

University of Groningen

Transcranial stimulation for psychosis

Sommer, I.E.; Aleman, A.; Slotema, C.M.; Schutter, D.J.L.G.

Published in:
 American Journal of Psychiatry

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
 Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
 2012

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Sommer, I. E., Aleman, A., Slotema, C. M., & Schutter, D. J. L. G. (2012). Transcranial stimulation for psychosis: the relationship between effect size and published findings. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *169*(11), 1211-1211.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Transcranial Stimulation for Psychosis: The Relationship Between Effect Size and Published Findings

TO THE EDITOR: We read with interest the article by Brunelin et al. (1) in the July issue, which described the application of transcranial direct-current stimulation (tDCS) in the treatment of both auditory hallucinations and negative symptoms simultaneously. Fifteen patients received 10 tDCS treatments and another 15 patients received sham stimulation. An effect size of 1.58 was reported for refractory hallucinations, which is remarkably large when compared with the effect sizes of antipsychotic medication (0.4–0.6). The effect size for negative symptoms was also larger than 1.

Clinical trials involving nonconvulsive brain stimulation in schizophrenia were first introduced in 1999. Initial effect sizes were very large while samples were small. Some years later, large negative studies were published. To date, 17 placebo-controlled transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) studies on hallucinations have been published. The mean weighted effect size is now around 0.3 (2). Yet, the negative correlation between effect size and year of publication suggests that over time, the mean effect size may become smaller.

The trend of effect sizes for new techniques decreasing over time is by no means specific for TMS or tDCS. It is a general trend that can be observed when new treatments are introduced (3). For example, when selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) were introduced for depression, effect sizes greater than 1 were reported, which created their legacy as a wonder drug. Over the course of 20 years, the mean effect size of SSRIs decreased to around 0.3. A similar trend was demonstrated for cognitive-behavioral therapy (4).

This trend likely results from publication bias. A remarkably high effect size suggests the discovery of a new wonder treatment. Studies with such findings are therefore easily published in high-impact journals (5). In contrast, studies of similar sample size with marginally or nonsignificant findings are less likely to be accepted for publication. Usually, after some years, negative studies with large sample sizes become available. This is when meta-analyses start to detect a decrease in efficacy.

In this view, the Brunelin et al. study (1) is exemplary of an initial placebo-controlled study applying a new technique: it included a small sample, found remarkably large effects, and is published in a high-impact journal.

We sincerely hope that tDCS is the exception to the rule—as a cheap, safe, and highly effective method to treat both refractory hallucinations and negative symptoms is most welcome. However, given the previous observations for other new treatments, it is realistic to expect that 10 years from now the mean weighted effect size of tDCS will be around 0.3.

References

- Brunelin J, Mondino M, Gassab L, Haesebaert F, Gaha L, Suaud-Chagny MF, Saoud M, Mechri A, Poulet E: Examining transcranial direct-current stimulation (tDCS) as a treatment for hallucinations in schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 2012; 169:719–724
- Slotema CW, Aleman A, Daskalakis ZJ, Sommer IE: Meta-analysis of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in the treatment of auditory verbal hallucinations: update and effects after one month. *Schizophr Res* (Epub ahead of print, Sep 29, 2012)
- Munafò MR, Flint J: How reliable are scientific studies? *Br J Psychiatry* 2010; 197:257–258
- Cuijpers P, Smit F, Bohlmeijer E, Hollon SD, Andersson G: Efficacy of cognitive-behavioral therapy and other psychological treatments for adult depression: meta-analytic study of publication bias. *Br J Psychiatry* 2010; 196:173–178
- Siontis KC, Evangelou E, Ioannidis JP: Magnitude of effects in clinical trials published in high-impact general medical journals. *Int J Epidemiol* 2011; 40:1280–1291

IRIS E. SOMMER, M.D., PH.D.
Utrecht, Netherlands

ANDRE ALEMAN, M.D., PH.D.
Groningen, Netherlands

CHRISTINA M. SLOTEMA, M.D., PH.D.
The Hague, Netherlands

DENNIS J.L.G. SCHUTTER, PH.D.
Utrecht, Netherlands

The authors report no financial relationships with commercial interests.

This letter (doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2012.12060741) was accepted for publication in August 2012.

Suicide Attempt as the Presenting Symptom of C9orf72 Dementia

TO THE EDITOR: Dementia is generally considered to have a low risk of suicide, but several reports have highlighted suicide in dementia, and the exact predictors are still poorly known (1). Here we show that a suicide attempt can be the first manifestation of early dementia due to the recently identified C9orf72 expansion (2, 3). This novel type of dementia can be easily missed in elderly patients with dementia or misdiagnosed as Alzheimer's disease (4).

A 72-year-old German man without significant previous medical or psychiatric illnesses was admitted after trying to hang himself. An adjustment disorder was assumed at first, but psychiatric examination revealed a striking lack of concern about the suicide attempt, frequent irrelevant answers, and inappropriate jocularity without any signs of depression. His wife reported a 2-year history of subtle behavioral disinhibition (short episodes of socially inappropriate behavior and impulsive reactions) and deficits in short-term memory and face recognition in her husband, but history and observation did not reveal additional symptoms necessary for a diagnosis of possible behavioral frontotemporal dementia (5). (For details of the diagnostic workup, see the data supplement that accompanies the online edition of this letter.) Neuropsychological testing results revealed mild deficits in the domains of verbal episodic memory and visuospatial abilities but not in executive functions such as strategic thinking and executive flexibility (see the online data supplement). MRI and [¹⁸F]fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) revealed several abnormalities, including temporal atrophy with hippocampal degeneration and biparietal and temporomesial hypometabolism, but no frontal atrophy or hypometabolism (Figure 1). Although behavioral frontotemporal dementia can present with anterior temporal atrophy without frontal atrophy or hypometabolism (5), this