



## University of Groningen

## **Retraction Note**

Hemani, Gibran; Shakhbazov, Konstantin; Westra, Harm-Jan; Esko, Tonu; Henders, Anjali K; McRae, Allan F; Yang, Jian; Gibson, Greg; Martin, Nicholas G; Metspalu, Andres

Published in: Nature

DOI:

10.1038/s41586-021-03766-y

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2021

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA):

Hemani, G., Shakhbazov, K., Westra, H-J., Esko, T., Henders, A. K., McRae, A. F., Yang, J., Gibson, G., Martin, N. G., Metspalu, A., Franke, L., Montgomery, G. W., Visscher, P. M., & Powell, J. E. (2021). Retraction Note: Detection and replication of epistasis influencing transcription in humans. Nature, *596*(7871), 306. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03766-y

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Download date: 05-06-2022

## **Retraction Note: Detection and replication** of epistasis influencing transcription in humans

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03766-y

Published online: 11 August 2021

Retraction to: Nature https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13005

Published online 26 February 2014

Check for updates

Gibran Hemani, Konstantin Shakhbazov, Harm-Jan Westra, Tonu Esko, Anjali K. Henders, Allan F. McRae, Jian Yang, Greg Gibson, Nicholas G. Martin, Andres Metspalu, Lude Franke, Grant W. Montgomery, Peter M. Visscher & Joseph E. Powell

In this Letter, we reported the detection and replication of epistatic interactions between common single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that influence gene expression in peripheral blood, including both cis-cis and cis-trans interactions. We applied a statistical method widely used to detect epistasis. Wood et al. replicated these findings statistically in an additional whole-genome sequencing dataset but found that a large fraction of these epistatic effects could be explained by tagging sequence variants that were not genotyped in our study. They suggested that the interactions arose owing to haplotypes that tag single additive variants. In our response<sup>2</sup>, we argued that such a mechanism could not explain *cis-trans* interactions. We have since undertaken further analyses to try to understand the mechanism that gives rise to *cis-trans* associations<sup>3</sup>.

We find that in the presence of imperfectly tagged *cis*-expression quantitative trait loci with large additive effects, the F-test statistic used to detect interactions can result in an inflated false positive rate. As a result, we voice concern over whether our reported epistatic associations arose owing to biological mechanisms or from inflated test statistics caused by imperfectly tagged additive effects. All authors agree with the revised scientific interpretation of the original findings but opinions on whether to voluntarily retract the paper are mixed. Authors Gibran Hemani, Konstantin Shakhbazov, Harm-Jan Westra, Tonu Esko, Anjali K. Henders, Allan F. McRae, Jian Yang, Nicholas G. Martin, Andres Metspalu, Lude Franke, Grant W. Montgomery, Peter M. Visscher and Joseph E. Powell agree with the Retraction. Greg Gibson disagrees with the Retraction.

- Wood, A. et al. Another explanation for apparent epistasis. Nature 514, E3-E5 (2014).
- Hemani, G. et al. Hemani et al. reply. Nature 514, E5-E6 (2014).
- Hemani, G. et al. Phantom epistasis between unlinked loci. Nature https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41586-021-3765-z (2021).

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Limited 2021