content authoring and delivery and file management.
- Assessment Tools, which provides features for managing on-line quizzes and tests, project deliverables, self-assessment exercises and so on.
- School-Management, which provide features for managing records, absences, grades, student registrations, financial administration etc.

The features in these groups are presented in the next paragraph. The first part of the evaluation framework aims at two goals: a) to discover *what* an LTS does, i.e. which features it supports and b) to classify the LTSs into the appropriate categories. The first goal is achieved by providing tables of features and ticking the suitable check-boxes for every LTS. The second goal is accomplished by identifying the groups of features that an LTS supports and deciding about which one of the LTS categories it belongs to. This decision is not taken ad hoc, but according to the mapping of Table 1. This mapping portrays the relation between the aforementioned Learning Technology Systems categories and the groups of features that we have selected in order to characterize the LTSs.

The classification of LTSs under evaluation into categories is of paramount importance since it seeks to shed some light into the real nature of these systems, as there is currently much confusion about this matter. The terms used to describe the LTSs are covered by much vagueness and fuzziness and companies or other development organizations tend to assert these systems with expressions that further augment the uncertainty. There is surely no common vocabulary that characterizes the LTSs, which results in hindering the building of consensus among various stakeholders. Our approach aims to clarify things by characterizing LTSs objectively, according to the features they support.

The evaluation framework proposed in this paper suggests that the systems under evaluation shall be tested in order to discover the supported features and consequently the supported groups of features. If the majority of the features in a group is supported by an LTS, then the whole group is considered to be supported by this LTS. The result will be to classify the LTSs into the respective categories according to which groups of features they support and the mapping of Table 1. An important issue that must be emphasized here is that it is possible for an LTS to fit into more than one category, i.e. it can be used for more than one purposes.

LTS Categories	General Systems	Collaborative Learning Support	Virtual Classrooms	Question and Test Authoring &	People and Institute Resources
Supported Groups		Systems		Management Systems	Management Systems
of Features				Systems	Systems
Course	Х				X
Management	Λ				Λ
Class	X	X	X		X
Management	Λ	Λ	Λ		Λ
Communication	X	X	X		
Tools					
Student Tools	X	X	X	X	
Content	X			X	X
Management	Λ			Λ	Λ
Assessment Tools	X			X	
School- Management	X				X

Table 1: Mapping between LTS categories and groups of features

The evaluation framework so far has dealt with the utility of the LTSs by proposing the identification of features that each LTS supports, and the classification of the LTSs into the defined categories. The second part of the framework deals with the usability of the LTSs, which is not concerned with *which* features are supported by each LTS, but *how well* they are supported. This approach is based on the hypertext usability criteria proposed in [Nielsen 1993], takes under account the evaluation principles suggested in [Tessmer 1996], and elaborates on the above in order to set usability criteria especially for Learning Technology Systems.

LTSs are complicated hypertext systems having extended and complex navigation structure in order to present the learning content and tools that they provide. The intricacy of hypermedia applications has become common knowledge and there are various techniques and models used in order to manage this structural and semantic complexity [Squires & Preece, 1999, Lowe & Hall 1999]. The criteria proposed by this evaluation framework aim to address the usability issues that cover the whole of the multi-faceted hypermedia-based LTSs and are the following:

- 1) **Easy to learn and comprehend.** The users of an LTS must easily comprehend the system and learn how to use it. This concerns the navigation, the selection of tools and functions and the metaphors. It is also important that special technical skills are not required.
- 2) **Efficient in feature realization**. LTSs are designed to perform certain tasks, but it is obvious that not all LTSs perform the same tasks in the same manner (e.g. the video conferencing facility in CUSEEME and Microsoft Netmeeting). The question here is how well are the various tasks being performed.
- 3) **Efficient in navigation.** A user who is navigating through the hypermedia structure of an LTS must at all times know where he is and why he is there, where he came from and where can he go from there.
- 4) **Forgiveness from errors**. A user of an LTS often navigates himself back and forth through various paths due to an inclination to experimenting and exploration. A forgiving system allows the user to return quickly and easily to the point where he started through commands such as "undo", "back", "revert" etc.
- 5) **Pleasant to use**. An LTS must have pleasant aesthetics, which is the result of the color code in use, the graphics and animation quality, the fonts etc. An LTS is also pleasant to use when the downloading and the transition between pages of content is fast, or in other words when the user is not forced into frustrating delays.

For each of the above criteria we apply a grade from 1 to 5 where a small number means poor performance and a large one means good performance. This sort of usability evaluation is performed for each category of potential users, namely the students, the designers, the tutors and the administrators. This is important because different categories of users are provided with different kind of features, and even the features that are shared by more than one user categories have different user interfaces for each one of them. The application of this evaluation framework for 13 Learning Technology Systems is described in the following section.

3. Results

We have selected a certain number of LTSs for applying the proposed evaluation framework. The criteria for selecting the specific systems out of the entirety of the LTSs in the market are: a) the degree of adoption they have received by instructional institutions and b) the availability of resources for our evaluation (on-line documentation, white papers and demonstration versions of the systems). We concluded in 13 LTSs, that we consider being the most widely adopted in the educational market and that also offer adequate resources for their evaluation.

The proposed evaluation process begins with testing each system and checking which features it supports. These features are classified into the groups mentioned in Section 2. The results are depicted in Table 2.

Table 2: Features of Learning Technology Systems

	We	Vir	Blackh	Ü	۲		Intra	TonC	Lear	V.	First	Co	Lear
	bCT	tualU	oard	oSE	entra	ate	learr	lass	nLinc	apa	Class	nvene	ningSpace
Course management													
Syllabus Template	No	Yes	No	N 0	Z o	k A	Yes	No	No	Z o	No	Yes	No
Calendar	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ο	Z o	Y es	Yes	No	No	Z o	No	Yes	Yes
Announcements	Yes	No	Yes	N 0	Z 0	Z o	Yes	Yes	Yes	N 0	No	Yes	No
Glossary	Yes	Yes	No	N o	Z o	Z o	Yes	No	No	Z o	No		No
Structure course access pages	Yes	No	Yes	N 0	Z o	Y es	Yes	Yes	No	Z О	Yes	Yes	Yes
Customizable- consistent course interface	Yes	Yes	Yes	N o	Z О	Y es	Yes	Yes	Yes	о О	Yes	Yes	Yes
Links to web resources	Yes		Yes	y es	Z 0	k k	Yes	Yes	Yes	es Y	Yes	Yes	Yes
Class Management													
Create assignments	Yes	Yes	Yes	Y es	Z 0	Y es	Yes	No	No	Y es	Yes	Yes	Yes
Organize students in groups for team working on assignment projects	Yes	°Z	Yes	Y es	Z 0	Z 0	%	No	No		Yes	Yes	Yes
Assignments grading	Yes	Yes	Yes	N 0	Z °		Yes	No	No	Y es	Yes	Yes	Yes
Assignments grades statistical analysis	Yes	Yes	Yes	N 0	0 o		Yes	No	No	Y es	Yes	Yes	
Students' progress tracking	Yes	No	Yes	N 0	0 o	k A	Yes	No	No	Y es	Yes	Yes	Yes
Assessment tools													

	Γ					1	1		1		1			1	1	1		I		
Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		4	Yes		Yes		No			Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		<u>*</u>	No							Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No	No		0	No		No		No			No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Z o	Y es	Y es	Y es	Y	es	S	Y	es	Z	0	Z	0		Y es	Y es	Z	Z o	Z	Z o	Z
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		5	No		Yes		No			Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		<u>*</u>	No		Yes		Yes			Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		3	No		No					Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Z	λ .	>	Z	Y			Z		Z		Z			Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z
0	es	es	0		es			0		0		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Z 0	Y es	k k	Y es	Y	es		Z	0	Y	es	Z	0		Y es	Y es	Y es	Y es	Y es	Y es	Y es
es Y	Y es	Z o	Z o	Z	0	0	Z	0	Z	0	Z	0		es Y	k Y	Z o	Z o	Z o	k A	Z o
Yes	No	No	No	Yes		4	No		No		No			Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No
No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		Any	No		No		No			Yes	Yes	Yes	oN	No	No	oN
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		5	Yes		Yes		No			Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No
Test content authoring tools/wizards	Test content upload	Automatic, scheduled test delivery.	Automatic test scoring	natic	generation of results' statistical analysis reports.	Number of test types supported	Advanced security	for increased examination procedure's reliability.	matic	generation of quizzes from a pool	/e	personalized quiz presentation	Communication tools	E-mail	Chat	Discussion forums	Video conference	Voice conference	Shared white board	Application Sharing

Sessions scheduling	No	No	No	Z 0	es	Y	Z	No	No	Yes	Z 0	No	No	No
File transfer utilities	Yes	Yes	Yes	A se	es	о У	Z	Yes	Yes	Yes	Z o	Yes	Yes	Yes
Student Tools														
Add Bookmarks	Yes	Yes	Yes	Y es	0	0 Z	Z	Yes	Yes	Yes	Z 0	Yes		Yes
Add Personal notes	No	No	No	Y es	0	Z	i	Yes		No	Z 0	Yes		Yes
Get information about study progress	Yes	Yes	Yes	Z 0	0	es N	У ×	Yes		No	A A	Yes		Yes
Self-assessment quizzes	Yes	No	Yes	Z o	es	Y es	Y s	Yes	Yes	Yes	Y es	No		Yes
Adaptive/personali zed quiz presentation	No	No	No	Z 0		0	Z	No	Yes	No	k A	No		No
Search-in-content tools	Yes	No	No	A se		0?	Z Z	Yes	Yes	No	Z 0	No		No
Self registration in courses	Yes	No	Yes	Z 0	0	es N	× ×		Yes	Yes	Z 0	No		No
Personal web page creation	Yes	No	Yes	Z 0	es	Y		No		No	Z 0	Yes		Yes
View his own grades	Yes	Yes	Yes	N 0		Y es	Y	Yes	Yes	Yes	A A			Yes
Groups of students can share resources to facilitate team working.	No	No	Yes	k A	es	У	Z	No	No	Yes	k A	Yes	Yes	Yes
Content management														
Content upload	Yes	Yes	Yes	A A	es	Y es	Y s	Yes	Yes	Yes	es Y	Yes		Yes
Content authoring	Yes	No	No	Y es	0	o Z	Z	No	No	No	Z o			No
Content structuring	Yes	Yes	No	γ es	0	o Z	Z	Yes	Yes	Yes	Z o	Yes		Yes
Multimedia content insertion	Yes	Yes	Yes	A A	es	Y es	y s	Yes	Yes	Yes	es Y	Yes		Yes
Other (than html) content types supported	Yes	Yes	Yes	es Y	es	Y es	Y	Yes	Yes	No	es Y	Yes		Yes
Tools for effective navigation inside content	Yes	No	No	Y es	0	N es	Y	Yes	Хes	No	Y es	No		Yes
a reaction and a second				2	,	-					2			

						7	^													· •										
No		No	1	N _o	No	Vec	j T			No		No	1	NO NO	7	No				Yes		N _o	No		No				No	
										No		No	7	0 N	7	o Z						Yes								
Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes					No		No	1.4	0 N	,	o N				No		No	No		No				No	
Z	0	N	0	z °	Y	5	es			Y	es	Y	es	Y	CS CS	¥	es			Y	es		Y	es	Y	es			Y	es
No		No		S _o	No	Ves	S			No		No	1.4	0 Z		0 N				Yes		N _o	Yes		No				Yes	
No		No		S O	Yes	Vec	55			No		No	7	o N	7	o N				Yes		S _o	Yes						Yes	
No		No		No O	Yes					No		No	,	o N	,	o N				Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes				Yes	
Z	0	Z	0	Z o	N co	Z	0			Y	es	Y	es	Z	0	Z	0			Y	es	Z o	Y	es	Y	es			Y	es
Z		Z		z	Z	Z				z		Z		Z		Z				Υ		z			Z				Z	
Y	0	Y	0	。 Z	Y	>	0			Z	0	Z	0 7	Z	0 7	Z	0			Z	es	о Z	Y		Z	0			Z	0
	es	Č	es	0	Ö	ß	es				0	(0	(0		0				0	0		es		0				0
No		No		°Z	No	N	ONI			No		No	14	NO N	7	S N				Yes			Yes		No				No	
No		No		o N	No	QN.				No		No		0 N	;	o N				Yes		o N	Yes		Yes				Yes	
No		No		S O	No	N	ONI			No		No	7	0 N	7	o Z				Yes		Yes	Yes		No				Yes	
No HTML	knowledge required for content authoring	Tools/wizard for	content authoring	Use of templates – reusability of content	Tools/wizards for	Content publishing Meta-data	specification.	School-	Organization Management	Student admissions	management	Human resources		School financial	management support	Student mentoring	- Advising for courses selection and	ites	Administration	User Records	Management	Course Backups	File management	support	Database	management support	Other Features	General	Multiple language	support

											_	
AICC , IMS	Yes	Yes			Local		Yes	Win	No		V.4	
No	Yes	Yes			We	b hosting			No		oizI	
No	No	No					No	Win	No		Zebu	
ICC IMS, IEEE		k A			Т	ocal/ Hosti ng	k A	in W	y Y		Γ	earni ng Enter prise
	Yes				Local		Yes	Win	Yes		V.5	
IM	Yes							Win/ Unix/ Mac	Yes			
AICC , SCORM, IMS	$_{ m Aes}$	Yes	\$25,0		Local		Yes	Win NT/2000	Yes		SMS	
Z o					Т	ocal	Sə Ā	W InNT	N o			
Z o					Т	ocal		w in NT/200 0	z °			
I MS			£ 2495 (Unli mited packa ge)		Т	ocal	0 N	U nix/ Win	и О			
IMS	Yes	Yes	\$4000		Local/	Hosting	No	Unix/ Win	No		v.5	
No	Yes		\$25 00 (Academ ic Price)		Toc	al	oN	Uni x/Win	No		v.2.	ς.
S	Yes	Yes	\$4,0 00 (400 Students)		T00	al	$^{ m No}$	Uni x/Win	Yes		v.3.	1.3
Learning technology standards compliance	Online help	Reliable technical support	Price	Technical	Web hosting /Local	server installation	Database System Use	Server platform requirements	Openness	Assessment Resources	Tested	Product/Version

Method of	Full	Full	Full	Ь	D	Ь	Partia	Down	Partia	D	Partia	Part	Partia
Assessment	functiona	functiona	functional	artiall	ownloa	artiall	lly	loaded	Illy	ownl	Ily	ially	lly
	1		Online	y	ded	y	functional	documenta	functional	oaded	functional	functiona	functional
	loca	loca	demo	functi	docume	functi	Onlin	tion	Onlin		Onlin	1	Onlin
	1	1		onal	ntation	onal	e demo –		e demo –	1	e demo –	Onl	e demo –
	inst	installati		0		0	Download		Download		Download	ine demo	Download
	allation	on		nline		nline	ed		pə		ed	1	ed
				demo		demo	documenta		documenta		documenta	Downloa	documenta
				ı		ı	tion		tion		tion	ded	tion
				Down		Down						documen	
				loade		loade						tation	
				þ		p							
				docu		docu							
				menta tion		menta tion							
	WebCT	VirtualU	Blackboard	CoSE	Centra	Cate	Intralearn	TopClass	LearnLinc	Saba	FirstClass	Convene	LearningS
													pace
Διαχείριση													
Εύκολη ποοσαριιονή του	No	Ves	S. Z.	N	N	Vec	Vec	SZ	SZ.	No	NO.	Vec	SZ.
μαθήματος					2							3	
Ημερολόγιο	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Ανακοινώσεις	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	oN	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Sə	No
Γλώσσάρι	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	oN	Yes	No	No	No	No		No
Δόμηση των σελίδων πρόσβασης	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Συνεπής διαπροσωπεία	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
μαθήματος που είναι εύκολο να τροποποιηθεί													
Σύνδεσμοι σε πόρους στον Παγκόσμιο Ιστό	Yes		Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Διαχείριση Τάξης													
Δημιουργία και ανάθεση εονασιών σε μαθητές	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Xes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
June provided													

Οργάνωση μαθητών σε ομάδες και ομαδική συνεργασία σε εργασίες	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	o N	No	°Z	No		Yes	Yes	Yes
Βαθμολόγηση ασκήσεων αξιολόγησης	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No		Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Στατιστική ανάλυση της βαθμολογίας των μαθητών	Yes	Yes	Yes	S.	No		Yes	No	°Z	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Παρακολούθηση της προόδου των μαθητών	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Εργαλεία αξιολόγησης													
Εργαλεία για τη δημιουργία διαγωνισμάτων	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	N _o	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
«Ανέβασμα» (upload) του περιεχομένου των διαγωνισμάτων	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Αυτόματη και προγραμματισμένη παράδοση διαγωνισμάτων	Yes	Yes	No	o _Z	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No V	Yes	
Αυτόματη διόρθωση διαγωνισμάτων	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No		Yes
Αυτόματη παραγωγή αναφορών με στατιστική ανάλυση αποτελεσμάτων	Yes	Yes	Yes	°Z	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	S _o	Yes	Yes
Αριθμός τύπων διαγωνισμάτων που υποστηρίζονται	5	Any	4	0			3	>4	S	5	0	*	4
Ιδιαίτερα μέτρα ασφαλείας για την αύξηση της αξιοπιστίας της διαδικασίας αξιολόγησης	Yes	No	°N	o N	oN o	o N	No	°Z	oN o	Yes	No	No	Yes
Αυτόματη παραγωγή των διαγωνισμάτων από έτοιμους πόρους	Yes	No	No	No ON	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No		Yes

No		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
		Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes										
No		No	No	es	0	No	0]	No	No	Yes		Yes	es	Yes	No	No	No	oN o	Yes	
No		Yes N		No	No		No	No N	No	No Y		No Y		Yes Y	Yes	Yes	N ON	N oN	No	Yes
No		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		/es	No	No	Yes	oN	No	Yes	No	Yes
		\	\		1	1	`		`	`		1	Z	~						
Yes		Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes		Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes
		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		No	Yes
No		No	No	No	No	No	oN	No	oN	oN		No	i	Yes	Yes	No	No?	Yes		Yes
No		Yes	Xes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	SəA	SəA		No	No	oN	Yes			No	Yes	Yes
No		Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes		Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	N _o	No	No
No		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
No		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
No		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Προσαρμοστική και προσωποποιημένη παρουσίαση των διαγωνισμάτων	Εργαλέια Επικοινωνίας	E-mail	Κουβέντα (Chat)	Βήματα συζήτησης (Discussion forums)	Συνδιάσκεψη βίντεο	Συνδιάσκεψη ήχου	Διαμοιρασμός ασπροπίνακας	Διαμοιρασμός εφαρμογών	Προγραμματισμός συνόδων	Λειτουργίες μεταφοράς αρχείων	Εργαλεία Μαθητών	Εισαγωγή σελιδοδείκτη	Εισαγωγή προσωπικών σημειώσεων	Θεώρηση προσωπικής προόδου μελέτης	Ασκήσεις αυτο- αξιολόγησης	Προσαρμοστική/προσωπ οποιημένη παρουσίαση των διαγωνισμάτων	Εργαλεία αναζήτησης μαθησιακού περιεχομένου	Δυνατότητα εγγραφής στα μαθήματα χωρίς μεσολάβηση	Δημιουργία προσωπικής ιστοσελίδας	Θεώρηση της βαθμολογίας

Yes		Xes	No	Xes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes		No	No	No
Yes														No	No	No
Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			No	No	No
Yes		Yes	No	No		Yes	Yes	No	No	S S	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes		Yes	No	Sə A	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes		No	No	No
N ₀		Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes		No	No	No
No		Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	o _N	Yes			No	No	No
No No		Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N _o	No	No	No?	No		Yes	Yes	No
Yes		Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	S N	No	No		No	No	No
Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	°N	Yes	Yes		No	No	No
Yes		Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No		No	No	No
No		Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No		No	No	No
No		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	N _O	No	No		No	No	No
Δυνατότητα σε μια ομάδα μαθητών να διαμοιραστούν πόρους στα πλαίσια ομαδικής εργασίας	Διαχείριση Περιεχομένου	«Ανέβασμα» υλικού	Συγγραφή υλικού	Δόμηση Υλικού	Εισαγωγή Πολυμεσικού Υλικού	Υποστήριξη άλλων τύπων υλικού εκτός της HTML	Εργαλεία για την αποτελεσματική πλοήγηση στο υλικό	Δεν απαιτείται γνώση της HTML για τη συγγραφή υλικού	Αυτοματοποίημένα εργαλεία για τη συγγραφή υλικού	Χρήση προτύπων και επαναχρησιμοποίηση της διαπροσωπείας του υλικού	Αυτοματοποιημένα εργαλεία για την δημοσίευση του υλικού	Προδιαγραφή μεταδεδομένων	Διαχείριση Οργάνωσης Σχολείου	Διαχείριση εγγραφών των μαθητών	Διαχείριση ανθρωπίνων πόρων	Διαχείριση οικονομικών τους Σχολείου

		SS						•	AICC, IMS	SS	SS				Local	SS
<u>S</u>		Yes	N	No	No			No	AI II	Yes	Yes					Yes
o Z			Yes						No	Yes	Yes				Web hosting	
°Z		No	S _o	No	No			No	No	No	No					No
Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes			Yes	AICC IMS, IEEE		Yes				Local /Hosti ng	Yes
		S		S				S		S					Local	S
^o Z		Yes	S _o	Yes	No			Yes	No	Yes					Γο	Yes
oN o		Yes	No	Yes				Yes	AICC, IMS	Yes						
o N		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	AICC, SCORM, IMS	Yes	Yes	\$25,000			Local	Yes
o N		Yes	No	Yes	Yes			Yes	No						Local	Yes
o _Z		Yes	No		No			No	No						Local	
°Z		No	N _o	Yes	No			No	IMS			£249 5	(Unli mited packa ge)	ì	Local	No
°Z		Yes		Yes	No			No	IMS	Yes	Yes	\$4000			Local/Hostin g	No
No No		Yes	No	Yes	Yes			Yes	No	Yes		\$2500 (Academ	ic Price)		Local	No
No		Yes	Yes	Yes	No			Yes	IMS	Yes	Yes	\$4,000	Students)		Local	No
Συμβουλευτικές υπηρεσίες προς τους μαθητές σχετικά με επιλογή μαθημάτων και προαπαιτούμενα μαθήματα	Διοίκηση	Διαχείριση Στοιχείων Χρηστών	Διατήρηση εφεδρικών αντιγράφων μαθημάτων (Backups)	Υποστήριξη διαχείρισης συστήματος αρχείων	Υποστήριξη διαχείρισης βάσης δεδομένων	Λοιπά Στοιχεία	Γενικά	Υποστήριξη πολλαπλών γλωσσών	Συμμόρφωση με πρότυπα Μαθησιακών Τεχνολογιών	Online βοήθεια	Αξιοπιστία τεχνικής υποστήριξης	Τιμή		Τεχνικά	Φιλοξενία σε Απομακρυσμένο Δικτυακό Τόπο / Τοπική εγκατάσταση εξυπιοεπιτή	Χρήση Βάσης Δεδομένων

Απαιτήσεις πλατφόρμας Unix/Wi	Unix/Wi		Unix/Wi Unix/Win	Unix/	Win	WinN	Win	Win/ Unix/ Win	Win	Win	Win		Win
εξυπηρετητή	n	n		Win	NT/200	Т	NT/200 T NT/2000	Mac					
					0								
Ανοικτό ως Σύστημα	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Πηγές Αξιολόγησης													
Προϊόν ή έκδοση που	v.3.1.3	v.2.5	v.5				SMS		v.5	Learn	Zebu	Izio	v.4
αξιολογήθηκε										ing Enter			
										prise			
Μέθοδος Αξιολόγησης	Full	Full	Full	Partia	Downl	Partia	Partially	Download	Partially		Partially		Partially
	functiona	functiona		lly	oaded		functional	eq	functional		functional		functional
	1	1	Online	functi	docume		Online	documenta	Online	p			Online
	local	local	demo	onal	ntation		demo –	tion	demo –				demo –
	installati	installati		Onlin			Download		nload		Download	demo –	Download
	on	ou		e			eq				eq		eq
				demo		demo	documenta		documenta		documenta	ded	documenta
				ı		ı	tion		tion		tion	documen	tion
				Down		Down						tation	
				loade		loade							
				p		р							
				docu		docu							
				menta		menta							
				tion		tion							

It is reminded that we consider a group of criteria to be supported by an LTS if the majority of features in the group is supported. In Table 2, the features mentioned as 'Other Features' have not been taken into account for the classification and are presented for informative reasons only. Taking into account the mapping between the categories of LTSs and the groups of Features, as shown in Table 1, we classify the systems in the categories defined in Section 2. The results are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Learning Technology Systems Categorization Results

Name	Company	URL								
General										
WebCT	University of British	http://www.webct.com								
	Columbia									
CoSE	Staffordshire	http://www.staffs.ac.uk/COSE								
	University									
Centra	Centra Software	http://www.centra.com								
Cate	Cate	http://www.cate.com								
Convene	Convene	http://www.convene.com								
LearningSpace	Lotus	http://www.lotus.com/home.nsf/welcome/learnspace/								
BlackBoard	Blackboard	http://www.blackboard.com								
TopClass	WBT Systems	http://www.wbtsystems.com								
VirtualU	Virtual Learning	http://www.vlei.com								
	Enviroments									
FirstClass (Zebu)	Centrinity	http://www.firstclass.com								
Intralearn	Intralearn	http://www.intralearn.com								
Collaborative Learn	ning									
WebCT										
BlackBoard										
Virtual Classrooms										
LearnLinc	Mentergy	http://www.embanet.com								
Question and Test										
WebCT										
Organisational Mar	nagement									
Cate	Eurocom	http://www.eurocom.gr/catesite/								

As we have mentioned above, some systems can be classified into more than one categories, which is rather evident in Table 3. For example, WebCT can be used both as a general purpose system, and as a system that provides a full set of tools to create and deliver Questions and Tests.

As far as the usability evaluation is concerned, we used the criteria described in the previous section to test five of the most dominant systems of the 'General' category: WebCT, Blackboard, VirtualU, Intralearn, LearningSpace and CoSE. We only tested systems from the 'General' category because of the wide adoption of this category and the extensive hypertext user interface that characterizes them. We applied our criteria for three types of users: Students (St), Instructors and Designers (ID) and Administrators (Ad). The results of the evaluation are represented in Table 4.

Table 4: Systems Usability Assessment per User

	Usability Criteria														
LTS	Easy to learn and comprehend		Efficient in feature		Efficient in navigation		Forgiveness from errors			Pleasant to use					
	and comprehend			realization		navigation		errors							
	St	ID	Ad	St	ID	Ad	St	ID	Ad	St	ID	Ad	St	ID	Ad
WebCT	5	4	3	4	5	3	5	4	3	3	2	2	5	4	3
Blackboard	5	5		4	4		5	4	3	4	3		4	4	
VirtualU	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	2	4	3	3
Intralearn	3			4			4			4			3		
LearningSpa	4			4			4			3			4		
ce															
CoSE	2			5			4			4			3		

4. Conclusions

The mere enumeration of supported features, is a good metric for the potential of LTSs, but cannot be an inclusive measure for the comparative analysis and evaluation of Web-based Learning Technology Systems [Avouris et al. 2001, Paternò, 2000]. The added value of our approach is twofold: the classification of the LTSs into categories according to specific objective criteria and the survey of the usability of these systems. The first aspect aims at clarifying the real disposition of the LTS under evaluation, as there is currently little insight, concerning what each of these systems actually represents, what it is able to perform, and what needs it can cover. The second aspect deals with an often-overlooked matter, the usability of the hypertext user interface, which is rather critical in LTSs that are extensively based on human-computer interaction.

From the tables 2 and 3 it is obvious that the most full featured and powerful systems are Blackboard and WebCT. It is not a surprise that these two systems are the most popular in the education and training market at present. Another inference from the tables is that the majority of the systems examined belong to the 'General' category, and these systems include a great variety of features that overlaps all the other categories. Systems that belong to this category are more popular than systems from the other categories, because they tend to have everything in the same price, even if they lack in efficiency compared to the specialized systems.

From Table 4 we conclude that most of the LTSs are characterized by an acceptable degree of usability as far as the student is concerned, and they are less usable for designers, instructors and administrators. This is partially justified by the fact that students are equipped with rather simple and straightforward tools, while designers, instructors and administrators deal with much more complex and dense tools and perform more challenging tasks. On the whole we reckon that the LTSs under evaluation are quite usable, especially as far the 'easy to learn and comprehend' and 'efficient in navigation' criteria are concerned.

5. References

Alexander, S. (1995). Teaching and learning on the World Wide Web. In R. Debreceny & A. Ellis (Eds.). *Ausweb95: Innovation and Diversity*, (pp. 93-99). Ballina, New South Wales: Norsearch Limited.

Avouris, N.M., Tselios, N., & Tatakis E.C. (2001). Development and Evaluation of a Computer-based Laboratory teaching tool, *Journal of Computer Applications in Engineering Education*, Vol 9, (forthcoming).

D. McConnell, Implementing Computer Supported Cooperative Learning, Kogan Page, 1994.

IEEE Learning Technology Standards Committee, "Draft Standard for Learning Technology Systems Architecture (LTSA)", November 2000.

Lowe, D. & Hall, W. (1999). Hypermedia & the Web: An Engineering Approach, John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Nielsen, J. (1993). Usability Engineering, Academic Press, London.

Nielsen, J. (2000). Designing Web Usability: The Practice of Simplicity, New Riders Publishing, Indianapolis.

Paternò, F. (2000). Model-Based Design and Evaluation of Interactive Application. Springer Verlag, ISBN 1-85233-155-0.

Squires D., & Preece, J. (1999). Predicting quality in educational software: Evaluating for learning, usability and the synergy between them, Interacting with Computers, 11, pp. 467-483.

Tessmer M. (1996). Formative Multimedia Evaluation, Training Research Journal, vol. 1, pp. 127-149